Received: 27 Apr 2024 | Accepted: 10 June 2024

DOI: 10.54005/geneltip.1474401

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Quality of Life and Employment Among Patients with Epilepsy Epilepsili Hastalarda Yaşam Kalitesi ve İstihdam

¹Tulin Gesoğlu Demir ⁽¹⁾, Suzan Havlioğlu ⁽¹⁾

Department of Neurology, Harran University Facu Sanlıurfa, Türkiye. Faculty Medicine, of

Department of Public Health Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, Harran University, Sanliurfa, Türkiye

Correspondence

Tulin Gesoglu Demir, Harran University Faculty of Medicine, Osmanbey, Sanliurfa, 63300, Türkiye

E-Mail: drtulindemir@gmail.com

How to cite?

Gesoălu Demir T. Havlioălu S. Quality of Life and Employment Among Patients with Epilepsy. Genel Tip Derg. 2024;34(4):559-65.

ABSTRACT

Objective: Seizures significantly affect quality of life among patients with epilepsy while employment significantly contributes to the quality of life in general. Thus, in our study, we aimed to determine how the demographic and disease-related characteristics of patients with epilepsy impact quality of life and employment. **Material and Methods:** Our sample included 202 patients who had been diagnosed with epilepsy

for at least a year and admitted to the Neurology Polyclinic between October 2023 and March 2024. Data about patients' characteristics were collected using a sociodemographic information form while quality of life was measured using the Turkish Quality of Life in Epilepsy Inventory-31 (QCLIE-31).

(QOLIE-31). Results: On average, patients were 29.07 ± 10.84 years old and had epilepsy for 10.5 ± 8.92 years. Patients' lowest mean score on the QOLIE-31's subscales was for "Total Quality of Life" whereas their highest mean score was for "Social Function". The total quality of life score of patients with low education level and low income was found significantly lower. Among other results, patients with a seizure frequency of 6 or more per month had significantly lower scores on all subscales except "Emotional Well-Being", and patients with refractory epilepsy and/or receiving polytherapy had significantly lower total scores and scores on all subscales. Conclusion: Our results indicate that employment does not significantly affect quality of life among patients with epilepsy whereas their clinical features do. Even so, physicians should take all conditions into account to ensure the highest-possible quality of life for patients with epilepsy.

Keywords: Employment, Epilepsy, Seizure, Quality of Life, QOLIE-31

Amaç: Nöbetler epilepsi hastalarında yaşam kalitesini önemli ölçüde etkilerken, istihdam da genel olarak yaşam kalitesine önemli ölçüde katkıda bulunmaktadır. Bu nedenle çalışmamızda epilepsi hastalarının demografik ve hastalıkla ilişkili özelliklerinin yaşam kalitesini ve istihdamını nasıl

epilepsi hastalarının demografik ve hastalikla ilişkili özelliklerinin yaşam kalitesini ve istihdamını nasıl etkilediğini belirlemeyi amaçladık.

Gereç ve Yöntemler: Örneklemimize Ekim 2023 ile Mart 2024 tarihleri arasında Nöroloji Polikliniği'ne başvuran, en az bir yıldır epilepsi tanısı olan 202 hasta dahil edildi. Hastaların özelliklerine ilişkin veriler sosyodemografik bilgi formu kullanılarak toplandı. Yaşam kalitesi, Epilepside Yaşam Kalitesi Envanteri-31 (QOLIE-31) kullanılarak ölçüldü.

Bulgular: Hastaları ortalama 29,07 ± 10,84 yaşındaydı ve 10,5 ± 8,92 yıldır epilepsi hastasıydı. Hastaların QOLIE-31 alt ölçeklerinden en düşük ortalama puanları Toplam Yaşam Kalitesi, en yüksek ortalama puanları ise Sosyal İşlev alanındaydı. Eğitim düzeyi ve geliri düşük olan hastaların toplam yaşam kalitesi puanının anlamlı derecede düşük olduğu görüldü. Diğer sonuçların yanı sıra, nöbet sıklığı ayda 6 veya daha fazla olan hastaların Duygusal İyilik dışındaki tüm alt ölçeklerde anlamlı derecede düşük puanları vardı ve dirençli epilepsisi olan ve/veya politerapi alan hastaların tüm alt ölçeklerde puanları ve toplam puanları anlamlı derecede düşüktü.

Sonuç: Sonuçlarımız, epilepsi hastalarında çalışmanın yaşam kalitesini anlamlı derecede etkilemediğini ancak klinik özelliklerinin etkilediğini göstermektedir. Yine de hekimlerin epilepsi hastalarında mümkün olan en yüksek yaşam kalitesini sağlamak için tüm koşullarını dikkate alması gerekir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: İstihdam, Epilepsi, Nöbet, Yaşam Kalitesi, QOLIE-31

Introduction

systems in which s/he lives" (1).

Epilepsy is a brain disorder characterized by recurrent least as defined by the World Health Organization (2). In seizures that significantly impact quality of life, meaning this respect, patients with epilepsy not only experience "an individual's perception of his/her position in life seizures but may also experience emotional distress, low in relation to his/her goals, expectations, standards self-esteem, decreased social interaction, decreased and concerns in the context of the culture and value job opportunities and problems with activities of daily living even if their seizures are well controlled (3).

Although the principal goal of treatment for epilepsy Employment is a factor that determines social is to stop seizures from occurring, health is not only outcomes and contributes greatly to quality of life, the absence of disease or infirmity but also a state of especially for patients with epilepsy (4). Having a job complete physical, mental, and social well-being, at not only facilitates financial independence but also

Peer-Review: Double anonymized - Two External Plagiarism Checks: Yes - intihal.net Complaints: geneltip@selcuk.edu.tr Copyright & License: Authors publishing with the journal retain

the copyright to their work licensed under the CC BY-NC 4.0

Genel Tip Dergisi | e-ISSN: 2602-3741 https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/geneltip https://yayinevi.selcuk.edu.tr/



reinforces self-esteem and supports social functioning (5). However, epilepsy is a major cause of uncertainty about not only employment but also social interactions and may also impose limits on aspects of independent living, including driving (6).

A recent large, multicenter, cross-sectional study on determinants of quality of life among patients with epilepsy has shown that, for such patients, seizure frequency, tolerability of anti-seizure drug, depression, stigma, and concern about the recurrence of seizures are associated with relatively low quality of life (7). Beyond that, numerous international studies have examined employment-related variables among patients with epilepsy, including a survey of 262 patients with epilepsy at four epilepsy centers in the United States. According to their results, being younger, having a higher socioeconomic status, and have fewer comorbidities were associated with higher levels of employment (8). Meanwhile, another cross-sectional study has revealed that a higher level of education, having well-controlled epilepsy, and having good mental health are associated with greater employability among patients with epilepsy (9). Taken together, those findings indicate that epilepsy is not an insurmountable barrier to achieving a productive work life but that other socioeconomic variables are important as well.

Against that background, in our study we aimed to determine how the demographic and disease-related characteristics of patients with epilepsy from our clinic, gathered using through the QOLIE-31 questionnaire, affect their quality of life and employment, with the overarching goal of highlighting the potential benefits of incorporating such a tool in clinical practice.

Material and Methods

Research Design and Sample

In our descriptive study, we aimed to determine the quality of life and employment status of patients with epilepsy as well as conditions related to those factors. Our sample consisted of 202 patients at least 18 years old who had been diagnosed with epilepsy for at least a year and admitted to Harran University Neurology Clinic between October 2023 and March 2024.

Data Collection Tools

To collect data, we used a sociodemographic information form and the Quality of Life in Epilepsy Inventory-31 (QOLIE-31), a scale that developed by the researchers in light of the literature. All data were collected via face-to-face interviews that lasted approximately 10 min each. The interviews were conducted by senior residents.

Sociodemographic Information Form

For data about participants' age, sex, income level, occupation, marital status, level of education, employment status, comorbidity status, years diagnosed with epilepsy, age at first seizure, seizure frequency, seizure type, seizure control, and type of treatment used, we used a sociodemographic

information form consisting of 19 items.

Quality of Life in Epilepsy Inventory-31 (QOLIE-31)

The QOLIE-31 contains 31 of the 89 items on the Turkish version of the QOLIE-89, whose validity and reliability were determined by Mollaoğlu et al (10) The QOLIE-31 does not include any SF-36 items on the QOLIE-89 or items regarding symptoms not specific to epilepsy (e.g., pain). That is, the QOLIE-31's items concern epilepsy and epilepsy-related issues only. Its seven subscales contain 30 items in total: Seizure Worry (i.e., 5 items), Emotional Well-Being (i.e., 5 items), Energy/ Fatigue (i.e., 4 items), Social Function (i.e., 5 items), Cognitive Function (i.e., 6 items), Effects of Medications (i.e., 3 items), and overall quality of life (i.e., 2 items). The 31st item, which gives the QOLIE-31 its name, assesses overall health status. Altogether, scores on the QOLIE-31 range from 0 to 100, and a higher score reflects a higher quality of life. Cronbach's alpha of the original scale was 0.91 and in our study it was 0.91.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis of the data was performed in the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 22.0. Whether the data conformed to normal distribution was evaluated with the Shapiro–Wilk test on normal distribution graphs developed by examining skewness and kurtosis coefficient values together. Descriptive statistics (i.e., number, percentage, and mean) were used to evaluate the data, and an independent sample t test and ANOVA were used to analyze normally distributed variables, whereas the Kruskal–Wallis test was used for non-normally distributed variables. All p values less than .05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

Ethical Considerations

Ethics approval for the study was obtained from Harran University's Non-Interventional Clinical Research Ethics Committee (HRÜ/23.19.24) while the necessary institutional permissions were obtained from the hospital where the study was conducted. Permission to use the scales was obtained from the researchers who validated the Turkish versions of the scale used in the study. Patients who agreed to participate in the study were informed about the study and told that their participation was voluntary, and their written consent was obtained.

Results

Of the 202 patients with epilepsy who participated in the study, 50.5% were female, and 49.5% were male. On average, they were 29.07 ± 10.84 years old (range: 18-77) and had had epilepsy for 10.5 ± 8.92 years, with seizures beginning at the age of 17.0 ± 13.34 years on average. Regarding the level of education, 59.4% of the patients had at least a high school degree.

Although 28.2% of patients reported not having any seizures in the past year, 10.4% reported having more than 6 seizures per month. When the patients were evaluated according to seizure control, 76.7% were in the well-controlled epilepsy group and 23% were in

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of epilepsy patients

	Patients
	n (%)
Gender Female Male	102 (50.5) 100 (49.5)
Marital status Married Single	91(45.0) 111 (55.0)
Level of education Uneducated Primary Education High School and Above	38(18.8) 44 (21.8) 120 (59.4)
Profession Housewife Worker/Tradesman Officer Farmer Student Not Working	46 (30.2) 44 (22.8) 12 (21.8) 5 (5.9) 22 (2.5) 73 (69.8)
Have you considered working? Yes No	83 (41.1) 116 (58.9)
Have you applied for a job? Yes No	62 (30.7) 140 (69.3)
Employment status Full Time Part Time Unemployed Other	50 (24.8) 11 (5.4) 119 (58.9) 22 (10.9)
Income level Income less than expenses Income equals expenses More income than expenses	123 (60.9) 48 (23.8) 31 (15.3)
Comorbidity Yes No	64 (31.7) 138 (68.3)
Family history of epilepsy Yes No	35 (17.3) 167 (82.7)
Seizure frequency No Seizures in the last year 1-5 /Month 6 or >6/Month	57 (28.2) 124 (61.4) 21 (10.4)
Epilepsy type (Onset) Fokal Generalized Unknown	56 (27.7) 100 (49.5) 46 (22.8)
Number of ASM taken Monotherapy Polytherapy	109 (54.0) 93 (46.0)
Seizure control Uncontrolled Controlled	47 (23.3) 155 (76.7)
Use of vehicles Yes No	56 (27.7) 146 (72.3)
ASM: anti-seizure medication	

Table 2. Quality of Life in Epilepsy-31 (QOLIE-31) scale average scores of epilepsy patients

	Number of Items	Min-Max	Mean	Sd
Seizure Worry	5	0-96	45.00	20.81
Overall Quality of Life	2	1-55	33.54	10.21
Mood	5	0-96	52.23	13.09
Energy/Fatigue	4	0-90	43.14	15.44
Cognition	6	16-100	63.99	16.01
Medication Effects	3	0-100	65.82	24.49
Social Function	5	0-100	67.82	21.47
Total	30	12.4-84.9	54.80	12.91

Min: minimum, max: maximum, Sd: standard deviation

the refractory epilepsy group. While 49.5% of patients had generalized seizures and 27.7% had focal seizures, 54.0% were receiving monotherapy and 46% were receiving polytherapy. Table 1 presents these and other demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients.

Table 2 shows the patients' mean scores on the QOLIE-31. Their lowest mean score on the QOLIE-31's subscales was for Total Quality of Life, whereas their highest mean score was for Social Function.

Next, Table 3 allows a comparison of the sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the patients in the light of their mean subscale scores on the QOLIE-31. Considering sociodemographic characteristics, 18-25-year-old patients and female patients received significantly lower scores on the Seizure-Related Concerns subscale than all other groups (p = .049 and p = .005, respectively). Scores on the Total Quality of Life subscale among unmarried patients were significantly lower than among married ones (p = .029). Considering level of education, scores for Seizure-Related Concerns, overall quality of life, Energy/Fatigue, Cognitive Function, and Social Function, and Total Quality of Life were significantly lower among patients with lower levels of education (p < .05). As for socioeconomic status, 69.8% of patients were unemployed, and 60.9% reported having an income that was less than their expenses. Unemployed patients had significantly higher scores on the Emotional Well-Being subscale than other groups, while patients with lower income had significantly lower scores. Moreover, low-income patients had significantly lower Energy/Fatigue and total quality of life scores (p < .05). Last, regarding the use of vehicles, patients who did not drive got significantly lower scores on all subscales except Effects of Medication (p < .05).

When we evaluated the patients according to their clinical characteristics, we observed that patients with a seizure frequency of 6 or more per month had significantly lower scores on all subscales except Emotional Well-Being (p < .05). Patients with refractory epilepsy and patients receiving polytherapy had significantly lower scores on all subscales and Total Quality of Life (p < .05).

 $\textbf{Table 3.} \ \textbf{Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of participants and QOLIE-31}$

Variables	Seizure Worry	Overall Qua- lity of Life	Mood	Energy/Fatigue	Cognition	Medication Effects	Social Fun- ction	Total
		illy Of Life				LITOCIS	CHOIT	
Age								
18-25	41.4± 19.8	32.3± 10.2	50.3± 13.5	42.1± 15.6	63.0± 16.0	65.1± 23.7	66.5± 23.1	53.4± 13.7
26-35	46.2± 22.4	34.1± 10.7	51.4± 13.2	42.9± 15.4	63.2± 17.3	64.1± 26.0	68.1± 21.6	54.6± 13.1
35-77	50.6± 18.9	35.1± 9.0	57.6± 10.2	45.5± 15.0	67.2± 13.5	70.1± 23.5	70.0± 17.5	58.0± 10.0
p-value	0.049	0.299	0.009	0.487	0.333	0.433	0.686	0.156
Gender								
Female	40.9±22.4	32.9± 10.3	50.7± 13.7	41.1± 14.6	63.5± 16.7	62.9± 25.7	65.5± 23.0	53.2 ± 13.6
Male	49.1±18.2	34.1± 10.1	53.8± 12.2	45.1± 15.9	64.4± 15.2	68.8± 22.8	70.1± 19.6	56.3 ± 12.0
p-value	0.005	0.421	0.093	0.067	0.680	0.087	0.137	0.085
Marital status								
Married	47.5± 20.3	35.0± 9.7	54.1± 12.6	44.2± 15.0	66.3± 15.2	67.8± 23.6	70.9± 19.4	56.9 ± 11.7
Single	42.95± 21.0	32.3± 10.4	50.6± 13.2	42.2± 15.7	62.3± 16.4	64.1± 25.1	65.2± 22.7	53.0 ± 13.5
p-value	0.122	0.060	0.059	0.342	0.054	0.295	0.063	0.029
Level of education								
Uneducated	36.8± 21.9	27.9± 11.4	47.7± 15.6	36.9± 25.9	56.4± 16.0	62.6± 24.2	54.7± 27.2	47.0±14.6
Primary education	51.0± 20.2	33.4± 10.6	52.7± 10.5	44.8± 15.4	63.5± 14.7	69.0± 24.4	70.3± 16.5	56.0± 9.9
High school and above	45.3± 19.9	33.3± 9.0	53.4± 12.8	44.4± 14.9	66.5± 15.8	65.6± 24.6	71.0± 19.5	56.7± 12.4
p-value	0.008	0.001	0.063	0.023	0.003	0.494	0.001	0.001
Employment status								
Yes	44.0± 21.5	32.9± 10.5	50.9± 13.2	41.7± 14.5	62.8± 16.4	65.0± 24.1	67.1± 22.5	53.8± 13.3
No	47.0± 19.1	34.9± 9.3	55.2± 12.3	46.3± 17.1	66.6± 14.8	67.6± 25.3	69.3± 18.	57.0± 11.6
p-value	0.349	0.202	0.030	0.055	0.125	0.494	0.501	0.098
Income level								
Income less than expenses	49.8± 13.0	40.4± 15.2	62.9± 15.7	64.8± 23.4	64.8± 23.4	66.5± 23.4	66.5± 21.4	53.2± 12.7
Income equals expenses	55.3± 13.9	47.3± 16.2	63.1± 17.5	63.1± 17.5	64.1± 26.4	64.1± 26.4	66.3± 22.5	55.4± 13.8
More income than expenses	56.7± 9.8	47.4± 12.7	69.5± 13.6	69.5± 13.6	72.2± 25.0	72.2± 25.0	75.0± 18.8	59.8± 10.7
p-value	0.485	0.067	0.005	0.007	0.109	0.284	0.129	0.035
Comorbidity								
Yes	41.1±21.4	29.9± 11.1	50.8±15.5	40.3±15.5	59.1± 16.4	62.1± 26.3	60.7± 25.4	50.5± 14.4
No	46.7± 20.3	35.2± 9.3	52.8±11.8	44.4± 11.8	66.2± 15.35	67.5± 23.4	71.1± 18.5	56.7± 11.6
p-value	0.074	0.001	0.293	0.076	0.003	0.147	0.001	0.001
Seizure frequency	0.07 4	0.001	0.270	0.070	0.000	0.147	0.001	0.001
No seizures in the last year	54.1± 22.5	36.8± 10.6	54.2± 13.5	47.5± 16.0	67.9± 17.9	70.6± 26.2	75.3± 21.8	59.6± 13.2
1-5 /month	43.4± 18.4	32.9± 9.3	52.1± 12.2	42.0± 14.2	64.2± 13.5	67.4± 20.7	67.0± 19.1	54.4± 11.1
6 or >6/month			47.4± 15.9					
p-value	29.2± 17.6 0.001	27.8± 11.3 0.001	0.123	37.3± 18.3 0.016	51.7± 18.6 0.001	42.9± 28.4 0.001	52.0± 24.9 0.001	44.0± 15.1 0.001
Epilepsy type (onset)	0.001	0.001	3.120	3.010	3.001	3.001	3.001	0.001
Fokal	46.7± 22.0	34.1± 9.5	53.3± 11.2	41.7± 13.2	67.4± 15.8	69.0± 24.0	68.9± 19.7	56.2± 12.3
Generalized	44.0± 19.8	34.1± 7.3	52.0± 12.5	43.1± 14.9	65.4± 14.7	66.7± 24.6	70.1± 20.2	55.7± 12.0
Unknown	44.8± 21.7	30.9± 12.6	51.3± 16.0	44.7± 18.8	56.6± 16.9	59.8± 24.1	61.4± 25.0	50.9± 14.8
p-value	0.739	0.134	0.730	0.624	0.001	0.143	0.068	0.071
Number of ASM taken	3.737	J.10 1	0.700	0.024	3.001	0.140	0.000	3.071
Monotherapy	50.8± 18.6	37.0± 8.3	54.6± 11.6	45.1± 14.6	67.9± 15.2	70.8± 24.0	74.5± 16.9	59.0± 11.0
Polytherapy	38.1± 21.1	29.3± 10.6	49.3± 14.0	40.7± 16.1	59.4± 15.7	59.8± 23.4	59.9± 23.5	49.8± 13.2
p-value	0.001	0.001	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Seizure control	3.00	,,,,,		_,,,,,			J. 44 .	J
Uncontrolled	28.4± 17.0	24.4± 11.0	45.9± 14.8	36.4± 17.0	52.8± 13.2	51.7± 26.4	47.2± 22.3	42.7± 11.8
Controlled	50.0± 19.2	36.2± 8.2	54.1± 11.9	45.1± 14.3	67.3± 15.2	70.0± 22.2	74.05± 16.9	58.4.± 10.8
p-value	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Use of vehicles	3.001	3.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	J.001
	51.4± 19.9	36.7± 8.7	57.0± 12.8	47.4± 16.5	68.8± 16.7	69.9± 26.2	76.5± 17.9	60.2+12.0
Yes No								60.2± 12.0
	42.5± 20.6	32.3± 10.5	50.4± 12.7	41.5± 14.7	62.1± 15.3	64.2± 23.7	64.4± 21.8	52.7± 12.6
p-value	0.006	0.005	0.001	0.015	0.007	0.135	0.001	0.001

Discussion

Using QOLIE-31, the Turkish version of an epilepsy-specific questionnaire, we examined the quality of life and employment status of patients with epilepsy and the factors affecting these conditions. Our results identified several factors that significantly impact the quality of life of patients with epilepsy, including marital status, level of education, income level, driving and frequency of seizures, seizure control and number of anti-seizure drugs. Patients received the lowest score for on the Total Quality of Life subscale and the highest on the Social Function subscale. Regarding these aspects, the managment of patients experiencing physical and psychosocial difficulties is possible with appropriate recommendations regarding psychological, social, and medical care (11).

Patients' sociodemographic characteristics affect their quality of life. In our study, despite no significant difference in Total Quality of Life scores between younger participants and females, both groups received significantly lower scores for Seizure-Related Anxiety. Although multiple studies have shown that being female is a significant determinant of low quality of life (12, 13), multiple studies have also not observed that relationship (14, 15). These mixed results may indicate some intercultural differences between countries, for being female can be an important predictor of poor quality of life in countries where health and social care for females is inconsistent. Even so, poorer quality of life among females with epilepsy has also been reported in developed countries, which likely reflects some biological and psychosocial factors affecting their quality of life (13). Studies evaluating age's effect on quality of life have also produced conflicting results. In addition to studies showing that patient age is negatively related to quality of life (16), other studies have also shown that the health-related quality of life of people with epilepsy does not depend on age, as in our study (17, 18).

It has additionally been documented that patients with epilepsy have lower levels of education and income and experience relative difficulty in securing employment (19). A low level of education is indeed associated with unemployment (9). A study comparing the quality of life between patients with epilepsy and healthy controls in the same environment, with the same social relationships, and under the same living conditions showed a lower level of education, higher unemployment rate, higher unskilled employment rate and lower income among patients than controls (20). In our study, patients' scores for Seizure-Related Concerns, Total Quality of Life, Energy/Fatigue, Cognitive Function, Social Function, and total quality of life were significantly lower among patients with lower levels of education. However, the relationship between employment status and quality of life remains controversial in the literature. Although some studies have revealed that employment status is a primary factor affecting quality of life (21, 22), others have shown that socioeconomic status does not predict quality of life (23).

In a literature review of 95 articles reporting the employment status of people with epilepsy, an average employment rate of 58% was found (24). Employment makes a major contribution to the quality of life of people with epilepsy (4), because having a job not only facilitates financial independence but also reinforces self-esteem and supports social functioning (25). Even so, the 69.8% of patients who were unemployed in our study had significantly higher scores on the Emotional Well-Being subscale than all other groups. However, 60.9% of those patients also stated that their income was less than their expenses, and patients with low income had significantly lower Energy/Fatigue and Total Quality of Life scores. Although the mean Total Quality of Life and all subscale scores for unemployed patients with epilepsy were lower than for patients with epilepsy working in any job, the effect of employment status on quality of life did not make a significant difference as in the past research (23). Especially in the province where our study was conducted, unemployment and low income are common in the general population(26), which may explain why employment status did not significantly affect reported quality of life among the patients in our study.

The factors with the most significant impact on quality of life in our study were clinical features. It is suggested in the literature that polytherapy negatively affects the quality of life of patients with epilepsy and that the quality of life scores of patients receiving polytherapy are less than those of patients receiving monotherapy (23). In particular, Taskıran et al. (27) found a negative correlation between drug use and quality of life but also found that freedom from seizures was a positive factor affecting quality of life.

The literature demonstrates a lack of consensus about the effects of monotherapy and polytherapy on quality of life (28). Some studies have shown that the increased use of anti-seizure drugs or polytherapy is associated with decreased health-related quality of life (28) whereas others have not proven any association (15). In a recent study, the number of anti-seizure drugs was found to predict quality of life among patients with epilepsy, and the more antiseizure medications taken by the patients, the lower their scores for Total Quality of Life (29). In our study, patients with refractory epilepsy and/or receiving polytherapy had significantly lower scores than other patients on all subscales. In terms of pharmacotherapy, our study revealed that patients taking two or more anti-seizure drugs had lower quality of life scores than ones receiving monotherapy. Accordingly, along with the adverse effects of anti-seizure drugs(30), the number of different anti-seizure drugs used by each patient should be carefully considered when making decisions about treatment. Our results also confirm that poor seizure control combined with a high frequency of seizures is significantly associated with poor quality of life. Patients who had not had a seizure in the past year had the highest score for Total Quality of Life and highest scores on all subscales. In a recent study,

people with epilepsy taking three or more anti-seizure drugs reported poorer health and were more likely to have difficulty performing daily activities than ones taking only two anti-seizure drugs (31). Previous studies have also suggested that a greater number of anti-seizure drugs is associated with worse quality of life, possibly due to an increased risk of multidrug-related side effects (32, 33). Since patients with well-controlled epilepsy have been shown to have a quality of life similar to control participants (34), and frequency of seizures is a key factor influencing quality of life (35), the higher number of seizures may contribute to patients' poorer quality of life.

Possible causes of poorer quality of life due to a higher frequency of seizures include seizure-related injuries, fear of new seizures, and limitations in daily life, including driving (7). This may also be due to taking more anti-seizure medications to reduce the frequency and severity of seizures, resulting in the potential for more side effects. (7).

In some countries, having a driver's license and transportation to work are important factors affecting employment (36). Driving restrictions have been shown to carry social stigma and limit the employment of patients with epilepsy, regardless of seizure status (37). In a study evaluating the chief variables affecting quality of life among patients with epilepsy, patients who did not drive in their daily lives had lower scores for quality of life and some subscales (i.e., Emotional Well-Being, Energy/Fatigue, Cognitive Function, and Social Function) (29) In our study, besides driving, patients who did not drive had significantly lower scores on all subscales except Effects of Medication. The purpose of driving restrictions for patients with epilepsy is public as well as personal safety; however, the same restrictions also prevent patients with seizures from socializing, being employed, and maintaining self-confidence. The freedom to drive has indeed been recognized as an important determinant of social independence and quality of life among patients with epilepsy (38).

Limitations

Although our sample was formed in a third-level epilepsy clinic, our results are not sufficient for generalization due to the study's sample size and cross-sectional nature. More comprehensive multicenter studies are therefore needed on the subject.

Conclusion

Our study has shown that clinical characteristics such as seizure frequency, seizure control, and the number of anti-seizure drugs used have a more significant impact on quality of life than sociodemographic characteristics. The goal of treatment for epilepsy is to control seizures. However, in chronic diseases such as epilepsy, it is also important to consider the conditions accompanying patients in order to ensure the highest-possible quality of life. The use of validated measurement tools, including the QOLIE-31, to assess quality of life among patients with epilepsy should become routine clinical practice even if challenging.

Information collected in that way can tailor treatment for those patients and improve outcomes by illuminating the impact of the disease and other modifiable factors in daily life.

Sources of Funding

No funding source was used in this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors have stated explicitly that there are no with this any financial support or relationships that may pose potential conflict of interest in this article.

Ethical Considerations & Disclosure

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Harran University's Faculty of Medicine (HRU/23.19.24).

Authors' Contributions

TGD: Data curation, Methodology, Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft.

SH: Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation.

References

1.Saxena S, Orley J. Quality of life assessment: The world health organization perspective. Eur Psychiatry. 1997;12 Suppl 3:263s-6s.

2.World Health O. Basic documents. 45th ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2005.

3.McCagh J, Fisk JE, Baker GA. Epilepsy, psychosocial and cognitive functioning. Epilepsy Res. 2009;86(1):1-14.

4.Taylor RS, Sander JW, Taylor RJ, Baker GA. Predictors of health-related quality of life and costs in adults with epilepsy: a systematic review. Epilepsia. 2011;52(12):2168-80.

5.Collings JA. Psychosocial well-being and epilepsy: an empirical study. Epilepsia. 1990;31(4):418-26.

6. Willems LM, Hochbaum M, Frey K, Schulz J, Menzler K, Langenbruch L, et al. Multicenter, cross-sectional study of the costs of illness and cost-driving factors in adult patients with epilepsy. Epilepsia. 2022;63(4):904-

7.Siebenbrodt K, Willems LM, von Podewils F, Mross PM, Strüber M, Langenbruch L, et al. Determinants of quality of life in adults with epilepsy: a multicenter, cross-sectional study from Germany. Neurol Res Pract. 2023;5(1):41.

8.Bautista RE, Wludyka P. Factors associated with employment in epilepsy patients. Epilepsy Behav. 2007;10(1):89-95.

9.Wo MC, Lim KS, Choo WY, Tan CT. Factors affecting the employability in people with epilepsy. Epilepsy Res. 2016;128:6-11.

10.Mollaoğlu M, Durna Z, Bolayir E. Validity and Reliability of the Quality of Life in Epilepsy Inventory (QOLIE-31) for Turkey. Noro Psikiyatr Ars. 2015;52(3):289-95.

11.Bazil CW. Comprehensive care of the epilepsy patient--control, comorbidity, and cost. Epilepsia. 2004;45 Suppl 6:3-12.

12.Alanis-Guevara I, Peña E, Corona T, López-Ayala T, López-Meza E, López-Gómez M. Sleep disturbances, socioeconomic status, and seizure control as main predictors of quality of life in epilepsy. Epilepsy Behav. 2005;7(3):481-5.

13.Buck D, Jacoby A, Baker GA, Ley H, Steen N. Cross-cultural differences in health-related quality of life of people with epilepsy: findings from a European study. Qual Life Res. 1999;8(8):675-85.

14.Senol V, Soyuer F, Arman F, Oztürk A. Influence of fatigue, depression, and demographic, socioeconomic, and clinical variables on quality of life of patients with epilepsy. Epilepsy Behav. 2007;10(1):96-104.

- 15.Tlusta E, Zarubova J, Simko J, Hojdikova H, Salek S, Vlcek J. Clinical and demographic characteristics predicting QOL in patients with epilepsy in the Czech Republic: how this can influence practice. Seizure. 2009;18(2):85-9.
- 16.Cioriceanu IH, Constantin DA, Marceanu LG, Anastasiu CV, Serbanica AN, Rogozea L. Impact of Clinical and Socio-Demographic Factors on the Quality of Life in Romanian People with Epilepsy. Healthcare (Basel). 2022;10(10).
- 17.Baranowski CJ. The quality of life of older adults with epilepsy: A systematic review. Seizure. 2018;60:190-7.
- 18.Shetty PH, Naik RK, Saroja A, Punith K. Quality of life in patients with epilepsy in India. J Neurosci Rural Pract. 2011;2(1):33-8.
- 19.Elliott JO, Lu B, Shneker BF, Moore JL, McAuley JW. The impact of 'social determinants of health' on epilepsy prevalence and reported medication use. Epilepsy Res. 2009;84(2-3):135-45.
- 20.Kinyanjui DW, Kathuku DM, Mburu JM. Quality of life among patients living with epilepsy attending the neurology clinic at Kenyatta National Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya: a comparative study. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2013;11:98.
- 21.Akdemir V, Sut N, Guldiken B. Factors affecting the quality of life in drug-resistant epilepsy patients. Acta Neurol Belg. 2016;116(4):513-8.
- 22.Elsharkawy AE, Thorbecke R, Ebner A, May TW. Determinants of quality of life in patients with refractory focal epilepsy who were not eligible for surgery or who rejected surgery. Epilepsy Behav. 2012;24(2):249-55.
- 23. Gordon-Perue G, Gayle F, Fraser R, Ali A. Quality of life of patients with epilepsy living in Kingston, Jamaica. Epilepsy Behav. 2011;21(1):23-6.
- 24.Wo MC, Lim KS, Choo WY, Tan CT. Employability in people with epilepsy: A systematic review. Epilepsy Res. 2015;116:67-78.
- 25.Van Dongen CJ. Quality of life and self-esteem in working and nonworking persons with mental illness. Community Ment Health J. 1996;32(6):535-48.
- 26.Özbay F. SOSYO-EKONOMİK GÖSTERGELER BAĞLAMINDA ŞANLIURFA İLİNİN GÖÇ PROFİLİ. Econharran. 2021;5(8):180-207.
- 27.Taskiran E, Matur Z, Gül G, Bebek N, Baykan B, Gökyigit A, et al. The Impact of Affective State on Quality of Life in Focal Epilepsy in Turkey. J Neurosci Rural Pract. 2019;10(2):267-72.
- 28.Edefonti V, Bravi F, Turner K, Beghi E, Canevini MP, Ferraroni M, et al. Health-related quality of life in adults with epilepsy: the effect of age, age at onset and duration of epilepsy in a multicentre Italian study. BMC Neurol. 2011:11:33.
- 29.Tombini M, Assenza G, Quintiliani L, Ricci L, Lanzone J, Di Lazzaro V. Epilepsy and quality of life: what does really matter? Neurol Sci. 2021;42(9):3757-65.
- 30.Gilliam FG, Fessler AJ, Baker G, Vahle V, Carter J, Attarian H. Systematic screening allows reduction of adverse antiepileptic drug effects: a randomized trial. Neurology. 2004;62(1):23-7.
- 31.Strzelczyk A, Aledo-Serrano A, Coppola A, Didelot A, Bates E, Sainz-Fuertes R, et al. The impact of epilepsy on quality of life: Findings from a European survey. Epilepsy Behav. 2023;142:109179.
- 32.Ehrlich T, Reyes A, Paul BM, Uttarwar V, Hartman S, Mathur K, et al. Beyond depression: The impact of executive functioning on quality of life in patients with temporal lobe epilepsy. Epilepsy Res. 2019;149:30-6.
- 33.Kwan P, Yu E, Leung H, Leon T, Mychaskiw MA. Association of subjective anxiety, depression, and sleep disturbance with quality-of-life ratings in adults with epilepsy. Epilepsia. 2009;50(5):1059-66.
- 34.Guekht AB, Mitrokhina TV, Lebedeva AV, Dzugaeva FK, Milchakova LE, Lokshina OB, et al. Factors influencing on quality of life in people with epilepsy. Seizure. 2007;16(2):128-33.
- 35.Stavem K, Loge JH, Kaasa S. Health status of people with epilepsy compared with a general reference population. Epilepsia. 2000;41(1):85-90.

- 36.Chan MHH, Leung WCY, Lou WQV, Lo CNR, Chang RS. Employment among people with epilepsy in Hong Kong. Epilepsy Behav. 2021;124:108329.
- 37. Arcot Jayagopal L, Samson KK, Taraschenko O. Driving with drug-resistant and controlled seizures from a patient's perspective: Assessment of attitudes and practices. Epilepsy Behav. 2018;81:101-6.
- 38. Gilliam F, Kuzniecky R, Faught E, Black L, Carpenter G, Schrodt R. Patient-validated content of epilepsy-specific quality-of-life measurement. Epilepsia. 1997;38(2):233-6.