

## *S-M*-CYCLIC SUBMODULES AND SOME APPLICATIONS

Samruam Baupradist

Received: 9 January 2024; Accepted: 2 April 2024

Communicated by Abdullah Harmanci

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we introduce the notion of *S-M*-cyclic submodules, which is a generalization of the notion of *M*-cyclic submodules. Let  $M, N$  be right  $R$ -modules and  $S$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of a ring  $R$ . A submodule  $A$  of  $N$  is said to be an *S-M*-cyclic submodule, if there exist  $s \in S$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  such that  $As \subseteq f(M) \subseteq A$ . Besides giving many properties of *S-M*-cyclic submodules, we generalize some results on *M*-cyclic submodules to *S-M*-cyclic submodules. Furthermore, we generalize some properties of principally injective modules and pseudo-principally injective modules to *S*-principally injective modules and *S*-pseudo-principally injective modules, respectively. We study the transfer of this notion to various contexts of these modules.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2020):** 20K25, 20K27, 20K30, 20N99

**Keywords:** *M*-cyclic submodule, *S-M*-cyclic submodule, *M*-principally injective module, *S-M*-principally injective module, pseudo-*M*-principally injective module, *S*-pseudo-*M*-principally injective module

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $R$  is an associative ring with identity and all modules are unitary right  $R$ -modules. Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module. The annihilator of  $M$ , denoted by  $\text{Ann}_R(M)$ , is  $\text{Ann}_R(M) = \{r \in R \mid Mr = 0\}$ . A nonempty subset  $S$  of  $R$  is said to be *multiplicatively closed set of R*, if  $0 \notin S, 1 \in S$  and  $ss' \in S$  for all  $s, s' \in S$ . From now on  $S$  will always denote a multiplicatively closed set of  $R$ . In this paper, we concern with *S-M*-cyclic submodules which are generalizations of *M*-cyclic submodules. Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module. Recall from [15], a submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is called *M-cyclic*, if it is isomorphic to  $M/L$  for some submodule  $L$  of  $M$ . Hence any *M*-cyclic submodule  $X$  of  $M$  can be considered as the image of an endomorphism of  $M$ . Nguyen Van Sanh et al. in their paper [15] gave the concept of *M*-cyclic submodules and used them to characterize certain classes of *M*-principally injective modules. A right  $R$ -module  $N$  is called *M-principally injective*, if every  $R$ -homomorphism from an *M*-cyclic submodule of  $M$  to  $N$  can be extended to

$M$ . Nguyen Van Sanh et al. give some characterizations and properties of quasi-principally injective modules which generalize results of Nicholson and Yousif ([10]). The notion of  $M$ -principally injective module has attracted many researchers and it has been studied in many papers. See, for examples, [8], [11], [12] and [14]. Recall from [5] that a right  $R$ -module  $N$  is called *pseudo- $M$ -principally injective*, if every monomorphism from an  $M$ -cyclic submodule  $X$  of  $M$  to  $N$  can be extended to an  $R$ -homomorphism from  $M$  to  $N$ . They study the structure of the endomorphism ring of a quasi-pseudo-principally injective module  $M$  which is a quasi-projective Kasch module (see [5, Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.6]). The readers can refer to [4], [6], [13] and [17] for more details on pseudo- $M$ -principally injective modules.

In this paper, we introduce  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodules,  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective modules and  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective modules which are generalizations of  $M$ -cyclic submodules,  $M$ -principally injective modules and pseudo- $M$ -principally injective modules, respectively. In Section 2, we give some examples of  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodules, see Example 2.3. We give the necessary and sufficient conditions for the submodule of a right  $R$ -module to be an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule, list in Theorem 2.15 and Theorem 2.16. At the end of Section 2, we give the necessary and sufficient conditions for a simple module to be an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule, list in Proposition 2.16 and Proposition 2.17. In Section 3, we give an example of  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module, see Example 3.2. Several characterizations and some properties of  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective modules are given in this section. As the main results, in Section 4, we give the necessary and sufficient conditions for the  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module to be an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module, see Theorem 4.12.

## 2. $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodules

We start with the following definitions.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $S$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ ,  $M$  and  $N$  be right  $R$ -modules.

- (1) A submodule  $A$  of  $N$  is called an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ , if there exist  $s \in S$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  such that  $As \subseteq f(M) \subseteq A$ .
- (2) A right  $R$ -module  $N$  is called an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module, if every submodule of  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ .
- (3) A right (left) ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is called an  $S$ - $R$ -cyclic right (left) ideal of  $R$ , if  $I_R$  ( $_R I$ ) is an  $S$ - $R$ -cyclic submodule of  $R_R$  ( $_R R$ ) and a ring  $R$  is called right (left)  $S$ - $R$  cyclic, if  $R_R$  ( $_R R$ ) is an  $S$ - $R$ -cyclic module.

- Remark 2.2.** (1) Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module and  $S$  a multiplicatively closed subset of a ring  $R$ . If  $\text{ann}_R(M) \cap S \neq \phi$ , then  $M$  is trivially an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module.
- (2) To avoid this trivial case, from now on we assume that all multiplicatively closed subset of a ring  $R$  satisfies  $\text{ann}_R(M) \cap S = \phi$ .
- (3) Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module. The  $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$  is a special case of  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$  when  $S = \{1\}$ .

- Example 2.3.** (1) From [3], for right  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ ,  $N$  is called a *fully- $M$ -cyclic module*, if every submodule  $A$  of  $N$ , there exists  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  such that  $A = f(M)$ . It is clear that every fully- $M$ -cyclic module is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module.
- (2) Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module. We can see that every simple module is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module for any multiplicatively closed subset  $S$  of  $R$ .
- (3) Let  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  be the set of all integers modulo  $p$  where  $p$  is a prime number,

$$R = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p \right\}, M = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p \right\}$$

and

$$N = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p \right\}.$$

Then

- (3.1)  $R$  is a ring.
- (3.2)  $M$  and  $N$  are right  $R$ -modules.
- (3.3)  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module.

**Proof.** The proof of (3.1) and (3.2) are routine by using definitions of a ring and a right  $R$ -module.

(3.3) Note that all nonzero submodules of  $N$  are

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \right\}, E_k = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} ak & 0 \\ a & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \right\} \text{ where } k \in \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ and } N.$$

Let  $A$  be a nonzero submodule of  $N$ .

Case 1.  $A = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \right\}$ . Define  $f : M \rightarrow N$  by

$$f \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for all } \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M.$$

It is clear that  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ . Choose  $s \in S$ . We can show that  $As \subseteq f(M) \subseteq A$ .

Case 2.  $A = E_k = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} ak & 0 \\ a & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \right\}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Define  $f_k : M \rightarrow N$  by

$$f_k \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} ak & 0 \\ a & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for all } \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M.$$

It is clear that  $f_k \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ . We can choose  $s \in S$  and show that  $As \subseteq f_k(M) \subseteq A$ .

Case 3.  $A = N$ . It is obvious.

From Case 1, Case 2 and Case 3, we have  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be right  $R$ -modules. Every  $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$  for any multiplicatively closed subset  $S$  of  $R$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $S$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  and  $A$  be an  $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ . There exists  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  such that  $A = f(M)$ . Choose  $s \in S$ . Let  $as \in As$ . Since  $a \in A = f(M)$ , there exists  $m \in M$  such that  $a = f(m)$ . Then  $as = f(m)s = f(ms) \in f(M)$  and thus  $As \subseteq f(M)$ . So  $As \subseteq f(M) \subseteq A$ . Therefore  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $U(R)$  be the set of all units in a ring  $R$  and  $M, N$  be right  $R$ -modules. If  $S \subseteq U(R)$ , then every  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$  is an  $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $S \subseteq U(R)$ . Let  $A$  be an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ . There exist  $s \in S$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  such that  $As \subseteq f(M) \subseteq A$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} Ass^{-1} &\subseteq f(M)s^{-1} \subseteq As^{-1}, \\ A &\subseteq f(M)s^{-1} \subseteq A. \end{aligned}$$

So  $A = f(M)s^{-1}$ . Since  $A = f(M)s^{-1} = f(Ms^{-1}) \subseteq f(M) \subseteq A$ ,  $f(M) = A$ . Therefore  $A$  is an  $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $M, N$  be right  $R$ -modules and  $A, B$  be submodules of  $N$  such that  $A \subseteq B$ . If  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $B$ , then  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $B$ . There exist  $s \in S$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, B)$  such that  $As \subseteq f(M) \subseteq A$ . But  $B \subseteq N$ , we have  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  and thus  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module,  $A$  and  $B$  be submodules of  $M$ . If  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$  and  $B$  is an  $S$ - $A$ -cyclic submodule of  $A$ , then  $B$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$  and  $B$  is an  $S$ - $A$ -cyclic submodule of  $A$ . There exist  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ ,  $f_1 \in \text{End}_R(M)$  and  $f_2 \in \text{End}_R(A)$  such that  $As_1 \subseteq f_1(M) \subseteq A$  and  $Bs_2 \subseteq f_2(A) \subseteq B$ . Since  $S$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ ,  $s_2s_1 \in S$  and thus  $Bs_2s_1 \subseteq f_2(A)s_1 \subseteq f_2f_1(M) \subseteq f_2(A) \subseteq B$  where  $f_2f_1 \in \text{End}_R(M)$ . Therefore  $B$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be right  $R$ -modules. Then  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module if and only if every submodule of  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module.*

**Proof.** First, we suppose that  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module. Let  $A$  be a submodule of  $N$  and  $B$  be a submodule of  $A$ . Then  $B$  is a submodule of  $N$  and by the assumption, there exist  $s \in S$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  such that  $Bs \subseteq f(M) \subseteq B$ . Since  $f(M) \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A$ ,  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, A)$ . Hence  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module. The converse of this proposition is obvious.  $\square$

We can change from submodules to be essential submodules which is shown in the following result.

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be right  $R$ -modules. Then  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module if and only if every essential submodule of  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module.*

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) It follows by Proposition 2.8.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Since  $N$  is an essential submodule of  $N$  and by assumption,  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $M, P$  and  $Q$  be right  $R$ -modules with  $P \cong Q$ . If  $P$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module, then  $Q$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $P$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module. Let  $L$  be a submodule of  $Q$ . Since  $P \cong Q$ , there exists an isomorphism  $f : Q \rightarrow P$ . By assumption, there exist  $s \in S$  and  $h \in \text{Hom}_R(M, P)$  such that  $f(L)s \subseteq h(M) \subseteq f(L)$ . Then

$$f(Ls) \subseteq h(M) \subseteq f(L), f^{-1}f(Ls) \subseteq f^{-1}h(M) \subseteq f^{-1}f(L), Ls \subseteq f^{-1}h(M) \subseteq L.$$

But  $f^{-1}h \in \text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$ , we have  $Q$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.11.** *Let  $M, M'$  and  $N$  be right  $R$ -modules which  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module. If  $M$  is an  $R$ -epimorphic image of  $M'$ , then  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M'$ -cyclic module.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $M$  is an  $R$ -epimorphic image of  $M'$ . There exists an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\alpha : M' \rightarrow M$  such that  $\alpha(M') = M$ . Let  $A$  be a submodule of  $N$ . Since  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module, there exist  $s \in S$  and  $\beta : M \rightarrow N$  such that  $As \subseteq \beta(M) \subseteq A$ . Then  $As \subseteq \beta\alpha(M') \subseteq A$ . But  $\beta\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(M', N)$ , we have  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M'$ -cyclic module.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.12.** *Let  $M, N$  be right  $R$ -modules and  $A, B$  be submodules of  $N$  such that  $B \subseteq A$ . If  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ , then  $A/B$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N/B$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ . There exist  $s \in S$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  such that  $As \subseteq f(M) \subseteq A$ . Define  $\bar{f} : M \rightarrow N/B$  by  $\bar{f}(m) = f(m) + B$  for all  $m \in M$ . It is clear that  $\bar{f}$  is well defined and an  $R$ -homomorphism. Then  $(A/B)s \subseteq \bar{f}(M) \subseteq A/B$ . Therefore  $A/B$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N/B$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $M, N$  be right  $R$ -modules and  $S_1, S_2$  be multiplicatively closed subsets of  $R$  such that  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ . If  $N$  is an  $S_1$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ , then  $N$  is an  $S_2$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ .*

**Proof.** This is clear.  $\square$

Recall from [1], let  $S$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ . The saturation  $S^*$  of  $S$  is defined as  $S^* = \{x \in R \mid x|y \text{ for some } y \in S\}$ . A multiplicatively closed subset  $S$  of  $R$  is called a *saturated multiplicatively closed set* if  $S = S^*$ .

**Theorem 2.14.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be right  $R$ -modules and  $A$  be a submodule of  $N$ . Then  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$  if and only if  $A$  is an  $S^*$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ .*

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $S \subseteq S^*$  and by Lemma 2.13, we have  $A$  is an  $S^*$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $A$  is an  $S^*$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ . There exist  $x \in S^*$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  such that  $Ax \subseteq f(M) \subseteq A$ . Choose  $y \in R$  with  $xy \in S$ . Then  $Axy \subseteq f(M)y = f(My) \subseteq f(M) \subseteq A$ . Hence  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.15.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $M, N$  right  $R$ -modules and  $A$  a submodule of  $N$ . Then  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$  if and only if  $As$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$  for all  $s \in S$ .*

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $s \in S$ . Since  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ , there exist  $s_1 \in S$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  such that  $As_1 \subseteq f(M) \subseteq A$  and thus  $As_1s \subseteq f(M)s \subseteq As$ . But  $R$  is a commutative ring,  $Ass_1 \subseteq f(Ms) \subseteq As$ . Define  $h : M \rightarrow N$  by  $h(m) = f(ms)$  for all  $m \in M$ . It is clear that  $h$  is well-defined and an  $R$ -homomorphism from  $M$  to  $N$ . So  $Ass_1 \subseteq h(M) \subseteq As$  and hence  $As$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Since  $1 \in S$ ,  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$ . □

**Theorem 2.16.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be right  $R$ -modules which  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module and  $A$  is a submodule of  $N$ . Then*

- (1)  *$A$  is an essential submodule of  $N$  if and only if for each  $t \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N) - \{0\}$ ,  $t(M) \cap A \neq \{0\}$ .*
- (2)  *$A$  is a uniform module if and only if for each  $t \in \text{Hom}_R(M, A) - \{0\}$ ,  $t(M)$  is an essential submodule of  $A$ .*

**Proof.**

(1) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) It is obvious.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $B$  be a nonzero submodule of  $N$ . Since  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module, there exist  $s \in S$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  such that  $Bs \subseteq f(M) \subseteq B$ . By assumption,  $f(M) \cap A \neq \{0\}$ . But  $\{0\} \neq f(M) \cap A \subseteq B \cap A$ ,  $B \cap A \neq \{0\}$ . Therefore  $A$  is an essential submodule of  $N$ .

(2) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) It is obvious.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $B$  and  $C$  be nonzero submodules of  $A$ . Since  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module, there exist  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  and  $f_1, f_2 \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  such that  $Bs_1 \subseteq f_1(M) \subseteq B$  and  $Cs_2 \subseteq f_2(M) \subseteq C$ . But  $B$  and  $C$  are submodules of  $A$ , we have  $f_1, f_2 \in \text{Hom}_R(M, A)$ . By assumption,  $f_1(M)$  and  $f_2(M)$  are essential submodules of  $A$  and thus  $f_1(M) \cap f_2(M) \neq \{0\}$ . Since  $f_1(M) \subseteq B$  and  $f_2(M) \subseteq C$ ,  $\{0\} \neq f_1(M) \cap f_2(M) \subseteq B \cap C$  and thus  $B \cap C \neq \{0\}$ . Therefore  $A$  is a uniform module. □

**Proposition 2.17.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be right  $R$ -modules with  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) \neq \{0\}$ . Then  $N$  is a simple module if and only if  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module with every nonzero  $R$ -homomorphism from  $M$  to  $N$  an epimorphism.*

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) It is obvious.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $A$  be a nonzero submodule of  $N$ . Since  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic module, there

exist  $s \in S$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  such that  $As \subseteq f(M) \subseteq A$ . By assumption,  $f(M) = N$  and thus  $A = N$ . Hence  $N$  is a simple module.  $\square$

A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to satisfy (\*\*)-property if every non-zero endomorphism of  $M$  is an epimorphism (see [16]).

**Proposition 2.18.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  is a simple module if and only if  $M$  is an  $S$ -cyclic module with (\*\*)-property.*

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) It is clear.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $M$  is an  $S$ -cyclic module with (\*\*)-property. Let  $N$  be a non-zero submodule of  $M$ . By assumption, there exist  $s \in S$  and  $f \in \text{End}_R(M)$  such that  $Ns \subseteq f(M) \subseteq N$ . Since  $M$  satisfies (\*\*)-property,  $f$  is an  $R$ -epimorphism and thus  $f(M) = M$ . So we have  $M = N$ . Hence  $M$  is a simple module.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.19.** *If a right  $R$ -module  $M$  is an  $S$ -cyclic module with (\*\*)-property, then  $\text{End}_R(M)$  is a division ring.*

### 3. $S$ - $M$ -principally injective modules

In this section, we introduce a general form of  $M$ -principally injectivity.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $S$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of a ring  $R$  and  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module. A right  $R$ -module  $N$  is called an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module (for short  $S$ - $M$ - $p$ -injective module) if every  $R$ -homomorphism from  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$  to  $N$  can be extended to an  $R$ -homomorphism from  $M$  to  $N$ .  $M$  is called a quasi  $S$ -principally injective module (for short quasi  $S$ - $p$ -injective module), if  $M$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module. In the case of a ring  $R$ ,  $R$  is called a quasi  $S$ -principally injective module if  $R_R$  is a quasi  $S$ -principally-injective module. In the case  $S = \{1\}$ ,  $N$  is called an  $M$ -principally-injective module that one refer to [15].

**Example 3.2.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  be the set of all integers modulo  $p$  where  $p$  is a prime number,

$$R = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p \right\}, N = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \right\}, \text{ and} \\ M = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & b \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p \right\}.$$

It is clear that  $R$  is a ring under matrix addition and matrix multiplication and  $M$ ,  $N$  are right  $R$ -modules. Let  $S$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ . Then

- (1)  $N$  is an  $S$ - $R_R$ -principally injective module.

(2)  $M$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module.

**Proof.** It is easy to prove.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module and  $N$  be an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$ . If  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module, then  $N$  is a direct summand of  $M$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module. Consider the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{i_N} M \xrightarrow{\pi_N} M/N \rightarrow 0$  where  $i_N$  is the inclusion map from  $N$  to  $M$  and  $\pi_N$  is the canonical projection from  $M$  to  $M/N$ . Since  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module, there exists an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\alpha$  from  $M$  to  $N$  such that  $\alpha \circ i_N = i_N$ . So the short exact sequence splits. Hence  $N$  is a direct summand of  $M$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $M$ ,  $N$  and  $K$  be right  $R$ -modules with  $N \cong K$ . If  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module, then  $K$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module. Let  $A$  be an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$  and  $\alpha$  be an  $R$ -homomorphism from  $A$  to  $K$ . Since  $N \cong K$ , there exists an isomorphism  $f$  from  $K$  to  $N$ . But  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module, there exists an  $R$ -homomorphism  $g$  from  $M$  to  $N$  such that  $g \circ i_A = f \circ \alpha$  where  $i_A$  is the inclusion on  $A$ . So  $f^{-1} \circ g \circ i_A = f^{-1} \circ f \circ \alpha = \alpha$ . Therefore  $K$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be right  $R$ -modules and  $A$  be a direct summand of  $N$ . If  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module, then*

- (1)  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module.
- (2)  $N/A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module. Since  $A$  is a direct summand of  $N$ , there exists a submodule  $A'$  of  $N$  such that  $N = A \oplus A'$ .

(1) Let  $B$  be an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$  and  $\alpha$  be an  $R$ -homomorphism from  $B$  to  $A$ . Since  $N$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module, there exists an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\beta$  from  $M$  to  $N$  such that  $\beta \circ i_B = i_A \circ \alpha$  where  $i_A$  and  $i_B$  are inclusion maps on  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. Let  $\pi_A$  be a canonical projection of  $N = A \oplus A'$  to  $A$ . Then  $\pi_A \circ \beta \circ i_B = \pi_A \circ i_A \circ \alpha = \alpha$ . Therefore  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module.

(2) By (1),  $A'$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module. Since  $A' \cong N/A$  and by Proposition 3.4,  $N/A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $A$  and  $M$  be right  $R$ -modules. Then  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module if and only if  $A$  is an  $S$ - $X$ -principally injective module for every  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule  $X$  of  $M$ .*

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module. Let  $X$  be an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$ ,  $B$  an  $S$ - $X$ -cyclic submodule of  $X$  and  $\varphi$  an  $R$ -homomorphism from  $B$  to  $A$ . By Proposition 2.8,  $B$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$ . But  $A$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module, there exists  $\bar{\varphi} : M \rightarrow N$  such that  $\bar{\varphi} \circ i_B = \varphi$  where  $i_B$  is an inclusion map on  $B$ . Hence  $A$  is an  $S$ - $X$ -principally injective module.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Clear. □

By A. Haghany and M. R. Vedadi [7], a right  $R$ -module  $M$  is called *co-Hopfian* (*Hopfian*) if every injective (surjective) endomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$  is an automorphism. According to [9], a right  $R$ -module  $M$  is called *directly finite*, if it is not isomorphic to a proper direct summand of  $M$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** ([9, Proposition 1.25]) *An  $R$ -module  $M$  is directly finite if and only if  $f \circ g = I$  implies  $g \circ f = I$  for any  $f, g \in \text{End}_R(M)$ .*

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $M$  be a quasi  $S$ -principally injective directly finite module. Then  $M$  is a co-Hopfian module.*

**Proof.** Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be an  $R$ -monomorphism. Since  $M$  is a quasi  $S$ -principally injective module and an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$ , there exists  $g : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $g \circ f = I_M$  where  $I_M$  is an identity map on  $M$ . By Lemma 3.7,  $f \circ g = I_M$  and thus  $f$  is an epimorphism. Therefore  $M$  is co-Hopfian. □

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $M$  be a quasi  $S$ -principally injective and Hopfian module. Then  $M$  is a co-Hopfian module.*

#### 4. $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective modules

In this section, we introduce a general form of pseudo- $M$ -principally injectivity.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $S$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of a ring  $R$  and  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module. A right  $R$ -module  $N$  is called  *$S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective* (for short  *$S$ -pseudo- $M$ - $p$ -injective*) if every monomorphism from  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$  to  $N$  can be extended to an  $R$ -homomorphism from  $M$  to  $N$ . The module  $M$  is called *quasi  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective* (for short quasi  *$S$ -pseudo- $p$ -injective*) if  $M$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module. In the case of a ring  $R$ ,  $R$

is called *quasi S-pseudo-principally injective* if  $R_R$  is a quasi  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective module.

In the case  $S = \{1\}$ ,  $N$  is called a *pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module* that one refer to [5].

**Example 4.2.** Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module. Then every  $S$ - $M$ -principally injective module is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $M$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  be right  $R$ -modules such that  $A \cong B$ .*

- (1) *If  $A$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module, then  $B$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module.*
- (2) *If  $M$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $A$ -principally injective module, then  $M$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $B$ -principally injective module.*

**Proof.** Straightforward. □

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $A$  and  $M$  be right  $R$ -modules. Then  $A$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module if and only if  $A$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $X$ -principally injective module for every  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule  $X$  of  $M$ .*

**Proof.** It is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.6. □

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be right  $R$ -modules. If  $N$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module and  $A$  is a direct summand of  $M$ , then  $N$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $A$ -principally injective module.*

**Proof.** By Proposition 4.4. □

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module. Every direct summand of an  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module.*

**Proof.** Let  $N$  be an  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module and  $A$  be a direct summand of  $N$ . Let  $B$  be an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$  and  $\varphi$  be a monomorphism from  $B$  to  $A$ . Since  $N$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module, there exists an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\alpha$  from  $M$  to  $N$  such that  $\alpha \circ i_B = i_A \circ \varphi$  where  $i_A$  and  $i_B$  are inclusion maps on  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. So  $\pi_A \circ \alpha \circ i_B = \pi_A \circ i_A \circ \varphi = \varphi$  where  $\pi_A$  is a canonical projection of  $N$  to  $A$ . Therefore  $A$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module. □

Two right  $R$ -modules  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are relatively (or mutually)  $S$ -pseudo principally injective, if  $M_1$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M_2$ -principally injective module and  $M_2$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M_1$ -principally injective module.

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be right  $R$ -modules. If  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  is a quasi  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective module, then  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are relatively  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective modules.*

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be an  $S$ - $M_2$ -cyclic submodule of  $M_2$  and  $\varphi$  a monomorphism from  $A$  to  $M_1$ . Define  $\psi : A \rightarrow M_1 \oplus M_2$  by  $\psi(a) = (\varphi(a), a)$  for all  $a \in A$ . It is clear that  $\psi$  is well-defined and an  $R$ -homomorphism. Since  $\varphi$  is a monomorphism,  $\psi$  is a monomorphism from  $A$  to  $M_1 \oplus M_2$ . But  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  is a quasi  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective module, there exists an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\alpha$  from  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  to  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  such that  $\alpha \circ i_{M_2} \circ i_A = \psi$  where  $i_A$  is an inclusion map on  $A$  and  $i_{M_2}$  is an injection map on  $M_2$ . So  $\pi_{M_1} \circ \alpha \circ i_{M_2} \circ i_A = \pi_{M_1} \circ \psi = \varphi$  where  $\pi_{M_1}$  is a projection map from  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  to  $M_1$ . Hence  $M_1$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M_2$ -principally injective module. Similarly, we can prove that  $M_2$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M_1$ -principally injective module.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.8.** *Let  $M$  and  $N_i$  be right  $R$ -modules for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . If  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module, then  $N_i$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module. Let  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $A$  be an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$  and  $\varphi$  be a monomorphism from  $A$  to  $N_i$ . Since  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module and  $i_{N_i} \circ \varphi$  is a monomorphism from  $A$  to  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$  where  $i_{N_i}$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  injective map from  $N_i$  to  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$ , there exists an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\alpha$  from  $M$  to  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$  such that  $i_{N_i} \circ \varphi = \alpha \circ i_A$  where  $i_A$  is an inclusion map from  $A$  to  $M$ . So  $\pi_{N_i} \circ \alpha \circ i_A = \pi_{N_i} \circ i_{N_i} \circ \varphi = \varphi$  where  $\pi_{N_i}$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  projection map from  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$  to  $N_i$ . Therefore  $N_i$  is an  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective module.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module and  $A$  be an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$ . If  $A$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module, then  $A$  is a direct summand of  $M$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $A$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module. Let  $i_A : A \rightarrow M$  be an inclusion map and  $I_A : A \rightarrow A$  be the identity map. By assumption, there exists an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : M \rightarrow A$  such that  $\varphi \circ i_A = I_A$ . Thus the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow M$  splits. So  $\text{Im}(i_A) = A$  is a direct summand of  $M$ .  $\square$

A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is called *weakly co-Hopfian* ([7]), if any injective endomorphism  $f$  of  $M$  is essential i.e.,  $f(M) \ll_e M$ .

**Theorem 4.10.** *Let  $M$  be a quasi  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective module.*

- (1) *If  $M$  is a weakly co-Hopfian module, then  $M$  is a co-Hopfian module.*
- (2) *Let  $X$  be an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$ . If  $X$  is an essential submodule of  $M$  and  $M$  is a weakly co-Hopfian module, then  $X$  is a weakly co-Hopfian module.*

**Proof.** (1) Suppose that  $M$  is a weakly co-Hopfian module. Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be an  $R$ -monomorphism. So  $f(M) \cong M$  and thus there exists an isomorphism  $\varphi$  from  $f(M)$  to  $M$ . Let  $A$  be an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$  and  $\alpha : A \rightarrow f(M)$  be an  $R$ -monomorphism. Since  $M$  is an quasi  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective module and  $\varphi \circ \alpha$  is an  $R$ -monomorphism, there exists an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\psi : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $\varphi \circ \alpha = \psi \circ i_A$  where  $i_A$  is an inclusion map from  $A$  to  $M$ . So  $\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi \circ i_A = \varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \alpha = \alpha$ . We have that  $f(M)$  is an  $S$ -pseudo- $M$ -principally injective module. By Lemma 4.9,  $f(M)$  is a direct summand of  $M$ . There exists a submodule  $B$  of  $M$  such that  $M = f(M) \oplus B$  and thus  $f(M) \cap B = 0$ . But  $M$  is a weakly co-Hopfian module,  $B = 0$ . Then  $M = f(M) + B = f(M)$ . So  $f$  is an epimorphism. Therefore  $M$  is a co-Hopfian module.

(2) Suppose that  $X$  is an essential submodule of  $M$  and  $M$  is a weakly co-Hopfian module. Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be an  $R$ -monomorphism. Since  $M$  is an quasi  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective module and  $i_X \circ f$  is a monomorphism where  $i_X : X \rightarrow M$  is an inclusion map, there exists an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $i_X \circ f \circ i_X = \varphi$ . So  $\text{Ker}(\varphi) \cap X = 0$ . But  $X \ll_e M$ ,  $\text{Ker}(\varphi) = 0$ . By [7, Corollary 1.2],  $\varphi(X) \ll_e M$ . Since  $f(X) = \varphi(X)$ , we have  $f(X) \ll_e M$ . But  $f(X) \subseteq X \subseteq M$ , so  $f(X) \ll_e X$ . Therefore  $X$  is a weakly co-Hopfian module.  $\square$

Recall that a right  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be *multiplication* if each submodule  $N$  of  $M$  has the form  $N = MI$  for some ideal  $I$  of  $R$  ([2]).

**Proposition 4.11.** *Let  $M$  be a multiplication quasi  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective module. Then every  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$  is quasi  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective.*

**Proof.** Let  $N$  be an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$ ,  $L$  be an  $S$ - $N$ -cyclic submodule of  $N$  and  $\varphi$  be a monomorphism from  $L$  to  $N$ . So  $L$  is an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$ . But  $M$  is a quasi  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective module, there exists an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\alpha$  from  $M$  to  $M$  such that  $\alpha \circ i_L = \varphi$  where  $i_L$  is an inclusion

map on  $L$ . Since  $M$  is a multiplication module, there exists an ideal  $I$  of  $R$  with  $N = MI$ . Then  $\alpha(N) = \alpha(MI) = \alpha(M)I \subseteq MI = N$  and thus  $\alpha|_N: N \rightarrow N$ . So  $\alpha|_{N \circ i_L} = \varphi$ . Therefore  $N$  is a quasi  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective module.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.12.** *Let  $M$  be a uniform module. Then every quasi  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective module is a quasi  $S$ -principally injective module.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $M$  is a quasi  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective module. Let  $A$  be an  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$  and  $\varphi$  an  $R$ -homomorphism from  $A$  to  $M$ .

Case 1.  $\ker(\varphi) = 0$ . We see that  $\varphi$  is a monomorphism. But  $M$  is a quasi  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective module, there exists  $\bar{\varphi}: M \rightarrow M$  such that  $\bar{\varphi}|_A = \varphi$ .

Case 2.  $\ker(\varphi) \neq 0$ . Since  $M$  is a uniform module,  $\ker(\varphi)$  is an essential submodule of  $M$ . But  $\ker(\varphi) \cap \ker(\varphi + i_A) = 0$  where  $i_A$  is the inclusion map from  $A$  to  $M$ , we have  $\ker(\varphi + i_A) = 0$  and thus  $\varphi + i_A$  is a monomorphism. Since  $M$  is a quasi  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective module, there exists an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\alpha: M \rightarrow M$  such that  $\alpha(a) = (\varphi + i_A)(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ . Choose  $\bar{\varphi} = \alpha - i_M$  where  $I_M$  is an identity map on  $M$ . Then  $\bar{\varphi}(a) = (\alpha - i_M)(a) = \alpha(a) - i_M(a) = \varphi(a) + i_A(a) - I_M(a) = \varphi(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ . We have  $\bar{\varphi}|_A = \varphi$ .

From Case 1 and Case 2, we have that  $M$  is a quasi  $S$ -principally injective module.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.13.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module and  $A$  be a submodule of  $M$ . If  $M$  is a quasi  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective module,  $A$  is an essential and  $S$ - $M$ -cyclic submodule of  $M$ , then every monomorphism  $\varphi: A \rightarrow M$  can be extended to monomorphism in  $End_R(M)$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $M$  is a quasi  $S$ -pseudo-principally injective module, there exists  $\bar{\varphi}: M \rightarrow M$  such that  $\bar{\varphi}|_A = \varphi$ . Since  $A \cap \ker(\bar{\varphi}) = 0$  and  $A$  is an essential submodule of  $M$ ,  $\ker(\bar{\varphi}) = 0$ . Thus  $\bar{\varphi}$  is a monomorphism in  $End_R(M)$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The author is very grateful to the referees for many valuable comments and suggestions which helped to improve the paper.

**Disclosure statement:** The author report that there are no competing interests to declare.

## References

- [1] D. D. Anderson, T. Arabaci, U. Tekir and S. Koc, *On  $S$ -multiplication modules*, Comm. Algebra, 48(8) (2020), 3398-3407.
- [2] A. Barnard, *Multiplication modules*, J. Algebra, 71(1) (1981), 174-178.

- [3] S. Baupradist and S. Asawasamrit, *On fully-M-cyclic modules*, J. Math. Res., 3(2) (2011), 23-26.
- [4] S. Baupradist and S. Asawasamrit, *GW-principally injective modules and pseudo-GW-principally injective modules*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 42 (2018), 521-529.
- [5] S. Baupradist, H. D. Hai and N. V. Sanh, *On pseudo-p-injectivity*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 35(1) (2011), 21-27.
- [6] S. Baupradist, H. D. Hai and N. V. Sanh, *A general form of pseudo-p-injectivity*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 35 (2011), 927-933.
- [7] A. Haghany and M. R. Vedadi, *Modules whose injective endomorphisms are essential*, J. Algebra, 243(2) (2001), 765-779.
- [8] V. Kumar, A. J. Gupta, B. M. Pandeya and M. K. Patel, *M-sp-injective modules*, Asian-Eur. J. Math., 5(1) (2012), 1250005 (11 pp).
- [9] S. H. Mohamed and B. J. Muller, *Continuous and Discrete Modules*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, 147, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [10] W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Yousif, *Principally injective rings*, J. Algebra, 174 (1995), 77-93.
- [11] M. K. Patel and S. Chase, *FI-semi-injective modules*, Palest. J. Math., 11(1) (2022), 182-190.
- [12] M. K. Patel, B. M. Pandeya, A. J. Gupta and V. Kumar, *Quasi principally injective modules*, Int. J. Algebra, 4 (2010), 1255-1259.
- [13] T. C. Quynh and N. V. Sanh, *On quasi pseudo-GP-injective rings and modules*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 37(2) (2014), 321-332.
- [14] N. V. Sanh and K. P. Shum, *Endomorphism rings of quasi principally injective modules*, Comm. Algebra, 29(4) (2001), 1437-1443.
- [15] N. V. Sanh, K. P. Shum, S. Dhompongsa and S. Wongwai, *On quasi-principally injective modules*, Algebra Colloq., 6(3) (1999), 269-276.
- [16] W. M. Xue, *On Morita duality*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 49(1) (1994), 35-45.
- [17] Z. Zhu, *Pseudo QP-injective modules and generalized pseudo QP-injective modules*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra, 14 (2013), 32-43.

**Samruam Baupradist**

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Faculty of Science  
Chulalongkorn University  
Bangkok 10330, Thailand  
e-mail: samruam.b@chula.ac.th