ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Does Serum Delta FSH Level Provided with High Starting Dose FSH Differ Among Various Ovarian Responses?

Işıl KASAPOĞLU¹, Kiper ASLAN¹, Cihan ÇAKIR², Göktan KUŞPINAR², Berrin AVCI², Berke MUSTAFA ORAL¹, Gürkan UNCU¹

¹ Bursa Uludag University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Bursa, Türkiye.

² Bursa Uludag University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Histology and Embryology, Bursa, Türkiye.

ABSTRACT

The objective of this study is to evaluate whether serum delta FSH levels (the percentage difference of serum FSH between antagonist starting day and basal serum FSH level) differ between patients with different COH responses (poor, suboptimal response and normoresponders) who stimulated with a high (300 IU) fixed Recombinant FSH dose during flexible antagonist cycles. This study is a retrospective cross sectional cohort study conducted in a tertiary ART Center. 122 women were evaluated, of which, 51 were poor responders, 52 had suboptimal response and 19 had normal response. The primary outcome is to evaluate the spot serum FSH levels on the first day of GnRH antagonist dose administration and the delta FSH levels between groups. Basal serum FSH levels differed significantly between (7[5.2-8.6], 5.7[4.6-7.2], 4.8[4.1-5.3] poor, suboptimal and normoresponders respectively; p<0.001). Median spot serum FSH level on the antagonist starting day was significantly lower in normoresponders than poor and suboptimal responses (p=0.001 and p=0.025). Delta serum FSH levels did not differ significantly between groups (p=0.001 and p=0.019). Delta serum FSH levels were positively correlated with the response to COH (r=0.24, p=0.008). Although Delta serum FSH percentage did not differ between groups, normoresponder patients had a better response to COH. In conclusion, poor responders are not positively affected by a high dose of FSH exposure due to the fact that poor responders have a limited number of antral follicles that have already been exposed to high levels of FSH.

Keywords: Serum FSH levels. Controlled ovarian hyperstimulation. IVF. ICSI. Ovarian reserve.

Yüksek Doz Başlangıç FSH ile Sağlanan Serum FSH Düzeyi Artışı Over Yanıtlarına Göre Farklılık Gösterir mi?

ÖZET

Çalışmanın amacı, antagonist kontrollü ovarian simülasyon (KOH) sikluslarında yüksek (300 IU) sabit rFSH dozu ile uyarılan ve farklı yanıtları (zayıf, suboptimal yanıt veya normal yanıt) olan hastalar arasında, antagonistin başlatıldığı gün serum FSH düzeyleri ve serum FSH seviyeleri, normal yanıtlı verenlerde zayıf, suboptimal ve normal yanıt gruplar; p<0,001). Antagonist başlangıç gününe kadar serum FSH düzeyindeki artış miktarı gruplar arasında anlamlı farklık göstermedi (p=0,001 ve p=0,025). Antagonist başlangıç gününe kadar serum FSH düzeyindeki artış miktarı gruplar arasında anlamlı farklık göstermedi (p=0,39). Normal yanıt veren grupta, zayıf ve suboptimal yanıtlı grupları kaşılaştırıldığında, KOH' a yanıt oranı anlamlı derecede yüksekti (p<0,001 ve p=0,019). Antagonist başlangıç gününe kadar serum FSH düzeyindeki artış oranı, KOH yanıtı ile pozitif korelasyon gösterdi (r=0,24, p=0,008). Sonuç olarak, serum FSH düzeyinde başlangıçtan itibaren artış oranı gruplar arasında farklılık göstermese de, normal yanıt veren hastaların KOH' a yanıt verene oranı daha yüksekti. Bu bulgular şunu desteklemektedir; zayıf yanıt verenler, daha yüksek FSH başlangıç fSH seviyeleri nedeniyle zaten ilerlemiş olan küçük antral foliküllerden oluşan sınırlı bir havuza sahiptir ve daha yüksek FSH başlangıç dozlarından yararlanamayabilir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Serum FSH düzeyleri. Kontrollü over stimülasyonu. IVF. ICSI. Over rezervi.

Date Received: May 12, 2024 Date Accepted: October 10, 2024

Dr. Işıl KASAPOĞLU Bursa Uludag University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Bursa, Türkiye. Phone: 0224 295 25 42 E-mail: kasapogluisil@hotmail.com Authors' ORCID Information:

Işil KASAPOĞLU: 0000-0002-1953-2475 Kiper ASLAN: 0000-0002-9277-7735 Cihan ÇAKIR: 0000-0002-8332-7353 Göktan KUŞPINAR: 0000-0002-0338-8368 Berrin AVCI: 0000-0001-8135-5468 Berke MUSTAFA ORAL: 0000-0001-5362-0711 Gürkan UNCU: 0000-0001-7660-8344 The aim of controlled ovarian hyper-stimulation (COH) is to promote multifollicular growth By the use of gonadotropins. For this purpose, increased and sustained serum FSH concentrations during stimulation cycles exceed the physiologic threshold and consequently, achieving multifollicular growth^{1,2}.

While promoting multifollicular growth, the method to ensure an ideal response to controlled ovarian stimulation still remains a matter of debate. Although ovarian response to gonadotropins is not uniform among women, a recent study³ have emphasized that 8 to 15 oocytes per cycle are required to obtain optimal responses associated with live births, with little variations in GnRH antagonist cycles⁴. Both poor and hyper-responses are associated with higher cycle cancellation rates and are both undesirable treatment outcomes⁵. Thats why the focus of treatment individualization has been mainly focused on FSH dose adjustment.

The essential therapeutic component leading to follicular growth is the follicular stimulating hormone (FSH)⁶ FSH dose individualization based on an ovarian reserve test could theoretically improve IVF/ICSI treatment outcome.

Correlations between FSH doses and follicular recruitment during COH cycles have been defined in the literature⁷. Although correlations between FSH dose and ovarian response have been studied in a number of studies, there are limited data evaluating the effects of serum FSH levels on ovarian response⁸. Correlation between FSH dose Administration and achieved serum FSH concentrations are not clear. The relationship between too high serum FSH concentrations above the physiological FSH threshold and the ovarian response is another unknown.

The aim of this study was therefore to assess whether serum delta FSH levels differ between poor, suboptimal and normal responders to a fixed daily dose of recombinant(rec) FSH dose in flexible antagonist cycles. FSH dose administration is kept as a constant by using a high (300 IU) but fixed rFSH dose for all patients. In addition, the increment rate in serum FSH levels and its correlation with ovarian response to COH will be a secondary outcome for this study.

The question we are trying to find an answer to is: 'Who would benefit from a higher starting FSH dose during a controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH) cycle, poor responders or normoresponders?'.

Material and Method

This retrospective cohort study was approved by the research ethics committee of the local institutional review board of the University (2017-19/32). The participants were recruited from a Tertiary University

Hospital ART Center, between May 2017- January 2018.

Women aged < 39 years with BMI < 35 kg/m² and serum FSH <10 IU/L, E2 < 80 pg/ml on cycle day (CD) 2 who underwent GnRH flexible antagonist cycles and stimulated with a fixed high dose of 300 IU recombinant FSH (rFSH) were included.

Exclusion criteria were COH cycles cancelled before oocyte pick up, cycles with gonadotropin dose alteration, patients with hypogonadotropic gonadism, cycles lack data for serum samples on CD2 and on GnRH antagonist starting day and patients with >15 oocytes retrieved were excluded.

Stimulation protocol

The total number of antral follicles measured between 8-10 mm in diameter on both ovaries was recorded for each participant on the starting day of COH (CD2). Flexible GnRH antagonist protocol with recombinant FSH was used for COH in a daily dose of 300 IU. From stimulation day 5 and subsequently, follicular growth was assessed. When at least one follicle reached 14 mm in diameter, 0.25 mg/0.ml ganirelix administered onward daily. When at least one follicle of ≥ 18 mm and 2 follicles of ≥ 17 mm in diameter were visualized, final oocyte maturation was induced by administrating 250 micrograms/0.5 ml of rhCG s.c. Thirty-four / thirty-six hours later oocyte pick-up was performed.

Hormonal assay

Basal (cd2) FSH, estradiol(E2), LH and anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) levels were analysed for all the participants. After starting COH, blood samples were taken on the GnRH antagonist starting day for serum FSH levels, E2 levels and also for serum progesterone and E2 on the day of hCG trigger.

COH response assessment

Patients with retrieved oocytes number less than 5 are defined as the poor response group, suboptimal response was defined as the retrieval of 5-9 oocytes and rest of the patients who had 10-15 oocytes retrieved formed the normoresponder group.

Outcome measure

Primary outcome is the serum FSH levels on the first day of GnRH antagonist dose administration and the delta FSH percentage level (which is calculated as; serum FSH level on the day of first GnRH antagonist dose administrated – CD2 serum FSH level / CD2 serum FSH level x100 and expressed as a percentage) between the groups.

Secondary outcomes are correlations between serum delta FSH percentage and cycle outcomes (retrieved

Serum FSH Increment and COH Response

oocyte number and the rate of COH response, P and E2 levels on hCG trigger day). The rate of response to COH is calculated as; retrieved oocyte number / AFC x 100 and expressed as a percentage. The rate of response to COH should be evaluated as a clinical performance indicator as many parameters which had been evaluated as laboratory indicators in the Vienna concensus⁹.

Statistical analysis:

Study data were summarized using descriptive statistics values (median with %25-%75 percentile for categorical variables). Statistical analyses used the the Kruskal–Wallis (to compare more than two groups) and Mann–Whitney U (for comparison of ranks between two groups) non-parametric tests. For the correlations of categorical variables analyses, Spearman Rho test was performed. All the analysess were performed using the SPSS software package for Mac (Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 23.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). A two-tailed p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Of 122 women, 51 were categorized as poor responders, 52 had suboptimal response and 19 had normal response. Baseline characteristics for the 3 groups are shown in Table I.

Table I. Patient b	aseline c	character	rist	ics
--------------------	-----------	-----------	------	-----

	Poor Response (< 5 oocytes) (n=51)	Suboptimal Response (4< oocytes<10) (n=52)	Normal Response (9< oocytes<16) (n=19)	p value
Age (year)	35.0 (33.0- 38.0)	34.0 (32.0-37.0)	34.0 (32.0-35.0)	0.15ª
BMI (kg/m2)	26.0 (22.8- 29.0)	25.15 (22.0-28.5)	27.0 (22.0-32.0)	0.9ª
Duration of infertility (years)	7.0 (4.0-11.0)×	7.0 (4.0-11.0)×	6.0 (4.0-11.0)×	0.72ª
Diagnosis				
Poor ovarian reserve (POR)	43 (84.3%)	38 (73.1%)	9 (47.4%)	
Male Factor	6 (11.8%)	3 (5.8%)	5 (26.3%)	
Unexplained	2 (3.9%)	6 (11.5%)	4 (21.05%)	
PCOS	-	2 (3.8%)	1 (5.3%)	
Endometriosis	-	3 (5.8%)	-	
Baseline AMH	0,76 (0.26-1.4) ^x	1,26 (0.75-2.0) ^y	2.38 (1.1-3.1) ^z	<0.001ª
AFC	4.0 (3.0-7.0)×	7.0 (4.0-9.0) ^y	8.0 (5.0-12.0) ^{y,z}	<0.001ª

Data are given as Median (%25-%75 percentile)

^aThe Kruskal–Wallis test (for comparison more than two groups) ^{x,y,z} The different letters show statistically significance (The Mann– Whitney U test for comparison of ranks between two groups) A significant difference was found in serum AMH levels (μ g/L), this was due to the difference between suboptimal response group and poor response group (1,26 [0.75-2.0] versus 0.76 [0.26-1.4] respectively ;p= 0.005) and suboptimal versus normal response group (1,26 [0.75-2.0] versus 2.38 [1.1-3.1] respectively, p= 0.007). A significant difference was also found between groups for AFC. Poor responders had significantly lower AFC compared to suboptimal and normal response groups (P<0.001 and p<0.001) (Table I).

Controlled ovarian stimulation (COH) characteristics and serum level results for hormone parameters are shown in Table II.

Table	II.	Controlled	ovarian	stimulation	(COH)
		characteristi	ics and se	rum level re	sults for
		hormone pa	rameters		

	Poor Response (< 4 oocytes) (n=51)	Suboptimal Response (4< oocytes<9) (n=52)	Normal Response (10< oocytes<15) (n=19)	p value
Baseline FSH	7.0 (5.2-8.6)×	5.7 (4.6-7.2) ^y	4.8× (4.1-5.3) ^z	<0.001 ª
Baseline LH	3.4 (1.8-3.4)	3.45 (1.5-3.5)	2.6 (1.2-2.6)	0.09ª
Baseline E ₂	47.0 (35.0- 59.0)	43.0 (34.0- 55.0)	47.0 (35.0- 56.0)	0.85ª
Day of first antagonist	8.0 (6.0-9.0)	7.0 (6.0-8.0)	8.0 (6.0-9.0)	0.8ª
Antagonist day E ₂	227.0 (123.0- 356.0) ^x	379.5 (180.0- 710.0) ^y	399.5 (320.0- 1030.0) ^y	<0.001 ª
Antagonist day FSH	18.0 (14.8- 21.0)×	16.8 (13.6- 20.1)×	14.5 (11.6- 16.8) ^y	0.004 ª
Gonadotropin dose till to antagonist day	2100.0 (1800.0- 2400.0)	1800.0 (1500.0- 2100.0)	2100.0 (1800.0- 2400.0)	0.63ª
Total Gonadotropin dose	3000.0 (2400.0- 3450.0)	3000.0 (2700.0- 3300.0)	3300.0 (3000.0- 3600.0)	0.24ª
hCG days	11.0 (8.0-11.0)	11.0 (8.0- 11.0)	11.0 (9.0-11.0)	0.91ª
hCG day P₄	0.3 (0.2-0.6) ^x	0.5 (0.3-0.8) ^y	0.6 (0.3-0.9) ^y	0.002 ª
hCG day E₂	507.0 (292.0- 892.0) ^x	980.0× (761.0- 1783.0) ^y	1483.0 (937.0- 2471.0) ^z	<0.001ª
Rate of increment in serum FSH levels(%)	147.0 ^x	188.0×	190.0×	0.39ª
Retrieved total oocyte number	3(2-4) ×	7(6-8) ^y	11(10-13) ^z	<0.001ª
COH success (Oocyte/AFC x100) (%)	57.14% ×	100.0 % y	144.4 % ^z	<0.001ª

Data are given as Median (%25-%75 percentile)

^aThe Kruskal–Wallis test (for comparison more than two groups) ^{x,y,z} The different letters show statistically significance (The Mann– Whitney U test for comparison of ranks between two groups)

P values were found as p=0.85 and p=0.09, respectively for baseline serum estradiol and LH levels between the groups. Baseline (cd 2) serum FSH levels (IU/L) differed significantly between all three groups (7[5.2-8.6] versus 5.7[4.6-7.2] versus 4.8[4.1-

5.3], in poor, suboptimal and normal response groups respectively; p<0.001) (Table II). No significant differences were found for the starting day of GnRH antagonist (p=0.8). Also r FSH doses used up to antagonist starting day and total used rFSH doses were not different between the groups (p=0,63 and p=0.24, respectively).

Median serum FSH level on the antagonist starting day was significantly lower in the normoresponders compared to poor and suboptimal response groups (p=0.001 and p=0.025). For normoresponder, poor and suboptimal groups the median FSH levels were 14.5 IU/L(11.6-16.8), 18 IU/L (14.8-21) and 16.8 IU/L (13.6-20.1), respectively (p=0.004). The rate of increase in serum FSH levels (delta FSH percentage) until the antagonist starting day was not significantly different between groups (p=0.39) (Table II).

As expected, retrieved total oocyte numbers were higher for the normoresponder group compared to poor and suboptimal response groups (p<0.001 and p<0.001). The median rate of response to COH was significantly higher for the normoresponder group compared to poor and suboptimal groups (p<0.001and p=0.019) (Table II).

Rate of increase in serum FSH levels (delta FSH percentage) did not show correlation with initial serum AMH levels overall (r=0.036, p= 0.69). The increase rate in serum FSH levels until the antagonist starting day was positively correlated with the response to COH (r=0.24, p=0.008) (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Scatter plot COH response and Serum FSH Increment Rate. Correlation r=0.24, p=0.008, $r^2 = 0.022$.

Delta serum FSH percentage was also positively correlated with serum progesterone and estradiol levels on the day of hCG administration (respectively; r=0.21, p=0.021 and r=0.21, p=0.022).

Discussion and Conclusion

In our study, we found out that spot serum FSH concentrations measured on the GnRH antagonist starting day with a fixed high dose of rFSH, were significantly lower in the group of women with normal response to stimulation. Based on the total number of oocytes retrieved following stimulation, actual ovarian reserve appears to be a more important determinant rather than serum FSH concentrations.

There are limited studies investigating serum FSH concentrations during COH cycles. A study conducted with women who were supposed to have a normal ovarian reserve stimulated with different doses of rFSH, reported a significantly higher serum FSH concentrations with higher doses of gonadotropins⁸. However, there was no difference between groups regarding the numbers of mature oocytes and embryos achieved. In our study women with different ovarian response whom received a fixed, high dose of rFSH, poor and suboptimal responders showed higher serum FSH concentrations when compared to normoresponders. In another recent study, although serum FSH concentrations were similar on the trigger day among poor responders and normal responders who received gonadotropins at the same dose (150 IU), significantly lower number of oocytes were retrieved among poor responders. This could indicate that the serum FSH concentration is not the primary contributing factor for yield oocyte number¹⁰.

In our study, serum FSH concentrations were measured during the first phase of the cycle follicular phase, before the administration of antagonists, unlike previous studies. The practical rationale is that endogenous serum FSH levels might be suppressed by the antagonist. Therefore, serum FSH monitoring is warranted during early phases, and can be useful in determination of COH response or determination of the doses to be administered. In a previous study, gonadotropin dose adjustment has been done in order to prevent poor responses. However, no improvements were observed in cycle cancellation rates and in the number of oocytes recruited¹¹. Therefore, adjustment during the late periods of the cycle may not bring the desired benefits.

A recent study investigated associations between serum FSH concentrations in the predetermined 7th day of the cycle and COH outcomes, in a patient subgroup who received various rFSH doses (dose range: 200-300 IU); serum FSH concentrations above the cut-off value of 22 IU were found to be associated with poor response¹². Additionally, this study underlined a negative correlation between serum FSH levels and the numbers of recruited oocytes and

Serum FSH Increment and COH Response

embryos. However, delta serum FSH levels were not evaluated in none of the studies mentioned above.

Studies on pharmacokinetics of exogenous FSH demonstrated that serum FSH levels were determined not only by the doses administered but also by the body weight¹³. In our study, no significant intergroup differences were observed in BMI scores.

In tailored dose studies, using <11 AFC as cut-off to predict poor responders, particularly the counts of antral follicles 2 to 10 mm in diameter, were assessed by FSH doses of 150, 225 and 450 IU per day, and the administration of FSH at higher doses, for poor responders, dose increments did not provide any benefit for live birth Rate and were found to be associated with unnecessarily increased treatment $costs^{14}$. The cut-off value of <11 is chosen in this study for AFC to predict poor responders which is significantly higher than our cut-off value. Considering higher rates of FSH receptor binding in patients with good ovarian reserves and adequate AFC, it is inevitable to wonder whether some dose increments could improve parameters of response to COH in those patients with better ovarian reserve rather than poor responders? We could not know the percentage of antral follicles which will respond to COH cycles.

As Vienna consensus aimed to create laboratory performance indicators for COH results, COH response rates have not been evaluated clinically⁹. To evaluate COH response success rates between groups, we calculated the ratio of retrieved total oocytes to AFC as percentage. Although there is a similar rate of increment for the serum FSH levels between the groups, COH response rate was higher for the normoresponder group in our study population. However randomized, controlled studies are needed to provide a definitive response to this question.

A comparative study of higher doses including 450 IU and 600 IU in patients with low ovarian reserve did not demonstrate any benefits associated with dose increments¹⁵. А more recent meta-analysis emphasized that it was impossible to suggest that dose increments were beneficial in poor responders, due to heterogeneity and different cut-off values used in those studies¹⁶.

In our study, significant differences were observed between 3 groups for the baseline FSH concentrations. All of the studies performed with serum FSH levels up to date, evaluated spot serum FSH levels, but as serum FSH levels could be dependent on initial values, we also evaluated the delta serum FSH percentage levels. In our study, the rate of increment in serum FSH levels was found significantly correlated with COH response parameters.

In spite of similar delta serum FSH percentages observed between tdifferent groups, a better COH cycle success is achieved in normoresponder group (144.4%). This could be explained with the presence of FSH sensitive secondary or small antral follicles (2-5 mm) that could not be counted during the initial ultrasonography¹⁷. Due to higher initial baseline FSH levels within the poor responders, small antral follicles (2-5 mm) which have been already advanced to antral follicles (8-10 mm) would not respond to recombinant FSH. These findings also support that normoresponders with normal ovarian reserve and secondary follicles or small antral follicles, could benefit from a higher starting FSH dose.

Additionally, several limitations should be mentioned. Compared to the poor and suboptimal responders, the sample size of the normoresponders was too small to be discussed. Also, exclusion of cancelled cycles and inclusion of only flexible antagonist cycles could be additional limitations.

In general, the results of this study show that similar rate of increase in serum FSH levels between different COH response patients support that actual ovarian reserve appears to be a more important determinant rather than serum FSH concentrations, as a parameter of response to COH cycles. Limited studies have been conducted to assess serum FSH concentrations and COH cycle outcomes to date and in this study, our results demonstrated higher spot serum FSH levels and excessive amounts of circulating FSH would not reflect an appropriate direct relationship between COH response and FSH levels. Since our study is a retrospective study, unrecognized biases should be considered. These issues should be studied in a larger trial with poor responders and a true dose comparison design.

Ethics Committee Approval Information:

Approving Committee: Bursa Uludag University Faculty of Medicine Clinical Research Ethics Committee Approval Date: 11.12.2017 Decision No: 2017-19/32 **Researcher Contribution Statement:** Idea and design: G.U., I.K.; Data collection and processing: I.K., K.A., B.A.; Analysis and interpretation of data: I.K., G.K., C.Ç.; Writing of significant parts of the article: I.K., K.A., G.U. Support and Acknowledgement Statement: This study received no financial support. **Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors of the article have no conflict of interest declarations.

References

- 1. Schipper I, Hop WCJ, Fauser BCJM. The follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) threshold/window concept examined by different interventions with exogenous FSH during the follicular phase of the normal menstrual cycle: Duration, rather than magnitude, of FSH increase affects follicle development. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1998; 83:1292-98.
- Fauser BC, Van Heusden A. Manipulation of human ovarian 2. function: physiological concepts and clinical consequences. Endocr Rev. 1997;28: 71-106.

- Sunkara SK, Rittenberg V, Raine-Fenning N, et al. Association between the number of eggs and live birth in IVF treatment: An analysis of 400 135 treatment cycles. Hum Reprod. 2011;26: 1768–74.
- Hamdine O, Eijkemans MJ, Lentjes EW, et al. Ovarian response prediction in GnRH antagonist treatment for IVF using anti-Mullerian hormone. Hum Reprod. 2015;30: 170–8.
- Zhen XM, Qiao J, Li R, Wang LN, et al. The clinical analysis of poor ovarian response in in-vitro-fertilization embryotransfer among Chinese couples. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2008; 25: 17–22.
- Shoham Z, Mannaerts B, Insler V, et al. Induction of follicular growth using recombinant human follicle-stimulating hormone in two volunteer women with hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. Fertil Steril. 1993; 59:738–42.
- Mannaerts BMJL, Rombout F, Out HJ, et al. Clinical profiling of recombinant follicle stimulating hormone (rFSH; Puregon): Relationship between serum FSH and efficacy. Hum. Reprod. Update. 1996; 2:153–61.
- Out HJ, Rutherford A, Fleming R, et al. A randomized, doubleblind, multicentre clinical trial comparing starting doses of 150 and 200 IU of recombinant FSH in women treated with the GnRH antagonist ganirelix for assisted reproduction. Hum Reprod. 2004;19: 90–5.
- ESHRE Special Interest Group of Embryology and Alpha Scientists in Reproductive Medicine et al. The Vienna consensus: report of an expert meeting on the development of art laboratory performance indicators. Hum Reprod Open. 2017;(2):1–17.

- Oudshoorn SC, Van Tilborg TC, Hamdine O, et al. Ovarian response to controlled ovarian hyperstimulation: What does serum FSH say? Hum Reprod. 2017;32(8): 1701-9.
- Van Hooff MHA, Alberda AT, Huisman GJ, et al. Doubling the human menopausal gonadotrophin dose in the course of an invitro fertilization treatment cycle in low responders: A randomized study. Hum Reprod. 1993;8:369–73.
- 12 Bentov Y, Burstein E, Firestone C, et al. Can cycle day 7 FSH concentration during controlled ovarian stimulation be used to guide FSH dosing for in vitro fertilization? Reprod Biol Endocrinol. 2013; 22: 11-2.
- Mannaerts BMJL, Rombout F, Out HJ,et al. Clinical profiling of recombinant follicle stimulating hormone (rFSH; Puregon): Relationship between serum FSH and efficacy. Hum. Reprod. Update. 1996; 2:153–61.
- 14. Van Tilborg TC, Oudshoorn SC, Eijkemans MJC, et al. Individualized versus standard FSH dosing in women starting IVF/ICSI: an RCT. Part 1: The predicted poor responder. Hum Reprod. 2017; 32(12): 2506-14.
- Lefebvre J, Antaki R, Kadoch I-J, et al . 450 IU versus 600 IU gonadotropin for controlled ovarian stimulation in poor responders: a randomized controlled trial. Fertil Steril. 2015; 104(6):1419-25.
- Van Tilborg TC, Broekmans FJM, Dólleman M, et al . Individualized follicle-stimulating hormone dosing and in vitro fertilization outcome in agonist downregulated cycles: a systematic review. Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand. 2016;32(12): 2496–505.
- Gonda KJ, Domar AD, Gleicher N, et al. Insights from clinical experience in treating IVF poor responders. Reprod Biomed Online. 2018;36(1):12–9.