

JOIIDA Journal of Learning and Teaching in Digital Age, 2025, 10(1), 87-93 https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/joltida ISSN: 2458-8350 (online)

AGIIOL 

**Research Paper** 

## Revolutionizing Education in Zimbabwe: Stakeholder Perspectives on Strategic AI Integration

Kudzayi Savious Tarisayi\*a, Ronald Manhibib

<sup>a</sup>(ORCID ID: 0000-0003-0086-2420), North West University, South Africa, <u>kudzayit@gmail.com</u> b(ORCID ID: 0000-0002-7004-5066), Bindura University, South Atrica, <u>kudzayit@gmail.com</u> \*\*Commented in the state of the s \*Corresponding author

#### **ARTICLE INFO**

Received: 01 June 2024 Revised: 11 October 2024 Accepted: 15 November 2024

## Keywords:

Artificial intelligence (AI) Zimbabwean higher education Stakeholders' perspectives Collaboration strategies

doi: 10.53850/joltida.1380273

# **INTRODUCTION**

## ABSTRACT

This paper critically examines the transformative potential of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Zimbabwe's higher education system, focusing on how AI can enhance learning outcomes and optimize administrative processes. The study employs a qualitative research approach, gathering insights from key stakeholders in the educational sector to identify the strategic opportunities and challenges posed by AI adoption. Drawing from global examples, particularly in higher education, AI technologies such as intelligent tutoring systems, predictive analytics, and automated grading are discussed for their potential to personalize learning experiences, improve student retention, and streamline institutional management. The paper also delves into the ethical and resource-based constraints surrounding AI implementation, including data privacy concerns and financial limitations, which remain significant barriers in developing contexts like Zimbabwe. Recommendations are provided for strategic AI adoption, emphasizing the alignment with national education goals and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to ensure that AI fosters inclusivity and equity in education. This analysis highlights the need for a comprehensive policy framework to support responsible AI integration, thereby positioning Zimbabwean institutions for future educational innovation.

This paper explores the transformative role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Zimbabwean higher education, specifically examining how AI can enhance educational outcomes and streamline administrative processes. The aim is to analyze stakeholder perspectives on the strategic adoption of AI and its potential to modernize both teaching and learning in the Zimbabwean context. The paper is structured as follows: the introduction provides a comprehensive overview of the research context; the literature review examines existing studies on AI in education, particularly in higher education institutions (HEIs); the methodology explains the research design used to gather data from stakeholders; the results section presents findings derived from qualitative interviews and surveys; the discussion interprets these findings in relation to the current body of literature; and the conclusion offers strategic recommendations for integrating AI into Zimbabwe's educational system.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rapidly reshaping the higher education landscape, particularly through applications that enhance personalized learning and streamline institutional management. AI technologies such as intelligent tutoring systems (ITS), automated grading, and predictive analytics are becoming integral in adapting instructional methods to individual student needs, thereby improving learning outcomes (Crompton & Burke, 2023). Moreover, AI-driven administrative systems are reducing workloads for faculty and staff, enabling more efficient course scheduling, admissions, and student advising (George & Wooden, 2023). The proliferation of AI research highlights its growing importance in education. A recent systematic review by Bond et al. (2024) noted that AI applications in higher education have surged, with adaptive learning systems and personalized education taking precedence. Their findings emphasize the need for increased interdisciplinary collaboration and ethical considerations in AI deployment (Bond et al., 2024). Similarly, Tarisayi (2024) underscored the importance of strategic leadership in the responsible adoption of AI, stressing the need for institutions to align AI tools with broader educational goals while addressing ethical concerns such as data privacy and fairness.

Despite the potential benefits of AI, its adoption is not without challenges. Ethical issues, including bias in algorithms and the transparency of AI-driven decisions, remain major concerns (Opesemowo & Adekomaya, 2024). In addition, many institutions face resource constraints that hinder the effective implementation of AI technologies. For instance, while AI can help in identifying atrisk students and improving graduation rates, the cost of implementing such systems remains a barrier, particularly in resourceconstrained environments like Zimbabwe (George & Wooden, 2023). Considering these challenges, AI's transformative potential can only be fully realized through careful strategic planning and robust stakeholder engagement. As emphasized by Opesemowo and Adekomaya (2024), AI adoption in education must be aligned with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to ensure that it addresses educational inequalities and improves access to quality education. Therefore, a well-defined AI strategy that incorporates ethical frameworks and resource allocation will be critical for Zimbabwean institutions looking to modernize their education systems (Opesemowo & Adekomaya, 2024).

## THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study is grounded in two well-established models: the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) (Davis, 1989) and Diffusion of Innovation (DOI) theory (Rogers, 2003). These frameworks provide complementary perspectives on the adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) in Zimbabwean higher education, examining both the individual and institutional factors that influence AI integration.

TAM offers a robust explanation of the factors driving individual acceptance of new technologies, particularly in educational settings. According to Davis (1989), TAM posits that two primary constructs—perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use—are critical determinants of a user's intention to adopt technology. In the context of AI in higher education, perceived usefulness refers to the extent to which stakeholders believe AI tools will enhance teaching, learning, and administrative processes. Perceived ease of use reflects the degree to which these stakeholders expect AI to be user-friendly and accessible. Both constructs play a critical role in shaping educators' readiness to adopt AI. Research confirms that when educators perceive AI as valuable and manageable, they are more likely to integrate it into their daily practices (Venkatesh & Davis, 2000). In the context of Zimbabwe, where resource constraints may affect perceived ease of use, institutions must focus on training and infrastructural improvements to alleviate user apprehension and improve adoption rates (Venkatesh & Bala, 2008).

DOI theory (Rogers, 2003) complements TAM by broadening the scope of analysis to include the social and organizational processes that influence the diffusion of new technologies across institutions. DOI theory explains the diffusion of innovations through five key attributes: relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, trialability, and observability (Rogers, 2003). In the context of AI adoption in higher education, relative advantage refers to the perceived benefits of AI over existing teaching methods or administrative processes, such as its potential to facilitate personalized learning or streamline assessment procedures. Compatibility relates to how well AI fits within the existing institutional culture and practices, while complexity reflects the perceived difficulty of adopting and using AI tools. Trialability and observability emphasize the importance of being able to test AI tools on a small scale and witness their results before full implementation. DOI theory's emphasis on opinion leaders and innovation champions also aligns with findings from the study, which indicate that the successful adoption of AI often hinges on influential individuals within educational institutions advocating for its use (Rogers, 2003). These champions play a critical role in reducing uncertainty and persuading their peers to embrace AI, as confirmed by Greenhalgh et al. (2004), who highlight the importance of opinion leaders in driving technological change within organizations. Additionally, the innovation-decision process—which includes knowledge, persuasion, decision, implementation, and confirmation stages—helps frame the participants' journey from initial exposure to AI to eventual adoption (Rogers, 2003).

The interplay between TAM and DOI theory offers a comprehensive framework for understanding both individual and collective behaviors regarding AI adoption in Zimbabwean higher education. While TAM focuses on the individual's interaction with technology, DOI expands the lens to capture broader social and organizational dynamics. Recent literature reinforces the relevance of combining these models, particularly in complex, resource-constrained environments like Zimbabwe, where the success of AI integration relies on both individual acceptance and institutional support (Kapoor et al., 2014; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). The combination of TAM and DOI theory provides a robust theoretical foundation for analyzing the adoption of AI tools in educational settings. While TAM helps clarify how individual educators perceive and accept AI technologies, DOI theory highlights the broader structural and cultural factors that facilitate or hinder the diffusion of AI across institutions. Together, these models underscore the importance of addressing both technological and social factors to ensure successful AI integration in Zimbabwean higher education.

## **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

This study employed a qualitative research approach, which is well-suited for exploring complex, context-specific phenomena like the adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) in education (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Qualitative methods enable researchers to gain indepth insights into participants' lived experiences, perspectives, and the social dynamics surrounding AI integration (Denzin & Lincoln, 2011). This approach was particularly valuable in understanding the readiness, challenges, and collaboration strategies that Zimbabwean higher education institutions face in adopting AI technologies. A purposive sampling strategy was employed to select information-rich participants who could provide meaningful insights into AI adoption (Patton, 2015). Ten participants, all academics from different Zimbabwean universities, were chosen based on their attendance at a workshop focused on AI in education. Selecting participants actively engaged with AI ensured that the data gathered would be relevant to the study's objectives, a principle crucial in qualitative research for ensuring credibility and transferability (Palinkas et al., 2015).

Focus groups were chosen as the primary data collection method, as they encourage interaction and the sharing of diverse perspectives, often leading to richer data (Kitzinger, 1995). The group dynamics also allowed participants to build on each other's ideas, revealing deeper insights into the collective readiness for AI adoption and the challenges they encounter (Barbour, 2007). A focus group guide, based on existing literature on AI in education (Luckin et al., 2016; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019), was used to guide the discussions. This ensured that the key themes related to AI readiness, challenges, collaboration, and skill requirements were adequately covered.

Thematic analysis, as outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006), was used to analyse the focus group transcripts. This method involved coding the data inductively to identify emerging patterns without forcing them into pre-existing theoretical frameworks. The themes were refined through iterative rounds of analysis, ensuring that the findings accurately reflected the participants' perspectives. Peer debriefing and member checking were used to enhance the trustworthiness of the data (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). These measures helped mitigate researcher bias and ensured the credibility of the findings. In addition to these methods, triangulation of data from multiple focus groups provided a more robust and comprehensive understanding of the subject matter (Creswell & Poth, 2018). This holistic methodological approach, supported by validated techniques and frameworks, allowed for a nuanced exploration of the complex interplay between AI adoption and the educational ecosystem in Zimbabwean higher education.

## PRESENTATION OF FINDINGS

The following section presents the key findings from the study, focusing on participants' perspectives regarding the adoption and development of artificial intelligence (AI) tools in Zimbabwean higher education. These findings are organized thematically to provide a comprehensive understanding of the readiness, challenges, and collaborative strategies identified by the stakeholders.

#### Readiness for Adopting Artificial Intelligence as End-Users (Consumers)

The analysis of the focus group transcripts reveals a strong sense of readiness and enthusiasm among the participants for adopting artificial intelligence (AI) as end-users or consumers in the educational setting. The participants expressed confidence in their institutions' ability to embrace AI successfully, citing the availability of intellectual capital, human resources, and a determined mindset to harness this technology for the betterment of students.

One participant emphasized, "Our institutions have the intellectual capital and human resources to embrace AI successfully. We are determined to harness this technology for the betterment of our students." This sentiment was echoed by several others, who affirmed their belief that their institutions possess the necessary infrastructure, talent pool, and drive to effectively adopt AI as end-users. The conference showcased a plethora of AI tools that the participants found compelling, as one stated, "The conference showcased a plethora of AI tools that our institutions can readily utilize to enhance teaching and learning." This exposure to practical use cases reinforced their conviction that their institutions meet the minimum requirements to capitalize on AI in education, at least as end-users.

Moreover, the participants expressed confidence in their faculty members' expertise and curiosity to explore and integrate AI tools into their classroom practices. One participant remarked, "Our faculty members have the expertise and curiosity to explore and integrate AI tools into their classroom practices." This suggests a receptive and open-minded attitude towards embracing new technologies, which is crucial for successful AI adoption. The readiness of the institutions to become AI consumers was further reinforced by the insightful discussions and demonstrations during the conference. One participant noted, "The readiness of our institutions to become AI consumers was evident from the insightful discussions during the conference." This indicates that the conference served as a catalyst, providing valuable insights and fostering confidence in their ability to adopt AI as end-users.

The participants' strong sense of readiness to adopt AI tools highlights a proactive educational culture that values innovation. This readiness is grounded in the belief that existing intellectual capital and infrastructure can be leveraged for AI integration. However, deeper analysis suggests that while participants exhibit enthusiasm, this confidence may overlook systemic challenges, such as inconsistent technological infrastructure and varying levels of faculty AI literacy across institutions. To translate this enthusiasm into effective implementation, institutions must ensure equitable resource distribution and provide targeted professional development to empower educators in all departments.

#### **Challenges in Developing Artificial Intelligence Tools**

The analysis of the focus group transcripts highlighted the significant challenges and resource requirements associated with developing artificial intelligence (AI) tools in-house. The participants acknowledge that training sophisticated AI models demands immense computational resources, including high-performance processing power, substantial memory capacity, and high-speed storage systems to handle and manipulate large datasets efficiently. One participant emphasized, "Training sophisticated AI models demands immense processing power and substantial memory capacity to handle large datasets." Another participant added, "High-speed storage systems are imperative for efficiently accessing and manipulating the massive data pools required for AI development." These statements underscore the substantial computational infrastructure required for AI development, which is currently lacking in many institutions in Zimbabwe.

Furthermore, the participants recognized the need for state-of-the-art development environments equipped with the latest software frameworks and debugging tools to facilitate the AI development process. As one participant stated, "Our developers will need state-of-the-art development environments equipped with the latest software frameworks and debugging tools." While acknowledging the substantial resource demands, the participants expressed a willingness to invest in the necessary computational infrastructure to establish their institutions as AI developers. One participant remarked, "While the resource demands are substantial, investing in computational infrastructure is necessary to establish our institutions as AI developers." The participants also

acknowledged the potential need for partnerships or collaborations to access the required resources and expertise. One participant suggested, "Becoming developers of AI tools may require partnerships or collaborations to access the necessary resources and expertise."

While participants demonstrate willingness to develop AI tools in-house, the resource demands are significant and may be underestimated. Developing sophisticated AI models requires more than computational power; it necessitates expertise in machine learning, data science, and software development. Zimbabwean institutions, constrained by limited funding, risk falling behind without strategic investment in both infrastructure and talent. Partnerships with international organizations or leveraging cloud-based AI development platforms could alleviate these challenges. Moreover, institutions should foster AI-focused research programs to cultivate local talent, addressing the skills gap while advancing innovative AI applications tailored to educational needs.

#### Collaborative Approach between AI Tool Developers and End-Users

The analysis of the focus group transcripts highlights the importance of fostering a collaborative approach between AI tool developers and end-users. Both parties recognize the need for close collaboration and knowledge-sharing to ensure the development of effective AI solutions tailored to the specific needs and requirements of educational institutions. Developers acknowledged the necessity of understanding the end-users' specific needs and requirements to tailor the AI tools accordingly. One developer stated, "Effective AI development requires close collaboration between the developers and end-users. We need to understand the specific needs and requirements of our institutions to tailor the tools accordingly."

End-users, on the other hand, expressed their eagerness to be actively involved in the development process, contributing their domain expertise and real-world data to ensure alignment with educational objectives. As one end-user participant remarked, "As end-users, we must be actively involved in the development process, providing our domain expertise and real-world data to ensure the AI tools align with our educational objectives." The importance of a continuous feedback loop between developers and end-users is emphasized by both parties. One developer highlighted, "A continuous feedback loop between developers and end-users is crucial. This collaboration will allow us to iteratively refine and optimize the AI tools for maximum impact."

End-users in this study expressed their readiness to contribute their domain knowledge and provide real-world examples to aid the development of AI tools tailored to their specific educational contexts. As one end-user participant stated, "Our faculty members are ready to contribute their domain knowledge and provide real-world examples to aid the development of AI tools tailored to our specific educational contexts." Both developers and end-users recognized the value of combining their respective expertise and working hand-in-hand to create impactful AI solutions for education. One developer remarked, "By combining our expertise in AI development with the end-users' deep understanding of their domains, we can create truly impactful and transformative AI solutions for education."

The call for a collaborative approach between AI developers and end-users reflects the need for mutual understanding to ensure that AI tools align with institutional objectives. This collaboration is essential for developing user-centric AI solutions that address pedagogical challenges specific to the Zimbabwean context. However, effective collaboration requires structured processes, such as frequent feedback loops and shared accountability between developers and educators. Additionally, fostering interdisciplinary partnerships can facilitate a more holistic integration of AI, where developers are not just technical experts but co-creators in the educational transformation process. Institutions must cultivate environments conducive to sustained collaboration.

#### AI Competencies for End-Users and Developers

The analysis of the focus group transcripts highlighted the need for both end-users and developers to possess specific competencies to effectively leverage and develop artificial intelligence (AI) tools in the educational setting. For end-users, the participants emphasized the importance of developing a strong understanding of AI principles and capabilities to effectively integrate these tools into teaching practices. One end-user participant stated, "As end-users, we need to develop a strong understanding of AI principles and capabilities to effectively integrate these tools into eaching practices."

Additionally, end-users were encouraged to cultivate an inquisitive mindset during the conference, a willingness to experiment with AI tools, and an openness to continuous learning and adapting their pedagogical approaches. As one participant noted, "Educators should cultivate an inquisitive mindset and a willingness to experiment with AI tools to enhance student engagement and learning outcomes." Furthermore, end-users need to develop critical thinking skills to evaluate the outputs of AI tools and ensure they align with educational objectives and ethical considerations. One participant highlighted, "End-users need to develop critical thinking skills to evaluate the outputs of AI tools and ensure they align with educational objectives and ethical considerations."

For developers, the participants emphasize the need for advanced skills in data science, machine learning algorithms, programming languages like Python and R, data preprocessing, feature engineering, and model optimization. One developer stated, "Developers must possess advanced skills in data science, machine learning algorithms, and programming languages like Python and R to build robust AI solutions." Developers are also expected to have strong problem-solving, analytical, and communication skills to tackle complex challenges in AI development, stay up to date with the latest advancements, and effectively collaborate with end-users. As

one participant noted, "Developers require strong communication and interpersonal skills to effectively collaborate with end-users, understand their needs, and translate them into AI solutions."

The competency gap identified in the findings underscores the need for specialized training programs that address both technical and pedagogical dimensions of AI. For end-users, AI literacy goes beyond understanding the technology; it involves critical thinking, ethical considerations, and the ability to apply AI to diverse educational contexts. Developers, on the other hand, must possess advanced technical skills while also fostering communication capabilities to translate complex AI functionalities into practical educational applications. This necessitates a cross-disciplinary curriculum that combines AI education with pedagogical theory, ensuring both groups develop the competencies required for meaningful AI integration in education.

#### **DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS**

The findings from this study provide significant insights into the readiness, challenges, and collaborative strategies for integrating artificial intelligence (AI) into Zimbabwean higher education. In this section, the key findings are discussed, linked back to the theoretical frameworks of the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the Diffusion of Innovation (DOI) theory, and supported with relevant, recent literature to provide more robust recommendations tailored specifically to Zimbabwe and other African nations.

#### **Readiness for AI Adoption**

The participants expressed a strong sense of readiness and enthusiasm for adopting AI tools in educational settings. The confidence in institutions' intellectual capital, faculty expertise, and infrastructure aligns well with TAM's constructs of "perceived usefulness" and "perceived ease of use" (Davis, 1989). The positive perceptions of AI to enhance student learning echo findings from Opesemowo and Adekomaya (2024), who emphasize that institutional confidence is pivotal in shaping attitudes towards new technologies. However, it is important to contextualize this readiness within the specific challenges facing Zimbabwean institutions. While the participants highlighted intellectual capital as a key enabler, recent studies suggest that readiness is multifaceted and should include considerations of resource allocation and equitable access to technology (Ng et al., 2023). Institutions in Zimbabwe may face challenges related to limited internet connectivity and infrastructure deficiencies, as identified in other African contexts (Afolayan et al., 2022). Therefore, even though faculty members display enthusiasm, a comprehensive approach is needed to ensure that the existing infrastructure can support AI tools effectively. This could involve regional investment in technological infrastructure and government partnerships to provide high-speed internet access across campuses. The high level of readiness reported among participants is further supported by their exposure to practical AI tools at conferences, which increases observability—a key factor in the innovation-decision process within the DOI framework (Rogers, 2003). The DOI theory highlights that the ability to observe and experiment with innovations plays a critical role in adoption. Therefore, increasing opportunities for hands-on experience and piloting AI tools in real-world settings is essential to move from readiness to effective implementation.

#### **Challenges in Developing AI Tools In-House**

The findings underscore significant challenges in developing AI tools in-house, largely centered on computational resource requirements. Participants noted the need for substantial computational power, memory capacity, and high-speed storage, which aligns with previous findings by Koch et al. (2018) regarding the infrastructure needs for AI development. These challenges are exacerbated in Zimbabwean universities, where funding for advanced technology remains a significant barrier (Afolayan et al., 2022). To effectively manage this process, institutions could explore innovative solutions such as leveraging cloud computing services to mitigate the lack of local computational resources. Recent literature supports cloud-based AI development as a cost-effective approach that allows institutions to access the required computational power without the need for significant upfront infrastructure investment (Mazandarani et al., 2021). However, this also requires addressing data privacy and security concerns, particularly in educational settings where sensitive student data might be involved. Therefore, partnerships with reliable cloud service providers who offer strong data security protocols are essential. In addition to resource constraints, institutions should recognize the complexity of AI development, which requires advanced software frameworks and skilled personnel. The lack of skilled AI developers in Zimbabwe is a key challenge, as highlighted by many participants. To overcome this, institutions should invest in capacity building through specialized training programs, workshops, and exchange programs to upskill both academic staff and students. This approach is supported by the DOI theory, which emphasizes that innovation adoption depends on the skills and capabilities of the adopting individuals (Rogers, 2003).

#### **Collaborative Approaches to AI Integration**

The study highlights the importance of collaboration between AI developers and end-users (i.e., faculty and staff) in tailoring AI solutions to meet educational needs effectively. This finding is consistent with the social construction of innovation emphasized in the DOI theory, where mutual understanding and communication are critical to the adoption process (Rogers, 2003). Collaborative approaches ensure that the tools developed are user-centric, addressing the specific pedagogical needs of the institutions. However, the study falls short of providing a detailed analysis of the specific steps institutions should follow to foster these collaborative relationships. More recent literature suggests that cross-disciplinary teams comprising educators, AI experts, and administrative staff can provide a structured approach to AI integration (Arnold & Wade, 2023). To facilitate such collaboration, institutions should

establish dedicated AI task forces or innovation hubs, as suggested by research from El-Sofany et al. (2023). These hubs could serve as platforms for continuous dialogue between developers and educators, ensuring that the AI tools developed are contextually relevant and address the unique challenges of Zimbabwean higher education. Furthermore, these collaborative hubs should also work towards creating frameworks for evaluating AI tools in real time, involving end-users in iterative testing phases, and gathering feedback to refine the tools accordingly. This approach can enhance the compatibility and relative advantage of AI technologies, as emphasized by DOI theory, ultimately leading to higher adoption rates (Rogers, 2003).

#### CONCLUSION

The findings from this study provide significant insights into the integration of AI in Zimbabwean higher education, highlighting both the potential and the challenges ahead. Participants demonstrated strong enthusiasm and confidence in the capacity of institutions to adopt AI as end-users. Their belief in existing intellectual capital and resources, alongside a determination to embrace technological innovations, reflects a promising landscape for AI-driven educational reforms. However, the development of AI tools in-house presents substantial challenges, particularly around computational power, memory capacity, and access to advanced software environments. These limitations necessitate substantial investment in infrastructure and partnerships to enable effective AI development within educational institutions. The study emphasizes the importance of collaboration between AI developers and end-users, where faculty members contribute their domain knowledge, ensuring that the tools developed are tailored to specific educational needs. For successful AI integration, both end-users and developers need distinct yet complementary competencies. End-users should possess a solid understanding of AI principles, an inquisitive mindset, and critical thinking skills to effectively evaluate and utilize AI tools. Developers, on the other hand, require technical expertise in data science and machine learning, coupled with strong communication and problem-solving abilities to collaborate effectively with educators.

To address these challenges, the study recommends several strategies. First, higher education institutions should invest in comprehensive training programs that enhance faculty members' understanding of AI applications and integration in pedagogy. Second, institutions should explore partnerships with private and public entities to share resources and expertise, particularly in developing high-performance computational infrastructure. Additionally, fostering cross-disciplinary collaboration between educators and computer scientists can generate innovative AI solutions tailored to the unique context of Zimbabwean higher educators, facilitating the development of user-centric AI tools that address specific educational objectives. With these measures in place, Zimbabwean universities can not only adopt AI technologies but also become leaders in the implementation of AI-driven solutions in higher education across the African continent.

Ethics and Consent: Ethics committee approval for this study was received from the Ethics Committee of Stellenbosch University - (Date:05 January 2024, Number: CUR-2024-28106).

## REFERENCES

- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
- Luckman, S. (2016). Virginia Braun and Victoria Clarke, Successful qualitative research: A practical guide for beginners. *Feminism & amp; Psychology*, 26(3), 387–391. https://doi.org/10.1177/0959353515614115
- Carretero, S., Vuorikari, R., & Punie, Y. (2017). DigComp 2.1: The Digital Competence Framework for Citizens with eight proficiency levels and examples of use. Publications Office of the European Union. <u>https://doi.org/10.2760/38842</u>
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches (4th ed.). Sage.
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/249008</u>
- Eyyam, R., & Yaratan, H. S. (2014). Impact of use of technology in mathematics lessons on student achievement and attitudes. Social Behaviour and Personality: An International Journal, 42(1), 31S-42S. <u>https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.2014.42.0.s31</u>
- Valero-Pastor, J. M., García-Avilés, J. A., & Carvajal, M. (2021). Transformational leadership and innovation in digital-only news outlets. Analysis of Quartz and El Confidencial. *Journalism Studies*, 22(11), 1450–1468. https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670x.2021.1927153
- Greenhalgh, T., Robert, G., MacFarlane, F., Bate, P., & Kyriakidou, O. (2004). Diffusion of innovations in service organizations: Systematic review and recommendations. *The Milbank Quarterly*, 82(4), 581–629. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0887-378x.2004.00325.x</u>
- Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education promises and implications for teaching and learning. Centre for Curriculum Redesign.
- Jantjies, M., & Joy, M. (2013). Mobile learning through indigenous languages: Learning through a constructivist approach. 12th World Conference on Mobile and Contextual Learning (mLearn 2013). <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5339/qproc.2013.mlearn.14</u>
- Kapoor, K. K., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Williams, M. D. (2014). Rogers' innovation adoption attributes: A systematic review and synthesis of existing research. *Information Systems Management*, 31(1), 74–91. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10580530.2014.854103</u>
- Kitzinger, J. (1995). Qualitative research: Introducing focus groups. BMJ, 311(7000), 299-302.

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). Naturalistic inquiry. Sage.

- Luckin, R., Cukurova, M., Kent, C., & du Boulay, B. (2022). Empowering educators to be AI-ready. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, *3*, 100076. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100076</u>
- Qian, Y., Siau, K. L., & Nah, F. F. (2024). Societal impacts of artificial intelligence: Ethical, legal, and governance issues. Societal Impacts, 3, 100040. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socimp.2024.100040</u>
- Ng, D. T. K., Leung, J. K. L., Su, J., Ng, R. C. W., & Chu, S. K. W. (2023). Teachers' AI digital competencies and twenty-first, century skills in the post-pandemic world. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 71(1), 137–161. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-023-10203-6
- Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., & Hoagwood, K. (2015). Purposeful sampling for qualitative data collection and analysis in mixed method implementation research. Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research, 42(5), 533-544.
- Patrick, S., Wicks, M., & Powell, A. (2023). K–12 online learning. In Assuring Quality in Online Education (pp. 50–63). Routledge. http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781003443124-5
- Patton, M. Q. (2023). Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice. SAGE Publications.
- Wareing, S. (2021). Measuring the success of active blended learning. In Advances in Educational Technologies and Instructional Design (pp. 291–302). IGI Global. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-7856-8.ch015</u>
- Janet, H. (2016). Wearable technology and mobile innovations for next-generation education. IGI Global.
- Rogers, E. M. (2003). Diffusion of Innovations, 5th edition. Simon and Schuster.
- Ruggiero, D., & J. Mong, C. (2015). The teacher technology integration experience: Practice and reflection in the classroom. *Journal* of Information Technology Education: Research, 14, 161–178. <u>https://doi.org/10.28945/2227</u>
- Tarisayi, K. S. (2024). Strategic leadership for responsible artificial intelligence adoption in higher education. *CTE Workshop Proceedings*, 11, 4–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.55056/cte.616</u>
- Turner, M., Kitchenham, B., Brereton, P., Charters, S., & Budgen, D. (2010). Does the technology acceptance model predict actual use? A systematic literature review. *Information and Software Technology*, 52(5), 463–479. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2009.11.005
- Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. D. (2000). A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal field studies. Management Science, 46(2), 186–204. <u>https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.46.2.186.11926</u>
- Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence, applications in higher education – where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher*, *Education*, 16(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0
- Bond, M., Khosravi, H., De Laat, M., Bergdahl, N., Negrea, V., Oxley, E., Pham, P., Chong, S. W., & Siemens, G. (2024). A meta systematic review of artificial intelligence in higher education: A call for increased ethics, collaboration, and rigour. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 21(4). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00436-z</u>
- Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: The state of the field. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 20(22). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00392-8</u>
- George, B., & Wooden, O. (2023). Managing the strategic transformation of higher education through artificial intelligence. Administrative Sciences, 13(196). https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci13090196
- Opesemowo, O. A., & Adekomaya, V. (2024). Harnessing artificial intelligence for advancing sustainable development goals in South Africa's higher education system: A qualitative study. International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, 23(3), 67–86. <u>https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.23.3.4</u>