









RESEARCH ARTICLE

Ergonomic Pad Design of IoT-Based Portable Electric Wheel-chair

Sutiman SUTIMAN¹, Angga DAMAYANTO^{*2}, Yosep EFENDI¹, Surono SURONO³, Khusni SYAUQI³, Muhammad Nurrohman JAUHARI⁴, Nurfallah HAYATI², Listya Mutiara CANTIKA²

¹Department of Automotive Engineering Education, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta / Indonesia

²Department of Special Education, Faculty of Education and Psychology, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta / Indonesia

³Department Mechanical Engineering Education, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta / Indonesia

⁴Department of Special Education, Faculty of Education, Universitas PGRI Adi Buana Surabaya / Indonesia

*Corresponding author: anggadamayanto@uny.ac.id

Abstract

Background and Aims: Wheelchairs are a basic necessity for people with disabilities, especially people with physical disabilities. Although conventional wheelchairs have aided the mobility of people with disabilities, they are limited in scope due to physical exhaustion and health problems from sitting in wheelchairs for a long time. This research is important to develop seatback and cushion features for Health Electric Wheelchair Portable IoT-Based wheelchairs, for easy and comfortable mobility for people with disabilities. The development of wheelchairs aims to reduce the negative impact of prolonged immobilization on people with physical disabilities. **Methods:** Research & Development method. The development stage: 1). The development of pads for Health Electric Wheelchair Portable IoT-Based seatback and cushion needed by wheelchair users; 2). Development of expert-validated Health Electric Wheelchair Portable IoT-Based ergonomics and seatback in accordance with the needs of wheelchair users to improve physical independence; 3). Modify components and equipment for Health Electric Wheelchair Portable IoT-Based cushion and seatback. **Results:** The result of this development of this wheelchair pays attention to ergonomic design by developing seatback and chair features for Health Electric Wheelchair Portable IoT-Based wheelchairs to provide safety and comfort when using wheelchairs, especially physical disability. **Conclusion:** The development of cushion and seatback on wheelchairs can reduce the negative impact of immobilization on people with physical disabilities and is able to provide broad access for users to mobilize on wheelchairs and can adjust to the ergonomics of each user's body.

Keywords

Ergonomic, Wheelchair, Cushion, Seatback, Physical Disability

INTRODUCTION

In 2018, it was known that the proportion of people with disabilities in Indonesia for ages 5-17 years was 3.3% and 18-59 years old was 22% (Pusdatn Kemkes, 2019). The proportion of people with disabilities is increasing so many tools must be developed to meet their needs (Moll & Cott, 2013). Of the approximately 49 wheelchair users, it was found that about 96% of people with disabilities wanted to have a wheelchair that was safe, comfortable, and inexpensive (Sunardi et al., 2021, 2023) to provide ease of mobilization for users in terms of usability, accessibility, safety,

cost, stigma and participation from time to time (Labbé et al., 2020).

Difficulty in movement or mobility (Jatmiko, 2019; Jauhari & Wasesa et al., 2022) being one of the most commonly reported functional difficulties, a problem that is usually overcome by using special devices or equipment (Dudgeon et al., 2008) such as wheelchairs or crutches. Wheelchairs are crucial in the independence of people who have physical barriers (Dudgeon et al., 2008; Hsu et al., 2012). The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that around 65 million people in the world use wheelchairs (electric or manual) (WHO, 2018), representing about one percent of the world's

Received: 30 May 2024 ; Revised : 25 July 2024 ; Accepted: 23 August 2024; Published: 25 September 2024

How to cite this article: Sutiman, S., Damayanto, A., Efendi, Y., Surono, S., Syauqi, K., Jauhari, M.N., Hayati, N., and Cantika, L.M. (2024). Ergonomic Pad Design of IoT-Based Portable Electric Wheel-chair. *Int J Disabil Sports Health Sci*;7(5):999-1006.<https://doi.org/10.33438/ijdsHS.1494720>

population (Armstrong et al., 2008). Using the right and suitable wheelchair will help wheelchair users to improve their quality of life (Iksal & Darmo, 2012; Setyaningsih & Gutama, 2016) and also their social conditions (Jatmiko, 2019). As stated in a discussion paper by the South African Revenue Services (SARS) in relation to persons with disabilities, infrastructure modifications need to be made to make certain structures accessible to persons with mobility disabilities so that they can function or carry out daily activities (Naidoo et al., 2014). If the wheelchair is not suitable or comfortable, the body will be injured (Yuan & Guan, 2014) So that mobility is limited, environmental exploration is also limited (Bray & Tudor Edwards, 2020; Davis, 2011).

About <75% of pad designs greatly affect a person's sitting posture (Dénes et al., 2020). Because, sitting posture and is an important factor that affects the function of the limbs (Sprigle et al., 2003) underlying bone function due to pressure or shift (Shechtman et al., 2001) underlying bone function due to pressure or shift (Hsu et al., 2018), decreased ability to relieve pressure by frequently changing their body position causing them to experience pressure sores or low back pain (Ma et al., 2017). In fact, the main purpose of using a wheelchair is to maximize the functional potential in people with physical disabilities (Shechtman et al., 2001) using a comfortable pad and seatback (Bhatia et al., 2022). Therefore, optimizing the design of the wheelchair pad that can be adapted according to the needs of the user (Defloor & Grypdonck, 1999) and ergonomics should be one of the main goals in designing a wheelchair (Li et al., 2020).

More than 200 models of wheelchair pillows/pads commercially available (Sprigle et al., 2003). As stated by (Garber & Krouskop, 1984) pads used in wheelchairs should be able to reduce the pressure of wheelchair users, which is currently used clinically (Rosenthal et al., 1996) and made with a wide variety of materials such as water, gel, foam, corrugated (egg crate), viscoelastic (Koo et al., 1996; O'Sullivan et al., 2012) and contoured pillows, which are shaped to fit the individual's body (Gil-Agudo et al., 2009).

From the facts above, it is very important to develop a portable pad that has been made in PUPIT 2021 to support the independence of mobility for people with physical disabilities. The development of wheelchair pad standards will include means to

measure and describe pad characteristics and performance. This study concentrated on the first, pad characteristics defined as physical features or attributes. Meanwhile, for pad performance, it relates to the way the pad functions in its role as a surface.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Wheelchair Pad Design

Pad/pillow selection is not a trivial matter for many wheelchair users (Sprigle et al., 2001). Loss of motor or sensory function is a significant risk factor for low back pain that workers are exposed to during periods of time when they are sitting or not sitting, such as manual material handling or lifting activities, or psychosocial factors (De Carvalho et al., 2010). As stated by (Kamegaya, 2016) the ideal sitting posture for wheelchair users is with the pelvis pressed posteriorly on the ischium (sitting bone) sliding forward by 5 cm with a significantly greater posterior pelvic tilt during sitting. Factors such as manufacturing materials, shape or even mechanical and thermal responses from sitting can be potential contributors to the appearance of back and ischium pain (Williams et al., 2017).

Pad has become a complex topic for low back pain researchers because of the sitting position problems as shown in Figure 1 (Hobson, 1992) is one of the most expensive disorders found (Defloor & Grypdonck, 1999; Kelsey, 1975; Magora, 1975). Many investigations have focused their attention on the factors that cause injuries, especially on activities and events associated with the onset of symptoms in wheelchair users (Riihimäki, 1991).

Various positions and sitting characteristics of wheelchair users as shown in Figure 1 require pad support so that wheelchair users do not experience pain or shifts in bone construction (Kirby, 2016), thus providing comfort and security to users (García-Molina et al., 2021). The benefits of pads provide assistance in the form of pressure or redistribution to minimize these risks (Chai & Bader, 2013) with different pad characteristics (Lee et al., 2016).

To increase understanding of the factors that provide pad comfort in wheelchairs with foam hardness with bone posture and terrain commonly traversed by wheelchair users (Ebe & Griffin, 2001). Thus, the pad can provide the best cushioning and pressure according to the needs of each individual (Pellow, 1999).

interview method. For Health Electric Wheelchair Portable IoT-Based production equipment using existing equipment in the Laboratory of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, Automotive Engineering and the Physiology Anatomy Laboratory of Faculty of Sports and Health Sciences UNY.

RESULTS

The first step in developing wheelchair cushion and seatback needs features was to distribute a needs analysis questionnaire to people with disabilities and the questionnaire received responses from 49 wheelchair users. From the questionnaire, data was obtained that 1 respondent needed a sitting pad from a specific gel, so that if occupied for hours, he would not be sick to have the number of voters. The wide seating was chosen by 2 respondents. The soft seats was chosen by 15 respondents. The portable cushion feature in wheelchair seating was chosen by 16 respondents. Seats made of animal skins was chosen by 1 respondent. Seats that is permanently integrated with the wheelchair was chosen by 3 respondents. The feature of using a safety strap was chosen by 6 respondents. Features can be folded easily (portable) was chosen by 11 respondents. Temperature control feature (hot/cold) was chosen by 6 respondents. Head support feature in the seatback was chosen by 7 respondents with a voter percentage of 6%. Seat belt feature was chosen by 24 respondents with a voter percentage of 22%. A solid seatback feature with foam coating (padding) was chosen by 19 out of 24 respondents with a voter percentage of 17%. The height setting feature (portable) was chosen by 27 respondents with a voter percentage of 24%. The rear (right-left) thrust grip feature was chosen by 20 respondents with a voter percentage of 18%. The temperature control feature was chosen by 14 respondents with a voter percentage of 13%. The electronic form control feature was not chosen by 49 respondents. Portable features have the largest percentage with 37% with 38 voters from 49 respondents.

Based on data obtained from respondents, soft seatback and cushion were developed, ergonomic, adjustable and can be easily disassembled and installed on various types of wheelchairs (portable). The development and innovation of ergonomic design in this study focused on 3 main components, namely the cushion, back rest, and head rest. Based on data from the needs analysis questionnaire and reference studies related to ergonomics, the initial

design and innovation of the 3 components were obtained:

Holder (Seat)

Innovation in wheelchair seats is adaptive (adjustable) using velcro, where the position of the hip support is adjusted, so that it can support and protect the Gluteus Maximus and Gluteus Medius muscles, which can then provide comfort for users.



Figure 3. Cushion

Seatback (Back Rest)

The innovation in the Back Rest is adaptive (adjustable) using a lever shift mechanism, which can be adjusted in height and stretch (width). This setting provides comfort and health in the shape of the user's body because it can support the waist, spine and back (lumbar support).



Figure 4. Seatback

Headrest

The innovation in the Head Rest is a shape that is adjusted to the anatomy of the head and the angle is adjusted for the comfort of the neck when

the head rests. Thus, wheelchair users with Head Rest will feel comfortable.



Figure 5. Headrest

DISCUSSION

Identifying the backrest and stand design required by the individual should be done as early as possible in the planning stages to ensure that the posture needs of the wheelchair user can be accommodated and used effectively (Horn, 2018). The use of pads on seatback and stands that are suitable for sitting posture can reduce maximum stress on muscles as well as bones (Ragan et al., 2002). Knowing the pressure on the pressure at the time of sitting is a logical method of assessing this factor (Akins et al., 2011). So that the pad on the cushion and seatback can improve balance significantly when the wheelchair user sits on a wheelchair (Aissaoui et al., 2001).

The symmetrical position and equal weight distribution of the subject on each pad measured by the interface pressure under the sacrum are further aspects that should be considered a priority (Reis, 2008). The wheelchair with a seatback tilt angle that can be adjusted according to needs and comfort can prevent decubitus ulcers. A greater tilt angle can facilitate circulation because the emphasis when sitting in a wheelchair is reduced. This additional component provides convenience to wheelchair users because it can reduce the effects of immobilization due to maintaining the fowler position for too long and prevent the occurrence of incorrect sitting posture on the wheelchair. Everyone has different anthropometry. Anthropometric differences in a person can cause differences in comfort in using the same seatback

size. The adjustable seatback size will increase comfort for wheelchair users.

The development of wheelchairs can reduce the negative impact of immobilization on people with physical disabilities. The development of wheelchairs by adding pad seatback and cushion features for wheelchairs Health Electric Wheelchair Portable IoT-Based is useful to provide ease and comfort of mobility for people with disabilities and to reduce the negative impact of prolonged immobilization on wheelchairs. This wheelchair has the advantage of providing wide access for users to mobilize on a wheelchair and can adjust to the ergonomics of their respective bodies. It is very useful for people with physical disabilities because it can train muscle strength and nerve performance so that wheelchair users can minimize atrophy and severity of paralysis.

Conclusion

Wheelchairs are a basic need for people with disabilities, especially for physical disabilities. Although conventional wheelchairs have helped the mobility of people with disabilities, they are still limited in scope because they cause negative impacts in the form of physical fatigue and other health problems due to sitting in a wheelchair for too long. This research develops and innovates wheelchairs with attention to ergonomic design by providing Health Electric Wheelchair Portable IoT-Based wheelchair seatback and cushion features. The first step in developing wheelchair cushion and seatback features is to distribute a needs analysis questionnaire to people with disabilities and from the questionnaire response, soft, ergonomic, adjustable cushion and seatback are developed, and can be easily disassembled and installed on various types of wheelchairs (portable). The development and innovation of ergonomic design in this study then focused on 3 main components, namely the seatback, back rest, and head rest. The development of cushion and seatback on wheelchairs can in fact reduce the negative impact of immobilization on people with physical disabilities and is able to provide broad access for users to mobilize on wheelchairs and can adjust to the ergonomics of each user's body.

Conflict of Interest

During the development and publication of this work, the authors did not reveal any conflicts of interest.

Author Contributions

This research involved the participation of several contributors. Conceptualization, S.A.D,

Y.E, K.S and M.N.J; methodology, S, N.H and L.M.C.; design and development S, Y.E, T.T.P, and S, validation, A.D, and K.S.; formal analysis, S, A.D and S.; investigation, S, N.H and L.M.C.; writing original draft preparation, S, A.D, Y.E, S and E.P; writing review and editing, A.D, N.F and L.M.C visualization, Y.E, K.S, S, and T.T.P; supervision, S and Y.E

REFERENCES

- Aissaoui, R., Boucher, C., Bourbonnais, D., Lacoste, M., & Dansereau, J. (2001). Effect of seat cushion on dynamic stability in sitting during a reaching task in wheelchair users with paraplegia. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 82(2), 274–281. [PubMed]
- Akins, J. S., Karg, P. E., & Brienza, D. M. (2011). Interface shear and pressure characteristics of wheelchair seat cushions. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development*. [PubMed]
- Armstrong, W., Borg, J., Krizack, M., Lindsley, A., Mines, K., Pearlman, J., Reisinger, K., & Sheldon, S. (2008). Guidelines on the provision of manual wheelchairs in less resourced settings. *World Health Organization*. [PubMed]
- Bhatia, A., Kalsi, S., Sehgal, A. K., & Singh, I. (2022). Comparative Study of different Seat Cushion Materials to improve the Comfort of Tractor Seat. *Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series A*. [CrosReff]
- Bray, N., & Tudor Edwards, R. (2020). Preference-based measurement of mobility-related quality of life: developing the MobQoL-7D health state classification system. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 0(0), 1–15. [PubMed]
- Chai, C. Y., & Bader, D. L. (2013). The physiological response of skin tissues to alternating support pressures in able-bodied subjects. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*, 28, 427–435. [PubMed]
- Davis, E. A. (2011). *Physical Activities in the Wheelchair and Out: An Illustrated Guide to Personalizing Participation*.
- De Carvalho, D. E., Soave, D., Ross, K., & Callaghan, J. P. (2010). Lumbar Spine and Pelvic Posture Between Standing and Sitting: A Radiologic Investigation Including Reliability and Repeatability of the Lumbar Lordosis Measure. *Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics*, 33(1), 48–55. [PubMed]
- Defloor, T., & Grypdonck, M. H. F. (1999). Sitting posture and prevention of pressure ulcers. *Applied Nursing Research*, 12(3), 136–142. [PubMed]
- Dénes, L., Horváth, P. G., & Antal, R. M. (2020). Comparative study of body pressure distribution on the user-cushion interfaces with various support elasticities. *International Journal of Human Factors and Ergonomics*, 7(1), 80–94. [CrosReff]
- Diebschlag, W., Heidinger, F., Kurz, B., & Heiberger, R. (1988). Recommendation for ergonomic and climatic physiological vehicle seat design. *SAE Technical Papers*, 25(March 1989), 125–137. [CrosReff]
- Dudgeon, B. J., Hoffman, J. M., Ciol, M. A., Shumway-Cook, A., Yorkston, K. M., & Chan, L. (2008). Managing Activity Difficulties at Home: A Survey of Medicare Beneficiaries. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. [PubMed]
- Gall, M. D., Gall, J. P., & Borg, W. R. (2003). Educational Research: An Introduction. In A. E. Burvikovs, M. Kriener, C. Tridente, & Colophon (Eds.), *Pearson Education, Inc* (7th ed.). Pearson.
- Garber, S. L., & Krouskop, T. A. (1984). Wheelchair cushion modification and its effect on pressure. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 65(10), 579–583. [PubMed]
- García-Molina, P., Casasus, S. R., Sanchis-Sánchez, E., Balaguer-López, E., Ruescas-López, M., & Blasco, J. M. (2021). Evaluation of interface pressure and temperature management in five wheelchair seat cushions and their effects on user satisfaction: Evaluation of seat cushions to prevent pressure ulcer. *Journal of Tissue Viability*, 30(3), 402–409. [PubMed]
- Gil-Agudo, A., De la Peña-González, A., Del Ama-Espinosa, A., Pérez-Rizo, E., Díaz-Domínguez, E., & Sánchez-Ramos, A. (2009). Comparative study of pressure distribution at the user-cushion interface with different cushions in a population with spinal cord injury. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 24(7), 558–563. [PubMed]
- Harms, M. (1990). Effect of Wheelchair Design on Posture and Comfort of Users. *Physiotherapy* (United Kingdom), 76(5), 266–271. [CrosReff]
- Hobson, D. A. (1992). Comparative effects of posture on pressure and shear at the body-seat interface. *Journal of Re-habilitation Research and Development*, 29(4), 21–31. [PubMed]
- Horn, M. (2018). *Wheelchair Housing Design Guide* (3rd ed.). Habinteg Housing Association.
- Hostens, I., Papaioannou, G., Spaepen, A., & Ramon, H. (2001). Buttock and back pressure distribution tests on seats of mobile agricultural machinery. *Applied Ergonomics*, 32(4), 347–355. [PubMed]
- Hsu, P. E., Hsu, Y. L., Chang, K. W., & Geiser, C. (2012). Mobility assistance design of the intelligent robotic wheelchair. *International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems*, 9, 1–10. [CrosReff]
- Hsu, T. W., Yang, S. Y., Liu, J. T., Pan, C. T., & Yang, Y. S. (2018). The effect of cushion properties on skin temperature and humidity at the body-support interface. *Assistive Technology*, 30(1), 1–8. [PubMed]
- Iksal, & Darmo. (2012). Perancangan Dan Implementasi Kursi Roda Elektrik Ekonomis Sebagai Sarana Rehabilitasi Medik. *Prosiding SNaPP*, 3, 203–210.
- Jauhari, M. N., Wasesa, A. J. A., Rosmi, Y. F., & Irvan, M. (2022, January). Hydraulic exoskeleton design for cerebral palsy. In 2022 2nd International Conference on Information Technology and Education (ICIT&E) (pp. 12-15). *IEEE*. [CrosReff]
- Jatmiko, H. A. (2019). Wheelchair's Design Development for Disabled People in Yogyakarta, Using Quality Function De-ployment Method. 17(Icoemis). [CrosReff]

- Kamegaya, T. (2016). Influence of sacral sitting in a wheelchair on the distribution of contact pressure on the buttocks and back and shear force on the ischial region. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, 28(10), 2830–2833. [PubMed]
- Kelsey, J. L. (1975). An Epidemiological Study of the Relationship Between Occupations and Acute Herniated Lumbar Intervertebral Discs*. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 4(3), 197–205. [PubMed]
- Kirby, R. L. (2016). Wheelchair skills assessment and training. In *Wheelchair Skills Assessment and Training*. [CrosReff]
- Koo, T. K. K., Mak, A. F. T., & Lee, Y. L. (1996). Posture effect on seating interface biomechanics: Comparison between two seating cushions. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 77(1), 40–47. [PubMed]
- Kristanto, A., & Saputra, D. A. (2019). Perancangan Meja Dan Kursi Kerja Yang Ergonomis Pada Stasiun Kerja Pemotongan Sebagai Upaya Peningkatan Produktivitas. *Strategy: Jurnal Teknik Industri*, 1(2), 78–87. [CrosReff]
- Labbé, D., Mortenson, W. Ben, Rushton, P. W., Demers, L., & Miller, W. C. (2020). Mobility and participation among ageing powered wheelchair users: Using a lifecourse approach. *Ageing and Society*, 40(3), 626–642. [CrosReff]
- Lee, S. H., Park, J. S., Jung, B. K., & Lee, S. A. (2016). Effects of different seat cushions on interface pressure distribution: A pilot study. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, 28(1), 227–230. [PubMed]
- Li, W., Mo, R., Yu, S., Chu, J., Hu, Y., & Wang, L. (2020). The effects of the seat cushion contour and the sitting posture on surface pressure distribution and comfort during seated work. *International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health*, 33(5), 675–689. [PubMed]
- Ma, C., Li, W., Gravina, R., & Fortino, G. (2017). Posture detection based on smart cushion for wheelchair users. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 17(4), 6–18. [PubMed]
- Magora, A. (1975). Investigation of the relation between low back pain and occupation. VII. Neurologic and orthopedic condition. *Scandinavian Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*, 7(4), 146–151. [PubMed]
- Mardiana, D. P., Pujiyanto, M. R., & Sulistyono, S. (2020). Perancangan Kursi Roda Ergonomis Untuk Orang Manula. *Journal of Industrial Engineering and Technology*, 1(1), 11–17. [CrosReff]
- Moll, L. R., & Cott, C. A. (2013). The paradox of normalization through rehabilitation: Growing up and growing older with cerebral palsy. *Disability and Rehabilitation*. [PubMed]
- Naidoo, P., Koch, H. E., Anderson, J., Ghela, P., Govender, P., Hoosen, N., & Khan, H. (2014). Accessibility for persons with mobility impairments within an informal trading site: A case study on the markets of Warwick, South Africa. *African Journal of Disability*, 3(1), 1–9. [PubMed]
- O’Sullivan, K., McCarthy, R., White, A., O’Sullivan, L., & Dankaerts, W. (2012). Lumbar posture and trunk muscle activation during a typing task when sitting on a novel dynamic ergonomic chair. *Ergonomics*, 55(12), 1586–1595. [PubMed]
- Parent-Thirion, A., Fernández, M. E., Hurley, J., & Vermeylen, G. (2007). Fourth European working conditions survey, European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions, Luxembourg, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.
- Pellow, T. R. (1999). A comparison of interface pressure readings to wheelchair cushions and positioning: A pilot study. *Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 66(3), 140–149. [PubMed]
- PUSDATIN KEMKES RI. (2019). Situasi Disabilitas. In Pusat Data dan Informasi Kementerian Kesehatan RI.
- Ragan, R., Kernozek, T. W., Bidar, M., & Matheson, J. W. (2002). Seat-interface pressures on various thicknesses of foam wheelchair cushions: A finite modeling approach. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 83(6), 872–875. [PubMed]
- Reis, C. V. C. (2008). Comparative Study of Cranial Topographic. *Neurosurgery*, 62(2), 294–310. [CrosReff]
- Riihimäki, H. (1991). Low-back pain, its origin and risk indicators. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, 17(2), 81–90. [PubMed]
- Rosenthal, M. J., Felton, R. M., Hileman, D. L., Lee, M., Friedman, M., & Navach, J. H. (1996). A wheelchair cushion designed to redistribute sites of sitting pressure. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 77(3), 278–282. [PubMed]
- Setyaningsih, R., & Gutama, T. A. (2016). Pengembangan Kemandirian Bagi Kaum Difabel (Studi Kasus pada Peran Paguyuban Sehati dalam Upaya Pengembangan Kemandirian bagi Kaum Difabel di Kabupaten Sukoharjo). *Jurnal Sosiologi Dilema*, 31(1), 42–52. [CrosReff]
- Shechtman, O., Hanson, C. S., Garrett, D., & Dunn, P. (2001). Comparing wheelchair cushions for effectiveness of pressure relief: A pilot study. *Occupational Therapy Journal of Research*, 21(1), 29–48. [PubMed]
- Sokhibi, A. (2017). Perancangan Kursi Ergonomis Untuk Memperbaiki Posisi Kerja Pada Proses Packaging Jenang Kudus Akhmad Sokhibi Program Studi Teknik Industri, Fakultas Teknik, Universitas Muria Kudus Jl. Lingkar Utara Gondangmanis Bae Kudus Jawa Tengah 59327 Email: akh. *Jurnal Rekayasa Sistem Industri*, 3(1), 61–72. [CrosReff]
- Sprigle, S., Press, L., & Davis, K. (2001). Development of uniform terminology and procedures to describe wheelchair cushion characteristics. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development*, 38(4), 449–461. [PubMed]
- Sprigle, S., Wootten, M., Sawacha, Z., & Theilman, G. (2003). Relationships among cushion type, backrest height, seated posture, and reach of wheelchair users with spinal cord injury. *Journal of Spinal Cord Medicine*, 26(3), 236–243. [PubMed]
- Sunardi, J., Damayanto, A., Efendi, Y., Syaumi, K., Arfianzah, N. A., & Purwanto. (2023). Needs analysis of health electric wheelchair portable IoT-based to improve physical disability independence. Vii International Conference “Safety Problems of Civil Engineering Critical Infrastructures” (Spceeci2021), 2701(March), 020011. [CrosReff]

- Sunardi, J., Syauqi, K., Efendi, Y., & Damayanto, A. (2021). Laporan Akhir Penelitian Unggulan Perguruan Tinggi Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta: Health Electric Wheelchair Portable Iot-Based untuk Meningkatkan Kemandirian Disabilitas Fisik.
- Syakura, Abdan, Nur, S., & Oktavisa, A. (2021). Pengembangan Pemenuhan Kebutuhan Rasa Aman dan Nyaman pada Penderita Stroke yang Menggunakan Kursi Roda: Systematic Review. 3(1). [CrosReff]
- Tim LPPM UNY. (2021). Renstra Penelitian Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta Tahun 2021-2025. Lppm Uny.
- Williams, E., Hurwitz, E., Obaga, I., Onguti, B., Rivera, A., Sy, T. R. L., Kirby, R. L., Noon, J., Tanuku, D., Gichangi, A., & Bazant, E. (2017). Perspectives of basic wheelchair users on improving their access to wheelchair services in Kenya and Philippines: A qualitative study. *BMC International Health and Human Rights*. [PubMed]
- World Health Organization. (2018). Disability and health.
- Yuan, Y., & Guan, T. (2014). Design of individualized wheelchairs using AHP and Kano model. *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, 2014. [Crosreff]
- Yudiantyo, W. (2020). Perancangan Ergonomis Pegangan Pendorong Kursi Roda Untuk Meninimasi Kesakitan Pergelangan Tangan. *Journal of Integrated System*, 3(1), 40–48. [Crosreff]



This work is distributed under <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>