# **Review Article**

# **Ischemia Heart Disease: Current Diagnosis, Treatment Methods, and Genetic Research**

Gagana H P1 ORCID: 0009-0003-1095-8538 Kavya B L1 ORCID: 0009-0007-0654-2950

Divya R1 ORCID: 0009-0006-3132-4341

Gagana K M1 ORCID: 0009-0006-5576-3170

Goutham H G1 ORCID: 0009-0003-6771-9941

T Y Pasha<sup>1</sup> ORCID: 0000-0003-0385-3343

Manojmouli C<sup>1\*</sup> ORCID: 0000-0001-8850-7110

1 Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy-Sri Adichunchanagiri College of Pharmacy, Adichunchanagiri University, B. G. Nagara-571448, Karnataka, India

**Corresponding author:** Manojmouli C Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy-Sri Adichunchanagiri College of Pharmacy, Adichunchanagiri University, B. G. Nagara-571448, Karnataka, India E-mail: manojmouli@accp.co.in Tel: 9916194182

Received date : 10.06.2024 Accepted date : 23.10.2024

# **DOI: 10.52794/hujpharm.1498649**

#### **ABSTRACT**

Ischemic heart disease (IHD) is a top non-infectious disease that causes more deaths worldwide and it increases progressively over the years. It becomes a burden to low and middle-income countries. The management and treatment of ischemic heart disease have become more challenging due to improper lifestyle, adverse effects of currently available drugs, limited access to various diagnostic methods, genetic variations, and lack of knowledge among healthcare professionals. In this comprehensive survey, the different kinds of diagnostic approaches and modes of therapy, current research, molecular and genetic basis, future directions like integrative therapy and precision medicine, and global health initiatives related to IHD were summarized. This review is based on a literature survey of studies published between 2001 and 2024 using databases such as PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and relevant website like the World Health Organisation. The main goal of this literature survey is to create awareness that will help healthcare professionals save patients' lives and aid researchers in developing new molecules to treat IHD.

**Keywords:** Heart, IHD, Diagnostic methods, Genetic basis.

## **1. Introduction**

Ischemia is characterized by a region receiving insufficient blood flow because of a blockage in the blood arteries supplying that area. Ischemic conditions occur when an organ, like the heart, does not receive enough blood or oxygen. Heart issues brought on by constricted coronary arteries that provide blood to the heart muscle are referred to as ischemic heart disease, also known as coronary heart disease (CHD) or coronary artery disease [1]. Atherosclerosis, a disorder marked by plaque accumulation, is frequently the source of this narrowing, however, blood clots or constricted vessels can also be the reason. Myocardial infarction (MI), sometimes known as a heart attack, is a disorder in which there is total blockage of blood supply to the heart muscle, leading to the death of heart muscle cells. The majority of people with CHD do not exhibit any symptoms or blood flow restrictions while the condition is in its early stages (less than 50% narrowing). However, symptoms may appear as atherosclerosis worsens, especially if left untreated. When there is an increased demand for oxygen due to physical effort or mental stress, these symptoms are more likely to manifest. It is characterized by discomfort in the arms, shoulders, back, chest, and jaw. Usually brought on by stress or physical activity, it is eased by rest or nitroglycerin. Patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, valvular disease, uncontrolled hypertension, and congestive heart failure can all have angina. Angina can occasionally occur in people with normal coronary arteries as a result of endothelial dysfunction or coronary spasm [2].

The World Health Organisation (WHO) reports that ischemic heart disease accounts for 16% of all deaths globally, making it the leading cause of mortality. Of the 8.9 million fatalities in 2019, more than 2 million were due to this condition, which has resulted in the largest increase in mortality since 2000 [3]. It places a heavy financial burden on society due to increased healthcare expenditures and decreased productivity, as well as years of life loss and disability-adjusted living years. Over three-quarters of IHD deaths occur in low- and middle-income countries due to urbanization and limited healthcare access. In addition to non-modifiable risk factors like age and heredity, modifiable risk factors including obesity, smoking, and hypertension are important targets for prevention. To manage and lessen the burden of IHD globally, technological advancements in medicine and global health efforts are critical [4].

Diagnosing and treating ischemic heart disease presents several challenges. Non-invasive tests like stress tests and echocardiography may yield inaccurate results, complicating IHD identification. Limited access to advanced diagnostic tools such as coronary angiography, especially in low- and middle-income countries, due to financial and infrastructure limitations exacerbates diagnostic challenges. Treatment hurdles include ensuring adherence to medications like statins and antiplatelet agents, managing side effects, and addressing disparities in access. Lifestyle modifications such as diet and exercise are crucial in IHD management, but they face barriers like socioeconomic factors and inadequate patient education [5]. Addressing these issues requires strategies to improve diagnostic accuracy, enhance medication adherence through education, expand access to interventions, and promote healthier lifestyles through public health initiatives [6]. In this current review, the various diagnostic approaches, treatments, present research, and future options for IHD treatment were summarized. To conduct this review, we performed a literature search for studies published between 2001 to 2024. Databases including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and relevant website from the World Health Organisation (who. int) were utilized. Keywords used in the search included ischemic heart disease, heart, diagnostic methods, genetic basis, etc. That aids medical professionals in correctly diagnosing, recommending, and treating patients in a way that helps preserve lives. Additionally, by understanding how medications interact with different genetic conditions, researchers can develop new molecules for the better treatment of ischemic heart disease.

# **2. Diagnostic Approaches of Ischemic Heart Disease**

Ischemic Heart Disease is a major source of morbidity and death worldwide, prompt and precise diagnostic methods are required. The basis for diagnosis includes risk assessment, clinical evaluation, and diagnostic testing such as stress testing and invasive coronary angiography based on risk and symptoms. The electrocardiogram (ECG) helps identify ischemia alterations, and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and echocardiography offer finegrained structural and functional information. Nuclear imaging techniques that measure myocardial

perfusion include single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI). Troponins are one type of biomarker that indicates myocardial damage and complements diagnosis. New technologies, such as genetic testing and sophisticated imaging, provide prospective paths toward more precision. Clinicians can effectively identify IHD by utilizing a multifaceted strategy that integrates various approaches, leading to optimum therapy and better patient outcomes [7–9]. The details of the diagnostic methods used for ischemic heart disease are explained in Table No. 1.

## **3. Methods for Treating Ischemic Heart Disease**

#### *3.1. Pharmacological treatments*

#### *3.1.1. Standard therapies*

Standard therapies for ischemic heart disease involve antiplatelet agents, statins, beta-blockers, ACE inhibitors/ARBs, calcium channel blockers, nitrates, and diuretics, targeting different aspects like platelet aggregation, cholesterol levels, myocardial oxygen demand, blood pressure, and vasodilation to manage symptoms and prevent complications. Antiplatelet agents like aspirin, clopidogrel, ticagrelor, and prasugrel are crucial in preventing thrombus formation. Aspirin is the most commonly used antiplatelet for both primary and secondary prevention of IHD, effectively reducing the risk of myocardial infarction (MI) and stroke. For patients with stable angina or those at high risk of cardiovascular events, lowdose aspirin (75-100 mg daily) is recommended. In cases of acute coronary syndrome (ACS), aspirin is combined with a P2Y12 inhibitor (such as clopidogrel, ticagrelor, or prasugrel) to prevent recurrent ischemic events [20]. The choice of P2Y12 inhibitor depends on the clinical scenario. Clopidogrel is commonly used for patients who are aspirin intolerant or as part of dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) following percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) with stenting. Ticagrelor or prasugrel may be preferred in acute coronary syndrome due to their more potent platelet inhibition and better outcomes in reducing major adverse cardiovascular events; however, they are associated with higher bleeding risk. Antiplatelet therapy must be individualized based on the patient's bleeding risk, comorbidities, and specific clinical

condition to optimize benefits and minimize potential complications [21]. Statins are essential in managing ischemic heart disease (IHD) for both primary and secondary prevention. For primary prevention, they are prescribed to individuals at high risk of cardiovascular disease, including those with elevated LDL levels or multiple risk factors. For secondary prevention, statins are crucial for patients with established IHD, such as those who have had a myocardial infarction (MI) or undergone coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), helping to reduce the risk of subsequent cardiovascular events. High-intensity statins, like atorvastatin or rosuvastatin, are often preferred to achieve significant LDL reduction and maximize cardiovascular benefits. The choice and dosage of statins should be personalized based on the patient's risk profile, tolerance, and monitoring for potential side effects [22].

Beta-blockers are fundamental in managing ischemic heart disease (IHD), particularly for chronic stable angina and post-myocardial infarction (MI). They reduce myocardial oxygen demand by decreasing heart rate, blood pressure, and contractility, effectively alleviating angina symptoms and preventing episodes [23]. In post-MI patients, beta-blockers significantly reduce mortality, decrease the risk of recurrent MI, and prevent the onset of heart failure. Long-acting agents like carvedilol, metoprolol, or bisoprolol are often preferred due to their additional cardioprotective properties. For patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF), beta-blockers such as carvedilol, bisoprolol, and metoprolol succinate not only improve symptoms but also enhance survival and reduce hospitalization rates [24]. The choice of beta-blocker should be individualized, taking into account patient-specific factors, comorbidities, and tolerance. While beta-blockers are crucial in acute coronary syndrome (ACS) for their role in stabilizing the myocardium and preventing arrhythmias, they are contraindicated in patients with conditions like severe bradycardia, decompensated heart failure, or certain types of asthma. Careful monitoring and dose adjustment are necessary to maximize therapeutic benefits and minimize potential side effects, ensuring optimal outcomes in the management of IHD [25]. ACE inhibitors in ischemic heart disease (IHD) emphasize their expanded use beyond blood pressure control, particularly in patients with hypertension, diabetes, heart failure, or chronic kidney disease. ACE inhibitors are now recommended early in





Imaging Techniques



Laboratory Tests



acute coronary syndrome to reduce recurrent events and improve outcomes and for secondary prevention in all patients with a history of myocardial infarction (MI) or coronary artery disease at high cardiovascular risk [26]. A personalized approach is advised, considering age, renal function, and side effects, with gradual dose titration to minimize risks. Regular monitoring for electrolyte imbalances and kidney function is emphasized, particularly in elderly patients or those on diuretics. Tailoring ACE inhibitor therapy helps optimize cardiovascular benefits while reducing potential complications [27].

Calcium channel blockers such as amlodipine, diltiazem, and verapamil are used for angina and hypertension, especially in patients who cannot tolerate beta-blockers. Amlodipine and diltiazem, are now recommended as first-line therapy for stable angina, particularly in patients with Prinzmetal's angina or coronary artery spasm. Amlodipine for patients with concomitant hypertension and diltiazem are recommended for those with heart rate control needs. Newer recommendations stress avoiding short-acting calcium channel blockers due to the risk of reflex tachycardia and emphasize selecting agents

based on patient-specific factors such as comorbidities, side effects, and drug interactions to optimize therapeutic outcomes [28,29]. Nitrates for ischemic heart disease emphasize their role in relieving angina symptoms, especially when beta-blockers or calcium channel blockers are inadequate or not suitable. Long-acting nitrates, such as isosorbide mononitrate, are preferred for managing chronic stable angina, particularly in patients with Prinzmetal's angina or coronary artery spasm. Short-acting nitrates, like nitroglycerin, are used for the immediate relief of acute angina attacks. Current practices highlight the importance of implementing a nitrate-free interval to avoid tolerance [30]. Diuretics such as hydrochlorothiazide and furosemide are used for controlling fluid overload and reducing blood pressure in patients with IHD and heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF). In patients with resistant hypertension, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists like spironolactone are increasingly considered to optimize blood pressure control [31]. The choice of diuretic should consider the patient's renal function, electrolyte balance, and risk of adverse effects such as hypokalemia or dehydration, ensuring effective management of both IHD and its comorbid conditions [32]**.** The details of various drugs used in the treatment of IHD are discussed in Table no 2,

#### *3.2. New pharmacological developments*

#### *3.2.1. Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (pcsk9) inhibitors*

A new family of medications known as PCSK9 inhibitors lowers levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) by blocking PCSK9, a protein that controls LDL receptor levels. PCSK9 inhibitors improve the liver's capacity to remove LDL-C from circulation by stopping the degradation of LDL receptors. This lowers the risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular events, including myocardial infarction (MI) and stroke, by significantly reducing LDL-C levels [40].

#### *3.2.2. Sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 (SGLT2) inhibitors*

SGLT-2 inhibitors have been recognized for their expanded role in managing chronic coronary syndromes and heart failure. Medications such as empagliflozin, dapagliflozin, and canagliflozin are acknowledged for their effectiveness in controlling blood glucose

and their significant cardiovascular benefits. SGLT-2 inhibitors are recommended for patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) and chronic kidney disease, irrespective of diabetes status. They are noted for their ability to reduce hospitalization for heart failure and lower cardiovascular mortality. SGLT-2 inhibitors work by inhibiting the sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT-2) in the kidneys, leading to increased glucose excretion and improved fluid balance. Clinical evidence supports their efficacy in reducing cardiovascular death, heart failure hospitalization, and the progression of kidney disease, highlighting their growing importance in treatment strategies for chronic cardiovascular and renal conditions [41,42] **.**

#### *3.2.3. Novel anticoagulants*

Direct oral anticoagulants, or DOACs, are another name for novel oral anticoagulants (NOACs), which are a major development in anticoagulant treatment for patients with IHD. NOACs offer better predictable pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics along with a decreased risk of bleeding problems since they preferentially target particular coagulation factors (e.g., factor Xa or thrombin), in contrast to standard anticoagulants like warfarin [43]. NOACs are being investigated more and more for their potential involvement in the secondary prevention of IHD. They have been demonstrated to be either noninferior or even superior to standard treatments in reducing thromboembolic events in patients with atrial fibrillation and venous thromboembolism [44].

#### *3.3. Revascularization techniques*

#### *3.3.1. Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI)*

Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI) is a form of minimally-invasive surgery used to treat ischemic heart disease. It is sometimes referred to as coronary angioplasty or stenting [45]. Using X-ray imaging, a catheter is inserted into a blood vessel, usually in the wrist or groin, and guided to the blocked coronary artery. After it is in position, a balloon is inflated to expand the constricted artery and bring blood flow back to the heart muscle. To maintain the artery open, a stent a tiny mesh tube may occasionally be implanted. While PCI has benefits like quicker symptom alleviation and higher survival rates, there is a chance of problems including bleeding and restenosis [46].



#### **Table 2.** Clinical Significance of Drugs Used in Ischemic Heart Disease

#### *3.3.2. Coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG)*

With the development of less invasive methods and robotically aided surgery, Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting (CABG), a key therapy for ischemic heart disease, has changed [47]. Comparing minimally invasive CABG to standard methods, smaller chest incisions are made, which leads to less pain after surgery, a faster recovery, and shorter hospital stays. Furthermore, the use of robotic assistance in surgery improves accuracy and dexterity during the process, which may result in better results and faster recovery periods. The continued dedication to improving patient care and results in the therapy of IHD is shown by these developments, which provide patients with less invasive choices that are equally effective as standard CABG [48].

#### *3.4. Innovative therapies*

#### *3.4.1. Gene and stem cell therapy*

Promising treatments for ischemic heart disease include gene and stem cell therapy. Gene therapy involves implanting therapeutic genes targeting angiogenesis, vasculogenesis, or myocardial function, crucial pathways implicated in IHD. While proven effective in preclinical studies using animal models, clinical trials with human patients have shown promising results. Despite this, obstacles remain before the widespread clinical use of gene therapy. Conversely, stem cell therapy utilizes various stem cell types to regenerate damaged heart tissue and promote blood vessel growth. Both preclinical and clinical studies have demonstrated improvements in cardiac function and symptoms in IHD patients. However, challenges such as immune rejection, engraftment, and cell survival need resolution to maximize therapeutic efficacy. Nonetheless, ongoing research on innovative gene and stem cell-based therapeutics offers promise for more personalized and efficient IHD treatments in the future [49,50].

#### *3.4.2. Immunotherapy*

Using the body's immune system, immunotherapy reduces inflammation, stabilizes atherosclerotic plaques, and encourages vascular repair inside artery walls. Preclinical research has demonstrated the efficacy of several strategies in reducing the development of atherosclerosis and enhancing vascular function, including anti-inflammatory biologics, immunizations, cell-based treatments, and methods based on nanoparticles. Immunotherapy for IHD is safe and feasible in clinical trials; certain studies have even shown improvements in cardiovascular risk indicators and clinical outcomes. There are still issues to be resolved, like figuring out the best patient subgroups and improving treatment plans. However, more study in this field has the potential to transform the management of IHD and improve patient outcomes [51,52].

#### *3.4.3. Lifestyle and behavioral interventions*

Interventions based on behavior and lifestyle are essential for treating ischemic heart disease. These include following a medication schedule, quitting smoking, controlling weight, managing stress, cutting back on alcohol, and implementing a hearthealthy diet. These therapies improve cardiovascular

function, successfully lower risk factors, and stop the progression of atherosclerosis. They lessen the impact of IHD while enabling people to take charge of their health and improve outcomes and quality of life [53].

# **4. Current Research on Ischemic Heart Disease**

Recent research has begun to clarify the role that uncommon genetic variants and gene-environment interactions play in disease susceptibility, in addition to identifying common genetic variations linked to IHD risk. Individualized IHD risk prediction has shown potential with polygenic risk scores (PRS), which are generated from numerous genetic variations. Moreover, research into the genetic makeup of IHD subtypes that show differently in the clinic (such as stable angina or acute coronary syndrome) and coronary artery disease (CAD) has shed light on the heterogeneity of the illness and possible subtypespecific genetic variables [54]. Non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs), long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), and chromatin remodeling complexes are now being studied about epigenetic processes. These epigenetic regulators have a role in the onset and progression of IHD by modifying gene expression in response to environmental cues and metabolic alterations. It may be possible to reverse the degenerative processes linked to IHD by targeting particular epigenetic changes, such as DNA methylation or histone acetylation [55].

Advances in high-throughput technologies have enabled the integration of multi-omics data to construct comprehensive molecular networks underlying IHD pathogenesis. Network-based approaches, including protein-protein interaction networks and gene regulatory networks, have facilitated the identification of key hub genes and signaling pathways dysregulated in IHD. Computational models and machine learning algorithms applied to omics data have provided predictive insights into disease progression, treatment response, and patient stratification. The translation of basic research findings into clinical applications has led to the development of novel therapeutic modalities for IHD [56]. These include gene therapy, RNA-based therapeutics (antisense oligonucleotides, mRNA vaccines), and gene editing technologies like clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR-Cas9) to correct disease-causing

genetic mutations or modulate gene expression. Additionally, precision medicine initiatives, such as the identification of biomarkers for patient stratification and the repurposing of existing drugs based on molecular subtypes of IHD, hold promise for personalized treatment approaches [57].

# **5. Molecular and Genetic Basis of Ischemic Heart Disease**

An identifiable biological characteristic, or phenotypic trait, has a genetic framework made up of the genes and their variations that influence or are connected to the trait of interest. It's critical to determine the genetic component's relevance before exploring a characteristic's genetic framework of the genetic element before delving into the genetic architecture of a characteristic [58,59]. A greater risk is associated with a family history of IHD, indicating important genetic variables. However, family history also involves attitudes and way of life decisions. For IHD, the range of heritability and the percentage variation of a characteristic associated with genetic diversity is between 35% and 55% [60]. Two major categories of phenotypes or disorders are related to genetic transmission. Diseases can be classified as polygenic or complex, where risk involves multiple genes, numerous variants, and their interaction with environmental factors, as seen in IHD, and monogenic (or oligogenic), where risk is linked to variants in a single or few genes, such as familial hypercholesterolemia, determined by variants in low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR), apolipoprotein B (APOB), proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9), and low-density lipoprotein receptor adaptor protein 1 (LDLRAP1) [61,62]. Four methods may be used to investigate a phenotypic trait's genetic architecture Linkage analysis, Candidate-gene association studies, Genome-wide association studies (GWAS), and Genome sequencing studies

#### *5.1. Linkage analysis*

Linkage Analysis is a valuable tool in investigating oligogenic and monogenic illnesses. It entails examining families in which the proband for at least one member that has the condition over several generations [63]. To find correlations with the illness, family members are evaluated for several hundred genetic markers spread throughout the genome [64]. Their transmission is also examined. The

objectives include finding the gene's genomic area and identifying the disease-causing variation. For example, in familial hypercholesterolemia, linkage analysis located the PCSK9 gene on chromosome 1, and sequencing found the variations that cause the condition. Linkage study has found arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase-activating protein (ALOX5AP) and myocyte enhancer factor 2 (MEF2A) gene variations related to IHD, albeit these variants are less effective for complicated disorders [65,66].

#### *5.2. Candidate-gene association studies*

A case-control method is frequently used to determine if certain gene variants are more or less common in patients with an illness than healthy controls. This hypothesis-testing technique focuses on frequent genetic variants (allele frequency > 5%) to identify the candidate gene based on the known pathophysiology of the disease. However, our knowledge of the genetic basis of complex characteristics like IHD has not substantially improved as a result of candidate-gene association research. This approach's main problem is limited repeatability, which is usually caused by tiny sample numbers and reduces the statistical power to identify weak relationships [67].

#### *5.3. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS)*

Technological developments in genotyping and genome sequencing over the last two decades have improved our knowledge of the genetic underpinnings of complicated disorders. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) are made possible by kits that can identify 100,000–500,000 sequence variations thanks to these technologies and our understanding of linkage disequilibrium [68]. Without a guiding premise, GWAS analyses a large number of genetic traits; significance is determined by a P value of less than  $1 \times$ 10−8. They have shown that complex features have modest relationships (OR 1.1 to 1.4), which calls for bigger samples and cross-border cooperation. Early GWAS for IHD found polymorphisms on chromosome 9, which linked to lipid metabolism, blood pressure, and inflammation and explained around 15% of heritability [69].

Many variations located near gene promoters indicate an impact on gene expression and epigenetics. Systems biology techniques have identified IHDassociated genes in a variety of metabolic processes. Databases such as database of genotypes and phenotypes (dbGaP), CARDIoGRAMplusC4D collaboration, and European genome-phenome archive catalogue results for IHD. Consistent outcomes, teamwork, and data accessibility are among GWAS's advantages. Limitations, however, include an emphasis on common variations with little effect and discovered variants not causally connected to the condition. Unknown sequence variations or epigenetic modifications influencing gene expression may be among the unidentified heritable components that need to be found [70,71].

#### *5.4. Genome sequencing studies*

In the past, monogenic and oligogenic disorders with obvious familial segregation were studied using sequencing methods. The exome—the region of the genome that codes for proteins—may be the subject of sequencing research, as can a single gene, a panel of genes, or the entire genome. The exome only has about 30 million nucleotides and about 23,000 genes, compared to the human genomes about 3100 million nucleotides. Large-scale sequencing investigations of IHD can find uncommon genetic variations that, in theory, should have a greater impact than common variants. In a recent study, approximately 6700 patients and 6700 controls underwent discovery exome sequencing, which was followed by targeted exon sequencing. The analysis revealed rare variants in the LDLR and apolipoprotein A-V (APOA5) sequences that were linked to a higher risk of acute myocardial infarction (OR from 1.5 to 4.5), providing fresh evidence for the significant impact of lipid metabolites (triglycerides and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol [LDL-C]) on cardiovascular risk. In addition to identifying uncommon IHD-associated variations in the lipid metabolism genes apolipoprotein C3 (APOC3), niemann-Pick C1-Like 1 (NPC1L1), scavenger receptor class B, type I (SCARB1), angiopoietin-like (ANGPTL), lipoprotein lipase (LPL), and Sushi, von willebrand factor type A, EGF, and pentraxin domain containing 1 (SVEP1), other investigations have focused on certain genes [72].

#### *5.4.1. Apolipoprotein C3 (APOC3)*

APOC3 is an important regulator of triglyceride metabolism. It is primarily produced in the liver and inhibits lipoprotein lipase (LPL), reducing the clearance of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins. Variants in APOC3 are associated with altered triglyceride levels and IHD risk. Loss-of-function mutations in APOC3 are linked to lower plasma triglyceride

levels and a reduced risk of coronary heart disease. Conversely, gain-of-function mutations can lead to hypertriglyceridemia and increased IHD risk. Genome sequence studies have identified rare variants, such as the APOC3 R19X mutation, which protects IHD [73].

#### *5.4.2. Niemann-nick C1-like 1 (NPC1L1)*

NPC1L1 is critical for the intestinal absorption of cholesterol, facilitating the uptake of dietary and biliary cholesterol into enterocytes. Variants in NPC1L1 affect cholesterol absorption efficiency, influencing plasma cholesterol levels and IHD risk. Genome studies have highlighted the impact of NPC1L1 polymorphisms on cholesterol levels. The therapeutic use of ezetimibe, an NPC1L1 inhibitor, demonstrates reduced LDL cholesterol levels and lowered IHD risk, underscoring the gene's significance in lipid metabolism.

#### *5.4.3. Scavenger receptor class B, type I (SCARB1)*

SCARB1 encodes a receptor involved in the selective uptake of HDL cholesterol into hepatocytes and steroidogenic cells, playing a crucial role in reverse cholesterol transport. Variants in SCARB1 can affect HDL function and cholesterol uptake, influencing IHD risk. Certain mutations in SCARB1 are linked to higher HDL cholesterol levels but paradoxically increased IHD risk, indicating a complex role in cardiovascular health. Genome sequence studies have identified key SCARB1 variants that contribute to IHD susceptibility [74,75].

#### *5.4.4. Angiopoietin-like (ANGPTL)*

The ANGPTL family, particularly ANGPTL3, AN-GPTL4, and ANGPTL8, regulate lipid metabolism by inhibiting lipoprotein lipase, thus controlling triglyceride clearance from the bloodstream. Genetic variants in ANGPTL genes can significantly impact lipid levels and IHD risk. Loss-of-function mutations in ANGPTL3 are associated with reduced levels of triglycerides and LDL cholesterol, leading to a decreased risk of IHD. Genome studies have explored the roles of ANGPTL family members in lipid regulation and cardiovascular disease [76,77].

#### *5.4.5. Lipoprotein lipase (LPL)*

LPL is an enzyme crucial for the hydrolysis of triglycerides in lipoproteins, converting them into free fatty acids and glycerol. Mutations in LPL can lead

to hypertriglyceridemia, increasing the risk of atherosclerosis and IHD. Conversely, some variants can confer protection against IHD by enhancing lipid clearance. Genome sequence studies have identified various LPL mutations that influence lipid metabolism and IHD risk, highlighting the enzyme's pivotal role in cardiovascular health [78].

## *5.4.6. Sushi, von willebrand factor type A, EGF, and pentraxin domain containing 1 (SVEP1)*

SVEP1 is a multifunctional protein involved in cellular adhesion and signaling. Its role in lipid metabolism and cardiovascular health is not fully understood, but variants in SVEP1 have been linked to increased risk of coronary artery disease (CAD) and IHD. It is thought to influence vascular inflammation and plaque stability. Genome sequence studies continue to investigate the specific mechanisms by which SVEP1 variants affect IHD susceptibility, providing insights into its role in cardiovascular disease [79].

# **6. Future Directions for the Management and Treatment of Ischemic Heart Disease**

#### *6.1. Integrative approaches*

Diet is of utmost importance in managing ischemic heart disease. Add various fruits, vegetables, seeds, grains, nuts, herbs, fish, and omega-3 fatty acidscontaining foods to the diet. Consume less salt, sugar, and saturated fats. Apart from the diet, it is essential to do regular exercise for at least an hour a day [80]. Regular exercise improves cardiac health and reduces the risk of IHD. Incorporate stress-relieving practices like yoga, meditation, or deep breathing exercises in addition to physical activity. IHD can be managed by giving up smoking, drinking less alcohol, controlling body weight, getting frequent checkups, and monitoring [81].

#### *6.2. Precision medicine*

The use of genetic profiling, individualized therapies, and clinical and technology integration in precision medicine can completely transform the way that ischemic heart disease is treated in the future. Genetic profiling includes identifying genetic risk factors, predicting drug response, and identifying familial genetic conditions [82]. The risk of IHD

is increased by the genetic polymorphisms. Impair in the lipid metabolism and risk of IHD is associated with the variant's genes like LDLR, APOB, and PCSK9. Pharmacogenomic studies help understand how genetic variation affects drug interaction and their responses in patients. Cytochrome P450 2C19 gene polymorphism affects the metabolism, efficacy, and increases the risk of adverse effects of clopidogrel, an antiplatelet drug used in the treatment of IHD. From this genetic and phenotypic information, choosing another appropriate drug to improve efficacy and reduce the adverse effects can be suggested. Adding various fruits, vegetables, seeds, grains, nuts, herbs, fish, and omega-3 fatty acids-containing foods to the diet, consuming less salt, sugar, and saturated fats, incorporating foods like berries, citrus fruits, apples, leafy greens, cruciferous vegetables, tomatoes, chia seeds, flaxseeds, pumpkin seeds, whole grains, almonds, walnuts, pistachios, and fatty fish into the diet must be considered. These foods provide essential nutrients, antioxidants, and omega-3 fatty acids, which support heart health. Reduce salt, sugar, and saturated fats. Medications such as statins (atorvastatin, rosuvastatin), ACE inhibitors (enalapril, lisinopril), beta-blockers (metoprolol, bisoprolol), antiplatelet agents (aspirin, ticagrelor), omega-3 supplements, PCSK9 inhibitors (alirocumab, evolocumab), and SGLT2 inhibitors (empagliflozin, canagliflozin) can improve efficacy and reduce adverse effects in IHD management [83]. Physicians can guide personalized lifestyles based on the genetic data of the individual. Patients with specific genetic predisposition to dyslipidemia or hypertension can receive targeted dietary and exercise advice. Electronic health records, clinical decision support systems, and sophisticated genomic technologies are widely available and affordable for facilitating comprehensive genetic profiling, including targeted gene panels, whole exome sequencing, and genome sequencing [84]

#### *6.3. Global health initiatives*

The management of ischemic heart disease is greatly impacted by global health programmes that support treatment equity, early identification, and prevention, especially in low- and middle-income nations. These initiatives are spearheaded by groups like the UN's Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the WHO, the World Heart Federation (WHF), and other NGOs. Programs like the WHO's Global

Hearts Initiative are examples of these initiatives. To lower the prevalence of IHD and enhance cardiovascular outcomes worldwide, these programs make use of tactics including the HEARTS technical package, public-private collaborations, and technological breakthroughs in digital health [85].

## **7. Conclusion**

WHO expresses concern about the continuous increase in ischemia heart disease as a leading cause of death over more than a decade and challenges in the management and treatment of ischemia heart disease due to various hurdles in the currently available diagnostic tools, lifestyle conditions, currently available marketed drugs used for the treatment of IHD have limited efficacy and more side effects due to genetic variations. The main objective of this review is to provide an overview of the clinical consequences of various diagnostic techniques and treatment strategies, including standard drugs, innovative pharmacological findings, and revascularization treatments. Current research, genetic investigations, integrative medicine methods, precision medicine strategies, and initiatives by different organizations raising awareness of IHD. With the use of all this information, physicians are better able to identify problems in their patients, provide suggestions, and provide appropriate care, ultimately saving lives. Additionally, by understanding how medications interact with different genetic conditions, researchers can develop new molecules with less adverse effects and maximum efficacy.

#### **Acknowledgements**

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who contributed to this review. I extend my deepest appreciation to our institution "Faculty of Pharmacy-Sri Adichunchanagiri College of Pharmacy" for providing the necessary resources and support. Special thanks to our colleagues for their insightful discussions and valuable feedback that significantly enhanced the quality of this work.

## **Conflict of Interest**

The authors have no conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise, to declare.

#### **Statement of Contribution of Researchers**

Concept-M.C. T.Y.P.; Design-G.H., K.B.; Super Vision-D.R., G.K.; Data Collection-G.H., M.C.; Literature Search- G.H., G.K.; Writing- G.H., K.B.; Critical Review-T.Y.P., M.C.;

## **References**

- 1. Seki A, Fishbein MC. Ischemic Heart Disease. Pathobiology of Human Disease, Elsevier; 2014, p. 995–1013. https://doi. org/10.1016/B978-0-12-386456-7.03305-0
- 2. Severino P, D'Amato A, Pucci M, Infusino F, Adamo F, Birtolo LI, et al. Ischemic Heart Disease Pathophysiology Paradigms Overview: From Plaque Activation to Microvascular Dysfunction. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(21):8118. https://doi. org/10.3390/ijms21218118
- 3. The top 10 causes of death n.d. 2024. [Cited May 2024]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ the-top-10-causes-of-death.
- 4. Yan D, Liu K, Li F, Shi D, Wei L, Zhang J, et al. Global burden of ischemic heart disease associated with high red and processed meat consumption: an analysis of 204 countries and territories between 1990 and 2019. BMC Public Health. 2023;23(1):1-15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-16954- 4
- 5. Khatib R, McKee M, Shannon H, Chow C, Rangarajan S, Teo K, et al. Availability and affordability of cardiovascular disease medicines and their effect on use in high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries: an analysis of the PURE study data. Lancet. 2016;387(10013):61–9. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00469-9
- 6. Racca V, Spezzaferri R, Modica M, Mazzini P, Jonsdottir J, De Maria R, et al. Functioning and disability in ischaemic heart disease. Disabil Rehabil. 2010;9(5):1–15. https://doi.org/10.3 109/09638288.2010.511691
- 7. Morrow DA. The Fourth Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction and the Emerging Importance of Myocardial Injury. Circ. 2020;141(3):172–5. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULA-TIONAHA.119.044125
- 8. Fihn SD, Gardin JM, Abrams J, Berra K, Blankenship JC, Dallas AP, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS Guideline for the Diagnosis and Management of Patients With Stable Ischemic Heart Disease. Circ. 2012;126(5):e354-471. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0b013e318277d6a0
- 9. Task Force Member, Montalescot G, Sechtem U, Achenbach S, Andreotti F, Arden C, et al. 2013 ESC guidelines on the management of stable coronary artery disease. Eur Heart J. 2013;34(38):2949–3003. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/eht296
- 10. Skinner JS, Smeeth L, Kendall JM, Adams PC, Timmis A. NICE guidance. Chest pain of recent onset: assessment and diagnosis of recent onset chest pain or discomfort of suspected cardiac origin. Heart. 2010;96(12):974–8. https://doi. org/10.1136/hrt.2009.190066
- 11. Virani SS, Newby LK, Arnold S V., Bittner V, Brewer LC, Demeter SH, et al. 2023 AHA/ACC/ACCP/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline for the Management of Patients With Chronic Coronary Disease: A Report of the American Heart Association/ American College of Cardiology Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circ. 2023;148(9): e9-e119. https://doi. org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000001168
- 12. Hundley WG, Bluemke DA, Finn JP, Flamm SD, Fogel MA, Friedrich MG, et al. ACCF/ACR/AHA/NASCI/SCMR 2010 Expert Consensus Document on Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. Circ. 2010;121(22):2462–508. https://doi. org/10.1161/CIR.0b013e3181d44a8f
- 13. Knuuti J, Wijns W, Saraste A, Capodanno D, Barbato E, Funck-Brentano C, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes. Eur Heart J. 2020;41(3):407–77. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ ehz425
- 14. Dilsizian V, Bacharach SL, Beanlands RS, Bergmann SR, Delbeke D, Dorbala S, et al. ASNC imaging guidelines/SNMMI procedure standard for positron emission tomography (PET) nuclear cardiology procedures. JNC. 2016;23(5):1187–226. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12350-016-0522-3
- 15. American Society of Nuclear Cardiology and Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging Joint Position Statement on the Clinical Indications for Myocardial Perfusion PET. JNC. 2016;23(5):1227–31. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12350-016-0626-9
- 16. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Chaitman BR, Bax JJ, Morrow DA, et al. Fourth Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction (2018). J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;72(18):2231–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.08.1038
- 17. Aboyans V, Criqui MH, Abraham P, Allison MA, Creager MA, Diehm C, et al. Measurement and Interpretation of the Ankle-Brachial Index. Circ. 2012;126(24):2890–909. https://doi. org/10.1161/CIR.0b013e318276fbcb
- 18. Naghavi M, Atlas K, Jaberzadeh A, Zhang C, Manubolu V, Li D, et al. Validation of Opportunistic Artificial Intelligence-Based Bone Mineral Density Measurements in Coronary Artery Calcium Scans. JACR. 2024;21(4):624–32. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jacr.2023.05.006
- 19. de Oliveira Laterza Ribeiro M, Correia VM, Herling de Oliveira LL, Soares PR, Scudeler TL. Evolving Diagnostic and Management Advances in Coronary Heart Disease. Life. 2023;13(4):1-27. https://doi.org/10.3390/life13040951
- 20. Virk HUH, Escobar J, Rodriguez M, Bates ER, Khalid U, Jneid H, et al. Dual Antiplatelet Therapy: A Concise Review for Clinicians. Life. 2023;13(7):1580. https://doi.org/10.3390/ life13071580
- 21. Gawaz M, Geisler T, Borst O. Current concepts and novel targets for antiplatelet therapy. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2023;20(9):583– 99. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41569-023-00854-6
- 22. Kadoglou NPE, Stasinopoulou M. How to Use Statins in Secondary Prevention of Atherosclerotic Diseases: from the Beneficial Early Initiation to the Potentially Unfavorable Discontinuation. Cardiovasc Drugs Ther. 2023;37(2):353–62. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10557-021-07233-8
- 23. Khan O, Patel M, Tomdio AN, Beall J, Jovin IS. Beta-Blockers in the Prevention and Treatment of Ischemic Heart Disease. Heart Views. 2023;24(1):41–9. https://doi.org/10.4103/ heartviews.heartviews\_75\_22
- 24. Iragavarapu T. Beta Blockers—Still the Best Option or an Obligation. Indian J Clin Cardiol. 2024;5(1):22–32. https://doi. org/10.1177/26324636231226031
- 25. Ziff OJ, Samra M, Howard JP, Bromage DI, Ruschitzka F, Francis DP, et al. Beta-blocker efficacy across different cardiovascular indications: an umbrella review and metaanalytic assessment. BMC Med. 2020;18(1):103. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12916-020-01564-3
- 26. Kalyuzhin V V., Teplyakov AT, Bespalova ID, Kalyuzhina E V., Terentyeva NN, Grakova E V., et al. Promising directions in the treatment of chronic heart failure: improving old or developing new ones. Bull Siberian Med. 2022;21(3):181–97. https://doi.org/10.20538/1682-0363-2022-3-181-197
- 27. de Oliveira Laterza Ribeiro M, Correia VM, Herling de Oliveira LL, Soares PR, Scudeler TL. Evolving Diagnostic and Management Advances in Coronary Heart Disease. Life. 2023;13(4):951-15. https://doi.org/10.3390/life13040951
- 28. Sethi Y, Patel N, Kaka N, Kaiwan O, Kar J, Moinuddin A, et al. Precision Medicine and the future of Cardiovascular Diseases: A Clinically Oriented Comprehensive Review. J Clin Med. 2023;12(5):1799. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12051799
- 29. Bottardi A, Prado GFA, Lunardi M, Fezzi S, Pesarini G, Tavella D, et al. Clinical Updates in Coronary Artery Disease: A Comprehensive Review. J Clin Med. 2024;13(16):4600. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm13164600
- 30. Manolis AA, Manolis TA, Manolis AS. Managing chronic coronary syndrome: how do we achieve optimal patient outcomes. Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther. 2024;22(6):243–63. https:// doi.org/10.1080/14779072.2024.2357344
- 31. Pedretti RFE, Hansen D, Ambrosetti M, Back M, Berger T, Ferreira MC, et al. How to optimize the adherence to a guideline-directed medical therapy in the secondary prevention

of cardiovascular diseases: a clinical consensus statement from the European Association of Preventive Cardiology. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2023;30(2):149–66. https://doi.org/10.1093/ eurjpc/zwac204

- 32. Sapna F, Raveena F, Chandio M, Bai K, Sayyar M, Varrassi G, et al. Advancements in Heart Failure Management: A Comprehensive Narrative Review of Emerging Therapies. Cureus. 2023;15(10). e46486. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.46486
- 33. Clappers N, Brouwer MA, Verheugt FWA. Antiplatelet treatment for coronary heart disease. Heart. 2005;93(2):258–65. https://doi.org/10.1136/hrt.2005.071209
- 34. Oikawa T, Sakata Y, Nochioka K, Miura M, Tsuji K, Onose T, et al. Prognostic Impact of Statin Intensity in Heart Failure Patients With Ischemic Heart Disease: A Report From the CHART□2 (Chronic Heart Failure Registry and Analysis in the Tohoku District 2) Study. J Am Heart Assoc. 2018;7(6):7524- 34. https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.117.007524
- 35. Khan O, Patel M, Tomdio A, Beall J, Jovin I. Beta-blockers in the prevention and treatment of ischemic heart disease: Evidence and clinical practice. Heart Views. 2023;24(1):41-9. https://doi.org/10.4103/heartviews.heartviews\_75\_22
- 36. O'Keefe JH, Wetzel M, Moe RR, Brosnahan K, Lavie CJ. Should an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor be standard therapy for patients with atherosclerotic disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2001;37(1):1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0735- 1097(00)01044-5
- 37. Böhm M, Baumhäkel M, Mahfoud F, Werner C. From Evidence to Rationale: Cardiovascular Protection by Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers Compared with Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors. Cardiol. 2010;117(3):163–73. https://doi. org/10.1159/000320094
- 38. Shemin DG, Dworkin LD. Calcium Channel Blockers. Therapy in Nephrology & Hypertension. Elsevier; 2008, p. 610–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-141605484-9.50055-1
- 39. Giuseppe C, Paul J, Hans-Ulrich I. Use of nitrates in ischemic heart disease. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2015;16(11):1567– 72. https://doi.org/10.1517/14656566.2015.1052742
- 40. Chaudhary R, Garg J, Shah N, Sumner A. PCSK9 inhibitors: A new era of lipid lowering therapy. World J Cardiol. 2017;9(2):76-87. https://doi.org/10.4330/wjc.v9.i2.76
- 41. He G, Yang G, Huang X, Luo D, Tang C, Zhang Z. SGLT2 inhibitors for prevention of primary and secondary cardiovascular outcomes: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Heart & Lung. 2023;59:109–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. hrtlng.2023.02.009
- 42. Muscoli S, Barillà F, Tajmir R, Meloni M, Della Morte D, Bellia A, et al. The New Role of SGLT2 Inhibitors in the Management of Heart Failure. Curr Evid Futur Perspect.

2022;14(8):1730-45. https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics14081730

- 43. Turgeon RD, Ackman ML, Babadagli HE, Basaraba JE, Chen JW, Omar M, et al. The Role of Direct Oral Anticoagulants in Patients With Coronary Artery Disease. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther. 2019;24(2):103–12. https://doi. org/10.1177/1074248418795889
- 44. Chiarito M, Cao D, Cannata F, Godino C, Lodigiani C, Ferrante G, et al. Direct Oral Anticoagulants in Addition to Antiplatelet Therapy for Secondary Prevention After Acute Coronary Syndromes. JAMA Cardiol. 2018;3(3):234-45. https://doi. org/10.1001/jamacardio.2017.5306
- 45. Ahmad M, Mehta P, Reddivari AKR, Mungee S. Percutaneous Coronary Intervention; 2024. 112 P.
- 46. Bhatt DL. Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in 2018. JAMA. 2018;319(20):2127-28. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jama.2018.5281
- 47. Serruys PW, Morice M-C, Kappetein AP, Colombo A, Holmes DR, Mack MJ, et al. Percutaneous Coronary Intervention versus Coronary-Artery Bypass Grafting for Severe Coronary Artery Disease. New England Journal of Medicine. 2009;360(10):961–72. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJ-Moa0804626
- 48. Spadaccio C, Benedetto U. Coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) vs. percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in the treatment of multivessel coronary disease: quo vadis? —a review of the evidences on coronary artery disease. Ann Cardiothorac Surg. 2018;7(4):506–15. https://doi.org/10.21037/ acs.2018.05.17
- 49. Tsai I-T, Sun C-K. Stem Cell Therapy against Ischemic Heart Disease. Int J Mol Sci. 2024;25(7):3778. https://doi. org/10.3390/ijms25073778
- 50. Jameel MN, Zhang J. Stem Cell Therapy for Ischemic Heart Disease. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2010;13(12):1879–97. https://doi.org/10.1089/ars.2010.3434
- 51. Jyotsna F, Ikram J, Nageeta F, Komal F, Anjlee F, Patel H, et al. Unlocking the Potential of Immunotherapy in Cardiovascular Disease: A Comprehensive Review of Applications and Future Directions. Cureus. 2023;15(8):e42790. https://doi. org/10.7759/cureus.42790
- 52. Rurik JG, Aghajanian H, Epstein JA. Immune Cells and Immunotherapy for Cardiac Injury and Repair. Circ Res. 2021;128(11):1766–79. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESA-HA.121.318005
- 53. Maruthur NM, Wang N-Y, Appel LJ. Lifestyle Interventions Reduce Coronary Heart Disease Risk. Circ. 2009;119(15):2026–31. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATI-ONAHA.108.809491
- 54. Semaev S, Shakhtshneider E. Genetic Risk Score for Coronary Heart Disease: Review. J Pers Med. 2020;10(4):239-48. https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm10040239
- 55. Shi Y, Zhang H, Huang S, Yin L, Wang F, Luo P, et al. Epigenetic regulation in cardiovascular disease: mechanisms and advances in clinical trials. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2022;7(1):200-19. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-022- 01055-2
- 56. Xu R, Ma L, Cui S, Chen L, Xu H. Abordagem de Bioinformática e Biologia de Sistemas para Identificar a Ligação Patogenética entre Insuficiência Cardíaca e Sarcopenia. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2023;120(10). https://doi.org/10.36660/ abc.20220874
- 57. Crea F. Ischaemic heart disease: prevention, management, mechanisms, and new therapeutic targets. Eur Heart J. 2024;45(9):637–41. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehae114
- 58. Sesso HD, Lee I-M, Gaziano JM, Rexrode KM, Glynn RJ, Buring JE. Maternal and Paternal History of Myocardial Infarction and Risk of Cardiovascular Disease in Men and Women. Circ. 2001;104(4):393–8. https://doi.org/10.1161/ hc2901.093115
- 59. Lloyd-Jones DM, Nam B-H, D'Agostino SRB, Levy D, Murabito JM, Wang TJ, et al. Parental Cardiovascular Disease as a Risk Factor for Cardiovascular Disease in Middle-aged Adults. JAMA. 2004;291(18):2204-15. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jama.291.18.2204
- 60. Zdravkovic S, Wienke A, Pedersen NL, Marenberg ME, Yashin AI, De Faire U. Heritability of death from coronary heart disease: a  $36\Box$ year follow $\Box$ up of 20 966 Swedish twins. J Intern Med. 2002;252(3):247–54. https://doi.org/10.1046/ j.1365-2796.2002.01029.x
- 61. Sánchez-Hernández RM, Civeira F, Stef M, Perez-Calahorra S, Almagro F, Plana N, et al. Homozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia in Spain. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2016;9(6):504–10. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCGENETICS.116.001545
- 62. Alonso R, Díaz-Díaz JL, Arrieta F, Fuentes-Jiménez F, de Andrés R, Saenz P, et al. Clinical and molecular characteristics of homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia patients: Insights from SAFEHEART registry. J Clin Lipidol. 2016;10(4):953–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacl.2016.04.006
- 63. Dawn Teare M, Barrett JH. Genetic linkage studies. Lancet. 2005;366(9490):1036–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140- 6736(05)67382-5
- 64. Kathiresan S, Srivastava D. Genetics of Human Cardiovascular Disease. Cell. 2012;148(6):1242–57. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.03.001
- 65. Varret M, Rabès J-P, Saint-Jore B, Cenarro A, Marinoni J-C, Civeira F, et al. A Third Major Locus for Autosomal Dominant

Hypercholesterolemia Maps to 1p34.1-p32. Am J Hum Genet. 1999;64(5):1378–87. https://doi.org/10.1086/302370

- 66. Wang L, Fan C, Topol SE, Topol EJ, Wang Q. Mutation of MEF2A in an Inherited Disorder with Features of Coronary Artery Disease. Science. 2003;302(5650):1578–81. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.1088477
- 67. Lohmueller KE, Pearce CL, Pike M, Lander ES, Hirschhorn JN. Meta-analysis of genetic association studies supports a contribution of common variants to susceptibility to common disease. Nat Genet. 2003;33(2):177–82. https://doi. org/10.1038/ng1071
- 68. McPherson R, Pertsemlidis A, Kavaslar N, Stewart A, Roberts R, Cox DR, et al. A Common Allele on Chromosome 9 Associated with Coronary Heart Disease. Science. 2007;316(5830):1488–91. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1142447
- 69. Deloukas P, Kanoni S, Willenborg C, Farrall M, Assimes TL, Thompson JR, et al. Large-scale association analysis identifies new risk loci for coronary artery disease. Nat Genet. 2013;45(1):25–33. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2480
- 70. Lappalainen I, Almeida-King J, Kumanduri V, Senf A, Spalding JD, ur-Rehman S, et al. The European Genome-phenome Archive of human data consented for biomedical research. Nat Genet. 2015;47(7):692–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3312
- 71. Won H-H, Natarajan P, Dobbyn A, Jordan DM, Roussos P, Lage K, et al. Disproportionate Contributions of Select Genomic Compartments and Cell Types to Genetic Risk for Coronary Artery Disease. PLoS Genet. 2015;11(10):e1005622. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005622
- 72. Zanoni P, Khetarpal SA, Larach DB, Hancock-Cerutti WF, Millar JS, Cuchel M, et al. Rare variant in scavenger receptor BI raises HDL cholesterol and increases risk of coronary heart disease. Science. 2016;351(6278):1166–71. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.aad3517
- 73. Dib I, Khalil A, Chouaib R, El-Makhour Y, Noureddine H. Apolipoprotein C-III and cardiovascular diseases: when genetics meet molecular pathologies. Mol Biol Rep. 2021;48(1):875– 86. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-020-06071-5
- 74. Churilin MI, Kononov SI, Luneva Yu V., Kazanov VA, Azarova IE, Klyosova EYu, et al. Polymorphisms of Intracellular Cholesterol Transporters Genes: Relationship to Blood Lipid Levels, Carotid Intima-Media Thickness, and the Development of Coronary Heart Disease. Russ J Genet. 2020;56(2):234–41. https://doi.org/10.1134/S1022795420020040
- 75. Kathiresan S. Developing Medicines That Mimic the Natural Successes of the Human Genome. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015;65(15):1562–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jacc.2015.02.049
- 76. Morelli MB, Chavez C, Santulli G. Angiopoietin-like proteins as therapeutic targets for cardiovascular disease: focus on lipid disorders. Expert Opin Ther Targets. 2020;24(1):79–88. https://doi.org/10.1080/14728222.2020.1707806
- 77. Tarugi P, Bertolini S, Calandra S. Angiopoietin-like protein 3 (ANGPTL3) deficiency and familial combined hypolipidemia. J Biomed Res. 2019;33(2):73-90. https://doi.org/10.7555/ JBR.32.20170114
- 78. Pirim D, Wang X, Radwan ZH, Niemsiri V, Hokanson JE, Hamman RF, et al. Lipoprotein lipase gene sequencing and plasma lipid profile. J Lipid Res. 2014;55(1):85–93. https:// doi.org/10.1194/jlr.M043265
- 79. Kuchenbaecker K, Gilly A, Suveges D, Southam L, Giannakopoulou O, Kilian B, et al. Insights into the genetic architecture of haematological traits from deep phenotyping and whole-genome sequencing for two Mediterranean isolated populations. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):1131-45. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598- 021-04436-9
- 80. Guarneri M, Mercado N, Suhar C. Integrative Approaches for Cardiovascular Disease. Nutrition in Clinical Practice. 2009;24(6):701–8. https://doi. org/10.1177/0884533609343453
- 81. Aggarwal M, Aggarwal B, Rao J. Integrative Medicine for Cardiovascular Disease and Prevention. Med Clin North Am. 2017;101(5):895–923. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. mcna.2017.04.007
- 82. Prati F, Ruscica G, Marco V, Albertucci M. 'Precision medicine' and ischaemic heart disease: the stage is set for the new antibody based therapies (lipid lowering and anti-inflammatory). Eur Heart J Suppl. 2019;21(4):B73–5. https://doi.org/10.1093/ eurheartj/suz029
- 83. Yang Y, Zhang Y, Ren M, Wang Y, Cairang Z, Lin R, et al. Association of cytochrome P450 2C19 polymorphisms with coronary heart disease risk. Med. 2020;99(50):e23652. https:// doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000023652
- 84. Bielecka-Dabrowa A, Lewek J, Sakowicz A, Paduszyńska A, Dąbrowa M, Orszulak-Michalak D, et al. Effects of Implementing Personalized Health Education in Ambulatory Care on Cardiovascular Risk Factors, Compliance and Satisfaction with Treatment. J Pers Med. 2022;12(10):1583-97. https://doi. org/10.3390/jpm12101583
- 85. Global Hearts Initiative n.d. 2024. [Cited June 2024]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/15-09-2016-globalhearts-initiative.