Bitki Koruma Bülteni / Plant Protection Bulletin

http://dergipark.gov.tr/bitkorb

Original article

Evaluation of pathogenic variation among *Rhizoctonia solani* isolates infecting different crops and potential biocontrol agents

Farklı ürün türlerini enfekte eden *Rhizoctonia solani* izolatları arasındaki patojenik varyasyonun ve potansiyel biyokontrol ajanlarının değerlendirilmesi

Abdelhak Rhouma^{a*}, Lobna Hajji-Hedfi^a, Pravin Babasaheb Khaire^b, Abdulnabi Abdul Ameer Matrood^c

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6074-0076, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3587-4790, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1793-7839, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3474-2876

^aRegional Centre of Agricultural Research of Sidi Bouzid, CRRA, Gafsa Road Km 6, B.P. 357, 9100, Sidi Bouzid, Tunisia ^bDepartment of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri 413722 Maharashtra, India ^cDepartment of Plant Protection, College of Agriculture, University of Basrah, 61004, Iraq

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: DOI: 10.16955/bitkorb.1507155 Received : 29-06-2024 Accepted : 21-11-2024

Keywords:

Damping-off disease, *Trichoderma* spp., tomato, melon, pathogenicity, watermelon

* Corresponding author: Abdelhak Rhouma <u>abdelhak.rhouma@gmail.com</u>

ABSTRACT

Rhizoctonia solani is an important broad-spectrum fungal pathogen that infects over 200 plant species including tomato, melon, and watermelon. This study evaluated the pathogenicity of various R. solani isolates (Rs26, Rs94, Rs13, Rs57, and Rs123) and the efficacy of biological agents (Trichoderma harzianum, T. viride, Metarhizium sp., Gliocladium sp.) under laboratory and greenhouse conditions for eco-friendly disease management. The results of the pathogenicity assay confirmed the varying aggressiveness of the isolates, with Rs94 and Rs13 causing the most severe disease in watermelon (disease severity (DS) = 3.80 and 3.83, disease severity index (DSI) = 90.43% and 95.75%, respectively). Similarly, isolate Rs26 displayed the highest pathogenicity in tomatoes (DS = 3.84; DSI = 94.86%). Melon exhibited high susceptibility across all isolates, with consistently high DS and DSI values exceeding 2.59 and 80.97%, respectively. Subsequent in vitro and in vivo assays demonstrated the antifungal potential of all tested agents against R. solani isolates. Notably, Trichoderma spp. displayed the most consistent and significant inhibition (mycelial growth reduction 82.97%-94.67%), with T. harzianum demonstrating superior performance. Greenhouse trials confirmed the effectiveness of T. harzianum as a preventative treatment, enhancing plant enzyme activity [peroxidase = 4.97-5.29 units g⁻¹ ml⁻¹ min⁻¹ for tomato and watermelon, respectively; catalase = 99.93-101.22 units g⁻¹ ml⁻¹ min⁻¹ for watermelon and melon, respectively] and significantly reducing disease severity index (DSI < 12.43%). These findings highlight the potential of T. harzianum as a sustainable and eco-friendly strategy for managing R. solani damping-off disease in tomato, melon, and watermelon crops.

INTRODUCTION

Rhizoctonia solani JG Kühn (teleomorph: Thanatephorus cucumeris (AB Frank) Donk) is a complex of soil-borne fungal plant pathogens encompassing over 100 distinct species (Abbas et al. 2023, Li et al. 2021). This necrotrophic fungal pathogen thrives by deriving nutrients from dead or dying host tissues (Li et al. 2021). One of its key survival mechanisms is the formation of sclerotia, hardened structures that allow the fungus to persist in the soil during unfavorable conditions (Erper et al. 2021). This complex has a broad host range and global distribution, causing diseases in various economically important agricultural and horticultural crops and trees (Erper et al. 2021). The wide range of plants it can infect allows it to cause numerous diseases. For instance, it causes sheath blight in key field crops like corn and rice and it also causes root rot in vegetables and legumes (Canpolat and Tülek 2019, Canpolat et al. 2023, Dubey et al. 2012, Ozan and Aşkın 2006, Ozan and Maden 2004, Yücel and Çolak 2008). R. solani exhibits varying degrees of virulence towards different crops. It is particularly destructive to seedlings and seeds of vegetables like eggplant, pepper, lettuce, and zinnia (Abbas et al. 2023). This fungus causes stem canker and black scurf in potatoes, significantly reducing tuber yield and quality (Naqvi et al. 2024). However, one of the most critical diseases caused by R. solani is cotton root rot, posing a substantial threat to this economically vital crop. In greenhouses, it is a primary pathogen responsible for root and crown rot in tomatoes. This fungus extends its destructive reach to numerous vegetables, including cucurbits and tomatoes, causing various seedling diseases such as seed rot, root rot, preemergence damping-off, and post-emergence damping-off. The economic impact of R. solani is substantial, causing significant yield losses across more than a hundred crop and horticultural species annually. Moreover, its emergence as a significant problem is ongoing, with recent observations highlighting its ability to cause stem rot in sweet potatoes (Abbas et al. 2023, Naqvi et al. 2024).

Rhizoctonia solani is a ubiquitous and cosmopolitan soilborne fungal plant pathogen exhibiting a multifaceted lifestyle (Naqvi et al. 2024). This fungus can exist as both a saprophyte, decomposing dead organic matter in the soil, and a pathogen capable of infecting living plants (Li et al. 2021). The *R. solani* complex is further classified into fourteen genetically distinct anastomosis groups (AG1 to AG13 and AGBI) (Abbas et al. 2023). These groups exhibit host specificity, meaning they preferentially infect certain plant species and are unable to reproduce sexually with each other (Erper et al. 2021). As a whole, the complex has a very broad host range, encompassing numerous plant species crucial to agriculture, forestry, and the bioenergy sector (Yang et al. 2024). This includes prominent crops such as tomato, wheat, rice, barley, potato, melon, watermelon, and sugar beet. The extensive host range and diverse lifestyles within the *R. solani* complex highlight its significant role as a plant pathogen with a broad economic impact (Dubey et al. 2012). The increasing pathogenicity of *R. solani* strains underscores the urgent need for the development of effective control strategies to mitigate the widespread damage it causes (Abdelghany et al. 2022, Dubey et al. 2011).

Rhizoctonia solani is a major fungal pathogen responsible for significant pre- and post-emergence damping-off and root rot diseases in various vegetables (Yang et al. 2024). Unfortunately, effective fungicides for Rhizoctonia control are limited for many vegetables, with some chemicals like chlorothalonil, thyophanate methyl, and iprodione showing some efficacy but with growing concerns about their environmental impact (Agrios 1988, Hajji-Hedfi et al. 2023). This necessitates exploring alternative, more sustainable solutions. Biocontrol programs using fungal and bacterial mycoparasites offer a promising approach for managing soilborne pathogens like R. solani (Albastawisi and Kotan 2024, Mohamed et al. 2020, Ruiz-Cisneros et al. 2018). Among various biocontrol agents, Trichoderma spp. have emerged as particularly effective antagonists against R. solani (Behiry et al. 2023). Trichoderma spp. employ a multifaceted biocontrol strategy, including production of antibiotics and hydrolytic enzymes, direct mycoparasitism of R. solani hyphae, and hyphal disruption (Hajji-Hedfi et al. 2023). The specific mechanisms likely vary depending on the fungal strains involved, potentially involving a combination of these strategies acting independently or synergistically during microbial interactions (Almaghasla et al. 2023). Besides, Trichoderma spp. may influence the viability of R. solani sclerotia, offering an additional layer of control (Behiry et al. 2023). Overall, research on Trichoderma spp. and other biocontrol agents presents a promising and environmentally friendly approach for mitigating the detrimental effects of R. solani on vegetable crops (Shalaby et al. 2022).

The present study aimed to (i) investigate the pathogenicity of five *R. solani* isolates on tomato, melon, and watermelon, (ii) evaluate the *in vitro* and *in vivo* efficacy of *Trichoderma* spp., *Metarhizium* sp., and *Gliocladium* sp. as a potential biocontrol agent for managing *R. solani* infections.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pathogenicity test

A study investigated the virulence of five R. solani isolates (Rs26, Rs94, Rs13, Rs57, and Rs123) on three crops [tomato (cv. Firenze), melon (cv. Badii), and watermelon (cv. Crimson Sweet)]. The experiment aimed to identify variations in virulence among the isolates. Each isolate originated from a different soil source (watermelon, tomato, melon, tomato, and watermelon). Disinfested potting mix (clay:sand, 2:1 v/v) was added to sterilized pots (20 cm diameter) at 2.5 kg per pot. Inoculum for each fungal isolate was prepared by culturing them in sterilized sorghum grain medium for 15 days at 25 °C \pm 2 °C. To infest the soil, the inoculum was mixed with the upper potting mix layer at a rate of 2% (w/w). The infested potting mix was thoroughly mixed and irrigated every other day for a week before planting to stimulate fungal growth and ensure proper distribution throughout the soil. Five healthy seeds from the Regional Centre of Agricultural Research of Sidi Bouzid, Tunisia were sown in each pot. Three replicate pots were used for each isolate-crop combination, with an additional three un-infested pots serving as controls (negative control). Plants were grown in a greenhouse chamber under a 16 h/8 h light/dark cycle at 23-25 °C with regular irrigation (Matrood and Rhouma 2021, Rhouma et al. 2024). Disease severity (DS) was evaluated after 60 days using a 0-4 scale adapted from Carling et al. (1999): 0 - no visible damage, 1 - minor hypocotyl discoloration, 2 - discoloration with small necrotic lesions (<1 mm diameter), 3 - discoloration with larger necrotic lesions (≥1 mm diameter), and 4 plant death. These scores were then converted into Disease Severity Index (DSI) using McKinney's formula: DSI (%) = $(\Sigma vn)/(NV) \times 100$, where Σvn is the sum of all disease scores, N is the total number of plants, and V is the maximum possible score (Okon et al. 2023).

Antagonistic action of antagonistic fungi toward Rhizoctonia solani

A dual culture assay on potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates was conducted to evaluate the antagonistic interaction between four biocontrol agents (*T. harzianum*, *T. viride*, *Metarhizium* sp., and *Gliocladium* sp.) and *R. solani* isolates (Rs26, Rs94, Rs13, Rs57, and Rs123). Biocontrol agents were obtained from the Laboratory of Plant Protection's collection (CRRA, Sidi Bouzid, Tunisia) and were isolated from the rhizosphere soil of tomato plants collected in the Regueb agricultural fields of Sidi Bouzid. The assay employed 0.5 cm diameter agar plugs, one containing a four-day-old culture of the biocontrol agent and the other containing the target

R. solani isolate. Following a standardized protocol, these plugs were placed on opposite sides of a single 9 cm PDA plate: the antagonist plug was positioned 2 cm from the edge towards the center, maintaining a 5 cm gap between the plugs. Control plates included only a blank PDA plug on one side and the R. solani isolate plug on the opposite side. Each treatment was replicated three times, with each replicate consisting of five plates. All plates were incubated for seven days at 28 °C ± 2 °C (Hajji-Hedfi et al. 2023, Rhouma et al. 2024). After incubation, the percentage inhibition of R. solani radial growth was determined using the formula established by Matrood and Rhouma (2021): I (%) = (1 - Cn/C0) \times 100, where Cn represents the radial growth of the *R*. solani colony in the presence of the biocontrol agent and C0 represents the radial growth of the R. solani colony in the control plate without an antagonist.

In vivo evaluation of antagonistic fungi on tomato, melon, and watermelon plants inoculated with Rhizoctonia solani

The experiment employed a randomized complete block design with three replicate blocks, each containing 135 pots. Each pot held three seedlings of a single crop species: tomato (cv. Firenze), melon (cv. Badii), or watermelon (cv. Crimson Sweet). The potting mix consisted of a 1:1 (v/v) mixture of peat and vermiculite. Seedlings received designated treatments and inoculations after 15 days of growth (Hajji-Hedfi et al. 2023). Within each block, seedlings received five treatments: T1 (positive control) - inoculation with R. solani, T2 (negative control) - treatment with sterilized water only, T3 - dipping in T. harzianum conidial suspension for 30 min followed by R. solani inoculation (10 ml) 24 hours later, T4 - dipping in T. viride conidial suspension for 30 min followed by R. solani inoculation (10 ml) 24 hours later, and T5 - dipping in Metarhizium sp. conidial suspension for 30 min followed by R. solani inoculation (10 ml) 24 hours later. Specific R. solani isolates were used for each crop: Rs26 for tomato, Rs57 for melon, and Rs13 for watermelon. Following treatment, all pots were incubated in a growth chamber under controlled conditions for 60 days with an 8-hour dark/16-hour light photoperiod and a temperature range of 20-22 °C.

To prepare the fungi for the antagonism assays, each strain was grown individually on PDA media at a constant temperature of 25 °C for four days. This incubation period allows for sporulation. Following incubation, four colonized agar plugs of each fungal strain were used to inoculate separate flasks containing 50 ml of Potato Dextrose Broth (PDB) media. The use of liquid media in this step further promotes fungal growth and spore production. These flasks were incubated on an orbital shaker for seven days to achieve even distribution and enhanced spore release. After this incubation period, spores were harvested from each fungal culture using a filtration technique. The concentration of spores in each fungal suspension was then quantified using a hemocytometer. This quantification process revealed a final spore density of 10⁶ spores ml⁻¹ (Matrood and Rhouma 2021).

A visual scoring system (0-4) was employed to assess DS according to Popoola et al. (2015). McKinney's formula then converted these scores into a DSI expressed as a percentage (Okon et al. 2023, Thakur and Tripathi 2015). Peroxidase (POX) and catalase (CAT) activity were assessed in plant root tissues following established protocols. Three root samples from each treatment and block were homogenized, and enzyme extracts were prepared. POX activity was determined spectrophotometrically at 470 nm. The reaction mixture contained 0.1 ml enzyme extract, 0.5 ml hydrogen peroxide, 0.9 ml distilled water, 1 ml phosphate buffer, and 0.5 ml guaiacol. CAT activity was also measured spectrophotometrically at 240 nm. The reaction mixture included 0.05 ml enzyme extract, 0.5 ml hydrogen peroxide, 0.95 ml distilled water, and 1.5 ml phosphate buffer (Rhouma et al. 2024).

Statistical analysis

The analysis employed a one-way ANOVA on the mean values of replicated data. This was performed using version 20.0 of the SPSS statistical software package (SPSS, SAS Institute, USA) to assess for significant differences between treatment groups. The homogeneity of variances and normality of the data were verified before the ANOVA. All statistical tests were conducted at a significance level of alpha = 0.01 ($P \le 0.01$).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Pathogenicity test

Analysis of DS scores revealed variations in the pathogenic potential of five *R. solani* isolates towards tomato, melon, and watermelon (P < 0.01). All isolates successfully infected all three crops compared to the control group, which exhibited no disease development. This confirmed the inherent pathogenicity of all tested *R. solani* isolates. Besides, a closer examination of the severity scores unveiled interesting patterns. Isolates Rs94 and Rs13 demonstrated the strongest virulence on watermelon (DS = 3.80 and 3.83, respectively), suggesting potential variations in isolate-specific virulence. However, isolate Rs26 exhibited the highest pathogenicity on tomato (DS = 3.84), further supporting the hypothesis of differential virulence among isolates. Interestingly, all isolates caused significant disease development on melon (DS > 2.72), suggesting a high level of susceptibility in this particular crop to all tested *R. solani* isolates (Table 1).

Table 2 investigated the impact of five *R. solani* isolates on the disease severity index in tomato, melon, and watermelon plants. Without any fungal introduction, the control group exhibited minimal disease in all three crops (DSI = 0%). All fungal isolates significantly increased disease severity compared to the control in each plant species (P < 0.01). However, the isolates' effect varied across crops. Rs57 caused the most severe disease in melon (97.52%), while Rs26 caused the most severe disease in tomato (94.86%). Interestingly, Rs13 caused the most severe disease in watermelon (95.75%) but ranked lower in tomato and melon disease severity (Table 2).

R. solani isolates exhibit intraspecific diversity in their virulence, as evidenced by this study's investigation into their pathogenicity on various crops (Abdelghany et al. 2022, Eken et al. 2024, Mustafa et al. 2021, Porto et al. 2019). All isolates tested caused damping-off and root rot diseases, albeit with varying degrees of severity. This finding aligns with prior research demonstrating the broad host range of R. solani isolates obtained from diverse environments (Abbas et al. 2023, Dubey et al. 2012, Erper et al. 2021, Porto et al. 2019, Yang et al. 2024). The extensive pathogenicity of R. solani is likely attributed to its production of polygalacturonase enzymes, which degrade plant cell wall pectate, as suggested by Naqvi et al. (2024). R. solani preferentially targets the hypocotyl region of seedlings at the soil line due to the heightened vulnerability of meristematic tissues to its cell wall degrading enzymes. As seedlings mature, they develop resistance mechanisms that counteract the fungus's virulence. These mechanisms include thickening the cuticle, which limits the amount of exudates the fungus needs to form infection cushions, and converting pectin into a form resistant to R. solani's enzymes (calcium pectate) (Naqvi et al. 2024).

Antagonistic action of antagonistic fungi toward Rhizoctonia solani

This study employed a controlled laboratory setting to evaluate the potential application of antagonistic fungal isolates for managing the growth of *R. solani*. The experiment specifically focused on the impact of these antagonists on the mycelial development of five distinct *R. solani* isolates. The obtained results revealed promising antifungal activity from all four antagonists. Statistical analysis confirmed

Table 1. Effect of Rhizoctonia sola	<i>ini</i> isolates (Rs26, Rs94, Rs13	, Rs57, and Rs123) on diseas	e severity in tomato, melon, and
watermelon			

Treatments		Disease severity		
	Tomato	Melon	Watermelon	
Control	$0\pm 0d^a$	0±0c	0±0d	
Rs26	3.84±0.07a	2.72±0.11b	1.91±0.06c	
Rs94	2.59±0.04b	3.70±0.03a	3.80±0.04a	
Rs13	2.02±0.01c	3.68±0.01a	3.83±0.05a	
Rs57	1.90±0.02c	3.83±0.05a	3.55±0.14ab	
Rs123	1.74±0.09c	3.59±0.06a	3.42±0.04b	
<i>P-value^b</i>	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	

 a Duncan's Multiple Range Test, values followed by various superscripts differ significantly at P \leq 0.01.

^bProbabilities associated with individual F tests.

Table 2. Effect of *Rhizoctonia solani* isolates (Rs26, Rs94, Rs13, Rs57, and Rs123) on disease severity index in tomato, melon, and watermelon

Treatments		Disease severity index (%)		
	Tomato	Melon	Watermelon	
Control	0±0e ^a	0±0d	0±0d	
Rs26	94.86±1.51a	80.97±1.37c	63.65±1.18c	
Rs94	76.61±1.27b	95.50±1.51ab	90.43±1.24b	
Rs13	65.51±1.84c	94.35±1.68b	95.75±1.33a	
Rs57	59.24±1.05d	97.52±1.54a	94.07±1.95ab	
Rs123	56.43±1.87d	92.60±1.35b	90.96±1.07b	
P-value ^b	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	

^aDuncan's Multiple Range Test, values followed by various superscripts differ significantly at P≤0.01.

^bProbabilities associated with individual F tests.

significant inhibition of mycelial growth for most *R. solani* isolates compared to the negative control (P < 0.05). *T. harzianum* displayed the most broad-spectrum inhibition, ranging from 83% (Rs57) to 94.67% (Rs26). Interestingly, the effectiveness of the other antagonists varied. Thus, *T. viride* exhibited consistent inhibition across all *R. solani* isolates (P \geq 0.05). *Metarhizium* sp. also demonstrated significant inhibition for most isolates, ranging from 65.57% (Rs26) to 75.57% (Rs94). *Gliocladium* sp., however, showed a more variable effect, with significant suppression observed for some isolates (51.35% for Rs13 and 66.75% for Rs26) but less consistent results for others. This observed variation in effectiveness among both the antagonistic fungi and the *Rhizoctonia* isolates suggests potential differences in how they interact (Table 3).

Multiple studies have demonstrated the efficacy of T. harzianum as a biological control agent against R. solani. In vitro dual culture experiments consistently reported significant reductions in R. solani linear growth following incubation with T. harzianum, with inhibition rates ranging from 55.55% to 65.18% (Abd-El-Khair et al. 2011, Ban et al. 2022, Brindhadevi et al. 2023, Elsheshtawi et al. 2012, Naeimi et al. 2010). These findings suggest that T. harzianum exerts its antagonistic effect through the secretion of diffusible non-volatile inhibitory compounds before hyphal contact, potentially including exochitinases, as reported by Brunner et al. (2005). Furthermore, Abbas et al. (2017) and Paula Junior et al. (2007) proved that T. harzianum can promote plant growth, diminish disease severity, and protect seedlings from R. solani-induced pre-emergence damping-off.

Treatments	T. harzianum	T. viride	Metarhizium sp.	Gliocladium sp.
Rs26	94.67±±0.92a ^a	89.55±1.01a	65.57±0.62b	66.75±1.86a
Rs94	90.67±0.67ab	86.46±0.96a	75.57±0.54a	53.51±1.19b
Rs13	91.80±0.21a	82.97±0.72a	75.17±0.38a	51.35±1.27b
Rs57	83±0.76b	83.60±1.17a	71.75±1.51ab	51.90±1.35b
Rs123	86.34±0.75ab	89.48±0.86a	74.11±0.69ab	59.78±1.73ab
<i>P-value</i> ^b	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05

Table 3. Evaluation of mycelial growth inhibition in *Rhizoctonia solani* isolates (Rs26, Rs94, Rs13, Rs57, Rs123) by four antagonistic fungal isolates under *in vitro* conditions

^aDuncan's Multiple Range Test, values followed by various superscripts differ significantly at $P \le 0.01$.

^bProbabilities associated with individual F tests.

In vivo evaluation of antagonistic fungi on tomato, melon, and watermelon plants inoculated with Rhizoctonia solani

Table 4 evaluated the potential of antagonistic fungal isolates to control damping-off disease caused by R. solani in tomato, melon, and watermelon plants. The experiment was conducted under controlled conditions. Table 4 presents the disease severity index, a numerical measure of disease intensity, for each crop-fungus combination. The positive control group represents plants infected only with R. solani. As expected, this group suffered the most severe symptoms in all three crops, with disease severity indexes close to 100%, indicating extensive disease development. In contrast, the negative control group, where no fungi were introduced, showed minimal to no disease (DSI = 0%). The data reveals a significant reduction in disease severity for all three beneficial fungi compared to the positive control in each plant type (P < 0.01). This confirmed that these fungi could effectively control R. solani infection. However, the extent of protection varies depending on the specific

beneficial fungus and the crop. *T. harzianum* emerges as the most effective agent, significantly reducing disease severity across all crops. Tomato plants treated with *T. harzianum* showed the lowest disease severity index (10.26%), followed by melon (10.32%) and watermelon (12.43%). While all fungi bring benefits, *T. viride* offers a moderate level of protection, followed by *Metarhizium* sp. (Table 4).

The study examined the impact of fungal treatments on two enzyme activities within the root systems of tomato, melon, and watermelon plants (Tables 5 and 6). Peroxidase activity, an indicator of a plant's defense response against pathogens, was significantly enhanced (P <0.01) across all three plant species when treated with the fungal strains *T. harzianum*, *T. viride* and *Metarhizium* sp. compared to the control groups. Notably, *T. harzianum* (4.97, 5.29, and 5.27 units' g⁻¹ ml⁻¹ min⁻¹, respectively) consistently induced the highest level of peroxidase activity in all three plant roots, followed by *T. viride* and *Metarhizium* sp. (Table 5).

Table 4. In vivo evaluation of antagonistic fungal isolates on disease severity index (%) in roots in the presence of Rhizoctonia solani under controlled conditions

Treatments	Disease severity index (%)			
	Tomato	Melon	Watermelon	
Positive control	93.58±1.05aª	97.7±0.91a	96.7±0.87a	
Negative control	00±00e	00±00e	00±00d	
T. harzianum + R. solani	10.26±0.85d	10.32±0.27d	12.43±0.54c	
T. viride + R. solani	26.62±0.54c	18.13±0.18c	13.58±0.33c	
Metarhizium sp. + R. solani	36.39±0.47b	23.47±0.67b	23.68±0.77b	
<i>P-value^b</i>	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	

^aDuncan's Multiple Range Test, values followed by various superscripts differ significantly at P≤0.01.

^bProbabilities associated with individual F tests.

Treatments	Peroxidase activity (units g ⁻¹ ml ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)		
	Tomato	Melon	Watermelon
Positive control	1.96±0.07d ^a	2.1±0.14c	1.98±0.05c
Negative control	0.89±0.01e	0.81±0.02d	0.88±0.06d
T. harzianum + R. solani	4.97±0.08a	5.29±0.11a	5.27±0.14a
T. viride + R. solani	3.72±0.11b	3.35±0.43b	3.2±0.03b
Metarhizium sp. + R. solani	2.92±0.13c	2.97±0.05b	2.92±0.02b
P-value ^b	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01

Table 5. In vivo evaluation of antagonistic fungal isolates on peroxidase activity in roots in the presence of Rhizoctonia solani under controlled conditions

^aDuncan's Multiple Range Test, values followed by various superscripts differ significantly at P≤0.01. ^bProbabilities associated with individual F tests.

Table 6. In vivo evaluation of antagonistic fungal isolates on catalase activity in roots in the presence of *Rhizoctonia solani* under controlled conditions

Treatments	Catalase activity (units g ⁻¹ ml ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)			
	Tomato	Melon	Watermelon	
Positive control	15.52±1.71e ^a	14.64±1.32e	15.13±1.37e	
Negative control	20.19±1.42d	18.99±1.24d	19.9±1.44d	
T. harzianum + R. solani	100.15±1.85a	101.22±1.54a	99.93±1.72a	
T. viride + R. solani	68.51±1.56b	66.89±1.89b	68.12±1.28b	
Metarhizium sp. + R. solani	48.29±0.92c	47.44±1.67c	44.08±1.69c	
<i>P-value^b</i>	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	

^aDuncan's Multiple Range Test, values followed by various superscripts differ significantly at P≤0.01.

^bProbabilities associated with individual F tests.

Similarly, all three plant species showed a significant increase (P<0.01) in catalase activity, another enzyme implicated in plant defense responses, when treated with the fungal strains as compared to the controls. Interestingly, *T. harzianum* (100.15, 101.22, and 99.93 units' g⁻¹ ml⁻¹ min⁻¹, respectively) caused the most substantial increase in catalase activity in all plants, followed by *T. viride* and *Metarhizium* sp. These findings suggested that the tested fungi might stimulate defense mechanisms against *R. solani* infection (Table 6).

This research investigated the efficacy of *T. harzianum*, *T. viride* and *Metarhizium* sp. in controlling *R. solani* infection in tomato, melon, and watermelon. The results revealed that *T. harzianum* and *T. viride* significantly reduced the DSI caused by *R. solani* across the tested crops. Additionally, the application of these strains was associated with an increase in plant enzyme activity. *T. harzianum* shows the most significant disease protection effect. This information is valuable for developing biocontrol strategies using these

beneficial fungi to manage *R. solani* infection in various crops. These results aligned with prior greenhouse studies by Ali and Taha (2016), Devi et al. (2017), and Huang et al. (2011) who demonstrated the effectiveness of *T. harzianum* in controlling *R. solani*-induced tomato damping-off disease. Furthermore, Ban et al. (2022) reported that pre-seeding application of *T. harzianum* (five days before planting) in tomato and bean crops yielded significantly better disease control compared to simultaneous application. Additionally, Sreenivasaprasad and Manibhushanrao (1990) reported that *T. virens* were successfully used as a biocontrol agent against groundnut root rot and *R. solani*-induced damping-off in cotton and tomato.

Studies have explored various formulations and applications of *Trichoderma* spp. for disease control and plant growth promotion. Rehman et al. (2011) reported improved protection against damping-off disease and enhanced cauliflower seedling growth using a combination of farm yard manure, *T. harzianum* and *T. viride* as seed treatments. Lewis and Lumsden (2001) demonstrated the effectiveness of a biocontrol formulation containing vermiculite, powdered wheat bran, and *Trichoderma / Gliocladium* biomass in controlling pepper and cucumber dampingoff in greenhouse settings. Smolinska et al. (2007) further confirmed the efficacy of four *Trichoderma* strains against *R. solani* in lettuce and cucumber, with *T. harzianum* strain PBG notably increasing plant mass in both crops. Beyond disease control, *Trichoderma* has also been linked to improved and faster seed germination in various plant species, including silverweed (Oyarbide et al. 2001), cotton (Hanson 2000), rice (Mishra and Sinha 2000), chili (Asaduzzaman et al. 2010), and muskmelon (Kaveh et al. 2011).

Studies have shown that plant colonization by *Trichoderma* spp. is associated with reduced disease development in both roots and aboveground tissues. This phenomenon is likely attributed to the interactions between *Trichoderma* and the plant itself (Amer and Abou-El-Seoud 2008, Biam and Majumder 2019, Cai et al. 2013, Gajera et al. 2016).

Beyond its antagonistic interactions with plant pathogens, *Trichoderma* spp. also participated in competitive interactions with other soil microorganisms. This competition primarily revolves around securing essential resources like nutrients and space within the soil environment (Baghani et al. 2012, Bailey et al. 2008, Motesharrei and Salimi 2014, Segarra et al. 2010). Notably, *Trichoderma* spp. can compete for root exudates released by seeds. These exudates, while beneficial to plant growth, can also inhibit the germination of fungal propagules belonging to plant pathogens present in the soil (Howell 2003).

Research by Abd-El-Khair et al. (2011), Hajji-Hedfi et al. (2023), Kobori et al. (2015) and Rhouma et al. (2024) investigated the impact of *Trichoderma* application on enzyme activity in various plants. Their findings revealed a significant increase in the activity of several key enzymes, including polyphenol oxidase, chitinase, catalase, and peroxidase, in plants treated with *Trichoderma* compared to the untreated control group. These specific enzymes are essential for strengthening plant defense mechanisms against the invasion of pathogens.

The many advantages of *T. harzianum* have made it a leading biocontrol and biostimulant. This fungus exhibits remarkable antifungal activity against a broad spectrum of plant pathogens, including *R. solani*. Previous research has unequivocally demonstrated that *T. harzianum* can effectively suppress the growth of isolates of *R. solani*. The prevailing hypothesis suggests that this inhibitory effect stems from

the production of antibiotic secondary metabolites. These bioactive compounds are believed to disrupt the growth and function of the target fungal pathogens. By unraveling the intricate mechanisms employed by *T. harzianum*, this study aims to provide a more comprehensive understanding of how microbial biocontrol agents and biostimulants operate. This knowledge is paramount to improving the effective and sustainable integrated plant disease management strategies that reduce reliance on chemical fungicides.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the review editor and the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions to improve the clarity of the research paper.

Author's Contributions

Authors declare that each author's contribution is equal.

Statement of Conflict of Interest

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

ÖZET

Rhizoctonia solani, domates, kavun ve karpuz dahil olmak üzere 200'den fazla bitki türünü enfekte eden önemli ve geniş spektrumlu bir fungal patojendir. Bu çalışmada, farklı R. solani izolatlarının (Rs26, Rs94, Rs13, Rs57 ve Rs123) patojenitesi ve çevre dostu hastalık yönetimi için Trichoderma harzianum, T. viride, Metarhizium sp., Gliocladium sp. gibi biyolojik ajanların etkinliği laboratuvar ve sera koşullarında değerlendirilmiştir. Patojenite testlerinin sonuçları, izolatların değişen virülensini doğrulamıştır. Rs94 ve Rs13 izolatları karpuzda en ciddi hastalığa neden olmuştur (hastalık şiddeti (HS)= 3.80 ve 3.83, hastalık şiddeti indeksi (HSİ)= %90.43 ve %95.75). Benzer şekilde, Rs26 izolatı domateste en yüksek patojenisiteyi sergilemiştir (HS= 3.84; HSİ= %94.86). Kavun, tüm izolatlara karşı yüksek hassasiyet göstermiş olup, sürekli olarak 2.59'dan yüksek HS ve %80.97' yi aşan HSİ değerleri kaydedilmiştir. Daha sonra yapılan in vitro ve in vivo denemeler, test edilen tüm ajanların R. solani izolatlarına karşı antifungal potansiyelini ortaya koymuştur. Özellikle Trichoderma spp., en tutarlı ve anlamlı inhibisyonu göstermiştir (miselyal büyüme azalması %82.97-%94.67). Bu konuda en iyi performansı ise T. harzianum göstermiştir. Sera denemeleri, T. harzianum'un önleyici bir tedavi olarak etkinliğini doğrulamış, bitki enzim aktivitesini artırmış (peroksidaz = domates ve karpuz için sırasıyla 4.97-5.29 birim g⁻¹ ml⁻¹ dk⁻¹; katalaz = karpuz ve kavun için sırasıyla 99.93-101.22 birim g⁻¹ ml⁻¹ dk⁻¹) ve hastalık şiddeti indeksini önemli ölçüde azaltmıştır (HSİ < %12.43). Bu bulgular, T. harzianum'un domates, kavun ve karpuz bitkilerinde R. solani fide yanıklığı hastalığının yönetimi için sürdürülebilir ve çevre dostu bir strateji olarak kullanım potansiyelini vurgulamaktadır.

Anahtar kelimeler: Fide yanıklık hastalığı, *Trichoderma* spp., domates, kavun, patojenisite, karpuz

REFERENCES

Abbas A., Ali A., Hussain A., Ali A., Alrefaei A.F., Naqvi S.A.H., Rao M.J., Mubeen I., Farooq T., Olmez F., 2023. Assessment of genetic variability and evolutionary relationships of *Rhizoctonia solani* inherent in legume crops. Plants, 12, 2515. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12132515

Abbas A., Jiang D., Fu Y., 2017. *Trichoderma* spp. as antagonist of *Rhizoctonia solani*. Journal of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, 8, 402. https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7471.1000402

Abdelghany M.M.A., Kurikawa M., Watanabe M., Matsui H., Yamamoto M., Ichinose Y., Toyoda K., Kouzai Y., Noutoshi Y., 2022. Surveillance of pathogenicity of *Rhizoctonia solani* Japanese isolates with varied anastomosis groups and subgroups on *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Life, 12 (1), 76. https:// doi.org/10.3390/life12010076

Abd-El-Khair H., Khalifa R.Kh.M., Haggag K.H.E., 2011. Effect of *Trichoderma* species on damping off diseases incidence, some plant enzymes activity and nutritional status of bean plants. Journal of American Science, 7(1), 156-167.

Agrios G.N., 1988. Plant pathology. New York: Academic Press, 803 p.

Albastawisi E.M., Kotan R., 2024. Bacterial biocontrol agents against diseases caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* in sugar beet. Indian Phytopathology, 77, 211-217. https://doi. org/10.1007/s42360-023-00697-8

Ali H.H., Taha K.K., 2016. Biological control of tomato damping-off disease using *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Bacillus subtilis*. Zanco Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences, 28, 12-19. https://doi.org/10.21271/ZJPAS.28.3.3

Almaghasla M.I., El-Ganainy S.M., Ismail A.M., 2023. Biological activity of four *Trichoderma* species confers protection against *Rhizoctonia solani*, the causal agent of cucumber damping-off and root rot diseases. Sustainability, 15 (9), 7250. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15097250

Amer M.A., Abou-El-Seoud II., 2008. Mycorrhizal fungi and *Trichoderma harzianum* as biocontrol agents for suppression of *Rhizoctonia solani* damping off disease of tomato. Communications in Agricultural and Applied Biological Sciences, 73 (2), 217-232. Asaduzzaman M., Alam M.J., Islam M.M., 2010. Effect of *Trichoderma* on seedgermination and seedling parameters of chili. Journal of Science Foundation, 8 (1-2), 141-150. https://doi.org/10.3329/jsf.v8i1-2.14637

Baghani F., Rahnama K., Aghajani M.A., Dehghan M.A., 2012. Biological control of fusarium head blight (*Fusarium graminearum*) by application of three native *Trichoderma* species in field. Journal of Plant Production Research, 19 (2), 123-139.

Bailey B.A., Bae H., Strem M.D., Crozier J., Thomas S.E., Samuels G.J., Vinyard B.T., Holmes K.A., 2008. Antibiosis, mycoparasitism, and colonization success for endophytic *Trichoderma* isolates with biological control potential in *Theobroma cacao*. Biological Control, 46 (1), 24-35. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2008.01.003

Ban G., Shamsul A., Macquin M., 2022. Efficacy of *Trichoderma harzianum* against *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Rhizoctonia solani* on bean and tomato plants. Annals of Tropical Research, 44 (1), 30-45. https://doi.org/10.32945/atr4413.2022

Behiry S., Soliman S.A., Massoud M.A., Abdelbary M., Kordy A.M., Abdelkhalek A., Heflish A., 2023. *Trichoderma pubescens* elicit induced systemic resistance in tomato challenged by *Rhizoctonia solani*. Journal of Fungi, 9 (2), 167. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof9020167

Biam M., Majumder D., 2019. Biocontrol efficacy of *Trichoderma* isolates against tomato damping off caused by *Pythium* spp. And *Rhizoctonia solani* (Kuhn.). International Journal of Chemical Studies, 7 (3), 81-89.

Brindhadevi S., Thavapriya T., Tanusri C., Thangaguruvu K., Tharun P.N., Durga Nandhini M., Jeevitha S., 2023. *In vitro* evaluation of bio agents against *Rhizoctonia solani* causing root rot of tomato. The Pharma Innovation Journal, 12 (6), 160-162.

Brunner K., Zeilinger S., Ciliento R., Woo S.L., Lorito M., Kubicek C.P., Mach R.L., 2005. Improvement of the fungal biocontrol agent *Trichoderma atroviride* to enhance both antagonism and induction of plant systemic disease resistance. Application of Environmental Microbiology, 71 (7), 3959-3965. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.7.3959-3965.2005

Cai F., Yu G., Wang P., Wei Z., Fu L., Shen Q., Chen W., 2013. Harzianolide, a novel plantgrowth regulator and systemic resistance elicitor from *Trichoderma harzianum*. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 73, 106-113. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2013.08.011

Canpolat S., Tülek S., 2019. Orta Anadolu Bölgesi'nde yaprağı yenen sebzelerde görülen fungal hastalıkların belirlenmesi. Plant Protection Bulletin, 59 (3), 39-46. https:// doi.org/10.16955/bitkorb.527754

Canpolat S., Woodward S., Kurbetli İ., 2023. Molecular and pathological characterization of the isolates of *Rhizoctonia* spp. associated with dry bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) in Türkiye. Journal of Plant Pathology, 105 (2), 837-848. https://doi. org/10.1007/s42161-023-01377-2

Carling D.E., Pope E.J., Brainard K.A., Carter D.A., 1999. Characterization of mycorrhizal isolates of *Rhizoctonia solani* from an orchid, including AG-12, a new anastomosis group. Phytopathology, 89, 942-946. https://doi.org/10.1094/ PHYTO.1999.89.10.942

Devi U.G., Rajendraprasad M., Vidyasagar B., Rao S.R.K., 2017. Biological control of tomato damping off caused by *Pythium debaryanum*. International Journal of Chemical Studies, 5 (5), 447-452.

Dubey S.C., Bhavani R., Singh B., 2011. Integration of soil application and seed treatment formulations of *Trichoderma* species for management of wet root rot of mung bean caused by *Rhizoctonia solani*. Pest Management Science, 67 (9), 1163-1168. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.2168

Dubey S.C., Tripathi A., Upadhyay B.K., 2012. Molecular diversity analysis of *Rhizoctonia solani* isolates infecting various pulse crops in different agro-ecological regions of India. Folia Microbiologica, 57, 513-524. https://doi. org/10.1007/s12223-012-0165-y

Eken C., Demir D., Uysal G., Çalışkan S., Sevindik E., Çağlayan K., 2024. Isolation, identification, and pathogenicity of *Rhizoctonia* spp. recovered from soil samples of the greenhouse tomato growing area of the west mediterranean region, Türkiye. Journal of Phytopathology, 172 (2). https://doi.org/10.1111/jph.13297

Elsheshtawi A., El-Gazzar T., AbouTabl A., Ebid M., 2012. Effect of biocontrol agents and natural plant extracts and oils on the growth of *Rhizoctonia solani*, a pathogen on tomato, *in vitro*. Journal of Plant Protection and Pathology, 3 (1), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.21608/jppp.2012.83676

Erper I., Ozer G., Kalendar R., Avci S., Yildirim E., Alkan M., Turkkan M., 2021. Genetic diversity and pathogenicity of *Rhizoctonia* spp. isolates associated with red cabbage in Samsun (Turkey). Journal of Fungi, 7 (3), 234. https://doi. org/10.3390/jof7030234

Gajera H.P., Katakpara Z.A., Patel S.V., Golakiya B.A., 2016. Antioxidant defense response induced by *Trichoderma viride* against *Aspergillus niger* Van Tieghemcausing collar rot in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Microbial Pathogenesis, 91, 26-34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2015.11.010

Hajji-Hedfi L., Rhouma A., Hajlaoui H., Hajlaoui F., Rebouh N.Y., 2023. Understanding the influence of applying two culture filtrates to control gray mold disease (*Botrytis cinerea*) in tomato. Agronomy, 13 (7), 1774. https://doi. org/10.3390/agronomy13071774

Hanson L.D., 2000. Reduction of Verticillium wilt symptoms in cotton following seed treatment with *Trichoderma* virens. The Journal of Cotton Science, 4, 224-231.

Howell C.R., 2003. Mechanisms employed by *Trichoderma* species in the biological control of plant diseases: The history and evolution of current concepts. Plant Disease, 87 (1), 4-10. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS.2003.87.1.4

Huang X., Chen L., Ran W., Shen Q., Yang X., 2011. *Trichoderma harzianum* strain SQR-T37 and its bioorganic fertilizer could control *Rhizoctonia solani* damping-off disease in cucumber seedlings mainly by the mycoparasitism. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 91, 741-755. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-011-3259-6

Kaveh H., Jartoodeh S.V., Aruee H., Mazhabi M., 2011. Would *Trichoderma* affect seed germination and seedling quality of two muskmelon cultivars, Khatooniand Qasri and increase their transplanting success. Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Science, 5 (15), 169-175.

Kobori N.N., Mascarin G.M., Jackson M.A., Schisler D.A., 2015. Liquid culture production of microsclerotia and submerged conidia by *Trichoderma harzianum* active against damping-off disease caused by *Rhizoctonia solani*. Fungal Biology, 119, 179-190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. funbio.2014.12.005

Lewis J.A., Lumsden R.D., 2001. Biocontrol of damping-off of greenhouse-grown crops caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* with a formulation of *Trichoderma* spp. Crop Protection, 20 (1), 49-56. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-2194(00)00052-1

Li C., Guo Z., Zhou S., Han Q., Zhang M., Peng Y., Hsiang T., Chen X., 2021. Evolutionary and genomic comparisons of hybrid uninucleate and nonhybrid *Rhizoctonia* fungi. Communications Biology, 4, 201. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s42003-021-01724-y

Matrood A.A.A., Rhouma A., 2021. Evaluating eco-friendly botanicals as alternatives to synthetic fungicides against the causal agent of early blight of *Solanum melongena*. Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection, 128, 1517-1530. https://doi. org/10.1007/s41348-021-00530-2

Mishra D.S., Sinha A.P., 2000. Plant growth promoting activity of some fungal and bacterial agents on rice seed germination and seedling growth. Tropical Agriculture, 77 (3), 188-191.

Mohamed B.F.F., Sallam N.M.A., Alamri S.A.M., Abo-Elyousr K.A.M., Mostafa Y.S., Hashem M., 2020. Approving the biocontrol method of potato wilt caused by *Ralstonia solanacearum* (Smith) using *Enterobacter cloacae* PS14 and *Trichoderma asperellum* T34. Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control, 30, 61. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-020-00262-9

Motesharrei Z.S., Salimi H., 2014. Biocontrol characteristics of *Trichoderma* spp. against Fusarium in Iran. Middle East Journal of Scientific Research, 22 (8), 1122-1126.

Mustafa A.A., Abass M.H., Awad K.M., 2021. Responses of tomato to *Rhizoctonia solani* infection under the salinity stress. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology, 26 (6), 707-716. https://doi.org/10.17957/ijab/15.1886

Naeimi S., Okhovvat S.M., Javan-Nikkhah M., Vágvölgyi C., Khosravi V., Kredics L., 2010. Biological control of *Rhizoctonia solani* AG1-1A, the causal agent of rice sheath blight with *Trichoderma* strains. Phytopathologia Mediterranea, 49 (3), 287-300. http://www.jstor.org/ stable/26458654

Naqvi S.A.H., Abbas A., Farhan M., Kiran R., Hassan Z., Mehmood Y., Ali A., Ahmed N., Hassan M.Z., Alrefaei A.F., 2024. Unveiling the genetic tapestry: exploring *Rhizoctonia solani* AG-3 anastomosis groups in potato crops across borders. Plants, 13 (5), 715. https://doi.org/10.3390/ plants13050715

Okon O.G., Rhouma A., Ismaila U., Matrood A.A.A., Hajji-Hedfi L., 2023. Biological control of fruit rot of postharvest orange (*Citrus aurantium*) by aqueous plant extracts. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 93, 1243-1247. https://doi. org/10.56093/ijas.v93i11.141146

Oyarbide F., Osterrieth M.L., Cabello M., 2001. As a *Trichoderma koningii* biomineralizing fungus agent of calcium oxalate crystals in typical Argiudolls of the Los Padres Lake natural reserve (Buenos Aires, Argentina). Microbiological Research, 156 (2), 113-119. https://doi. org/10.1078/0944-5013-00083

Ozan S., Maden S., 2004. Root and crown rot and wilt of tomatoes caused by fungal diseases in Ankara province. Plant Protection Bulletin, 44 (1), 105-120. https://dergipark. org.tr/en/pub/bitkorb/issue/3671/48773 Ozan S., Aşkın A., 2006. Studies on fungal diseases of protected vegetable areas in central Anatolia region. Plant Protection Bulletin, 46 (1), 65-74. https://dergipark.org.tr/ en/pub/bitkorb/issue/3673/48779

Paula Júnior T.J., Rotter C., Hau B., 2007. Effects of soil moisture and sowing depth on the development of bean plants grown in sterile soil infested by *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Trichoderma harzianum*. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 119, 193-202. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-007-9161-5

Popoola A.R., Durosomo A.H., Afolabi C.G., Idehen E.O., 2015. Regeneration of somaclonal variants of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) for resistance to Fusarium wilt. Journal of Crop Improvement, 29 (5), 636-649. https://doi. org/10.1080/15427528.2015.1066287

Porto M., Ambrósio M., Nascimento S., Cruz B., Torres T., 2019. Interaction of *Fusarium solani*, *Macrophomina phaseolina* and *Rhizoctonia solani* as root rot pathogens of *Cucumis melo*. Summa Phytopathologica, 45 (4), 355-360. https://doi.org/10.1590/0100-5405/182687

Rehman S.U., Lawrence R., Kumar E.J., Badri Z.A., 2011. Comparative efficacy of *Trichoderma viride*, *T. harzianum* and carbendazim against damping-off disease of cauliflower caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuehn. Journal of Biopesticides, 5 (1), 23-27. https://doi.org/10.57182/jbiopestic.5.1.23-27

Rhouma A., Hajji-Hedfi L., El Amine Kouadri M., Chihani-Hammas N., Babasaheb Khaire P., 2024. Investigating plant growth promoting and antifungal potential of *Metarhizium* spp. against Fusarium wilt in tomato. Nova Hedwigia, 119 (1-2), 117-139. https://doi.org/10.1127/ nova_hedwigia/2024/0958

Ruiz-Cisneros M.F., Ornelas-Paz J.J., Olivas-Orozco G.I., Acosta-Muñiz C.H., Sepulveda-Ahumada D.R., Pérez-Corral D.A., Rios-Velasco C., Salas-Marina M.A., Fernández-Pavía S.P., 2018. Effect of *Trichoderma* spp. and phytopathogenic fungi on plant growth and tomato fruit quality. Revista Bio Ciencias 6, e541. https://doi.org/10.15741/revbio.06.e541

Segarra G., Casanova E., Avilés M., Trillas I., 2010. *Trichoderma asperellum* Strain T34 controls Fusarium wilt disease in tomato plants in soilless culture through competition for Iron. Microbial Ecology, 59, 141-149. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-009-9545-5

Shalaby T.A., Taha N., El-Beltagi H.S., El-Ramady H., 2022. Combined application of *Trichoderma harzianum*

and Paclobutrazol to control root rot disease caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* of tomato seedlings. Agronomy, 12 (12), 3186. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12123186

Smolinska U., Kowalska B., Oskiera M., 2007. The effectivity of *Trichoderma* strains in the protection of cucumber and lettuce against *Rhizoctonia solani*. Journal of Fruit and Ornamental Plant Research, 67 (1), 81-93. https://doi. org/10.2478/v10032-007-0033-5

Sreenivasaprasad S., Manibhushanrao K., 1990. Biocontrol potential of fungal antagonists *Gliocladium virens* and *Trichoderma longibrachiatum*. Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection, 97 (3), 570-579. https://www.jstor.org/ stable/43385867

Thakur N., Tripathi A., 2015. Biological management of damping-off, buckeye rot and Fusarium wilt of tomato (cv. *Solan lalima*) under mid-hill conditions of Himachal Pradesh. Agricultural Sciences, 6, 535-544. https://doi. org/10.4236/as.2015.65053

Yang Y., Zhang J., Yan J., Zhao L., Luo L., Li C., Yang G., 2024. Effects of chemical and biological fungicide applications on sexual sporulation of *Rhizoctonia solani* AG-3 TB on tobacco. Life, 14 (3), 404. https://doi.org/10.3390/life14030404

Yücel S., Ay T., Çolak A., 2008. Effect of *Trichoderma* harzianum rifai KRL AG2 to control root rot disease (*Rhizoctonia solani*, *Fusarium solani*) of cucumber in protected crops. Plant Protection Bulletin, 48 (2), 41-47. https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/bitkorb/issue/3676/48798

Cite this article: Rhouma, A., Hajji-hedfi, L., Khaire, P. B., Matrood, A. (2024). Evaluation of pathogenic variation among *Rhizoctonia solani* isolates infecting different crops and potential biocontrol agents. Plant Protection Bulletin, 64-4. DOI: 10.16955/bitkorb.1507155

Atıf için: Rhouma, A., Hajji-hedfi, L., Khaire, P. B., Matrood, A. (2024). Farklı ürün türlerini enfekte eden *Rhizoctonia solani* izolatları arasındaki patojenik varyasyonun ve potansiyel biyokontrol ajanlarının değerlendirilmesi. Plant Protection Bulletin, 64-4. DOI: 10.16955/bitkorb.1507155