http://www.newtheory.org

ISSN: 2149-1402





*Received*: 02.03.2015 *Accepted*: 08.05.2015 Year: 2015, Number: 4, Pages: 80-89 Original Article<sup>\*\*</sup>

# SOFT $\beta$ -OPEN SETS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

Yunus Yumak<sup>1,\*</sup> <yunusyumak@selcuk.edu.tr> Aynur Keskin Kaymakcı<sup>1</sup> <akeskin@selcuk.edu.tr>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Selcuk University, 42075 Konya, Turkey

**Abstract** – First of all, we focused on soft  $\beta$ -open sets, soft  $\beta$ -closed sets, soft  $\beta$ -interior and soft  $\beta$ -closure over the soft topological space and investigated some properties of them. Secondly, we defined the concepts soft  $\beta$ -continuity, soft  $\beta$ -irresolute and soft  $\beta$ -homeomorphism on soft topological spaces. We also obtained some characterizations of these mappings. Finally, we observed that the collection  $S\beta r$ - $h(X, \tau, E)$  was a soft group.

Keywords – Soft sets, Soft topology, Soft  $\beta$ -open sets, Soft  $\beta$ -interior, Soft  $\beta$ -closure, Soft  $\beta$ -continuity.

### 1 Introduction

Molodtsov [14], in 1999, presented the soft theory as a new mathematical tool for tackling with ambiguities that known mathematical tools cannot hold. He has indicated a few aplications of soft theory for finding solutions to many practical problems such as economics, social science, engineering, medical science, etc.

Recently, papers about soft sets and their applications in various fields have increased largely. With a fixed number of parameters Shabir and Naz [15] came up with some notions of soft topological spaces defined on the initial universe. The authors defined soft open sets, soft interior, soft closed sets, soft closure, and soft seperation axioms. Chen [7] presented soft semi open sets and of the some related properties. With a fixed number of parameters Gunduz Aras et al. [4] came up with some soft continuous mappings defined on the initial universe. Mahanta and Das [12] presented and classified many forms of soft functions, such as irresolute, semicontinuous and semiopen soft functions. Arockiarani and Lancy [5] presented soft  $g\beta$ -closed and soft  $gs\beta$ -closed sets in soft topological spaces and with the aid of these presented sets they found out some properties.

In the present study, firstly, we focused soft  $\beta$ -open sets, soft  $\beta$ -closed sets, soft  $\beta$ -interior and soft  $\beta$ closure over the soft topological space and investigated some properties of them. Secondly, we defined the concepts soft  $\beta$ -continuity, soft  $\beta$ -irresolute and soft  $\beta$ -homeomorphism on soft topological spaces. We also obtained some characterizations of these mappings. Finally, we observed that the collection  $S\beta r$ - $h(X, \tau, E)$ was a soft group.

This study is a part of corresponding author's MSc thesis.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Edited by Metin Akdağ (Area Editor) and Naim Çağman (Editor-in-Chief).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author.

### 2 Preliminary

Let U be an initial universe set and E be a collection of all probable parameters with respect to U. Here the parameters are characteristics or properties of objects in U. Let P(U) denote the power set of U, and let  $A \subseteq E$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [14] A pair (F, A) is called a soft set over U, where F is a mapping given by  $F : A \longrightarrow P(U)$ . In other words, a soft set over U is a parametrized family of subsets of the universe U. For a particular  $e \in A$ , F(e) may be considered the set of *e*-approximate elements of the soft set (F, A).

**Definition 2.2.** [13] For two soft sets (F, A) and (G, B) over a common universe U, we say that (F, A) is a soft subset of (G, B) if (i)  $A \subseteq B$ , and (ii)  $\forall e \in A$ ,  $F(e) \subseteq G(e)$  are identical approximations. We write  $(F, A) \subseteq (G, B)$ . (F, A) is said to be a soft super set of (G, B), if (G, B) is a soft subset of (F, A). We denote it by  $(F, A) \supseteq (G, B)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [13] A soft set (F, A) over U is said to be

- (i) null soft set denoted by  $\Phi$ , if  $\forall e \in A$ ,  $F(e) = \phi$ .
- (*ii*) absolute soft set denoted by A, if  $\forall e \in A$ , F(e) = U.

**Definition 2.4.** For two soft sets (F, A) and (G, B) over a common universe U,

(i) [13] union of two soft sets of (F, A) and (G, B) is the soft set (H, C), where  $C = A \cup B$ , and  $\forall e \in C$ ,

$$H(e) = \begin{cases} F(e) &, & \text{if } e \in A - B \\ G(e) &, & \text{if } e \in B - A \\ F(e) \cup G(e) &, & \text{if } e \in A \cap B \end{cases}$$

We write  $(F, A) \widetilde{\cup} (G, B) = (H, C)$ .

(*ii*) [9] intersection of (F, A) and (G, B) is the soft set (H, C), where  $C = A \cap B$ , and  $\forall e \in C, H(e) = F(e) \cap G(e)$ . We write  $(F, A) \cap (G, B) = (H, C)$ .

Let X be an initial universe set and E be the non-empty set of parameters.

**Definition 2.5.** [15] Let (F, E) be a soft set over X and  $x \in X$ . We say that  $x \in (F, E)$  is read as x belongs to the soft set (F, E) whenever  $x \in F(e)$  for all  $e \in E$ . Note that for any  $x \in X$ .  $x \notin (F, E)$ , if  $x \notin F(e)$  for some  $e \in E$ .

**Definition 2.6.** [15] Let Y be a non-empty subset of X, then  $\widetilde{Y}$  denotes the soft set (Y, E) over X for which Y(e) = Y, for all  $e \in E$ . In particular, (X, E), will be denoted by  $\widetilde{X}$ .

**Definition 2.7.** [3] The relative complement of a soft set (F, E) is denoted by (F, E)' and is defined by (F, E)' = (F', E) where  $F' : E \longrightarrow P(U)$  is a mapping given by F'(e) = U - F(e) for all  $e \in E$ .

**Definition 2.8.** [15] Let  $\tau$  be the collection of soft sets over X, then  $\tau$  is said to be soft topology on X if

(1)  $\Phi, \widetilde{X}$  belong to  $\tau$ 

(2) the union of any number of soft sets in  $\tau$  belongs to  $\tau$ 

(3) the intersection of any two soft sets in  $\tau$  belongs to  $\tau$ 

The triplet  $(X, \tau, E)$  is called a soft topological space over X. The members of  $\tau$  are said to be soft open sets in X.

We will denote all soft open sets(resp. soft closed sets) in X as SO(X) (resp. SC(X)).

**Definition 2.9.** [15] Let  $(X, \tau, E)$  be a soft topological space over X. A soft set (F, E) over X is said to be a soft closed set in X, if its relative complement (F, E)' belongs to  $\tau$ .

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $(X, \tau, E)$  be a soft topological space over X and (F, E) be a soft set over X. Then

a) soft interior [10] of the soft set (F, E) is denoted by  $(F, E)^{\circ}$  and is defined as the union of all soft open sets contained in (F, E). Thus  $(F, E)^{\circ}$  is the largest soft open set contained in (F, E).

b) soft closure [15] of (F, E), denoted by  $\overline{(F, E)}$  is the intersection of all soft closed super sets of (F, E). Clearly (F, E) is the smallest soft closed set over X which contains (F, E).

We will denote interior (resp. closure) of the soft set (F, E) as int(F, E) (resp. cl(F, E)).

**Proposition 2.11.** [10] Let  $(X, \tau, E)$  be a soft topological space over X and (F, E) and (G, E) be a soft set over X. Then

a) int(int(F, E)) = int(F, E)b)  $(F, E) \cong (G, E)$  imples  $int(F, E) \cong int(G, E)$ c) cl(cl(F, E)) = cl(F, E)d)  $(F, E) \cong (G, E)$  imples  $cl(F, E) \cong cl(G, E)$ 

**Definition 2.12.** [6] Let (F, E) be a soft set X. The soft set (F, E) is called a soft point, denoted by  $(x_e, E)$ or  $x_e$ , if for the element  $e \in E$ ,  $F(e) = \{x\}$  and  $F(e') = \phi$  for all  $e' \in E - \{e\}$ .

**Definition 2.13.** [18] The soft point  $x_e$  is said to belong to the soft set (G, E), denoted by  $x_e \in (G, E)$ , if for the element  $e \in E$ ,  $F(e) \subseteq G(e)$ .

**Definition 2.14.** [18] A soft set (G, E) in a soft topological space  $(X, \tau, E)$  is called a soft neighborhood of the soft point  $x_e$  if there exists an open soft set (H, E) such that  $x_e \in (H, E) \subseteq (G, E)$ . A soft set (G, E) in a soft topological space  $(X, \tau, E)$  is called a soft neighborhood of the soft set (F, E) if there exists an open soft set (H, E) such that  $(F, E) \in (H, E) \subseteq (G, E)$ . The neighborhood system of a soft point  $x_e$ , denoted by  $N_{\tau}(x_e)$ , is the family of all its neighborhoods.

**Definition 2.15.** [11] Let  $(X, \tau, E)$  be a soft topological space. A soft point  $x_e \in cl(F, E)$  if and only if each soft neighborhood of  $x_e$  intersects (F, E).

### Soft $\beta$ -open Sets and Soft $\beta$ -closed Sets 3

**Definition 3.1.** A soft set (F, E) in a soft topological space  $(X, \tau, E)$  is said to be

a) soft semi-open[7] if  $(F, E) \cong cl(int(F, E))$ .

- b) soft pre-open[5] if  $(F, E) \subseteq int(cl(F, E))$ . c) soft  $\alpha$ -open if[5] if  $(F, E) \subseteq int(cl(int(F, E)))$ .
- d) soft  $\beta$ -open (soft  $\beta$ -closed)[5] if  $(F, E) \cong cl(int(cl(F, E)))$   $(int(cl(int(F, E))) \cong (F, E))$ .

e) soft regular-open (soft regular-closed)[16] if (F, E) = int(cl(F, E)) ((F, E) = cl(int(F, E)))

We will denote all the soft  $\beta$ -open (resp. soft semi-open, soft pre-open, soft  $\alpha$ -open, soft  $\beta$ -closed, soft regular-open, soft regular-closed) sets in X as  $S.\beta.O(X)$  (resp. S.S.O(X), S.P.O(X),  $S.\alpha.O(X)$ ,  $S.\beta.C(X)$ , S.R.O(X), S.R.C(X)).

**Remark 3.2.** It is clear that  $S.\beta.O(X)$  contains each of S.S.O(X), S.P.O(X) and  $S.\alpha.O(X)$ , and the following diagram shows this fact.

The converses need not be true, in general, as show in the following examples.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ ,  $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$  and  $\tau = \{\Phi, \tilde{X}, (F_1, E), (F_2, E), ..., (F_7, E)\}$  where  $(F_1, E), (F_2, E), ..., (F_7, E)$  are soft sets over X, which is defined as follows:  $F_1(e_1) = \{x_1, x_2\}, F_1(e_2) = \{x_1, x_2\}, F_2(e_1) = \{x_2\}, F_2(e_2) = \{x_1, x_3\}, F_3(e_1) = \{x_2, x_3\}, F_3(e_2) = \{x_1\}, F_4(e_1) = \{x_2\}, F_4(e_2) = \{x_1\}, F_5(e_1) = \{x_1, x_2\}, F_5(e_2) = X, F_6(e_1) = X, F_6(e_2) = \{x_1, x_2\}, F_7(e_1) = \{x_2, x_3\}, F_7(e_2) = \{x_1, x_3\} [7]$ . Then  $\tau$  defines a soft topology on X and hence  $(X, \tau, E)$  is a soft topological space over X. Now we give a soft set (H, E) in  $(X, \tau, E)$  is defined as follows:  $H(e_1) = \phi, H(e_2) = \{x_1\}$ . Then, (H, E) is a soft pre-open set but not a soft  $\alpha$ -open set, also it is a soft  $\beta$ -open set but not a soft semi-open set.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ ,  $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$  and  $\tau = \{\Phi, \tilde{X}, (F_1, E), (F_2, E), (F_3, E)\}$ , where  $(F_1, E), (F_2, E), (F_3, E)$ , are soft sets over X, defined as follows.  $F_1(e_1) = \{x_1, x_3\}, F_1(e_2) = \phi, F_2(e_1) = \{x_4\}, F_2(e_2) = \{x_4\}, F_3(e_1) = \{x_1, x_3, x_4\}, F_3(e_2) = \{x_4\}$ . Then  $\tau$  defines a soft topology on X. Hence  $(X, \tau, E)$  is a soft topological space over X. Now we give two soft sets (H, E) and (K, E) in  $(X, \tau, E)$  are defined as follows:  $H(e_1) = \{x_2, x_3\}, H(e_2) = \{x_3\}, K(e_1) = \{x_2, x_4\}, K(e_2) = \{x_1, x_4\}$ . Then (H, E) is a soft  $\beta$ -open set which is not soft *pre*-open and (K, E) is a soft *semi*-open set which is not soft  $\alpha$ -open.

**Theorem 3.5.** (a) For every soft open set (F, E) in a soft topological space X and every  $(G, E) \subseteq X$  we have  $(F, E) \cap cl(G, E) \subseteq cl((F, E) \cap (G, E))$ ; (b) For every soft closed set (F, E) in a soft topological space X and every  $(G, E) \subseteq X$  we have  $int((F, E) \cup (G, E)) \subseteq (F, E) \cup int(G, E)$ .

Proof. (a) Let  $x_e$  be a soft point on  $(X, \tau, E)$ .  $x_e \in (F, E) \cap cl(G, E) \Longrightarrow x_e \in (F, E)$  and  $x_e \in cl(G, E)$ .  $x_e \in cl(G, E) \iff \forall (K, E) \in N_{\tau}(x_e), (K, E) \cap (G, E) \neq \Phi$ . Since  $(K, E) \cap (F, E) \in N_{\tau}(x_e), (K, E) \cap (F, E) \cap (G, E) \neq \Phi$ . Then,  $x_e \in cl((F, E) \cap (G, E))$ .

(b) It can be proved by taking the complement of  $(F, E) \cap cl(G, E) \subseteq cl((F, E) \cap (G, E))$  in (a).  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.6.** If (F, E) is soft open and (G, E) is soft  $\beta$ -open, then  $(F, E) \cap (G, E)$  is soft  $\beta$ -open.

Proof. Using Theorem 3.5(a) we obtain  $(F, E) \cap (G, E) \subseteq (F, E) \cap cl(int(cl (G, E))) \subseteq cl[(F, E) \cap int (cl(G, E))] = cl[int((F, E) \cap cl(G, E))] \subseteq cl[int[cl ((F, E) \cap (G, E))]]$  which completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.7.** If (F, E) is soft closed and (G, E) is soft  $\beta$ -closed, then  $(F, E) \widetilde{\cup} (G, E)$  is soft  $\beta$ -closed.

*Proof.* Using Theorem 3.5(b) we obtain  $int[cl[int((F, E) \widetilde{\cup} (G, E))]] \cong int[cl((F, E) \widetilde{\cup} int(G, E))] = int((F, E) \widetilde{\cup} cl(int(G, E))) \cong (F, E) \widetilde{\cup} int(cl(int(G, E))) \cong (F, E) \widetilde{\cup} (G, E)$  which completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.8.**  $S.S.O(X) \ \widetilde{\cup} \ S.P.O(X) \ \widetilde{\subseteq} \ S.\beta.O(X)$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} Proof. \ Let \ (F,E) \in S.S.O(X) \ \text{and} \ (G,E) \in S.P.O(X). \ \text{Then}, (F,E) \stackrel{\sim}{\subseteq} cl(int(F,E)) \stackrel{\sim}{\subseteq} cl(int(cl(F,E))) \ \text{and} \ (G,E) \stackrel{\sim}{\subseteq} int(cl(G,E)) \stackrel{\sim}{\subseteq} cl(int(cl(G,E))). \ \text{Therefore}, (F,E) \stackrel{\sim}{\cup} (G,E) \stackrel{\sim}{\subseteq} cl(int(cl(F,E))) \stackrel{\sim}{\cup} cl(int(cl(G,E))) \ = cl[int(cl(F,E)) \stackrel{\sim}{\cup} int(cl(G,E))] \stackrel{\sim}{\subseteq} cl[int(cl(F,E)) \stackrel{\sim}{\cup} int(cl(G,E))] \ = cl[int(cl(F,E)) \stackrel{\sim}{\cup} int(cl(G,E))]. \ \Box$ 

**Theorem 3.9.**  $S.S.C(X) \ \widetilde{\cup} \ S.P.C(X) \ \widetilde{\subseteq} \ S.\beta.C(X)$ 

Proof. Easy.

Now we define the notion of soft supratopology is weaker than soft topology.

**Definition 3.10.** [17,8] Let  $\tau$  be the collection of soft sets over X, then  $\tau$  is said to be soft supratopology on X if

- (1)  $\Phi$ ,  $\widetilde{X}$  belong to  $\tau$
- (2) the union of any number of soft sets in  $\tau$  belongs to  $\tau$

We give the following property for soft  $\beta$ -open sets.

**Proposition 3.11.** The collection  $S.\beta.O(X)$  of all soft  $\beta$ -open sets of a space  $(X, \tau, E)$  forms a soft supratopology.

*Proof.* (1) is obvious

 $(2) \text{ Let } (F_i, E) \in S.\beta.O(X) \text{ for } \forall i \in I = \{1, 2, 3....\}. \text{ Then, for } \forall i \in I, (F_i, E) \cong cl(int(cl(F_i, E)))) \Longrightarrow \\ \underset{i \in I}{\cup} (F_i, E) \cong \underset{i \in I}{\cup} (cl(int(cl(F_i, E)))) = cl(\underset{i \in I}{\cup} (int(cl(F_i, E))))) \cong cl(int(cl(F_i, E)))) = cl(int(cl(\widetilde{U}_i, E))))$ 

The intersection of two soft  $\beta$ -open sets need not be a soft  $\beta$ -open set as is illustrated by the following example.

**Example 3.12.** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$ ,  $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$  and  $\tau = \{\Phi, \tilde{X}, (F_1, E), (F_2, E), (F_3, E)\}$  where  $(F_1, E), (F_2, E), (F_3, E)$  are soft sets over X, defined as follows.  $F_1(e_1) = \{x_1\}, F_1(e_2) = \{x_2\}, F_2(e_1) = \{x_1, x_2\}, F_2(e_2) = \{x_2\}, F_3(e_1) = \{x_1\}, F_3(e_2) = \{x_1, x_2\}$ . Then  $\tau$  defines a soft topology on X and hence  $(X, \tau, E)$  is a soft topological space over X. Now we give two soft sets (G, E), (H, E) in  $(X, \tau, E)$  which are defined as follows:  $G(e_1) = \{x_2\}, G(e_2) = \{x_2\}, H(e_1) = \{x_1, x_2\}, H(e_2) = \{x_1\}$ . Then, (G, E) and (H, E) are soft  $\beta$ -open sets over X, therefore,  $(G, E) \cap (H, E) = \{\{x_2\}, \phi\}$  and  $cl(int(cl((G, E) \cap (H, E)))) = \Phi$ . Hence,  $(G, E) \cap (H, E)$  is not a soft  $\beta$ -open set.

We have the following proposition by using relative complements.

**Proposition 3.13.** Arbitrary intersection of soft  $\beta$ -closed sets is soft  $\beta$ -closed.

Proof. Let  $(F_i, E) \in S.\beta.C(X)$  for  $\forall i \in I = \{1, 2, 3, ....\}$ . Then, for  $\forall i \in I$ ,  $(F_i, E) \supseteq int(cl(int(F_i, E))) \Longrightarrow \bigcap_{i \in I} (F_i, E) \supseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} (int(cl(int(F_i, E)))) = int(\bigcap_{i \in I} (cl(int(F_i, E)))) \supseteq int(cl(\bigcap_{i \in I} (int(F_i, E)))) = int(cl(int(F_i, E)))) = int(cl(int(F_i, E))))$ . The union of two soft  $\beta$ -closed sets need not be soft  $\beta$ -closed set as is illustrated by the following example.

**Example 3.14.** Let  $(X, \tau, E)$  be as in Example 3.12. Now we give two soft sets (G, E), (H, E) in  $(X, \tau, E)$  which are defined as follows:  $G(e_1) = \{x_1\}$ ,  $G(e_2) = \{x_1\}$ ,  $H(e_1) = \phi$ ,  $H(e_2) = \{x_2\}$ . Then, (G, E) and (H, E) are soft  $\beta$ -closed sets over X, therefore,  $(G, E) \cup (H, E) = \{\{x_1\}, \{x_1, x_2\}\}$  and  $int(cl(int((G, E) \cup (H, E)))) = \tilde{X}$ . Hence,  $(G, E) \cup (H, E)$  is not a soft  $\beta$ -closed set.

**Theorem 3.15.** For any soft set (F, E) of a soft topological space X the following conditions are equivalent: (a)  $(F, E) \in S.\beta.O(X)$  (b)  $cl(F, E) \in S.R.C(X)$ .

*Proof.*  $(a) \to (b)$  Let (F, E) be a soft  $\beta$ -open set. Then  $(F, E) \subseteq cl(int(cl(F, E)))$ . This implies cl(F, E) = cl(int(cl(F, E))) that is  $cl(F, E) \in S.R.C$  (X).  $(b) \to (a)$  is obvious.

**Theorem 3.16.** For any soft set (F, E) of a soft topological space X the following conditions are equivalent: (a)  $(F, E) \in S.\beta.C(X)$  (b)  $int(F, E) \in S.R.O(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.17.** Each soft  $\beta$ -open set which is soft semi-closed is soft semi-open.

Proof.  $(F, E) \in S.\beta.O(X) \Longrightarrow (F, E) \subseteq cl(int(cl(F, E)))$  and  $(F, E) \in S.S.C(X) \Longrightarrow int(cl(F, E)) \subseteq (F, E)$ . Then  $int(cl(F, E)) \subseteq (F, E) \subseteq cl(int(cl(F, E)))$ . Since int(cl(F, E)) = (U, E) is a soft open set, we can write  $(U, E) \subseteq (F, E) \subseteq cl(U, E)$ . Hence (F, E) is a soft semi-open set.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 3.18.** If a soft set (F, E) in a soft topological space  $(X, \tau, E)$  is soft  $\beta$ -closed and soft *semi*-open, then (F, E) is soft *semi*-closed.

**Theorem 3.19.** If (F, E) is soft  $\alpha$ -open and (G, E) is soft  $\beta$ -open then  $(F, E) \cap (G, E)$  is soft  $\beta$ -open.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Proof.} \ (F,E) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ (G,E) \ \widetilde{\subseteq} \ int(cl(int(F,E))) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ cl(int(cl(G,E))) \ \widetilde{\subseteq} \ cl[int(cl\ (int(F,E))) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ int(cl(G,E))] = cl[int(cl(int(F,E)) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ int(cl(G,E))]] \ \widetilde{\subseteq} \ cl[int[cl(int(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ cl(G,E))]] \ cl[int[cl(int(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ cl(G,E))]] \ \widetilde{\subseteq} \ cl[int[cl(int(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ cl(G,E))]] \ cl[int[cl(int(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ cl(G,E))] \ cl[int[cl(int(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ cl(G,E))]] \ cl[int[cl(int(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ cl(G,E))]] \ cl[int[cl(int(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ cl(G,E))]] \ cl[int[cl(int(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ cl(G,E))] \ cl(int[cl(int(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ cl(G,E))] \ cl(int[cl(int(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ cl(G,E))] \ cl(int[cl(int(F,E) \ cl(G,E))] \ cl(int[cl(int(F,E) \ cl(G,E))] \ cl(int[cl(int(F,E) \ cl(int(F,E) \ cl(G,E))] \ cl(int[cl(int(F,E) \ cl($ 

**Corollary 3.20.** If (F, E) is soft  $\alpha$ -closed and (G, E) is soft  $\beta$ -closed then  $(F, E) \widetilde{\cup} (G, E)$  is soft  $\beta$ -closed.

**Proposition 3.21.** In an indiscrete soft topological space  $(X, \tau, E)$ , each soft  $\beta$ -open is soft *pre*-open.

*Proof.* If  $(F, E) = \Phi$ , then (F, E) is soft  $\beta$ -open and soft *pre*-open. Let  $(F, E) \neq \Phi$ , then,  $(F, E) \in S.\beta.O(X) \Longrightarrow (F, E) \subseteq cl(int(cl(F, E))) = \widetilde{X} = (int(cl(F, E)))$ . Hence (F, E) is soft *pre*-open.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.22.** A soft set (F, E) in a soft topological space  $(X, \tau, E)$  is soft  $\beta$ -closed if and only if  $cl(\widetilde{X} - cl(int(F, E))) - (\widetilde{X} - cl(F, E)) \cong cl(F, E) - (F, E).$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} Proof. \ cl(\widetilde{X}-cl(int(F,E))) - (\widetilde{X}-cl(F,E)) \stackrel{\sim}{\supseteq} cl(F,E) - (F,E) \Longleftrightarrow (\widetilde{X}-int(cl(int(F,E)))) - (\widetilde{X}-cl(F,E)) \stackrel{\sim}{\supseteq} cl(F,E) - (F,E) \Longleftrightarrow (\widetilde{X} \cap cl(F,E)) - [int(cl(int(F,E)))) \stackrel{\sim}{\cap} cl(F,E) \stackrel{\sim}{\supseteq} cl(F,E) - (F,E) \Leftrightarrow (\widetilde{X} \cap cl(F,E)) - [int(cl(int(F,E)))) \stackrel{\sim}{\cap} cl(F,E)] \stackrel{\sim}{\supseteq} cl(F,E) - (F,E) \Longleftrightarrow cl(F,E) - int(cl(int(F,E))) \stackrel{\sim}{\supseteq} cl(F,E) - (F,E) \Leftrightarrow (F,E) \stackrel{\sim}{\supseteq} int(cl(int(F,E))) \Leftrightarrow (F,E) \text{ is soft } \beta\text{-closed.} \end{array}$ 

**Theorem 3.23.** Each soft  $\beta$ -open and soft  $\alpha$ -closed set is soft closed.

Proof. Let  $(F, E) \in S.\beta.O(X), (F, E) \cong cl(int(cl(F, E)))$ , since (F, E) is soft  $\alpha$ -closed  $cl(int(cl(F, E))) \cong (F, E)$ , then  $cl(int(cl(F, E))) \cong (F, E) \cong cl(int(cl(F, E)))$  which is soft closed.  $\Box$ 

Corollary 3.24. Each soft  $\beta$ -closed and soft  $\alpha$ -open set is soft open.

**Definition 3.25.** [2]Let (F, E) be a soft subset of  $(X, \tau, E)$  then the soft beta-closure of (F, E), denoted by  $S\beta cl(F, E)$ , is the soft intersection of all soft  $\beta$ -closed subsets of X containing (F, E).

**Theorem 3.26.** Let (F, E) be a soft subset of X. Then  $S\beta cl(F, E) = (F, E) \widetilde{\cup} int(cl(int(F, E)))$ .

Proof. We observe that  $int[cl[int[(F, E) \cup int(cl(int(F, E)))]]] \subseteq int[cl[int[(F, E) \cup cl(int(F, E))]]] \subseteq int[cl[int(F, E) \cup cl(int(F, E))]] = int[cl(int(F, E)) \cup cl(int(F, E)] = int(cl(int(F, E))) \subseteq (F, E) \cup int(cl(int(F, E))))$ . Hence  $(F, E) \cup int(cl(int(F, E)))$  is soft  $\beta$ -closed and thus  $S\beta cl(F, E) \subseteq (F, E) \cup int(cl(int(F, E)))$ . On the other hand, since  $S\beta cl(F, E)$  is soft  $\beta$ -closed, we have  $int(cl(int(F, E))) \subseteq int(cl(int(S\beta cl(F, E))))$ .  $\subseteq S\beta cl(F, E)$  and hence  $(F, E) \cup int(cl(int(F, E))) \subseteq S\beta cl(F, E)$ .

**Definition 3.27.** [2]Let (F, E) be a soft subset of  $(X, \tau, E)$  then the soft beta-interior of (F, E), denoted by  $S\beta int(F, E)$ , is the soft union of all soft  $\beta$ -open subsets of X contained in (F, E).

**Theorem 3.28.** Let (F, E) be a soft subset of X. Then  $S\beta int(F, E) = (F, E) \cap cl(int(cl(F, E)))$ .

Proof. We observe that  $(F, E) \cap cl(int(cl(F, E))) \subseteq cl(int(cl(F, E))) = cl[int[cl(F, E) \cap int(cl(F, E))]] \subseteq cl[int[cl(F, E) \cap int(cl(F, E))]]] \subseteq cl[int[cl(F, E) \cap cl(int(cl(F, E)))]]]$ . Hence  $(F, E) \cap cl(int(cl(F, E)))$  is soft  $\beta$ -open and thus  $(F, E) \cap cl(int(cl(F, E))) \subseteq S\beta int(F, E)$ . On the other hand, since  $S\beta int(F, E)$  is soft  $\beta$ -open, we have  $S\beta int(F, E) \subseteq cl(int(cl(S\beta int(F, E)))) \subseteq cl(int(cl(F, E)))$  and hence  $S\beta int(F, E) \subseteq (F, E) \cap cl(int(cl(F, E)))$ .

**Corollary 3.29.** (a)  $S\beta int((F, E)') = (S\beta cl(F, E))'$  (b)  $S\beta cl((F, E)') = (S\beta int(F, E))'$ 

The following theorem is an easy consequence of the definitions of soft  $\alpha$ -open and soft  $\beta$ -open sets.

**Theorem 3.30.** a)  $(F, E) \in S.\alpha.O(X)$  if and only if  $S\beta cl(F, E) = int(cl(int(F, E))), b)$   $(F, E) \in S.\alpha.C(X)$  if and only if  $S\beta int(F, E) = cl(int(cl(F, E))).$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Proof.} \ (a) \Longrightarrow \text{Let} \ (F,E) \in S.\alpha.O(X), \text{ then} \ (F,E) \stackrel{\sim}{\subseteq} int(cl(int(F,E))). \ S\beta cl(F,E) = (F,E) \stackrel{\sim}{\cup} int(cl(int(F,E))). \\ (F,E))) = int(cl(int(F,E))). \\ \xleftarrow{} S\beta cl(F,E) = int(cl(int(F,E))) = (F,E) \stackrel{\sim}{\cup} int(cl(int(F,E))), \text{ then} \ (F,E) \stackrel{\sim}{\subseteq} int(cl(int(F,E))). \end{array}$ 

**Theorem 3.31.** Let (F, E) be a soft subset of X. Then  $S\beta int(S\beta cl(F, E)) = S\beta cl(S\beta int(F, E))$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} Proof. \text{ We have } S\beta int(S\beta cl(F,E)) = S\beta cl(F,E) ~ \cap cl(int(cl(S\beta cl(F,E)))) = [(F,E) ~ \cup int(cl(int(F,E)))] ~ \cap cl(int(cl(int(F,E)))]] = [(F,E) ~ \cup int(cl(int(F,E)))] ~ \cap cl(int(cl(F,E))) = [(F,E) ~ \cap cl(int(cl(F,E)))] = [(F,E) ~ \cap cl(int(cl(F,E)))] ~ \cup int(cl(int(F,E))) = [(F,E) ~ \cap cl(int(cl(F,E)))]] ~ \cup int(cl(int(F,E))) = [(F,E) ~ \cap cl(int(cl(F,E)))]] = S\beta int(F,E) ~ \cup int(cl(int(S\beta int(F,E)))) = S\beta cl(S\beta int(F,E)) ~ \cup int(cl(int(F,E)))) ~ \cup int(cl(int(F,E)))) = S\beta cl(S\beta int(F,E)) ~ \cup int(cl(int(S\beta int(F,E)))) ~ \cup int(cl(int(F,E)))) ~ \cup int(cl(int(F,E)))) ~ \cup int(cl(int(F,E)))) ~ \cup int(cl(int(F,E))) ~ \cap cl(int(cl(F,E))))] ~ \cup int(cl(int(F,E))) ~ \cap cl(int(cl(F,E))))] ~ \cup int(cl(int(S\beta int(F,E)))) ~ \cup int(cl(int(S\beta int(F,E)))) ~ \cup int(cl(int(S\beta int(F,E)))) ~ \cup int(cl(int(S\beta int(F,E))))) ~ \cup int(cl(int(S\beta int(F,E)))) ~ \cup int(cl(int(S\beta int(S\beta int(F,E)))) ~ \cup int(cl(int(S\beta int(S\beta int($ 

**Corollary 3.32.** (a)  $(F, E) \cup S\beta int(S\beta cl(F, E)) = S\beta cl(F, E)$  (b)  $(F, E) \cap S\beta int(S\beta cl(F, E)) = S\beta int(F, E)$ 

*Proof.* (a)  $(F, E) \ \widetilde{\cup} \ S\beta int(S\beta cl(F, E)) = (F, E) \ \widetilde{\cup} \ [S\beta cl(F, E) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ cl(int(cl(S\beta cl(F, E))))] = (F, E) \ \widetilde{\cup} \ [[(F, E) \ \widetilde{\cup} \ [(F, E) \ \widetilde{\cup} \ [(F, E) \ \widetilde{\cup} \ [(F, E) \ \widetilde{\cup} \ (F, E) \ \widetilde{\cup} \ [(F, E) \ \widetilde{\cup} \ (F, E) \ \widetilde{\cup} \ ($  $\widetilde{\cup} int(cl(int(F,E)))] \ \widetilde{\cap} \ cl[int[cl[(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cup} \ int(cl(int(F,E)))]]]] = (F,E) \ \widetilde{\cup} \ [[(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cup} \ int(cl(int(F,E)))] \ \widetilde{\cap} \ int(cl(int(F,E)))) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ int(cl(int(F,E))) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ int(cl(int(F,E)))) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ int(cl(int(F,E)))) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ int(cl(int(F,E))) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ int(cl(int(F,E)))) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ int(cl(int(F,E))) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ int(cl(int(F,E)))) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ int(cl(int(F,E))) \ \widetilde{\cap} \ int(cl(int(F,E)))$  $cl(int(cl(F,E)))] = [(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cup} \ int(cl(int(F,E)))] \ \widetilde{\cap} \ [(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cup} \ cl(int(cl(F,E)))] = [(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cup} \ int(cl(int(F,E)))]$  $= S\beta cl(F, E)$ 

(b) Easy

**Theorem 3.33.** For any soft subset (F, E) of a soft topological space X the following conditions are equivalent: (a)  $(F, E) \in S.\beta.O(X)$  (b)  $(F, E) \subset S\beta int [S\beta cl(F, E)]$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\rightarrow$  (b) Let  $(F, E) \in S.\beta.O(X)$ . Then  $(F, E) \subset cl(int(cl(F, E)))$ .  $S\beta int(S\beta cl(F, E)) = S\beta cl(F, E)$  $\widetilde{\cap} cl(int(cl(S\beta cl(F,E)))) = [(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cup} int(cl \ (int(F,E)))] \ \widetilde{\cap} \ cl[int[cl[(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cup} int(cl(int(F,E)))]]] = [(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cup} int(cl(int(F,E)))]] = [(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cup} int(cl(int(F,E)))]] = [(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cup} int(cl(int(F,E)))] = [(F,E) \ \widetilde{\cup} int(cl(int(F,E))$  $int(cl(int(F,E))) \cap cl(int(cl(F,E)))] = [(F,E) \cap cl(int(cl(F,E)))] \cup [int(cl(int(F,E))) \cap cl(int(cl(F,E)))]$  $= (F, E) \widetilde{\cup} int(cl(int(F, E))) \supseteq (F, E).$ 

(b)  $\rightarrow$ (a)  $(F, E) \subseteq S\beta int [S\beta cl(F, E)] = S\beta cl(F, E) \cap cl(int(cl(S\beta cl(F, E))))) = [(F, E) \cup int(cl(int E))))$  $(F, E)) ] \cap cl[int[cl[(F, E) \cup int(cl(int(F, E)))]]] = [(F, E) \cup int(cl(int(F, E)))] \cap cl(int(cl(F, E))).$  Hence  $(F, E) \subseteq cl(int(cl (F, E))).$ 

#### 3.1Soft $\beta$ -continuous Mappings

We define the notion of soft  $\beta$ -continuity by using soft  $\beta$ -open sets.

**Definition 3.34.** Let  $(X, \tau, E)$  and  $(Y, \tau', E)$  be two soft topological spaces. A function  $f: (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow$  $(Y, \tau', E)$  is said to be

a) soft semi-continuous [12] if  $f^{-1}((G, E))$  is soft semi-open in  $(X, \tau, E)$ , for every soft open set (G, E)of  $(Y, \tau', E)$ .

b) soft pre-continuons [1] if  $f^{-1}((G, E))$  is soft pre-open in  $(X, \tau, E)$ , for every soft open set (G, E) of  $(Y, \tau', E).$ 

c) soft  $\alpha$ -continuous if [1]  $f^{-1}((G, E))$  is soft  $\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau, E)$ , for every soft open set (G, E) of  $(Y, \tau', E)$ .

d) soft  $\beta$ -continuous if  $f^{-1}((G, E))$  is soft  $\beta$ -open in  $(X, \tau, E)$ , for every soft open set (G, E) of  $(Y, \tau', E)$ .

e) soft  $\beta$ -irresolute if  $f^{-1}((G, E))$  is soft  $\beta$ -open in  $(X, \tau, E)$ , for every soft  $\beta$ -open set (G, E) of  $(Y, \tau', E)$ .

It is clear that the class of soft  $\beta$ -continuity contains each of classes soft semi-continuous and soft precontinuous, the implications between them and other types of soft continuities are given by the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} soft \ continuity & \longrightarrow & soft \ \alpha\mbox{-}continuity & \longrightarrow & soft \ semi\mbox{-}continuity \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & soft \ pre\mbox{-}continuity & \longrightarrow & soft \ \beta\mbox{-}continuity \end{array}$$

The converses of these implications do not hold, in general, as show in the following examples.

**Example 3.35.** Let  $X = Y = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, E = \{e_1, e_2\}$  and let the soft topology on X be soft indiscrete and on Y be soft discrete. If we get the mapping  $f: (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (Y, \tau', E)$  defined as  $f(x_1) = x_2$ ,  $f(x_2) = x_1$ ,  $f(x_3) = x_3$  then f is soft  $\beta$ -continuous but not soft semi-continuous.

**Example 3.36.** Let  $X = Y = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, E = \{e_1, e_2\}$ . Then  $\tau = \{\Phi, \widetilde{X}, (F_1, E), (F_2, E), (F_3, E)\}$  is a soft topological space over X and  $\tau' = \{\Phi, \tilde{Y}, (G_1, E), (G_2, E)\}$  is a soft topological space over Y. Here  $(F_1, E), (F_2, E), (F_3, E)$  are soft sets over X and  $(G_1, E), (G_2, E)$  are soft sets over Y, defined as follows:  $F_1(e_1) = \{x_1\}, F_1(e_2) = \{x_1\}, F_2(e_1) = \{x_2\}, F_2(e_2) = \{x_2\}, F_3(e_1) = \{x_1, x_2\}, F_3(e_2) = \{x_1, x_2\}$  and  $G_1(e_1) = \{x_1\}, G_1(e_2) = \{x_1\}, G_2(e_1) = \{x_1, x_2\}, G_2(e_2) = \{x_1, x_2\}.$ 

If we get the mapping  $f: (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (Y, \tau', E)$  defined as  $f(x_1) = x_1$ ,  $f(x_2) = x_3$ ,  $f(x_3) = x_2$  then f is soft  $\beta$ -continuous but not soft *pre*-continuous, since  $f^{-1}(G_2) = \{\{x_1, x_3\}, \{x_1, x_3\}\}$  is not a soft *pre*-open set over X.

We give some characterizations of soft  $\beta$ -continuity.

**Theorem 3.37.** Let  $f: (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (Y, \tau', E)$  be a soft mapping, then the following statements are equivalent.

a) f is soft  $\beta$ -continuous.

b) For each soft point  $(x_e, E)$  over X and each soft open (G, E) containing  $f(x_e, E) = (f(x)_e, E)$  over Y, there exists a soft  $\beta$ -open set (F, E) over X containing  $(x_e, E)$  such that  $f(F, E) \subseteq (G, E)$ .

- c) The inverse image of each soft closed set in Y is soft  $\beta$ -closed in X.
- d)  $int(cl(int(f^{-1}(G, E)))) \cong f^{-1}(cl(G, E))$  for each soft set (G, E) over Y.
- e)  $f(int(cl(int(F, E)))) \subseteq cl(f(F, E))$  for each soft set (F, E) over X.

Proof. (a)  $\implies$  (b) Since  $(G, E) \subseteq Y$  containing  $f(x_e, E) = (f(x)_e, E)$  is soft open, then  $f^{-1}(G, E) \in S.\beta.O(X)$ . Soft set  $(F, E) = f^{-1}(G, E)$  which contains  $(x_e, E)$ , therefore  $f(F, E) \subseteq (G, E)$ .

 $(a) \Longrightarrow (c)$  Let  $(G, E) \in S.C(Y)$ , then  $(Y - (G, E)) \in S.O(Y)$ . Since f is soft  $\beta$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(Y - (G, E)) \in S.\beta.O(X)$ . Hence  $[\tilde{X} - f^{-1}(G, E)] \in S.\beta.O(X)$ . Then  $f^{-1}(G, E) \in S.\beta.C(X)$ 

 $(c) \Longrightarrow (d)$  Let (G, E) be a soft set over Y, then  $f^{-1}(cl(G, E)) \in S.\beta.C(X)$ .  $f^{-1}(cl(G, E)) \cong int(cl(int(f^{-1}(G, E))))) \cong int(cl(int(f^{-1}(G, E))))$ 

 $(d) \Longrightarrow (e)$  Let (F, E) be a soft set over X and f(F, E) = (G, E). Then, according to (d)  $int(cl(int (f^{-1}(f(F, E))))) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl(f(F, E))) \Longrightarrow int(cl(int(F, E)))) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl(f(F, E))) \Longrightarrow f(int(cl(int(F, E)))) \subseteq cl(f(F, E)))$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} (e) \Longrightarrow (a) \text{ Let } (G,E) \in S.O(Y), \ (H,E) = \widetilde{Y} - (G,E) \text{ and } (F,E) = f^{-1}(H,E), \text{ by } (e) \quad f(int(cl(int(f^{-1}(H,E))))) \subseteq cl(f(f^{-1}(H,E)))) \subseteq cl(H,E) = (H,E), \text{ so } int(cl(int(f^{-1}(H,E)))) \subseteq f^{-1}(H,E). \text{ Then } f^{-1}(H,E) \in S.\beta.C(X), \text{ thus } (by (c)) f \text{ is soft } \beta\text{-continuous.} \end{array}$ 

**Remark 3.38.** The composition of two soft  $\beta$ -continuous mappings need not be soft  $\beta$ -continuous, in general, as shown by the following example.

**Example 3.39.** Let  $X = Z = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ ,  $Y = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$  and  $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$ . Then  $\tau = \{\Phi, \tilde{X}, (F, E)\}$  is a soft topological space over X,  $\tau' = \{\Phi, \tilde{Y}, (G, E)\}$  is a soft topological space over Y and  $\tau'' = \{\Phi, \tilde{Z}, (H_1, E), (H_2, E)\}$  is a soft topological space over Z. Here (F, E) is a soft set over X, (G, E) is a soft set over Y and  $(H_1, E), (H_2, E)$  are soft sets over Z defined as follows:  $F(e_1) = \{x_1\}$ ,  $F(e_2) = \{x_1\}$ ,  $G(e_1) = \{x_1, x_3\}$ ,  $G(e_2) = \{x_1, x_3\}$ ,  $H_1(e_1) = \{x_3\}$ ,  $H_1(e_2) = \{x_3\}$ ,  $H_2(e_1) = \{x_1, x_2\}$ ,  $H_2(e_2) = \{x_1, x_2\}$ .

If we get the identity mapping  $I : (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (Y, \tau', E)$  and  $f : (Y, \tau', E) \longrightarrow (Z, \tau'', E)$  defined as  $f(x_1) = x_1, f(x_2) = f(x_4) = x_2, f(x_3) = x_3$ . It is clear that each of I and f is soft  $\beta$ -continuous but  $f \circ I$  is not soft  $\beta$ -continuous, since  $(f \circ I)^{-1}(H_1, E) = \{\{x_3\}, \{x_3\}\}$  is not a soft  $\beta$ -open set over X.

**Definition 3.40.** A function  $f : (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (Y, \tau', E)$  is called a soft  $\beta$ -homeomorphism (resp.soft  $\beta r$ -homeomorphism) if f is a soft  $\beta$ -continuous bijection (resp. sorf  $\beta$ -irresolute bijection) and  $f^{-1} : (Y, \tau', E) \longrightarrow (X, \tau, E)$  is a soft  $\beta$ -continuous (soft  $\beta$ -irresolute).

Now we can give the following definition by taking the soft space  $(X, \tau, E)$  instead of the soft space  $(Y, \tau', E)$ .

**Definition 3.41.** For a soft topological space  $(X, \tau, E)$ , we define the following two collections of functions:

 $S\beta - h(X, \tau, E) = \{f \mid f : (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (X, \tau, E) \text{ is a soft}\beta \text{-continuous bijection}, f^{-1} : (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (X, \tau, E) \text{ is soft}\beta \text{-continuous} \}$ 

 $S\beta r \cdot h(X, \tau, E) = \{f \mid f : (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (X, \tau, E) \text{ is a soft}\beta \text{-irresolute bijection}, f^{-1} : (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (X, \tau, E) \text{ is soft}\beta \text{-irresolute}\}$ 

**Theorem 3.42.** For a soft topological space  $(X, \tau, E)$ ,  $S \cdot h(X, \tau, E) \cong S\beta r \cdot h(X, \tau, E) \cong S\beta \cdot h(X, \tau, E)$ , where  $S \cdot h(X, \tau, E) = \{f \mid f : (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (X, \tau, E) \text{ is a soft-homeomorphism}\}$ .

Proof. First we show that every soft-homeomorphism  $f: (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (Y, \tau', E)$  is a soft  $\beta r$ -homeomorphism. Let  $(G, E) \in S.\beta.O(Y)$ , then  $(G, E) \subseteq cl(int(cl(G, E)))$ . Hence,  $f^{-1}((G, E)) \subseteq f^{-1}(cl(int(cl(G, E)))) = cl(int(cl(f^{-1}(G, E))))$  and so  $f^{-1}((G, E)) \in S.\beta.O(X)$ . Thus, f is soft  $\beta$ -irresolute. In a similar way, it is shown that  $f^{-1}$  is soft  $\beta$ -irresolute. Hence, we have that  $S \cdot h(X, \tau, E) \subseteq S\beta r \cdot h(X, \tau, E)$ .

Finally, it is obvious that  $S\beta r \cdot h(X, \tau, E) \cong S\beta \cdot h(X, \tau, E)$ , because every soft  $\beta$ -irresolute function is soft  $\beta$ -continuous.

**Theorem 3.43.** For a soft topological space  $(X, \tau, E)$ , the collection  $S\beta r \cdot h(X, \tau, E)$  forms a group under the composition of functions.

Proof. If  $f: (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (Y, \tau', E)$  and  $g: (Y, \tau', E) \longrightarrow (Z, \tau'', E)$  are soft  $\beta r$ -homeomorphism, then their composition  $gof: (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (Z, \tau'', E)$  is a soft  $\beta r$ -homeomorphism. It is obvious that for a bijective soft  $\beta r$ -homeomorphism  $f: (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (Y, \tau', E), f^{-1}: (Y, \tau', E) \longrightarrow (X, \tau, E)$  is also a soft  $\beta r$ homeomorphism and the identity  $1: (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (X, \tau, E)$  is a soft  $\beta r$ -homeomorphism. A binary operation  $\alpha: S\beta r \cdot h(X, \tau, E) \times S\beta r \cdot h(X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow S\beta r \cdot h(X, \tau, E)$  is well defined by  $\alpha(a, b) = boa$ , where  $a, b \in S\beta r \cdot h(X, \tau, E)$  and boa is the composition of a and b. By using the above properties, the set  $S\beta r \cdot h(X, \tau, E)$  forms a group under composition of functions.

**Theorem 3.44.** The group S- $h(X, \tau, E)$  of all soft homeomorphisms on  $(X, \tau, E)$  is a subgroup of  $S\beta r$ - $h(X, \tau, E)$ .

Proof. For any  $a, b \in S$ - $h(X, \tau, E)$ , we have  $\alpha(a, b^{-1}) = b^{-1}o \ a \in S$ - $h(X, \tau, E)$  and  $1_X \in S$ - $h(X, \tau, E) \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, using (Theorem 4.10) and (Theorem 4.11), it is obvious that the group S- $h(X, \tau, E)$  is a subgroup of  $S\beta r$ - $h(X, \tau, E)$ .

For a soft topological space  $(X, \tau, E)$ , we can construct a new group  $S\beta r \cdot h(X, \tau, E)$  satisfying the property: if there exists a homeomorphism  $(X, \tau, E) \cong (Y, \tau', E)$ , then there exists a group isomorphism  $S\beta r \cdot h(X, \tau, E) \cong S\beta r \cdot h(X, \tau, E)$ .

**Corollary 3.45.** Let  $f : (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (Y, \tau', E)$  and  $g : (Y, \tau', E) \longrightarrow (Z, \tau'', E)$  be two functions between soft topological spaces.

a) For a soft  $\beta r$ -homeomorphism  $f: (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (Y, \tau', E)$ , there exists an isomorphism, say

 $f_*: S\beta r \cdot h(X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow S\beta r \cdot h(X, \tau, E)$ , defined  $f_*(a) = f \ o \ a \ o \ f^{-1}$ , for any element  $a \in S\beta r \cdot h(X, \tau, E)$ . b) For two soft  $\beta r$ -homeomorphisms  $f: (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (Y, \tau', E)$  and

 $g: (Y, \tau', E) \longrightarrow (Z, \tau'', E), (gof)_* = g_*of_*: S\beta r - h(X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow S\beta r - h(Z, \tau'', E)$  holds.

c) For the identity function  $1_X : (X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow (X, \tau, E), (1_X)_* = 1 : S\beta r \cdot h(X, \tau, E) \longrightarrow S\beta r \cdot h(X, \tau, E)$ holds where 1 denotes the identity isomorphism.

Proof. Straightforward .

### 4 Conclusion

We obtain some properties of two operators called soft  $\beta$ -interior and soft  $\beta$ -closure. Besides, in soft topological spaces, two new varieties of continuity via soft soft  $\beta$ -open and soft  $\beta$ -homeomorphism with soft  $\beta$ -irresolute homeomorphism are defined and given some characterizations of these notions. Of course, the most important the family of soft  $\beta$ -irresolute homeomorphism was a soft group. Therefore, one can say that this paper is applying to algebra.

## Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful for financial support from the OYP Research Fund of Selcuk University under grand no: 2013-OYP-032

### References

- M. Akdag, A. Ozkan, Soft α-open sets and soft α-continuous functions, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci., 7, 287-294, 2013.
- [2] M. Akdag, A. Ozkan, Soft β-open sets and soft β-continuous functions, The Scientific World Journal, 6 pages, 2014.
- [3] M.I. Ali, F. Feng, X. Liu, W.K. Min, M. Shabir, On some new operations in soft set theory, Computers & Mathematics with Applications 57, 1547-1553, 2009.
- [4] C. G. Aras, A. Sönmez, H. Çakallı, On soft mappings, arXiv:1305.4545, 2013.
- [5] I. Arockiarani, A. A. Lancy, Generalized soft g β-closed sets and soft g sβ-closed sets in soft topological spaces, International Journal of Math. Archive, 4, 1-7, 2013.
- [6] S. Bayramov, C. G. Aras, Soft local compact and soft paracompact spaces, Journal of Mathematics and System Science, 3, 122-130, 2013.
- B. Chen, Soft semi-open sets and related properties in soft topological spaces, Applied Mathematics and Information Sciences, 7287-294, 2013.
- [8] S.A. El-Sheikh, A.M. Abd El-latif, Decompositions of some types of supra soft sets and soft continuity, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology, 9, 37-56, 2014,
- [9] F. Feng, Y.B. Jun, X. Zhao, Soft semirings, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 56, 2621-2628, 2008.
- [10] S. Hussain, B. Ahmad, Some properties of soft topological spaces, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 62, 4058-4067, 2011.
- [11] F. Lin, Soft connected spaces and soft paracompact spaces, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci. Eng., 7(2), 37-43, 2013.
- [12] J. Mahanta, P. K. Das, On soft topological space via semiopen and semiclosed soft sets, arXiv:1203.4133, 2012.
- [13] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas, A. R. Roy, Soft set theory, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 45, 555-562, 2003.
- [14] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory-First results, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 37,19-31, 1999.
- [15] M. Shabir, M. Naz, On soft topological spaces, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 61, 1786-1799, 2011.
- [16] S. Yüksel, N. Tozlu, Z. G. Ergül, Soft regular generalized closed sets in soft topological spaces, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis. 8, 355-367, 2014.
- [17] Y.Yumak, A.K. Kaymakcı, Soft  $\beta$ -open sets and their applications, arXiv:1312.6964, 2013
- [18] I. Zorlutuna, M. Akdag, W. K. Min and S. Atmaca, *Remarks on soft topological spaces*, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Infotma., 3(2), 171-185, 2012.