

Determining the effectiveness of basic first aid training provided to secondary school student

Mehmet Bulduk¹, Aynur Aytekin Özdemir²

¹Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, Van Yüzüncü Yıl University, Van, Turkiye ²Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, İstanbul Medeniyet University, İstanbul, Turkiye

Cite this article as: Bulduk M, Aytekin Özdemir A. Determining the effectiveness of basic first aid training provided to secondary school student. *Anatolian Curr Med J.* 2024;6(5):299-305.

 Received: 16.07.2024
 •
 Accepted: 10.08.2024
 •
 Published: 30.09.2024

ABSTRACT

Aims: The aim of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of first aid training provided to middle school students from diverse socio-demographic backgrounds, and to examine how these differences influence the outcomes of the training.

Methods: The study was conducted in three middle schools located in the eastern part of Turkiye between April and July 2016. The population of the study consisted of 7th-grade students (n=391) attending these schools during the spring semester of the 2015-2016 academic year. All students were included in the sample without any selection, as participation was obtained through parental and student consent. Data were collected using the "Descriptive Information Form" and the "First Aid Education Knowledge Evaluation Form". First aid knowledge levels were assessed before the training, after the training, and two weeks post-training.

Results: The study found that the average first aid knowledge scores of students based on socioeconomic status were 58.61 ± 6.26 for high, 60.86 ± 5.86 for middle, and 56.44 ± 6.26 for low socioeconomic status. Post-training, the average scores increased across all groups. These findings indicate that socioeconomic status affects first aid knowledge, but the training programs benefit all students and improve their knowledge levels.

Conclusion: The findings of this study indicate that while socioeconomic status exerts a significant influence on first aid knowledge, the training programmes implemented have resulted in notable improvements in the knowledge levels of all students.

Keywords: First aid training, school health, school health nursing

INTRODUCTION

Accidents occurring at home, in traffic, or in the workplace have been shown to have profound impacts on individuals and communities.¹⁻⁵ Over the past decade, the increase in natural disasters and human-made accidents has resulted in many incidents that pose devastating threats to children and adolescents in primary and secondary schools.⁶ This situation has led to numerous studies emphasizing the critical importance of first aid in accident scenarios.⁷⁻⁹

First aid plays a crucial role in providing immediate assistance to individuals who are suddenly injured or fall ill until professional help arrives or the person recovers.¹⁰ Correctly administered first aid is essential to minimize

disability, enhance the safety of victims, and potentially save lives.¹¹ Therefore, first aid skills are vital for individuals of all ages.¹² First aid training is particularly effective for children aged 11-20, as they have developed the highest levels of physical, sensory, and psychomotor functions in this age group.¹³ Short-term training programs have been shown to significantly improve first aid knowledge and practices among students aged 13-15, highlighting the suitability of this age group for such education.¹⁴ Schools provide excellent opportunities to offer first aid and basic life support training, preparing students for emergencies, reducing the severity of injuries, and promoting a culture of safety.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ Additionally, short-term first aid training programs have been found to

Corresponding Author: Mehmet Bulduk, mehmetbulduk@windowslive.com



significantly enhance students' knowledge, attitudes, and confidence in performing first aid.^{19,20}

This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of first aid training provided to middle school students across three different schools with varying socio-demographic backgrounds. By examining the impact on students from diverse socio-economic backgrounds, this research seeks to reveal how these differences influence the outcomes of first aid education. Additionally, the study addresses a significant gap in the literature by focusing on the effectiveness of training provided by pediatric nurses. The originality and significance of this study lie in its comprehensive approach to understanding how socio-demographic factors influence the outcomes of first aid education. The hypothesis of this study is that first aid training will enhance the first aid knowledge levels of students from different socio-demographic backgrounds.

METHODS

Ethics

The study was carried out with the permission of Ataturk University Faculty of Medicine Clinical Researches Ethics Committee (Date: 18.04.2016, Decision No: 04/10). All procedures were carried out in accordance with the ethical rules and the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Type of Study

The research was carried out using one of the Weak Experimental Designs, specifically a One-Group Pretest-Post-test Design, to determine the effectiveness of basic first aid training given to 7th-grade secondary school students.

Place and Time of the Study

The study was conducted in three secondary schools in a province in eastern Turkiye between April 2016 and July 2016.

Population and Sampling of the Research

The population of the study consisted of students studying at three secondary schools, which were determined according to the socioeconomic level classification in the city centre, in the spring semester of the 2015-2016 academic year. The study group included children aged 11 and over in the "Abstract Operations Period", in which rational solutions are produced against complex problems and ideas are developed in social events, according to Jean Piaget's theory of cognitive development.²¹ In addition, considering previous studies, secondary school 7th-grade (12-14-year-old) students were included in the study to standardize the training program.^{22,23} There are a total of 15 7th-grade classes in the three secondary schools where the study was conducted.

According to September 2015 data, there are 112 secondary schools in the city centre. These schools were divided into three groups (good, moderate, and poor) according to their socioeconomic levels in line with the information of the provincial directorate of national education. Each group was accepted as a layer, and one school from each layer was selected by drawing lots. All students (n=391) for whom parental and student permissions to participate in the study were obtained were included in the study without selecting a

sample group. Private schools were not included in the study because permission for the study could not be obtained.

A power analysis was performed to determine whether the number of students included in the study was adequate. According to the power analysis, it was determined that the power of the research was 0.97 at a significance level of 0.05, an effect size of 0.5, and a confidence interval of 0.95.

Inclusion Criteria

Students who were studying in the 7th grade of secondary school, who were willing to participate in the study, and for whom written permission was obtained from the parents were included in the study.

Exclusion Criteria

Students who were not willing to participate in the study and for whom written permission could not be taken from the parents were not included in the study.

Research Variables

Independent variables: Basic first aid training provided to students

Dependent variables: First aid knowledge scores of students

Control variables: Sociodemographic characteristics of students

Data Collection Tools

"Identifying Information Form" and "First Aid Training Knowledge Evaluation Form (FATKEF)" prepared by the researcher based on the literature ²²⁻²⁴ review were used to collect the data.

Identifying Information Form: The "Identifying Information Form" was prepared to determine the sociodemographic characteristics of the students included in the study. In the form, there are questions that inquire about the class, gender, age, and first aid training status of the students to be included in the research.

First Aid Training Knowledge Evaluation Form (FATKEF): This form was developed and validated by Yalcin²² in 2010 in line with the cognitive goals expected to be achieved in first aid training. This form, designed to measure the level of first aid knowledge in accordance with the basic first aid training content and the determined objectives, measures the level of basic first aid knowledge. The form consists of 34 multiplechoice questions, including general first aid information (8 questions), first aid provider characteristics (1 question), human body (1 question), evaluation of the patient/ injured and the scene (9 questions), first aid in bleeding (5 questions), first aid in case of burns and frostbite (3 questions), first aid in fractures (2 questions), first aid in poisonings (3 questions), and first aid in respiratory obstruction (2 questions). Each question is evaluated as no knowledge, knowledge present, and incorrect knowledge. If the response of the student to a question is correct, it is scored as 3 points; if it is "I don't know", it is scored as 2 points; if the response is incorrect, it is scored as 1 point. The Cronbach's alpha coefficient of the FATKEF was determined to be 0.743 by Yalcin²², in this study, this value was found to be 0.60.

Data Collection

The data were collected by the researcher by face-to-face interview method. First of all, the "informed consent form" prepared by the researcher to obtain written permission from the parents of the students was given to the students in a sealed envelope and delivered to their parents. The consent forms signed by the parents were returned by the students. In addition, after the students were informed about the research and their verbal consent was obtained, they were allowed to participate in the study. Following the collection of consent forms, the school administrations were contacted, and a schedule was formed to apply the data collection forms and implement the training program.

First aid knowledge levels of the students were evaluated in the classroom environment to determine their first aid knowledge levels, to evaluate the results of the first aid training, and to measure the changes over time. The first application (pretest) evaluating the students' levels of first aid knowledge was carried out before the training, and training on first aid was given following the pre-test. The second test (post-test) was administered one day after the training, and the third test (control test) was given two weeks after the training; all tests were evaluated with the same form (FATKEF).

The training sessions were held separately for 15 classes in the classroom environment, the duration of the training consisted of two lesson hours (90 minutes) for each class, and the training was held in two sessions. During the training, training materials such as first aid materials, training videos, video projectors, and training slides were used. Moreover, a training booklet containing the subjects taught in class was given to the students. In the training, direct instruction, question-answer, and demonstration techniques were used. Training sessions were given by the researcher, who also holds a trainer certificate approved by the red crescent. The students were given 40 minutes to respond to the questions on the data collection forms in each measurement.

Basic first aid training: Educational materials were created by using the presentations prepared by the Turkish red crescent for children aged 6-14. These materials are the slides to be used during the training and the "First aid training booklet" to be given to the students. Necessary permission to use these materials was taken from the Turkish red crescent. In addition, the materials to be used in the training were supplied by the Provincial Directorate of the Turkish red crescent taking the required permissions.

Statistical Analysis

The data were analysed in the computer environment using the SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) 21.0 package software. In the analysis of the data, percentage distributions, mean, and standard deviation, analysis of variance in repetitive measurements, independent groups t-test, analysis of variance, Kruskal-Wallis test, and Cronbach's alpha coefficient calculation were employed. The study findings were evaluated at a 95% confidence interval and p<0.05 significance level.

Methods

Written permission for the study was taken from the provincial directorate of national education. In addition, permission to use the first aid training knowledge form was taken from the corresponding author.

Families and their children who volunteered and were willing to participate in the study were included in the study, and it was explained that they were free to participate or not in the study. In addition, before collecting the data, an informed consent form containing information about the study was sent to the families, and their written consent was obtained. In addition, verbal permission was obtained from the children.

RESULTS

In the study, the effectiveness of basic first aid education provided to secondary school 7th-grade students was evaluated, and the findings obtained are presented in this section. Table 1 presents the distribution of the students included in the study by descriptive characteristics. It was determined that the mean age of the students included in the study was 13.18 ± 0.54 , 51.2% were male, and 48.8% were

Table 1. Distribution of students by descriptive characteristics				
Characteristics	Number	%		
Mean age of students*	13.18±0.54			
Age 12 years old 13 years old 14 years old	27 266 98	6.9 68.0 25.1		
Gender Female Male	191 200	48.8 51.2		
Socioeconomic status Good Moderate Poor	129 133 129	33.0 34.0 33.0		
Total *Mean + Standard deviation	391	100.0		

Table 2. Students' opinions regarding receiving training on first aid
(n=391)CharacteristicsNumber%Considering receiving training necessary
Necessary38899.2

Table 3	The distri	bution of th	a cources f	rom which	the stude	nte

Unnecessary

wanted to receive training on first and (n=391)				
Trainer	Number	%		
Health professional	227	58.1		
Teacher	85	21.7		
Family	38	9.7		
Internet	28	7.2		
Coursebook	9	2.2		
Television-radio	3	0.8		
Newspaper-magazine	1	0.3		

0.8

knowledgehow basehow basehow basehow basehow basehow basehow base116 definition of first all1645178.51.81.89.72.81.82Ward lappy first all644031.77.30.59.72.80.313Ward lappy first all6404077.30.59.722.80.310.314Ward hould be due inst on the cance of any Base parties in first all6.59.710.610.60 <td< th=""><th colspan="4">ble 4. Evaluation of the students' first aid knowledge levels through pretest, posttest, and control test (n=391)</th><th>0</th><th colspan="2"></th></td<>	ble 4. Evaluation of the students' first aid knowledge levels through pretest, posttest, and control test (n=391)				0					
NetworkspaceInforme bNorme<				Posttest			Control test			
2. Non-will apply first aid 166 1.3 92.1 1.5 1.8 96.7 1.8 9.7 4. What should be doned first on the scene of trigues 86.2 4.1 9.7 9.5 2.0 88.3 1.0 2.3 5. Balk practices in first aid 63.3 0.5 95.1 0.0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00<	Knowledge area		knowledge			knowledge			knowledge	Correct %
Notice of the state of chargers 634 49 317 2.3 0.5 97.2 1.8 0.3 4. What should be due furst on the scene of chargers 86.2 4.1 9.7 9.5 2.0 88.5 11.0 2.3 5. Basic predictions in first aid 65.5 9.5 1.0 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 1. Interprety exercise telephone numbers 88.2 3.3 8.4 1.15 10.5 7.60 2.5 1.13 8. Injuried permotes the wom priority intervention 84.5 9.0 55.5 1.1 66.6 8.9 4.0 7.7 10. What should be cruduated in terms of viral signs 7.9 7.2 55.0 1.5.6 2.3 62.1 1.8.9 0.8 11. Correst segmenting of the primary evaluation 42.7 1.6.9 4.4.4 6.9 1.0.0 8.3.1 6.0 9.7 12. What should be checked for CAI evaluation 42.7 1.6.9 4.4.4 6.9 1.0.0 8.3.1 6.0 9.7 1.0 0.0 9.7 1.0 0.0 9.7 0.0 1.0 1.0 <td< td=""><td>. The definition of first aid</td><td>16.4</td><td>5.1</td><td>78.5</td><td>1.5</td><td>1.0</td><td>97.4</td><td>2.8</td><td>1.0</td><td>96.2</td></td<>	. The definition of first aid	16.4	5.1	78.5	1.5	1.0	97.4	2.8	1.0	96.2
4. What should be done find on the scene of tiglary 96.2 4.1 9.7 9.5 2.0 88.5 1.1.0 2.3 5. Nace practices in fine all 6.5 9.5 2.5.1 9.7 4.6 85.7 10.2 5.6 6. Imagency service telephone number 4.3 0.5 95.1 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 8. all of the provided when the emergency is evide telephone number 4.3 0.5 9.6 4.1 5.9 9.00 5.1 1.2 1.6 </td <td>2. Who will apply first aid</td> <td>16.6</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>82.1</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>1.8</td> <td>96.7</td> <td>3.1</td> <td>1.8</td> <td>95.1</td>	2. Who will apply first aid	16.6	1.3	82.1	1.5	1.8	96.7	3.1	1.8	95.1
5. 1. Basic practices in first aid 6.5. 9.5. 9.5. 9.5. 9.5. 9.5. 9.5. 9.5. 9.5. 0.0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 1. Information to be provided when the emergency 8.2. 3.3 8.4 11.5 16.5 7.8.0 12.5 11.3 8. Inject parsons to whom primity intervention 9.4.5 9.0 5.6.5 4.1 5.9 9.0.0 5.1 6.9 9. Characteristics of a first aid provider 69.8 11.5 18.7 4.1 6.6 89.3 4.6 7.7 10. What should be checked for CAB evaluation 42.7 15.0 9.2 15.1 1.8.5 1.8.1 1.8.9 9.8.1 1.5 13. How to ensure any pattery (A) 61.1 21.2 17.6 1.8.1 1.8 9.8.4 3.8 1.5 14. In what situation coreal trecovery) position should be the checked for CAB evaluation 61.9 22.5 5.6 1.0 9.9 7.9 0.0 15. How to scalaustin the i	3. Priorities in first aid	63.4	4.9	31.7	2.3	0.5	97.2	1.8	0.3	98.0
6 Baragency service telephone number 4.3 0.5 95.1 0.0 0.00 1000 0.0 1000 7 Inferrence scalable of periodic when the emergency service telephone number 86.2 3.3 8.4 11.5 10.5 7.0 12.5 11.3 8 induct persons to show periodic when the emergency service telephone and cold as ected or an accident 90.8 11.5 18.7 4.1 6.6 89.3 4.6 7.7 10. Chatacteristics of a first and provider 60.8 15.1 2.4.3 17.1 0.8 82.1 18.4 0.8 11. Correct sequencing of the primary evaluation 62.6 15.1 2.4.3 17.1 0.8 82.1 18.0 9.0 9.7 10.8 12. What should be checked for CAB evaluation 72.7 15.3 9.2 3.1 0.0 8.8.1 18.0 9.0 9.7 9.0 10.1 13. How persumation conta concorery position should only 63.0 14.0 10.0 9.8 8.1 17.0 9.0 17.0 13.0 13.0 13.0 13.0 <t< td=""><td>. What should be done first on the scene of injury</td><td>86.2</td><td>4.1</td><td>9.7</td><td>9.5</td><td>2.0</td><td>88.5</td><td>11.0</td><td>2.3</td><td>86.7</td></t<>	. What should be done first on the scene of injury	86.2	4.1	9.7	9.5	2.0	88.5	11.0	2.3	86.7
7 Incred personnal on the personnal of the p	5. Basic practices in first aid	65.5	9.5	25.1	9.7	4.6	85.7	10.2	5.6	84.1
service is called 1.13 1.	5. Emergency service telephone number	4.3	0.5	95.1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
should be made on the series of an accident 9.13 9.0 1.10 9.0 1.10 9.0 1.10 9.0 1.10		88.2	3.3	8.4	11.5	10.5	78.0	12.5	11.3	76.2
10. What should be evaluated in terms of vital signs 37.9 7.2 55.0 1.56 2.3 82.1 1.64 9.3 11. Graps Series 60.6 15.1 24.3 17.1 0.8 82.1 1.8.9 0.8 12. What should be checked for CAB evaluation 42.7 16.9 40.4 6.9 10.0 83.1 9.0 9.7 13. How to ensure airway patency (A) 75.4 15.3 9.2 3.1 0.3 96.7 4.1 0.5 14. Index attuation cone (recovery) position should be checked for CAB evaluation 6.0 24.0 15.1 10.5 2.6 87.0 13.0 2.6 15. How to evaluate the presence of breathing in the followed wile be checked for CAB evaluation 6.9 24.0 15.1 10.5 2.6 87.0 13.0 2.6 16. The purposes of evaluation (be injured person 48.3 13.3 38.4 6.1 0.0 9.3 7.2 0.0 17. How to monothe che circulation (C) of the injured person 69.1 16.6 14.3 16.1 0.8 83.1 17.1 0.8 18.1 hyplic sth		34.5	9.0	56.5	4.1	5.9	90.0	5.1	6.9	88.0
11. Correct sequencing of the primary evaluation 60.6 15.1 24.3 17.1 0.8 82.1 18.9 0.8 12. What should be checked for CAB evaluation 7.7 16.9 0.0 83.1 9.0 9.7 13. How to ensure airway patency (A) 7.7 15.3 9.2 3.1 0.3 9.64 3.8 1.5 14. In what situation come (recovery) position should 6.1 21.2 17.6 1.8 1.8 96.4 3.8 1.5 15. How to evaluate the presence of breathing in the 6.09 24.0 15.1 10.5 2.6 87.0 13.0 2.6 16. The purposes of evaluating the injured person 48.3 13.3 38.4 6.1 0.0 93.9 7.9 0.0 17. How to monitor the circulation (C) of the injured 59.6 16.9 23.5 5.6 1.0 93.4 7.2 1.3 18. In what stuation head-chin position should not be equality 55.8 2.0 42.2 5.1 0.5 9.4 7.4 0.5 19. What sequences should be followed while examining the injured person whithe secondary evaluation 55.8 2.0	Chatacteristics of a first aid provider	69.8	11.5	18.7	4.1	6.6	89.3	4.6	7.7	87.7
steps1.124.31.10.82.11.890.812. What should be checked for CAB evaluation42.71.6940.46.910.08.3.19.09.713. How to ensure airway patency (A)75.415.39.23.10.396.74.10.514. In what situation come (recovery) position should61.121.217.61.81.89.63.81.515. How to evaluate the presence of breaking in the person of evaluation60.924.015.110.52.687.013.02.616. The purposes of evaluating the injured person person48.313.338.46.10.093.97.90.017. How to monitor the circulation (C) of the injured person in the secondary 	0. What should be evaluated in terms of vital signs	37.9	7.2	55.0	15.6	2.3	82.1	16.4	3.3	80.3
13. How to ensure airway patency (A) 75.4 15.3 9.2 3.1 0.3 96.7 4.1 0.5 14. In what situation coma (recovery) position should 61.1 21.2 17.6 1.8 1.8 96.4 3.8 1.5 15. How to evaluate the presence of breathing in the 60.9 24.0 15.1 10.5 2.6 87.0 13.0 2.6 16. The purposes of evaluating the injured person 48.3 13.3 38.4 6.1 0.0 93.9 7.9 0.0 17. How to monitor the circulation (C) of the injured person 69.1 16.5 14.3 16.1 0.8 83.1 17.1 0.8 18. In what situation head-chip position should not be followed while evaluation flex injured person in the secondary 55.8 2.0 42.2 5.1 0.5 94.4 7.4 0.5 20. What solutio be done to an injured person with evolding 55.8 2.0 42.2 5.1 0.5 94.4 7.4 0.5 21. What do in case of masal bleeding 62.1 6.1 31.7 1.3 0.3 98.5 2.3 0.3 22. What to do in case o		60.6	15.1	24.3	17.1	0.8	82.1	18.9	0.8	80.3
14. he what situation come (recovery) position should 61.1 21.2 17.6 1.8 1.8 96.4 3.8 1.5 15. How to evaluate the presence of breathing in the primary evaluation 60.9 24.0 15.1 10.5 2.6 87.0 13.0 2.6 16. The purposes of evaluating the injured person 48.3 13.3 38.4 6.1 0.0 93.9 7.9 0.0 17. How to monitor the circulation (C) of the injured person 48.3 13.3 38.4 6.1 0.0 93.4 7.2 1.3 18. In what situation head-chin position should not be followed while examining the injured person in the secondary evaluation 65.8 7.9 46.3 4.1 0.0 95.9 5.9 0.0 19. What sequence should be followed while examining the injured person with secondary evaluation 65.8 7.9 46.3 4.1 0.0 95.9 5.9 0.0 20. What should be done to an injured person with secondary evaluation 65.8 7.0 4.3 6.1 3.7 1.3 6.3 1.3 3.8 6.1 3.8 7.4 0.7 1.3 8.0 1.3 2.3 8.0	2. What should be checked for CAB evaluation	42.7	16.9	40.4	6.9	10.0	83.1	9.0	9.7	81.3
be given 11 12.4 1.8 1.8 1.8 98.4 3.8 1.3 15 How to evaluate the presence of breathing in the primary evaluation 60.9 24.0 15.1 10.5 2.6 87.0 13.0 2.6 16 The purposes of evaluating the injured person 48.3 13.3 38.4 6.1 0.0 93.9 7.9 0.0 17 How to monitor the circulation (C) of the injured person 59.6 16.9 23.5 5.6 1.0 93.4 7.2 1.3 18 Invita situation bead-chin position should not be examining the injured person in the secondary 45.8 7.9 46.3 4.1 0.0 95.9 5.9 0.0 19 What should be done to an injured person with severe bleeding 55.8 2.0 42.2 5.1 0.5 94.4 7.4 0.5 10 What should be done to an injured person with severe bleeding 56.0 23.0 21.0 11.5 5.4 83.1 13.8 5.1 12 What to do in case of nasal	3. How to ensure airway patency (A)	75.4	15.3	9.2	3.1	0.3	96.7	4.1	0.5	85.4
primary evaluation 60.9 24.0 1.1.1 10.5 2.6 6.7.0 1.3.0 2.6 16. The purposes of evaluating the injured person 48.3 1.3.3 38.4 6.1 0.0 93.9 7.9 0.0 17. How to monitor the circulation (C) of the injured person 59.6 16.9 23.5 5.6 1.0 93.4 7.2 1.3 18. In what situation head -chin position should not be applied 69.1 16.6 14.3 16.1 0.8 83.1 17.1 0.8 19. What sequence should be followed while examining the injured person in the secondary evaluation 5.8 7.9 46.3 4.1 0.0 95.9 5.9 0.0 20. What should be done to an injured person with secondary evaluation 55.8 2.0 42.2 5.1 0.5 94.4 7.4 0.5 21. What to do in case of nasal bleeding 62.1 6.1 31.7 1.3 0.3 98.5 2.3 0.3 22. What to do in case of nasal bleeding symptoms 7.4 10.7 14.8 9.7 2.3<		61.1	21.2	17.6	1.8	1.8	96.4	3.8	1.5	94.6
1. How to monitor the circulation (C) of the injured 59.6 16.9 23.5 5.6 1.0 93.4 7.2 1.3 18. In what situation head-chin position should not be 69.1 16.6 14.3 16.1 0.8 83.1 17.1 0.8 19. What sequence should be followed while examining the injured person in the secondary evaluation 45.8 7.9 46.3 4.1 0.0 95.9 5.9 0.0 20. What should be done to an injured person with secondary evaluation 55.8 2.0 42.2 5.1 0.5 94.4 7.4 0.5 21. What to do in case of nasal bleeding 62.1 6.1 31.7 1.3 0.3 98.5 2.3 0.3 22. What to do for internal bleeding while providing first affect and providing first affect and providing first affect and providing first affect and providing first affect and providing first affect and providing first affect and providing first affect and providing first affect and provide providing first affect and providing first affect and providing first affect and providing first affect and providing first affect and provide providing first affect and provide providing first affect and provide provide providing first affect and provide providing first affect and provide providing first affect and provide providing first affect and provide provide provide provide provide providing first affect and provide provide provide provide		60.9	24.0	15.1	10.5	2.6	87.0	13.0	2.6	84.4
person 15.0 16.3 2.5.3 5.8 1.0 9.5.4 7.2 1.3 18. In what situation head-chin position should not be applied 69.1 16.6 14.3 16.1 0.8 83.1 17.1 0.8 19. What sequence should be followed while examining the injured person in the secondary evaluation 55.8 7.9 46.3 4.1 0.0 95.9 5.9 0.0 20. What should be done to an injured person with evaluation 55.8 2.0 42.2 5.1 0.5 94.4 7.4 0.5 21. What to do in case of nasal bleeding 62.1 6.1 31.7 1.3 0.3 98.5 2.3 0.3 22. What to do for internal bleeding while providing first af 66.0 23.0 21.0 11.5 5.4 83.1 13.3 2.3 23. Shock symptoms 74.4 10.7 14.8 9.7 2.3 88.0 11.3 2.3 24. Internal bleeding symptoms 72.6 16.4 11.0 11.5 1.8 86.7 13.6 2.3	6. The purposes of evaluating the injured person	48.3	13.3	38.4	6.1	0.0	93.9	7.9	0.0	92.1
applied 69.1 16.8 14.3 16.1 0.8 83.1 17.1 0.8 19 What sequence should be followed while examining the injured person in the secondary 45.8 7.9 46.3 4.1 0.0 95.9 5.9 0.0 20. What should be done to an injured person with severe bleeding 62.1 6.1 31.7 1.3 0.3 98.5 2.3 0.3 21. What to do in case of nasal bleeding 62.1 6.1 31.7 1.3 0.3 98.5 2.3 0.3 22. What to do in case of nasal bleeding while providing first infirst aid 66.0 23.0 21.0 11.5 5.4 83.1 13.8 5.1 23. Shock symptoms 74.4 10.7 14.8 9.7 2.3 88.0 11.3 2.3 24. Internal bleeding symptoms 72.6 16.4 11.0 11.5 1.8 86.7 13.6 2.3 25. What to do in case of purson who froze due to exposure are care air all effectir shock 87.2 6.9 5.9 10.7 2.8 86.4 13.3 2.3 </td <td></td> <td>59.6</td> <td>16.9</td> <td>23.5</td> <td>5.6</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>93.4</td> <td>7.2</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>91.6</td>		59.6	16.9	23.5	5.6	1.0	93.4	7.2	1.3	91.6
examining the injured person in the secondary 45.8 7.9 46.3 4.1 0.0 95.9 5.9 0.0 20. What should be done to an injured person with severe bleeding 55.8 2.0 42.2 5.1 0.5 94.4 7.4 0.5 21. What to do in case of nasal bleeding 62.1 6.1 31.7 1.3 0.3 98.5 2.3 0.3 22. What to do for internal bleeding while providing first aid 56.0 23.0 21.0 11.5 5.4 83.1 13.8 5.1 23. Shock symptoms 74.4 10.7 14.8 9.7 2.3 88.0 11.3 2.3 24. Internal bleeding symptoms 72.6 16.4 11.0 11.5 1.8 86.7 13.6 2.3 25. What to do to a person who froze due to exposure to cold in first aid 7.2 6.9 5.9 10.7 2.8 86.4 13.3 2.3 26. First aid to be provided to the injured person in array for the array for		69.1	16.6	14.3	16.1	0.8	83.1	17.1	0.8	82.1
severe bleeding 11 12.0 12.2 5.1 0.5 94.4 7.4 0.5 21. What to do in case of nasal bleeding 62.1 6.1 31.7 1.3 0.3 98.5 2.3 0.3 22. What to do in case of nasal bleeding while providing first aid 56.0 23.0 21.0 11.5 5.4 83.1 13.8 5.1 23. Shock symptoms 74.4 10.7 14.8 9.7 2.3 88.0 11.3 2.3 24. Internal bleeding symptoms 72.6 16.4 11.0 11.5 1.8 86.7 13.6 2.3 25. What to do to a person who froze due to exposure to cold in first aid 87.2 6.9 5.9 10.7 2.8 86.4 13.3 2.3 26. First aid to be provided to the injured person in case of an electric shock 37.3 4.9 57.8 1.0 0.0 99.0 1.8 0.0 27. What to do in case of burns while providing first of 7.8 11.0 21.2 12.0 2.6 85.4 13.6 2.6 28. First aid practices when there is movement loss, swelling, and pain in the arm 50.4 13.3<	examining the injured person in the secondary	45.8	7.9	46.3	4.1	0.0	95.9	5.9	0.0	94.1
22. What to do in case of poisoning through digestive system 56.0 23.0 21.0 11.5 5.4 83.1 13.8 5.1 23. Shock symptoms 74.4 10.7 14.8 9.7 2.3 88.0 11.3 2.3 24. Internal bleeding symptoms 72.6 16.4 11.0 11.5 1.8 86.7 13.6 2.3 25. What to do to a person who froze due to exposure to coold in first aid 87.2 6.9 5.9 10.7 2.8 86.4 13.3 2.3 26. First aid to be provided to the injured person in case of an electric shock 87.3 4.9 57.8 1.0 0.0 99.0 1.8 0.0 27. What to do in case of burns while providing first aid 67.8 11.0 21.2 12.0 2.6 85.4 13.6 2.6 28. First aid practices when there is movement loss, swelling, and pain in the arm 50.4 13.3 36.3 6.1 2.3 91.6 8.4 2.3 29. The purpose of identifying fractures 56.3 12.0 31.7 9.2 3.1 85.7 13.3 3.6 30. What to do in case of poisoning thro		55.8	2.0	42.2	5.1	0.5	94.4	7.4	0.5	92.1
first aid 11.0 11.5 5.4 85.1 13.8 5.1 23. Shock symptoms 74.4 10.7 14.8 9.7 2.3 88.0 11.3 2.3 24. Internal bleeding symptoms 72.6 16.4 11.0 11.5 1.8 86.7 13.6 2.3 25. What to do to a person who froze due to exposure to cold in first aid 87.2 6.9 5.9 10.7 2.8 86.4 13.3 2.3 26. First aid to be provided to the injured person in case of an electric shock 37.3 4.9 57.8 1.0 0.0 99.0 1.8 0.0 27. What to do in case of burns while providing first aid 67.8 11.0 21.2 12.0 2.6 85.4 13.6 2.6 28. First aid practices when there is movement loss, swelling, and pain in the arm 50.4 13.3 36.3 6.1 2.3 91.6 8.4 2.3 29. The purpose of identifying fractures 56.3 12.0 31.7 9.2 3.1 85.7 11.3 3.6 30. What to do in case of poisoning through digestive system 72.9 11.5 15.6	21. What to do in case of nasal bleeding	62.1	6.1	31.7	1.3	0.3	98.5	2.3	0.3	97.4
24. Internal bleeding symptoms 72.6 16.4 11.0 11.5 1.8 86.7 13.6 2.3 25. What to do to a person who froze due to exposure to cold in first aid 87.2 6.9 5.9 10.7 2.8 86.4 13.3 2.3 26. First aid to be provided to the injured person in case of an electric shock 37.3 4.9 57.8 1.0 0.0 99.0 1.8 0.0 27. What to do in case of burns while providing first aid 67.8 11.0 21.2 12.0 2.6 85.4 13.6 2.6 28. First aid practices when there is movement loss, swelling, and pain in the arm 50.4 13.3 36.3 6.1 2.3 91.6 8.4 2.3 29. The purpose of identifying fractures 56.3 12.0 31.7 9.2 3.1 87.7 11.3 2.8 30. What to do in case of poisoning through digestive system 72.9 11.5 15.6 10.2 4.1 85.7 13.3 3.6 31. What to do in case of poisoning through the skin 64.7 14.3 21.0 9.2 5.1 85.2 11.5 5.1 32. Wha		56.0	23.0	21.0	11.5	5.4	83.1	13.8	5.1	81.1
25. What to do a person who froze due to exposure to cold in first aid 87.2 6.9 5.9 10.7 2.8 86.4 13.3 2.3 26. First aid to be provided to the injured person in case of an electric shock 37.3 4.9 57.8 1.0 0.0 99.0 1.8 0.0 27. What to do in case of burns while providing first aid 67.8 11.0 21.2 12.0 2.6 85.4 13.6 2.6 28. First aid practices when there is movement loss, swelling, and pain in the arm 50.4 13.3 36.3 6.1 2.3 91.6 8.4 2.3 29. The purpose of identifying fractures 56.3 12.0 31.7 9.2 3.1 87.7 11.3 2.8 30. What to do in case of poisoning through digestive system 72.9 11.5 15.6 10.2 4.1 85.7 13.3 3.6 31. What to do in case of poisoning through the skin while providing first aid 64.7 14.3 21.0 9.2 5.6 85.2 11.5 5.1 33. What to do in case of partial blockage in the 77.0 11.5 11.5 10 0.8 98.2 15 0.8 <td>23. Shock symptoms</td> <td>74.4</td> <td>10.7</td> <td>14.8</td> <td>9.7</td> <td>2.3</td> <td>88.0</td> <td>11.3</td> <td>2.3</td> <td>86.4</td>	23. Shock symptoms	74.4	10.7	14.8	9.7	2.3	88.0	11.3	2.3	86.4
to cold in first aid 87.2 6.9 5.9 10.7 2.8 86.4 13.3 2.3 26. First aid to be provided to the injured person in case of an electric shock 37.3 4.9 57.8 1.0 0.0 99.0 1.8 0.0 27. What to do in case of burns while providing first aid 67.8 11.0 21.2 12.0 2.6 85.4 13.6 2.6 28. First aid practices when there is movement loss, swelling, and pain in the arm 50.4 13.3 36.3 6.1 2.3 91.6 8.4 2.3 29. The purpose of identifying fractures 56.3 12.0 31.7 9.2 3.1 87.7 11.3 2.8 30. What to do in case of poisoning through digestive system 72.9 11.5 15.6 10.2 4.1 85.7 13.3 3.6 31. What to do in case of poisoning through the skin while providing first aid 64.7 14.3 21.0 9.2 5.6 85.2 11.5 5.1 33. What to do in case of partial blockage in the 77.0 11.5 11.5 10 0.8 98.2 1.5 0.8	24. Internal bleeding symptoms	72.6	16.4	11.0	11.5	1.8	86.7	13.6	2.3	84.1
case of an electric shock 37.3 4.9 37.8 1.0 0.0 99.0 1.8 0.0 27. What to do in case of burns while providing first aid 67.8 11.0 21.2 12.0 2.6 85.4 13.6 2.6 28. First aid practices when there is movement loss, swelling, and pain in the arm 50.4 13.3 36.3 6.1 2.3 91.6 8.4 2.3 29. The purpose of identifying fractures 56.3 12.0 31.7 9.2 3.1 87.7 11.3 2.8 30. What to do in case of poisoning through digestive system 72.9 11.5 15.6 10.2 4.1 85.7 13.3 3.6 31. What to do in case of respiratory tract poisoning through digestive system 63.2 17.1 19.7 9.2 5.1 85.7 11.3 5.1 32. What to do in case of poisoning through the skin while providing first aid 64.7 14.3 21.0 9.2 5.6 85.2 11.5 5.1 33. What to do in case of partial blockage in the 77.0 11.5 11.5 10 0.8 98.2 15 0.8 <td>*</td> <td>87.2</td> <td>6.9</td> <td>5.9</td> <td>10.7</td> <td>2.8</td> <td>86.4</td> <td>13.3</td> <td>2.3</td> <td>84.4</td>	*	87.2	6.9	5.9	10.7	2.8	86.4	13.3	2.3	84.4
aid 67.8 11.0 21.2 12.0 2.6 85.4 13.6 2.6 28. First aid practices when there is movement loss, swelling, and pain in the arm 50.4 13.3 36.3 6.1 2.3 91.6 8.4 2.3 29. The purpose of identifying fractures 56.3 12.0 31.7 9.2 3.1 87.7 11.3 2.8 30. What to do in case of poisoning through digestive system 72.9 11.5 15.6 10.2 4.1 85.7 13.3 3.6 31. What to do in case of respiratory tract poisoning through the skin while providing first aid 63.2 17.1 19.7 9.2 5.1 85.7 11.3 5.1 32. What to do in case of poisoning through the skin while providing first aid 64.7 14.3 21.0 9.2 5.6 85.2 11.5 5.1 33. What to do in case of partial blockage in the 77.0 11.5 11.5 10 0.8 98.2 15 0.8		37.3	4.9	57.8	1.0	0.0	99.0	1.8	0.0	98.2
swelling, and pain in the arm 50.4 13.5 36.5 6.1 2.5 91.6 8.4 2.5 29. The purpose of identifying fractures 56.3 12.0 31.7 9.2 3.1 87.7 11.3 2.8 30. What to do in case of poisoning through digestive system 72.9 11.5 15.6 10.2 4.1 85.7 13.3 3.6 31. What to do in case of respiratory tract poisoning while providing first aid 63.2 17.1 19.7 9.2 5.1 85.7 11.3 5.1 32. What to do in case of poisoning through the skin while providing first aid 64.7 14.3 21.0 9.2 5.6 85.2 11.5 5.1 33. What to do in case of partial blockage in the 77.0 11.5 11.5 10 0.8 98.2 15 0.8		67.8	11.0	21.2	12.0	2.6	85.4	13.6	2.6	83.9
30. What to do in case of poisoning through digestive system72.911.515.610.24.185.713.33.631. What to do in case of respiratory tract poisoning while providing first aid63.217.119.79.25.185.711.35.132. What to do in case of poisoning through the skin while providing first aid64.714.321.09.25.685.211.55.133. What to do in case of partial blockage in the mather to do in case of partial blockage in the to a case of partial blockage in the to a case of partial blockage in the to a case of partial blockage in the to a case of partial blockage in the to a case of partial blockage in the to a case of partial blockage in the to a case of partial blockage in the to a case of partial blockage in the to a case of partial blockage in the to a case of partial blockage in the to a case of partial blockage in the 		50.4	13.3	36.3	6.1	2.3	91.6	8.4	2.3	89.3
system 72.9 11.5 15.6 10.2 4.1 85.7 15.3 5.6 31. What to do in case of respiratory tract poisoning while providing first aid 63.2 17.1 19.7 9.2 5.1 85.7 11.3 5.1 32. What to do in case of poisoning through the skin while providing first aid 64.7 14.3 21.0 9.2 5.6 85.2 11.5 5.1 33. What to do in case of partial blockage in the 77.0 11.5 11.5 1.0 0.8 98.2 1.5 0.8	29. The purpose of identifying fractures	56.3	12.0	31.7	9.2	3.1	87.7	11.3	2.8	85.9
while providing first aid 63.2 17.1 19.7 9.2 5.1 85.7 11.5 5.1 32. What to do in case of poisoning through the skin while providing first aid 64.7 14.3 21.0 9.2 5.6 85.2 11.5 5.1 33. What to do in case of partial blockage in the 77.0 11.5 11.5 10 0.8 98.2 1.5 0.8		72.9	11.5	15.6	10.2	4.1	85.7	13.3	3.6	83.1
while providing first aid 04.7 14.5 21.0 9.2 5.6 85.2 11.5 5.1 33. What to do in case of partial blockage in the 77.0 11.5 11.5 1.0 0.8 98.2 1.5 0.8		63.2	17.1	19.7	9.2	5.1	85.7	11.3	5.1	83.6
		64.7	14.3	21.0	9.2	5.6	85.2	11.5	5.1	83.4
	33. What to do in case of partial blockage in the airway as a result of foreign material presence	77.0	11.5	11.5	1.0	0.8	98.2	1.5	0.8	97.7
34. What to do in case of total blockage in the airway as a result of foreign material presence76.58.714.81.00.898.21.80.5		76.5	8.7	14.8	1.0	0.8	98.2	1.8	0.5	97.7

Table 5. Comparison of the students' first aid knowledge mean scores on the pretest, posttest, and control test (n=391)				
Measurements	Mean±SD**	f	р	
Pretest	58.66±6.57*			
Posttest	96.29±4.12	0.24	0.001	
Control test	95.26±5.05			
f=Fisher, *The group causing significance as a result of advanced analysis **Mean ± SD, SD: Standard deviation				

Table 6. Comparison of the students' first aid knowledge mean scores on the pretest, posttest, and control test according to their descriptive characteristics

Characteristics	Pretest	Posttest	Control test	
Characteristics	Mean±SD**	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	
Age 12 years old 13 years old 14 years old	59.22±4.96 58.64±6.46 58.55±6.53	96.96±3.56 96.32±4.18 96.06±4.14	95.67±5.06 95.28±5.03 95.10±5.15	
Test and p	KW=0.631 p=0.729	KW=0.967 p=0.617	KW=0.440 p=0.803	
Gender Female Male	58.92±6.25 58.41±6.49	96.24±4.47 96.36±3.78	95.30±5.19 95.23±4.93	
Test and p	t=0.801 p=0.424	t=0.298 p=0.766	t=0.144 p=0.886	
Socioeconomic status Good Moderate Poor	58.61±6.26* 60.86±5.86* 56.44±6.26*	97.21±3.55 96.46±4.32 95.22±4.24*	96.12±4.68 95.41±5.35 94.24±4.99*	
Test and p	f=17.079 p=0.000	f=7.889 p=0.000	f=4.663 p=0.010	
f=Fisher, t=t-testi, KW= Kruskal-Wallis, * The group causing significance, ** <mean sd,="" sd:<br="" ±="">Standard deviation</mean>				

female. It was also found that 34.& of the students had a moderate level of socioeconomic status, 33.% had a good level, and 33. % had a poor level (Table 1). The students' opinions regarding the necessity of receiving training on first aid are presented in Table 2. 99.2% of the students stated that receiving training on first aid was necessary, while 0.8% saw it as unnecessary (Table 2). The distribution of the sources from which the students wanted to receive training is presented in Table 3. Accordingly, it was determined that the top three sources from which the students wanted to receive training on first aid were health professionals (58.1%), teachers (21.7%), and families (9.7%) (Table 3). After the training, a significant increase in students' first aid knowledge was observed. 100% of students correctly identified the emergency service number, and 99% knew the correct first aid for electric shock. However, knowledge levels were lower for more detailed practices like airway patency (96.7%) and CAB evaluation (83.1%). Table 5 shows statistically significant differences between the pre-test (58.66±6.57) and post-test (96.29±4.12) scores. These results indicate a substantial improvement in students' knowledge levels after the training. Socioeconomic status significantly impacted students' scores. The average scores for students from lower socioeconomic backgrounds increased from 56.44±6.26 in the pre-test to 95.22±4.24 in the post-test. The middle socioeconomic group had the highest scores (pre-test: 60.86±5.86, post-test: 96.46±4.32). No

significant differences were observed across age and gender groups.

DISCUSSION

First aid training programs include making the first intervention in an emergency before professional medical help arrives, providing basic first aid while waiting for the ambulance, and taking measures supporting the injury or injured site.^{7,19}

The present study was conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of the first aid training program provided to the 7th-grade students studying at three secondary schools in the east of Turkiye. Particularly, the effect of factors such as age, gender, and socioeconomic status on the students' first-aid knowledge levels as a result of the training program was examined.

The study revealed that the average age of the students was 13.18±0.54. Additionally, 99.2% of the students acknowledged the necessity of first aid training, indicating their readiness for such education. When studies conducted in this regard were reviewed, it was reported that first aid training for school-age children could be started at age 12 or earlier.²⁵⁻²⁸ Health professionals were the most preferred source for receiving first aid training (58.1%). In various studies, it was stated that nurses and other health professionals were able to teach first aid to children with success at schools.^{25,28-30} In the study they conducted with students, Banfai et al.³¹ determined that students advocated that training on first aid should be received from a health professional rather than a teacher. In our study, consistent with the literature,²² it was found that students are not only ready for education but also prefer to receive it from health professionals. This preference could enhance the quality of the training.

In this study, the students' first aid knowledge levels were assessed using a pretest, posttest, and control test. The pretest results indicated that most students knew the "telephone number of the emergency service." Similarly, Yalçın²² (2010) found that the majority of students correctly identified the emergency service number. In the study by İbrahimoğlu et al.⁷ (2024), nearly all students correctly answered the emergency service number following basic first aid training. Banfai et al.³¹ (2017) also reported a high rate of correct responses in the pretest regarding the emergency service number The findings across these studies demonstrate similarities in students' knowledge of the emergency service number.

In the pretest, it was determined that the majority of students knew "who should perform first aid," "the definition of first aid," "the first aid provided to a person injured by electric shock," and "the injured person who should be prioritized in case of an accident." Similarly, in Yalçın's²² study, it was found that the majority of students correctly identified "who should perform first aid," "the definition of first aid," "what to do first when seeing someone suffering from electric shock," and "the vital signs." Comparing the results of the two studies, it is evident that students in both studies have a high level of knowledge regarding first aid and yielded similar results. These findings suggest that students may have acquired first aid knowledge from their daily lives or previous experiences. In the study, the pretest showed that the question "information to provide when calling emergency services" had the highest rate of incorrect answers. This can be attributed to students not having previously called an emergency number and lacking sufficient knowledge in first aid. During the training, students were shown practical examples of the necessary conversations when requesting emergency assistance. Consequently, the correct response rate for this question significantly increased in the post-test and was largely retained in the control test. Similarly, Çil Eyi et al.'s,³² study reported a significant increase in correct responses to what should be communicated to 112 teams in the post-test.

First aid training given to children in schools is of great importance for them to respond effectively to emergencies and potentially save lives.³³ Training individuals, including children, in first aid can enhance their skills to provide immediate assistance in emergencies.³⁴ In our study, a significant increase was observed in the knowledge levels of students after basic first aid training. Students' knowledge in essential first aid topics such as "CAB assessment," "airway clearance," "breathing assessment," and "circulation monitoring" increased significantly. In the control tests, it was found that this knowledge was largely retained. Similarly, the literature indicates that children aged 11-15 can learn CAB applications and cardiopulmonary resuscitation.^{25,26,35,36}

Although our short course was effective, the literature suggests that repeating first aid knowledge and extending the curriculum throughout the year enhances emergency performance and motivation.^{25,26,28} This finding underscores the importance of the continuity and variety of training programs.

In our study, the percentage of students who correctly knew how to provide first aid to a patient with severe bleeding increased significantly from the pre-test to the post-test. Similarly, the percentage of those who knew the correct first aid for nosebleeds, common in school accidents, showed a marked increase. This aligns with the literature, where studies have shown that success rates in managing bleeding during first aid significantly increased between the pre-test and post-test, with substantial retention of knowledge several months later.^{22,31,32} These findings demonstrate that first aid training effectively enhances students' knowledge and skills, particularly managing bleeding.

In our study, the percentage of correct responses for first aid topics such as shock position, first aid for burns, first aid for a person who is about to freeze, first aid for fractures, first aid for poisoning through ingestion, and first aid for partial or complete airway obstruction increased significantly from the pre-test to the post-test. In the control test, this knowledge was retained. These findings are consistent with previous studies.^{19,22,32,35}

Yalçın²² found that the first aid knowledge scores of 7th-grade students were significantly higher after training compared to before. Another study also reported that children's first aid knowledge and skills, which were initially low, improved significantly after training and remained high even four months later.³¹ Comparing the pre-test, post-test, and control test scores, it is evident that there was a significant increase in first aid knowledge levels.

In the study, the average pre-test first aid knowledge scores based on students' socioeconomic status were found to be 58.61 ± 6.26 for high socioeconomic status, 60.86 ± 5.86 for middle socioeconomic status, and 56.44 ± 6.26 for low socioeconomic status. Post-training, the average scores increased across all groups, supporting the hypothesis of the study.

The post-test and control test revealed some differences among students based on their socioeconomic status. Students from lower socioeconomic backgrounds had slightly lower average scores compared to other groups. However, the fact that students from the middle socioeconomic group had the highest average scores suggests that the impact of socioeconomic status on first aid knowledge is complex and cannot be explained by a single factor. Other factors, such as differences in educational environments, student participation, and motivation, may have also contributed to these findings. Therefore, it is important to consider the relationship between socioeconomic status and first aid knowledge from a broader perspective.

Limitations

One limitation of the study was that it was conducted only on 7th-grade students of three secondary schools located in the provincial center in the 2015-2016 academic year. Therefore, the study results can only be generalized to this group. Additionally, the data regarding the socioeconomic characteristics of the students were obtained from the provincial directorate of national education. The age group may also limit the generalizability of the study results to the broader population.

CONCLUSION

This study found statistically significant differences in first aid knowledge scores between pre-training, post-training, and control tests, with the differences attributed to pretraining scores. It was determined that age and gender had no effect, but socioeconomic status did.

These findings suggest that first aid education should be included in the curriculum at all educational levels, with an emphasis on increasing practical training in middle schools. Teachers should regularly receive first aid training, and these trainings should be conducted by nurses certified to teach first aid. Additionally, activating school health nursing and conducting similar studies with students at different grade levels is recommended.

ETHICAL DECLARATIONS

Ethics Committee Approval

The study was carried out with the permission of Ataturk University Faculty of Medicine Clinical Researches Ethics Committee (Date: 18.04.2016, Decision No: 04/10).

Informed Consent

All patients signed and free and informed consent form.

Referee Evaluation Process

Externally peer-reviewed.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Financial Disclosure

The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

Author Contributions

All of the authors declare that they have all participated in the design, execution, and analysis of the paper, and that they have approved the final version.

REFERENCES

- 1. Pereira KT, Silva ACRdS, Silva LF. Prevalence study on self-declared work accidents in areas covered by family health strategies: a cross-sectional study. *Sao Paulo Med J.* 2020;138(1):79-85. doi:10.1590/1516-3180.2019.0325.r1.06112019
- Sahin M, Oto A, Kilic S. Investigation of children victims of road traffic injuries required intensive care. *Med Sci.* 2023;12(4):1.
- 3. Meral O, Aktap EÖ, Ersel M. Examination of morbidity and mortality of cases according to intra-vehicle position and accident mechanism. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg*, 2018;24(3):216-223.
- 4. Laal F, Modrek MJ, Balarak D, Mohammadi M, Rakhshani M, Rigi N. Relationship between quality of life and occupational accidents in South-East of Iran (Zahedan). *Glob J Health Sci.* 2017;9(2):112-118. doi:10.5539/gjhs.v9n2p112
- Khan S, Tauheed N, Nawab S, Afzal S, Khalique N. Domestic accidents among under-5 year children: a study on the modern day epidemic. J Comm Med Public Health. 2019;6(4):1529-1535.
- Paci-Green R, Varchetta A, McFarlane K, Iyer P, Goyeneche M. Comprehensive school safety policy: a global baseline survey. *Int J Disaster Risk Reduct*. 2020;44(1):101399. doi:10.1016/j.ijdrr.2019.101399
- İbrahimoğlu Ö, Akarsu Ö, Polat E. The impact of basic first aid training on knowledge levels of school-aged children. Sağlık Akademisyen Derg. 2024;11(1):138-144. doi:10.52880/sagakaderg.1421664
- Linkov V, Trepacova M, Kureckova V, Pai C-W. Novice czech drivers' ability and willingness to offer the first aid after traffic accidents: the positive effect of the first-aid training. *Komunikácie*. 2019;21(2):114-118
- 9. Lubis AE, Nugroho A. First aid training model for physical education teachers. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;4(2):73-80.
- Mohamadiyeh A, Said MRa, Al-Dabbagh B, et al. Knowledge of and attitude towards first aid measures among drivers in sharjah. Ann Emerg Med. 2023;1(1):3-10. doi:10.3390/ecm1010002
- Kusumaningrum BR, Ulya I, Ningsih DK. Traffic accident first aid training for police officers: a safe community model in Malang, Indonesia. *Health Res Policy Syst.* 2019;16(1):1-6. doi:10.33151/ajp.16.728
- 12. Efe H, Topsakal ÜU. Learning first aid with digital games for primary school students. *European J Stud*. 2023;10(2): 4662.
- Ekaprasetia F, Kristianto H, Susanto T. First aid guideline (FAG): a first aid education application for children aged 11–14 years in Indonesia. J Taibah Univ Med Sci. 2018;13(6):587-591. doi:10.1016/j. jtumed.2018.05.002
- 14. Al-Maietah A, Obeidat H, Haytham A-O. Effect of brief training program on first aid knowledge and practice among students aged 13-15 years: a pre-post-test study. J Public Health . 2023;21(1):250-256. doi:10.55131/jphd/2023/210119
- Bhatarai P, Lokwani P, Bhattarai B, Paudel DR, Sharma A, Prabhu P. Knowledge and competency of first aids and basic life support (BLS) skills in speech and hearing students. J Allied Sci. 2023;13(04):497-502. doi:10.1055/s-0042-1759523
- Wilks J, Pendergast D. Skills for life: First aid and cardiopulmonary resuscitation in schools. *Health Educ J*. 2017;76(8):1009-1023.
- Alahakoon P, Bandaranayaka K, Perera P, Wijesundara C. Knowledge and attitudes on first aid among advanced level students in gampaha educational zone, Sri Lanka. Arch Intern Med Res. 2022;5(2):172-181. doi:10.26502/aimr.0099

- Reveruzzi B, Buckley L, Sheehan M. School-Based first aid training programs: asystematic review. J Sch Health. 2016;86(4):266-272.
- Cheng YH, Yeung CY, Sharma A, et al. Non-resuscitative first aid training and assessment for junior secondary school students: a prepost study. *Medicine*. 2021;100(34):27051. doi:10.18231/j.ijpns.2021.018
- Renuka K, Kamala S. Impact of educational program regarding first-aid knowledge among the teenagers. J Nurs Res. 2019:56-59. doi:10.31690/ ijnr/82
- Borst G, Aïte A, Houdé O. Inhibition of misleading heuristics as a core mechanism for typical cognitive development: evidence from behavioural and brain-imaging studies. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2015; 57(2):21-25. doi:10.1111/dmcn.12688
- 22. Yalçın A. Evaluation of the efficacy of basic first aid training given through peer and adult education methods in an elementary school. Master's Thesis, Marmara University, İstanbul. 2010;11(1):15.
- 23. Çağlayan Ünsal D. Frequency in having an accident in last three months and knowledge level of 8th class students about first aid at a primary school in Ankara. Master's Thesis, Gazi University, Ankara; 2004.
- 24. Awad Allah M, Salem G, Said R. Enhancement of disaster management and first aid rules for primary school teachers in Egypt. *Am J Public Health*. 2016;46(2):61-68. doi:10.21608/jhiph.2016.20196
- Bohn A, Lukas RP, Breckwoldt J, Böttiger BW, Van Aken H. 'Kids save lives': why schoolchildren should train in cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2015;21(3):220-225. doi:10.1097/ MCC.000000000000204
- Böttiger BW, Van Aken H. Training children in cardiopulmonary resuscitation worldwide. *Lancet.* 2015;385(9985):2353. doi:10.1016/ S0140-6736(15)61099-6
- Böttiger BW, Van Aken H. Kids save lives-: training school children in cardiopulmonary resuscitation worldwide is now endorsed by the World Health Organization (WHO). *Resuscitation*. 2015;94(1):5-7.
- 28. Lukas RP, Van Aken H, Mölhoff T, et al. Kids save lives: a six-year longitudinal study of schoolchildren learning cardiopulmonary resuscitation: who should do the teaching and will the effects last? *Resuscitation*. 2016;101(1):35-40. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2016.01.028
- 29. Böttiger BW. A time to Act-anaesthesiologists in resuscitation help save 200,000 lives per year worldwide: school children, lay resuscitation, telephone-CPR, IOM and more. *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2015;32(12):825-827. doi:10.1097/EJA.0000000000037
- Plant N, Taylor K. How best to teach CPR to schoolchildren: a systematic review. *Resuscitation*. 2013;84(4):415-421. doi:10.1016/j. resuscitation.2012.12.008
- Banfai B, Pek E, Pandur A, Csonka H, Betlehem J. The year of first aid: effectiveness of a 3-day first aid programme for 7-14-year-old primary school children. *Emerg Med J.* 2017;34(8):526-532. doi:10.1136/ emermed-2016-206284
- 32. Eyi SÇ, Örsal Ö, Köşgeroğlu N. An evaluation of first aid education program and training methods in a boarding elementary school. *Turkiye J Nurs*. 2016;8(4):297-305. doi:10.5336/nurses.2015-44984
- 33. Plischewski H, Kucirkova N, Anda Haug I, Tanum I, Lea S. Children save lives: evaluation of a first aid training in Norwegian kindergartens. *EECER*. 2021;29(6):813-827. doi:10.1080/1350293x.2021.1985554
- 34. Konwar G, Gogoi N, Goswami A, Konjengbam B. A review on awareness of first aid among students. J Nurs Sci. 2021;4(3):87-89. doi: 10.18231/j.ijpns.2021.018
- Abelairas-Gómez C, Rodríguez-Núñez A, Casillas-Cabana M, Romo-Pérez V, Barcala-Furelos R. Schoolchildren as life savers: at what age do they become strong enough? *Resuscitation*. 2014;85(6):814-819. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2014.03.001
- Naqvi S, Siddiqi R, Hussain SA, Batool H, Arshad H. School children training for basic life support. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak. 2011;21(10): 611-615.