

HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS' KNOWLEDGE LEVEL REGARDING FORENSIC CASES IN THE INTENSIVE CARE AND OPERATING ROOM

Esra Özkan^{1*}, Şeyma Sürmeli², Tuna Albayrak³

¹Faculty of Health Sciences, Nursing Department, Giresun University, Giresun, Türkiye ²Giresun University Training and Research Hospital, Cardiovascular Surgery Intensive Care Unit, Giresun, Türkiye ³Faculty of Medical, Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Giresun University, Giresun, Türkiye

ORCID: E.O. 0000-0001-5951-3466; S.S. 0000-0001-5536-1645; T.A. 0000-0002-0222-9277

Corresponding author: Esra Özkan, E-mail: esra.ozkan@giresun.edu.tr

Received: 11.08.2024; Accepted: 31.12.2024; Available Online Date: 31.01.2025

©Copyright 2021 by Dokuz Eylül University, Institute of Health Sciences - Available online at https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/jbachs

Cite this article as: Özkan E, Sürmeli Ş, Albayrak T. Healthcare Professionals' Knowledge Level Regarding Forensic Cases in The Intensive Care and Operating Room. J Basic Clin Health Sci 2025; 9: 141-149.

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study aims to assess the knowledge and practices of healthcare professionals in operating rooms and intensive care units regarding forensic cases.

Material and Methods: A descriptive comparative design study was conducted, involving healthcare professionals from a university hospital in the Eastern Black Sea Region between March 17 and May 1, 2023 (n=116). Data were collected through a questionnaire developed by the researchers, and analysis was performed using descriptive statistics, t-tests, variance analysis, and correlation analysis.

Results: Participants had a mean age of 30.6 ± 7.7 years, with 56% working in operating rooms and 56.9% being nurses. While 53.4% had received training on forensic cases, 82.8% had encountered such cases. Education significantly influenced both knowledge level (p=0.00) and application (p=0.02), with a moderate positive correlation between knowledge and application (r=0.541, p=0.000).

Conclusion: The study highlights a lack of knowledge and practices among healthcare professionals in operating rooms and intensive care units regarding forensic cases.

Keywords: Forensic case, healthcare professionals, intensive care, knowledge, operating room

INTRODUCTION

A forensic case refers to a situation that is considered a criminal or suspicious event that may have legal consequences on individuals or society. Such cases usually require a criminal or legal investigation and require a multi-faceted evaluation of the legal, medical, psychological and social dimensions of the event (1,2) Suspicious deaths, such as firearm injuries, traffic accidents, falling incidents, penetrating sharps injuries, occupational accidents, poisoning, burns, drowning, and suicide attempts, and individuals who are admitted to healthcare facilities for these reasons are accepted as forensic cases. Physicians and nurses are the first group of health professionals who encounter the individual and their family through examination and laboratory samples in forensic cases (3,4). Therefore, all healthcare professionals, especially physicians, and nurses, have a great responsibility to prevent delays or negligence in the processes of identifying, collecting, storing, transporting, and recording materials that may constitute evidence in forensic cases (5). For this reason, health professionals in all units have an important role in identifying, collecting, and preserving forensic traces, which can include fingerprints, blood, semen, saliva, hair, etc (6). Evidence only have value as evidence in a forensic investigation if they are collected, preserved, stored, and transferred in a suitable (1,6) Thus, important deficiencies and errors can occur in the determination, collection, storage, and transfer processes in forensic cases in the event of a lack or deficiency in training (7).

It is frequently emphasized in the literature that healthcare professionals who encounter forensic cases lack knowledge about the treatment and care of these cases. Since such cases are often intertwined with legal processes and ethical principles, healthcare professionals need to have not only medical knowledge but also forensic and legal knowledge. However, it is reported that many healthcare professionals lack training and experience in forensic cases and that these deficiencies can negatively affect both patient care and compliance with legal processes. In addition, the lack of special training programs for nurses and other healthcare professionals in the management of forensic cases can pose serious risks to both employees and (1,8,9). It is important to identify, collect and properly store evidence for individuals who apply to medical units due to legal cases. Deficiencies in these practices can lead to a large amount of evidence being overlooked, lost or damaged, leading to wrong decisions and unsuccessful cases in court (10). Therefore, the protection of the chain of evidence is very important in healthcare professional practices (11), and all evidence obtained should be kept in sealed envelopes, boxes, or suitable container for the type of sample (12).

Emergency departments typically serve as the initial points of contact for forensic cases and must consistently be ready to provide forensic care to patients. Given that emergency departments are often the first medical facilities consulted in forensic cases, in literatüre extensive research has been conducted in this specific clinical setting (13–16). It is worth noting that the treatment and follow-up of forensic cases after including physical injuries resulting from explosions, burns, severe injuries due to traffic accidents, poisonings, and suicide attempts in fist emergency unit. Treatment and care continues in the operating room and intensive care units (14). There is a high risk that evidentiary material will be ignored while treatment is ongoing. Forensic cases often require rapid intervention and due to their forensic nature, it is vital that treatment and care are immediately implemented while preserving and securing potential evidence. It is critical that such cases are not overlooked, within the scope of the duty, authority and responsibility of healthcare professionals. Forensic cases not only require medical intervention, but must also be handled correctly from a legal and ethical perspective. Healthcare professionals are responsible for both ensuring patient safety and acting in accordance with legal processes by correctly recognizing and documenting forensic cases (1,17). Therefore as healthcare professionals working in operating rooms and intensive care units may come across forensic cases, it becomes imperative for them to possess the necessary knowledge and competence to provide care, including all aspects of medical and technical training related to the collection, preservation, and documentation of forensic traces in forensic cases (5). Detection of the crime, recognition of the offender, protection of the victim and the role of health personnel in protecting the patient's right can be ensured by the correct management of the forensic case (18,19). Maintaining continuity of care in our country, there are no forensic nurses or healthcare professionals whose duties are defined and legally defined. In addition, healthcare professional cannot make the necessary approaches and practices because they do not have sufficient knowledge about forensic medicine. Therefore, the evaluation of forensic cases is mostly performed by nurses who have not received special training (20-24).

According to Article 280 of the Turkish Criminal Code No. 5237 in our country, "A healthcare professional who fails to report the situation to the authorities or shows delay in this regard, despite encountering an indication that a crime has been committed during the performance of his/her duty, will be punished with imprisonment for up to one year (25). For this reason, this study was conducted to determine the knowledge and practice levels of health professionals in the operating room and intensive care units where the treatment of forensic cases continues.

Research Questions:

Do health professionals have sufficient knowledge and practices about forensic cases and types of forensic evidence? Do health professionals have sufficient knowledge and practices about the preservation and storage of evidence in a forensic case?

Is there a difference in terms of knowledge and attitude between the group that received training on forensic cases and the group that did not?

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Type of Research

The study was designed as a descriptive-comparative design investigation to assess the knowledge and attitudes of healthcare proffesionals working in the operating room and intensive care units regarding forensic cases. The study adhered to the STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology guidelines).

Place and Time of the Study

The study was carried out in the operating room and intensive care units of a university hospital located in northern Turkey, within the period from March 17, 2023, to May 1, 2023.

Population and Sample of the Study

The study population included healthcare professionals employed in the operating rooms and intensive care units of the northern Turkish a university hospital (n=116).

Data Collection and Data Collection Tools

The data were collected by a questionnaire that prepared by the researchers the literature rewiev (1). The form consists of two sections and 26 questions. The questionnaire included questions related to the descriptive characteristics of healthcare professionals (age, occupation, gender, marital status, educational background, the voluntary choice of their profession, and prior training on forensic cases) and their knowledge (9 questions) and level of knowledge regarding application (9 questions) regarding forensic cases. Participants were informed that their involvement was entirely voluntary and that the data would only be used for scientific research purposes. The questions regarding are responded as "Yes", "No" and "I don't know" and are evaluated those who answered the question correctly were given 2 points, those who did not know were given 1 point, and those who answered incorrectly were given 0 points in knowledge question form, the question correctly were given 3 points, 2 point for participant who answered "sometimes" those who did not know

were given 1 point, and those who answered incorrectly were given 0 points in attidues form. Knowledge and apply practice question guestion form and the lowest and highest scores to be obtained from the information form on knowledge and practices fosensic event were 0-16 and 0-27, respectively. Knowledge level score 0-5 was determined as low level, 6-10 as medium level and 11-16 as high level. The application knowledge level was determined as 0-9 points as low level, 10-19 points as medium level, and 19-27 points as high level. After receiving study's information about the scope, the questionnaire forms were distributed to those who agreed to participate, including healthcare professionals. Participants were given a specific time to respond to the survey questions and filled out the form face-to-face. Once they completed the questionnaires, they were directed to designated collection points in the operating room and each intensive care unit. The researchers made regular visits to these areas to gather the completed questionnaires. It took participants approximately 3-5 minutes to complete the questionnaire. Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficient of the questions was found 0.621.

Data Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using the IBM SPSS 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) software package. In the evaluation of the data, Nonparametric categorical data were compared using X² (chi-square) analysis, descriptive statistical methods such as standard deviation. percentage, mean. and Kolmogorov-Smirnov distribution test were used for the normal distribution. For the two-group comparison of quantitative variables, independent group t-test, and for the multiple groups, variance analysis and correlation analysis was used. Statistical significance was accepted at the level of p<0.05

Ethical Approval

Ethics committee approval was obtained from the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Giresun University, Giresun Education and Research Hospital (Date: 13.03.2023, Decision No: 14). Additionally, written permission was obtained from the relevant hospital after explaining the scope of the study. Once volunteers were informed and had filled out the voluntary consent form, the study was initiated. The study was carried out in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and with the participant's approval.

RESULTS

The study encompassed healthcare workers with a mean age of 30.6 years. Among the participants, 64.7% were female, and 56.0% were working in operating room. Specifically, 56.9% were nurses, and 53.4% had previously received training on forensic cases. The socio-demographic characteristics of the study group are outlined in Table 1.

Table	1.	Descri	ntive	Information
Iable		Descrip		mornauon

Descriptive Information	n	%
Age (Mean ± Standard Deviation)	116	30.6±7.7
Unit		
Operating Room	65	56.0
Intensive Care	51	44.0
Occupation		
Nurse	66	56.9
Anesthesia Technician	21	18.1
Specialist Physician	12	10.3
Assistant Doctor	17	14.7
Gender		
Female	75	64.7
Male	41	35.3
Receiving Forensic Case Training		
Yes	62	53.4
No	54	46.6

Table 2 displays the numerical values of responses concerning the knowledge of healthcare workers about forensic cases. It was observed that 84.8% of nurses, 85.7% of anesthesia technicians, 91.7% of specialist physicians, and 64.7% of assistant physicians in operating rooms and intensive care units had encountered forensic cases during their professional experience. The total mean score of the healthcare professionals' questions related to knowledge regarding forensic cases was 15.62±3.97. Knowledge level score was found as high level.

In Table 3, it was found that 42.2% of the participants answered "don't know" to the premise that damp or wet material should be allowed to dry before packaging. While 54.3% of the participants said yes to the question about visual recording of forensic cases such as photographs and drawings (e.g., bruises, abrasions, or cuts), 56.8% of the participants did not know about the practice of giving these records or documents to patients. The mean total score of application skill knowledge level questions of healthcare professionals was found to be 19.25 ± 7.40 . The application knowledge level was found as medi level. The comparison of the mean scores of forensic case practice knowledge level according to the descriptive characteristics of healthcare workers is presented in Table 4. It was determined that the statistical difference between the healthcare professions in the total apply knowledge score regarding forensic cases was not significant (p>0.05), but a statistically significant difference was found between the responses to the apply knowledge score regarding previous training on the subject and encountering a forensic case (p<0.005).

In Table 4, it was determined that the statistical difference between the healthcare professions in the total knowledge score regarding forensic cases was not significant (p>0.05). However, a statistically significant difference was found between the responses to the knowledge score regarding previous training on the subject and encountering a forensic case (p<0.005).

A positive, moderately significant relationship was found between the forensic case knowledge mean score and the Applying Knowledge score in Table 5 (r=0.541, p=0.000).

DISCUSSION

This study, which aims to evaluate the knowledge, competence and education status of nurses, doctors and anesthesia technicians working in operating rooms and intensive care units of a hospital in eastern Turkey, regarding forensic cases, is particularly related to research conducted in a similar field and discussed in the light of literature findings regarding health professionals working in operating rooms and intensive care units. A review of the literature revealed that previous studies on this subject have primarily focused on emergency departments (1,13,19,21,26-28) However, it is important to note that patient transfers from the emergency unit to the operating room or intensive care unit can also occur based on the priority of the forensic case (7,29). During this process, the correct removal and storage of clothing or evidence is crucial for both the criminal and the victim. Because legal proceedings may be initiated as a result of some forensic cases presented to the court (14,30).

Table 2. Questions related to knowledge and ability the apply regarding forensic case

Questions related to knowledge regarding forensic	Yes- n (%)	No- n (%)	Don't know- n (%)
1. Have you ever encountered forensic cases in the ope	rating room o	r intensive	
care unit?	i anng i com c		
Nurse (n=66)	56 (84.8)	7(10.6)	3(4,5)
Anesthesia Technician (n=21)	18(85.7)	2(9.5)	1(4.8)
Specialist Physician (n=12)	11(91.7)	_(1(4.8)
Assistant Doctor (n=17)	11(64.7)	6(35.3)	-
Total	96 (82.8)	15 (12.9)	5 (4.3)
2. Should a report be prepared when a forensic case is	encountered i	n the operatin	a room or intensive
care unit?			J
Nurse (n=66)	29(43.9)	19(29.8)	18(27.3)
Anesthesia Technician (n=21)	8(38.1)	6(28.6)	7(33.2)
Specialist Physician (n=12)	6(50)	2(16.7)	4(33.3)
Assistant Doctor (n=17)	10(58.8)	2(11.8)	5(29.4)
Total	53(45.6)	29 (25)	34 (29.4)
3. I know who is in charge of preparing reports on forem	sic cases	x <i>t</i>	
Nurse (n=66)	24(36.4)	18(27.2)	24(36.4)
Anesthesia Technician (n=21)	5(23.8)	6(28.6)	10(47.6)
Specialist Physician (n=12)	5(41.7)	4(33.3)	3(25.0)
Assistant Doctor (n=17)	10(58.8)	6(35.1)	1(5.9)
Total	54(46.5)	34(29.4)	38(29.1)
4. I know who is in charge of reporting forensic cases in	n the operating	g room or inte	ensive care unit?
Nurse (n=66)	34(51.5)	12(18.2)	20(30.3)
Anesthesia Technician (n=21)	11(52.4)	-	10(47.6)
Specialist Physician (n=12)	7(58.3)	1(8.3)	4(33.3)
Assistant Doctor (n=17)	13(76.5)	2(11.8)	2(11.8)
Total	65(56.0)	15 (13.0)	36 (31.0)
5. I know the unit where forensic cases are reported			
Nurse (n=66)	58(87.9)	1(1.5)	7(10.6)
Anesthesia Technician (n=21)	18(85.7)	1(4.8)	2(9.5)
Specialist Physician (n=12)	10(83.3)	1(8.3)	1(8.3)
Assistant Doctor (n=17)	17(100)	-	-
Total	103(88.7)	3(2.7)	10(8.6)
6. I know that there should be a different clinic for the s	torage of forer	nsic evidence	1
Nurse (n=66)	13(19.7)	36(40.9)	17(39.4)
Anesthesia Technician (n=21)	7(33.3)	1(4.8)	13(61.9)
Specialist Physician (n=12)	2(16.7)	3(25.0)	7(58.3)
Assistant Doctor (n=17)	4(23.5)	1(5.9)	12(70.6)
Total	26(22.4)	41(35.0)	49(42.2)
7. The institution should have a forensic case archive			
Nurse (n=66)	24(34.8)	4(6.1)	39(59.1)
Anesthesia Technician (n=21)	8(38.1)	-	13(61.9)
Specialist Physician (n=12)	5(41.7)	-	7(58.3)
Assistant Doctor (n=17)	5(35.3)	-	11(64.7)
Total	42(36.2)	4(3.4)	70(60.3)
8. An easily accessible evidence collection kit should b	e available in f	the operating	room or intensive
care unit		44 (62 42	0 (42 1)
Nurse (n=66)	17 (25.8)	41 (62.19	8 (12.1)
Anesthesia Lechnician (n=21)	6 (28.6)	14 (66.7)	1 (4.8)
Specialist Physician (n=12)	2 (16.7)	8 (66.7)	2 (16.7)
Assistant Doctor (n=17)	2 (11.8)	14 (82.4)	1 (5.9)
Total	27(23.2)	77(66.3)	12(10.5)
9. When you encounter a forensic case, do you experied	nce teelings of	t prejudice, di	scomfort, anger, or
tear toward the patient?	17 (05.0)	41 (60.4)	0 (40 4)
Nurse (n=bb)	17 (25.8)	41 (62.1)	8 (12.1)
Anesthesia Technician (n=21)	6 (26.8)	14 (66.7)	1 (4.8)
Specialist Physician (n=12)	2 (16.7)	8 (66.7)	2 (16.7)
Assistant Doctor (n=17)	2 (11.8)	14(82.4)	1 (5.9)
Total	27(23.2)	77(66.3)	12(10.5)
(Mean + Standard Deviation) (n=116)		15 62+3 97	

Ability to Apply Knowledge Forensic Cases	Yes- n (%)	No- n (%)	Sometimes-n (%)	Don't know- n(%)
1. The clothes of the forensic case are				
removed appropriately.			- (= 0)	10/17 0
Nurse (n=66)	50 (75.8)	1(1.5)	5(7.6)	10(15.2)
Anesthesia Technician (n=21)	13(61.9)	3(15.3)	-	5(23.8)
Specialist Physician (n=12)	10(83.3)	-	-	2(16.7)
Assistant Doctor (n=17)	12(70.6)	1(5.9)	2(11.8)	2(11.8)
Total	85(73.2)	5(4.3)	7(6.0)	19 (16.5)
2. All materials are labeled and protected beca	ause they can b	be evidence i	n a forensic investiga	ation.
Nurse (n=66)	52(78.8)	2(3.0)	5(7.6)	7(10.6)
Anesthesia Technician (n=21)	14(66.7)	1(4.8)	1(4.8)	5(23.8)
Specialist Physician (n=12)	6(50.0)	-	2(16.7)	4(33.3)
Assistant Doctor (n=17)	12(70.6)	-	-	5(29.4)
Total	84(72.4)	3(2.7)	8(6.8)	21(18.1)
3. Damp or wet material should be left to dry b	pefore packagir	ng		
Nurse (n=66)	21(31.8)	15(22.7)	6(9.1)	24(36.4)
Anesthesia Technician (n=21)	4(19.0)	6(28.6)	1(4.8)	10(47.6)
Specialist Physician (n=12)	1(8.3)	2(16.7)	-	9(75.0)
Assistant Doctor (n=17)	2(11.8)	7(41.2)	2(11.8)	6(35.3)
Total	28(24.1)	30(26.0)	9(7.7)	49(42.2)
4. All evidence materials are placed separately	y (paper packa	ging or envel	opes, etc.).	
Nurse (n=66)	46(69.7)	3(4.5)	5(7.6)	12(18.2)
Anesthesia Technician (n=21)	11(52.4)	-	1(4.8)	9(42.9)
Specialist Physician (n=12)	5(41.7)	2(16.7)	-	5(41.7)
Assistant Doctor (n=17)	10(58.8)	1(5.9)	1(5.9)	5(29.4)
Total	72(62.0)	6(5.3)	7(6.0)	39(33.7)
5. Any evidentiary material is properly recorded	ed before it is h	anded over t	o the judicial authori	ties.
Nurse (n=66)	53(80.3)	3(4.5)	3(4.5)	7(10.6)
Anesthesia Technician (n=21)	14(66.7)	1(4.8)	-	6(26.6)
Specialist Physician (n=12)	7(58.3)	1(8.3)	1(8.3)	3(25.1)
Assistant Doctor (n=17)	14(82.4)	-	-	3(17.6)
Total	88(76.0)	5(4.3)	4(3.3)	19(16.4)
6. Materials suitable for sending to the judicial	authorities that	it may be evid	dence are known.	
Nurse (n=66)	41(62.1)	2(3.0)	12(18.2)	11(16.7)
Anesthesia Technician (n=21)	10(47.6)	1(4.8)	4(19.0)	6(28.6)
Specialist Physician (n=12)	6(50.0)	-	3(25.0)	3(25.0)
Assistant Doctor (n=17)	7(41.2)	2(11.8)	4(23.5)	4(23.5)
Total	64(55.2)	5(4.3)	23(19.9)	24(20.6)
7. Visual recording of the forensic case is con-	ducted, such a	s photograph	s and drawings (e.g.,	, bruises, abrasions
or cuts).				
Nurse (n=66)	38(57.6)	6(9.1)	5(7.6)	17(25.8)
Anesthesia Technician (n=21)	8(38.1)	1(4.8)	4(19.0)	8(38.1)
Specialist Physician (n=12)	5(41.7)	2(16.7)	2(16.7)	3(25.0)
Assistant Doctor (n=17)	12(70.6)	-	-	5(29.4)
Total	63(54.3)	9(7.8)	11(9.5)	33(28.4)
8. Any visual recording in a forensic case requ	uires permissio	on from the pa	atient or his/her relat	ives.
Nurse (n=66)	27(40.9)	12(18.2)	4(6.1)	23(34.8)
Anesthesia Technician (n=21)	8(38.1)	-	1(4.8)	12(57.1)
Specialist Physician (n=12)	6(50.0)	-	1(8.3)	5(41.7)
Assistant Doctor (n=17)	7(41.2)	5(29.4)	1(5.9)	4(23.5)
Total	48(41.4)	17(14.6)	7(6.0)	44(38.0)
9. Original films that are the result of any image	ging method ar	e delivered to	the patient (photogi	raphs, etc.).
Nurse (n=66)	14(21.2)	10(15.2)	4(6.1)	38(57.6)
Anesthesia Technician (n=21)	2(9.5)	3(14.3)	1(4.8)	15(71 4)
Specialist Physician (n=12)	3(25.0)	2(16.7)	-	7(58.3)
Assistant Doctor (n=17)	4(23.5)	4(23.5)	1(5 9)	8(47.1)
	23(10 0)	19(16 3)	6(5.2)	68 (58 6)
	20(10.0)	10.0517.4	0(0.2)	50 (55.0)

Table 3 Ability to Apply Knowledge Forensic Case

Table 4. Comparison of the knowledge and level of practice knowledge mean scores of forensic events according to the descriptive characteristics of healthcare professionals (n=116)

Descriptive characteristics	Knowledge (Mean±SD)	Practices Knowledge (Mean±SD)
Occupation	· · ·	
Nurse	15.62±4.15	18.07±7.03
Anesthesia Technician	16.80±3.74	22.00±7.49
Specialist Physician	15.50±4.92	21.50±7.00
Assistant Doctor	14.29±4.15	15.62±4.15
	F=1.27	F=1.94
	P=0.28	P=0.12
Receiving Forensic Case Training		
Yes	14.40±3.33	17.77±6.46
No	17.03±4.20	20.96±8.08
	t=-3.76	t=-2.32
	P=0.00	P=0.02
Have you ever encountered for	rensic cases in the operating	room or intensive care unit?
Yes	14.89±3.55	17.79±6.34
No	18.53±4.30	26.86±8.06
Don't know	21.00±2.73	24.60±9.02
	F=12.20	F=13.50
	P=0.00	P=0.00

Table 5. The Relationship Between Knowledge Status and Ability to Apply Knowledge Mean Score

		Ability to Apply Knowledge
Knowledge	r	0.541
	р	0.000
	n	116

r=pearson corelation, p<0.05

Therefore, forensic cases should not be restricted to the emergency unit alone. Healthcare professionals in the operating room and intensive care units must possess the necessary knowledge and experience to handle such cases. Prior research has highlighted training disparities in fields(14,31,32). In one study, 58% of emergency nurses (33), and in another study, 77% of emergency nurses (34) did not receive training on forensic cases. Similarly, more than half of the physicians and nurses in an operating room (1) study did not receive training. In our study, it was determined that those who received training in forensic medicine course were above average and most healthcare professionals reported receiving training on forensic cases. Differences between the stated situation and the literatureas may have been

addressed and incorporated into educational policies over the years. It may also correlated with the importance given to forensic cases by countries and their policies.

Recognizing and knowing about forensic cases also affects the collection, storage, and delivery of forensic evidence. For example, the collection and storage of evidence in forensic cases such as sexual assault, trauma, traffic accident or intoxication are different from each other (35). According to our research findings, it has showed that the knowledge level and practice attitudes of nurses regarding the storage and packaging of forensic evidence were higher than those of other health personnel but not at the expected level (20, 21). According to our research results, it was determined that the level of knowledge of healthcare workers on the subject was high, but the level of implementation was at a medium level. This finding is based on research findings may be attributed to the infrequent encounter of forensic cases in operating rooms and intensive care units, or to the inadequacy of the training provided, which often lacks visual and practical components. This discrepancy could be related to the content, duration, and structure of the training programs.

It is well-documented in existing literature that individuals lacking adequate knowledge and training may commit errors in the documentation and reporting of forensic cases, which is crucial for the correct legal process (7,18). In our study, significant differences were found among professions regarding practical knowledge, particularly in areas such as "Should a report be prepared when a forensic case is encountered in the operating room or intensive care unit?", "Moist or wet material should be left to dry before packaging", "I know the unit where forensic cases are reported", and "The institution should have a forensic case archive". Therefore, it is believed that receiving appropriate training significantly impacts the handling and reporting of such cases.

In a study, a significant correlation was reported between the level of training and evidence preservation (33,36,37). Similarly in our study, it was found that a positive, moderately significant relationship was found between the forensic case knowledge level.

CONCLUSION

In our study, it was found that there was no significant difference in the theoretical and practical knowledge levels among different occupational groups and also it was concluded that training status positively influenced both theoretical and practical aspects. While healthcare personnel exhibited a high level of theoretical knowledge regarding forensic cases, their practical application was only at a moderate level. This finding highlights the importance of bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application, suggesting that healthcare personnel can effectively manage forensic cases by translating their acquired knowledge into practice through targeted training and practical experience. Therefore, it is recommended that mandatory practical training, including case scenarios and simulation techniques, be provided to healthcare personnel in operating units. Additionally, rooms and intensive care integrating forensic medicine education into the curriculum of health schools and promoting further research on this topic are encouraged.

Acknowledgements: The authors gratefully thank the health professionals.

Author Contributions: Idea; E.Ö, Ş. S. T.A, Design; E.Ö, Ş.S., Data collection: Ş.S, T. A literature review; E.Ö, Ş. S., Analysis; E.Ö., writing the article; E.Ö. Ş.S, T.A

Conflict of Interest: Authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval: Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Giresun University, Giresun Education and Research Hospital (Date: 13.03.2023, Decision No: 14).

Funding: There is no funding the study. **Peer-Review:** Externally peer-reviewed.

REFERENCES

- Ozsaker E, Kaya A, Okgun Alcan A, Yavuz van Giersbergen M, Aktas EO. Forensic Cases in the Operating Room: Knowledge and Practices of Physicians and Nurses. Journal of Perianesthesia Nursing 2020;35(1):38–43.
- Güven T. Adli Tıp, Ölüm, Ölü Muayenesi ve Otopsi (içinde), Adli Hemşirelik, Ed. Kalfacıoğlu, EA Köprülü ŞA, Hazmzaoğlu, N. Adli Hemşirelik. 2019. 269–288.
- Özden D, Özveren H, Yılmaz İ. The impact of forensic nursing course on students' knowledge level on forensic evidence. Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine. 2019;66(June):86–90.
- Ribeiro GPDO, Dixe MDACR. Knowledge of Forensic Nursing Practices: Efficacy of an Intervention for Nursing Students. Journal of Forensic Nursing 2020;16(3):154–60.
- de Oliveira Musse J, Santos VS, da Silva Santos D, dos Santos FP, de Melo CM. Preservation of forensic traces by health professionals in a hospital in Northeast Brazil. Forensic Science International 2020;306:6–10.
- Silva RX, Ferreira CAA, Sá GG de M, Souto RQ, Barros LM, Galindo-Neto NM. Preservation of forensic traces by Nursing in emergency services: a scoping review. Revista Latino-Americana de Enfermagem. 2022;30.
- Çilingir D, Hintistan S. The Scope and Legal Aspects of Forensic Nursing. Journal of Education and Research in Nursing. 2012;9(1):10–5.
- Singh RR, Bharti AK, Mittal R, Yadav PK. Forensic Nursing and Healthcare Investigations: A Systematic Review. International Medicine. 2023;9(3):1–8.
- 9. Swami O, Paintola N, Yadav M, Hasan M, Kangesvari P, Kaur S. Forensic Nursing as An

Overview of Growing Profession. International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research 2024;5(2):767–9.

- Filmalter CJ, Heyns T, Ferreira R. Forensic patients in the emergency department: Who are they and how should we care for them? International Emergency Nursing 2018;40:33–6.
- 11. Sharma S, Joseph J. The paradigm of forensic nursing for nursing aspirants in India: Promises, caveats & future directions. Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine 2022;86:102321.
- Arshad H, Jantan A, Omolara E. Evidence collection and forensics on social networks: Research challenges and directions. Digital Investigation 2019;28:126–38.
- Rahmqvist Linnarsson J, Benzein E, Årestedt K. Nurses' views of forensic care in emergency departments and their attitudes, and involvement of family members. Journal of Clinical Nursing. 2015;24(1–2):266–74.
- Erkan İ, Yesilyurt A, Kayserili A. Analysis of Awareness for Healthcare Professionals in Forensic Nursing. Foresic Research & Criminology International Journal. 2017;5(3):285–8.
- McGillivray B. The role of Victorian emergency nurses in the collection and preservation of forensic evidence: a review of the literature. Accident and Emergency Nursing 2005;13(2):95–100.
- Çelik P, Çelik Ş, Hastaoğlu F, Mollaoğlu M. Multicenter evaluation to determine the deficiencies of emergency nurses in forensic case management. International Emergency Nursing 2024;74:101440.
- 17. 17.Kent-Wilkinson A. An exploratory study of forensic nursing education in North America: Constructed definitions of forensic nursing. Journal of Forensic Nursing. 2009 Dec 1 [cited 2024 Dec 6];5(4):201–11.
- Özden D, Yıldırım N. Adli Vakaya hemşirelerin yaklaşımı. Hacettepe University Faculty of Nursing Journal 2009;16(3):73–81.
- Kirmizigül H, Taştekin B, Özensoy HS, Hekimoğlu Y. Acil Serviste Çalışan Hemşirelere Verilen Adli Vakalarda Bilgi ve Determining The Effect of Training on The Knowledge and Its Applications in. İzmir Katip Çelebi University Faculty of Health Journal 2023;8(2):273–80.

- Yelken, N Tunalı, G Gültekin G. The status of forensic nursing in Turkey. Sted. 2004;13(5):171–2.
- Seviner M, Kozacı N, Ay MO, Açıkalın A, Çökük A, Gülen M, et al. Analysis of Judicial Cases at Emergency Department. Cukurova Medical Journal 2013;38(2):250–60.
- 22. Yazar A, Akın F, Türe E, Odabaş D. Evaluation of Forensic Cases Admitting to Pediatric Emergency Clinic. Dicle TMed Journ 2017;44(4):345–53.
- Karabulutlu Ö, Aydın CM, Temel MÖ. Acil Servis Çalışanlarının Adli Vaka Yönetimine Yönelik Bilgi Düzeyleri: Kars Örneği. Caucasian Journal of Science 2023;10(1):12–24.
- Akcin A, Güven-Yılmaz D. Acil Serviste Çalışan Sağlık Profesyonellerinin Adli Vakalar İle İlgili Bilgi Düzeylerinin Belirlenmesi. MAUN Sag Bil Derg 2024;4(1):38–48.
- 25. Turkish Criminal Code). Law number: 5237, Enacted: 12.10.2004 P date of official journal: 12.
 10. 200. Mevzuat Bilgi Sistemi [Internet]. [cited 2024 Dec 6]. Available from: https://www.mevzuat.gov.tr/
- Farzadfar S, Jafaraghaee F, Karkhah S, Javadi-Pashaki N, Kazemnezhad Leyli E, et al. Clinical Decision Making in Forensic Nursing: A Cross-Sectional Study From an Emergency Nursing Perspective. J Forensic Nurs 2023;19(3):24–9.
- 27. Kihlgren AL, Nilsson M, Sørlie V. Caring for older patients at an emergency department-Emergency nurses' reasoning. Journal of Clinical Nursing 2005;14(5):601–8.
- Şentürk S, Büyükaslan B. Determination of Knowledge and Opinions About Forensic Nursing of Senior Nursing Students. Bozok Medical Journal 2013;3(3):19–26.
- 29. Eisert P, Eldredge K, Hartlaub T, Huggins E, Keirn G, O'brien P, et al. CSI: New @ York: development of forensic evidence collection guidelines for the emergency department. Crit Care Nurs 2010;33(2).
- Cunha M, Libório R, Coelho M. Knowledge Questionnaire over Forensics Nursing Practices. Procedia- Social and Behavioral Sciences 2016;217(2016):1089–97.
- 31. Donaldson AE. New Zealand emergency nurses knowledge about forensic science and its application to practice. International Emergency Nursing 2020;53:100854.

- Feizi Nazarloo L, Sedghi Sabet M, Jaafar-Aghaii F, Kazem Nezhad Leyli E, Rahbar Taromsari M, Jolly A. Emergency Department Nurses' Knowledge About Forensic Nursing. Journal of Holistic Nursing and Midwifery 2017;27(3):27– 36.
- Eldredge K. Assessment of trauma nurse knowledge related to forensic practice. Journal of Forensic Nursing 2008;4(4):157–65.
- Alsaif DM, Alfaraidy M, Alsowayigh K, Alhusain A, Almadani OM. Forensic experience of Saudi nurses; An emerging need for forensic qualifications. Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine 2014;27:13–6.
- McGillivray B. The role of Victorian emergency nurses in the collection and preservation of forensic evidence: A review of the literature. Accident and Emergency Nursing 2005;13(2):95–100.
- 36. Ilçe A, Yildiz D, Baysal G, Özdoğan F, Taş F. Examination of Health Care Personnel's Knowledge and Practices Regarding the Protection and Storage of Evidence in Forensic Cases. National Journal of Trauma and Emergency Surgery 2010;16(6):546–51.
- Sakallı D, Aslan M. Levels of knowledge of emergency nurses regarding forensic cases and approaches to evidence. Signa Vitae 2020;16(1):65–72.