

BANDIRMA ONYEDİ EYLÜL ÜNİVERSİTESİ SAĞLIK BİLİMLERİ VE ARAŞTIRMALARI DERGİSİ

BANU Journal of Health Science and Research

DOI: 10.46413/boneyusbad.1553990

Özgün Araştırma / Original Research

Investigation of Women's Health Literacy and Health Beliefs About Human Papilloma Virus and Vaccine: A Cross-Sectional Descriptive Study

Kadınların Sağlık Okuryazarlığı ve Human Papilloma Virüsü ve Aşısına Yönelik Sağlık İnançlarının İncelenmesi: Kesitsel ve Tanımlayıcı Tipte Bir Araştırma

Janıl Alanur HAKİM ¹



Aslı KARAKUŞ SELÇUK ² D



¹ Nurse, Medigüneş Hospital, Manisa, Turkey.

² Assistant Professor, Manisa Celal Bayar University, Faculty of Health Science, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecologic Nursing, Manisa, Turkey.

Sorumlu yazar / Corresponding

Aslı KARAKUŞ SELÇUK

akarakus ksk@hotmail.com

Geliş tarihi / Date of receipt: 22.09.2024

Kabul tarihi / Date of acceptance: 25.10.2025

Atıf / Citation: Hakim, J.A., Karakuş Selçuk, A. (2025). Investigation of women's health literacy and health beliefs about Human Papilloma Virus and vaccine: A cross-sectional descriptive study. BANÜ Sağlık Bilimleri ve Araştırmaları Dergisi, 7(2), 443-455. doi: 10.46413/boneyusbad.1553990

*This article was presented at the ICSAS 2nd International Conference on Nursing, Midwifery and Health Sciences, held from March 7 to 9, 2025, in İzmir, Türkiye

ABSTRACT

Aim: This study aimed at investigating the women's health literacy levels and health beliefs regarding human papillomavirus and human papillomavirus vaccine.

Material and Method: The cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted in a gynecology outpatient clinic between November 2023 and March 2024 in Türkiye. The sample of the study consisted of 368 women. The $descriptive\ characteristics\ question naire\ of\ the\ participants,\ Health\ Belief\ Model\ Scale\ for\ Human\ Papilloma$ Virus and its Vaccination and Health Literacy Scale were used to obtain research data.

Results: Results indicated that while the participants' perceived barriers sub-dimension score was below the average, their scores for the perceived severity, perceived benefits and perceived susceptibility subdimensions were above the average. Women who were young, those who were single, those who had senior high school or higher education, who were employed, those who did not have children, who had gynecological examinations regularly and those who had received training on human papillomavirus had higher health literacy and perception levels. A positive relationship was determined between health literacy, and perceived severity, perceived benefits and perceived susceptibility.

Conclusion: Health professionals can contribute to increasing women's general health literacy knowledge levels by providing guidance to help them understand and implement health information better.

Keywords: Human papillomavirus, Vaccine, Belief, Health literacy

ÖZET

Amaç: Bu çalışmada kadınların sağlık okuryazarlığı ile human papilloma virüs ve aşısına yönelik sağlık inançlarının araştırılması amaçlanmıştır.

Gereç ve Yöntem: Kesitsel tanımlayıcı çalışma, Kasım 2023 ile Mart 2024 tarihleri arasında Türkiye'de bir jinekoloji polikliniğinde yürütülmüştür. Çalışmanın örneklemini 368 kadın oluşturmuştur. Araştırma verilerini elde etmek için katılımcıların tanımlayıcı özellikler soru formu, Human Papilloma Virüsü ve Aşısına Yönelik Sağlık İnanç Modeli Ölçeği ve Sağlık Okuryazarlığı Ölçeği kullanılmıştır.

Bulgular: Katılımcıların algılanan engeller alt boyut puanının ortalamanın altında olduğunu, algılanan ciddiyet, algılanan yarar ve algılanan duyarlılık alt boyut puanlarının ise ortalamanın üzerinde olduğu saptanmıştır. Genç, bekar, lise veya üzeri eğitime sahip, çalışan, çocuğu olmayan, düzenli olarak jinekolojik muayene yaptıran ve human papilloma virüsü konusunda eğitim almış kadınların sağlık okuryazarlığı ve sağlık inançları düzeyleri daha yüksektir. Sağlık okuryazarlığı ile algılanan ciddiyet, algılanan yarar ve algılanan duyarlılık arasında pozitif bir ilişki tespit edilmiştir.

Sonuç: Sağlık profesyonelleri, sağlık bilgilerini daha iyi anlamalarına ve uygulamalarına yardımcı olmak için rehberlik sağlayarak kadınların genel sağlık okuryazarlığı bilgi düzeylerinin artırılmasına katkıda bulunabilirler.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Human papillomavirus, Aşı, İnanç, Sağlık okuryazarlığı



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.

INTRODUCTION

Human papillomavirus (HPV) is a widespread sexually transmitted infection that can result in cervical cancer (CC), anogenital and oropharyngeal malignancies, and anogenital warts. Of CC, 95% are caused by untreated HPV infection (World Health Organization [WHO], 2024). Current estimates indicate that 604,127 women are diagnosed with CC each year, and 341,831 women died from CC in 2020 (Bruni et al., 2023). The incidence of CC in Türkiye is 4.2 per hundred thousand (Türkiye Cancer Statistics, 2018).

As indicated in studies conducted in Türkiye and other countries of the world, due to women's lack of adequate knowledge and awareness about HPV and HPV vaccines, vaccination rates are low (Kitur, Horowitz, Beck, & Wang, 2021; Yarıcı & Mammadov, 2023). Thus, in order to extend HPV vaccination to prevent CC, awareness of HPV infection and beliefs about vaccination against HPV infection should be determined. In several studies conducted on the issue, while, perceived barrier levels were low in women with a higher level of education (Arı, 2021; Gürdal, 2021), who heard of the pap smear test (Gürdal, 2021), who were knowledgeable about HPV (Yıldız, Yolcu, Bıdık, Gökçay, & Şengan, 2023) who heard of the HPV test and vaccine (Gürdal, 2021) and who were vaccinated against HPV, their perceived benefits and perceived susceptibility levels were high (Gürdal, 2021).

In the literature, it has been determined that people who have heard of HPV and the HPV vaccine and who were very knowledgeable about these issues have higher health literacy levels (HLL) (Albright & Allen, 2018; Yilmazel, 2019; Akbaş, 2022; Kitur et al., 2022; Kılınç İşleyen, Korkmaz Aslan, & Kartal, 2024). HL is defined as "the ability of individuals to access, understand and use information in a way that will improve and maintain their own health and their families' health" (WHO, 2024). HL affects women's health in several ways. As women's understanding and use of necessary information about their health increases, so do their behaviors to prevent diseases and to ensure early diagnosis of diseases (Dağlar & Oskay, 2022). The number of studies in the literature in which women's health beliefs and HL regarding HPV and HPV vaccine are investigated is not many (Muturi, 2020; Topkara & Dağli, 2023; Kılınç İşleyen et al., 2024). Since there is need for a greater number of studies to be conducted in different countries to reveal all aspects of this relationship, this study is aimed at investigating the women's HLL and health beliefs regarding HPV and the HPV vaccine.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Research Type

This study is a cross-sectional descriptive study.

Study Population and Sample

The study population consisted of 5180 women over the age of 18 who applied to a university hospital gynecology clinic in 2022. The sample size of the study was calculated as 358 women. The sampling method was used for known population in the EPİ info 2000 program (confidence interval: 95%, unknown prevalence: 50%, deviation: 5%). Thus, the sample of the study consisted of 368 women who agreed to participate.

The inclusion criteria for the women were being ≥18 years old, having no speech impairment, having no comprehension problems, speaking Turkish, and volunteering to participate in the study. The exclusion criteria were having a diagnosed psychiatric illness and not volunteering to participate in the study.

Data Collection

The present study was conducted with women who presented to a university hospital gynecology outpatient clinic between November 2023 and March 2024. Data were collected from women who presented to a university hospital gynecology clinic, who agreed to participate and met the inclusion criteria, using the face-to-face interview technique.

Data Collection Tools

Descriptive Characteristics of Women Questionnaire: The first section consists of 14 items questioning the participants' age, income, education, employment and smoking status, alcohol use, whether they have heard of HPV and vaccine etc. The descriptive characteristics of the participants are prepared by the researchers (Bynum et al., 2013; Albright & Allen, 2018; Muturi, 2020; Kitur et al., 2022).

Health Belief Model Scale for Human Papilloma Virus and its Vaccination Scale (HBMS-HPVV): The HBMS-HPVV developed by Kim (2012) is included (Kim, 2012). The

Turkish validity and reliability study of the HBMS-HPVV was conducted by Güvenç et al. (2016). The HBMS-HPVV consists of 14 items and the following four sub-dimensions: perceived severity (items 6–9), perceived barriers (items 10–13 and 15), perceived benefits (items 1–3) and perceived susceptibility (items 4, 5). Responses given to the items are rated ranging from one to four (one: not at all, two: a little, three: quite a bit, and four: a great deal). The Cronbach's Alpha values of the sub-dimensions were as follows: perceived severity: 0.78, perceived barriers: 0.71, perceived benefits: 0.78 and perceived susceptibility: 0.72 in Güvenc et al.'s study (Guvenc, Seven, & Akyuz, 2016). In this study, Cronbach's Alpha values were determined as perceived severity: 0.77, perceived barriers: 0.54, perceived benefits: 0.88 and perceived susceptibility: 0.80.

Health Literacy Survey (HLS): The HLS developed by Toç, Bruzar and Sorensen is the short form of the 47-item HL Survey in Europe scale, developed by Sorensen (Sorensen et al., 2013). The validity and reliability study of the Turkish version of the HLS was conducted by Aras and Temel Bayık. The HLS consists of 25 items and the following four sub-dimensions: Information, Understanding Access to Information, Evaluation/Appraisal Application/usage. Reponses given to the items in the HLS are rated on ranging from one to five (one: I cannot do it/I have no ability/it is impossible two: I have a lot of difficulty, three: I have some difficulty, four: I have little difficulty and five: I have no difficulty). While low scores indicate that the health literacy level of the individual is insufficient and weak, high scores indicate that the health literacy level of the individual is sufficient and very good. As the score increases, so does the respondent's health literacy level. The Cronbach's Alpha value which was 0.92 for the HLS total score ranged between 0.62 and 0.79 for its sub-dimensions (Aras & Temel Bayık, 2017). In this study, Cronbach's Alpha values were determined as 0.92 for the HLS total score. The Cronbach's Alpha values of the sub-dimensions were as follows: Access to Information: 0.86, Understanding Information: Evaluation/Appraisal: 0.84 Application/usage: 0.78 in this study.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from a university ethics committee (Date: 12.04.2023, Approval

Number: 20.478.486/1801). Written permission was obtained from the institution where the research was conducted on May 12, 2023. Written informed consent was obtained from the women who met the inclusion criteria for the study. Permissions to use the scales were received from Güvenç and Temel Bayık via email.

Data Analysis

The SPSS 20.0 program was used for data analysis. The results of the tests demonstrated that the data were distributed homogeneously with the skewness and kurtosis tests. One-Way ANOVA and t test were used to determine the relationship between the independent variables and the mean scores obtained from the overall scales and their sub-dimensions. Bonferroni test was used to determine the variables that created a difference. Pearson correlation analysis was used to determine the relationship between the scores obtained from the two scales used in the study. P values less than 0.05 were accepted as statistically significant.

RESULTS

The mean age of the women was 35.22 ± 12.67 (min: 18, max: 65) years. Of them, 39.9% were high school graduates, 41.6% were employed, 60.1% were married, 59.2% had a family income equal to expenses, 30.4% were smokers, 18.5% consumed alcohol, 57.6% had children, 21.2% had gynecological examinations regularly every year, 24.5% had received education about HPV, 81% had heard of HPV testing, 99.7% had heard of the HPV vaccine. 16.8% had received education about the HPV vaccine, and 4.3% were vaccinated against HPV (Data not shown).

The HBMS-HPVV are as follows: perceived severity: 13.02 ± 2.68 (min: 5, max: 16), perceived barriers: 11.33 ± 2.80 (min: 5, max: 20), perceived benefits: 8.03 ± 2.47 (min: 3, max: 12) and perceived susceptibility: 5.25 ± 1.80 (min: 2, max: 8) (Data not shown). Of the participants, those who were in the age group of 18-24 and those who were graduates of senior high school or higher education obtained significantly higher mean scores from the perceived severity, susceptibility and benefits sub-dimensions than did those who were in the age group of 40-65 years and those who were graduates of primary/junior high school. The participants who had children, who did not drink alcohol and who were married had low levels of perceived severity,

Table 1. The Relationship between the Participants' Sociodemographic Characteristics and the Mean Scores They Obtained from the HBMS-HPVV and its Sub-dimensions

Characteristics	Perceived Severity		Perceiv	red Benefits	Perceived	Susceptibility	Perceive	d Barriers
	Mean ± SD	Test	Mean ± SD	Test	Mean ± SD	Test	Mean ± SD	Test
Age								
18-24 years (a)	13.51 ± 2.19	F=3.741	9.31 ± 1.85	F=29.850	5.91 ± 0.50	F=17.840	11.11 ± 2.66	F=0.738
25-39 years (b)	13.11 ± 2.69	p=0.025*	8.06 ± 2.44	p=0.000*	5.41 ± 1.90	p=0.000*	11.27 ± 3.04	p=0.479*
40-65 years (c)	12.59 ± 2.94	a>c	7.04 ± 2.46	a>b, a>c, b>c	4.62 ± 1.73	a>c, b>c	11.53 ± 2.69	<u>—</u>
Marital status								
Married	12.72 ± 2.89	t=-2.792	7.42 ± 2.37	t=-6.082	4.90 ± 1.84	t=-4.693	11.50 ± 2.77	t=1.475
Single	13.48 ± 2.27	df=-355.831	8.95 ± 2.33	df=366	5.76 ± 1.63	df=337.125	11.06 ± 2.82	df=366
		p=0.006**		p=0.000**		p=0.000**		p=0.141**
Income status								
Income less than	13.24 ± 2.46	F=0.777	8.11 ± 2.38	F=2.502	5.39 ± 1.85	F=0.519	11.52 ± 3.00	F=1.477
expenses		_ p=0.461*		_ p=0.083*		_ p=0.596*		_ p=0.230*
Income equal to	12.88 ± 2.83		7.86 ± 2.46		5.18 ± 0.79		11.34 ± 2.68	
expenses	12.21 + 2.20	_	0.07 : 2.70	_	5.01 + 1.76	_	10.57 : 0.75	_
Income more than	13.21 ± 2.39		8.87 ± 2.70		5.21 ± 1.76		10.57 ± 2.75	
Education status								
Primary/Junior high	11.84 ± 3.07	F=11.153	6.20 ± 2.47	F=31.187	4.29 ± 1.83	F=15.030	12.57 ± 2.99	F=10.599
school (a)	11.64 ± 3.07	p=0.000*	0.20 ± 2.47	p=0.000*	4.29 ± 1.63	p=0.000*	12.37 ± 2.99	p=0.000*
Senior high school (b)	13.57 ± 2.54	_ b>a, c>a	8.43 ± 2.34	_ b>a, c>a	5.40 ± 1.80	_ b>a, c>a	11.17 ± 2.53	= a>b, a>c
Higher education (c)	13.11 ± 2.40	- ´	8.60 ± 2.12	_ ′	5.60 ± 1.62	_ ′	$\frac{11.17 \pm 2.33}{10.83 \pm 2.76}$	_
Smoking	13.11 = 2.40		0.00 ± 2.12		3.00 ± 1.02		10.03 ± 2.70	
Yes (a)	13.25 ± 2.68	F=0.811	8.29 ± 2.47	F=0.982	5.58 ± 1.76	F=3.847	11.09 ± 2.94	F=0.840
No (b)	12.96 ± 2.71	p=0.445*	7.90 ± 2.47	= p=0.375*	$\frac{5.96 \pm 1.76}{5.06 \pm 1.81}$		$\frac{11.09 \pm 2.74}{11.46 \pm 2.72}$	$-\frac{1-0.040}{p=0.433*}$
Quit (c)	$\frac{12.90 \pm 2.71}{12.47 \pm 2.21}$	_ r	8.17 ± 2.37	_ F *****	5.70 ± 1.75	_ a>b	10.94 ± 2.92	_ F
Alcohol	12.17 - 2.21		0.17 - 2.37		5.70 - 1.75		10.71 - 2.72	
Yes	13.73 ± 2.28	t=2.416	9.42 ± 2.16	t=5.328	6.14 ± 1.66	t=4.639	10.36 ± 2.92	t=-3.183
No	12.87 ± 2.74	_ df=366	7.72 ± 2.43	df=366	5.05 ± 1.78	df=366	$\frac{10.56 \pm 2.72}{11.55 \pm 2.72}$	df=366
110	12.07 - 2.7 T	p=0.016**	, , , 2 - 2, 13	p=0.000**	2.02 - 1.70	p=0.000**	11.55 - 2.72	p=0.002**
Having a child								•
Yes	12.63 ± 2.93	t=-3.510	7.33 ± 2.39	t=-6.820	4.85 ± 1.85	t=-5.207	11.45 ± 2.76	t=1.007
			::== =:= /				=======================================	

No	13.57 ± 2.19	df=365.926 p=0.001 **	8.99 ± 2.25	df=344.898 p=0.000**	5.79 ± 1.60	df=356.158 p=0.000 **	11.16 ± 2.85	df=366 p=0.315**
Having gynecologic	c examination regul	arly						
Yes	13.46 ± 2.57	t=1.603	8.32 ± 2.62	t=1.148	5.37 ± 1.95	t=0.654	11.20 ± 3.02	t=-0.449
No	12.91 ± 270	df=366	7.95 ± 2.42	df=366	5.22 ± 1.77	df=366	11.36 ± 2.73	df=366
		p=0.110**		p=0.252**		p=0.513**		p=0.654**

^{*}One way ANOVA, **independent t test, a,b,c Bonferroni test

Table 2. The Relationship Between the Participants' HPV Knowledge and the Mean Scores They Obtained from the HBMS-HPVV and its Subdimensions

Characteristics	Perceived Severity		Perceive	Perceived Benefits		Susceptibility	Perceived Barriers	
	Mean ± SD	Test	Mean ± SD	Test	Mean ± SD	Test	Mean ± SD	Test
Having heard abo	ut the HPV							
Yes	13.06 ± 2.66	t=2.283	8.05 ± 2.46	t=1.455	5.25 ± 1.80	t=0.281	11.29 ± 2.78	t=-2.472
No	10.00 ± 3.36	df=366 p=0.023 **	6.25 ± 2.50	df=366 p=0.147**	5.00 ± 2.16	df=366 p=0.779**	14.75 ± 1.70	df=366 p=0.014**
Being knowledgea	ble about the HPV							
Yes	13.56 ± 2.01	t=2.609	9.42 ± 2.06	t=7.019	6.25 ± 1.42	t=7.167	10.35 ± 2.94	t=-3.876
No	12.85 ± 2.85	df=213.342 p=0.010 **	7.58 ± 2.42	df=175.709 p=0.000 **	4.92 ± 1.80	df=188.652 p=0.000**	11.64 ± 2.68	df=366 p=0.000**
Having heard abo	ut the HPV test							
Yes	13.25 ± 2.59	t=3.314	8.19 ± 2.42	t=2.625	5.42 ± 1.80	t=3.788	11.24 ± 2.87	t=-1.272
No	12.08 ± 2.87	df=366 p=0.001 **	7.34 ± 2.54	df=366 p=0.009 **	4.52 ± 1.65	df=366 p=0.000**	11.71 ± 2.43	df=366 p=0.204**
Being knowledgea	ble about the HPV t	est						
Yes	13.59 ± 2.12	t=1.829	9.64 ± 1.92	t=6.873	6.17 ± 1.40	t=5.369	10.04 ± 3.27	t=-4.039
No	12.91 ± 2.77	df=366 p=0.068**	7.70 ± 2.44	df=105.112 p=0.000**	5.06 ± 1.82	df=107.108 p=0.000**	11.59 ± 2.62	df=366 p=0.000**
Being vaccinated a	ngainst HPV							
Yes	13.68 ± 1.99	t=1.002	9.18 ± 2.13	t=1.913	5.93 ± 1.73	t=1.551	9.37 ± 3.68	t=-2.886
No	13.00 ± 2.71	df=366 p=0.317**	7.98 ± 2.47	df=366 p=0.057**	5.22 ± 1.80	df=366 p=0.122**	11.42 ± 2.72	df=366 p=0.004**
*indonondont t tost								

^{**}independent t test

susceptibility and benefits. The participants who were primary/junior high school graduates obtained a higher mean score from the perceived barriers sub-dimension than did the participants who were senior high school or higher education graduates (Table 1).

Of the participants, those who received training on HPV and those who heard of HPV testing perception obtained statistically high scores from the perceived severity, susceptibility and benefits sub-dimensions of the HBMS-HPVV. While the participants who heard about HPV testing, who received training on HPV, who received training on HPV vaccination and who were vaccinated against HPV obtained lower mean scores from the perceived barrier sub-dimension, the participants who had heard about HPV obtained higher mean scores from the perceived barrier sub-dimension of the. HBMS-HPVV. The participants who received training on HPV vaccination obtained significantly higher mean scores from the perceived benefits and perceived susceptibility sub-dimensions of the HBMS-HPVV (Table 2).

The HLS and its sub-dimensions are as follows: HLS total score: 108.60 ± 14.31 (min: 51, max: 125), application/usage sub-dimension: $20.79 \pm$ 3.95 (min:5, maks: 25), access to information subdimension: 22.51 ± 3.27 (min: 8, max: 25), understanding information sub-dimension: 29.83 ± 5.02 (min:12, max: 35), evaluation/appraisal sub-dimension: 35.47 ± 5.03 'tür (min: 11, max: 40) (Data not shown). Of the participants, those who were in the age group of 18-24 and those who were graduates of senior high school or higher education obtained significantly higher mean scores from the access to information, understanding information, evaluation/appraisal and application/usage sub-dimensions of the HLS than did those who were in the age group of 40-65 years and those who were graduates of junior high school. The participants whose income was less than their expenses obtained lower scores understanding information. evaluation/appraisal and application/usage subdimensions of the HLS than did the participants whose income was equal to their expenses. The participants who did not have children obtained higher scores from the access to information and understanding information sub-dimensions of the HLS than did the participants who had children. The single participants obtained a statistically significantly higher mean score from the understanding information sub-dimension of the HLS than did the married participants (Table 3).

Of the participants, those who received training on HPV, those who received training on the HPV vaccine, those who heard of the HPV test and those who were vaccinated against HPV obtained statistically higher mean scores from the understanding information, application/usage and evaluation/appraisal sub-dimensions of the HLS. Those who had gynecological examinations regularly, those who received training on HPV, those who received training on the HPV vaccine and those who were vaccinated against HPV obtained higher mean scores from the access to Information sub-dimension of the HLS. Those who did not have gynecological examinations regularly obtained a lower mean score from the evaluation/appraisal and application/usage subdimension of the HLS. HLL were higher in those who were in the age group of 18-24 years, those who were single, those who had an income equal to their expenses, those who were employed, those who were graduates of senior high school or higher education, those who drank alcohol, those who did not have children, those who had gynecological examinations regularly, those who received training on HPV, those who received training on the HPV vaccine, those who heard of the HPV test and those who were vaccinated against HPV (Table 4).

There was a positive high correlation between the mean score for the HLS total score and the mean scores for its access to information understanding information, evaluation/appraisal and application/usage sub-dimensions, whereas there was a weak positive correlation between the mean score for the HLS total score and the mean scores for the perceived severity, susceptibility and benefits sub-dimensions of the HBMS-HPVV. There was a very weak negative correlation between the mean score for the HLS total score and the mean score for the perceived barriers sub-dimension of the HBMS-HPVV (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

The mean scores obtained from the perceived severity, susceptibility and benefits sub-dimensions of the HBMS-HPVV by the participants in the age group of 18-24 years were statistically significantly higher than were those obtained by the participants in the age group of 40-65 years. Similarly, in several studies, the participating women in the younger age group obtained higher mean scores from the perceived benefits (Koç, Baltacı, & Yüksekol, 2023; Çınar

Table 3. The Relationship Between the Participants' Sociodemographic Characteristics and the Mean Scores They Obtained from the HLS Total Score and its Sub-dimensions

Characteristics	Access to		Understanding information		Appraisal/			Application/		Health literacy total score	
		mation				uation		sage			
	Mean ± SD	Test	$Mean \pm SD$	Test	Mean ± SD	Test	$Mean \pm SD$	Test	$Mean \pm SD$	Test	
Age											
18-24 years (a)	22.85 ± 2.78	_ F=5.190	31.28 ± 3.97	F=13.600	35.86 ± 4.48	_ F=3.104	21.57 ± 3.45	F=4.990	111.58 ± 12.27	F=8.976	
25-39 years (b)	23.01 ± 2.73	_ p=0.006*	30.46 ± 4.54	_ p=0.000*	36.09 ± 4.40	p=0.046*	20.99 ± 3.39	_ p=0.007*	110.56 ± 12.11	p=0.000*	
40-65 years (c)	21.83 ± 3.88	a>c, b>c	28.22 ± 5.64	a>c, b>c	34.66 ± 5.78		20.03 ± 4.58	a>c	104.75 ± 16.47	a>c, b>c	
Marital status											
Married	22.35 ± 3.42	t=-1.101	29.23 ± 5.24	t=-2.797	35.18 ± 5.34	t=-1.343	20.49 ± 4.16	t=-1.746	107.28 ± 14.94	t=-2.189	
Single	22.74 ± 3.04	df=366	30.72 ± 4.53	df=366	35.90 ± 4.51	df=366	21.23 ± 3.59	df=366	110.59 ± 13.12	df=366	
<u> </u>		p=0.272**		p=0.005**		p=0.180**		p=0.082**		p=0.029*	
Income status											
Income less than	22.00 ± 3.61	F=2.024	28.87 ± 5.78	F=3.171	34.42 ± 5.69	F=4.000	19.91 ± 4.24	F=4.281	105.22 ± 16.12	F=4.916	
expenses		p=0.134*		p=0.043*		p=0.019*		p=0.015*		p=0.008*	
Income equal to	22.74 ± 3.06	_	30.27 ± 4.41	b>a	36.04 ± 4.45	b>a	21.19 ± 3.76	b>a	110.25 ± 12.51	b>a	
expenses		_						_			
Income more than	22.75 ± 3.28	_	30.30 ± 5.56	_	35.39 ± 5.73	_ "	21.24 ± 3.71	_	109.69 ± 16.87	<u> </u>	
expenses											
Employment status											
Employed	23.26 ± 2.79	t=3.913	31.22 ± 4.24	t=4.775	37.05 ± 3.68	t=5.612	21.73 ± 3.23	t=4.138	113.27 ± 10.85	t=5.809	
Unemployed	21.97 ± 3.49	df=361.044	28.84 ± 5.29	df=361.716	34.34 ± 5.54	df=364.408	20.11 ± 4.27	df=364.608	105.28 ± 15.52	df=365.90	
		p=0.000**		p=0.000**		p=0.000**		p=0.000**		p=0.000*	
Education status											
Primary/	20.40 ± 4.22	F=23.421	25.75 ± 5.09	F=42.440	32.87 ± 6.04	F=16.463	18.20 ± 4.87	F=24.468	97.23 ± 16.13	F=40.163	
Junior high school (a)		p=0.000*		p=0.000*		p=0.000*		p=0.000*		p=0.000*	
		_ b>a, c>a		_ b>a, c>a, c>b		_ b>a, c>a		_ b>a, c>a		b>a, c>a	
Senior high school (b)	22.83 ± 2.83		30.25 ± 4.64		35.55 ± 4.98		21.15 ± 3.53		109.80 ± 13.03		
Higher education (c)	23.30 ± 2.59	_	31.57 ± 4.08	_	36.78 ± 3.86	_	21.79 ± 3.17	_	113.46 ± 10.92		
Alcohol	20.00 - 2.0		31107 = 1100		20170 - 2100		21177 = 0117		1101.0 = 1002		
Yes	23.08 ± 2.47	t=1.969	31.44 ± 3.57	t=3.736	35.88 ± 4.87	t=0.742	21.38 ± 3.39	t=1.533	111.79 ± 11.28	t=2.422	
No	22.38 ± 3.42	df=132.014	$\frac{31.11 \pm 3.37}{29.46 \pm 5.23}$	df=140.348	$\frac{35.38 \pm 4.07}{35.38 \pm 4.07}$	df=366	20.65 ± 4.06	df=115.138	$\frac{111.79 \pm 11.28}{107.88 \pm 14.83}$	df=125.45	
	32.00 - 0.12	p=0.051**	250 = 5.25	p=0.000**	30.00 = 1.07	p=0.458**	20.00 = 1.00	p=0.128**	10,.00 = 11.05	p=0.017*	
Having a child		<u>r</u>		<u>r</u>		F		<u>r</u>		F	
Yes	22.16 ± 3.56	t=-2.426	28.98 ± 5.46	t=-4.004	35.13 ± 5.49	t=-1.571	20.55 ± 4.09	t=-1.324	106.84 ± 15.37	t=-2.777	
***		df=364.434		df=364.858		df=364.344		df=366	, /	df=366	
No	22.97 ± 2.79	p=0.016**	30.98 ± 4.09	p=0.000**	35.93 ± 4.31	p=0.117**	21.10 ± 3.75	p=0.186**	111.00 ± 12.39	- p=0.006*	
			30.90 ± 4.09	1	33.93 ± 4.31	1	21.10 ± 3.73	1	111.00 ± 12.39	¥	
Having gynecologic exa			20.44 + 4.69	4 1 224	26.90 + 2.76	+ 2 214	21.51 + 2.24	4 2 002	112.00 + 12.20	4 0 710	
Yes	23.32 ± 3.18	t=2.514	30.44 ± 4.68	t=1.224	36.80 ± 3.76	t=3.214	21.51 ± 3.24	t=2.083	112.08 ± 12.20	t=2.713	
NT.	22.20 + 2.27	df=124.356	20.66 + 5.10	_ df=366	25.11 + 5.27	df=167.286	20.50 + 4.11	df=150.324	107.66 + 14.71	_ df=143.1′	
No	22.29 ± 3.27	p=0.013**	29.66 ± 5.10	p=0.222**	35.11 ± 5.27	p=0.002**	20.59 ± 4.11	p=0.039**	107.66 ± 14.71	p=0.007*	

^{*}One way ANOVA, **independent t test, a,b,c Bonferroni test

Table 4: The Relationship between Women's HPV Knowledge and HL

No 22.11 ± 3.46 df=235.167 28.91 ± 5.16 df=250.255 p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0		Access to information		Understanding information		11	Appraisal/ evaluation		Application/ usage		iteracy score
Yes 23.74 ± 2.23 t = 5.198 32.67 ± 3.15 t = 8.287 37.73 ± 3.39 t = 6.271 22.54 ± 2.81 t = 6.020 116.70 ± 9.31 t = 8.12		Mean ± SD	Test	Mean ± SD	Test	Mean ± SD	Test	Mean ± SD	Test	Mean ± SD	Test
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Being	knowledgeable a	bout the HPV								
Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish Parish P	Yes	23.74 ± 2.23	t=5.198	32.67 ± 3.15	t=8.287	37.73 ± 3.39	t=6.271	22.54 ± 2.81	t=6.020	116.70 ± 9.31	t=8.126
Having heard about the HPV test Yes 22.54 ± 3.33 $t = 0.435$ 30.30 ± 4.78 $t = 3.831$ 35.88 ± 4.84 $t = 3.024$ 21.17 ± 3.70 $t = 3.439$ 109.91 ± 13.73 $t = 3.66$ No 22.35 ± 3.05 df=366 27.80 ± 5.51 df=366 33.72 ± 5.47 df=95.965 19.15 ± 4.56 df=91.485 103.04 ± 15.48 df=36 p=0.664** p=0.000** p=0.003** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.00 Being knowledgeable about the HPV test Yes 24.09 ± 1.93 $t = 6.093$ 33.09 ± 3.06 $t = 8.090$ 38.22 ± 3.18 $t = 6.612$ 22.79 ± 2.71 $t = 5.788$ 118.20 ± 8.36 $t = 8.57$ No 22.18 ± 3.40 df=150.029 29.16 ± 5.08 df=139.588 34.91 ± 5.16 df=135.513 20.38 ± 4.04 df=123.264 106.66 ± 14.49 df=14 p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** Yes 22.81 ± 0.54 $t = 10.796$ 33.37 ± 2.50	No	22.11 ± 3.46	df=235.167	28.91 ± 5.16	df=250.255	34.74 ± 5.26	df=235.620	20.22 ± 4.10	df=220.626	105.98 ± 14.68	df=239.856
Yes 22.54 ± 3.33 $t = 0.435$ 30.30 ± 4.78 $t = 3.831$ 35.88 ± 4.84 $t = 3.024$ 21.17 ± 3.70 $t = 3.439$ 109.91 ± 13.73 $t = 3.67$ No 22.35 ± 3.05 $df = 366$ 27.80 ± 5.51 $df = 366$ 33.72 ± 5.47 $df = 95.965$ 19.15 ± 4.56 $df = 91.485$ 103.04 ± 15.48 $df = 366$ Peo.000** Peo.000** Peo.003** Peo.001** Peo.001** $p = 0.001$ * Yes 24.09 ± 1.93 $t = 6.093$ 33.09 ± 3.06 $t = 8.090$ 38.22 ± 3.18 $t = 6.612$ 22.79 ± 2.71 $t = 5.788$ 118.20 ± 8.36 $t = 8.57$ No 22.18 ± 3.40 $df = 150.029$ 29.16 ± 5.08 $df = 139.588$ 34.91 ± 5.16 $df = 135.513$ 20.38 ± 4.04 $df = 123.264$ 106.66 ± 14.49 $df = 14$ Peo.000** Peo.000** Peo.000** Peo.000** Peo.000** Peo.000** Peo.000** Being vaccinated against HPV Yes 22.81 ± 0.54 $t = 10.796$ 33.37 ± 2.50 $t = 5.444$ 38.87 ± 1.45 $t = 7.840$ <th></th> <th></th> <th>p=0.000**</th> <th></th> <th>p=0.000**</th> <th></th> <th>p=0.000**</th> <th></th> <th>p=0.000**</th> <th></th> <th>p=0.000**</th>			p=0.000**		p=0.000**		p=0.000**		p=0.000**		p=0.000**
No 22.35 ± 3.05 df=366 $p=0.664**$ p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.003** df=95.965 p=0.001** df=91.485 p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000*	Havi	ng heard about th	e HPV test								
p=0.664** p=0.000** p=0.003** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.001** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00** p=0.00**	Yes	22.54 ± 3.33	t=0.435	30.30 ± 4.78	t=3.831	35.88 ± 4.84	t=3.024	21.17 ± 3.70	t=3.439	109.91 ± 13.73	t=3.674
Being knowledgeable about the HPV test Yes 24.09 ± 1.93 $t = 6.093$ 33.09 ± 3.06 $t = 8.090$ 38.22 ± 3.18 $t = 6.612$ 22.79 ± 2.71 $t = 5.788$ 118.20 ± 8.36 $t = 8.57$ No 22.18 ± 3.40 $df = 150.029$ 29.16 ± 5.08 $df = 139.588$ 34.91 ± 5.16 $df = 135.513$ 20.38 ± 4.04 $df = 123.264$ 106.66 ± 14.49 $df = 14.49$ Being vaccinated against HPV Yes 22.81 ± 0.54 $t = 10.796$ 33.37 ± 2.50 $t = 5.444$ 38.87 ± 1.45 $t = 7.840$ 22.75 ± 2.20 $t = 3.464$ 119.81 ± 5.11 $t = 7.840$ No 22.40 ± 3.31 $df = 96.532$ 29.67 ± 5.04 $df = 21.048$ 35.31 ± 5.08 $df = 35.834$ 20.70 ± 3.99 $df = 19.795$ 108.09 ± 14.39 $df = 27.44$	No	22.35 ± 3.05	df=366	27.80 ± 5.51	df=366	33.72 ± 5.47	df=95.965	19.15 ± 4.56	df=91.485	103.04 ± 15.48	df=366
Yes 24.09 ± 1.93 $t=6.093$ 33.09 ± 3.06 $t=8.090$ 38.22 ± 3.18 $t=6.612$ 22.79 ± 2.71 $t=5.788$ 118.20 ± 8.36 $t=8.57$ No 22.18 ± 3.40 $df=150.029$ 29.16 ± 5.08 $df=139.588$ 34.91 ± 5.16 $df=135.513$ 20.38 ± 4.04 $df=123.264$ 106.66 ± 14.49 $df=14$ Being vaccinated against HPV Yes 22.81 ± 0.54 $t=10.796$ 33.37 ± 2.50 $t=5.444$ 38.87 ± 1.45 $t=7.840$ 22.75 ± 2.20 $t=3.464$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.840$ $t=7.84$			p=0.664**		p=0.000**		p=0.003**		p=0.001**		p=0.000**
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Being	knowledgeable a	bout the HPV to	est							
Being vaccinated against HPV p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.0	Yes	24.09 ± 1.93	t=6.093	33.09 ± 3.06	t=8.090	38.22 ± 3.18	t=6.612	22.79 ± 2.71	t=5.788	118.20 ± 8.36	t=8.570
Being vaccinated against HPV Yes 22.81 ± 0.54 $t=10.796$ 33.37 ± 2.50 $t=5.444$ 38.87 ± 1.45 $t=7.840$ 22.75 ± 2.20 $t=3.464$ 119.81 ± 5.11 $t=7.85$ No 22.40 ± 3.31 $df=96.532$ 29.67 ± 5.04 $df=21.048$ 35.31 ± 5.08 $df=35.834$ 20.70 ± 3.99 $df=19.795$ 108.09 ± 14.39 $df=27.85$	No	22.18 ± 3.40	df=150.029	29.16 ± 5.08	df=139.588	34.91 ± 5.16	df=135.513	20.38 ± 4.04	df=123.264	106.66 ± 14.49	df=146.833
Yes 22.81 ± 0.54 $t=10.796$ 33.37 ± 2.50 $t=5.444$ 38.87 ± 1.45 $t=7.840$ 22.75 ± 2.20 $t=3.464$ 119.81 ± 5.11 $t=7.85$ No 22.40 ± 3.31 $df=96.532$ 29.67 ± 5.04 $df=21.048$ 35.31 ± 5.08 $df=35.834$ 20.70 ± 3.99 $df=19.795$ 108.09 ± 14.39 $df=27.85$			p=0.000**		p=0.000**		p=0.000**		p=0.000**		p=0.000**
No 22.40 ± 3.31 df=96.532 29.67 ± 5.04 df=21.048 35.31 ± 5.08 df=35.834 20.70 ± 3.99 df=19.795 108.09 ± 14.39 df=27	Being	yaccinated again	st HPV								
	Yes	22.81 ± 0.54	t=10.796	33.37 ± 2.50	t=5.444	38.87 ± 1.45	t=7.840	22.75 ± 2.20	t=3.464	119.81 ± 5.11	t=7.856
p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.000** p=0.002** p=0.00	No	22.40 ± 3.31	df=96.532	29.67 ± 5.04	df=21.048	35.31 ± 5.08	df=35.834	20.70 ± 3.99	df=19.795	108.09 ± 14.39	df=27.592
			p=0.000**		p=0.000**		p=0.000**		p=0.002**		p=0.000**

^{**}independent t test

Table 5. Correlation between HLS and HBMS-HPVV's Sub-dimensions

	Perceived Benefits	Perceived Susceptibility	Perceived Barriers	Access to information	Understanding information	Appraisal/ evaluation	Application /usage	Health literacy Scale total score
Perceived Severity	0.346*	0.431*	-0.002*	0.271*	0.214*	0.245*	0.170*	0.270*
	0.000	0.000	0.963	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000
Perceived Benefits		0.657	-0.372*	0.307*	0.406	0.268*	0.319*	0.396*
		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Perceived Susceptibility			-0.209*	0.309*	0.315*	0.262*	0.253*	0.343*
			0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Perceived Barriers				-0.194*	-0.221*	-0.161*	-0.154*	-0.221*
				0.000	0.000	0.002	0.003	0.000
Access to information					0.585*	0.645*	0.402*	0.772*
					0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Understanding						0.667	0.537*	0.868*
information						0.000	0.000	0.000
Appraisal/							0.549*	0.885*
evaluation							0.000	0.000
Application/								0.750*
usage								0.000

^{*}Pearson correlation analysis; r: correlation efficient (r=0.000-0.25 very low, r=0.26-0.49 low, r=0.50-0.69 moderate, r=0.70-0.89 high, r=0.90-1.00 very high correlation)

& Çetin, 2024), perceived severity (Çınar & Cetin, 2024) and susceptibility (Cınar & Cetin, 2024) sub-dimensions of the HBMS-HPVV than did the participating women in other age groups, which may indicate that young women are more conscious and sensitive on this issue and that health education and information campaigns are perhaps effective. These trends should be taken into consideration when health policies and education strategies are developed. In the present study, the participants who were graduates of senior high school or higher education obtained statistically significantly higher scores from the perceived severity, susceptibility and benefits sub-dimensions of the HBMS-HPVV than did the participants who were graduates of primary school or junior high school. In Koç et al.'s study (2023), university graduates obtained higher scores from the perceived benefits, susceptibility, and severity sub-dimensions (Koc et al., 2023). In Ari's study (2021), those who had the master's degree obtained statistically significantly higher mean scores from the perceived benefits subdimension of the HBMS-HPVV than did the senior high school graduates (Arı, 2021). In Yıldız et al.'s study (2023), the junior high school graduates obtained higher scores from the perceived benefits sub-dimension of the HBMS-HPVV than did the primary school graduates (Yıldız et al., 2023). In Çınar and Çetin's study (2024), the mean scores obtained from the perceived severity, susceptibility and benefits sub-dimensions of the HBMS-HPVV increased as the participants' level of education increased (Cınar & Cetin, 2024). These results may provide significant data for health professionals to increase the effectiveness of health education programs and interventions. In the present study, the single and childless participants obtained higher scores from the perceived severity, susceptibility and benefits sub-dimensions of the HBMS-HPVV. In several studies whose results were consistent with those of the present study. the single (Koç et al., 2023) and childless (Koç et al., 2023; Çınar & Çetin, 2024) participants obtained higher scores from the perceived susceptibility sub-dimension of the HBMS-HPVV. However, in some studies whose results were different from those of the present study, the married participants obtained higher scores from the perceived susceptibility (Kılınç İşleyen et al., 2024; Çınar & Çetin, 2024) and perceived benefits (Arı, 2021) sub-dimensions of the HBMS-HPVV. The effects of factors such as education level, marital status, and having

children on health perceptions may be complex and may vary from one group to another. These results may indicate that health education and intervention strategies should be customized according to the target audience. It should be taken into account that each individual's health perceptions and needs may differ.

In the present study, the analysis of the participants' perceptions of barriers regarding HPV and the HPV vaccine revealed that those who were primary school or junior high school graduates obtained a higher mean score from the perceived barriers sub-dimension of the HBMS-HPVV than did those who were graduates of senior high school education or higher education. In studies whose results were consistent with those of the present study, the participating women's perceived barriers scores decreased as their level of education increased (Gürdal, 2021; Topkara & Dagli, 2023; Çınar & Çetin, 2024). As in the present study, in several studies in the literature, the mean score obtained from the perceived barriers sub-dimension by participating women was lower in those who heard about the HPV test (Ergün, 2023; Koç et al., 2023), those who received education on HPV and the HPV vaccine (Topkara & Dagli, 2023), and those who were vaccinated against HPV (Arı, 2021; Gürdal, 2021). Again, as in the present study, in some other studies, the participating women who received education about HPV and heard about HPV testing obtained statistically higher scores from the perceived severity (Muturi. 2020; Gürdal, 2021; Ergün, 2023; Koç et al., 2023), perceived benefits (Gürdal, 2021; Ergün, 2023; Koç et al., 2023;) and perceived susceptibility (Gürdal, 2021; Ergün, 2023; Koç et al., 2023) sub-dimensions of the HBMS-HPVV. Expanding education programs and information campaigns about HPV may increase the rates of women agreeing with having HPV testing and vaccination, and may reduce their perceived barriers levels.

In the present study, the analysis of the mean scores obtained from the sub-dimensions of the HBMS-HPVV demonstrated that as in many studies in the literature, while the participants' perceived barriers sub-dimension score was below the average, their scores for the perceived severity, susceptibility and benefits sub-dimensions were above the average (Arı, 2021; Gürdal, 2021; Yarıcı & Mammadov 2023; Koç et al., 2023; Sezgin, Salimoğlu, Başaran, & Akdur, 2024; Çınar & Çetin, 2024). In the present study,

the fact that the scores obtained by the participants from the perceived severity, susceptibility and benefits sub-dimensions of the HBMS-HPVV were above the average indicates that they developed a high level of awareness of and a positive attitude towards these issues. The fact that the mean score the participants obtained from the perceived barrier sub-dimension was below the average might indicate that their levels of perceptions regarding barriers related to the HPV vaccine and HPV testing were low and that their levels of awareness on these issues were high. These results highlight the importance of health education programs and awareness campaigns aimed at improving attitudes towards HPV vaccination and HPV testing.

In the present study, the HLL of the participants who were in the age group of 18-24 years, single, who had income equal to expenses, who were employed, who had senior high school or higher education, who had no children and who had gynecological examinations regularly higher. Similarly, in a study conducted by Minamitani, Morishima, Katano, Ohira, & Nakagawa (2024) in Japan, HLL were higher in the participating women who had higher education and who had higher income levels (Minamitani et al., 2024). In other studies conducted in Türkiye whose results are consistent with the results of the present study, the participants whose HLL were high were single (Yüce & Muz, 2023), young (Yilmazel, 2019; Akbaş, 2022; Yüce & Muz, 2023), had a high level of education (Yilmazel, 2019; Akbaş, 2022; Yüce & Muz, 2023), had a good income (Akbaş, 2022; Yüce & Muz, 2023) and were employed (Yilmazel, 2019; Akbaş, 2022; Yüce & Muz, 2023). To increase HLL, policies aimed at improving education and income status should be strengthened. Increasing opportunities to access health information and education can also improve HLL. These results highlight that implementing strategies for accessing health education and information according demographic factors are of importance.

In the present study, HLL of the participants who received education about HPV and the HPV vaccine, who heard about the HPV test, and who were vaccinated against HPV were high. Similarly, in studies conducted in the United States (Albright & Allen, 2018; Kitur et al., 2022; Bynum et al., 2013) and Türkiye (Yilmazel, 2019; Akbaş, 2022), those who heard of HPV and the HPV vaccine (Bynum et al., 2013; Albright &

Allen, 2018; Yilmazel, 2019; Akbaş, 2022; Kitur et al., 2022) and those who were knowledgeable about HPV and the HPV vaccine (Albright & Allen, 2018; Akbaş, 2022; Kitur et al., 2022) had higher HLL. These results support the importance of health education and information distribution in increasing HLL.

The mean score obtained from the HLS total score was 108.60 ± 14.31 , indicating that the participants' HLL were adequate. In studies conducted in Türkiye, the participants' e-HLL was moderate in Kılınç İşleyen et al.'s study (2024) (Kılınç İşleyen et al., 2024), and HLL was low in the majority of the participating women in Yilmazel's study (2019) (Yilmazel, 2019) and sufficient in Yüce & Muz's study (2023) (Yüce & Muz, 2023). As for the international literature, the e-HLL was moderate in a study conducted in Kenya (Muturi, 2020), and HLL was on the borderline in young adults in a study conducted in the United States (Albright & Allen, 2018), adequate in the participating women in a study conducted in Malaysia (Baharum, Ariffin, Isa, & Tin, 2020) and inadequate in more than half of the participants in a study conducted in Japan (Minamitani et al., 2024). The fact that women's HLL was low in some studies and adequate in others indicates that women have different opportunities in accessing and using health information. It is emphasized that if HLL are to be improved, training programs should be organized, and public's awareness should be raised.

In the present study, a weak positive correlation was determined between the mean scores obtained from the HLS total score and the perceived severity, susceptibility and benefits sub-dimensions of the HBMS-HPVV. All these indicate that individuals can better comprehend health risks and benefits as they understand and use health information better, but this increase in awareness may depend on factors which are more complex.

Limitation

The present study has several limitations. The results of the present study cannot be generalized to all women and these results might not represent the relationship between women's HLL, and their health beliefs about HPV and vaccine across the country.

CONCLUSION

A positive relationship was determined between

HL and perceived severity, susceptibility and benefits. Within this context, it is important to organize education programs and information campaigns to increase HLL and to raise awareness about HPV and vaccine. Developing special programs especially for women whose education level was low and women who were unemployed can be effective in increasing their HL and perception levels. Health professionals can contribute to increasing women's general HL knowledge levels by providing guidance to help them understand and implement health information better.

Ethics Committe Approval

Ethics committee approval was received for this study from Manisa Celal Bayar University Health Sciences Ethics Committee (Date: April 12, 2023, Approval Number: 20.478.486/1801).

Author Contributions

Idea/Concept: J.A.H., A.K.S.; Design: J.A.H., A.K.S.; Supervision/Consulting: J.A.H., A.K.S.; Analysis and/or Interpretation: J.A.H., A.K.S.; Literature Search: J.A.H., A.K.S.; Writing the Article: J.A.H., A.K.S.; Critical Review: A.K.S.

Peer-review

Externally peer-reviewed.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Financial Disclosure

This study is supported by the TÜBİTAK 2209-A University Students Research Projects Support program.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank women of this study.

REFERENCES

- Akbaş, M. B. (2022). Relationship between parents' health literacy levels and their approaches to human papillomavirus vaccination. (Unpublished Medical Specialization Thesis). Bolu Abant İzzet Baysal University, Faculty of Medicine, Bolu.
- Albright, A., Allen, R. (2018). HPV misconceptions among college students: the role of health literacy. *Journal of Community Health*, 43, 1192-1200. doi: 10.1007/s10900-018-0539-4.
- Aras, Z., Temel Bayık, A. (2017). Evaluation of validity and reliability of the Turkish version of health literacy scale. *Florence Nightingale Journal of Nursing*, 25(2),

85-94.

- Arı, H. (2021). Nurses' knowledge, beliefs and status of vaccination about human papilloma virus. (Unpublished Master Dissertation). Başkent University Institute of Health Sciences, Ankara.
- Baharum, N. N., Ariffin, F., Isa, M. R., Tin, S. T. (2020). Health literacy, knowledge on cervical cancer and pap smear and its influence on pre-marital Malay Muslim women attitude towards pap smear. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 21(7), 2021-2028. doi: 10.31557/APJCP.2020.21.7.2021.
- Bruni, L., Albero, G., Serrano, B., Mena, M., Collado, J.J., Gómez, D., Muñoz, J., Bosch, F.X., de Sanjosé, S. ICO/IARC Information Centre on HPV and Cancer (HPV Information Centre). (2023). Human papillomavirus and related diseases in the world. Erişim tarihi 22.09.2024.
 - https://hpvcentre.net/statistics/reports/X WX.pdf
- Bynum, S. A., Wigfall, L. T., Brandt, H. M., Richter, D. L., Glover, S. H., Hébert, J. R. (2013). Assessing the influence of health literacy on HIV-positive women's cervical cancer prevention knowledge and behaviors. *Journal of Cancer Education*, 28, 352-356. doi: 10.1007/s13187-013-0470-4.
- Çınar, D., Çetin, S.A. (2024). Health belief levels about HPV infection and vaccination for protection from cervical cancer of women between 18-65 years. *Journal of Nursing Effect* 17 (2), 256-269. doi: 10.46483/jnef.1468570.
- Dağlar, Ö. Ş., Oskay, Ü. (2022). The Effects of health literacy on women's health: a systematic review. İzmir Katip Çelebi University Faculty of Health Science Journal, 7 (3), 585-595.
- Ergün, S. (2023). The effect of university students' levels of knowledge about HPV infection and the HPV vaccine on their health beliefs: health sciences students. *Vaccines*, 11 (6), 1126. doi:10.3390/vaccines11061126.
- Guvenc, G., Seven, M., Akyuz, A. (2016). Health belief model scale for human papilloma virus and its vaccination: adaptation and psychometric testing. *Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology*, 29 (3), 252-258. doi:10.1016/j.jpag.2015.09.007.

- Gürdal, Y. (2021). Health beliefs about HPV and HPV vaccine of women who applied to obstetrics outpatient clinic. (Unpublished Master Dissertation). Bezmialem Vakıf University Institute of Health Sciences, İstanbul.
- Kılınç İşleyen, E., Korkmaz Aslan, G., Kartal, A. (2024). Knowledge and perceptions about cervical cancer and human papillomavirus, and relationship with ehealth literacy, and affecting factors among female university students. *Journal of Adolescent and Young Adult Oncology, 13* (3), 564-572. doi:10.1089/jayao.2023.0173.
- Kim, H.W. (2012). Knowledge about human papillomavirus (HPV) and health beliefs and intention to recommend HPV vaccination for girls and boys among Korean health teachers. *Vaccine*, *30* (36), 5327-5327-5334. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.06.040.
- Kitur, H., Horowitz, A. M., Beck, K., Wang, M. Q. (2021). HPV knowledge, vaccine status, and health literacy among university students. *Journal of Cancer Education*, 37, 1606-1613. doi:10.1007/s13187-021-01997-1.
- Koç, Ö., Baltacı, N., Yüksekol, Ö. D. (2023). The relationship of women's cervical cancer screening beliefs with their beliefs on HPV vaccine. *Turkish Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*, 17 (1), 31-41. doi: 10.21763/tjfmpc.1119611.
- Minamitani, M., Morishima, K., Katano, A., Ohira, S., Nakagawa, K. (2024). Exploring the correlation between health literacy and knowledge of cervical cancer and radiotherapy among Japanese women: a web-based survey. *Journal of Cancer Education* Online ahead of print. doi: 10.1007/s13187-024-02432-x.
- Muturi, N. (2020). E-health literacy and the motivators for HPV prevention among young adults in Kenya. *Communication Research Reports*, *37* (3), 74-86. doi: 10.1080/08824096.2020.1763942.
- Sezgin, Y., Salimoğlu, S., Başaran, E., Akdur, R. (2024). Knowledge levels and health beliefs of vocational school students regarding human papilloma virus infection and vaccinaion. *Turkish Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care, 18* (1), 48-55. doi: 10.21763/tjfmpc.1315534.

- Sørensen, K., Van den Broucke, S., Pelikan, J. M., Fullam, J., Doyle, G., Slonska, Z., ... Brand, H. (2013). Measuring health literacy in populations: illuminating the design and development process of the european health literacy survey questionnaire (HLS-EU-Q). *BMC Public Health*, 13, 948. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-13-948.
- Topkara, F.N., Dağli, E. (2023). Examination of the relationship between parents' attitudes and beliefs about human papillomavirus vaccine and health literacy. *Middle Black Sea Journal of Health Science*, *9* (2), 325-340. doi: 10.19127/mbsjohs.1253511.
- Türkiye Cancer Statistics. Republic of Türkiye Ministry of Health General Directorate of Public Health. (2018). Türkiye Cancer Statictics. Erişim tarihi 22.09.2024, https://hsgm.saglik.gov.tr/depo/birimler/k anser-db/Dokumanlar/Istatistikler/Kanser_Rap or 2018.pdf
- World Health Organization. (2024). Health literacy. Erişim tarihi 22.09.2024, https://www.who.int/teams/health-promotion/enhanced-wellbeing/ninth-global-conference/health-literacy
- World Health Organization. (2024). Cervical cancer. Erişim tarihi 22.09.2024, https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cervical-cancer
- Yarıcı, F., Mammadov, B. (2023). An analysis of the knowledge of adults aged between 18 and 45 on HPV along with their attitudes and beliefs about HPV vaccine: the Cyprus case. *BMC Women's Health*, 23 (1), 70. doi: 10.1186/s12905-023-02217-2.
- Yıldız, M., Yolcu, B., Bıdık, N. Ü., Gökçay, G., Şengan, A. (2023). The relationship between ındividuals' knowledge about human papilloma virus, beliefs, and vaccination status: analysis with data mining. *Journal of Public Health*, 2023, doi: 10.1007/s10389-023-02089-8
- Yilmazel, G. (2019). Low health literacy, poor knowledge, and practice among Turkish women patients undergoing cervical cancer screening. *Journal of Cancer Research and Therapeutics*, 15, 1276-1281. doi: 10.4103/jcrt.JCRT_1142_16
- Yüce, G. E., Muz, G. (2023). Investigation of the relationship between health literacy level

and adjustment to chronic disease in individuals with chronic disease: a cross-sectional study. *Gümüşhane University Journal of Health Sciences*, 12 (3), 1115-1123.