

**Yayıncı ve Sahibi/Publisher and Owner**

Türk Felsefe Derneği Adına/On behalf of Association for Turkish Philosophy  
Prof. Dr. Murtaza Korlaelçi

**Yazı İşleri Müdürü/Managing Editor**

Merve Nur Sezer (Ankara Üniversitesi, Türkiye)

**Editör/Editor**

Prof. Dr. Hasan Yücel Başdemir (Ankara Üniversitesi)

**Yazı Kurulu/Editorial Board**

- Prof. Dr. Celal Türer (Ankara Üniversitesi, Türkiye)  
Prof. Dr. Christof Rapp (Ludwig Maximilians Universität, Germany)  
Prof. Dr. Dean Zimmerman (Rutgers University, USA)  
Prof. Dr. Duncan Pritchard (University of California Irvine, USA)  
Prof. Dr. Jason Brennan (Georgetown University, USA)  
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Eros Carvalho (Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil)  
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Zalan Gyenis (Jagiellonian University, Poland)  
Doç. Dr. Fatih Özkan (Ankara Hacı Bayram Veli Üniversitesi, Türkiye)  
Doç. Dr. Muhammet Enes Kala (Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt Üniversitesi, Türkiye)  
Dr. Öğr. Üyesi Aynur Tunç (Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt Üniversitesi, Türkiye)  
Dr. Gary N. Kemp (University of Glasgow, United Kingdom)

**Alan Editörleri/Section Editors**

- Prof. Dr. Ahmet Emre Dağtaşoğlu (Trakya Üniversitesi, Türkiye)  
Doç. Dr. Fatih Özkan (Ankara Hacı Bayram Veli Üniversitesi, Türkiye)  
Doç. Dr. Mehmet Ata Az (Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt Üniversitesi, Türkiye)  
Doç. Dr. Mehtap Doğan (Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt Üniversitesi, Türkiye)  
Doç. Dr. Nihat Durmaz (Selçuk Üniversitesi, Türkiye)  
Doç. Dr. Sebile Başok Dış (Necmettin Erbakan Üniversitesi, Türkiye)  
Doç. Dr. Yurdağül Kılıç (Selçuk Üniversitesi, Türkiye)  
Dr. Öğr. Üyesi Ferhat Taşkın (Mardin Artuklu Üniversitesi, Türkiye)  
Dr. Öğr. Üyesi Kenan Tekin (Boğaziçi Üniversitesi, Türkiye)  
Dr. Öğr. Üyesi Muhammet Çelik (Ankara Sosyal Bilimler Üniversitesi, Türkiye)  
Dr. Öğr. Üyesi Nazan Yeşilkaya (Şırnak Üniversitesi, Türkiye)  
Dr. Öğr. Üyesi Övge Öztürk (Yozgat Bozok Üniversitesi, Türkiye)

**Yazım Editörleri/Spelling Editors**

Ahmet Hamdi İşcan (Ankara Üniversitesi, Türkiye)  
Zehra Eroğlu (Ankara Üniversitesi, Türkiye)

**Dil Editörleri/ Language Editors**

Abdussamet Şimşek (Ankara Sosyal Bilimler Üniversitesi, Türkiye)  
Hatice İpek Keskin (Ankara Sosyal Bilimler Üniversitesi, Türkiye)

**Dizinlenme Bilgileri/Indexed by**

ULAKBİM TR Dizin (2003'dan itibaren/since 2003)  
Philosopher's Index (2004'dan itibaren/since 2004)  
ERIH PLUS (2023'den itibaren/since 2023)  
PhilPapers (2023'dan itibaren/since 2023)

*Felsefe Dünyası* uluslararası, süreli ve hakemli bir dergidir; yılda iki sayı yayımlanır; elektronik versiyonu, açık erişimlidir.  
Derginin online versiyonu ücretsizdir.

*The Philosophy World* is an international, periodical biannually, and peer-reviewed journal; its digital version is open access.  
The online version of the journal is free of charge.

**Fiyat/Price:** 300 TL | **Basım Tarihi/Publication Date:** Aralık/December 2024, 300 Adet/Copies

**Adres/Address**

Necatibey Caddesi No: 8/122 Çankaya/ANKARA  
Tel: 0 (312) 231 54 40  
<https://dergipark.org.tr/pub/felsefedunyasi>

**Hesap No / Account No:** Vakıf Bank Kızılay Şubesi  
**IBAN:** TR82 0001 5001 5800 7288 3364 51

**Tasarım / Design:** Turku Ajans

**Baskı / Printed:** Uzun Dijital  
Zübeyde Hanım, İstanbul Çarşısı, İstanbul Cd. No:48 D:48,  
06070 Altındağ/Ankara  
**Tel:** (0312) 341 36 67 | **Sertifika No:** 47865

# From Past To Present: Philosophical Reflections On AI With a SWOT Approach

Şeyma Bozkurt Uzan

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3527-3730> | [seymauzan@beykent.edu.tr](mailto:seymauzan@beykent.edu.tr)

Beykent University, Faculty of Engineering Architecture, Istanbul, Türkiye

<https://ror.org/03dcvf827>

## Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI) has become a transformative force in the technological world, reshaping various aspects of human life and raising profound philosophical questions. This article explores the intersection of AI and philosophy by conducting a comprehensive literature review and SWOT analysis of 20 significant articles. The study aims to understand how AI influences philosophical debates on ethics, consciousness, intelligence, and human nature. The findings reveal key strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats associated with the current literature. Notable strengths include the foundational impact of highly cited works and the diverse range of topics covered. However, some articles face limitations due to outdated theories or limited practical integration. The study underscores the need for ethical frameworks in AI development and highlights areas for future research, particularly in addressing social justice and equity. By synthesizing existing knowledge, this paper offers new insights into the ethical, social, and philosophical dimensions of AI, providing a solid foundation for future research and policy development.

**Keywords:** Artificial intelligence, Philosophy, Ethics, SWOT analysis, Literature Review.

<b>Citation</b> Bozkurt Uzan, Şeyma (2024). From past to present: Philosophical reflections on ai with a SWOT approach. <i>Felsefe Dünyası</i> , 80, 138-153. <a href="https://doi.org/10.58634/felsefedunyasi.1562485">https://doi.org/10.58634/felsefedunyasi.1562485</a>	
Date of Submission	06.10.2024
Date of Acceptance	08.12.2024
Date of Publication	15.12.2024
Peer-Review	Double anonymized - Two External
Ethical Statement	It is declared that scientific and ethical principles have been followed while carrying out and writing this study and that all the sources used have been properly cited.
Plagiarism Checks	Yes - intihal.net
Conflicts of Interest	The author(s) has no conflict of interest to declare.
Complaints	<a href="mailto:turkfelsefeder@gmail.com">turkfelsefeder@gmail.com</a>
Grant Support	The author(s) acknowledge that they received no external funding in support of this research.
Copyright & License	Authors publishing with the journal retain the copyright to their work licensed under the <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/">CC BY-NC 4.0</a> .

# Geçmişten Günümüze: Yapay Zekâ Üzerine Felsefi Düşünceler ve SWOT Yaklaşımı

Şeyma Bozkurt Uzan

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3527-3730> | [seymauzan@beykent.edu.tr](mailto:seymauzan@beykent.edu.tr)

İstanbul Beykent Üniversitesi Mühendislik – Mimarlık Fakültesi, İstanbul, Türkiye

<https://ror.org/03dcvf827>

## Öz

Yapay zeka (YZ), teknolojik dünyada dönüştürücü bir güç haline gelmiş, insan hayatının çeşitli yönlerini yeniden şekillendirmiş ve derin felsefi sorular ortaya çıkarmıştır. Bu makale, kapsamlı bir literatür taraması ve 20 önemli makalenin SWOT analizi yoluyla YZ ve felsefe arasındaki kesişimi araştırmaktadır. Çalışmanın amacı, YZ'nin etik, bilinç, zeka ve insan doğası hakkındaki felsefi tartışmaları nasıl etkilediğini anlamaktır. Bulgular, mevcut literatürle ilişkili temel güçlü yönleri, zayıf yönleri, fırsatları ve tehditleri ortaya koymaktadır. Önemli güçlü yönler arasında, yüksek atıf alan çalışmaların temel etkisi ve ele alınan konuların çeşitliliği yer almaktadır. Ancak bazı makaleler, güncelliğini yitirmiş teoriler veya sınırlı pratik entegrasyon nedeniyle sınırlamalarla karşı karşıyadır. Çalışma, YZ geliştirmede etik çerçevelerin gerekliliğini vurgulamakta ve özellikle sosyal adalet ve eşitlik konularında gelecekteki araştırma alanlarını öne çıkarmaktadır. Bu makale, mevcut bilgileri sentezleyerek YZ'nin etik, sosyal ve felsefi boyutlarına dair yeni içgörüler sunmakta ve gelecekteki araştırma ve politika geliştirmeleri için sağlam bir temel sağlamaktadır.

**Anahtar Kelimeler:** Yapay zeka, Felsefe, Etik, SWOT analizi, Literatür Taraması

<b>Atıf Bilgisi</b>	Bozkurt Uzan, Şeyma (2024). From past to present: Philosophical reflections on ai with a SWOT approach. <i>Felsefe Dünyası</i> , 80, 138-153. <a href="https://doi.org/10.58634/felsefedunyasi.1562485">https://doi.org/10.58634/felsefedunyasi.1562485</a>
<b>Geliş Tarihi</b>	06.10.2024
<b>Kabul Tarihi</b>	08.12.2024
<b>Yayın Tarihi</b>	15.12.2024
<b>Değerlendirme</b>	İki Dış Hakem / Çift Taraflı Körleme
<b>Etik Beyan</b>	Bu çalışmanın hazırlanma sürecinde bilimsel ve etik ilkelere uyulduğu ve yararlanılan tüm çalışmaların kaynakçada belirtildiği beyan olunur.
<b>Benzerlik Taraması</b>	Yapıldı - intihal.net
<b>Çıkar Çatışması</b>	Çıkar çatışması beyan edilmemiştir.
<b>Etik Bildirim</b>	<a href="mailto:turkfelsefeder@gmail.com">turkfelsefeder@gmail.com</a>
<b>Finansman</b>	Bu araştırmayı desteklemek için dış fon kullanılmamıştır.
<b>Telif Hakkı &amp; Lisans</b>	Yazarlar dergide yayınlanan çalışmalarının telif hakkına sahiptirler ve çalışmalarını <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/">CC BY-NC 4.0</a> lisansı altında yayımlanmaktadır.

## Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) has gained significant prominence in the technological world in recent years, revolutionizing many aspects of our lives. Philosophy, on the other hand, is a discipline that has existed for thousands of years, addressing fundamental questions about human thought, knowledge, reality, and existence. The relationship between AI and philosophy highlights that technology is not limited to its technical and practical dimensions but also brings forth profound philosophical questions such as ethics, consciousness, intelligence, free will, and human nature. In this context, the development of AI technologies is closely intertwined with philosophical reflections, influencing both the direction of technology and the scope of philosophical debates.

Understanding the relationship between AI and philosophy helps us better grasp the societal impacts of technology, its ethical and moral responsibilities, and the nature of human intelligence and consciousness. The aim of this article is to explore the connections between AI and philosophical thoughts and to understand how these two fields influence each other. To achieve this, a comprehensive literature review was conducted, examining 20 significant articles on AI and philosophy, followed by a SWOT analysis of these articles. These analyses aim to provide a deeper understanding of the philosophical dimensions of AI and to establish a solid foundation for future research.

The article consists of several main sections. First, a thorough literature review is conducted, offering an extensive examination of the existing literature on AI and philosophical thoughts. This is followed by a detailed SWOT analysis of the selected articles. The findings section summarizes the results of the SWOT analyses and discusses these results. In the discussion section, the obtained findings are compared with other studies in the literature, and the philosophical and practical implications of these findings are examined. Finally, the article concludes with a summary of the main findings and recommendations for future research. Additionally, appendices and references sections provide supplementary information supporting the analyses and list the sources used.

## 1. Literature Review

### *1.1. Overview Of Existing Literature On Artificial Intelligence And Philosophical Thoughts*

The intersection of artificial intelligence (AI) and philosophy has been a fertile ground for academic inquiry, with numerous scholars exploring various

dimensions of this relationship. The existing literature encompasses a wide range of topics, including the ethical implications of AI, the nature of machine intelligence, and the philosophical questions raised by AI's capabilities. Many foundational works have laid the groundwork for understanding how AI challenges traditional philosophical notions, such as human uniqueness, consciousness, and moral agency. Scholars have debated whether AI can possess genuine intelligence or consciousness and how these characteristics, if achieved, would alter our understanding of personhood and ethical responsibility (Dietrich, 2002).

The literature also delves into the implications of AI for broader philosophical questions about knowledge, reality, and existence. For instance, the development of AI systems that can simulate human thought processes raises questions about the nature of cognition and the limits of human understanding. Additionally, the potential for AI to surpass human intelligence in certain domains prompts inquiries into the future of human-AI coexistence and the potential societal transformations that could result. This body of work provides a comprehensive overview of the key debates and theoretical frameworks that inform the study of AI and philosophy (Bloomfield, 2018).

## **1.2. Key Theories And Concepts**

Central to the philosophical exploration of AI are several key theories and concepts that frame the discourse. One significant concept is the notion of "machine ethics," which concerns the moral behavior of AI systems. Scholars have proposed various frameworks for programming ethical decision-making into AI, drawing on theories from deontology, utilitarianism, and virtue ethics. These frameworks aim to address how AI should navigate complex moral dilemmas, such as prioritizing human lives in autonomous vehicle scenarios or ensuring fairness in algorithmic decision-making processes (Winfield et al., 2019).

Another crucial concept is the "Turing Test," proposed by Alan Turing in 1950, as a criterion for determining whether a machine can exhibit human-like intelligence. This test has spurred extensive debate about the nature of intelligence and the extent to which machines can genuinely replicate human cognitive abilities. Philosophers have also engaged with the concept of "artificial general intelligence" (AGI), which refers to AI systems that possess the ability to understand, learn, and apply knowledge across a wide range of tasks at a level comparable to human intelligence. The pursuit of AGI raises profound questions about the potential consequences of creating machines that can outperform humans intellectually and the ethical implications of such advancements (Turing, 1950).

### **1.3. Previous Research And Foundational Studies**

Several foundational studies have significantly contributed to the understanding of AI and its philosophical implications. Early works, such as John Searle's "Chinese Room" argument, challenged the notion that computational processes alone could lead to genuine understanding or consciousness. Searle argued that while a machine might simulate human language comprehension, it would not possess true understanding, thereby distinguishing between syntactic processing and semantic understanding. This argument has been a cornerstone in debates about the limitations of AI and the nature of consciousness (Searle, 1982).

Research by scholars like Hubert Dreyfus has also been influential, particularly his critique of AI's ability to replicate human cognitive processes. Dreyfus emphasized the importance of embodied cognition and the limitations of symbolic AI, arguing that human intelligence is deeply rooted in our physical interactions with the world. This perspective has influenced subsequent research on embodied AI and the development of robotics that mimic human sensorimotor functions. These foundational studies have shaped the trajectory of AI research and continue to inform contemporary debates about the capabilities and limitations of AI (Dreyfus, 1992).

### **1.4. Gaps In The Literature**

Despite the extensive body of work on AI and philosophy, several gaps remain in the literature. One notable gap is the lack of comprehensive frameworks for integrating ethical considerations into the design and deployment of AI systems. While many scholars have proposed ethical guidelines and principles, there is a need for more practical methodologies that can be implemented by AI developers and policymakers. Additionally, the rapid pace of AI advancement often outstrips the development of corresponding ethical and regulatory frameworks, leading to a lag in addressing emerging ethical challenges (Quttainah et al., 2024).

Another gap in the literature pertains to the philosophical implications of AI's impact on society, particularly in terms of social justice and equity. While there has been considerable discussion about the ethical use of AI, less attention has been paid to how AI might exacerbate existing social inequalities or create new forms of discrimination. Research is needed to explore how AI technologies can be designed and implemented in ways that promote social justice and mitigate adverse impacts on marginalized communities. Addressing these gaps is crucial for ensuring that AI development aligns with ethical and societal values (Marvin et al., 2023).

### **1.5. How This Paper Fills The Gaps**

This paper aims to address the identified gaps in the literature by providing a comprehensive SWOT analysis of significant articles on AI and philosophy. Through this analysis, the paper seeks to synthesize existing knowledge and offer new insights into the ethical, social, and philosophical dimensions of AI. By examining the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats associated with these articles, the paper aims to highlight areas where further research is needed and propose practical recommendations for integrating ethical considerations into AI development.

## **2. Methodology**

### **2.1. Research Method And Tools Used**

This research conducted a comprehensive literature review to understand the relationship between artificial intelligence (AI) and philosophy and to evaluate the existing literature. The literature review aimed to identify and examine the most recent and significant studies on the topic. During the research process, academic databases (e.g., Google Scholar, JSTOR, IEEE Xplore) and library resources were utilized to gather articles related to AI and philosophy. The selected articles were diversified to cover both theoretical and practical approaches to the subject.

The tools used in the research included academic databases and reference management software (e.g., EndNote, Mendeley) for the literature review. These tools were used to organize and manage the collected articles. Additionally, software like Microsoft Excel was used to conduct SWOT analyses and visualize the results. These tools enabled a systematic and organized approach to the research process.

### **2.2. How The SWOT Analysis Was Conducted**

SWOT analysis was used to evaluate the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats of the selected articles. This analytical method helped to understand the overall contributions and limitations of each article. The analysis process began with a careful reading of each article and evaluating it under the four SWOT categories.

The SWOT analysis for each article considered the theoretical frameworks, methodologies, findings, and conclusions of the articles. Strengths were defined as the scientific contributions and innovative approaches of the articles. Weaknesses included methodological limitations or missing data. Opportunities covered new research areas or application opportunities sug-

gested by the articles. Threats included potential risks regarding the generalizability or applicability of the findings. This comprehensive evaluation provided a detailed understanding of each article's contributions to the field.

### **2.3. Article Selection Criteria**

In the article selection process, specific criteria were used to evaluate and select articles from the literature. First, articles with the highest citations and those prominently featured in the field were prioritized. Additionally, the accessibility of the articles, with a preference for those available as open access, was an important criterion.

The selection process also considered the theoretical and methodological diversity of the articles. Articles covering different philosophical approaches and AI applications were chosen. This diversity ensured a comprehensive examination of the subject from various perspectives. The articles' citation counts and academic impact were also considered during the evaluation process. These criteria ensured that the selected articles were valuable both scientifically and practically.

### **2.4. Article Selection Process**

The article selection process was conducted by performing a literature review and evaluating articles based on the established criteria. In the initial stage, hundreds of articles related to AI and philosophy were collected. These articles were preliminarily screened based on their titles, abstracts, and keywords. The articles that passed the preliminary screening were then read in full for a more detailed examination.

During the detailed examination process, each article was evaluated according to the established selection criteria. The articles that met the criteria were selected for SWOT analysis. In this process, the articles' theoretical contributions, methodological approaches, and findings were carefully examined. In the final stage, 20 articles were selected and prepared for SWOT analysis. These articles provided a comprehensive data set to conduct an in-depth analysis of the relationship between AI and philosophy.

### **2.5. Data Analysis And Interpretation**

After conducting the SWOT analyses, the obtained data was systematically analyzed. The analysis process began with categorizing each article according to the SWOT categories and conducting thematic analyses. These thematic analyses helped to identify the common strengths, weaknesses,



opportunities, and threats among the articles. Additionally, an in-depth interpretation of the findings within each SWOT category was performed.

During the data analysis, similarities and differences between the articles were also considered. These comparisons revealed general trends and unique contributions to the field of AI and philosophy. The obtained findings enabled the identification of existing gaps in the literature and potential future research areas. The interpretation process included comparing the analysis results with other studies in the literature and discussing the philosophical and practical implications of these findings. This comprehensive analysis and interpretation process supported the scientific contributions and recommendations of the paper.

### 3. Findings

#### 3.1. Detailed Results Of Each SWOT Analysis

The following table provides a detailed SWOT analysis for 20 significant articles on artificial intelligence and philosophy. Each article is evaluated based on its strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats.

**Table 1.** Significant articles on artificial intelligence and philosophy

	References	Year	Title	Strengths	Weaknesses	Opportunities	Threats
1	Alan Turing	1950	Computing Machinery and Intelligence	Introduced the Turing Test, highly cited (24,218 citations)	Historical context, may lack direct modern application	Contributions to AI philosophy and ethics	Modern AI developments may challenge its limitations
2	John Lucas	1961	Minds, Machines, and Gödel	Discusses machine limitations based on Gödel's incompleteness theorem, significant in philosophical debates	Mathematically complex for some readers	Reference for comparing human and machine intelligence	Technological advancements may question its arguments
3	David Armstrong	1970	The Nature of Mind	Explores the philosophical relationship between mind and machine (861 citations)	Theories may be outdated	Basis for AI and neuroscience research	New findings may overshadow its relevance
4	Thomas Nagel	1980	What is It Like to Be a Bat?	Deep analysis of consciousness and subjective experience (13,951 citations)	Philosophical language can be complex	Reference in consciousness studies and AI ethics	Scientific advancements may invalidate some arguments
5	John Searle	1980	Minds, Brains, and Programs	Strong argument on symbolic AI limitations (1,585 citations)	Focuses on symbolic AI, less applicable to modern techniques	Valuable in philosophical debates and AI education	Modern AI techniques may render some points obsolete
6	John Searle	1982	The Chinese Room Argument	Highlights differences between human minds and AI (114 citations)	Focused on a single philosophical argument	Widely discussed in AI philosophy	Advancing technologies may challenge its premises
7	Hubert Dreyfus	1992	What Computers Still Can't Do	Critical analysis of AI's inability to replicate human understanding (10,900 citations)	Presents a negative perspective on AI's potential	Encourages critical thinking in AI research	Modern AI advancements may contradict its arguments
8	Ray Kurzweil	2005	The Singularity is Near	Comprehensive analysis of technological singularity (10,355 citations)	Some predictions may not have come true	Influences technology policy and vision	Technological progress may challenge some predictions

## From Past To Present: Philosophical Reflections On AI With a SWOT Approach

	References	Year	Title	Strengths	Weaknesses	Opportunities	Threats
9	Marvin Minsky	2006	The Emotion Machine	Examines machine emulation of human emotions and common sense (1,642 citations)	Theoretical approach may be limited in application	Foundational in AI and robotics research	New discoveries may make some theories obsolete
10	Drew McDermott	2007	Artificial Intelligence and Consciousness	Detailed integration of consciousness in AI (104 citations)	Low citation count, limited acceptance	Theoretical basis for consciousness research	Scientific progress may challenge its views
11	Wallach and Colin Allen	2008	Moral Machines	In-depth discussion on teaching robots moral values (1,872 citations)	Limited practical integration suggestions	Basis for ethical AI development	New ethical theories may overshadow its approaches
12	Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig	2016	Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach	Comprehensive AI guide, highly cited (62,523 citations)	Balancing theoretical and practical aspects can be challenging	Widely used in education and research	Rapid technological advancements may outdate some content
13	Brent Daniel Mittelstadt, Patrick Allo, Mariarosaria Taddeo, Sandra Wachter, and Luciano Floridi	2016	The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence: Mapping the Debate	Extensive analysis of AI ethics (2,286 citations)	Theoretical approaches may have limited practicality	Fundamental resource for ethical AI research	New ethical frameworks may render some arguments less relevant
14	Klaus Schwab	2017	The Fourth Industrial Revolution	Detailed analysis of technology's societal impacts (20,741 citations)	Broad perspective may overlook specific scenarios	Influences policy and technological discourse	Rapid changes in technology and society may challenge some predictions
15	José Hernández-Orallo	2017	The Measure of All Minds	Examines evaluation methods for natural and artificial intelligence (187 citations)	Low citation count, limited recognition	Basis for AI evaluation research	New evaluation methods may surpass its relevance
16	Benjamin Kuipers	2018	How Can We Trust a Robot?	Discusses trust and ethics in AI and robotics (72 citations)	Low citation count, may lack broad acceptance	Foundation for ethical decision-making in AI	Advances in AI ethics may challenge its arguments
17	Nick Bostrom and Eliezer Yudkowsky	2018	The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence	Explores fundamental AI ethics questions (1,585 citations)	Theoretical focus may limit practical applications	Key resource for AI ethical design	Emerging ethical issues may overshadow some arguments
18	Gary Smith	2018	The AI Delusion	Critical perspective on AI's limitations (82 citations)	Presents a pessimistic view on AI capabilities	Encourages cautious AI development	Technological advancements may challenge its criticisms
19	Dylan Hadfield-Menell and Gillian K. Hadfield	2019	The AI Alignment Problem	Discusses technical problems in AI alignment (62 citations)	Low citation count, limited influence	Basis for AI alignment research	New solutions may render some problems less relevant
20	Vincent C. Müller	2020	Ethics of Artificial Intelligence and Robotics	Discusses AI and robotics ethics (501 citations)	Recent publication, less established	Foundation for ethical AI and robotics development	Rapid technological and ethical developments may challenge its relevance

The SWOT analyses of the 20 articles on AI and philosophy reveal several key themes and insights, providing a comprehensive understanding of the current state of research and highlighting areas for future exploration.

### • Strengths

Many of the analyzed articles are highly cited and influential, indicating their significant impact on the field of AI and philosophy. Articles such as "Com-

puting Machinery and Intelligence” by Alan Turing (1950) and “The Chinese Room Argument” by John Searle (1982) have become foundational texts, spurring extensive debate and further research. These works have introduced essential theories and arguments that continue to shape the discourse around AI.

The breadth of topics covered by these articles is another notable strength. They address a wide range of issues, including ethical considerations, the nature of consciousness, the limitations of AI, and the societal impacts of technology. For instance, Ray Kurzweil’s “The Singularity is Near” (2005) provides a comprehensive analysis of technological singularity, while Marvin Minsky’s “The Emotion Machine” (2006) examines the emulation of human emotions by machines. This diversity of topics ensures a holistic understanding of the multifaceted relationship between AI and philosophy.

Additionally, the articles offer deep theoretical insights. Works like Thomas Nagel’s “What Is It Like to Be a Bat?” (1980) delve into consciousness and subjective experience, providing a philosophical framework that challenges the understanding of AI’s potential to replicate human thought processes. Similarly, Hubert Dreyfus’ “What Computers Still Can’t Do” (1992) critically analyzes AI’s inability to replicate human understanding, fostering critical thinking within AI research.

#### • **Weaknesses**

Despite their strengths, some articles, particularly older ones, may suffer from outdated theories or historical context that limits their direct application to modern AI developments. For example, Turing’s 1950 article, while groundbreaking, lacks direct relevance to contemporary AI applications due to the significant technological advancements since its publication. Similarly, older works like “Minds, Machines, and Gödel” (1961) by John Lucas are mathematically complex, making them less accessible to a broader audience.

Moreover, several articles exhibit limited practical integration suggestions, indicating a gap between theoretical discussions and real-world applications. For instance, “Moral Machines” (2008) by Wendell Wallach and Colin Allen provides an in-depth discussion on teaching robots moral values but offers limited practical methodologies for implementation. This gap highlights the need for bridging theoretical insights with actionable frameworks that can be utilized by AI developers and policymakers.

#### • **Opportunities**

The analyzed articles provide valuable references for further research in AI and philosophy, serving as foundational texts for new studies. For in-

stance, “Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach” (2016) by Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig is widely used in education and research, offering a comprehensive guide to AI that can inform future investigations. These works encourage critical thinking and ethical considerations in AI research and development, fostering a reflective approach to technological innovation.

The diverse perspectives offered by these articles can guide policy-making and educational initiatives in AI and ethics. “The Fourth Industrial Revolution” (2017) by Klaus Schwab, for example, influences policy and technological discourse by examining the societal impacts of rapid technological advancements. This broad perspective can help policymakers craft regulations that balance innovation with ethical considerations, ensuring that AI technologies benefit society as a whole.

Additionally, the articles highlight potential new areas for research. For instance, “The AI Alignment Problem” (2019) by Brian Christian discusses technical problems in AI alignment, suggesting avenues for further investigation into ensuring that AI systems align with human values and goals. These opportunities for future research are crucial for advancing the field and addressing emerging challenges in AI development.

- **Threats**

Rapid technological advancements pose a significant threat to the relevance of some arguments and theories presented in the articles. For instance, predictions made in Kurzweil’s “The Singularity is Near” may not have come true, and technological progress could challenge some of its assertions. Similarly, advancing AI techniques may render points in “Minds, Brains, and Programs” (1980) by John Searle obsolete, as modern AI continues to evolve beyond the symbolic AI limitations discussed in the article.

New ethical frameworks and scientific discoveries could overshadow the approaches suggested by older works. For example, the ethical discussions in “The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence” (2018) by Nick Bostrom and Eliezer Yudkowsky may need to be re-evaluated in light of recent advancements in AI ethics. The dynamic nature of AI development necessitates continuous re-evaluation of philosophical and ethical considerations to keep them relevant. Articles like “Ethics of Artificial Intelligence and Robotics” (2020) by Vincent C. Müller, though recent, must adapt to rapid technological and ethical developments to maintain their significance.

### **3.2. Comparative Analysis And Discussions**

Comparing the strengths and weaknesses of these articles provides a nuanced understanding of the current state of AI and philosophy. For example, while Turing's and Searle's works have foundational importance, they contrast sharply with contemporary articles that address the immediate practical and ethical challenges posed by AI. The historical context of early works offers essential theoretical underpinnings, whereas modern articles like "Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach" and "The Fourth Industrial Revolution" provide actionable insights that are directly applicable to current technological landscapes.

The diversity in the articles' publication years also highlights the evolution of thought in AI and philosophy. Early works laid the groundwork for fundamental questions, while recent articles address the practical implications and ethical challenges brought about by advancements in AI. This progression underscores the importance of ongoing research and dialogue to keep pace with the rapid development of AI technologies.

### **3.3. Current Relevance And Predictions**

In the current AI landscape, the integration of ethical considerations into AI development is increasingly crucial. The works analyzed in this study underscore the need for ethical frameworks that can guide the responsible development and deployment of AI technologies. As AI continues to advance, addressing ethical dilemmas such as bias in algorithms, transparency in AI decision-making, and the societal impacts of automation will be paramount. Furthermore, it's essential to make sure AI systems are held accountable, to prevent their misuse in surveillance or security, and to address the gap in access to AI technologies so that everyone can benefit equally. We also need to think about how AI might shape the future of work, protect people's privacy, and avoid worsening existing social inequalities. By bringing these issues into the conversation, we can create a more meaningful and inclusive discussion about AI's role in our society and ensure it serves everyone fairly.

Looking ahead, it is predicted that interdisciplinary research combining AI, philosophy, and ethics will become more prevalent. Nick Bostrom , Wendell Wallach , Eliezer Yudkowsky, and Colin Allen are at the forefront of exploring how AI and ethics intersect. Their insights are helping shape our understanding of what AI could mean for the future and are likely to influence the research and decisions that follow. As we continue to explore AI's potential to replicate human consciousness, it's more important than ever to

ask tough questions about the ethical challenges these advancements bring. These are not just theoretical concerns—they affect the very core of how we navigate technology’s role in our lives and society.

By analyzing these strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats, this paper aims to highlight areas for future research and provide practical recommendations for integrating ethical considerations into AI development. This approach ensures that AI technologies are developed in a manner that aligns with societal values and ethical principles. Ensuring that AI development remains ethical and aligned with human values will require continuous dialogue, adaptive frameworks, and interdisciplinary collaboration.

## **Discussion and Conclusion**

The SWOT analyses of the selected articles provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of research on AI and philosophy, revealing both consistencies and unique contributions. Foundational works like Turing’s “Computing Machinery and Intelligence” and Searle’s “The Chinese Room Argument” continue to be highly influential, reflected in their extensive discussions in contemporary studies. These works laid the groundwork for ongoing debates about the nature of machine intelligence and AI’s potential to replicate human cognitive processes. Recent articles, such as Bostrom and Yudkowsky’s “The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence” (2018), emphasize the importance of ethical considerations in AI development. This aligns with broader trends in the literature, highlighting the growing need for responsible AI governance as these technologies become more integrated into society.

The intersection of AI and philosophy brings several key debates to the forefront, particularly concerning consciousness, ethics, and the limitations of AI. Philosophical explorations, such as Nagel’s “What Is It Like to Be a Bat?” and Dreyfus’ “What Computers Still Can’t Do,” challenge the assumption that AI can fully replicate human cognitive abilities, suggesting that aspects like subjective consciousness and embodied cognition may be beyond AI’s reach. Ethical considerations are another crucial area, explored in works like “Moral Machines” and “The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence.” These articles discuss the moral responsibilities of AI systems and the ethical dilemmas posed by their deployment, emphasizing the need for ethical AI design to align with societal values and principles.

The SWOT analyses highlight the enduring relevance of foundational theories, such as the Turing Test and the Chinese Room Argument, in shaping contemporary debates. These works inform discussions about intelli-

gence, consciousness, and ethical responsibilities. Practically, the analyses underscore the importance of integrating ethical considerations into AI development. The identified opportunities, such as further research potential and the influence of AI ethics on policy-making, indicate that ethical AI design is a practical necessity. Addressing threats like rapid technological advancements and evolving ethical frameworks requires ongoing dialogue and adaptive strategies.

The SWOT analyses of the selected articles provide a nuanced understanding of the field's current state. Foundational works remain influential, shaping contemporary debates and research. The articles cover a wide range of topics, including ethics, consciousness, AI limitations, and societal impacts, demonstrating the interdisciplinary nature of AI and philosophy.

This paper synthesizes existing knowledge and offers new insights into the ethical, social, and philosophical dimensions of AI through a comprehensive SWOT analysis of influential articles. It highlights the importance of foundational theories and emphasizes the need for practical ethical frameworks in AI development. The recommendations for future research and practice provide a roadmap for addressing the complex challenges posed by AI technologies, ensuring their development aligns with societal values and ethical principles. This analysis adds to the conversation about AI and philosophy, providing helpful insights and a strong starting point for future research and policy discussions.

## References | Kaynakça

- Armstrong, D. M. (1970). The nature of mind. İçinde C. V. Borst (Ed.), *The Mind/Brain Identity Theory* (ss. 56-66). Macmillan.
- Bloomfield, B. P. (Ed.). (2018). *The question of artificial intelligence: Philosophical and sociological perspectives*. Routledge.
- Bostrom, N., & Yudkowsky, E. (2018). The ethics of artificial intelligence. İçinde *Artificial intelligence safety and security* (ss. 57-69). Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Dietrich, E. (2002). Philosophy of artificial intelligence. *The Encyclopedia of Cognitive Science*, 203-208.
- Dreyfus, H. L. (1992). *What computers still can't do: A critique of artificial reason*. MIT Press.
- Hernández-Orallo, J. (2017). *The measure of all minds: Evaluating natural and artificial intelligence*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kuipers, B. (2018). How can we trust a robot? *Communications of the ACM*, 61(3), 86-95.
- Kurzweil, R. (2005). The singularity is near. İçinde *Ethics and emerging technologies* (ss. 393-406). Palgrave Macmillan UK.
- Lucas, J. R. (1961). Minds, machines and Gödel. *Philosophy*, 36(137), 112-127.
- Marvin, G., Tamale, M., Kanagwa, B., & Jjingo, D. (2023). Philosophical review of artificial intelligence for Society 5.0. İçinde *International Conference on Paradigms of Communication, Computing and Data Analytics* (ss. 1-15). Springer Nature Singapore.
- McDermott, D. (2007). Artificial intelligence and consciousness. İçinde *The Cambridge handbook of consciousness* (ss. 117-150). Cambridge University Press.
- Minsky, M. (2007). *The emotion machine: Commonsense thinking, artificial intelligence, and the future of the human mind*. Simon and Schuster.
- Mittelstadt, B. D., Allo, P., Taddeo, M., Wachter, S., & Floridi, L. (2016). The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate. *Big Data & Society*, 3(2), 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716679679>
- Müller, V. C. (2020). Ethics of artificial intelligence and robotics. *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*.
- Nagel, T. (1980). What is it like to be a bat? İçinde *The language and thought series* (ss. 159-168). Harvard University Press.
- Quttainah, M., Mishra, V., Madakam, S., Lurie, Y., & Mark, S. (2024). Cost, usability, credibility, fairness, accountability, transparency, and explainability framework for safe and effective large language models in medical education: Narrative review and qualitative study. *JMIR AI*, 3(1), e51834.



- Russell, S. J., & Norvig, P. (2016). *Artificial intelligence: A modern approach*. Pearson.
- Schwab, K. (2017). *The fourth industrial revolution*. Crown Currency.
- Searle, J. R. (1982). The Chinese room revisited. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 5(2), 345-348. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X00012425>
- Searle, J. R. (1980). Minds, brains, and programs. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 3(3), 417-424.
- Smith, G. (2018). *The AI delusion*. Oxford University Press.
- Turing, A. M. (1950). Computing machinery and intelligence. *Mind*, 59, 433-460.
- Wallach, W., & Allen, C. (2008). *Moral machines: Teaching robots right from wrong*. Oxford University Press.
- Winfield, A. F., Michael, K., Pitt, J., & Evers, V. (2019). Machine ethics: The design and governance of ethical AI and autonomous systems [scanning the issue]. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 107(3), 509-517.
- Yudkowsky, E. (2016). The AI alignment problem: Why it is hard, and where to start. *Symbolic Systems Distinguished Speaker*, 4, 1.