

# THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MENSTRUAL POVERTY AND DEPRESSIVE SYMPTOMS IN WOMEN AGED 15-49 IN BALÇOVA DISTRICT OF IZMIR

# Tuba Polat<sup>1</sup>, Zeynep Sedef Varol<sup>2</sup>, Hatice Şimşek<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Balcova Municipality, Izmir, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> İzmir Democracy University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Public Health, Izmir, Turkey

<sup>3</sup> Dokuz Eylül University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Public Health, Izmir, Turkey

ORCID: T.P..0000-0003-2140-8411; Z.S.V. 0000-0002-6724-1488; H.S. 0000-0001-7209-485X

Corresponding author: Tuba Polat, E-mail: ttuba86@hotmail.com

Received: 08.10.2024; Accepted: 13.03.2025; Available Online Date: 31.05.2025 ©Copyright 2021 by Dokuz Eylül University, Institute of Health Sciences - Available online at https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/jbachs

**Cite this article as:** Polat T, Varol ZS, Şimşek H. The Relationship Between Menstrual Poverty and Depressive Symptoms in Women Aged 15-49 in Balçova District of Izmir. J Basic Clin Health Sci 2025; 9: 352-360.

# ABSTRACT

**Purpose:** The aim of this study is to examine the relationship between menstrual poverty and depressive symptoms in women aged 15-49 living in the Fevzi Çakmak and Çetin Emeç neighborhoods of Balçova, İzmir.

**Materials and Methods**: This cross-sectional study was conducted among women aged 15-49 living in Balçova between December 2022 and August 2023. A total of 304 women were recruited using a cluster sampling method. Data were collected through face-to-face interviews. The dependent variable was the presence of depressive symptoms, and the independent variable was menstrual poverty. Additional variables included socio-demographic characteristics, economic status, household features, and health perception. Data analysis was performed using Chi-square tests, t-tests, and logistic regression.

**Results:** According to the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) scale, 41.1% of women reported moderate depressive symptoms, and 20.1% experienced moderately severe depressive symptoms. Over the past year, 70.4% of the participants experienced menstrual poverty. Women who experienced menstrual poverty were 2.1 times more likely to report depressive symptoms compared to those who did not (OR=2.1, p=0.012, 95% CI=1.1-3.8). Age and household income were also found to be significant risk factors for depressive symptoms.

**Conclusion:** The study indicates that menstrual poverty negatively impacts the mental health of women in Balçova. Policymakers are urged to address this issue and develop supportive interventions for women.

Keywords: depressive symptoms, menstrual poverty, poverty, hygiene products

# INTRODUCTION

Despite the advances brought by globalization and technological development, poverty remains a persistent sociological issue. Poverty can be broadly defined as the condition in which an individual is unable to meet their basic needs (1). Worldwide, approximately 1.2 billion people experience multidimensional poverty, with women disproportionately affected compared to men (51.3% versus 49.7%) (2).

The impoverishment of women is further compounded by factors such as gender inequality, violence, disparities in education and employment, household inequalities, and low wages. One significant manifestation of this phenomenon is menstrual poverty, which refers to the lack of access to menstrual hygiene products, clean water, and sanitary facilities (3). Gender-based disparities, discriminatory social norms, cultural taboos, poverty, and limited access to essential services often leave women's menstrual health and hygiene needs unmet. It is estimated that globally, around 500 million women experience menstrual poverty (4). Furthermore, 1.25 billion women and girls lack access to safe, private toilets, with 526 million lacking access to any toilets at all (5).

One of the earliest studies on menstrual poverty was conducted by Crichton and colleagues, examining the hygiene-related challenges and psychosocial impacts experienced by adolescent girls in Kenya during menstruation. The study revealed that many girls face significant difficulties during their menstrual periods due to shame and social stigma (6). In another study conducted among 1,603 young Venezuelan migrant women living on the northwestern border of Brazil, 46.4% reported a lack of access to hygiene kits, 61% stated they could not find clean water to wash their hands, and 75.9% had difficulty accessing safe toilets (7).

In Turkey, two key studies provide substantial data on menstrual poverty. The first is a study published by the Deep Poverty Network during the COVID-19 pandemic, and the second is a 2022 study on menstrual poverty in Turkey conducted by the We Need to Talk Association (8).

Menstrual poverty can lead to elevated levels of stress and anxiety, which in turn may increase the risk of developing depressive symptoms. In a study conducted among young women in Barcelona, Spain, the relationship between menstrual poverty and mental health was explored. The findings revealed that 15.3% of the participants experienced menstrual poverty, and these women were more likely to report poor mental health outcomes (9).

Despite the growing recognition of menstrual poverty as a public health issue, studies investigating its mental health implications remain limited. Therefore, this study aims to address this gap by examining the association between menstrual poverty and depressive symptoms.

The objective of this study is to assess the prevalence of menstrual poverty and its relationship with depressive symptoms among women aged 15-49 living in the Fevzi Çakmak and Çetin Emeç neighborhoods of Balçova, İzmir.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was designed as a cross-sectional investigation, with the target population consisting of women aged 15-49 residing in Balçova. According to data from the Turkish Statistical Institute's (TÜİK) Address-Based Population Registration System, as of December 31, 2021, there were 20,525 women in this age group living in Balçova. The minimum sample size was calculated as 264 women, based on a 50% prevalence, a 6.0% margin of error, and a 95% confidence interval. To account for a design effect of 1.2, the final target sample was adjusted to 317 women.

Balçova's neighborhoods were categorized into two groups according to socioeconomic status. Çetin Emeç neighborhood was selected to represent the lower socioeconomic group, while Fevzi Çakmak neighborhood was chosen to represent the higher socioeconomic group. The number of women aged 15-49 in both neighborhoods was approximately 2,805 and 2,869, respectively. The study aimed to recruit 160 women from each neighborhood. Streets were considered as clusters, and participants were selected by random sampling from households on these streets. A total of 304 women (95.8% of the target sample) were successfully enrolled in the study.

The primary outcome variable was the presence of depressive symptoms, while the primary independent variable was menstrual poverty. Depressive symptoms were assessed using the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9), a validated tool originally developed by Kroenke et al. in 2001 (10), with its Turkish version validated by Uğur Bilge and colleagues (11). The PHQ-9 is scored from 0 to 27, with the following categories: 1-4 (no depressive symptoms), 5-9 (mild), 10-14 (moderate), 15-19 (moderately severe), and 20-27 (severe). Menstrual poverty was assessed by asking participants, "In the past year, have you experienced difficulty in purchasing menstrual products (e.g., sanitary pads, tampons, menstrual underwear, menstrual cups, menstrual discs)?" Those who responded experiencing affirmatively were classified as menstrual poverty (4). Additional independent variables included age, marital status, education level, employment status, spouse's employment and education level, household structure, perceived income-expenditure balance, perceived health status relative to peers, and family and work-related relationships.

| Table   | 1.    | Distribution   | of | Participants' | Socio- |
|---------|-------|----------------|----|---------------|--------|
| demogra | aphic | Characteristic | cs |               |        |

| Characteristic                     | n (%)                                   |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Age group (n=303).                 |                                         |
| 15-24                              | 27 (8.9)                                |
| 25-29                              | 23 (7.6)                                |
| 30-34                              | 42 (13.8)                               |
| 35-39                              | 62 (20.4)                               |
| 40-44                              | 77 (25.3)                               |
| 45-49                              | 72 (23.7)                               |
| Educational level (n=304)          |                                         |
| No diploma                         | 24 (7.9)                                |
| Primary school                     | 57 (18.8)                               |
| Middle school                      | 42 (13.8)                               |
| High school                        | 97 (31.9)                               |
| University                         | 84 (27.6)                               |
| Marital status (n=304)             | • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Married                            | 211 (69.4)                              |
| Unmarried                          | 93 (30.6)                               |
| Spouse's educational level         | •                                       |
| No diploma                         | 12 (5.7)                                |
| Primary school                     | 41 (19.4)                               |
| Middle school                      | 48 (22.7)                               |
| High school                        | 57 (27.0)                               |
| University                         | 53 (25.2)                               |
| Employment status (n=303)          |                                         |
| Unemployed                         | 181 (59.8)                              |
| Insecure job                       | 50 (16.5)                               |
| Secure job                         | 72 (23.7)                               |
| Spouse's employment status         |                                         |
| Unemployed                         | 22 (10.4)                               |
| Insecure job                       | 75 (35.5)                               |
| Secure job                         | 114 (54.1)                              |
| Income-expenditure perception (n=  | 302)                                    |
| Less income than expenditure       | 211 (69.9)                              |
| Equal income and expenditure       | 68 (22.5)                               |
| More income than expenditure       | 23 (7.6)                                |
| Health status compared to peers (n |                                         |
| Better                             | 107 (35.2)                              |
| Same                               | 153 (50.3)                              |
| Worse                              | 44 (14.5)                               |
| Family relationships (n=303)       |                                         |
| Good                               | 222 (73.3)                              |
| Moderate                           | 80 (26.4)                               |
| Poor                               | 1 (0.3)                                 |

Data were collected via face-to-face interviews using a pre-tested structured questionnaire, either at participants' homes or at community centers provided by Balçova Municipality. Data collection occurred between December 2022 and August 2023. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 26.0. Descriptive statistics were reported as percentages, means, and standard deviations. For univariate analyses, Chi-square and t-tests were employed. Multivariate analyses were conducted using Multiple Logistic Regression to explore the relationship between depressive symptoms and other variables. The logistic regression model was constructed using variables that were found to have a significant association with depressive symptoms in the univariate analyses.

Ethical approval for the study was granted by the Non-Interventional Research Ethics Committee of Dokuz Eylül University (Date: October 26, 2022, Approval No: 2022/34-40). Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and necessary permissions were obtained from the Balçova Municipality.

# RESULTS

Of the 304 women reached, 153 (50.3%) resided in Çetin Emeç neighborhood and 151 (49.7%) in Fevzi Çakmak neighborhood. The mean age of participants was 37.6 ± 8.3 years (range 15.0-49.0), with the majority being high school graduates (31.9%) and married (69.4%). Additionally, the average number of children was  $1.5 \pm 1.1$  (range 0-4), with 59.5% of the women not employed and 16.5% engaged in insecure employment. Most of their spouses were high school graduates (27.0%) and employed in secure jobs (54.1%). A majority of the women (85.8%) lived in nuclear families, 69.9% reported their income as less than their expenses, and 50.3% stated their health status was the same as their peers. Sociodemographic, health, and family relationship characteristics of the participants are displayed in Table 1.

Of the participants, 99.6% reported using sanitary pads during menstruation, and 22.4% also used tampons. A total of 70.4% of the women reported difficulties in purchasing menstrual products over the past year, and these women were considered to be experiencing menstrual poverty. Reasons for menstrual poverty and the challenges faced during menstruation periods are shown in Table 2. According to the PHQ-9 scale, a majority of women (41.1%) experienced moderate depressive symptoms (Table 2).

Univariate analysis revealed that the presence of

depressive symptoms was significantly higher among those experiencing menstrual poverty (p<0.001). Additionally, age group, education level, employment status, spouse's education and employment status, and income-expenditure perception significantly affected depressive symptoms (p<0.05) (Table 3).

Multiple Logistic Regression Analysis results are presented in Table 4. The presence of menstrual poverty significantly increases the risk of depressive symptoms by 2.1 times compared to those without menstrual poverty (p=0.012, 95% CI=1.1-3.8). Additionally, being under 35 years of age (OR=2.3, p=0.004, 95% CI=1.3-4.2) and having an income lower than expenses (OR=2.6, p=0.002, 95% CI=1.4-4.7) also significantly increase the risk of experiencing depressive symptoms. Cronbach's alpha coefficient was calculated as 0.853 for 9 questions.

## DISCUSSION

This study examined the prevalence of menstrual poverty and its association with depressive symptoms among women aged 15-49 living in two neighborhoods of Balcova District. In our study, 70.4% of women experienced menstrual poverty in the past year. Two separate studies conducted in the United States reported menstrual poverty prevalence rates of 64% among women aged 18-69, mostly unemployed high school graduates, and 14.2% among university-enrolled women aged 18-24 (4,14). Another study conducted in the United Kingdom found that 11% of girls aged 14-21 experienced menstrual poverty (22). In 2024, it was reported that 42% of women aged 13-55 in Jordan experienced menstrual poverty (23). A study conducted in Turkey found that 42.5% of women occasionally struggled to access hygiene products, 22.6% frequently faced difficulties, and 8.5% always encountered such challenges (31).

In our study, the primary reason for menstrual poverty was identified as the rising costs of hygiene products (53.3%). Similar studies in the United Kingdom and Ghana also identified price increases as the main cause of menstrual poverty (24, 25). Additionally, studies conducted in various regions of Asia and Africa in 2020 indicated that women from lower socioeconomic backgrounds were more likely to experience menstrual poverty (26). It can be assumed that tax policies on hygiene products, which contribute to price increases, make it more challenging for women to access these items. In

**Table 2.** Distribution of Menstrual Poverty and RelatedCharacteristics

| Characteristic (n=304)                         | n (%)     |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Menstrual products used*                       |           |
| Sanitary pads                                  | 303(99.6) |
| Tampons                                        | 68 (22.4) |
| Menstrual underwear                            | 0 (0.0)   |
| Menstrual cups                                 | 0 (0.0)   |
| Menstrual discs                                | 0 (0.0)   |
| Presence of menstrual poverty                  |           |
| Yes                                            | 214(70.4) |
| No                                             | 90 (29.6) |
| Reasons for difficulty purchasing products     |           |
| Economic reasons                               | 168(55.3) |
| Price increases                                | 162(53.3) |
| Spouse's prioritization                        | 28 (9.2)  |
| Challenges in accessing items/facilities du    | ring      |
| menstruation*                                  | -         |
| Clean toilet paper                             | 119(39.1) |
| Safe toilet                                    | 97 (31.9) |
| Garbage bin                                    | 27 (8.9)  |
| Soap                                           | 15 (4.9)  |
| Clean water                                    | 2 (0.7)   |
| Activities with difficulty during menstruation | on*       |
| Going outside for daily tasks                  | 144(47.4) |
| Participation in social activities             | 130(42.8) |
| Going to work                                  | 34 (11.2) |
| Going to school                                | 24 (7.9)  |
| Presence of depressive symptoms                |           |
| No depressive symptoms (0-4 points)            | 35 (11.5) |
| Mild depressive symptoms (5-9 points)          | 62 (20.4) |
| Moderate depressive symptoms (10-14            | 125(41.1) |
| points)                                        |           |
| Moderately severe depressive symptoms          | 61 (20.1) |
| (15-19 points)                                 |           |
| Severe depressive symptoms (20-27 points)      | 21 (6.9)  |

\* Multiple options could be selected by participants

2018, several European Union countries, including the United Kingdom, France, Spain, and the Netherlands, reduced taxes on hygiene products. In 2019, Germany lowered the tax rate from 19% to 7%, and in 2021, the United States completely eliminated taxes on these products (31). In Turkey, menstrual product taxes were reduced from 18% to 8% in 2022. However, in 2023, VAT rates on hygiene products such as soap, toilet paper, and paper towels were raised from 8% to 20% (32).

Furthermore, in our study, 47.4% of women reported difficulties leaving the house during menstruation, 42% could not participate in social activities, 11.2% faced challenges attending work, and 7.9% experienced difficulties attending school. A UNESCO report from 2014 indicated that 1 in 10 young women could not attend school due to a lack of access to menstrual products and resources (33). Developing effective policies to manage the costs of menstrual hygiene is crucial for women's health and participation in social life.

#### Table 3. Relationship Between Socio-demographic Characteristics and Depressive Symptoms

| Characteristic                                                       | Depressive symptoms present | p Value <sup>a,b</sup> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
|                                                                      | (Moderate-severe) n (%)     |                        |
| Menstrual poverty                                                    |                             |                        |
| Yes (n=214)                                                          | 163 (76.2)                  | <0.001ª                |
| No (n=90)                                                            | 44 (48.9)                   |                        |
| Neighborhood                                                         |                             |                        |
| Fevzi Çakmak (n=151)                                                 | 105 (69.5)                  | 0.840 <sup>a</sup>     |
| Çetin Emeç (n=153)                                                   | 102 (66.6)                  |                        |
| Age group                                                            |                             |                        |
| 35 and below (n=112)                                                 | 85 (75.9)                   | 0.026 <sup>a</sup>     |
| Above 36 (n=192)                                                     | 122 (63.5)                  |                        |
| Educational level                                                    |                             | I                      |
| Primary school and below (n=81)                                      | 64 (79.0)                   | 0.006 <sup>b</sup>     |
| Middle school and high school (n=139)                                | 96 (69.0)                   |                        |
| University and above (n=84)                                          | 47 (55.9)                   |                        |
| Marital status                                                       |                             | I                      |
| Married (n=211)                                                      | 145 (68.7)                  | 0.723ª                 |
| Unmarried (n=93)                                                     | 62 (66.6)                   |                        |
| Employment status                                                    |                             |                        |
| Unemployed (n=181)                                                   | 128 (70.7)                  | 0.006ª                 |
| Insecure job (n=50)                                                  | 40 (80.0)                   |                        |
| Secure job (n=72)                                                    | 39 (54.6)                   |                        |
| Spouse's educational level                                           |                             |                        |
| Primary school and below (n=53)                                      | 38 (71.6)                   | 0.001ª                 |
| Middle school and high school (n=105)                                | 81 (77.1)                   | 0.001                  |
| University and above (n=53)                                          | 26 (49.0)                   |                        |
| Spouse's employment status                                           |                             |                        |
| Unemployed (n=22)                                                    | 11 (50.0)                   | 0.012ª                 |
| Insecure job (n=75)                                                  | 60 (79.9)                   | 0.0.1                  |
| Secure job (n=114)                                                   | 74 (64.9)                   |                        |
| Family structure                                                     | 71(01.0)                    |                        |
| Nuclear family (n=260)                                               | 177 (68.7)                  | 0.934ª                 |
| Extended family (n=43)                                               | 29 (67.4)                   | 0.004                  |
| Income-expenditure perception                                        | 20 (01.4)                   |                        |
| Less income than expenditure (n=211)                                 | 159 (75.3)                  | <0.001ª                |
| Equal income and expenditure (n=211)                                 | 33 (48.5)                   |                        |
| More income than expenditure (n=23)                                  | 13 (56.5)                   |                        |
| Health status compared to peers                                      | 10 (00.0)                   | I                      |
| Better (n=107)                                                       | 68 (63.5)                   | 0.430ª                 |
| Same (n=153)                                                         | 107 (69.9)                  | 0.400                  |
| Worse (n=44)                                                         | 32 (72.7)                   |                        |
| Family relationships                                                 | 52 (12.1)                   | I                      |
| Good (n=222)                                                         | 149 (67.1)                  | 0.381ª                 |
| Moderate (n=80)                                                      | 57 (71.2)                   | 0.301                  |
| <sup>a</sup> Pearson chi-square test p value. <sup>b</sup> Mantel-Ha |                             |                        |

<sup>a</sup> Pearson chi-square test p value. <sup>b</sup>Mantel-Haenszel chi-square test p value.

According to the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9), used in our study, 41.1% of women exhibited moderate depressive symptoms, 20.1% had moderately severe depressive symptoms, and 6.9% had severe depressive symptoms. The

adaptation of HSA-9 into Turkish was conducted by Bilge et al. (2016). As a result of the reliability analysis, the Cronbach's Alpha internal consistency coefficient was calculated as 0.842 (11). In the present study, the Cronbach's Alpha coefficient was

| Characteristic (Reference group)              | P Value | OR (95% CI)   |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------|---------------|
| Menstrual poverty (No)                        | 0.012   | 2.1 (1.1-3.8) |
| Age group (Above 36)                          | 0.004   | 2.3 (1.3-4.2) |
| Educational level (University and above)      | 0.061   | 2.0 (0.9-4.5) |
| Middle school and above                       | 0.283   | 1.4 (0.7-2.5) |
| Employment status (Secure employment)         | 0.835   | 1.1 (0.4-2.9) |
| Unemployed                                    | 0.610   | 0.8 (0.4-1.6) |
| Income-expenditure perception (Equal or more) | 0.002   | 2.6 (1.4-4.7) |

Table 4. Effect of Menstrual Poverty on the Risk of Depressive Symptoms - Logistic Regression Analysis Results

calculated as 0.853. The value between the two scales is similar. In a study conducted in Mexico, depressive symptoms were observed in 25.6% of women, while in North Carolina, 31.2% of Latin women, farmers, and those facing economic difficulties exhibited severe depressive symptoms (12, 13). Additionally, a study conducted in Kenya found that economic conditions, abuse, and violence increased depressive symptoms in 57% of women (27). In studies conducted in Turkey, the prevalence of depressive symptoms ranged from 10.2% to 28.3% (28). The differences in prevalence may be attributed to variations in the quantitative and qualitative characteristics of the study samples or differences in analysis methods.

Although few studies have examined the association between menstrual poverty and depressive symptoms in women, the limited available research suggests that menstrual poverty increases depressive symptoms in women, consistent with our findings. Similar to our study, significant associations between menstrual poverty and the risk of depressive symptoms have been found in studies conducted in the United States, Spain, and France (4, 9, 15). Similar results were also observed in a study conducted in a refugee camp in Jordan (23). Menstrual products are considered basic necessities, and our findings support the significant relationship between unmet basic needs and the likelihood of deteriorating mental health. The stigma surrounding insufficient toilets and menstruation. privacv measures, as well as the lack of clean water, sanitation, and hygienic menstrual products, may contribute to stress, social isolation, depression, and anxiety, further exacerbating difficulties during menstruation (34).

Our study also found that being aged 35 or younger and having household income lower than expenses increased the risk of depressive symptoms. Similarly, studies conducted in Sweden and the United States have shown that as age decreases, the prevalence of depression and anxiety increases (16, 29). Another study conducted in Finland, Poland, and Spain found a significant relationship between depression and low socioeconomic status (30). Despite these results, longitudinal studies covering the lifespan of adults are needed. Another study conducted in Turkey found a significant relationship between income-expenditure perception and depression. Therefore, considering that period poverty parallels economic status, these results may also apply to individuals experiencing period poverty (35). Besides income, access to healthcare services, education, menstrual taboos, discrimination, and social, societal, and economic participation have also been identified as factors that increase period poverty (36).

Although univariate analyses in our study indicated a significant relationship between educational and employment status and depressive symptoms, multivariate logistic regression analysis did not yield significant results. In contrast to our findings, other studies have identified significant associations. For instance, a study conducted in Indonesia in 2022 found that the risk of depression increased as educational attainment decreased (20). Another study conducted in the United Kingdom found a significant relationship between depression and job loss (21).

Our study has certain limitations. It was conducted among women living in the Fevzi Çakmak and Çetin Emeç neighborhoods of Balçova District in İzmir, and thus, the results can only be generalized to women living in this specific area. The reliance on selfreported data regarding income-expenditure perceptions and menstrual poverty is another limitation of the study. Additionally, the crosssectional nature of the study limits the ability to assess causality between menstrual poverty, depressive symptoms, and related factors. Another limitation is the absence of a validated and reliable scale for identifying menstrual poverty. Although our measurement is consistent with the limited number of studies in the literature, developing a scale specific to this issue could help mitigate this limitation. The fact that the research was conducted by a single researcher and data were collected faceto-face contributes to consistency. Given the limited research on this topic globally, our study is important in contributing to the literature.

## CONCLUSION

In our study, 41.1% of women experienced moderate depressive symptoms, 20.1% had moderately severe symptoms, and 6.9% exhibited severe depressive symptoms. The prevalence of menstrual poverty in the past year was 70.4%. The most common reason for difficulty in accessing menstrual products was economic hardship. Among women, 39.1% struggled to access clean toilet paper, and 31.9% faced difficulties accessing а safe toilet. During menstruation, 47.4% of women had trouble leaving the house for daily activities, 42.8% had difficulties participating in social activities, 11.2% faced challenges attending work, and 7.9% had issues attending school. The presence of menstrual poverty significantly increased the risk of depressive symptoms. Additionally, being aged 35 or younger and having income lower than expenses also increased the risk of depressive symptoms.

Menstrual poverty is not an issue of privilege or biological sex but a matter of human rights. All women have the right to access clean and sufficient menstrual products, safe toilets, clean water, and soap. Issues such as poverty, education, health, hygiene materials, and gender equality have inspired the framework for the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. Therefore, it is crucial for all countries to combat poverty in all its dimensions. Current studies show that many young women cannot afford hygiene products to meet their menstrual needs, which may negatively affect their mental health. To support these women, improving access to affordable hygiene products and considering these results when formulating tax policies is essential. Budget allocations and comprehensive audits for the provision of clean water, toilet paper, and trash bins in all public restrooms, particularly in public schools, dormitories, and universities, are crucial. Additionally, menstrual products, encompassing a wide variety of options (e.g., not only sanitary pads but also tampons, menstrual cups, and period underwear), should be provided free of charge in all public restrooms, especially in public schools, dormitories, and universities. It is important that institutions, NGOs, and local governments providing financial, clothing, and food support to families also consider offering menstrual product support. Furthermore, the presence of depressive symptoms should be taken into account when menstrual poverty is present.

Acknowledgments: Authors thank to Itir BAGDADİ.

Author contributions: Study design: TP, ZSV, HS Data collection: TP Data analysis: TP, ZSV, HS Manuscript writing: TP, ZSV, HS Conflict of interests: No conflict of interest was declared between

the authors.

**Ethical approval:** The study was approved by the Non-Interventional Research Ethics Committee of Dokuz Eylül University (Date: 26.10.2022, Decision No: 2022/34-40, Protocol No: 7573-GOA.

Funding: No financial support.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

# REFERENCES

- Yaşar S. Kavramsal Olarak Yoksulluk ve Türkiye'de Yoksullukla Mücadele Politikalarının Etkileri. Sosyal Ekonomik Araştırmalar Dergisi 2019; 19(38):118-144.
- Boudet A, Buitrago P. Gender Differences in Poverty and Household Composition through the Life-cycle[Internet]. 2018 [Accessed date: 11 Ekim 2023]. Available from: http://hdl.handle.net/10986/29426
- 3. Michel J, Mettler A, Schönenberger S, Gunz D. Period poverty: why it should be everybody's business. Journal of Global Health Reports 2022;6: e2022009.
- Cardoso LF, Scolese AM, Hamidaddin A, Gupta J. Period poverty and mental health implications among college-aged women in the United States. BMC Womens Health 2021;21(1):14.
- Unwomen. Infographic: End the stigma. Period [İnternet]. 2019[Accessed date: 27 Ekim 2023]. Available from: https://www.unwomen.org/en/digitallibrary/multimedia/2019/10/infographic-periods
- Crichton J, Okal J, Kabiru CW, Zulu EM. Emotional and psychosocial aspects of menstrual poverty in resource-poor settings: a qualitative study of the experiences of adolescent girls in an informal settlement in Nairobi. Health Care Women Int 2013;34(10):891-916.
- 7. Soeiro RE, Rocha L, Surita FG, Bahamondes L, Costa ML. Period poverty: menstrual health

hygiene issues among adolescent and young Venezuelan migrant women at the northwestern border of Brazil. Reproductive Health. 2021; 18: 238.

- Yıldırım P. Toplumsal Eşitsizliklerin Göz Ardı Edilen Yönü: Türkiye'de Regl Yoksulluğunun Sosyal Politika Perspektifinden İncelenmesi, Manisa Celal Bayar University Journal of Social Sciences 2024;22 (1); 167-183.
- Mari-Klose M, Julia A, Escapa S, Gallo P. Period poverty and mental health in a representative sample of young women in Barcelona, Spain. BMC Womens Health 2023;23(1):201.
- Kuranoğlu E. Türkiye'de Az Tehlikeli ve Tehlikeli İşyerlerinde Aşırı İş Yükü Algısı. İstanbul Aydın Üniversitesi Dergisi 2019;11(3):255-274.
- 11. Bilge U. Turkish reliability of the patient health questionnaire-9, Biomedical Research 2016;Special Issue:S460-S462.
- Arrieta J, Aguerrebere M, Raviola G, Flores H, Elliott P, Espinosa A ve ark. Validity and Utility of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ)-2 and PHQ-9 for Screening and Diagnosis of Depression in Rural Chiapas, Mexico: A Cross-Sectional Study. J. Clin Psychol 2017;73:1076-1090.
- Pulgar CA, Trejo G, Suerken C, Ip EH, Arcury TA, Quandt SA. Economic Hardship and Depression Among Women in Latino Farmworker Families. J Immigr Minor Health 2016;18(3):497-504.
- Kuhlmann AS, Bergquist EP, Danjoint D, Wall LL. Unmet Menstrual Hygiene Needs Among Low-Income Women. Obstet Gynecol. 2019; 133(2): 238-244.
- Gouvernet B, Sebbe F, Chapillon P, Rezrazi A, Brisson J. Period poverty and mental health in times of covid-19 in France. Health Care Women Int 2022;12:1–13.
- Lovik A. Mental health indicators in Sweden over a 12-month period during the COVID-19 pandemic – Baseline data of the Omtanke 2020 Study. Journal of Affective Disorders 2023; 322:108-117.
- 17. Jorm AF. Does old age reduce the risk of anxiety and depression? A review of epidemiological studies across the adult life span. Psychol Med 2000; 30(1):11-22.
- Aksunger N, Vernot C, Littman R, Voors M, Meriggi NF, Abajobir A ve ark. COVID-19 and mental health in 8 low- and middle-income

countries: A prospective cohort study. PLoS Med 2023;20(4): e1004081.

- Lorant V, Deliège D, Eaton W, Robert A, Philippot P, Ansseau M. Socioeconomic inequalities in depression: a meta-analysis. Am J Epidemiol. 2003;157(2):98-112.
- 20. Patria B. The longitudinal eects of education on depression: Finding from the Indonesian national survey. Public Health 2022;10:1017995.
- Ferrie JE, Shipley MJ, Stansfeld SA, Marmot MG. Effects of chronic job insecurity and change in job security on self reported health, minor psychiatric morbidity, physiological measures, and health related behaviours in British civil servants: the Whitehall II study. J Epidemiol Community Health 2002;56(6): 450-4.
- 22. Boyers M, Garikipati S, Biggane A, Douglas E, Hawkes N, Kiely C ve ark. Period poverty: The perceptions and experiences of impoverished women living in an inner-city area of Northwest England. PLoS ONE 2022;17(7):e0269341.
- 23. Muhaidat N, Karmi JA, Karam AM, Abushaikha F, Alshrouf MA. Period poverty, reuse needs, and depressive symptoms among refugee menstruators in Jordan's camps: crossа study. BMC Womens Health sectional 2024;24(1):384..
- 24. Mohammed S, Larsen-Reindorf RE. Menstrual knowledge, sociocultural restrictions and barriers to menstrual hygiene management in Ghana: Evidence from a multi-method survey among adolescent schoolgirls and schoolboys. PLoS One. 2020; 15(10): e024110615.
- 25. Plan International UK. Menstrual health day: global period poverty and stigma getting worse under lockdown: girls are struggling with product shortages and price hikes [İnternet]. 2020 [Accessed date: 2 Kasım 2023]. Available from: https://plan-uk.org/media-centre/menstrualhealth-day-global-period-poverty and-stigmagetting-worse-under-lockdow
- 26. Rossouw L, Ross H. Understanding Period Poverty: Socio-Economic Inequalities in Menstrual Hygiene Management in Eight Lowand Middle Income Countries. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18(5):2571.
- 27. Lambert JE, Denckla C. Posttraumatic stress and depression among women in Kenya's informal settlements: risk and protective factors. Eur J Psychotraumatol 2021;12(1):1865671.

- 28. Türkiye İstatistik Kurumu (TÜİK) (2019) Türkiye Sağlık Arastırması Mikro Veri Seti https://www.tuik.gov.tr/media/microdata/pdf/turki ye-saglikarastirmasi.pdf), (Güler M. Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Öğrencilerinde Umutsuzluk Depresvon Sıklığı ve Sosyodemografik Özellikler Arasındaki İlişkinin Belirlenmesi, Int J Basic Clin Med 2014;2(1):32-37.
- Hasin DS, Goodwin RD, Stinson FS, Grant BF. Epidemiology of Major Depressive Disorder: Results From the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcoholism and Related Conditions. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2005; 62(10):1097–1106.
- Freeman A, Tyrovolas S, Koyanagi A, Chatterji S, Leonardi M, Ayuso-Mateos JL ve ark. The role of socio-economic status in depression: results from the COURAGE (aging survey in Europe). BMC Public Health 2016;16(1):1098.
- Aldanmaz B, Eskitascioglu İ. Türkiye'de Regl Yoksulluğu. Konuşmamız Gerek Derneği [İnternet]. 2022 [Accessed date 4 Ekim 2023]. Available from: www.konusmamizgerek.org
- 32. TÜRMOB. Katma Değer Vergisi Oranları [İnternet]. 2023 [Accessed date: 5 Kasım 2023]. Available from: https://www.turmob.org.tr/arsiv/mbs/pratikBilgiler /KDV-Oranlar%C4%B1- 7.7.2023.pdf
- UNESCO. Teaching and learning: achieving quality for all [İnternet]. 2014 [Accessed date: 5 Ekim 2023]. Available from: https://www.heartresources.org/doc\_lib/teaching -and-learning-achieving-qualit
- Jaafar H, Ismail SY, Azzeri A. Period Poverty: A Neglected Public Health Issue. Korean J Fam Med. 2023; 44(4): 183-188.
- Eskin M, Ertekin K, Harlak H, Dereboy Ç. Lise Öğrencisi Ergenlerde Depresyonun Yaygınlığı ve İlişkili Olduğu Etmenler. Türk Psikiyatri Dergisi 2008; 19(4):382–389.
- Medina-Perucha L, Lopez-Jimenez T, Jacques-Avino C, Holst AS, Valls-Llobet C, Munros-Feliu J ve ark. Menstruation and social inequities in Spain: a cross-sectional online survey-based study. Int J Equity Health 2023;22(1):92.