

## Some Results on Almost Contact Manifolds with B-Metric

Nülifer Özdemir <sup>1\*</sup>; Elanur Eren <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Eskişehir Technical University, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics  
 Eskişehir, Türkiye  
 elaeren1071@gmail.com

Received: 08 November 2024

Accepted: 23 January 2025

**Abstract:** In this work, almost contact B-metric manifolds and almost complex manifolds with Norden metric are considered. Almost complex manifolds with a Norden metric are obtained by the product of almost contact B-metric manifolds with  $\mathbb{R}$ , where almost complex structure and metric on the product manifold depend on two functions of  $\mathbb{R}$ . The relations between two classes of almost contact manifolds with B-metric (the classes  $\mathcal{F}_4$  and  $\mathcal{F}_5$ ) and classes of almost complex manifolds with a Norden metric are investigated.

**Keywords:** Almost complex manifold with a Norden metric, almost contact manifold, almost contact manifold with B-metric.

### 1. Introduction

Differentiable manifolds having special tensors are an object of interest in differential geometry. There are several studies on this area, for example, see [2, 4–8, 10, 11, 13–16, 19–21]. Differential manifolds having special tensor structure have been classified by considering the covariant derivative of their tensor structure [2, 4–8, 10, 11, 13, 21].

Manifolds with B-metric have been studied in the last 30 years by various researchers [7, 9, 10, 16, 20]. Recently, many differential geometers and theoretical physicists have been investigating Ricci solitons and  $\eta$ -Ricci solitons on manifolds with special structures, such as almost contact metric manifolds, almost paracontact metric manifolds, manifolds with B-metric, Norden manifolds, etc. [1, 3, 12, 17, 18]. In this investigations, classes of almost contact B-metric manifolds and almost complex manifolds with a Norden metric also gain importance.

In this study, we obtain an infinite number of Kaehlerian manifolds with a Norden metric in Theorem 3.3 and complex manifolds with a Norden metric (the class  $W_1 \oplus W_2$ ) in Theorem 3.5. In particular, we consider the classification of almost contact manifolds with B-metric and almost complex manifolds with a Norden metric given by [6, 7], respectively. We generalize the metric and

\*Correspondence: nozdemir@eskisehir.edu.tr

2020 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15, 53C25, 53C50

This Research Article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Also, it has been published considering the Research and Publication Ethics.

the complex structure on the product manifold given in [9] by considering two functions. In [9], Sasaki-like manifolds which are subclasses of  $\mathcal{F}_4$  of almost contact B-metric manifolds are studied. In this work, almost complex Norden metric manifolds are obtained from almost contact manifolds with B-metric  $M$  with product of  $\mathbb{R}$  and an almost complex structure and a metric are defined on the product manifold  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  depending on two functions  $\sigma$  and  $\mu$  which are functions of  $t$ . Some relations between classes of almost complex manifolds with a Norden metric and the classes  $\mathcal{F}_4$  and  $\mathcal{F}_5$  of almost contact manifolds with B-metric are obtained.

## 2. Preliminaries

First, we introduce almost contact B-metric manifolds. A manifold  $M$  with odd dimension has an almost contact structure  $(\varphi, \xi, \eta)$ , if it admits a vector field  $\xi$ , a map  $\varphi$ , and a 1-form  $\eta$  satisfying the following relations:

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi. \quad (1)$$

Here  $I$  is identity map. From (1),

$$\varphi(\xi) = 0, \quad \eta \circ \varphi = 0 \quad (2)$$

follow. In addition to an almost contact structure  $(\varphi, \xi, \eta)$ , if there is a metric tensor  $g$  satisfying

$$g(\varphi(a), \varphi(b)) = -g(a, b) + \eta(a)\eta(b) \quad (3)$$

for all vector fields  $a, b$ , then  $M$  is said to be an almost contact manifold with B-metric. The Equation (3) yields

$$g(a, \xi) = \eta(a), \quad g(\varphi(a), b) = g(a, \varphi(b)). \quad (4)$$

Assume  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita covariant derivative of  $g$ . We denote

$$\Gamma(a, b, c) = g((\nabla_a \varphi) b, c). \quad (5)$$

$\Gamma$  has the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(a, b, c) &= \Gamma(a, c, b), \\ \Gamma(a, \varphi(b), \varphi(c)) &= \Gamma(a, b, c) - \eta(b)\Gamma(a, \xi, c) - \eta(c)\Gamma(a, b, \xi), \\ \Gamma(a, \xi, \xi) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

for all  $a, b, c$  vector fields. The 1-forms  $\theta$ ,  $\theta^*$  and  $\omega$  related with  $\Gamma$  are introduced as

$$\theta(a) = g^{ij}\Gamma(f_i, f_j, a), \quad \theta^*(a) = g^{ij}\Gamma(f_i, \varphi(f_j), a), \quad \omega(a) = \Gamma(\xi, \xi, a). \quad (7)$$

Here  $\{f_1, \dots, f_{2n}, \xi\}$  is a local frame, the inverse matrix of  $(g_{ij})$  is denoted by  $(g^{ij})$  and  $a \in \chi(M)$  [7].

Using properties (6), the space of Levi-Civita connections of the endomorphism  $\varphi$  are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} = \{ \Gamma \in \otimes_3^0 : \Gamma(a, b, c) &= \Gamma(a, c, b) \\ &= \Gamma(a, \varphi(b), \varphi(c)) + \eta(b)\Gamma(a, \xi, c) + \eta(c)\Gamma(a, b, \xi) \}. \end{aligned}$$

The space  $\mathcal{F}$  is decomposed as

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{F}_{11}.$$

The subspaces  $\mathcal{F}_i$  are invariant and orthogonal with respect to the action of  $G \times I$ , where  $G = GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \cap O(n, n)$ , i.e.,  $G$  is the group of real matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix}$  which belong to  $O(n, n)$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  are  $n \times n$  matrices [7].

Any almost contact manifold with B-metric belongs to a subclass  $\mathcal{F}_{i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{F}_{i_k}$  for  $1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq 11$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The defining rules of classes we use are [7]:

$$\mathcal{F}_4 : \Gamma(a, b, c) = -\frac{\theta(\xi)}{2n} (\eta(b)g(\varphi(a), \varphi(c)) + \eta(c)g(\varphi(a), \varphi(b))), \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_5 : \Gamma(a, b, c) = -\frac{\theta^*(\xi)}{2n} (\eta(b)g(\varphi(a), c) + \eta(c)g(\varphi(a), b)). \quad (9)$$

An even-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold  $N$  having an almost complex structure  $J$  and a semi-Riemannian metric  $h$  such that  $h(J(a), J(b)) = -h(a, b)$  is called an almost complex manifold with a Norden metric.  $G = GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \cap O(n, n)$  is the structure group of  $N$ , where  $GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \cap O(n, n)$  is the group of real matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix}$$

which are in  $O(n, n)$  ( $A$  and  $B$  are  $n \times n$  matrices) [6].

Almost complex manifolds with Norden metric are classified by considering the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla J$  of  $J$ . The following notation is used

$$\Upsilon(a, b, c) := h((\nabla_a J)b, c).$$

$\Upsilon$  satisfies

$$\Upsilon(a, b, c) = \Upsilon(a, c, b) \text{ and } \Upsilon(a, J(b), J(c)) = \Upsilon(a, b, c).$$

The 1-form  $\Theta$  related with  $\Upsilon$  is given by

$$\Theta(a) = h^{ij} \Upsilon(f_i, f_j, a) \quad (10)$$

for all  $a \in \chi(N)$ , where  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{2n}\}$  is a local frame on  $N$  and  $(h^{ij})$  is the inverse matrix of  $h$ . The tensor  $\Upsilon$  belongs to the space

$$W = \{\Upsilon \in \otimes_3^0 : \Upsilon(a, b, c) = \Upsilon(a, c, b) = \Upsilon(a, J(b), J(c))\},$$

which splits into a direct sum of three subspaces  $W_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  [5]. Defining relations of almost complex manifolds with a Norden metric are:

1. Kaehlerian Norden metric manifolds:  $\Upsilon(a, b, c) = 0$  for all  $a, b, c \in \chi(N)$ .
2. Class  $W_1$  (Conformally Kaehlerian manifolds with a Norden metric):

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(a, b, c) = & \frac{1}{2n} (h(a, b)\Theta(c) + h(a, c)\Theta(b) \\ & + h(a, J(b))\Theta(J(c)) + h(a, J(c))\Theta(J(b))). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

3. Class  $W_2$  (Special complex manifolds with a Norden metric):

$$\Upsilon(a, b, J(c)) + \Upsilon(b, c, J(a)) + \Upsilon(c, a, J(b)) = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$\Theta = 0. \quad (13)$$

4. Class  $W_3$  (Quasi-Kaehlerian manifolds with a Norden metric):

$$\Upsilon(a, b, c) + \Upsilon(b, c, a) + \Upsilon(c, a, b) = 0. \quad (14)$$

5. Class  $W_1 \oplus W_2$  (Complex manifolds with a Norden metric):

$$\Upsilon(a, b, J(c)) + \Upsilon(b, c, J(a)) + \Upsilon(c, a, J(b)) = 0.$$

6. Class  $W_1 \oplus W_3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(a, b, c) + \Upsilon(b, c, a) + \Upsilon(c, a, b) = & \frac{1}{n} (h(a, b)\Theta(c) + h(a, c)\Theta(b) \\ & + h(b, c)\Theta(a) + h(a, J(b))\Theta(J(c)) \\ & + h(b, J(c))\Theta(J(a)) + h(c, J(a))\Theta(J(b))) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

7. Class  $W_2 \oplus W_3$  (Semi-Kaehlerian manifolds with a Norden metric):

$$\Theta = 0.$$

8. Class  $W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus W_3$  (No relation):

Any  $\Upsilon \in W$  can be written as  $\Upsilon = \Upsilon_1 + \Upsilon_2 + \Upsilon_3 \in W$ , where  $\Upsilon_i \in W_i$ . The projections  $\Upsilon_i$  are given below [6]:

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_1(a, b, c) = & \frac{1}{2n} (h(a, b)\Theta(c) + h(a, c)\Theta(b) \\ & + h(a, J(b))\Theta(J(c)) + h(a, J(c))\Theta(J(b))), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_2(a, b, c) &= -\frac{1}{2n} (h(a, b)\Theta(c) + h(a, c)\Theta(b)) \\ &\quad + h(a, J(b))\Theta(J(c)) + h(a, J(c))\Theta(J(b)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} (2\Upsilon(a, b, c) + \Upsilon(b, c, a) + \Upsilon(c, a, b) \\ &\quad - \Upsilon(J(b), c, J(a)) + \Upsilon(J(c), a, J(b))), \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_3(a, b, c) &= \frac{1}{4} (2\Upsilon(a, b, c) - \Upsilon(b, c, a) - \Upsilon(c, a, b) \\ &\quad + \Upsilon(J(b), c, J(a)) - \Upsilon(J(c), a, J(b))). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

### 3. Almost Complex Manifolds with Norden Metric from Almost Contact Manifolds with B-Metric

Let  $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be an almost contact manifold with B-metric,  $\dim M = 2n + 1$ . Consider a vector field  $(a, \alpha \frac{d}{dt})$  on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $a$  is a vector field on  $M$ ,  $t$  is the coordinate of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha$  is a  $C^\infty$  function on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ . On  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  we define an almost complex structure with a Norden metric  $(\tilde{J}, \tilde{h})$  with respect to the functions  $\sigma$  and  $\mu$  on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\sigma$  and  $\mu$  depend only on  $t$  as

$$\tilde{J} \left( a, \alpha \frac{d}{dt} \right) := \left( \varphi(a) - \alpha e^{-(\sigma+\mu)} \xi, e^{(\sigma+\mu)} \eta(a) \frac{d}{dt} \right), \tag{19}$$

$$\tilde{h} \left( \left( a, \alpha \frac{d}{dt} \right), \left( b, \beta \frac{d}{dt} \right) \right) := e^{2\sigma} g(a, b) + e^{2\sigma} (e^{2\mu} - 1) \eta(a) \eta(b) - \alpha \beta. \tag{20}$$

In this study, we use the notation  $a, b, c$  for vector fields on  $M$ . In addition, we use  $A, B, C$  to denote vector fields on  $M$  such that  $A, B, C \in \text{Ker} \eta$ .

Using the Kozsul formula, we evaluate the components of Levi-Civita covariant derivative  $\tilde{\nabla}$  of  $\tilde{h}$  which are different than zero as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}(\tilde{\nabla}_A B, C) &= e^{2\sigma} g(\nabla_A B, C), \\ \tilde{h}(\tilde{\nabla}_A B, \xi) &= e^{2\sigma} g(\nabla_A B, \xi) - e^{2\sigma} (e^{2\mu} - 1) d\eta(A, B), \\ \tilde{h}(\tilde{\nabla}_A B, \frac{d}{dt}) &= -e^{2\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dt} g(A, B), \\ \tilde{h}(\tilde{\nabla}_A \xi, C) &= e^{2\sigma} g(\nabla_A \xi, C) + e^{2\sigma} (e^{2\mu} - 1) d\eta(A, C), \\ \tilde{h}(\tilde{\nabla}_A \frac{d}{dt}, C) &= e^{2\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dt} g(A, C), \\ \tilde{h}(\tilde{\nabla}_\xi B, C) &= e^{2\sigma} g(\nabla_\xi B, C) + e^{2\sigma} (e^{2\mu} - 1) d\eta(B, C), \\ \tilde{h}(\tilde{\nabla}_\xi B, \xi) &= e^{2(\sigma+\mu)} g(\nabla_\xi B, \xi), \\ \tilde{h}(\tilde{\nabla}_\xi \xi, C) &= e^{2(\sigma+\mu)} g(\nabla_\xi \xi, C), \\ \tilde{h}(\tilde{\nabla}_\xi \xi, \frac{d}{dt}) &= -e^{2(\sigma+\mu)} \left( \frac{d\sigma}{dt} + \frac{d\mu}{dt} \right), \\ \tilde{h}(\tilde{\nabla}_\xi \frac{d}{dt}, \xi) &= e^{2(\sigma+\mu)} \left( \frac{d\sigma}{dt} + \frac{d\mu}{dt} \right), \\ \tilde{h}(\tilde{\nabla} \frac{d}{dt} B, C) &= e^{2\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dt} g(B, C), \\ \tilde{h}(\tilde{\nabla} \frac{d}{dt} \xi, \xi) &= e^{2(\sigma+\mu)} \left( \frac{d\sigma}{dt} + \frac{d\mu}{dt} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we write down the non-zero components of  $\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{J}$  as

$$\tilde{h}((\tilde{\nabla}_A\tilde{J})(B), C) = e^{2\sigma}g((\nabla_A\varphi)(B), C), \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}((\tilde{\nabla}_A\tilde{J})(B), \xi) &= e^{2\sigma}\left(g(\nabla_A\varphi(B), \xi) + e^{\sigma+\mu}\frac{d\sigma}{dt}g(A, B) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (e^{2\mu} - 1)d\eta(A, \varphi(B))\right), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}((\tilde{\nabla}_A\tilde{J})(B), \frac{d}{dt}) &= -e^{2\sigma}\frac{d\sigma}{dt}g(A, \varphi(B)) + e^{\sigma-\mu}g(\nabla_AB, \xi) \\ &\quad - e^{\sigma-\mu}(e^{2\mu} - 1)d\eta(A, B), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}((\tilde{\nabla}_A\tilde{J})(\xi), C) &= e^{3\sigma+\mu}\frac{d\sigma}{dt}g(A, C) - e^{2\sigma}g(\nabla_A\xi, \varphi(C)) \\ &\quad - e^{2\sigma}(e^{2\mu} - 1)d\eta(A, \varphi(C)), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}((\tilde{\nabla}_A\tilde{J})(\frac{d}{dt}), C) &= -e^{\sigma-\mu}g(\nabla_A\xi, C) - e^{\sigma-\mu}(e^{2\mu} - 1)d\eta(A, C) \\ &\quad - e^{2\sigma}\frac{d\sigma}{dt}g(A, \varphi(C)), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}((\tilde{\nabla}_\xi\tilde{J})(B), C) &= e^{2\sigma}g((\nabla_\xi\varphi)(B), C) \\ &\quad + e^{2\sigma}(e^{2\mu} - 1)(d\eta(\varphi(B), C) - d\eta(B, \varphi(C))), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\tilde{h}((\tilde{\nabla}_\xi\tilde{J})(B), \xi) = e^{2(\sigma+\mu)}g(\nabla_\xi\varphi(B), \xi), \quad (27)$$

$$\tilde{h}((\tilde{\nabla}_\xi\tilde{J})(B), \frac{d}{dt}) = e^{\sigma+\mu}g(\nabla_\xi B, \xi), \quad (28)$$

$$\tilde{h}((\tilde{\nabla}_\xi\tilde{J})(\xi), C) = e^{2(\sigma+\mu)}g(\nabla_\xi\xi, \varphi(C)), \quad (29)$$

$$\tilde{h}((\tilde{\nabla}_\xi\tilde{J})(\frac{d}{dt}), C) = -e^{\sigma+\mu}g(\nabla_\xi\xi, C), \quad (30)$$

$$\tilde{h}((\tilde{\nabla}_\xi\tilde{J})(\xi), \xi) = 2e^{3(\sigma+\mu)}\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dt} + \frac{d\mu}{dt}\right), \quad (31)$$

$$\tilde{h}\left((\tilde{\nabla}_\xi\tilde{J})\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right), \frac{d}{dt}\right) = 2e^{\sigma+\mu}\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dt} + \frac{d\mu}{dt}\right), \quad (32)$$

$$\tilde{h}\left((\tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{d}{dt}}\tilde{J})(\xi), \frac{d}{dt}\right) = e^{\sigma+\mu}\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dt} + \frac{d\mu}{dt}\right), \quad (33)$$

$$\tilde{h}\left((\tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{d}{dt}}\tilde{J})\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right), \xi\right) = -e^{\sigma+\mu}\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dt} + \frac{d\mu}{dt}\right). \quad (34)$$

Then, we have the Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.1**  $\tilde{\nabla} \tilde{J} = 0$  if and only if relations below are satisfied

$$\Gamma(A, B, C) = \Gamma(\xi, \xi, C) = 0, \quad (35)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} + \frac{d\mu}{dt} = 0, \quad (36)$$

$$\Gamma(\xi, B, C) = 0, \quad (37)$$

$$\Gamma(A, B, \xi) = -e^{\sigma+\mu} \frac{d\sigma}{dt} g(A, B) \quad (38)$$

for all  $A, B, C \in \text{Ker}\eta$ .

**Proof** Let  $\tilde{\nabla} \tilde{J} = 0$ . From Equations (21), (27)-(34), we get Equations (35), (36) and  $\tilde{\nabla}_\xi \xi = 0$ . Also, from Equation (25), we obtain

$$g(\nabla_A \xi, C) = -(e^{2\mu} - 1) d\eta(A, C) - e^{\sigma+\mu} \frac{d\sigma}{dt} g(A, \varphi(C)). \quad (39)$$

Then, Equation (39) implies  $d\eta = 0$ . In addition, from Equation (26), we obtain  $\beta(\xi, B, C) = 0$ . Also, Equation (22) gives the relation (38). The converse of proof is clear.  $\square$

Now, we state Theorem 3.2 which is used to prove Theorem 3.3.

**Theorem 3.2** Assume  $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is an almost contact manifold with B-metric. The followings are equivalent:

- (i)  $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  satisfies the Equations (35), (37) and (38).
- (ii)  $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  satisfies

$$\Gamma(a, b, c) = e^{\sigma+\mu} \frac{d\sigma}{dt} (\eta(b)g(\varphi(a), \varphi(c)) + \eta(c)g(\varphi(a), \varphi(b))) \quad (40)$$

for all  $a, b, c \in \chi(M)$ .

**Proof** Let  $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  satisfy (35), (37) and (38). Take

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a - \eta(a)\xi + \eta(a)\xi = A + \eta(a)\xi, & A &= a - \eta(a)\xi \\ b &= b - \eta(b)\xi + \eta(b)\xi = B + \eta(b)\xi, & B &= b - \eta(b)\xi \\ c &= c - \eta(c)\xi + \eta(c)\xi = C + \eta(c)\xi, & C &= c - \eta(c)\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A, B, C \in \text{Ker}\eta$ . Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(a, b, c) &= \Gamma(A + \eta(a)\xi, B + \eta(b)\xi, C + \eta(c)\xi) \\ &= \Gamma(A, B, C) + \eta(c)\Gamma(A, B, \xi) + \eta(b)\Gamma(B, C, \xi) \\ &\quad + \eta(a)\Gamma(\xi, B, C) + \eta(a)\eta(c)\Gamma(\xi, \xi, B) + \eta(a)\eta(b)\Gamma(\xi, \xi, C) \\ &= \eta(c)\Gamma(A, B, \xi) + \eta(b)\Gamma(A, C, \xi) \\ &= -e^{\sigma+\mu} \frac{d\sigma}{dt} (\eta(c)g(A, B) + \eta(b)g(A, C)) \\ &= e^{\sigma+\mu} \frac{d\sigma}{dt} (\eta(c)g(\varphi(a), \varphi(b)) + \eta(b)g(\varphi(a), \varphi(c))). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

The proof of converse is trivial. □

Consider the defining relation of  $\mathcal{F}_4$  of almost contact manifold with B-metric

$$\Gamma(a, b, c) = -\frac{\theta(\xi)}{2n} (\eta(b)g(\varphi(a), \varphi(c)) + \eta(c)g(\varphi(a), \varphi(b))).$$

Choose functions  $\sigma$  and  $\mu$  so that

$$-\frac{\theta(\xi)}{2n} = e^{\sigma+\mu} \frac{d\sigma}{dt}. \tag{42}$$

Then,  $M$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_4$ . However, the Equation (42) has a solution if  $\theta(\xi)$  is a constant real number.

Consequently, the Theorem 3.3 is stated.

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be an almost contact manifold with B-metric.  $(M \times \mathbb{R}, \tilde{J}, \tilde{h})$  is Kaehlerian manifold with Norden metric iff the manifold  $M$  is of the class  $\mathcal{F}_4$ ,  $\theta(\xi)$  is a real number and following equalities are satisfied*

$$e^{\sigma+\mu} \frac{d\sigma}{dt} = -\frac{\theta(\xi)}{2n}, \quad \frac{d\sigma}{dt} + \frac{d\mu}{dt} = 0. \tag{43}$$

**Proof** If  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  is a Kaehlerian Norden metric manifold, from Theorem 3.1, we have Equations (35) - (38). Also from Theorem 3.2, we get the Equation (40). If functions  $\sigma$  and  $\mu$  are chosen to satisfy

$$e^{\sigma+\mu} \frac{d\sigma}{dt} = -\frac{\theta(\xi)}{2n},$$

then  $M$  is of the class  $\mathcal{F}_4$  since  $\theta(\xi)$  is constant.

On the contrary, if  $M$  is of the class  $\mathcal{F}_4$ ,  $\theta(\xi)$  is constant and Equation (43) holds, then we have

$$\sigma(t) + \mu(t) = c, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In addition, the differential equation  $e^{\sigma+\mu} \frac{d\sigma}{dt} = -\frac{\theta(\xi)}{2n}$  has the solutions

$$\sigma(t) = -\frac{\theta(\xi)}{2n} e^{-ct} + c_1, \quad \mu(t) = c + \frac{\theta(\xi)}{2n} e^{-ct} - c_1, \quad c_1 \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{44}$$

If  $\sigma$  and  $\mu$  are chosen as in (44), then  $(M \times \mathbb{R}, \tilde{J}, \tilde{h})$  is in trivial class. In fact, we obtain an infinite number of Kaehlerian manifolds with a Norden metric depending on  $c$  and  $c_1$ . □

**Example 3.4** *Assume  $G$  is a five dimensional Lie group, take a basis  $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$  of left-invariant vector fields such that the non-zero Lie brackets are*

$$[x_0, x_1] = \lambda x_2 + x_3 + \mu x_4, \quad [x_0, x_2] = -\lambda x_1 - \mu x_3 + x_4,$$



$$[x_0, x_3] = -x_1 - \mu x_2 + \lambda x_4, \quad [x_0, x_4] = \mu x_1 - x_2 - \lambda x_3,$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are constants. Let  $g$  be the metric satisfying

$$g(x_0, x_0) = g(x_1, x_1) = g(x_2, x_2) = 1, \quad g(x_3, x_3) = g(x_4, x_4) = -1,$$

$$g(x_i, x_j) = 0, \quad i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 4\}, i \neq j.$$

If we take  $\xi = x_0$ ,  $\varphi(x_1) = x_3$  and  $\varphi(x_2) = x_4$ , then  $(\xi, \eta, \varphi, g)$  is an almost contact structure with  $B$ -metric, where  $\eta$  is dual 1-form of  $x_0$ . From the Kozsul formula, we evaluate the non-zero Levi-Civita covariant derivative as

$$\nabla_{x_0} x_1 = \lambda x_2 + \mu x_4, \quad \nabla_{x_0} x_2 = -\lambda x_1 - \mu x_3,$$

$$\nabla_{x_0} x_3 = -\mu x_2 + \lambda x_4, \quad \nabla_{x_0} x_4 = \mu x_1 - \lambda x_3,$$

$$\lambda_{x_1} x_0 = -x_3, \quad \lambda_{x_2} x_0 = -x_4, \quad \lambda_{x_3} x_0 = x_1, \quad \lambda_{x_4} x_0 = x_2,$$

$$\lambda_{x_1} x_3 = \lambda_{x_2} x_4 = \lambda_{x_3} x_1 = \lambda_{x_4} x_2 = -x_0.$$

$(G, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is of class  $\mathcal{F}_4$  with  $\theta(\xi) = -2n$  [9]. If we take  $\sigma(t) = e^{-c}t + c_1$ ,  $\mu(t) = c - e^{-c}t - c_1$ , where  $c$  and  $c_1$  are arbitrary real numbers, then  $G \times \mathbb{R}$  is a Kaehlerian manifold with a Norden metric.

Let  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n, \varphi(f_1), \dots, \varphi(f_n), \xi\}$  be an orthonormal frame on open set  $U$  of  $M$  such that

$$g(f_i, f_i) = 1, \quad g(\varphi(f_i), \varphi(f_i)) = -1, \quad g(\xi, \xi) = 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$g(f_i, f_j) = g(\varphi(f_i), \varphi(f_j)) = g(f_i, \varphi(f_j)) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

Then,

$$\left\{ (e^{-\sigma} f_1, 0), (e^{-\sigma} f_2, 0), \dots, (e^{-\sigma} f_n, 0), (e^{-\sigma} \varphi(f_1), 0), \dots, (e^{-\sigma} \varphi(f_n), 0), (e^{-(\sigma+\mu)} \xi, 0), \left(0, \frac{d}{dt}\right) \right\}$$

is an orthonormal frame of  $\tilde{h}$  on the open subset  $U \times \mathbb{R}$  of  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ . By using this frame,  $\tilde{\Theta}(a, \alpha \frac{d}{dt})$  is obtained by direct calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Theta}\left(a, \alpha \frac{d}{dt}\right) &= \theta(a) - \alpha e^{-(\sigma+\mu)} \theta^*(\xi) + 2n e^{\sigma+\mu} \eta(a) \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \\ &\quad + 3e^{\sigma+\mu} \left( \frac{d\sigma}{dt} + \frac{d\mu}{dt} \right) \eta(a) + g(\nabla_\xi \xi, \varphi(a)). \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Let  $M$  be in  $\mathcal{F}_5$ . We investigate the class of  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 3.5** *If  $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_5$  and  $\frac{d\sigma}{dt} + \frac{d\mu}{dt} = 0$ , then  $(M \times \mathbb{R}, \tilde{J}, \tilde{h})$  belongs to  $W_1 \oplus W_2$ .*

**Proof** Since  $M$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_5$ , Equation (9) is satisfied. In the class  $\mathcal{F}_5$ , we have

$$\nabla_a \xi = -\frac{\theta^*(\xi)}{2n} \varphi^2(a), \quad d\eta = 0.$$

In addition, since  $\frac{d\sigma}{dt} + \frac{d\mu}{dt} = 0$ , the only components of Levi-Civita covariant derivative of  $\tilde{J}$  which do not vanish are

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}((\tilde{\nabla}_A J)(B), \xi) &= -e^{2\sigma} \left( \frac{\theta^*(\xi)}{2n} g(A, \varphi(B)) - e^{\sigma+\mu} \frac{d\sigma}{dt} g(A, B) \right), \\ \tilde{g}\left((\tilde{\nabla}_A J)(B), \frac{d}{dt}\right) &= -e^{2\sigma} \left( \frac{d\sigma}{dt} g(A, \varphi(B)) + e^{-(\sigma+\mu)} \frac{\theta^*(\xi)}{2n} g(A, B) \right), \\ \tilde{g}((\tilde{\nabla}_A J)(\xi), C) &= e^{2\sigma} \left( e^{\sigma+\mu} \frac{d\sigma}{dt} g(A, C) - \frac{\theta^*(\xi)}{2n} g(A, \varphi(C)) \right), \\ \tilde{g}\left((\tilde{\nabla}_A J)\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right), C\right) &= -e^{2\sigma} \left( e^{-(\sigma+\mu)} \frac{\theta^*(\xi)}{2n} g(A, C) + \frac{d\sigma}{dt} g(A, \varphi(C)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Also, by direct calculation we have

$$\tilde{\Theta}\left(a, \alpha \frac{d}{dt}\right) = -\alpha e^{-(\sigma+\mu)} \theta^*(\xi) + 2n e^{\sigma+\mu} \eta(a) \frac{d\sigma}{dt}. \quad (46)$$

In addition, since

$$\Upsilon_1\left(\left(0, \frac{d}{dt}\right), (\xi, 0), (\xi, 0)\right) = \frac{1}{n} e^{\sigma+\mu} \theta^*(\xi) \neq 0 \quad (47)$$

and

$$\Upsilon_2\left(\left(0, \frac{d}{dt}\right), (\xi, 0), (\xi, 0)\right) = -\frac{1}{n} e^{\sigma+\mu} \theta^*(\xi) \neq 0, \quad (48)$$

the projections  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are non-zero. By direct calculation

$$\Upsilon_3\left(\left(a, \alpha \frac{d}{dt}\right), \left(b, \beta \frac{d}{dt}\right), \left(c, \gamma \frac{d}{dt}\right)\right) = 0. \quad (49)$$

Hence,  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  is of the class  $W_1 \oplus W_2$ . □

**Example 3.6** *Let  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} = \{(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}, b_1, \dots, b_{n+1}) : a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Consider the canonical complex structure*

$$J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial b_i}, \quad J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial b_i}\right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n+1$$

and

$$g(u, u) = -\delta_{ij}x_i x_j + \delta_{ij}y_i y_j,$$

where  $u = x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} + y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial b_i}$ . Identify the point  $p = (a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}, b_1, \dots, b_{n+1})$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$  with its position vector  $P$ . Let  $M$  be the hypersurface of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$  determined by

$$M = \{P \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} : g(P, J(P)) = 0, g(P, P) > 0\}.$$

Define vector field  $\xi$  as

$$\xi = -\frac{1}{\cosh t} P,$$

where  $t \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ . For any vector field  $u$ , we can define  $\varphi$  with regard to the unique decomposition

$$J(u) = \varphi(u) + \frac{1}{\cosh t} \eta(u) J(P).$$

$(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_5$  [7]. From the Theorem 3.5, by choosing the functions  $\sigma$  and  $\mu$  to satisfy  $\frac{d\sigma}{dt} + \frac{d\mu}{dt} = 0$ ,  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  is of the class  $W_1 \oplus W_2$ .

### Declaration of Ethical Standards

The authors declare that the materials and methods used in their study do not require ethical committee and/or legal special permission.

### Authors Contributions

Author [Nülfirer Özdemir]: Thought and designed the research/problem, contributed to research method or evaluation of data, collected the data, wrote the manuscript (%50).

Author [Elanur Eren]: Collected the data, contributed to completing the research and solving the problem (%50).

### Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### References

- [1] Blaga A.M.,  $\eta$ -Ricci solitons on para-Kenmotsu manifolds, Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, 20(1), 1-13, 2015.
- [2] Chinea D., Gonzalez C., A classification of almost contact metric manifolds, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, 156, 15-36, 1990.

- [3] Cho J.T., Kimura M., *Ricci solitons and real hypersurfaces in a complex space form*, Tohoku Mathematical Journal, 61(2), 205-212, 2009.
- [4] Fernandez M., Gray A., *Riemannian manifolds with structure group  $G_2$* , Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, 132, 19-45, 1982.
- [5] Gadea P.M., Masque J.M., *Classification of almost para-Hermitian manifolds*, Rendiconti di Matematica, 7(11), 377-396, 1991.
- [6] Ganchev G.T., Borisov A.V., *Note on the almost complex manifolds with a Norden metric*, Comptes Rendus de L'Academie Bulgare des Sciences, 39(5), 31-34, 1986.
- [7] Ganchev G.T., Mihova V., Gribachev K., *Almost contact manifolds with B-metric*, Mathematica Balkanica, 7, 261-167, 1993.
- [8] Gray A., Hervella L.M., *The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, 123, 35-58, 1980.
- [9] Ivanov S., Manev H., Manev M., *Sasaki-like almost contact complex Riemannian manifolds*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, 107, 136-148, 2016.
- [10] Manev M., *On the Conformal Geometry of Almost Contact Manifolds with B-metric*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Plovdiv, Bulgaria, 1998.
- [11] Manev M., Staikova M., *On almost paracontact Riemannian manifolds of type  $(n, n)$* , Journal of Geometry, 72, 108-114, 2001.
- [12] Manev M., *Ricci-like solitons on almost contact B-metric manifolds*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, 154, 103734, 2020.
- [13] Oubina J.A., *A classification for almost contact structure*, Preprint, 1985.
- [14] Özdemir N., Erdoğan N., *Some relations between almost paracontact metric manifolds and almost para-Hermitian manifolds*, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 46, 1459-1477, 2022.
- [15] Özdemir N., Aktay Ş., Solgun M., *Almost Hermitian structures from almost contact metric manifolds and their curvature properties*, Konuralp Journal of Mathematics, 12(1), 5-12, 2024.
- [16] Özdemir N., Aktay Ş., Solgun M., *Some results on normal almost contact manifolds with B-metric*, Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics, 50(4), 597-611, 2026.
- [17] Patra D.S., *Ricci solitons and paracontact geometry*, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, 16, 137, 2019.
- [18] Patra D.S., Rovenski V., *Almost  $\eta$ -Ricci solitons on Kenmotsu manifolds*, European Journal of Mathematics, 7, 1753-1766, 2021.
- [19] Solgun M., *On constructing almost complex Norden metric structures*, AIMS Mathematics, 7(10), 17942-17953, 2022.
- [20] Solgun M., Karababa Y., *A natural way to construct an almost complex B-metric structure*, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 44(9), 7607-7613, 2021.
- [21] Zamkovoy S., Nakova G., *The decomposition of almost paracontact metric manifolds in eleven classes revisited*, Journal of Geometry, 109(1), 18, 2018.