ON ALMOST IDEAL CONVERGENCE WITH RESPECT TO AN ORLICZ FUNCTION

EMRAH EVREN KARA, MAHMUT DAŞTAN, AND MERVE İLKHan

Abstract. In this article, we define new classes of ideal convergent and ideal bounded sequence spaces combining an infinite matrix, an Orlicz function and invariant mean. We investigate some linear topological structures and algebraic properties of the resulting spaces. Also we find out some relations related to these spaces.

1. Introduction

By $\omega$ and $\ell_\infty$, we denote the space of all complex valued sequences and bounded sequences, respectively. $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ stand for the set of natural numbers and complex numbers and $e = (1, 1, 1, ...)$.

The notion of ideal convergence which is a generalization of statistical convergence (see [1, 2]) was introduced by Kostyrko et al. [3].

A family $\mathcal{I}$ of subsets of a non-empty set $X$ is called an ideal on $X$ if for each $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$, we have $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ and for each $B \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \subseteq A$, we have $B \in \mathcal{I}$. If $X \notin \mathcal{I}$, it is called a non-trivial ideal. A non-trivial ideal is said to be admissible if it contains all the finite subsets of $X$.

A sequence $x = (x_k)$ in $\mathbb{R}$ is called ideal convergent to a real number $l$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - l| \geq \varepsilon\}$ belongs to the ideal [3].

A sequence $x = (x_k)$ of real numbers is said to be ideal bounded if there is a $K > 0$ such that $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k| > K\} \in \mathcal{I}$ [4].

Later, many authors studied on ideal convergence. See for example [5, 6, 7]. Also, ideal convergence is studied on normed spaces and topological spaces in [8, 9, 10, 11, 12].

Let $\sigma$ be an injective mapping from the set of the positive integers to itself such that $\sigma^p(n) \neq n$ for all positive integers $n$ and $p$, where $\sigma^p(n) = \sigma(\sigma^{p-1}(n))$. An invariant mean or a $\sigma$-mean is a continuous linear functional defined on the space $\ell_\infty$ such that for all $x = (x_n) \in \ell_\infty$:

1. If $x_n \geq 0$ for all $n$, then $\varphi(x) \geq 0$,
(2) \( \varphi(e) = 1 \),
(3) \( \varphi(Sx) = \varphi(x) \), where \( Sx = (x_{\sigma(n)}) \).

\( V_{\alpha} \) denotes the set of bounded sequences all of whose invariant means are equal which is also called as the space of \( \sigma \)-convergent sequences. In [13], it is defined by

\[ V_{\sigma} = \{ x \in \ell_\infty : \lim_{k} t_{kn}(x) = l, \text{ uniformly in } n, l = \sigma - \lim x \} \]

where \( t_{kn}(x) = \frac{x_{n} + x_{n+1} + \ldots + x_{n+k}}{k+1} \).

\( \sigma \)-mean is called a Banach limit if \( \sigma \) is the translation mapping \( n \to n + 1 \). In this case, \( V_{\sigma} \) becomes the set of almost convergent sequences which is denoted by \( \hat{\sigma} \) and defined in [14] as

\[ \hat{\sigma} = \{ x \in \ell_\infty : \lim_{k} d_{kn}(x) \text{ exists uniformly in } n \} \]

where \( d_{kn}(x) = \frac{x_{n} + x_{n+1} + \ldots + x_{n+k}}{k+1} \).

The space of strongly almost convergent sequences was introduced by Maddox [15] as follow:

\[ [c] = \{ x \in \ell_\infty : \lim_{k} d_{kn}(|x - le|) \text{ exists uniformly in } n \text{ for some } l \} \]

A function \( M : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) is called an Orlicz function if \( M \) is continuous, nondecreasing and convex with \( M(0) = 0 \), \( M(x) > 0 \) for \( x > 0 \) and \( M(x) \to \infty \) as \( x \to \infty \). By convexity of \( M \) and \( M(0) = 0 \), we have \( M(\lambda x) \leq \lambda M(x) \) for all \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \).

It is said that \( M \) satisfies \( \Delta_2 \)-condition for all \( x \in [0, \infty) \) if there exists a constant \( K > 0 \) such that \( M(Kx) \leq KLM(x) \), where \( L > 1 \) (see [16]).

By using the idea of Orlicz function, Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [17] defined Orlicz sequence space

\[ \ell_M = \left\{ x \in \omega : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M \left( \frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\} \]

which is a Banach space with the norm

\[ \|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M \left( \frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) \leq 1 \right\} . \]

Several authors used the concept of an Orlicz function to define a new sequence space. For some of the related papers, one can see [19, 20, 21, 22].

Let \( p = (p_k) \) be a sequence of positive real numbers such that \( 0 < h = \inf \rho_k \leq p_k \leq H = \sup \rho_k < \infty \). For each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) the inequalities

\[ |\alpha_k + \beta_k|^{p_k} \leq D \{ |\alpha_k|^{p_k} + |\beta_k|^{p_k} \} \]

(1.1)

and

\[ |\alpha|^{p_k} \leq \max\{1, |\alpha|^H\} \]

hold, where \( \alpha, \alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( D = \max \{1, 2^{H-1}\} \).

Let \( A = (a_{ij}) \) be an infinite matrix of complex numbers \( a_{ij} \), where \( i, j \in \mathbb{N} \). We write \( Ax = (A_i(x)) \) if \( A_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} x_j \) converges for each \( i \in \mathbb{N} \). Throughout the text, by \( t_{kn}(Ax) \), we mean

\[ t_{kn}(Ax) = \frac{A_\alpha(x) + A_{\alpha^{\prime}(n)}(x) + \ldots + A_{\alpha^{\prime\prime}(n)}(x)}{k+1} \]
for all \( k, n \in \mathbb{N} \).

A sequence space \( X \) is called as **solid** (or **normal**) if \((\gamma_kx_k) \in X \) whenever \((x_k) \in X \) and \((\gamma_k) \) is a sequence of scalars such that \(|\gamma_k| \leq 1 \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

Let \( X \) be a sequence space and \( K = \{ k_1 < k_2 < \ldots \} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \). The sequence space \( Z_K^X = \{(x_{kn}) \in \omega : (x_n) \in X \} \) is called \( K \)-step space of \( X \).

A canonical preimage of a sequence \((x_{kn}) \) is a sequence \((y_n) \) defined by

\[
y_n = \begin{cases} x_n, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

A sequence space \( X \) is **monotone** if it contains the canonical preimages of all its step spaces.

**Lemma 1.1.** ([18],p.53) If a sequence space \( X \) is solid, then \( X \) is monotone.

Recently, strongly almost ideal convergent sequence spaces in 2-normed spaces defined via an Orlicz function was introduced by Esi [23]. Quite recently, Hazarika [24] defined a new class of strongly almost ideal convergent sequence spaces using an infinite matrix, Orlicz functions and a new generalized difference matrix in locally convex spaces and proved some results about this notion. Further in [25, 26, 27], the authors defined new spaces by combining ideal convergence, Orlicz functions and infinite matrices.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study some new ideal convergent sequence spaces with respect to an Orlicz function and an infinite matrix.

### 2. Main results

In this section, by combining ideal convergence, an infinite matrix, an Orlicz function and invariant means, we define some new sequence spaces.

From now on, by \( \mathcal{I} \) we denote an admissible ideal of \( \mathbb{N} \).

Let \( M \) be an Orlicz function, \( A \) be an infinite matrix and \( p = (p_k) \) be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers.

For every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and some \( \rho > 0 \), we introduce the spaces as follows:

\[
\mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(M, A, p) = \left\{ u \in \omega : \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : M \left( |\frac{\|kn(Au)\|}{\rho} | \right)^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},
\]

\[
\mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(M, A, p) = \left\{ u \in \omega : \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : M \left( |\frac{\|kn(Au - le)\|}{\rho} | \right)^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and some } l \in \mathbb{C} \right\},
\]

\[
\mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(M, A, p) = \left\{ u \in \omega : \exists K > 0 \text{ such that } \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : M \left( |\frac{\|kn(Au)\|}{\rho} | \right)^{p_k} > K \right\} \in \mathcal{I} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.
\]

If we take \( p_k = 1 \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), then the above spaces are denoted by \( \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(M, A) \), \( \mathcal{I} - e^\sigma(M, A) \), \( \mathcal{I} - \ell_\infty^\sigma(M, A) \), respectively.

**Theorem 2.1.** The spaces \( \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(M, A, p) \), \( \mathcal{I} - e^\sigma(M, A, p) \) and \( \mathcal{I} - \ell_\infty^\sigma(M, A, p) \) are linear spaces.

**Proof.** The result will be proved only for \( \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(M, A, p) \). The others follow similarly.

Take any \( u, v \in \mathcal{I} - c_0^\sigma(M, A, p) \). Then for given \( \varepsilon > 0 \) the sets

\[
S_1 = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : M \left( |\frac{\|kn(Au)\|}{\rho} | \right)^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2D} \right\}
\]
and

\[ S_2 = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(A\lambda u + \mu v)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2D} \right\} \]

are contained in \( \mathcal{I} \) for some \( \rho_1, \rho_2 > 0 \).

By using the inequality (1.1) and the fact that \( M \) is nondecreasing and convex, one can see the following inequality:

\[
\left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(A\lambda u + \mu v)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(A\lambda u)|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} + \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(A\mu v)|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\
\leq D \left\{ \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(A\lambda u)|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} + \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(A\mu v)|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right\},
\]

where \( \rho = \max\{2|\lambda|\rho_1, 2|\mu|\rho_2\} \) and \( \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \).

If we choose a positive integer \( k' \) from \( \mathbb{N} \setminus S_1 \cup S_2 \), we obtain

\[ \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(A\lambda u + \mu v)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} < \varepsilon. \]

Hence the set

\[ \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(A\lambda u + \mu v)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \]

belongs to the ideal which implies \( \lambda u + \mu v \in \mathcal{I} - c_0(M, A, p) \). This completes the proof.

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 2.2.** The inclusions

\[ \mathcal{I} - c_0(M_1, A, p) \cap \mathcal{I} - c_0(M_2, A, p) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - c_0(M_1 + M_2, A, p), \]
\[ \mathcal{I} - c'(M_1, A, p) \cap \mathcal{I} - c'(M_2, A, p) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - c'(M_1 + M_2, A, p), \]
\[ \mathcal{I} - \ell_\infty^w(M_1, A, p) \cap \mathcal{I} - \ell_\infty^w(M_2, A, p) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - \ell_\infty^w(M_1 + M_2, A, p) \]

hold for any Orlicz functions \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \).

**Proof.** Let \( u \) belong to the intersection of \( \mathcal{I} - c_0(M_1, A, p) \) and \( \mathcal{I} - c_0(M_2, A, p) \). Since the inequality

\[
(M_1 + M_2) \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(A\lambda u)|}{\rho} \right) \]

holds, the result is obvious.

The other inclusions can be shown similarly.

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( M_2 \) satisfy \( \Delta_2 \) condition. Then the inclusions

\[ \mathcal{I} - c_0(M_1, A, p) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - c_0(M_1 \circ M_2, A, p), \]
\[ \mathcal{I} - c'(M_1, A, p) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - c'(M_1 \circ M_2, A, p), \]
\[ \mathcal{I} - \ell_\infty^w(M_1, A, p) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - \ell_\infty^w(M_1 \circ M_2, A, p) \]

hold for any Orlicz functions \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \).
Proof. We prove the theorem in two parts. Firstly, let $M_1 \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) > \delta$. By using the properties of an Orlicz function and the fact that $M_2$ satisfies $\Delta_2$ condition, we have
\begin{align*}
M_2 \left( M_1 \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_k} &\leq (K\delta^{-1}M_2(2))^{p_k} \left( M_1 \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{p_k} \\
&\leq \max \left\{ 1, (K\delta^{-1}M_2(2))^H \right\} \left[ M_1 \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k},
\end{align*}
where $K \geq 1$ and $\delta < 1$. From the last inequality, the inclusion
\begin{align*}
\left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_2 \left( M_1 \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subseteq \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_1 \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\}
\end{align*}
is obtained. If $u \in I - c_0^\sigma(M, A, p)$, then the set in the right side of the above inclusion belongs to the ideal and so $\left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M_2 \left( M_1 \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subseteq I$. Secondly, Suppose that $M_1 \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) \leq \delta$. Since $M_2$ is continuous, we have $M_2 \left( M_1 \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) \right) < \varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ which implies $I - \lim_k \left[ M_2 \left( M_1 \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. This completes the proof.

The other inclusions can be shown similarly. \(\square\)

**Theorem 2.4.** If $\sup_k [M(t)]^{p_k} < \infty$ for all $t > 0$, then we have $I - c^\sigma(M, A, p) \subseteq I - \ell^\sigma_\infty(M, A, p)$.

**Proof.** Let $x \in I - c^\sigma(M, A, p)$. The inequality
\begin{align*}
\left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} &\leq D \left\{ \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au - le)|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} + \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(le)|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right\}
\end{align*}
holds by (1.1), where $\rho = 2\rho_1$. Hence we have
\begin{align*}
\left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq K \right\} \subseteq \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au - le)|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\}
\end{align*}
for all $n$ and some $K > 0$. Since the set in the right side of the above inclusion belongs to the ideal, all of its subsets are in the ideal. So
\begin{align*}
\left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq K \right\} \in I
\end{align*}
which completes the proof. \(\square\)

**Theorem 2.5.** Let $0 < p_k \leq q_k < \infty$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left( \frac{q_k}{p_k} \right)$ be bounded. Then we have $I - W(M, A, q) \subseteq I - W(M, A, p)$, where $W = c_0^\sigma, c^\sigma$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $u \in I - c_0^\sigma(M, A, q)$. Write $\alpha_k = \frac{p_k}{q_k}$. By hypothesis, we have $0 < \alpha \leq \alpha_k \leq 1$. If $\left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{q_k} \geq 1$, the inequality $\left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{q_k}$ holds. This implies the inclusion
\begin{align*}
\left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subseteq \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{q_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\}
\end{align*}
and so the result is obvious. Conversely, if \( M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right)^{p_k} < 1 \), we obtain the following inclusion

\[
\left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left( M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right)^p \right)^{k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subseteq \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left( M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right)^{q_k} \right) \geq \varepsilon^{1/\alpha} \right\}
\]

since then the inequality \( M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right)^{p_k} \leq \left( M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right)^{q_k} \right)^{\alpha} \) holds. Hence we conclude that \( u \in \mathcal{I} - c_0^\alpha(M, A, p) \).

**Theorem 2.6.**

1. If \( 0 < \inf p_k \leq p_k \leq 1 \) for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), then \( \mathcal{I} - W(M, A, p) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - W(M, A) \), where \( W = c_0^\alpha, c^\alpha \).
2. If \( 1 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k < \infty \) for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), then \( \mathcal{I} - W(M, A) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - W(M, A, p) \), where \( W = c_0^\alpha, c^\alpha \).

**Proof.**

1. Let \( u \in \mathcal{I} - c_0^\alpha(M, A, p) \). Suppose that \( k \notin \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left( M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right)^p \right)^{k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \) for \( 0 < \varepsilon < 1 \). By hypothesis, the inequality \( M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right)^{p_k} \leq \left( M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right)^{q_k} \right)^{\alpha} \) holds. Then we have \( k \notin \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left( M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right)^{q_k} \right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \) which implies

\[
\left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right)^{p_k} \right\} \subseteq \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left( M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right) \right)^{q_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\}.
\]

Hence \( u \in \mathcal{I} - c_0^\alpha(M, A, p) \) since the set \( \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left( M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right)^{q_k} \right) \geq \varepsilon \right\} \) in \( \mathcal{I} \).

2. The proof is similar to the first part.

**Theorem 2.7.** The spaces \( \mathcal{I} - c_0^\alpha(M, A, p) \) and \( \mathcal{I} - \ell^\infty(M, A, p) \) are solid.

**Proof.** Let \( u \in \mathcal{I} - c_0^\alpha(M, A, p) \). Then we have \( \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left( M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right)^p \right)^{k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I} \) for all \( n \). If \( \gamma = (\gamma_k) \) is a sequence of scalars such that \( |\gamma_k| \leq 1 \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), then the following holds:

\[
\left( M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(A\gamma u)|}{\rho} \right)^{p_k} \right)^{k} \leq \left( M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right)^{p_k} \right)^{k}.
\]

Hence we obtain \( \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left( M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(A\gamma u)|}{\rho} \right)^{p_k} \right)^{k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subseteq \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left( M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au)|}{\rho} \right)^{p_k} \right)^{k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \) and so \( \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left( M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(A\gamma u)|}{\rho} \right)^{p_k} \right)^{k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I} \) which means \( \gamma u \in \mathcal{I} - c_0^\alpha(M, A, p) \). We conclude that the space \( \mathcal{I} - c_0^\alpha(M, A, p) \) is solid.

**Corollary 2.1.** The spaces \( \mathcal{I} - c_0^\alpha(M, A, p) \) and \( \mathcal{I} - \ell^\infty(M, A, p) \) are monotone.

**Proof.** The proof follows from Lemma 1.1.

**Theorem 2.8.** If \( \lim_k p_k > 0 \) and \( u \to u_0(\mathcal{I} - c^\alpha(M, A, p)) \), then \( u_0 \) is unique.
Proof. Let $\lim k p_k = p_0 > 0$. We assume that $u \to u_0(I - c^\sigma(M,A,p))$ and $u \to v_0(I - c^\sigma(M,A,p))$. Then there exist $p_1, p_2 > 0$ such that

$$\left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au - u_0\varepsilon)|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2D} \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

and

$$\left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au - v_0\varepsilon)|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2D} \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $\rho = \max\{2p_1, 2p_2\}$. Then the inequality

$$\left[ M \left( \frac{|u_0 - v_0|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq D \left\{ \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au - u_0\varepsilon)|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} + \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au - v_0\varepsilon)|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right\}$$

holds. Hence we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M \left( \frac{|u_0 - v_0|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subseteq \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au - u_0\varepsilon)|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2D} \right\} \cup \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M \left( \frac{|t_{kn}(Au - v_0\varepsilon)|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2D} \right\}.$$

By this inclusion, we obtain $\left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left[ M \left( \frac{|u_0 - v_0|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$ which means $\mathcal{I} - \lim \left[ M \left( \frac{|u_0 - v_0|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0$. Also we have

$$\left[ M \left( \frac{|u_0 - v_0|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \to \left[ M \left( \frac{|u_0 - v_0|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_0}$$

as $k \to \infty$ since the limit of the sequence $(p_k)$ is $p_0$ and so $\left[ M \left( \frac{|u_0 - v_0|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_0} = 0$. This implies that $u_0 = v_0$.

\[\square\]
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