



**PERIODIC SOLUTIONS FOR THIRD ORDER DELAY
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION IMPULSES WITH FREDHOLM
OPERATOR OF INDEX ZERO**

S.BALAMURALITHARAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper the periodic solutions for third order delay differential equation of the form

$$x'''(t) + f(t, x''(t)) + g(t, x'(t)) + h(x(t - \tau(t))) = p(t), t \geq 0, t \neq t_k,$$

is investigated. We derive a third order delay differential equation with Fredholm operator of index zero and periodic solution. We obtain the existence of periodic solution and Mawhin's continuation theorem. The delay conditions for the Schwarz inequality of the periodic solutions are also obtained. An example is also furnished which demonstrates validity of main result. Some new positive periodic criteria are given. Therefore it has at least one 2π -periodic solution.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of impulsive delay differential equations is promising as an important role of investigation, since it is better than the corresponding theory of delay differential equation without impulse effects. Furthermore, such equations may demonstrate several real-world phenomena in physics, chemistry, biology, engineering, etc. In the last few years the theory of periodic solutions and delay differential equations with impulses has been studied by many authors, respectively [3, 5, 7, 8]. There are several books and a lot of papers dealing with the periodic solution of delay differential equations [1, 2, 4, 6, 9]. Periodic solutions of impulsive delay differential equations is a new research area and there are many publications in this field. The paper deals with impulsive equations with constant delay and Fredholm operator of index zero. We obtain the theorems of existence of periodic solution based on the following Mawhin's continuation theorem.

Let $PC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) = \{x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x(t) \text{ be continuous everywhere except for some } t_k \text{ at which } x(t_k^+) \text{ and } x(t_k^-) \text{ exist and } x(t_k^-) = x(t_k)\}$,

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34K13, 34K45.

Key words and phrases. third order delay differential equations; Impulses; Periodic solutions; Mawhin's continuation theorem; Fredholm operator of index zero.

$PC^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) = \{x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x(t)$ is continuous everywhere except for some t_k at which $x'(t_k^+)$ and $x'(t_k^-)$ exist and $x'(t_k^-) = x'(t_k)\}$.

$PC^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) = \{x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x(t)$ is continuous everywhere except for some t_k at which $x''(t_k^+)$ and $x''(t_k^-)$ exist and $x''(t_k^-) = x''(t_k)\}$.

Let $X = \{x(t) \in PC^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), x(t+T) = x(t)\}$ with norm $\|x\| = \max\{|x|_\infty, |x'|_\infty\}$, where $|x|_\infty = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |x(t)|$,

$Y = PC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, with norm $\|y\| = \max\{|u|_\infty, |c|\}$, where $u \in PC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), c = (c_1, \dots, c_{2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, |c| = \max_{1 \leq k \leq 2n} \{|c_k|\}$.

$Z = PC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, with norm $\|z\| = \max\{|v|_\infty, |d|\}$, where $v \in PC(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), d = (d_1, \dots, d_{2n}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, |d| = \max_{1 \leq k \leq 2n} \{|d_k|\}$.

Then X, Y and Z are Banach spaces. $L : D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$ and $L : D(L) \subset Y \rightarrow Z$ are a Fredholm operator of index zero, where $D(L)$ denotes the domain of L . $P : X \rightarrow X, Q : Y \rightarrow Y, R : Z \rightarrow Z$ are projectors such that

$$\text{Im } P = \ker L, \quad \ker Q = \text{Im } L, \quad \ker R = \text{Im } L,$$

$$X = \ker L \oplus \ker P, \quad Y = \text{Im } L \oplus \text{Im } Q, \quad Z = \text{Im } L \oplus \text{Im } R.$$

It continues that

$$L|_{D(L) \cap \ker P} : D(L) \cap \ker P \rightarrow \text{Im } L$$

is invertible and we assume the inverse of that map by K_p . Let Ω be an open bounded subset of $X, D(L) \cap \overline{\Omega} \neq \emptyset$, the map $N : X \rightarrow Y$ will be called L -compact in $\overline{\Omega}$, if $QN(\overline{\Omega})$ is bounded and $K_p(I - Q)N : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow X$ is compact.

Similarly it follows that

$$L|_{D(L) \cap \ker Q} : D(L) \cap \ker Q \rightarrow \text{Im } L$$

is invertible and we assume the inverse of that map by K_q . Let Ω be an open bounded subset of $Y, D(L) \cap \overline{\Omega} \neq \emptyset$, the map $N : Y \rightarrow Z$ will be called L -compact in $\overline{\Omega}$, if $RN(\overline{\Omega})$ is bounded and $K_q(I - R)N : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow Y$ is compact.

2. PRELIMINARIES

This paper obtains the existence of periodic solutions for the third-order delay differential equations with impulses

$$\begin{aligned} x'''(t) + f(t, x''(t)) + g(t, x'(t)) + h(x(t - \tau(t))) &= p(t), t \geq 0, t \neq t_k, \\ \Delta x(t_k) &= I_k, \\ \Delta x'(t_k) &= J_k, \\ \Delta x''(t_k) &= K_k. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\Delta x(t_k) = x(t_k^+) - x(t_k^-), x(t_k^+) = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_k^+} x(t), x(t_k^-) = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_k^-} x(t), x(t_k^-) = x(t_k);$

$\Delta x'(t_k) = x'(t_k^+) - x'(t_k^-), x'(t_k^+) = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_k^+} x'(t), x'(t_k^-) = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_k^-} x'(t), x'(t_k^-) = x'(t_k);$

$\Delta x''(t_k) = x''(t_k^+) - x''(t_k^-), x''(t_k^+) = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_k^+} x''(t), x''(t_k^-) = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_k^-} x''(t), x''(t_k^-) = x''(t_k).$

We assume that the following conditions:

$$\text{(H1)} \quad f \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}) \text{ and } g(t + T, x) = g(t, x), h \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), p, \tau \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \text{ with } \tau(t + T) = \tau(t), p(t + T) = p(t);$$

- (H2) $\{t_k\}$ satisfies $t_k < t_{k+1}$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \pm\infty} t_k = \pm\infty$, $k \in Z$,
 $I_k(x, y), J_k(x, y), K_k(x, y) \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, and there is a positive n such that
 $\{t_k\} \cap [0, T] = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$, $t_{k+n} = t_k + T$,
 $I_{k+n}(x, y) = I_k(x, y), J_{k+n}(x, y) = J_k(x, y), K_{k+n}(x, y) = K_k(x, y)$.
(H3) There are constants $\sigma, \beta \geq 0$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad |f(t, x)| \leq \sigma|x|, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$(2.3) \quad xf(t, x) \geq \beta|x|^2, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R};$$

- (H4) There are constants $\sigma, \beta \geq 0$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad |g(t, x)| \leq \sigma|x|, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$(2.5) \quad x^2g(t, x) \geq \beta|x|^2, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R};$$

- (H5) there are constants $\beta_i \geq 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) such that

$$(2.6) \quad |h(x)| \geq \beta_1 + \beta_2|x|,$$

$$(2.7) \quad |h(x) - h(y)| \leq \beta_3|x - y|;$$

- (H6) there are constants $\gamma_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), such that $|\int_x^{x+\lambda J_k(x, y)} h(s)ds| \leq |J_k(x, y)|(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2|x| + \gamma_3|J_k(x, y)|)$, $\forall \lambda \in (0, 1)$;

- (H7) there are constants $a_k, a'_k, a''_k \geq 0$ such that $|K_k(x, y)| \leq a_k|x|^2 + a'_k|x| + a''_k$;

- (H8) $zK_k(x, y) \leq 0$ and there are constants $b_k \geq 0$ such that $|K_k(x, y)| \leq b_k$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let L be a Fredholm operator of index zero and let N be L -compact on $\bar{\Omega}$. We assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $Lx \neq \lambda Nx, \forall x \in \partial\Omega \cap D(L), \lambda \in (0, 1)$;
- (ii) $RNx \neq 0$, for all $x \in \partial\Omega \cap \ker L$;
- (iii) $\deg\{KRNx, \Omega \cap \ker L, 0\} \neq 0$, where $K : \text{Im } R \rightarrow \ker L$ is an isomorphism.

Then the abstract equation $Lx = Nx$ has at least one solution in $\bar{\Omega} \cap D(L)$.

We assume the operators $L : D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$ and $L : D(L) \subset Y \rightarrow Z$ by

$$(2.8) \quad Lx = (x''', \Delta x(t_1), \dots, \Delta x(t_n), \Delta x'(t_1), \dots, \Delta x'(t_n), \Delta x''(t_1), \dots, \Delta x''(t_n)),$$

and $N : X \rightarrow Y, N : Y \rightarrow Z$ by

$$(2.9)$$

$$Nx = (-f(t, x''(t)) - g(t, x'(t)) - h(x(t - \tau(t))) + p(t),$$

$$I_1(x(t_1)), \dots, I_n(x(t_n)), J_1(x'(t_1)), \dots, J_n(x'(t_n)), K_1(x''(t_1)), \dots, K_n(x''(t_n))).$$

Lemma 2.2. *L is a Fredholm operator of index zero with*

$$(2.10) \quad \ker L = \{x(t) = c, t \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Im } L(y, z, a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n) \\ &= \int_0^T (y(s) + z(s))ds + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k(T - t_k) + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + x'(0)T = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let the linear operators $P : X \rightarrow X, Q : Y \rightarrow Y$ and $R : Z \rightarrow Z$ be defined by

$$(2.12) \quad Px = x(0),$$

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & Q(y, a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n) \\ &= \frac{2}{T^2} \left[\int_0^T (T-s)y(s)ds + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k(T-t_k) + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + x'(0)T \right], 0, \dots, 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & R(z, a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n) \\ &= \frac{2}{T^2} \left[\int_0^T (T-s)z(s)ds + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k(T-t_k) + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + x'(0)T \right], 0, \dots, 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3. *If $\alpha > 0$, $x(t) \in PC^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $x(t+T) = x(t)$, then*

$$(2.15) \quad \int_0^T \int_{t-\alpha}^t |x'(s)|^2 ds dt = \alpha \int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt$$

and

$$(2.16) \quad \int_0^T \int_t^{t+\alpha} |x'(s)|^2 ds dt = \alpha \int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(t, \alpha) &= \sum_{t-\alpha \leq t_k \leq t} a_k, & A_2(t, \alpha) &= \sum_{t \leq t_k \leq t+\alpha} a_k, \\ B_1(t, \alpha) &= \sum_{t-\alpha \leq t_k \leq t} a'_k, & B_2(t, \alpha) &= \sum_{t \leq t_k \leq t+\alpha} a'_k, \\ C_1(t, \alpha) &= \sum_{t-\alpha \leq t_k \leq t} a''_k, & C_2(t, \alpha) &= \sum_{t \leq t_k \leq t+\alpha} a''_k, \\ I_1 &= \left(\int_0^T A_1^2(t, \alpha) dt \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_0^T A_2^2(t, \alpha) dt \right)^{1/2}, \\ I_2 &= \left(\int_0^T B_1^2(t, \alpha) dt \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_0^T B_2^2(t, \alpha) dt \right)^{1/2}, \\ I_3 &= \int_0^T A_1^2(t, \alpha) dt + \int_0^T A_2^2(t, \alpha) dt, \\ I_4 &= \int_0^T A_1(t, \alpha) B_1(t) dt + \int_0^T A_2(t, \alpha) B_2(t) dt, \\ I_5 &= \int_0^T B_1^2(t, \alpha) dt + \int_0^T B_2^2(t, \alpha) dt \end{aligned}$$

The following Lemma is important for us to the delay $\tau(t)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose $\tau(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\tau(t+T) = \tau(t)$ and $\tau(t) \in [-\alpha, \alpha]$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x(t) \in PC^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $x(t+T) = x(t)$ and there is a positive n such that $\{t_k\} \cap [0, T] = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$, $\Delta x(t_k) = \lambda I_k(x(t_k), x'(t_k))$ for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $t_{k+n} = t_k + T$, $I_{k+n}(x, y) = I_k(x, y)$. Furthermore there exist nonnegative constants*

a_k, a_k such that $|I_k(x, y)| \leq a_k|x| + a'_k$. Then

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^T |x(t) - x(t - \tau(t))|^2 dt \\ & \leq 2\alpha^2 \int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt + 2\alpha I_1 |x(t)|_\infty \left(\int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad + 2\alpha I_2 \left(\int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + I_3 |x(t)|_\infty^2 + I_4 |x(t)|_\infty + I_5. \end{aligned}$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

We establish the theorems of existence of periodic solution based on the following two conditions.

Theorem 3.1. *We assume that (H1)–(H8) hold. Then (3.3) has at least one T -periodic solution and*

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n a_k < 1,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left[\gamma_2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right) + \gamma_3 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \right) \right] M^2 + \beta_3 \left[2|\tau(t)|_\infty^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2|\tau(t)|_\infty I_1 (|\tau(t)|_\infty) M + I_3 (|\tau(t)|_\infty) M^2 \right]^{1/2} < \beta, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\beta_2 T^{1/2}} + T^{1/2} \right).$$

Proof. Consider the abstract equation $Lx = \lambda Nx$, with $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, where L and N are given by (2.8) and (2.9). Let

$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in D(L) : \ker L, Lx = \lambda Nx \text{ for some } \lambda \in (0, 1)\}.$$

For $x \in \Omega_1$, we get

$$(3.3) \quad x'''(t) + f(t, x''(t)) + g(t, x'(t)) + h(x(t - \tau(t))) = p(t), t \geq 0, t \neq t_k,$$

Integrating the interval on $[0, T]$, using Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T h(x(t - \tau(t))) dt \right| \\ & = \left| \int_0^T p(t) dt - \int_0^T f(t, x''(t)) dt - \int_0^T g(t, x'(t)) dt + \sum_{k=1}^n K_k(x(t_k), x''(t_k)) \right| \\ & \leq T|p(t)|_\infty + \sigma \int_0^T |x''(t)| dt + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \\ & \leq \sigma T^{1/2} \left(\int_0^T |x''(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + T|p(t)|_\infty + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k. \end{aligned}$$

From the above formula, there is a interval on $t_0 \in [0, T]$ such that

$$|h(x(t_0 - \tau(t_0)))| \leq \frac{\sigma}{T^{1/2}} \left(\int_0^T |x''(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + |p(t)|_\infty + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k.$$

From (2.6), we get

$$\beta_1 + \beta_2|x(t_0 - \tau(t_0))| \leq \frac{\sigma}{T^{1/2}} \left(\int_0^T |x''(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + |p(t)|_\infty + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k.$$

Then

$$|x(t_0 - \tau(t_0))| \leq \frac{\sigma}{\beta_2 T^{1/2}} \left(\int_0^T |x''(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + d,$$

where $d = (|p(t)|_\infty + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k - \beta_1) / \beta_2$. So there is an integer m and an interval $t_1 \in [0, T]$ such that $t_0 - \tau(t_0) = mT + t_1$. Therefore

$$|x(t_1)| = |x(t_0 - \tau(t_0))| \leq \frac{\sigma}{\beta_2 T^{1/2}} \left(\int_0^T |x''(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + d,$$

$$x(t) = x(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^t x''(s) ds + \sum_{t_1 \leq t_k < t} K_k(x(t_k), x''(t_k)).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t)|_\infty &\leq |x(t_1)| + \int_{t_1}^t |x''(s)| ds + \sum_{t_1 \leq t_k < t} |K_k(x(t_k))| \\ &\leq \frac{\sigma}{\beta_2 T^{1/2}} \left(\int_0^T |x''(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + d + \int_0^T |x''(t)| dt + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k |x|_\infty + \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k + \sum_{k=1}^n a''_k \\ &\leq |x|_\infty \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + \left(\frac{\sigma}{\beta_2 T^{1/2}} + T^{1/2} \right) \left(\int_0^T |x''(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + d + \sum_{k=1}^n a'_k + \sum_{k=1}^n a''_k. \end{aligned}$$

It continues that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad |x(t)|_\infty &\leq \frac{d + \sum_{k=1}^n a''_k}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k} + \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\beta_2 T^{1/2}} + T^{1/2} \right) \left(\int_0^T |x''(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &= c_1 + M \left(\int_0^T |x''(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where c_1 is a positive constant. On the other hand, multiplying both side of (3.3) by $x'(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T x'''(t)x''(t)dt + \lambda \int_0^T f(t, x''(t))x'(t)dt + \lambda \int_0^T g(t, x'(t))x'(t)dt + \lambda \int_0^T h(t, x(t - \tau(t)))x'(t)dt \\ &= \lambda \int_0^T p(t)x'(t)dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_0^T x'''(t)x''(t)dt = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n [(x''(t_k^+))^2 - (x''(t_k))^2],$$

Our assumption (H7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (x'(t_k^+))^2 - (x'(t_k))^2 \\
&= (x'(t_k^+) + x'(t_k))(x'(t_k^+) - x'(t_k)) \\
&= \Delta x'(t_k)(2x'(t_k) + \Delta x'(t_k)) \\
&= \lambda K_k(x(t_k), x'(t_k))(2x'(t_k) + \lambda K_k(x(t_k), x'(t_k))) \\
&= 2\lambda K_k(x(t_k), x'(t_k))x'(t_k) + [\lambda K_k(x(t_k), x'(t_k))]^2 \leq b_k^2.
\end{aligned}$$

In (2.5), by use Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad & \beta \int_0^T |x''(t)|^2 dt \\
& \leq - \int_0^T h(x(t - \tau(t)))x'(t) dt + \int_0^T p(t)x'(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 \\
& = \int_0^T [h(x(t)) - h(x(t - \tau(t)))]x'(t) dt - \int_0^T h(x(t))x'(t) dt \\
& \quad + \int_0^T p(t)x'(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n b_k^2 \\
& \leq \int_0^T |h(x(t)) - h(x(t - \tau(t)))||x'(t)| dt + |p(t)|_\infty \int_0^T |x'(t)| dt \\
& \quad + \left| \int_0^T h(x(t))x'(t) dt \right| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n b_k^2 \\
& \leq \left[\left(\int_0^T |h(x(t)) - h(x(t - \tau(t)))|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + |p(t)|_\infty T^{1/2} \right] \left(\int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\
& \quad + \left| \int_0^T h(x(t))x'(t) dt \right| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n b_k^2.
\end{aligned}$$

From (H5) and (H6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_0^T h(x(t))x'(t)dt \right| \\
 &= \left| \int_{x(0)}^{x(t_1)} h(s)ds + \int_{x(t_1^+)}^{x(t_2)} h(s)ds + \dots + \int_{x(t_n^+)}^{x(T)} h(s)ds \right| \\
 &= \left| \int_{x(0)}^{x(T)} h(s)ds - \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{x(t_k)}^{x(t_k^+)} h(s)ds \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \int_{x(t_k)}^{x(t_k) + \lambda K_k(x(t_k), x'(t_k))} h(s)ds \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n [|K_k(x(t_k), x'(t_k))| (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 |x(t_k)| + \gamma_3 |K_k(x(t_k), x'(t_k))|)] \\
 &\leq [\gamma_2 (\sum_{k=1}^n a_k) + \gamma_3 (\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2)] |x(t)|_\infty^2 + c_2 |x(t)|_\infty + c_3,
 \end{aligned}$$

where c_2, c_3 are constants. From (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad & \left| \int_0^T h(x(t))x'(t)dt \right| \\
 & \leq [\gamma_2 (\sum_{k=1}^n a_k) + \gamma_3 (\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2)] M^2 \int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt + c_4 \left(\int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + c_5,
 \end{aligned}$$

where c_4, c_5 are constants. From Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^T |h(x(t)) - h(x(t - \tau(t)))|^2 dt \\
 & \leq \beta_3^2 \int_0^T |x(t) - x(t - \tau(t))|^2 dt \\
 & \leq \beta_3^2 [2|\tau(t)|_\infty^2 \int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt + 2|\tau(t)|_\infty I_1(|\tau(t)|_\infty) |x(t)|_\infty \left(\int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \quad + 2|\tau(t)|_\infty I_2(|\tau(t)|_\infty) \left(\int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + I_3(|\tau(t)|_\infty) |x(t)|_\infty^2 \\
 & \quad + I_4(|\tau(t)|_\infty) |x(t)|_\infty + I_5(|\tau(t)|_\infty)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.4) into the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^T |h(x(t)) - h(x(t - \tau(t)))|^2 dt \\
 & \leq \beta_3^2 [2|\tau(t)|_\infty^2 + 2|\tau(t)|_\infty I_1(|\tau(t)|_\infty) M \\
 & \quad + I_3(|\tau(t)|_\infty) M^2] \int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt + c_6 \left(\int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + c_7,
 \end{aligned}$$

where c_6, c_7 are constants. From above inequality

$$(3.7) \quad (a + b)^{1/2} \leq a^{1/2} + b^{1/2} \quad \text{for } a \geq 0, b \geq 0,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_0^T |h(x(t)) - h(x(t - \tau(t)))|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \beta_3 [2|\tau(t)|_\infty^2 + 2|\tau(t)|_\infty I_1(|\tau(t)|_\infty) M \\ & \quad + I_3(|\tau(t)|_\infty) M^2]^{1/2} \left(\int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + c_6^{1/2} \left(\int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/4} + c_7^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above formula and (3.6) in (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \beta - [\gamma_2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right) + \gamma_3 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \right)] M^2 - \beta_3 [2|\tau(t)|_\infty^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2|\tau(t)|_\infty I_1(|\tau(t)|_\infty) M + I_3(|\tau(t)|_\infty) M^2]^{1/2} \right\} \int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt \\ & \leq c_8 \left(\int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} + c_9 \left(\int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + c_{10}, \end{aligned}$$

where c_8, c_9, c_{10} are constants. There is a constant $M_1 > 0$ such that

$$(3.8) \quad \int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt \leq M_1.$$

From (3.4), we get

$$|x(t)|_\infty \leq d + M \left(\int_0^T |x'(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq d + M(M_1)^{1/2}.$$

Then there is a constant $M_2 > 0$ such that $|x(t)|_\infty \leq M_2$. Therefore, integrating (3.3) on the interval $[0, T]$, using Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |x'''(t)| dt &= \int_0^T | -f(t, x''(t)) - g(t, x'(t)) - h(x(t - \tau(t))) + p(t) | dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T |f(t, x''(t))| dt + \int_0^T |g(t, x'(t))| dt + \int_0^T |h(x(t - \tau(t)))| dt + \int_0^T |p(t)| dt \\ &\leq \sigma \int_0^T |x''(t)| dt + h_\delta T + T|p(t)|_\infty \\ &\leq \sigma T^{1/2} \left(\int_0^T |x''(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} + h_\delta T + T|p(t)|_\infty \\ &\leq \sigma T^{1/2} (M_1)^{1/2} + h_\delta T + T|p(t)|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $h_\delta = \max_{|x| \leq \delta} |g(x)|$. Then there is a constant $M_3 > 0$ such that

$$(3.9) \quad \int_0^T |x''(t)| dt \leq M_3.$$

From (3.8), then there are $t_2 \in [0, T]$ and $c > 0$ such that $|x'(t_2)| \leq c$ for $t \in [0, T]$

$$(3.10) \quad |x'(t)|_\infty \leq |x'(t_2)| + \int_0^T |x''(t)| dt + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k.$$

Then there is a constant $M_4 > 0$ such that

$$(3.11) \quad |x'(t)|_\infty \leq M_4.$$

It follows that there is a constant $I_2 > \max\{M_2, M_4\}$ such that $\|x\| \leq I_2$, Thus Ω_1 is bounded.

Let $\Omega_2 = \{x \in \ker L, RNx = 0\}$. If $x \in \Omega_2$, then $x(t) = c \in R$ and satisfies

$$(3.12) \quad RN(x, 0) = \left(-\frac{2}{T^2} \int_0^T [f(t, 0) + g(t, 0) + h(c) - p(t)]dt, 0, \dots, 0\right) = 0.$$

we get

$$(3.13) \quad \int_0^T [f(t, 0) + g(t, 0) + h(c) - p(t)]dt = 0.$$

In (3.13), there must be a interval $t_0 \in [0, T]$ such that

$$(3.14) \quad h(c) = -f(t_0, 0) - g(t_0, 0) + p(t_0).$$

From (3.14) and assumption (H3), (H4), we get

$$(3.15) \quad \beta_1 + \beta_2|c| \leq |h(c)| \leq |f(t_0, 0)| + |g(t_0, 0)| + |p(t_0)| \leq \sigma \times 0 + |p(t)|_\infty.$$

Then

$$(3.16) \quad |c| \leq \frac{|p(t)|_\infty - \beta_1}{\beta_2}$$

which implies Ω_2 is bounded. Let Ω be a non-empty open bounded subset of X such that $\Omega \supset \overline{\Omega_1} \cup \overline{\Omega_2} \cup \overline{\Omega_3}$, where $\Omega_3 = \{x \in X : |x| < |p(t)|_\infty - \beta_1 / \beta_2 + 1\}$. By Lemmas 2.2, we can see that L is a Fredholm operator of index zero and N is L -compact on $\overline{\Omega}$. Then by the above argument,

- (i) $Lx \neq \lambda Nx$ for all $x \in \partial\Omega \cap D(L)$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$;
- (ii) $RNx \neq 0$ for all $x \in \partial\Omega \cap \ker L$.

Finally we prove that (iii) of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied. We take $H(x, \mu) : \Omega \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$,

$$H(x, \mu) = \mu x + \frac{2(1-\mu)}{T^2} \int_0^T [-f(t, x''(t)) - g(t, x'(t)) + h(x(t-\tau(t)) + p(t))]dt.$$

From assumptions (H3) and (H4), we can easily verify $H(x, \mu) \neq 0$, for all $(x, \mu) \in \partial\Omega \cap \ker L \times [0, 1]$, which results in

$$\begin{aligned} \deg\{KRNx, \Omega \cap \ker L, 0\} &= \deg\{H(x, 0), \Omega \cap \ker L, 0\} \\ &= \deg\{H(x, 1), \Omega \cap \ker L, 0\} \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $K(x, 0, \dots, 0) = x$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, Equation (3.3) has at least one T -periodic solution. □

Example 1. Consider the third order delay differential equation with impulses

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} x'''(t) + \frac{1}{3}x''(t) + \frac{1}{6}x'(t) + \frac{1}{21}x(t - \frac{1}{10}\cos t) &= \sin t, \quad t \neq k, \\ I_k(x, y) &= \frac{\sin \frac{k\pi}{3}}{120}x + \frac{y}{1+y^2}, \\ J_k(x, y) &= -\frac{2x^2y}{1+x^4y^2}, \\ K_k(x, y) &= -\frac{4x^4y}{1+x^8y^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t_k = k$, $f(t, x) = \frac{1}{3}x^2$, $g(t, x) = \frac{1}{6}x$, $h(y) = \frac{1}{21}y$, $p(t) = \sin t$, $\tau(t) = \frac{1}{10} \cos t$, it is easy to see that $|\tau(t)|_\infty = \frac{1}{10}$, $T = 2\pi$, $\{k\} \cap [0, 2\pi] = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$, $\sigma = \beta = \frac{1}{3}$, $\beta_1 = 0$, $\beta_2 = \beta_3 = \frac{1}{21}$. Since $|I_k(x, y)| \leq \frac{1}{120}|x| + \frac{1}{2}$, $|J_k(x, y)| \leq 1$, $|\int_x^{x+I_k(x,y)} h(s)ds| \leq |I_k(x, y)|(\frac{1}{21}|x| + \frac{1}{42}|I_k(x, y)|)$, $|K_k(x, y)| \leq 1$, $|\int_x^{x+J_k(x,y)} h(s)ds| \leq |J_k(x, y)|(\frac{1}{21}|x| + \frac{1}{42}|J_k(x, y)|)$, then we take $a_k = \frac{1}{120}$, $a'_k = \frac{1}{2}$, $b'_k = 1$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$), $\gamma_1 = 0$, $\gamma_2 = 1/21$, $\gamma_3 = 1/42$.

$$\sum_{k=1}^8 a_k = \frac{1}{20} < 1,$$

$$M = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\beta_2 T^{1/2}} + T^{1/2} \right) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{20}} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{3}}{\frac{1}{21}(2\pi)^{1/2}} + (2\pi)^{1/2} \right) < 8.$$

By Theorem 3.1, Equation (3.17) has at least one 2π -periodic solution.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referees for their helpful comments, which improved the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Zhimin He and Weigao Ge, *Oscillations of second-order nonlinear impulsive ordinary differential equations*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, Volume 158, Issue 2, 15 September 2003, Pages 397-406.
- [2] Jiaowan Luo and Lokenath Debnath, *Oscillations of Second-Order Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations with Impulses*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Volume 240, Issue 1, 1 December 1999, Pages 105-114.
- [3] C. Fabry, J. Mawhin, M. Nkashama; *A multiplicity result for periodic solutions of forced nonlinear second order ordinary differential equations*, Bull London Math soc. 18 (1986) 173-180.
- [4] K. Gopalsamy, B. G. Zhang; *On delay differential equations with impulses*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 139 (1989) 110-122.
- [5] I. T. Kiguradze, B. Puza; *On periodic solutions of system of differential equations with deviating arguments*, Nonlinear Anal.42 (2000) 229-242.
- [6] V. Lakshmikantham, D. D. Bainov, P. S. Simeonov; *Theory of impulsive differential equations*, World Scientific Singapore, 1989.
- [7] Lijun Pan, *Periodic solutions for higher order differential equations with deviating argument*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications Volume 343, Issue 2, 15 July 2008, Pages 904-918.
- [8] S. Lu, W. Ge; *Sufficient conditions for the existence of periodic solutions to some second order differential equation with a deviating argument*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 308 (2005) 393-419.
- [9] J. H. Shen; *The nonoscillatory solutions of delay differential equations with impulses*, Appl. Math. comput. 77 (1996) 153-165.

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SRM UNIVERSITY, KATTANKULATHUR - 603 203, TAMIL NADU, INDIA
E-mail address: balamurali.maths@gmail.com