

ORİJİNAL MAKALE / ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Balıkesir Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi / BAUN Sağ Bil Derg Balıkesir Health Sciences Journal / BAUN Health Sci J ISSN: 2146-9601- e ISSN: 2147-2238 Doi: https://doi.org/10.53424/balikesirsbd.1586909



Association Between Psychosocial Factors and Low Back Pain Among Nurses Working in Intensive Care Units

Hakan BAYDUR¹, Sevgi VERMISLI², Bilgen ULAMIS³, Emel YILMAZ¹

Manisa Celal Bayar University, Faculty of Health Sciences
 Bursa City Hospital, Department of Operating Room
 Health Sciences University, Tepecik Training and Research Hospital

Geliş Tarihi / Received: 17.11.2024, Kabul Tarihi / Accepted: 10.12.2024

ABSTRACT

Objective: Low back pain is among the most common occupational health problems in nurses. It is known that occupational exposure and psychosocial factors are important risk factors. The purpose of this study is to assess the prevalence of low back pain among nurses employed in intensive care units and to examine the relationship between psychosocial factors and the occurrence of low back pain. **Materials and Methods:** This cross-sectional study was conducted with 127 nurses employed in intensive care units. Data were gathered on participants' socio-demographic characteristics, presence of low back pain among these nurses was found to be 70.9%. Low back pain was high in female participants [OR:6.56 (95% CI:1.98-21.73)] and those who worked the night shift [OR:6.62 (95% CI:1.68-26.09)] and the presence of the social support in the workplace [OR:0.97 (95% CI:0.95-0.99)] has a protective effect against low back pain. **Conclusion:** Among nurses working in intensive care units, females and those assigned to night shifts experienced more intense low back pain, while those with social support reported less frequent discomfort.

Keywords: Low Back Pain, Psychosocial Factors, Social Support, Effort-Reward Imbalance, Job Strain.

Yoğun Bakım Ünitelerinde Çalışan Hemşirelerde Psikososyal Faktörler ve Bel Ağrısı Arasındaki İlişki

ÖZ

Amaç: Bel ağrısı, hemşirelerde en sık görülen mesleki sağlık sorunları arasındadır. Mesleki maruziyetin ve psikososyal faktörleri olduğu bilinmektedir. Bu çalışmanın amacı, yoğun bakım ünitelerinde çalışan hemşirelerde bel ağrısının sıklığını ve psikososyal faktörler ile bel ağrısı arasındaki ilişkiyi belirlemektir. **Gereç ve Yöntem:** Bu araştırma, yoğun bakım ünitelerinde çalışan 127 hemşire ile yürütülen kesitsel bir çalışmadır. Katılımcıların sosyo-demografik özellikleri, bel ağrısı semptomlarının varlığı ve psikososyal faktörler hakkındaki veriler toplanmış ve SPSS 15.0 ile analiz edilmiştir. **Bulgular:** Son bir ay içinde hemşirelerde bel ağrısı sıklığı %70,9 idi. Kadın katılımcıların bel ağrısı erkeklere [OR:6.56 (%95 GA:1.98-21.73)] ve gece vardiyasında çalışanlara [OR:6.62 (%95 GA:1.68-26.09)] göre yüksek bulunmuştur. Buna karşın iş yerinde sosyal desteğin varlığı [OR:0.97 (%95 GA:0.95-0.99)] bel ağrısına karşı koruyucu bir etkiye sahiptir. **Sonuç:** Yoğun bakım ünitelerinde çalışan kadın hemşireler ve gece vardiyasında çalışanlar daha fazla bel ağrısı yaşarken, sosyal desteği yüksek olanlarda bel ağrısı sıklığı daha düşüktür.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Bel Ağrısı, Psikososyal Faktörler, Sosyal Destek, Çaba-Ödül Dengesizliği, İş Stresi.

Sorumlu Yazar / Corresponding Author: Hakan BAYDUR, Manisa Celal Bayar University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Manisa, Türkiye.

E-mail: hakan.baydur@gmail.com

Bu makaleye atıf yapmak için / Cite this article: Baydur, H., Vermişli, S., Ulamış, B. & Yılmaz, E. (2024). Association between psychosocial factors and low back pain among nurses working in intensive care units. *BAUN Health Sci J*, *13*(3), 628-635. <u>https://doi.org/10.53424/balikesirsbd.1586909</u>



BAUN Health Sci J, OPEN ACCESS https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/balikesirsbd This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License

INTRODUCTION

Low back pain (LBP) stands out as the most frequently reported musculoskeletal issue among workers. In research on disease burden, Murrey et al., LBP which ranked 11th in the global disabilityadjusted life-years in 1990 took the 6th place in 2010. In developed countries, the problem is in the first three (Murray et al., 2012). In the article by Ferrari et al. (2024) on global burden of disease study, it was reported that low back pain dropped from 12th place to 9th place from 1990 to 2021. When the data from the same study is examined, it is seen that it always ranks first in terms of Years Lived with Disability without any change.

LBP complaints are among the most common problems in health and social services workers (Cherry et al., 2001). Studies have reported a wide range of LBP prevalence among nurses, with figures varying between 33.0% and 86.0% (Lorusso et al., 2007). Cargnin and colleagues study involving nurses, LBP was reported by 51.4% of participants over the past year, while 45.4% experienced it within the last week (Cargnin et al., 2019). A review study conducted on Italian nurses reported LBP incidence ranging from 13.7% to 20.0% annually, with prevalence between 17% and 63.7% (Brusini, 2021). An in-depth meta-analysis of research focused on ICU nurses reported that the annual prevalence of low back pain (LBP) ranged from 34.5% to 100.0%. The pooled analysis in this study indicated a 12-month prevalence of 76.0% (95% CI, 69.0%-81.8%) (Sang et al., 2021). Another meta-analysis reported that 65.0% of healthcare workers experienced LBP annually (Al Amer, 2020). A similar rate was found in a meta-analysis study conducted in nurses working in the African region [Prevalence Rate: 64.1% (95% CI: 58.7-69.5)] (Kasa et al., 2020).

In the development of the LBP in working people, not only demographic characteristics (gender, age, etc.) but also occupational factors are effective (Al Amer, 2020; Coury et al., 2002; Latina et al., 2020; Özcan et al., 2007; Strazdins & Bammer, 2004; Vinstrup et al., 2020). A review study identified several significant factors associated with the development of LBP in nurses, including night shifts, inadequate training, frequent patient handling, lack of proper equipment, work department, obesity, age, work-related stress, and a lack of physical activity (Brusini, 2021). LBP complaints are more common especially in female health professionals than in males (Al Amer, 2020; Sun et al., 2021) and the frequency of complaints increase with age (Abolfotouh et al., 2015; Al Amer, 2020; Latina et al., 2020; Rezaee & Ghasemi, 2014). Another factor affecting LBP was high BMI level (Al Amer, 2020).

Research indicates that physical factors play a crucial role in the onset of LBP among nurses. In particular, inadequate ergonomic conditions, along with tasks like patient transportation, lifting, and manual handling, are recognized as factors that elevate the risk of both acute and chronic LBP in nurses (Al Amer, 2020; Brusini, 2021; Lee et al., 2015; Rezaee & Ghasemi, 2014; Yassi & Lockhart, 2013).

Research has highlighted the significant impact of psychosocial factors on the development of LBP and musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) in various professions. (Huang et al., 2002; Woods, 2005). In a study, high workload / low control increased the odds of having LBP among nurses OR:1.56 (95% CI:1.22-1.99) and 1.52 (95% CI:1.14-2.01) times more and low social support increased the odds of having back 1.82 (95% CI:1.43-2.32) times more. pain Furthermore, an imbalance between effort and reward has been found to elevate the risk of pain in any part of the body by 6.13 times (95% CI: 5.32-7.07) (Bernal et al., 2015). A study identified a significant link between nonspecific LBP in nurses and their perception of overload [OR:3.13 (95% CI: 1.62-6.05]. Inefficient work organization and adverse working conditions have been identified as factors that elevate the risk of LBP. (Cargnin et al., 2019). In the meta-analysis study, between high workload and experiencing neck pain or discomfort [OR 1.55 (95% CI: 1.39-1.72)] and between effort-reward imbalance and experiencing pain or discomfort in any body region [OR 2.56 (95% CI: 1.59-4.11)] a significant relationship was found (Ballester Arias & García, 2017). Another meta-analysis found a notable connection between LBP and work-related stress [OR: 1.71 (95% CI: 1.15-2.55)], as well as physical strain [OR: 1.76 (95% CI: 1.32-2.35)] (Du et al., 2021). The findings highlight a significant connection between workplace psychosocial factors and LBP in nurses. However, the role of social support as a potential moderator in this relationship has yet to be thoroughly investigated.

The purpose of this present study is to determine the prevalence of low back pain among nurses working in intensive care units and the association between psychosocial factors and low back pain.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study group

This research was carried out using a cross-sectional design and involved 127 nurses working in the ICUs of an urban hospital. The aim of the study was to reach all nurses working in ICUs. Of the nurses in the target population, 78.8% were reached.

Data collection tools

Data for the study were gathered through face-to-face interviews with participants, using a structured questionnaire.

The questionnaire comprises two sections: The first section consists of sociodemographic information of nurses (e.g., age, gender, parental status, night shift work, employment status, and weekly working hours), while the other assesses the severity of LBP in the past month and associated psychosocial factors. In addition, two measurement instruments were used to determine the psychosocial factors of the employees. The initial section includes the Swedish Demand-Control-Support Questionnaire, followed by the Effort-Reward Imbalance scale in the second part. Swedish Demand-Control-Support Questionnaire: One of the scales is the Swedish Demand-Control-Support Questionnaire. The questionnaire was adapted into Turkish by Demiral based on Karasek's Demand-Control-Support Questionnaire (Sanne et al., 2005). The scale, consisting of 17 items, had 4point Likert-type response options. The scale is used to assess the psychological demands (workload), decision latitude (control) and social support. Job Strain scores were calculated through dividing the workload score by the decision latitude score. The decision latitude subscale includes an item called "job strain". If the score obtained from this item is higher than 1, it indicates increased job strain (Demiral et al., 2007).

Effort-Reward Imbalance Scale: The second scale employed in this study is Siegrist's Effort-Reward Imbalance scale (Siegrist et al., 2014). The scale consists of 3 dimensions and 23 items. The scale has three subscales: effort, reward and overcommitment. Imbalance scores were calculated through dividing the effort score by the reward score. Effort-Reward Imbalance scale adapted from Demiral et al. (Demiral et al., 2012).

Variables

The key outcome metric for this study is work-related LBP suffered within the last month. The participants were asked a single question and requested to respond as either "yes" or "no".

The independent variables of the present study included questions on working conditions and relationships in the workplace in addition to sociodemographic characteristics of individuals. Among the questions are the types of employment contract, working hours (night shift, shift work), weekly working hours. In addition, psychosocial scales scores were determined using questionnaires. **Statistical analysis**

SPSS 15v was used to analyze the factors affecting low back pain. Univariate chi-square and t tests, multivariate logistic regression analysis were applied. The findings derived from the logistic regression analysis are expressed in terms of odds ratios (OR) accompanied by 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). **Ethical considerations**

All protocols involving human participants adhered strictly to the ethical guidelines set forth by the appropriate institutional and national research authorities. Moreover, the study adhered to the principles outlined in the 1964 Helsinki Declaration, including its subsequent revisions. Additionally, the research followed other relevant ethical guidelines. The Ethics Committee of Tepecik Education and Research Hospital granted approval for the study protocol (approval no/date: 47/24/4/2013/36). The institutional review board confirmed the informed consent process. All participants provided informed consent after receiving a comprehensive explanation about the study's goals, potential benefits, and the confidentiality protocols to ensure their privacy.

Variables		n	%
Gender	Male	16	12.6
	Female	111	87.4
Having children	Yes	52	40.9
	No	75	59.1
Marital status	Married	71	55.9
	Single	56	44.1
	Smoker	61	48.0
Smoking status	Former smoker	16	12.6
	Never smoker	50	39.4
Presence of a chronic disease	Yes	20	15.7
	No	107	84.3
Inappropriate ergonomic behaviors	Sometimes	33	26.0
	Always	94	74.0
Working the nightshift	Yes	116	91.3
	No	11	8.7
Employment status	Contracted employee	19	15.0
	Permanent employee	108	85.0
	Pediatric	44	34.6
Intensive care unit worked in	Adult	83	65.4
LBP (within the last month)	Yes	90	70.9
	No	37	29.1
	Mean±SD	Median (min-max)	
Recurrence of LBP (within the last month)	7.6±7.8	4 (1-30)	
Age	32.4±6.3	33 (20-57)	
Weekly working hours	49.1±7.0	48 (40-80)	
Professional seniority	11.0±6.8	11 (1-28)	

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics and the prevalence of LBP among nurses.

RESULTS

65.4% of the participants worked in adult ICUs, while 34.6% were employed in pediatric ICUs. 87.4% were female, 55.9% were married, 91.3% worked the night shift and 15.0% were employed contracted. The participants' mean age was 32.4 ± 6.3 years (Table 1). About three-fourths (70.9%) of the participants suffered LBP within the last month. The median frequency of LBP recurrence in the last month is 4. While some of the participants had LBP complaints after their shifts, some had LBP because they lifted patients, had to work standing or had to give care to the patient in an inappropriate position.

Based on the univariate analysis, female participants and those working the night shift suffered LBP more. In addition, those who lacked social support and achieved high effort and low reward scores suffered LBP more (Table 2).

	Low back pain			χ2	р	
Variables	Y	les	N	0		
Gender	n	%	n	%		
Male	6	37.5	10	62.5	9.871	0.002
Female	84	75.7	27	24.3		
Having children						
Yes	39	75.0	13	25.0	0.729	0.202
No	51	68.0	24	32.0		0.393
Smoking status						
Smoker	45	73.8	16	26.2		0.620
Former smoker	12	75.0	4	25.0	0.955	
Never smoker	33	66.0	17	34.0		
Presence of chronic disease						
Yes	12	60.0	8	40.0	1 259	0.244
No	78	72.9	29	27.1	1.358	
Inappropriate ergonomic behaviors						
Sometimes	19	57.6	14	42.4	3.814	0.074
Always	71	75.5	23	24.5		
Working the nightshift?						
Yes	86	74.1	30	25.9	6.944	0.008
No	4	36.4	7	63.6		
Employment status						
Contracted employee	13	68.4	6	31.6	0.065	0.799
Permanent employee	77	71.3	31	28.7		
Intensive care unit worked in						
Pediatric	35	79.5	9	20.5	2.456	0.117
Adult	55	66.3	28	33.7		
Quantitative variables	n	Mean±SD	n	Mean±SD	t	р
Workload	90	94.7±11.1	37	91.9±12.5	1.247	0.215
Decision latitude (control)	90	65±20.1	37	70.2±20.2	-1.329	0.186
Job strain	90	1.4±0.3	37	1.3±0.3	1.503	0.135
Social support	90	53.5±21.6	37	63.4±17.4	-2.461	0.015
Effort	90	20.4±4.3	37	18.5±4.9	2.126	0.035
Reward	90	29.5±10.6	37	34.2±12.0	-2.215	0.029
Effort-reward imbalance	90	1.9±1.0	37	1.5±0.9	1.924	0.057
Overcommitment	90	16.7±3.6	37	16.2±3.7	0.710	0.479
Age	90	32.3±6.6	37	32.6±5.6	-0.254	0.800
Weekly working hours	90	49.7±7.5	37	47.4±5.6	1.709	0.090
Professional seniority	90	10.9±6.9	37	11.3±6.8	-0.289	0.773

SD: standart deviation.

Based on the final reduced model results from the multivariate logistic regression analysis, women [OR: 6.56 (95% CI: 1.98-21.73)] and those who worked the night shift [OR: 6.62 (95% CI: 1.68-26.09)] suffered back pain more. The findings also indicated that having social support at work [OR:0.97 (95% CI:0.95-0.99)] provided a protective effect against LBP (p < 0.05) (Table 3).

Table 3. Factors linked to LBP in nurses andoutcomes from the reduced final logistic regressionmodel.

Variables	OR [95% CI]
Age	Ns
Gender (female)	6.56 [1.98-21.73]**
Working the nightshift	6.63 [1.68-26.09]**
Social support	$0.97 [0.95 - 0.99]^*$
Effort	Ns
Reward	Ns

Ns: Non Significance; *p<0.05; **p<0.01

DISCUSSION

In this investigation, 70.9% of the participants indicated that they had experienced LBP during the past month. However, prevalence rates vary across studies. For instance, an older study reported a sixmonth prevalence of LBP lasting three or more days at 28.0%. (Skovron et al., 1987). In contrast, a study conducted among Italian nurses reported one-year prevalence of LBP as high as 86% (Corona et al., 2005). Among Japanese nurses, the four-week prevalence of LBP was reported as 58.7%, while the annual prevalence was 75.9% (Fujii et al., 2019). A study conducted in Turkiye reported a lifetime prevalence of LBP at 84.0%, while the point prevalence was found to be 63.0% (Arasan et al., 2009). A study by Lee et al. carried out in California found that 61.7% of nurses across various healthcare sectors experienced low back pain. In the same investigation, 71.4% of nurses in long-term care units reported experiencing LBP, consistent with the results of the current study (Lee et al., 2015). The findings indicated that the variations in LBP severity were influenced not only by the methods of measurement but also by the participants' perceptions of their pain. Therefore, it is crucial to obtain baseline results during staff recruitment and follow up at regular intervals, as this ensures the comparability and consistency of the findings over time.

In this research, female participants and those who worked the night shift suffered LBP more. Many studies with similar findings have reported that LBP is more prevalent among female nurses (Abolfotouh et al., 2015; Al Amer, 2020; Choobineh et al., 2010; Rezaee & Ghasemi, 2014; Sun et al., 2021) and among women working in other professions (Cherry et al., 2001; Strazdins & Bammer, 2004) than was LBP among their male counterparts. In their study, Dahlberg et al. reported that of the men and women engaged in similar types of work, women suffered a higher incidence of musculoskeletal symptoms. This is probably not only because of biological differences but also because of ergonomic disposition inappropriate for the female body and non-paid activities such as housework, childcare, etc. which are mostly carried out by women (Dahlberg et al., 2004). Strazdins & Bammer reported that being female or parent made the participants more vulnerable to musculoskeletal problems (Strazdins & Bammer, 2004). In the present study, gender-related factors were also considered as potential contributors to LBP. Despite expectations, age did not show a significant link to the development of LBP. A similar result was determined in the meta-analysis study of Sun et al. (Al Amer, 2020; Sun et al., 2021). This lack of significant relationship may be attributed to the relatively young age group in the study, which had similar exposures to the risk factors for LBP.

Alternatively, working at nights necessitates long working hours without a break, and giving care to, lifting and transporting of patients with no help. Difficult interventions performed alone and without support especially by nurses providing patient care (Lee et al., 2015; Rezaee & Ghasemi, 2014; Serranheira et al., 2012), working the night shift and long working hours have been reported as risk factors in various studies (Abolfotouh et al., 2015; Strazdins & Bammer, 2004; Sun et al., 2021). The nurses participating in this present study worked 12 hours or longer on the night shifts. Thus, when they worked the night shift, they were exposed to physical burden for longer periods.

No significant relationship was determined between LBP and two of the psychosocial factors investigated by this study: workload and control. The findings of various studies examining the link between psychosocial factors and LBP differ across research. Alexopoulos et al. conducted two studies. The first study revealed no significant correlation between workload and LBP (Alexopoulos et al., 2003), however, in the second one, they determined that workload affected LBP of Dutch nurses but not that of Greek nurses (Alexopoulos et al., 2006).

In the study by Bos et al., the univariate analysis showed a significant association between workload and LBP, particularly among those working in ICUs. However, in multivariate comparisons, the relationship was not significant (Bos et al., 2007). Similar results were obtained in Magnago et al.'s study too (De Souza Magnago et al., 2010). However, several other studies have reported a significant association between LBP and psychosocial stress. (Choobineh et al., 2010; Du et al., 2021; Golabadi et al., 2013).. In Bernal et al.'s meta-analysis, excessive workload and low control have been found to affect LBP (Bernal et al., 2015). In this study, participants with LBP exhibited higher workload scores and lower control scores, but the association was not statistically significant. The findings could be influenced by the limited sample size, as the study did not encompass the entire population.

In this study, univariate analysis identified a significant association between LBP and the effortreward imbalance within the psychosocial factors. Nonetheless, the multivariate analysis did not show a significant relationship. The literature reveals a gap in studies exploring the connection between musculoskeletal complaints and effort-reward imbalance. In Weyers et al.'s study, a significant relationship was reported between musculoskeletal complaints and the effort-reward imbalance among Danish nurses (Weyers et al., 2006). In their study, Herin et al. investigated upper body-related complaints and found a significant relationship those complaints and the effort-reward imbalance (Herin et al., 2011). Similar results were reported in the metaanalysis study of Ballester Arias & García (2017), as a significant relationship between effort/reward imbalance and pain/discomfort in any body region (Ballester Arias & García, 2017). In the present study, no part of the upper body was investigated; only its

relationship with LBP was determined. The results were relatively consistent with the results in the literature.

Many studies in the literature have primarily focused on the health outcomes associated with physical exposure (Barzideh et al., 2014; Habibi et al., 2012; Rezaee & Ghasemi, 2014). On the other hand, social support, an important impact factor among psychosocial factors, has an important place because social support at work can change harmful effects of physical and ergonomic conditions. Therefore, many studies and reviews report that social support is significantly associated with health outcomes (Hughes et al., 1997; Sadeghian et al., 2015; Skov et al., 1996; Urquhart et al., 2013; Woods, 2005). In this study, social support was significantly linked to LBP in both univariate and multivariate analyses.

Limitations and Strengths

The present study has both advantages and limitations. A key constraint of this research is the small number of participants. Another limitation is that the study involved only nurses from ICUs, which may limit the applicability of the findings to other healthcare settings. One more limitation to consider is its cross-sectional design, which restricts the ability to draw definitive conclusions about causal relationships. One of the key strengths of this study lies in its recruitment of nurses working exclusively in ICUs. The use of direct interviews in data collection further boosts the credibility of the study's findings.

CONCLUSION

The absence of adequate social support was identified as a significant contributor to the progression of LBP in ICU nurses. Additionally, univariate analysis indicated that the imbalance between effort and reward was a significant modifier. The results indicate that psychosocial risk factors have a significant impact on nurses working in ICUs. To prevent LBP, it is essential to provide adequate social support and ensure a balanced effort/reward structure. Conducting similar studies in the future through follow-ups will help better understand cause-effect relationships of psychosocial factors in MSDs among nurses.

Acknowledgement

We thank the institution administrators of the Tepecik Education and Research Hospital. We also thank the healthcare workers for their voluntary participation.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest regarding the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Author Contributions

Plan, design: HB, SV, BU, EY; **Material, methods and data collection:** HB, SV, BU, EY; **Data analysis and comments:** HB, SV; **Writing and corrections:** HB, SV, BU, EY. **Funding**

None.

Ethical Approval

Institution: Tepecik Education and Research Hospital Local Ethics Committee. Date: 24.04.2013 Approval No: 47-24/4/2013-36

REFERENCES

- Abolfotouh, S. M., Mahmoud, K., Faraj, K., Moammer, G., ElSayed, A., & Abolfotouh, M. A. (2015). Prevalence, consequences and predictors of low back pain among nurses in a tertiary care setting. *International Orthopaedics 39*(12), 2439-2449. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00264-015-2900-x
- Al Amer, H. S. (2020). Low back pain prevalence and risk factors among health workers in Saudi Arabia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Occupational Health*, 62(1), e12155. https://doi.org/10.1002/1348-9585.12155
- Alexopoulos, E. C., Burdorf, A., & Kalokerinou, A. (2003). Risk factors for musculoskeletal disorders among nursing personnel in Greek hospitals. International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health, 76(4), 289-294. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-003-0442-9
- Alexopoulos, E. C., Burdorf, A., & Kalokerinou, A. (2006). A comparative analysis on musculoskeletal disorders between Greek and Dutch nursing personnel. *International Archives of Occupational* and Environmental Health, 79(1), 82-88. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-005-0033-z
- Arasan, F., Gün, K., Terzibaşıoğlu, A. M., & Sarıdoğan, M. (2009). Determination of the prevalence of low back pain among nurses working in a university hospital. *Cerrahpaşa Medical Journal*, 40(4), 136-143. https://doi.org/10.2399/ctd.09.136
- Ballester Arias, A. R., & García, A. M. (2017). Occupational exposure to psychosocial factors and presence of musculoskeletal disorders in nursing staff: a review of studies and meta-analysis. *Revista Española De Salud Pública*, 91(7), e1-e27.
- Barzideh, M., Choobineh, A. R., & Tabatabaee, H. R. (2014). Job stress dimensions and their relationship to musculoskeletal disorders in Iranian nurses. Work, 47(4), 423-429. https://doi.org/10.3233/wor-121585
- Bernal, D., Campos-Serna, J., Tobias, A., Vargas-Prada, S., Benavides, F. G., & Serra, C. (2015). Workrelated psychosocial risk factors and musculoskeletal disorders in hospital nurses and nursing aides: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *The International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 52(2), 635-648. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu .2014.11.003

- Bos, E., Krol, B., van der Star, L., & Groothoff, J. (2007). Risk factors and musculoskeletal complaints in non-specialized nurses, IC nurses, operation room nurses, and X-ray technologists. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 80(3), 198-206. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-006-0121-8
- Brusini, A. (2021). Low back pain among nurses in Italy: a review. *G Ital Med Lav Ergon*, 43(4), 369-372.
- Cargnin, Z. A., Schneider, D. G., Vargas, M. A. O., & Machado, R. R. (2019). Non-specific low back pain and its relation to the nursing work process. *Revista Latino-Americana de Enfermag*em. 27, e3172. https://doi.org/10.1590/1518-8345.2915.3172
- Cherry, N. M., Meyer, J. D., Chen, Y., Holt, D. L., & McDonald, J. C. (2001). The reported incidence of work-related musculoskeletal disease in the UK: MOSS 1997–2000. Occupational Medicine, 51(7), 450-455. https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/51.7.450
- Choobineh, A., Movahed, M., Tabatabaie, S. H., & Kumashiro, M. (2010). Perceived demands and musculoskeletal disorders in operating room nurses of Shiraz city hospitals. *Industrial Health*, 48(1), 74-84.
- Corona, G., Amedei, F., Miselli, F., Padalino, M. P., Tibaldi, S., & Franco, G. (2005). Association between relational and organizational factors and occurrence of musculoskeletal disease in health personnel. *Giornale Italiano di Medicina del Lavoro ed Ergonomia*, 27(2), 208-212.
- Coury, H. J. C. G., Porcatti, I. A., Alem, M. E. R., & Oishi, J. (2002). Influence of gender on work-related musculoskeletal disorders in repetitive tasks. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 29(1), 33-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-8141(01)00047-6
- Dahlberg, R., Karlqvist, L., Bildt, C., & Nykvist, K. (2004). Do work technique and musculoskeletal symptoms differ between men and women performing the same type of work tasks? *Applied Ergonomics*, *35*(6), 521-529. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2004.06.008
- De Souza Magnago, T. S., Lisboa, M. T., Griep, R. H., Kirchhof, A. L., & De Azevedo Guido, L. (2010). Psychosocial aspects of work and musculoskeletal disorders in nursing workers. *Revista Latino-Americana de Enfermagem*, 18(3), 429-435.
- Demiral, Y., Baydur, H., & Arık, H. (2012). Psychometric Properties of the effort-reward imbalance scale turkish version. 15.National Public Health Congress, Bursa-Turkey.
- Demiral, Y., Ünal, B., Kılıç, B., Soysal, A., Bilgin, A. C., Uçku, R., & Theorell, T. (2007). Validity and reliability of job stress questionnaire in izmirkonak municipality workers. *Bull Community Medicine*, 26(1), 11-18.
- Du, J., Zhang, L., Xu, C., & Qiao, J. (2021). Relationship Between the exposure to occupation-related psychosocial and physical exertion and upper body musculoskeletal diseases in hospital nurses: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Asian Nursing Research, 15(3), 163-173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anr.2021.03.003

- Ferrari, A. J., Santomauro, D. F., Aali, A., Abate, Y. H., Abbafati, C., Abbastabar, H., . . . Murray, C. J. L. (2024). Global incidence, prevalence, years lived with disability (YLDs), disability-adjusted lifeyears (DALYs), and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 371 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories and 811 subnational locations, 1990-2021: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. *The Lancet*, 403(10440), 2133-2161. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00757-8
- Fujii, T., Oka, H., Takano, K., Asada, F., Nomura, T., Kawamata, K., . . . Matsudaira, K. (2019). Association between high fear-avoidance beliefs about physical activity and chronic disabling low back pain in nurses in Japan. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 20(1), 572. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-019-2965-6
- Golabadi, M., Attarchi, M., Raeisi, S., & Namvar, M. (2013). Effects of psychosocial strain on back symptoms in Tehran general hospital nursing personnel. *Archives Hygiene Rada Toxicology*, 64(4), 505-512. https://doi.org/10.2478/10004-1254-64-2013-2366
- Habibi, E., Pourabdian, S., Atabaki, A. K., & Hoseini, M. (2012). Evaluation of work-related psychosocial and ergonomics factors in relation to low back discomfort in emergency unit nurses. *International Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 3(8), 564-568.
- Herin, F., Paris, C., Levant, A., Vignaud, M. C., Sobaszek, A., & Soulat, J. M. (2011). Links between nurses' organisational work environment and upper limb musculoskeletal symptoms: independently of effort-reward imbalance! The ORSOSA study. *Pain*, 152(9), 2006-2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2011.04.018
- Huang, G. D., Feuerstein, M., & Sauter, S. L. (2002). Occupational stress and work-related upper extremity disorders: concepts and models. The *American Journal of Industrial Medicine.*, 41(5), 298-314. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.10045
- Hughes, R. E., Silverstein, B. A., & Evanoff, B. A. (1997). Risk factors for work-related musculoskeletal disorders in an aluminum smelter. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 32(1), 66-75.
- Kasa, A. S., Workineh, Y., Ayalew, E., & Temesgen, W. A. (2020). Low back pain among nurses working in clinical settings of Africa: systematic review and meta-analysis of 19 years of studies. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 21(1), 310. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-020-03341-y
- Latina, R., Petruzzo, A., Vignally, P., Cattaruzza, M. S., Vetri Buratti, C., Mitello, L., . . . D'Angelo, D. (2020). The prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders and low back pain among Italian nurses: An observational study. *Acta Biomedica*, 91(12-s), e2020003. https://doi.org/10.23750/abm.v91i12-S.10306
- Lee, S. J., Lee, J. H., & Gershon, R. R. (2015). Musculoskeletal symptoms in nurses in the early implementation phase of california's safe patient handling legislation. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 38(3), 183-193. https://doi.org/10.1002/nur.21657

- Lorusso, A., Bruno, S., & L'Abbate, N. (2007). A review of low back pain and musculoskeletal disorders among Italian nursing personnel. *Industrial Health*, 45(5), 637-644.
- Murray, C. J. L., Vos, T., Lozano, R., Naghavi, M., Flaxman,
 A. D., Michaud, C., . . . Lopez, A. D. (2012). Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990-2010: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010 [Article]. *The Lancet*, 380(9859), 2197-2223. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61689-4
- Özcan, E., Esmaeilzadeh, S., & Bölükbaş, N. (2007). Work related musculoskeletal disorders and therapy. *nobel medicus*, 3(1), 12-17.
- Rezaee, M., & Ghasemi, M. (2014). Prevalence of low back pain among nurses: predisposing factors and role of work place violence. *Trauma Monthly*, 19(4), e17926.
 - https://doi.org/10.5812/traumamon.17926
- Sadeghian, F., Coggon, D., Ntani, G., & Hosseinzadeh, S. (2015). Predictors of low back pain in a longitudinal study of Iranian nurses and office workers. Work, 51(2), 239-244. https://doi.org/10.3233/wor-141850
- Sang, S., Wang, J., & Jin, J. (2021). Prevalence of low back pain among intensive care nurses: A metaanalysis. *Nursing in Critical Care*, 26(6), 476-484. https://doi.org/10.1111/nicc.12646
- Sanne, B., Torp, S., Mykletun, A., & Dahl, A. A. (2005). The Swedish Demand-Control-Support Questionnaire (DCSQ): factor structure, item analyses, and internal consistency in a large population. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*, 33(3), 166-174. https://doi.org/10.1080/14034940410019217
- Serranheira, F., Cotrim, T., Rodrigues, V., Nunes, C., & Sousa-Uva, A. (2012). Nurses' working tasks and MSDs back symptoms: results from a national survey. Work, 41 Supplement 1, 2449-2451. https://doi.org/10.3233/wor-2012-0479-2449
- Siegrist, J., Li, J., & Montano, D. (2014). Psychometric properties of the Effort-reward imbalance questionnaire. In. Germany: Department of Medical Sociology, Faculty of Medicine, Duesseldorf University.
- Skov, T., Borg, V., & Orhede, E. (1996). Psychosocial and physical risk factors for musculoskeletal disorders of the neck, shoulders, and lower back in salespeople. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 53(5), 351-356.
- Skovron, M. L., Mulvihill, M. N., Sterling, R. C., Nordin, M., Tougas, G., Gallagher, M., & Speedling, E. J. (1987). Work organization and low back pain in nursing personnel. *Ergonomics*, 30(2), 359-366. https://doi.org/10.1080/00140138708969719
- Strazdins, L., & Bammer, G. (2004). Women, work and musculoskeletal health. Socal Science & Medicine, 58(6), 997-1005. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0277-9536(03)00260-0
- Sun, W., Zhang, H., Tang, L., He, Y., & Tian, S. (2021). The factors of non-specific chronic low back pain in nurses: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Back Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation*, 34(3), 343-353. https://doi.org/10.3233/bmr-200161

- Urquhart, D. M., Kelsall, H. L., Hoe, V. C., Cicuttini, F. M., Forbes, A. B., & Sim, M. R. (2013). Are psychosocial factors associated with low back pain and work absence for low back pain in an occupational cohort? *The Clinical Journal of Pain*, 29(12), 1015-1020. https://doi.org/10.1097/AJP.0b013e31827ff0c0
- Vinstrup, J., Jakobsen, M. D., & Andersen, L. L. (2020). Perceived stress and low-back pain among healthcare workers: a multi-center prospective cohort study. *Front Public Health*, 8, 297. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00297
- Weyers, S., Peter, R., Boggild, H., Jeppesen, H. J., & Siegrist, J. (2006). Psychosocial work stress is associated with poor self-rated health in Danish nurses: a test of the effort-reward imbalance model. *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences*, 20(1), 26-34. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-6712.2006.00376.x
- Woods, V. (2005). Work-related musculoskeletal health and social support. Occupational Medicine (Lond), 55(3), 177-189. https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/kgi085
- Yassi, A., & Lockhart, K. (2013). Work-relatedness of low back pain in nursing personnel: a systematic review. International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health, 19(3), 223-244. https://doi.org/10.1179/2049396713y.00000002 7