

THE INITIAL TURKIC RAIDS INTO ANATOLIA, THE FIRST TURKIC CONQUESTS, AND THE FACTORS FACILITATING TURKIC CONQUESTS

Dr. Öğr. Üyesi Tunay KARAKÖK*

Bartın Üniversitesi, Edebiyat Fakültesi, Tarih Bölümü, Bartın – Türkiye

e-mail: tkarakok@bartin.edu.tr – ORCID: 0000-0003-4028-2148

Abstract

The conquest of Anatolia by the Turks began with the initial raids of Turkish tribes coming from Central Asia. These raids generally occurred in the early XIth century and were carried out using the fast and highly maneuverable tactics of the Turkish steppe warriors. The first Turkish conquests gained significant momentum with the entry of the Seljuk Turks into Anatolia in the 11th century. Under the leadership of figures such as Alp Arslan and his successor Malik-Shah I, the Seljuks established dominance over vast regions and laid the foundations for the Turkification of the area. Several factors facilitated these Turkish conquests during this period. Firstly, Anatolia was experiencing a period of political fragmentation, characterized by a series of power struggles between small Byzantine and local Anatolian states. This fragmentation made it easier for the Seljuks and other Turkish groups to exploit the divided landscape and conquer territories. Furthermore, prior to the arrival of the Seljuks, the Byzantine Empire, which was the dominant power in Anatolia, had been weakened by internal conflicts and external pressures from neighboring powers. This weakness created opportunities for Turkish raids and conquests. Additionally, Turkish warriors, especially the Seljuks, were renowned for their military prowess. Their mobility and tactics often surprised their enemies, facilitating the conquests. This helped solidify Turkish dominance and ease the process of Turkification in Anatolia over time. This study

*Sorumlu Yazar(Corresponding Author)

aims to present findings on the initial Turkish raids into Anatolia, the first Turkish conquests, and the factors that facilitated these conquests, based on period sources related to the topic.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Anatolia, Conquest, Seljuks, Turks, Reasons.

ANADOLU'YA İLK TÜRK AKINLARI, İLK TÜRK FETİHLERİ VE TÜRK FETİHLERİNİ KOLAYLAŞTIRAN SEBEPLER

ÖZ

Anadolu'nun Türkler tarafından fethedilmesi, Orta Asya'dan gelen Türk kavimlerinin bölgeye olan ilk akınlarıyla başlamıştır. Bu akınlar genellikle XI. yüzyılın başlarına rastlar ve Türk bozkır savaşçıların hızlı ve manevra kabiliyeti yüksek taktiklerini kullanarak gerçekleşmiştir. İlk Türk fetihleri, XI. yüzyılda Selçuklu Türkeri'nin Anadolu'ya girişiyle ciddi bir ivme kazanmıştır. Alp Arslan ve onun halefi Melikşah gibi liderlerin yönetiminde, Selçuklular geniş bölgelerde egemenlik kurmuş ve bölgenin Türkleşmesinin temellerini atmışlardır. Bu süreçte Türk fetihlerini kolaylaştıran bazı faktörler ortaya çıkmıştır. İlk olarak bu dönemde Anadolu, bir dizi küçük Bizans ve yerel Anadolu devletinin egemenlik mücadelesi verdiği siyasi parçalanma dönemini yaşamaktaydı. Bu parçalanma, Selçuklular ve diğer Türk gruplarının bölgedeki bölünmüşlükten yararlanarak toprakları fethetmesini kolaylaştırmıştır. Devamında Selçukluların gelişi öncesinde Anadolu'nun hâkim gücü olan Bizans İmparatorluğu, iç çekişmelerle ve diğer komşu güçlerle yaşadığı çatışmalar gibi dış baskılarla zayıflamıştı. Bu zayıflık, Türk akınları ve fetihleri için fırsatlar yaratmıştır. Beraberinde Türk savaşçıları, özellikle Selçuklular, askeri ustalıklarıyla ünlüydüler. Hareket kabiliyetleri ve taktikleri, düşmanlarını şaşırtarak fetihleri kolaylaştırmıştır. Bu, Türk hâkimiyetinin sağlamlaştırılmasını ve Anadolu'nun zamanla Türkleştirilmesini kolaylaştırmıştır. Bu çalışmada; Anadolu'ya yapılan ilk Türk akınları, yapılan ilk Türk fetihleri ve bu Türk fetihlerini kolaylaştıran sebepler hakkında konu ile ilgili dönem kaynakları ışığında yapılan tespitler ortaya konulmaya çalışılmıştır.

Keywords: Anadolu, Fetih, Selçuklu, Türkler, Sebepler

Introduction

The history of Anatolia provides evidence of a pivotal era, characterised by the incursion of Turkic tribes from the expansive steppes of Central Asia into the heartland of Asia Minor. The early Turkic raids into Anatolia, which commenced in the early 11th century, marked the beginning of a significant chapter in the region's history. These raids ultimately led to the establishment of Turkic dominion and the transformation of Anatolian society and culture. The subsequent Turkic conquests, particularly under the banner of the Seljuk Turks, solidified their presence in Anatolia and laid the groundwork for centuries of Turkic influence in the region (Ocak, 1991; Cahen, 1994).

This essay endeavors to delve into the multifaceted phenomena of the initial Turkic raids into Anatolia, the ensuing Turkic conquests, and the underlying factors that facilitated these conquests. By examining historical sources and scholarly interpretations, we aim to unravel the complexities of this transformative period, shedding light on the dynamics of Turkic expansion and its enduring impact on Anatolian history and identity (Aksarayî, 2000; Cahen, 1992). At the outset, it is essential to explore the context in which these Turkic incursions occurred. Anatolia, during the early 11th century, was a mosaic of small Byzantine and local Anatolian states, embroiled in internal rivalries and external threats. This political fragmentation provided fertile ground for opportunistic Turkic tribes seeking to exploit the region's vulnerabilities and expand their influence. The entry of the Seljuk Turks onto the Anatolian stage marked a watershed moment in this process. Led by visionary leaders such as Alp Arslan and Malik-Shah I, the Seljuks swiftly capitalized on the prevailing disarray, orchestrating conquests that reshaped the geopolitical landscape of Anatolia. Through military prowess and strategic acumen, the Seljuks established hegemony over vast territories, laying the foundation for the Turkification of Anatolia (Cahen, 1994; Sümer, 1992; Kafesoğlu, 1989).

The success of the Turkic conquests in Anatolia was facilitated by a number of key factors. The Byzantine Empire, the dominant power in the region prior to the Seljuk advance, was in a state of decline, creating opportunities for Turkic expansion. The Byzantine Empire was weakened by internal strife and external pressures from neighbouring powers, which undermined its ability to withstand Turkic incursions and facilitated their advance into Anatolia. Furthermore, the martial expertise of the Turkic warriors, renowned for their proficiency in cavalry warfare and agile manoeuvring, proved instrumental in overcoming resistance and securing territorial gains (Ocak, 1991; Cahen, 1968; Cahen, 1994; Peacock-Yildiz, 2013).

In summary, the initial Turkic raids into Anatolia, the subsequent Turkic conquests, and the underlying factors that facilitated these conquests constitute a complex interplay of political, military, and socio-cultural dynamics. By unraveling this intricate tapestry, we gain insight into the transformative processes that shaped Anatolia's history and identity, laying the groundwork for further exploration into the enduring legacy of Turkic heritage in the region.

Before The Seljuks, Turks Inhabited Anatolia

It is known that Turks arrived in Anatolia before the 11th century. Towards the end of the 4th century AD, the Western Huns (European Huns), successors to the Asiatic Huns, under the command of two leaders named Kursik and Basik, reached Anatolia, capturing Çukurova after traversing Erzurum, Karasu, and the Euphrates basin to Malatya. Subsequently, they returned to their homeland north of the Black Sea after crossing Eastern Anatolia and the Caucasus mountains (Peacock – Christian, 2001). Additionally, the Sabar (Sibir) Turks established a state near the Itil and Don rivers in 515 AD, with the potential to take Byzantine territories in Anatolia up to the southern regions of the Caucasus through conflicts. They later advanced to regions such as Kayseri, Konya, and Ankara, conducting fierce raids on cities and regions before returning to their homeland. However, these incursions were not aimed at directly conquering Anatolia but rather for plundering or weakening the enemy, thus differing from more permanent conquest movements. Over time, due to their preference not to settle in Anatolia permanently, these Turks left limited traces compared to others (Cahen, 1994; Cahen, 1968; Kafesoğlu, 1989; Kafesoğlu, 1970; Divitçioğlu, 2000). Prior to the Turkic-Islamic conquests, a number of Muslim Turkish communities had already emerged in numerous Anatolian cities. It is evident that there were two principal avenues through which Turks reached Anatolia: the first was by way of trade routes, while the second was through military service with the Byzantine army. When the Seljuk Turks arrived in Anatolia, they encountered Christian Oghuz and Pecheneg tribes who had been settled in the region as border guards by the Byzantines from the Balkans. It is observed that Turks who arrived in Anatolia prior to the aforementioned conquests settled in regions such as Tarsus and Malatya (Cahen, 1968; Yıldız, 2000; Turan, 2003).

Moreover, especially during the Abbasid period, there was a policy of deploying Turks to specific regions of Anatolia after their participation in Islamic military campaigns, known as "sugūr" or garrison cities, to benefit from their warrior qualities. Within a short period, the Islamic State's borders expanded significantly, reaching the Toros Mountains, with most conflicts with the

Byzantine Empire occurring along the Tarsus, Adana, Maraş, and Malatya line. To prevent Byzantine raids, Muslims established small units in vacant areas known as "zavâhi." During the Umayyad era, Islamic armies continuously fought against Byzantium, resulting in the occupation of vacant lands and the placement of military units in strategic cities like Tarsus, Adana, Masisa (Misis), Maraş, and Malatya. The Abbasid period saw further development in these border regions, with an increase in military numbers during campaigns against Byzantium. The presence of Turks in these areas before the Seljuk conquests indicates that Turks were settled by the Abbasids, especially in the eastern regions of Anatolia, or, in other words, in regions bordering Byzantium, primarily for military purposes (Şeker, 2005; Sevim-1989; Ostrogorsky, 1999; Cahen, 1994; Yıldız, 2000; Merçil, 2000; Turan, 2003).

The Early Turkic Raids And Conquests In Anatolia

The latter half of the 11th century stands as a pivotal period in Anatolian, Turkish, Byzantine, and Islamic history, marking a juncture where significant historical events converge. From this time onwards, Byzantine dominance in Anatolia gradually waned, giving way to Turkish hegemony and the commencement of the Islamization process in the region. The Islamization of Anatolia emerges as one of the most significant events not only in Turkish history but also in Islamic history as a whole. This process, initiated by the conquest of Anatolia by the Turks, would continue until the mid-14th century, culminating in the complete Islamization of the region (Necipoğlu, 2001; Turan, 2003).

The conquest of Anatolia and the subsequent Turkish settlement therein are closely related to the ethnic and demographic developments within the Great Seljuk Empire, which had risen to prominence in Iran during the XIth and XIIth centuries. During this period, regions such as Iran, Khurasan, and Azerbaijan began to witness an influx of predominantly Turkic populations who had newly embraced Islam. For the Great Seljuk Empire, accommodating the needs of this burgeoning population, allocating pasturelands and winter quarters, and utilizing them in various services without disrupting order and stability became imperative. In other words, the demographic surge in these regions was pushing the territorial limits of the Great Seljuk Empire, necessitating the resettlement of the population in suitable areas. Anatolia emerged as the most suitable region for this purpose. It can be reasonably deduced that the Great Seljuks were fulfilling their obligation of jihad and ghaza (holy war) against the Byzantine Empire by settling these populations in

Anatolia even before the Battle of Malazgirt in 1071 (Köymen, 1972; Sümer – Sevim, 1971; Merçil, 2000; Cahen, 1972).

Even before the Battle of Malazgirt, Turks were seen as "ghazis fighting for the sake of religion" in Eastern and Southeastern Anatolia. Although the eastern provinces of the Byzantine Empire were weak for various reasons and could not resist Turkish raids effectively, they still attempted to resist. From this period onwards, the influx of Turks continued until the XIVth century. Predominantly consisting of Muslim Oghuz (Turkmens), but also including Kipchaks, Karluk Turks, Khalaj Turks, and even Uyghurs, Turks migrated from regions such as Transoxiana, Khurasan, Azerbaijan, and Arran to Anatolia (Ocak, "1991; Peacock, and Yıldız. 2013; Müneccimbaşı 2000; Cahen, 1972).

During the latter half of the 11th century, the Seljuks, under the leadership of notable commanders such as Çağrı Beg and İbrahim Yinal, initiated raids and campaigns into Anatolia. Çağrı BeG, leading a cavalry unit of 3,000 men, routed Armenian armies and plundered the region, garnering significant booty. This is narrated in Cami' al-Duwal as follows: "In the year 440 (1048/1049), Tuğrul Bey's brother İbrahim Yinal, with a large group of Oghuz, went to the cities of Anatolia. They first arrived at Malazgirt, Erzenu'r-Rum (Erzurum), and Kalikala (ancient Erzurum). Then they advanced as far as Trabzon, engaging in ghaza throughout these regions. A large army consisting of Romans and Abasians (Abkhazians), numbering up to 50,000, confronted them, and fierce battles ensued. The Muslims (Seljuks) emerged victorious, killing many Romans and capturing a group of their patriarchs. The Abasian ruler Karit (Laparit) was also among the captives. The Muslims (Seljuks) raided Anatolian cities so extensively that only a fifteen-day journey remained between them and Constantinople. They looted these cities, capturing more than a hundred thousand people as slaves. The quantity of spoils, including livestock, money, and weapons, was so vast that it was impossible to ascertain an exact figure. It is even postulated that these spoils were transported in ten thousand carts. Among the spoils were 19,000 suits of armour. The Muslims returned safely with their spoils (Müneccimbaşı, 2000). After five years of campaigning in Anatolia, Çağrı Beg informed his brother Tuğrul Beg that they could enter Armenia without encountering any opposition. Tuğrul Beg's brother İbrahim Yinal also undertook military expeditions to Malazgirt, Erzurum, and Trabzon in 1048-1049, resulting in the defeat of a Byzantine army comprising 50,000 troops, including Romans and Abasians. Prior to the Battle of Malazgirt, Alp Arslan had already initiated military operations in the remote regions of

Anatolia. The Turkish forces were able to obtain both booty and captives through these campaigns, which were conducted in order to gain intelligence about the Byzantine eastern provinces. However, even before these dates, Turks had migrated to Anatolia, and Turkish migrations had occurred at various stages. Even before the establishment of the Seljuk Empire, some Oghuz tribes had settled on the Byzantine border through Iran, Azerbaijan, and Erivan. Some Oghuz Turks, transferred from Mawarannahr to Khurasan by Mahmud of Ghazni, had joined these settlements, initiating Turkmen raids into Anatolia. Before 1071, particularly, Turkic tribes who had embraced Christianity (Pecheneg Turks) and descended from the north of the Black Sea to the Balkans had occasionally been settled in Anatolia. In fact, during the Battle of Malazgirt, the role of these Christian Turks in the Byzantine army's victory for the Seljuks by not fighting against their Muslim brethren and instead defecting to their side is known (Cahen, 1972; Kafesoğlu, 1970; Sümer – Sevim, 1971; Turan, 2003).

One of the first major campaigns begins with the arrival of a large military unit led by İbrahim Yinal in Erzurum. Subsequently, this unit plundered the regions extending from there to the hinterlands of Trabzon and Iberia in the north and the Murat River valley in the south. In the war with Byzantium on September 10, 1049, Prince Liparit of Iberia was captured. These periods are recognized as the initial period of Turkish raids. Sencer Divitçioğlu reports in his work "From Oghuz to Seljuk" that the Seljuks comprised three different groups: Tuğrul Bey's army, the units of İbrahim Yinal and Kutalmış, and units derived from Turkmen tribes (Köymen, 1972; Sümer – Sevim, 1971; Divitçioğlu, 2000).

Serious Turkish control over Anatolia began in 1073. The Turks settled in Anatolia systematically for the first time under the Seljuks. Ethnically, the majority of these Turks were Oghuz. The first encounter between the Seljuks and non-Muslims, with the Seljuks emerging victorious, is described by Armenian historian Mateos: "At the beginning of the year 467 (March 17, 1018-March 16, 1019), all Christian people who worshipped the Holy Cross were subjected to Allah's wrath. The deadly breath of the dragon, accompanied by consuming fire, emerged, striking those who worshipped Ekanimi Selâse (the triune icon in Christianity). The foundations of the holy books of the apostles and prophets were shaken. Winged serpents came to set all Christian countries on fire. The first appearance of bloodthirsty predatory animals was like this. At this time, the people called Turks, the barbaric nation, gathered and came to the province of Vaspuragan in Armenia

and mercilessly slaughtered the Christians. In the year 498 (March 9, 1049- March 8, 1050), during the reign of Emperor Monomah, who deceitfully seized the Armenian kingdom from the Bagratuni dynasty, and the days of Peter's Armenian Catholicos, the disaster, which was a sign of Allah's wrath, began to come upon us from Iran by the order of Sultan Tuğrul. İbrahim and Kutalmış, two commanders, emerged from the Sultan's council and marched against Armenia with a huge army. They knew that the entire Armenia had been left headless and defenseless because of the Romans. For the Romans had driven brave and strong men away from the East, leaving the defense of Armenia and the entire East only to the commanders, and the first city in Armenia to be taken by sword and slavery was Arzdın” (Cahen, 1991; Cahen, 1964; Aksarayî, 2000; Urfalı Mateos. 2000).

In his account of the events surrounding the siege of Antioch, Priest Michael offers insights based on his first-hand observations. "The garrison defending the inner citadel was compelled to capitulate as a consequence of the overwhelming pressure exerted by the Turkish forces. We were all taken captive and experienced a profound sense of fear for our lives. However, upon Suleiman ibn Qutalmish's announcement of the release of all Christians, a profound sense of elation and jubilation was palpable. Similarly, Aksarâyî states in his work: Once Suleiman ibn Qutalmish had taken control of the city, he proceeded to win over the people of Antioch, requiring them to swear an oath and declaring that the city would henceforth be an Islamic one where jizya could not be collected. Suleiman ibn Qutalmish's lenient and tolerant attitude towards the conquest of Antioch began to change the Christian perspective towards the Turks. During the initial raids into Anatolia, some violent acts occurred. Due to military exigencies, some cities located on the routes that were the base of Byzantine operations were destroyed. After Suleiman ibn Qutalmish organized new conquest campaigns more systematically and established state order and authority in Anatolia, destruction ceased, and efforts were made for the reconstruction and resettlement of ruined cities. After Suleiman ibn Qutalmish established his state and achieved successful conquests, especially in 1080, large Turkish masses began to migrate from Azerbaijan to Anatolia. Thus, the Turkish population in Anatolia was rapidly increasing. Furthermore, due to the unrest caused by internal turmoil that never ceased, Armenians, Assyrians, and other non-Muslims adopted Suleiman ibn Qutalmish's rule. Additionally, the peasants who had been treated as slaves working for large landowners became free under the miri land system implemented by the Seljuk administration, thus becoming landowners themselves. The people who fled from cities and villages due to invasion began to return to their old places, preserving their religion and rituals and beginning to live together with the Turks. Although conquered during Sultan Melikşah's reign, the fact that

Armenian, Georgian, and Syriac historians praised him is an indication that non-Muslims had adopted a positive attitude towards the Turks and their rulers (Sevim, 1990; Aksarayî, 2000; Sevim – Merçil, 1995).

Factors Facilitating Turkish Conquests

Before the Battle of Malazgirt in 1071, the eastern borders of Anatolia were areas that occasionally changed hands between Muslims and Byzantines. Especially along the Tarsus-Malatya axis, the region was a constant battleground where control often shifted. This volatile region, constantly changing hands between Muslim Arabs and Christian Byzantines, was also a potential flashpoint for war at any moment. This situation not only led to a decrease in population but also negatively affected the region in various ways (Kafesoğlu, 1972; Mateos, 2000; Sümer – Sevim, 1971).

The population of the Byzantine-held regions of Anatolia had become impoverished due to both Byzantine internal struggles and the weakening of central authority, resulting from the dominance of feudal landowners. Following the death of Byzantine Emperor Basil II in 1025, the empire fell under the sway of feudal lords, who began seizing land from peasants and soldiers. Disregarding preventive laws, these landowners proliferated, seeking to expand their domains by oppressing peasants and evading taxes. Since the onset of Seljuk Turkish invasion movements in Anatolia, internal turmoil and crises had plagued Byzantium. Especially after the death of Emperor Constantine X Ducas in 1067, power struggles within the imperial court left the empire's provinces neglected, particularly the military, which was left to fend for itself. The Byzantine forces in Anatolia, neglected and disorganized, often resorted to pillaging towns and cities for sustenance. The incorporation of peasant-soldiers into the tax-paying class, with tax-paying individuals exempted from military service, weakened the Byzantine army. The appropriation of borderland peasants' lands by large landowners left small peasant-soldiers with no land, leading to a lack of interest in defending the country. Some even joined Byzantium's rivals. (Müneccimbaşı, 2000, 62; Merçil, 1995; Necipoğlu, 2001; Turan, 2003; Şeker, 2005; Ostrogorsky, 1999; Peacock and Yıldız. 2013).

In response to these challenges, Byzantine border forces were largely comprised of special units formed by large landowners. The Byzantine government's apprehension about this situation is evident from its efforts to create a mercenary army composed of foreign soldiers. Consequently, the number of soldiers in the army decreased, replaced by mercenaries from different nations. This

situation led to a severe defense weakness in Byzantium, with virtually no Byzantine army capable of preventing Seljuk invasion movements in Anatolia, similar to other provinces of the empire (Ocak, 1991; Cahen, 1968; Cahen, 1994; Köymen, 1972; Sümer – Sevim, 1971).

Another problem for Byzantium was its failure to establish a sufficiently strong defensive line despite capturing strategic locations and fortresses. Apart from Constantinople, there were no reserves capable of preventing deep incursions. Thus, Byzantium was defenseless against an enemy capable of swiftly traversing well-protected areas without being detected. Moreover, in terms of warfare tactics, Byzantium was at a disadvantage, as its army struggled to counter the agile and elusive tactics employed by the Turks. The collapse of the tax system, defense weaknesses, poor governance, and successive wars and uprisings had worn down the native population of Anatolia. The population showed a tendency to migrate to other regions. The native population of Anatolia facilitated the Seljuk conquests by assisting the Turks, viewing these conquests more as punishment for Byzantium than as actions against themselves. Another factor that facilitated conquests was Byzantium's religious policy. Constant friction existed among the Christian churches within Byzantium. Unable to tolerate the different Eastern Christian churches in the East, Byzantium pursued a policy of pressure, aiming to Orthodoxize these communities. The intense religious pressure exerted by Byzantium on the predominantly Christian native population of Anatolia increased dissatisfaction and led to resentment against central authority. Some even turned hostile towards Byzantium. Fuad Köprülü's words aptly illustrate this reality: "The religious differences between the Orthodox and Catholics, not only during the Crusades but also afterwards, have led the Eastern Christians to prefer Islamic rule to European rule; because this rule completely respects every sect and community of Christianity in their beliefs, and even leaves the regulation of legal relations between those communities to the communities themselves. One of the reasons for the rapid expansion of Islam in Byzantine territories is the strong desire of some Christian sects subjected to severe persecution by the official Orthodox Church to be liberated from oppression through this rule (Cahen, 1968; Cahen, 1994; Cahen, 1992; Köymen, 1972; Müneccimbaşı, 2000; Merçil, 2000; Necipoğlu, 2001; Turan, 2003; Ostrogorsky, 1999; Peacock and Yıldız, 2013; Divitçioğlu, 2000).

All these factors contributed to the local population's affinity towards the Turks. In fact, it can be said that after getting to know the Turks, the local population began to view them as liberators. As a result, Turkish conquests were facilitated, and their penetration into the conquered territories

accelerated. After the Seljuk conquests, the non-Muslim population of the region came under Seljuk rule politically. Although initially wary of their new rulers, the non-Muslim population eventually embraced Seljuk rule, preferring it over Byzantine administration. In fact, as will be discussed in detail later, they became satisfied with Seljuk rulers, preferring them over the region's former rulers, the Byzantines, and even, as examples will show later, becoming pleased with Seljuk administrators, according to their own historians.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the initial Turkic raids into Anatolia, followed by the first Turkic conquests, represent pivotal moments in the region's history, shaping its cultural, political, and demographic landscape. These events were facilitated by several factors, both internal and external, which contributed to the success of Turkic expansion into Anatolia.

Firstly, the historical context of the time, marked by the decline of centralized empires such as the Byzantine Empire, created opportunities for Turkic tribes to assert their influence and establish their presence in Anatolia. The weakening of Byzantine control over its border regions, coupled with internal instability and external threats, provided fertile ground for Turkic incursions and eventual conquests. Secondly, the military prowess and mobility of Turkic nomadic tribes played a crucial role in their conquests. Their cavalry-based warfare tactics, agility, and adaptability to various terrains allowed them to swiftly penetrate deep into Anatolia, outmaneuvering and overpowering their opponents. Additionally, the allure of plunder and territorial expansion motivated Turkic tribes to launch raids and seize control of strategic locations in Anatolia. The promise of wealth, land, and prestige attracted numerous Turkic warriors, further fueling their conquest efforts. Furthermore, the strategic alliances forged by Turkic leaders with other regional powers, such as the Abbasid Caliphate, provided them with military support, resources, and legitimacy for their conquest campaigns. These alliances bolstered Turkic strength and facilitated their expansion into Anatolia. Lastly, the fragmented nature of Anatolia's political landscape, characterized by the presence of various ethnic groups and rival factions, created internal divisions and vulnerabilities that were exploited by Turkic conquerors. By capitalizing on these divisions

and leveraging diplomatic and military tactics, Turkic leaders were able to consolidate their control over Anatolia.

In essence, the initial Turkic raids and conquests in Anatolia represent a significant chapter in the region's history, marking the beginning of Turkic influence and shaping the trajectory of Anatolian civilization for centuries to come.

REFERENCES

- Aksarayî, Kerîmüddin Mahmud (2000). *Müsameretü'l -Ahbâr Ve Müsayeretü'l-Ahyâr*, nşr. Osman Turan, çev. Mürsel Öztürk. Ankara: TTK Yayınları.
- Cahen, Claude (1968). *Pre-Ottoman Turkey: A General Survey Of The Material And Spiritual Culture And History c.1071-1330*. London: Sidgwick & Jackson.
- Cahen, Claude (1972). “İslam Kaynaklarına Göre Malazgirt Savaşı”. çev. Zeynep Kerman. *Türkiyat Mecmuası*, 17/1, ss. 77-100.
- Cahen, Claude (1994). *Osmanlılardan Önce Anadolu'da Türkler*, çev. Yıldız Moran. İstanbul: E Yayınları.
- Cahen, Claude (1992). *Türklerin Anadolu'ya İlk Girişi*, çev: Yaşar Yücel – Bahaeddin Yediyıldız. Ankara: TTK Yayınları.
- Divitçioğlu, Sencer (2000). *Oğuz'dan Selçukluya*. İstanbul: YKY Yayınları.
- Gregory, T.E. (2010). *A History Of Byzantium*. London: Wiley-Blackwell - John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Kafesoğlu, İbrahim (1989). “Alparslan”, *Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı İslam Ansiklopedisi*, 2/527- 528. İstanbul: TDV Yayınları.
- Kafesoğlu, İbrahim (1970). “Melikşah”, *Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı İslam Ansiklopedisi*. 7/665-673, İstanbul: TDV Yayınları.
- Kafesoğlu, İbrahim (1972). *Selçuklu Tarihi*. İstanbul: Devlet Kitapları.

Köymen, Mehmet Altay (1972). *Büyük Selçuklu İmparatorluğu Tarihi*, c. 3, Alparslan ve zamanı. İstanbul: Başbakanlık Kültür Müsteşarlığı Yayınları.

Merçil, Erdoğan (2000). *Müslüman Türk Devletleri Tarihi*. Ankara: TTK Yayınları.

Müneccimbaşı Ahmed b. Lütfullah (2000). *Câmiü 'D-Düvel*, çev. Ali Öngül. İzmir: Akademi Kitabevi.

Necipoğlu, Nevra (2001). “Türklerin ve Bizanslıların Ortaçağda Anadolu’da birliktelikleri (11. ve 12. yüzyıllar)”, *Cogito: Selçuklular*, 29, ss. 74-91.

Ocak, Ahmet Yaşar (1991). “Anadolu”, *Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı İslam Ansiklopedisi*. 3/110-116, İstanbul: TDV Yayınları.

Ostrogorsky, George (1999). *Bizans Devleti Tarihi*, çev: Prof. Dr. Fikret Işıltan. Ankara: TTK Yayınları.

Peacock A.C.S. & Yıldız S.N. (2013). *The Selçuk Of Anatolia: Court And Society In The Medieval Middle East*, reviewed by Rudi Paul Lindner. Bustan: Middle East Book Review.

Peacock A.C.S. and Christian David (2001). “Nomadic Society And The Seljūq Campaigns İn Caucasia”. *Iran & the Caucasus*, 5/1/2, ss. 205-230.

Sevim, Ali – Merçil, Erdoğan (1995). *Selçuklu Devletleri Tarihi: Siyaset, Teşkilat Ve Kültür*. Ankara: TTK Yayınları.

Sevim, Ali- Yücel, Yaşar (1989). *Türkiye Tarihi, Fetih, Selçuklu Ve Beylikler Dönemi*. Ankara: TTK Yayınları.

Sevim, Ali (1990). *Anadolu Fatih Kutalmışoğlu Süleyman Şah*. Ankara: TTK Yayınları.

Sümer, Faruk – Sevim, Ali (1971). *İslam Kaynaklarına Göre Malazgirt Savaşı*. Ankara: TTK Yayınları.

Sümer, Faruk (1972). *Selçuklular Zamanında Türkiye: Batı Anadolu’da Türkmen Yerleşmesi*. Ankara: TTK Yayınları.

Şeker, Mehmet (2005). *Anadolu’da Bir arada Yaşama Tecrübesi*. Ankara DİB Yayınları.

- Turan, Osman (2003). *Selçuklular Tarihi Ve Türk İslam Medeniyeti*. İstanbul: Ötüken Yayınları.
- Urfalı Mateos (2000). *Vekayi-nâme (952-1136) Ve Papaz Grigor'un Zeyli (1136-1162)*. çev. H.D. Andriasyan. Ankara: TTK Yayınları.
- Yıldız, Hakkı Dursun (2000). *İslamiyet ve Türkler*. İstanbul: Kamer Yay.