NEONİKOTİNOİD PESTİSİTLERİN HİSTOPATOLOJİK VE GENOTOKSİK ETKİLERİ

The Histopathological and Genotoxic Effects of Neonicotinoid Pesticides

Özlem ÖNEN¹, Pınar AKSU KILIÇLE¹, Yasemen ADALI², Hatice BEŞEREN³

ÖZET

Pestisitler, toksik etkileri ve birikimleri nedeniyle insan ve çevre sağlığı için en tehlikeli kirleticilerden biridir. Bu derlemede günümüzde yaygın olarak kullanılan pestisit gruplarından neonikotinoidlerin insanlar üzerindeki etkilerine dair elde edilen verilerin değerlendirilerek gelecekteki çalışmalar için özetlenmesi amaçlanmıştır.

Mevcut literatür bilgileri, Kafkas Üniversitesi, Fen-Edebiyat Fakültesi, Biyoloji Bölümü, Zooloji-Ekotoksikoloji ve Moleküler Biyoloji-Genetik Anabilim Dalları Laboratuvarları ve Tıp Fakültesi, Patoloji Anabilim Dalı Laboratuvarındaki çalışmalar ışığında gözden geçirilerek derleme halinde düzenlenmiştir.

Canlıda oluşan serbest radikaller, lipit, karbonhidrat, protein ve nükleik asit gibi biyomolekülleri ya da hücresel komponentleri etkileyip yeni serbest radikalleri oluşturur. Bu da hücrede yapısal hasarlar meydana getirerek metabolik değişikliklere yol açmaktadırlar. Bu histopatolojik ve genotoksik derlemede anlatılan çalışmalar çerçevesinde neonikotinoidlerin omurgalılarda son derece toksik oldukları ve omurgalılarda hızlı metabolize olamadıklarından akut toksisitelerinin yüksek olduğu ortaya konmuştur. Gelişmiş tekniklerin kullanıldığı ileri düzeydeki bütün araştırmalar, histolojik ve genotoksik düzeyde temel verilere dayanarak gerçekleştirilebilir ve histopatolojik ve genotoksik araştırma yöntemleri özel alanlardaki araştırmaların temel anahtarıdır.

Anahtar Sözcükler: Neonikotinoid pestisitler; Memeliler; İnsan; Histopatoloji; Genotoksisite.

ABSTRACT

Pesticides are one of the most hazardous pollutants for human and environmental health due to their toxic effects and accumulation. In this review, it was aimed to summarize the literature which can be a source for future studies by evaluating the data about histological and genotoxic effects of neonicotinoids, one of the insecticide groups commonly used today, on vertebrates.

The available literatural information arranged as compilation by revised in accordance with the works in the Kafkas University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Biology, Zoology-Ecotoxicology and Molecular Biology-Genetics Laboratories and Medicine Faculty, Pathology Laboratory.

Free radicals occured in vivo create new free radicals by affecting biomolecules such as lipids, carbohydrates, proteins, and nucleic acids and cellular components. This also leads to metabolic changes by causing structural damage in the cell. It was demonstrated that neonicotinoids are extremely toxic to vertebrates and the acute toxicity of neonicotinoids is high because they cannot metabolize rapidly in vertebrates within the context of the studies described in this histopathological and genotoxic review. All further investigations using advanced techniques can be performed based on histological and genotoxic levels and histopathological and genotoxic research methods are the fundamental key of researches in specific fields.

Keywords: Neonicotinoide pesticides; Mammalia; Human; Histopathology; Genotoxicity.

¹Kafkas Üniversitesi, Fen Edebiyat Fakültesi, Biyoloji Bölümü, Kars

²Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart Üniversitesi, Tıp Fakültesi, Tıbbi Patoloji Anabilim Dalı, Çanakkale

³Kafkas Üniversitesi, Veteriner Fakültesi, Patoloji Bölümü, Kars

Özlem ÖNEN, Dr. Pınar AKSU KILIÇLE, Dr. Yasemen ADALI, Dr. Hatice BEŞEREN, Dr.

İletişim:

Dr. Özlem ÖNEN Kafkas Üniversitesi, Fen Edebiyat Fakültesi, Biyoloji Bölümü, Kars **Tel:** 0 (474) 225 11 50-56 **e-mail:** onenozlem@gmail.com

Geliş tarihi/Received: : 10.04.2017 Kabul tarihi/Accepted: 26.11.2017

Bozok Tıp Derg 2018;8(1):139-147 Bozok Med J 2018;8(1):139-147

INTRODUCTION

Pesticides are generally used to combat pests in agriculture, forestry, community health and veterinary medicine, but they can also negatively affect non-target organisms such as useful plants, insects, invertebrates and some vertebrates (1-4).

Exposure to pesticides in very low quantities can lead to the exposure of animals to residual concentrations of insecticides directly or through the involvement of stress mechanisms/neuroendocrine system, leading to immunosuppression (5). In recent years, too much use of neonicotinoids in agriculture has contributed to soil retention and soil retention (7) as well as contamination of groundwater (7).

It has been found that pesticides in the direction of the data obtained from the studies made are quite toxic not only for a certain living group but also for other organisms constituting the food chain (8-15).

Neonicotinoids, which form an important group of pesticides, are synthetic analogues of natural nicotine insecticides and nicotinic receptor agonists are used for the treatment of sprays, liquid medicines, seeds and soil (16-21). Neonicotinoids are the main class of insecticides developed over the last 30 years and are used as systemic insecticides to increase fertility in plants (22).

Neonicotinoid group pesticides are used alternatively as organophosphate and carbamate pesticides (23-27). In this context, a study investigating the effects of neonicotinoids on some insects has been reported to find leg tremors, rapid wing movements, stiletyline withdrawal (aids), irregular movement, paralysis and death in insects subjected to acetamiprid, clothianidin, imidacloprid, nitenpyram, nithiazine, thiacloprid and thiamethoxam (21, 28, 29).

Nicotine and nicotinoids contain a predominantly protonated nitrogen atom at physiological pH, causing a higher affinity to vertebrate nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChR) and hence a higher mammalian toxicity, a weak to moderate affinity for the insect receptor. Significantly contrasted neonicotinoids contain a N-non-protonated nitroimine structure at physiological pH, which plays a critical role in high affinity and selectivity for insect nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (30).

Neonicotinoids are nAChR potent selective agonists in insects and are widely used in plant protection and animal health practices. Since the introduction of imidaclopridine in the early 1990s, neonicotinoids have become one of the most widely used pesticide classes. From the insecticides, the neonicotinoid class still represents about 15% of the global world market (11, 31 and 32).

Mechanisms of action are explained by the stimulation of nicotinic receptors of acetylcholine. It stimulates the autonomic nervous system and nicotinic receptors in skeletal muscles. It also stimulates acetylcholine receptors in the central nervous system and cause long-term depolarization. During this time paralysis occurs because the nerves cannot respond to the incoming warning. Immediate disintegration affects toxicities in the environment. Moreover, it has been reported that nicotinoids cannot be degraded by the serum acetylcholinesterase enzyme (21, 33).

Neonicotinoids have high selectivity for nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) in insects and thus produce selective toxicity to insects in invertebrates. Studies to date have probably contributed to the formation of hydrogen bonds and the selectivity of electrostatic interactions between neonicotinoids and insect nAChRs (34). Specific subunit combinations differentiate between acetylcholine susceptibility and pharmacological profiles among vertebrate nAChR subspecies (35).

According to the World Health Organization, around three million people in the world are poisoned annually due to pesticides, and a large proportion of these people are reported to have died. Neonicotinoid pesticides have been reported to inhibit nicotinic acetylcholinesterase receptors and thus directly affect the central nervous system and suppress cellular responses at the cellular level (10). Due to the rapid progress in industrialization, environmental pollution has increased over time, causing living things, especially people, to be exposed to chemical and physical factors. Investigating the effects of these factors on living beings has attracted the attention of researchers. It is extremely important to identify the harmful effects of these carcinogenic, toxic, mutagenic and teratogenic agents and to take precautions. Many chemicals, especially pesticides, have increased their concern that they could enter the human body directly and indirectly, affect health, and create a potential danger in genetic material (36).

Genotoxicity is the damage caused by chemical and physical agents in the DNA material. These damages are single chain fractures, double chain fractures, alkali labile regions and DNA adducts. It is suggested to study at least two methods in genotoxicity studies instead of one method (37).

DNA sequence changes, chromosomal aberrations, multiple nucleotide changes, resulting in mutation, aging, tissue damage, and cancer can occur when genetic material is not repaired. Molecular studies have shown that genotoxicity is associated with mutations (37, 38).

They have to be very resistant to environmental conditions, repeated applications and widespread use of ecosystems to increase the pollution of nicotinoids and adversely affect systems. It has been reported that invertebrates are particularly adversely affected (11, 21). For this reason, legal restrictions have been introduced, in part, on production and use in some countries (39-41). Misuse and widespread use of invertebrates can lead to poisoning in animals (18). Pesticides that absorbed through the digestive system reach the nervous system (6, 42 and 43). Metabolized and expelled from the organism by urine and bile (44, 45).

In this review, it was aimed to summarize the histological changes and genotoxic effects of certain tissues of mammals from model organisms in order to determine the effects of neonicotinoids on humans which are non-target organisms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The available literatural information arranged as compilation by revised in accordance with the works in the Kafkas University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Biology, Zoology-Ecotoxicology and Molecular Biology-Genetics Laboratories and Faculty of Medicine, Faculty of veterinary medicine.

RESULTS

Histological changes can be used as sensitive tools to detect the direct toxic effects of various compounds and are considered good indicators of environmental stress (46, 47). Histopathological studies play a supporting role by providing more information about possible mechanisms of action of pesticides on biomarkers at the cellular and molecular level and on non-target organisms (48).

Histopathological Changes

Imidacloprid was given orally to female albino mice (Mus musculus) at different doses (37.5, 75 and 112.5 mg/kg) to determine the effects of imidacloprid on mammals from highly preferred neonicotinoid pesticides. There was a significant increase in total protein (P<0.01), acetylcholinesterase (P<0.001) and DNA (P<0.05) with dose increase compared with the control group, although there was a significant increase in the RNA due to mild dose at the end of the administration. It is noted that the change in DNA and RNA for low dose imidacloprid is insignificant and that the maximal damage is observed in high dose imidacloprid exposure. In the liver, hepatocyte degeneration, dilation in the sinusoids, irregularity in hepatocyte alignment, leukocyte infiltration, necrosis and hemorrhage were recorded (Figure 1, 49). In another study imidacloprid was conducted in female rats with doses of 0, 5, 10, 20 mg/kg/day. The brain, liver and kidney of rats had showed mild pathological changes in high dose imidacloprid group. It was reported that it is conspicuous that imidacloprid has induced toxicological effects at 20 mg/kg/day to female rats, based on the histological studies in this research (50).



Figure 1. Liver transection. a. Control group; CV: vena centralis, H: hepatocytes, S: sinusoids; b. Positive control group (after cyclophosphamide exposure); DH: degenerated hepatocytes, DS: dilation in sinusoids, ND: necrotic residues; c. After 37.5 mg / kg imidacloprid exposure, leukocyte infiltration is observed; d. After 75.5 mg/kg imidacloprid exposure; BC: congestion, DS: dilation in sinusoids; e-f. After 112.5 mg/kg imidacloprid exposure; DCV: vena centralis corruption, congestion in dilated sinusoidal, accumulation of erythrocytes in vena centralis (49).

And also, rats were orally administered with imidacloprid (45 and 90 mg/kg b.w.) for the period of 28 days. It was noticed that, brain damage was occured as result of imidacloprid administration in rats (51).

Similarly, in another study to determine the adverse effects of imidacloprid, is a systemic insecticide related to the tobacco toxin nicotine, frequently used into plant pests and other creatures that can pose problems for agriculture. As a result of this study, liver and kidney histology notice high levels of hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity in imidacloprid. And also, it was reported that based on histopathological analyzes, it is clear that imidacloprid induces toxicological effects at 15 mg / kg / day in rats (52).

Mice were exposed intraperitoneally to the concentrations of these two pesticides (acetamiprid and propineb) for 24 and 48 hours in order to determine the effects of neonicotinoid pesticides and their co-exposure to dithiocarbamate pesticides. For

the histopathological examinations, an experimental setup consisting of a total of fifteen groups consisting of three positive control groups, three negative control groups and nine exposure groups was established. The effects of mice on liver tissue were determined by light microscopy. Significant vacuolar degeneration was reported to occur at 24 and 48 hours after and sinusoidal dilatation after 48 hours of injection of acetamiprid of at low concentration (0.625 µg/ml). At 24 and 48 hours after the injection of the acetamiprid and propineb mixture, sinusoidal dilatation in the parenchyma and vacuolar degeneration in the hepatocytes were observed, unlike the negative control. Moreover, when these pesticides were compared with their individual applications at the same concentrations, it was found that the acetamiprid and propineb mixture resulted in synergistic effects in several dimensions. The results obtained led researchers to conclude that acetamiprid and propinebin mice had devastating effects on liver tissue. In this respect, the use of these pesticides in agricultural areas should be under control (53).

Genotoxic Changes

In a study conducted to determine acetamipridine genotoxicity from commonly used neonicotinoid group insecticides, in vitro cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of acetamipridine in human intestinal Caco-2 cells was studied. As a result, under experimental conditions, acetamipridine reported cytotoxic and genotoxic potential in human intestinal cells (54).

To determine the toxic effects of neonicotinoid pesticides on humans in Mexico, human peripheral lymphocytes were exposed to different concentrations of thiacloprid, clothianidin and imidacloprid from neonicotinoids; Genotoxic and cytotoxic effects were assessed by assessing the data obtained from the Alkaline Comet test and the Trypan blue exclusion test. DNA damage was assessed using genotoxicity parameters such as tail length and Comet frequency. The reported neonicotinoid pesticides have a marked increase in DNA damage occurring in parallel with increasing concentration after two hours of exposure. The toxicity was found to be the most toxic pesticide of imidacloprid from the investigated pesticides and the presence of cytotoxic and cell death was mentioned. This study is important because it is the first report of in vitro exposure of human peripheral lymphocytes to neonicotinoid pesticides (9).

In a study to determine the genotoxic effects of imidacloprid from widely used neonicotinoid pesticides in agriculture, the human peripheral lymphocytes from healthy non-exposed volunteers were exposed to different concentrations of imidacloprid and imidaclopridine commercial formulations (0.2, 2 and 20 µM). The obtained data were evaluated in vitro using Comet and micronucleus test in the context of formulation, metabolic activation and exposure level. Commercially, it is formulated with dimethyl sulphoxide, methylpyrrolidone, propylene carbonate and mineral oil, which can change the bioavailability and toxicological profile for humans following occupational exposure. High concentrations of imidacloprid exposure were reported to significantly increase micronucleus frequency and Comet score in the results obtained. Although not evident by metabolic activation, it was reported that the effect of imidaclopridine commercial formulation led to slightly more severe DNA damage. Although the formation of reactive oxygen species is not considered a mechanism of genotoxicity, this result can be explained by the insufficient sensitivity of the 2α , 7a-dichlorofluorescein diacetate test at test concentrations of imidacloprid. These results indicate that imidacloprid is not genotoxic in vitro for human lymphocytes at concentrations lower than 20 µM. However, it is commented that the presence of other chemicals involved in the commercial formulation of imidacloprid, poor safety procedures and occupational exposure increases risk of DNA fragmentation and chromosomal aberration (55).

Thiacloprid was investigated in vitro for potential genotoxic effects on human peripheral blood lymphocytes, from chromosomal aberrations, sister chromatid exchanges and cytokinesis-block micronucleus analyzes, from the neonicotinoids commonly used to control plant pest insect species. Chromosomal aberrations, sister chromatid exchanges and micronucleus counts were observed in peripheral blood lymphocyte cells treated with 75, 150 and 300 μ g/mL thiacloprid. Thiacloprid has been reported to

significantly reduce mitotic index, proliferation index, and nuclear division index in all concentrations (56).

The cytotoxic effect potentials of the acetamiprid, clothianidin, imidacloprid, thiacloprid, thiamethoxam from neonicotinoid group insecticides were investigated using MTT and NRU test results of 24 hours and 48 hours exposure to human hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) and neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) cells from high vertebrates. IC50 values of neonicotinoids were determined as $0.65 \rightarrow 4$ mM in SH-SY5Y cells after 24 and 48 hours exposure, while IC50 values in HepG2 cells were determined as $0.40 \rightarrow 4$ mM. As a result, it has been noted that neonicotinoids have a higher cytotoxic effect on HepG2 cells compared to SH-SY5Y cells (57).

Imidacloprid and Metalaxyl are two pesticides commonly used either separately or in combination in agriculture. In one study, the effects of these chemicals on the micronucleus and sister chromatid exchange frequencies in vitro in human peripheral lymphocytes and on the micronucleus ratio in polychromatic erythrocytes in rat femur bone marrow cells in vivo were investigated. It has been observed that there is no significant difference in in vitro micronucleus frequency and sister chromatid exchange ratio compared with control in co-administered and separately applied chemistries. A significant increase in the number of micronuclei in polychromatic erythrocytes was reported in bone marrow of rats (58).

In another research, neonicotinoids have been reported to cause especially sister chromatid exchange in the molecular sense (59), DNA damage (60), micronucleus formation (61), chromosomal abnormalities (62), DNA adducts (63). And also acetamiprid causes increase in micronucleus frequency, sister chromatid exchange and chromosomal anomalies in human peripheral blood lymphocytes (64). In addition to thiamethoxam, reported to be carcinogenic, causes liver tumors in male and female rats (65).

Genotoxicity tests can be used to determine whether a variety of chemical substances and environmental pollutants cause mutations in a short time. Determination of whether a chemical substance is cytotoxic to the genotoxic side; chromosome aberration, micronucleus, and sister chromatid exchange tests are used (66-68). These tests, which have been in use since the 1970s, help physical and chemical agents measure and evaluate carcinogenic effects on all living organisms (69).

Graphic 1. Effects of pure imidacloprid (IMI) concentrations on human peripheral lymphocytes blocked by metabolic biotransformation (+S9) and without (-S9) on cytokine-blocked lymphocytes and micronucleus frequency (mean ± standard deviation) of commercial preparation (IMICP). Ctrl+: 56 µg/ml CPA (+S9) or 0.17 µg/ml MMC (-S9). *=p < 0.05, ** = p<0.01, *** = p<0.001 (Significant differences

between culture treated with Dunn's test and Basal, 52)



Graphic 2. The effects of imidacloprid (IMI) and commercial product (IMICP) concentrations as pure compounds on Comet score (mean \pm standard deviation) in human peripheral lymphocytes with (+S9) and without (-S9) metabolic biotransformation. Ctrl+: 100 μ M H2O2. *=p < 0.05, ** = p< 0.01, *** = p<0.001 (Significant differences between culture treated with Dunn's test and Basal, 52)



Graphic 3. Effects on imidacloprid concentrations (IMI) as pure compound and on reactive oxygen species production (ROS) with human T lymphocytes (Jurkat cell line) after 24 hours exposure to commercial product (IMICP). Ctrl+: 100 μ M H2O2. *** = p < 0.001 (Significant differences between culture treated with Tuckey's test and Basal, 52)



DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The findings of hyperplasia and hypertrophy reported in the gills are in a barrier state that prevents the passage of exposed neonicotinoids into the circulatory system and in this context the respiratory surfaces that have been contracted by the veins may thicken and the passage of the pesticide to the blood tissue may be prevented in part. Energy metabolism in the context of enzyme activities and changes in the gill structure of teleosts with exposure to neonicotinoids are considered together and it is clear that the reduction in the amount of oxygen required for physiological functions will lead to adverse effects on behaviors such as movement, nutrition, defense and escape. The most similar histological change observed in the liver and kidney structures of the teleosts as a result of neonicotinoid administration, besides being a steatosis in the appearance of vacuolization, besides the fact that it does not have a specific character to a particular chemical as emphasized many times; and it is clear that the necrotic formations reported in liver and kidney structures are typical variations due to toxicity in the organs that are aforementioned. It is well known that tissue damage as an initial step is due to the enzymatic inhibition of cell membrane integrity and this can severely degrade both the detoxification mechanisms and the liver and other metabolic functions such as protein-carbohydrate synthesis. It is evident that degenerations reported in teleosts, both in interrenal cells and renal tubules, primarily due to the pesticide group, may lead to individual and more massive deaths in fish populations, with subsequent disruption of renal function and subsequent increase in exposure time and concentration. In this direction, it is beneficial to monitor the ever-increasing pesticide use and the changes that have taken place in the organisms in the researches done to protect biodiversity. Advanced studies can be carried out based on the baseline data obtained at the histological level and at the level of practical genotoxic investigations, and histopathology and baseline gentoxicity markers; the starting line of research in special areas. It is a universal responsibility in the context of continuity of the environment that agricultural governments in developed countries control the use of neonicotinoid compounds as pesticides and more importantly encourage the use of organic pesticides over chemical pesticides.

REFERENCES

1. Klein A-M, Vaissière BE, Cane JH, Steffan-Dewenter I, Cunningham SA, Kremen C, Tscharntke T. Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops. Proc Royal Soc B: Biol Sci. 2007;274(1608): 303–313.

2. Potts SG, Biesmeijer JC, Kremen C, Neumann P, Schweiger O, Kunin WE. Global pollinator declines: trends, impacts and drivers. Trends Ecol Evol. 2010;25:345-353.

3. Garibaldi LA, Steffan-Dewenter I, Winfree R, Aizen MA, Bommarco R, Cunningham SA, et al. Wild pollinators enhance fruit set of crops regardless of honey bee abundance. Science 2013;339:1608-1611.

4. James KL, Randall NP, Walters KFA, Haddaway NR, Land M. Evidence for the Effects of neonicotinoids used in arable crop production on non-target organisms and concentrations of residues in relevant matrices: a systematic map protocol. Environ Evid. 2016;5(22):1-9.

5. Kačmár P, Pistl J, Mikula I. Immunotoxicology and veterinary medicine. Acta Vet Brno. 1999;68:57-79.

6. Sarkar MA, Roy S, Kole R, Chowdhury. Persistence and metabolism of imidacloprid in different soils of West Bengal. Pest Manag Sci. 2001;57:598-602.

7. Gervais JA, Luukinen B, Buhl K, Stone D. Imidacloprid Technical Fact Sheet. National Pesticide Information Center, 2010. Retrieved 12 April 2012 (http://npic.orst.edu/factsheets/imidagen.html Access date: 03.10.2016).

8. Connon RE, Geist J, Pfeiff J, Loguinov AS, D'Abronzo L, Wintz H, Vulpe CD, Werner I. Molecular Analysis of Neonicotinoid Insecticides Mixture Toxicity in the Marine Mussel (*Mytilus galloprovincia-lis*). Fifteenth International Symposium on Pollutant Responses in Marine Organisms (PRIMO15): Mar Environ Res. 2010;69:3-16.

9. Calderón-Segura ME, Gómez-Arroyo S, Villalobos-Pietrini R, Martínez-Valenzuela C, Carbajal-López Y, Calderón-Ezquerro MdC, et al. Evaluation of Genotoxic and Cytotoxic Effects in Human Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes Exposed In Vitro to Neonicotinoid Insecticides News. J Toxicol. 2012;1-11.

10. Badgujar PC, Jain SK, Singh A, Punia JS, Gupta RP, Chandratre GA. Immunotoxic effects of imidacloprid following 28 days of oral exposure in BALB/c mice. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol. 2013;35:408–418.

11. Boily M, Sarrasin, B, DeBlois C, Aras P, Chagnon M. Acetylcholinesterase in honey bees (Apis mellifera) exposed to neonicotinoids, atrazine and glyphosate: Laboratory and field experiments. Environ Sci Pollut R. 2013;20:5603-5614.

12. Rasgele PG. Abnormal sperm morphology in mouse germ cells after short-term exposures to acetamiprid, propineb, and their mixture. Sperm Morphology Following Acm and Pro Exposure: Arch Ind Hyg Toxicol. 2014;65:47-56.

13. Gibbons D, Morrissey C, Mineau P. A review of the direct and indirect effects of neonicotinoids and fipronil on vertebrate wildlife. Environ Sci Pollut R. 2015;22:103-118.

14. Pisa LV, Amaral-Rogers V, Belzunces LP, Bonmatin JM, Downs CA, Goulson D, et al. Effects of neonicotinoids and fipronil on non-target invertebrates. Environ Sci Pollut R. 2015;22:68-102.

15. SEP (Science for Environment Policy). European Commission DG Environment News Alert Service, edited by SCU, The University of the West of England, Bristol, 2015 (http://ec.europa.eu/environment/integration/research/newsalert/pdf/neonicotinoid_and_fipronil_harm_fish_and_birds_402na5_en.pdf, Access date: 23.05.2016).

16. Singh DK. Applied Entomology: Toxicology of Insecticides. 2007. pp. 70. (http://nsdl.niscair.res.in/jspui/bitstream/123456789/226/1/Toxicology%20of%20Insecticides.pdf, Access date: 09.05.2016)

17. Watts M. Pesticide Action Network Asia and the Pacific (PAN AP) pesticides-factsheet-hhps-neonicotinoids. P.O. Box 1170, 10850 Penang, Malaysia, 2011. pp. 6.

18. Grimm M, Sedy K, Süßenbacher E, Riss A. Existing Scientific Evidence of the Effects of Neonicotinoid Pesticides on Bees. Directorate General for Internal Policies Policy Department A: Economic and Scientific Policy, 2012. pp. 28.

19. Roberts, JR, Reigart JR. Recognition and Management of Pesticide Poisonings. 7. Edit., Office of Pesticide Programs, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (7506P) Washington, DC 20460, 2013. p. 272.

20. Sabra FS, Mehana E-S.E-D. Pesticides Toxicity in Fish with Particular Reference to Insecticides. AJAFS. 2015;03(01):40-60.

 21. Doğan A. Veteriner Toksikoloji. Eser Press, Saraybosna Avenue., Altunalem Site, Block D, No: 69/B, Yakutiye-Erzurum, 2016. p. 694.
 22. Mencke N, Jeschke P. Therapy and prevention of parasitic insects in veterinary medicine using imidacloprid. Curr Top Med Chem. 2002;2:701-715.

23. Casida JE, Gammon DE, Glickman AH, Lawrence LJ, Quistad GB. Why insecticides are more toxic to insect than people: the unique toxicology of insects. J Pestic Sci. 2004;29:81- 86.

24. Bradbury, SP 2012. Office of Pesticide Programs, letter to Peter T. Jenkins, Center for Food Safety and International Center for Tech-

nology Assessment, July 17, 2012.

25. USEPA. "Clothianidin fact sheet". U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Retrieved 14 October 2013.

26. Johnson R, Corn ML. Bee Health: The Role of Pesticides. Congressional Research Service, February 17, 2015. pp. 43.

27. Eddleston M. Pesticides. Medicine. 2016;44:3.

28. Fishel FM 2009. Pesticide Toxicity Profile: Neonicotinoid Pesticides. IFAS Extension, University of Florida, pp. 3. (http://edis.ifas. ufl.edu/pdffiles/PI/PI11700.pdf Access date: 09.11.2016)

29. Liu Z, Dai Y, Huang G, Gu Y, Ni J, Wei H, Yuan S. Soil microbial degradation of neonicotinoid insecticides imidacloprid, acetamiprid, thiacloprid and imidaclothiz and its effect on the persistence of bioefficacy against horsebean aphid Aphis craccivora Koch after soil application. Pest Management Science. 2011;67:1245-1252.

30. Honda H, Tomizawa M, Casida JE. Insect nicotinic acetylcholine receptors: neonicotinoid binding site specificity is usually but not always conserved with varied substituents and species. J Agric Food Chem. 2006;54:3365-3371.

31. Nauen RU, Ebbinghaus-Kintscher U, Schmuck R. Toxicity and nicotinic acetylcholine receptor interaction of imidacloprid and its metabolites in Apis mellifera (*Hymenoptera: Apidae*). Pest Manag Sci. 2001;57:577-586.

32. Tomizawa M, Millar NS, Casida JE. Pharmacological profiles of recombinant and native insect nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Insect Biochem Molec. 2005;35:1347-1355.

33. Thany SH. Agonist actions of clothianidin on synaptic and extrasynaptic nicotinic acetylcholine receptors expressed on cockroach sixth abdominal ganglion. Neurotoxicology. 2009;30:1045-1052.
34. Matsuda K, Shimomura M, Ihara M, Akamatsu M, Sattelle DB. Neonicotinoids show selective and diverse actions on their nicotinic receptor targets: electrophysiology, molecular biology, and receptor modeling studies. Biosci Biotech Biochem. 2005;69:1442-1452.
35. Corringer PJ, Le Novere N, Changeux JP. Nicotinic receptors at the amino acid level. Annu Rev Pharmacol. 2000;40:431-458.
36. Ribas G, Surrales J, Carbonell E, Xamena N, Creus A, Marcos R. Genotoxicity of the herbicides alachlor and maleic hydrazide in cultured human lymphocytes. Mutagenesis. 1996;11:221-227.

37. Kramer PJ. Genetic Toxicology. J Pharm Pharmacol. 1998;50:395-405.

38. Jena B, Kaul CL, Ramarao P. Genotoxicity Testing, a regulatory requirement for drug discovery and development: Impact of ICH Guidelines. Indian J Pharmacol. 2002;34:86-99.

39. Gill RJ, Ramos-Rodriguez O, Raine NE. Combined pesticide exposure severely affects individual- and colony-level traits in bees. Nature. 2012;491(7422):105–108.

40. Osborne JL. Ecology: Bumblebees and pesticides. Nature. 2012;491(7422):43-45.

41. Cressey D 2013. Europe debates risk to bees. Nature. 2013;496(7446):408.

42. PANNA (Pestcides Action Network North America). Pesticides and Honey Bees: State of the Science. 49 Powell Street, Suite 500, San Francisco, CA 94102, 2012. pp. 25+2 (https://www.panna.org/sites/default/files/Bees&Pesticides_SOS_FINAL_May2012.pdf Access date: 21.07.2016).

43. Morozov VN, Kanev IL. Knockdown of Fruit Flies by Imidacloprid Nanoaerosol. Environ Sci Technol. 2015;49(20):12483-12489.

44. Simon-Delso N, Amaral-Rogers V, Belzunces LP, Bonmatin JM, Chagnon M, Downs C, et al. Systemic insecticides (neonicotinoids and fipronil): trends, uses, mode of action and metabolites. Environ Sci Pollut R. 2015;22(1):5-34.

45. van Lexmond MB, Bonmatin J-M, Goulson D, Noome DA. Worldwide integrated assessment on systemic pesticides, Global collapse of the entomofauna: exploring the role of systemic insecticides. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 2015;22:1-4.

46. Schwaiger J, Wanke R, Adam S, Pawert M, Honnen W, Triebskorn R. The use of histopathological indicators to evaluate contaminant-related stress in fish. J Aqua Ecosyst Stress Recovery. 1997;6:75-86.
47. Fontanetti CS, Cristofoletti CA, Pinheiro TG, Souza TS, Pedro-Ester J. Microscopy: Science, technology, applications and education. In Microscopy as a Tool in Toxicological Evaluations (eds. A Méndez-Vilas, J Díaz). Formatex Research Center: Zurbaran, Spain, 2014. p. 1001–1007.

48. Miller-Morey JS, Dolah FMV. Differential responses of stress proteins, antioxidant enzymes, and photosynthetic efficiency to physiological stresses in the Florida red tide dinoflagellate, *Karenia brevis*. Comp Biochem Physiol - Part C: Toxicol Pharmacol. 2004;138:493-505.

49. Kumar A, Tomar M, Kataria SK. Effect of sub-lethal doses of imidacloprid on histological and biochemical parameters in female albino mice. IOSR-JESTFT. 2014;8(1): 09-15.

50. Bhardwaj S., Srivastava MK., Kapoor U, Srivastava LP. A 90 days oral toxicity of imidacloprid in female rats: Morphological, biochemical and histopathological evaluations. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2010;48(5):1185-1190.

51. Lonare M., Kumar M, Raut S, Badgujar P, Doltade S, Telang, A. Evaluation of imidacloprid-induced neurotoxicity in male rats: A protective effect of curcumin. Neurochemistry International. 2014;78:122–129.

52. Arfat Y, Mahmood N, Tahir MU, Rashid M, Anjum S, Zhao F, Li D-J, Sun Y-L, Hu L, Zhihao C, Yin C, Shang P, Qian A-R. Effect of imidacloprid on hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity in male albino mice. Toxicology Reports 1. 2014;554–561 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. toxrep.2014.08.004

53. Rasgele PG, Oktay M, Kekecoglu M, Muranli FDG. The histopathological investigation of liver in experimental animals after short-term exposures to pesticides. Bulg J Agric Sci. 2015;21:446-453.
54. Cavas T, Cinkilic N, Vatan O, Yilmaz D, Coskun M. In vitro genotoxicity evaluation of acetamiprid in CaCo-2 cells using the micronucleus, comet and gamma H2AX foci assays. Pestic Biochem Phys. 2012;104: 212-217.

55. Costa C, Silvari V, Melchini A, Catania S, Heffron JJ, Trovato A, De Pasquale R. Genotoxicity of imidacloprid in relation to metabolic activation and composition of the commercial product. Mutat Res. 2009;672:40–44.

56. Kocaman AY, Rencüzoğulları E, Topaktaş M. In vitro investigation of the genotoxic and cytotoxic effects of thiacloprid in cultured human peripheral blood lymphocytes. Environ Toxicol. 2014;29(6): 631-41. 57. Kılınç A. Neonikotinoid grubu insektisidlerin sitotoksik etkilerinin araştırılması. Yüksek Lisans Tezi, 81 s. İstanbul Üniversitesi, Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü / Farmasötik Toksikoloji Anabilim Dalı, 2016.
58. Demsia G, Vlastos D, Goumenou M, Matthopoulos DM. Assessment of the genotoxicity of imidacloprid and metalaxyl in cultured human lymphocytes and rat bone-marrow. Mutat Res. 2007;634:32–39.

59. Rupa DS, Reddy PP, Sreemannarayana K, Reddi OS. Frequency of sister chromatid exchange in peripheral lymphocytes of male pesticide applicators. Environ Mol Mutagen. 1991;18:136-138.
60. Bull S, Fletcher K, Boobis, AR, Battershill JM. Evidence for genotoxicity of pesticides in pesticide applicators: a review. Mutagenesis, 2006;21(2):93–103.

61. Van Maele-Fabry G, Duhayon S, Lison D. A systematic review of myeloid leukemias and occupational pesticide exposure. Cancer Causes and Control. 2007;5:457–478.

62. Rupa DS, Rita P, Reddy RR, Reddi OS. Screening of chromosomal aberrations and sister chromatid exchanges in peripheral lym-phocytes of vegetable garden workers. Human and Experimental Toxicology. 1988;7:333-336.

63. Peluso M, Merlo F, Munnia A, Bolognesi C, Puntoni R, Parodi S. 32P-postlabeling detection of DNA adducts in peripheral white blood cells of greenhouse floriculturists from Western Liguria, Italy. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention. 1996;5(5):361-369.

64. Kocaman, AY, Topaktas M. In vitro evaluation of the genotoxicity of acetamiprid in human peripheral blood lymphocytes. Environ Mol Mutagen. 2007;48:483-490.

65. Green T, Toghill A, Lee R, Waechter F, Weber E, Noakes, J. Thiamethoxam Induced Mouse Liver Tumors and Their Relevance to Humans Part 1: Mode of Action Studies in the Mouse. Toxicological Sciences. 2005;86(1):36–47.

66. Tucker JD, Auletta A, Cimino MC, Dearfield KL, Jacobsonkram D, Tice RR, Carrano AV. Sister-Chromatid Exchange: Second Report of the Gene-Tox Program. Mutat Res. 1993;297:101-180.

67. Hagmar L, Brogger A, Hansteen IL, Heim S, Högstedt B, Knudaen L, Lambert B, Linnainmaa K, Mitelman F, Nordenson I, Reuterwall C, Salomaa S, Skerfving S, Sorsa M. Cancer risk in human predicted by increased levels of chromosomal aberrations in lymphocytes: Nordic study group on the health risk of chromosome damage. J Cancer Res. 1994;54:2919-2922.

68. Fenech M, Crott WJ. Micronuclei, nucleoplasmic bridges and nuclear buds induced in folic acid deficient human lymphocytes-evidence for breakage-fusion-bridge cycles in the cytokinesis-block micronucleus assay. Mutat Res. 2002;504:131-136.

69. Bedir A, Bilgici B, Yurdakul Z, Gürses BŞ, Alvur M. The comparison of mikro FADU and comet methods in DNA damage analysis. J Turk Clin Biochem. 2004;97-103.