IJEPEM

International Journal of Environmental Pollution and Environmental Modelling,
Vol. 7(2): 96-106 (2024)
(Research Article)

Evolving Trends and Challenges in International Environmental Law: A Case-Based Analysis

Charles B. Berebon*

[1]Department of Philosophy, Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria

E-Mail: *charles.barebon@ust.edu.ng*

Received 10.09.2024; Accepted 31.12.2024

Abstract: International environmental law has emerged as a critical tool for addressing global environmental challenges, ranging from biodiversity loss to trans-boundary pollution. This paper examines significant international environmental law cases, highlighting their contributions to shaping legal principles and addressing sustainability concerns. Through an analysis of cases such as Certain Activities in the Border Area, Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project, and South China Sea Arbitration, the paper explores the interplay between environmental protection, human rights, and sustainable development. It emphasizes the evolving nature of legal norms and the necessity for comprehensive regulatory frameworks to mitigate contemporary environmental issues, such as climate change and resource depletion. The findings underscore the need for innovative legal strategies to balance economic interests with ecological preservation, ensuring intergenerational equity.

Keywords: International Environmental Law; Sustainable Development; Climate Change Litigation; Trans-boundary Environmental Harm.

INTRODUCTION

Sustainable development offers a framework for humanity to coexist and flourish alongside nature, rather than continuing the centuries-old pattern of exploitation at nature's expense. However, despite the presence of numerous environmental and natural resource laws, a comprehensive and robust legal foundation for sustainability remains absent (Berebon, 2023a; Berebon, 2023b). To make significant strides toward a sustainable society—and ultimately achieve sustainability—it is essential to create and implement legal systems and institutions that either do not currently exist or require substantial reform. Responding to the increasing demand from government, business, and nonprofit clients, legal professionals have begun to adapt their practices to address issues surrounding sustainable development.

Achieving sustainability demands a broader legal framework beyond environmental law, which, while crucial, is just one part of the equation. Other legal domains, such as land use and property law, taxation, and the structure of governmental systems, play equally vital roles. This discourse is not solely about the interplay between law and sustainability but also seeks to answer a critical question: how can legal frameworks be effectively designed and applied to achieve sustainable outcomes? The term "law for sustainability" encapsulates this concept, emphasizing the importance of law as a cornerstone for sustainable governance.

Governance for sustainability necessitates the development of legal tools and institutions that enable societies to manage resources equitably and responsibly. The scale of the challenge requires engaged scholarship that equips policymakers, practicing lawyers, and other stakeholders with actionable insights, practical tools, and innovative ideas. The urgency of this task is reflected in the diverse contributions to this discussion, which bring together professional, academic, and geographic perspectives. These contributors are widely recognized for their expertise and insights, offering invaluable guidance on how law can serve as a transformative instrument in advancing sustainable development.

Existing Laws to Sustainability

Many experts agree that achieving sustainability requires the creation of new laws and the modification of existing ones. However, it is less often acknowledged that sustainability can also be attained by applying current laws to emerging issues or by making small, incremental adjustments to existing legal frameworks. While many of these laws are environmental in nature, they are not

* Corresponding E-mail: charles.barebon@ust.edu.ng (ORCID: 0009-0009-1864-9418)

exclusively so. For instance, nongovernmental organizations and the California Attorney General used litigation under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) of 1970 to compel municipalities in California to consider the greenhouse gas emission impacts of their land use decisions (Medina, 2012).

CEQA requires state and local governments to prepare environmental impact reports for projects likely to have significant environmental effects, and mandates that these impacts be reduced or avoided wherever feasible. This litigation ultimately led to the creation of a 2008 statute that outlined more specific local responsibilities for limiting greenhouse gas emissions than the original CEQA. Without the legal challenges stemming from CEQA, this new legislation would likely not have been enacted. In a similar context, Robin Craig and J.B. Ruhl explore various legal and policy instruments that could promote the sustainable management of coastal ecosystems. They advocate for the use of integrated, place-based management strategies and innovative regulations, including market-based instruments (Berebon, 2022; Berebon, 2023c). Their work begins with existing laws, demonstrating how they could be adapted to yield more sustainable outcomes, such as climate change adaptation. For example, they suggest increased use of collaborative governance structures, broader application of "reflexive law" (such as information reporting), and more widespread use of economic incentives. While recognizing that governments have started using these tools, Craig and Ruhl argue that they could be more creatively and extensively applied within new sustainable governance systems (Schutz, 2010; Odok & Berebon, 2024).

Not all laws relevant to sustainability are environmental laws. In 1994, Cuba enacted a law that simplified the process for urban residents to grow and sell food on unused land. Since then, the Cuban government has provided financial, technical, and marketing support for urban agriculture, leading to increased food access, more sustainable food production, and job creation—vital components of a food security program. Although much of this food is sold on the black market or through foreign currency, the program has had a significant positive impact. Property law, especially concerning common-interest communities (such as homeowner associations), can also contribute to sustainability efforts. Anthony Schutz proposes that property law be used in the context of U.S. Great Plains ranchlands to capitalize on consumer demand for activities like horseback riding, camping, wildlife observation, hiking, and hunting. Despite private landowners holding 75% of the land in this region, only 2% is managed for biodiversity conservation (Ross, 2010; Varaba & Berebon, 2021). Schutz suggests that private landowners could use common-interest community laws to collectively manage resources, providing both environmental and economic benefits. While such an approach would require landowners to make decisions about what to produce and how to allocate the benefits, it could also foster environmental sustainability (Umontong, 2002; Umontong, 2012).

Existing laws from various jurisdictions can also play a pivotal role in advancing sustainability. Kenneth Abbott and Gary Marchant review five potential mechanisms for institutionalizing sustainability within the U.S. federal government, each based on an existing law from the U.S. or another jurisdiction. They explore how these laws can be modified, enhanced, or extended to promote sustainability on a national scale. As they observe, incremental adaptations to existing laws make these innovations attainable (Kennedy, 2010; Umontong, 2014). In a similar vein, Andrea Ross examines the legal frameworks for sustainability in the United Kingdom, drawing on examples from Wales, Scotland, and the Canadian provinces of Manitoba and Quebec (Bosselmann, 2010; Umontong, 1999). Moreover, existing laws can be complemented by other approaches, such as community-based social marketing techniques.

Amanda Kennedy describes community-based social marketing as a strategy for driving behavioral change through direct communication and community-level initiatives, with a focus on removing barriers to change (Adler, 2010). These techniques include public commitments, incentives, communication strategies, prompts to encourage actions that individuals might not otherwise take, and the creation of social norms. A growing body of social science research shows that community-based social marketing can successfully promote more sustainable individual behaviors.

Global Environmental Law

Global environmental law necessitates the involvement of non-state actors, as their behavior must change to achieve environmental goals. For instance, industries must alter their production processes and consumers must modify their consumption patterns to reduce CO2 emissions (Ozumba & John, 2017). Similarly, in order to preserve marine ecosystems, threats like bottom-trawling by fishers need

to be addressed. Although global environmental law primarily interacts with states, it also engages with non-state actors, particularly environmental and business NGOs, which actively collaborate with states and international bodies involved in environmental decision-making (Umotong & Dennis, 2018). Non-state actors also play a significant role in standard-setting through voluntary codes of conduct. An example of this is the ISO 14000 series, which provides standards for environmental management and serves as a basis for certifying companies that comply with these environmental standards. The ISO 14000 series was developed by the International Organization for Standardization, a non-governmental organization (NGO) whose membership includes both public and private standardization bodies.

Furthermore, global environmental law must address the physical and technological roots of environmental problems and the scientific and technological solutions to these issues (Umotong, 2008; Asuquo et al., 2002). Environmental issues often arise from physical processes, meaning that science plays a crucial role in identifying and defining environmental problems. Technological innovations may provide solutions to environmental challenges, but can also give rise to new problems. For example, the introduction of taller smokestacks transformed air pollution from a local issue into a regional one. Similarly, the use of chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) in refrigeration, which replaced more harmful substances like ammonia, was the result of 1920s scientific research. Later, in the 1970s, scientific findings revealed that CFCs deplete the ozone layer, and further research led to the development of alternatives, such as hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs), some of which are also potent greenhouse gases. Science, therefore, is integral in both understanding the causes of environmental problems and identifying solutions. Technological advancements can lead to both the creation of new environmental problems and their potential resolution.

Scientific uncertainty, a natural component of scientific inquiry, presents challenges for global environmental law. For example, there may be uncertainty regarding the causal link between a specific pollutant or activity and its environmental impacts. This uncertainty is especially problematic because environmental damage often results from numerous sources that accumulate over time. Global environmental law also faces challenges regarding the cost-effectiveness of environmental measures (B.S. Chimni, 2009; Okon, 2003a; Okon, 2003b). Given scientific uncertainty, there is a dilemma regarding whether to take precautionary action or to wait for more information, balancing the risk of wasting resources against the potential for higher future costs if the problem worsens. Additionally, global environmental law must address the political and financial challenges of cost allocation, particularly between developed and developing states and among public and private actors.

Another core issue in global environmental law is managing common-interest problems—global challenges that no single state can resolve independently (Jørgen Wettestad, 1974; Essien, 1992; Okon, 2019). This has not only led to the creation of new legal rules but has also prompted systemic changes within the legal system. For example, non-compliance with environmental rules is often addressed through non-adversarial compliance mechanisms aimed at bringing states back into compliance, rather than through adversarial inter-state dispute settlement procedures focused on determining state responsibility (Nico Schrijver, 2008). This approach reflects a shift in the way global environmental law deals with compliance and responsibility (Essien, 1993; Jan Klabbers, 2004; Okon & Noah, 2004).

Decision-making in global environmental law is a dynamic process, interacting with complex socio-economic, scientific, technical, and political issues. While these characteristics are not unique to global environmental law, this body of law highlights how international law is evolving and adopting traits of administrative law (Benedict Kingsbury, 2000). The functional equivalence between international environmental law and administrative law justifies the argument that global environmental law should incorporate the checks and balances typical of administrative law systems, even though states continue to play a central role. It is important to note, however, that global environmental law does not necessarily meet traditional standards of justice. Instead, it is a legal framework addressing common-interest problems in a world marked by significant divides, particularly between developed and developing countries, and where the decision-making processes of global institutions often reflect colonial legacies (B.S. Chimni, 2009).

INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL LAW

International environmental law is a branch of public international law, which is a body of law created by States for States to address problems arising between them. It is primarily concerned with controlling pollution and the depletion of natural resources within the framework of sustainable

development. Multilateral environmental agreements, a subset of international conventions, are recognized by Article 38(1) of the Statute of the International Court of Justice as a source of international law, with a specific focus on environmental issues. However, it is important to note that judicial decisions and juristic writings, while influential, are not binding sources of law by themselves, but rather serve as subsidiary means for determining the law.

One of the most distinctive features of contemporary international law is international cooperation. This cooperation extends beyond peacekeeping or global security and encompasses a wide range of issues, including economic, social, political, and environmental matters (Okon & Akpan, 2001). In today's world, it is understood that some areas of international relations, particularly environmental concerns, require extensive global cooperation. Environmental issues can only be effectively addressed by the international community as a whole, given their global scope. For this reason, international cooperation is crucial to environmental protection. Over recent decades, environmental protection has become a central concern for nearly every nation across the globe. The world is faced with numerous challenges, including population growth, industrialization, air pollution, the deterioration of water quality, hygiene issues, and the destruction of natural habitats.

To tackle these environmental problems at national, regional, and global levels, most States are committed to participating in global and local efforts to protect the environment. As the environment does not recognize national borders, it is vital that all countries cooperate in environmental protection. Following the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment (Stockholm Declaration, 1972), the global environment has been recognized as the common heritage of humankind (Noah, P., & Okon, 2020). Global environmental cooperation is one of the primary concerns reflected in numerous international regulations, both binding and non-binding, from the Stockholm Declaration in 1972 to the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. In international law, cooperation has become an essential element of international relations for environmental protection, driven by the understanding that environmental issues must be addressed at both the environmental and political levels, with collective action being key to their resolution.

International environmental treaties, unlike some other areas of the public international law, bind states, but compliance requires behavior to change primarily by private actors. In this perspective, the incentive structure in the treaties for these non-governmental actors can thus have implications for how they are implemented (Joyner Ch. C., 2005). In this regard, the principle of International Cooperation has great importance in the International environmental law as one of the public international law disciplines.

For this purpose, the equitable and reasonable utilization of territory and management of shared resources such as tran-boundary water resources and international lakes requires international co-operation. The universal desire to public's need to co-operate toward environmental protection in many binding and non-binding legal instruments and its implementation in global and regional scope is proved the legal basis of the principle of cooperation in international environmental law are clearly expressed the Stockholm Declaration 1972 (Poorhashemi, Zarei & khalatbari, 2013).

According to the principle outlined in the Stockholm Declaration of 1972, all States must cooperate in advancing international law regarding liability and compensation for victims of pollution and other environmental damage caused by activities within their jurisdiction or control, extending to areas beyond their jurisdiction. The Declaration emphasizes that environmental matters, including protection and improvement, should be managed cooperatively by all countries, irrespective of their size, on an equal footing. Effective cooperation is essential through multilateral or bilateral arrangements, or other suitable methods, to control, prevent, reduce, and eliminate adverse environmental effects resulting from activities conducted in various sectors, while considering the sovereignty and interests of all States (Stockholm Declaration, 1972).

A decade later, in October 1982, the UN General Assembly adopted the "World Charter for Nature" under Resolution A/RES/37/7. This principle stressed that all states, and other entities such as public authorities, international organizations, individuals, groups, and corporations, should collaborate in conserving nature. This could be achieved through shared activities and relevant actions, including information exchange and consultations (World Charter for Nature, 1982; Stockholm Declaration, 1972). The environment and natural resources must be regarded as a global commons, a concern shared by all humankind. Given the global scope of environmental issues, it is vital that all nations and peoples

share in the management of these resources. However, despite these guiding principles, current environmental cooperation and governance fall short of achieving these objectives.

The growing awareness of environmental issues in both developed and developing countries has not yet translated into effective governance, as environmental degradation continues, and new challenges emerge. The divide between developed and developing nations is a critical factor in understanding the institutional failures of current global environmental governance. International environmental law encompasses agreements and principles that represent the global effort to address our transition into the Anthropocene era, resolving key environmental challenges like climate change, ozone depletion, and biodiversity loss. More broadly, international environmental law seeks to achieve sustainable development—ensuring that current generations can enjoy a high quality of life without compromising the ability of future generations to do the same.

International environmental law plays a pivotal role not only in addressing specific environmental threats but also in integrating long-term environmental protection within the global economy. However, not all environmental issues require an international response. For international cooperation to take place, countries must see some advantage in collective action. Typically, international cooperation arises when: (1) environmental impacts are transboundary (e.g., pollution in the Great Lakes) or global (e.g., climate change); (2) international activity contributes to environmental harm (e.g., the international trade in elephant ivory or whale hunting); or (3) international coordination of financial or technical support can facilitate action (e.g., global conservation of biodiversity). In these scenarios, international cooperation—whether through binding treaties or non-binding "soft law" agreements—is essential for an effective response to the environmental challenge.

Historically, much of international environmental law was driven by bilateral or regional disputes over shared resources, such as rivers or lakes that spanned multiple countries. These disputes often led to diplomatic tensions, sometimes resulting in international legal cases or being settled through narrow regional or bilateral treaties. One of the most notable cases was the Trail Smelter Arbitration, where Canada was held responsible for air pollution affecting the United States. More recent disputes, such as Slovakia's proposed dam on the Danube River near Hungary, Uruguay's approval of pulp mills threatening pollution to Argentina, and Australia's challenge of Japan's whaling activities, underscore the importance of international law in resolving environmental conflicts between nations. Such disputes are typically addressed by the International Court of Justice, the UN Law of the Sea Tribunal, or other international tribunals.

Beyond resolving conflicts, international environmental law also aims to balance the planet's ecological limits with the growing global economy, striving to prevent irreversible environmental harm. Today, governments regularly meet to discuss global sustainability or negotiate hundreds of bilateral, regional, and global treaties that aim to manage specific environmental challenges before they reach a point of no return.

INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS ON ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), 1973

The primary aim of CITES is to regulate or prevent the international commercial trade of endangered species and products derived from them. However, CITES does not directly aim to protect endangered species or restrict development practices that harm their habitats. Instead, its goal is to reduce the economic incentive for poaching endangered species and destroying their habitats by closing off the international market. India became a party to CITES in 1976. The international trade in all wild flora and fauna, particularly species covered under CITES, is regulated through the Wildlife (Protection) Act 1972, the Import/Export policy of the Government of India, and the Customs Act 1962 (Bajaj, 1996).

Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer (to the Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer), 1987

The Montreal Protocol, which came into force in 1989, is a significant agreement aimed at reducing the consumption and production of ozone-depleting substances (ODS). One of the Protocol's key innovations was recognizing that not all countries should be treated equally. It acknowledges that some countries have contributed more to ozone depletion than others and that their obligation to reduce

emissions should be based on their technological and financial capacity. Consequently, the Protocol sets more stringent standards and faster phase-out schedules for countries with a higher contribution to ozone depletion (Divan & Rosencranz, 2001).

Basel Convention on Transboundary Movement of Hazardous Wastes, 1989

The Basel Convention, which entered into force in 1992, has three primary objectives:

1. To reduce transboundary movements of hazardous wastes.
2. To minimize the creation of hazardous wastes.
3. To prohibit the shipment of hazardous wastes to countries that lack the capacity to dispose of them in an environmentally sound manner.

India ratified the Basel Convention in 1992, shortly after its entry into force. The Indian Hazardous Wastes Management Rules Act 1989 incorporates several provisions of the Basel Convention related to the notification of hazardous waste imports and exports, illegal traffic, and liability.

UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), 1992

The UNFCCC's primary goal is to stabilize greenhouse gas emissions to levels that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the global climate. The convention follows the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities, which guides the adoption of regulatory structures. Under the convention, reduction and limitation requirements are only applicable to developed countries, while developing countries are only obligated to report on the construction of a greenhouse gas (GHG) inventory. India has started preparing its First National Communication (base year 1994), which includes an inventory of GHG sources and sinks, the potential vulnerability to climate change, adaptation measures, and other steps taken to address climate change (WWF India, 1999).

Convention on Biological Diversity, 1992

The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) is a legally binding framework treaty ratified by 180 countries. It has three main objectives: the conservation of biodiversity, the sustainable use of biological resources, and the equitable sharing of benefits arising from their sustainable use. The CBD came into force in 1993, addressing a wide range of biodiversity issues, including habitat preservation, intellectual property rights, biosafety, and the rights of indigenous peoples.

UN Convention on Desertification, 1994

The establishment of an intergovernmental negotiating committee for the creation of an international convention to combat desertification in drought-prone and desertifying countries was recommended during the 1992 UN Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED). This led to the formulation of the UN Convention on Desertification, which was adopted in 1994. The Convention is unique in that it adopts a bottom-up approach to international environmental cooperation. Under its framework, efforts to control and alleviate desertification must be closely linked to the needs and active participation of local land-users and non-governmental organizations. Seven countries in the South Asian region are signatories to the Convention, which aims to combat desertification through national, regional, and sub-regional action programs. India hosts the network on agroforestry and soil conservation under the Regional Action Programme, which includes six Thematic Programme Networks (TPNs) for the Asian region, each led by a country task manager.

INTERNATIONAL TROPICAL TIMBER AGREEMENT AND THE INTERNATIONAL TROPICAL TIMBER ORGANIZATION (ITTO), 1983, 1994

The International Tropical Timber Organization (ITTO) was established under the framework of the International Tropical Timber Agreement (ITTA) of 1983, which came into force in 1985 and became operational in 1987. The ITTO serves as a platform for fostering dialogue, consultation, and international cooperation on matters related to the global trade and utilization of tropical timber, as well as the sustainable management of its resource base. In 1994, a successor agreement to the ITTA (1983) was negotiated, and it came into effect on January 1, 1997. Currently, the ITTO has 57 member countries, with India having ratified the ITTA in 1996.

Table 1. Global Environmental Agreements (David Hunter, 2021)

Global Environmental Agreements	Number of State Parties	Opened for Signature	Entered into Force
Global Atmosphere			
Montreal Protocol	197	1985	1988
UN Framework Convention on Climate Change	195	1992	1994
Kyoto Protocol	192	1997	2005
Paris Agreement	166	2015	2016
Wildlife and Biodiversity			
Convention on Biological Diversity	193	1992	1993
Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety	166	2000	2003
Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES)	178	1973	1987
Convention on Migratory Species	120	1979	1983
Convention to Combat Desertification	195	1994	1996
Ramsar Wetlands Convention	168	1971	1975
UNESCO World Heritage Convention	190	1972	1975
Oceans			
Law of the Sea Convention	166	1982	1994
Straddling Fish Stocks Agreement	88	1995	2001
Chemicals			
Basel Convention on Hazardous Wastes	181	1989	1992
Stockholm Convention on POPs	179	2001	2004
Rotterdam Convention on PIC	154	1998	2004
Minimata Convention on Mercury	128 signed (30)	2013	

SIGNIFICANT INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CASES

The United Nations Environment Assembly (UNEA), established as the highest-level UN body focused on environmental matters, convened its inaugural session on June 23, 2014, at the UNEP headquarters in Nairobi. The UNEA reports directly to the General Assembly and enjoys universal membership, comprising all 193 UN member states along with other stakeholder groups. This entity provides a groundbreaking platform for leadership in shaping global environmental policy, allowing for collective action on pressing environmental challenges.

In December 2015, the International Court of Justice (ICJ) delivered a judgment in the consolidated cases of *Certain Activities Carried Out by Nicaragua in the Border Area* and *Construction of a Road in Costa Rica along the San Juan River*. While the Court exonerated Costa Rica from any violations of international law, it ruled that Nicaragua had breached its international obligations by, among other

actions, excavating several canals that harmed the biodiversity of the disputed area. The Court further concluded that Nicaragua had an obligation to compensate Costa Rica for the material damages caused by these unlawful activities.

Following this judgment, the Court gave the parties the opportunity to negotiate compensation, but no agreement was reached. As a result, Costa Rica applied to the Court in January 2017, requesting it to determine the damages owed. Costa Rica's claims were categorized under two main headings: quantifiable environmental damage caused by the canal excavations and additional costs related to monitoring the environmental harm caused by Nicaragua's actions. The parties submitted written pleadings, which revealed significant differences in their methodologies for calculating damages for environmental harm. This issue was addressed in depth in the Court's judgment, delivered on February 2, 2018. The ruling highlights that the law in this area may not be entirely settled and that future cases could involve further debates on these matters.

In November 2017, the Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACHR) issued a historic advisory opinion in response to a request from Colombia regarding the relationship between human rights under the American Convention on Human Rights and the duty to protect the environment. This advisory opinion, issued on November 15, 2017, reinforced the connection between environmental protection and the safeguarding of human rights, providing important guidance on how the two domains intersect.

People vs Arctic Oil

On December 22, 2020, the Norwegian Supreme Court delivered its ruling in the nation's first climate litigation case. The case addressed a claim that the petroleum licenses granted by the Norwegian government violated the constitutional 'right to a healthy environment.' The Court rejected this claim, determining that the constitutional protection of the environment functions not as a right but as a substantive limit to government action, and only in very specific circumstances. Rather than using the case to clarify the scope of the constitutional provision, the Court's judgment aligned with the current political stance that supports continued petroleum extraction within Norway.

The Court's reasoning can be traced to Norway's legal culture, which traditionally takes a restrictive approach toward judicial review, particularly in relation to parliamentary decisions. Additionally, the fact that many of the judges on the Supreme Court were trained in an era when climate law was not included in the legal curriculum could have influenced their decision. It has also been noted that climate change litigation has not traditionally been a prominent tool before the Norwegian Supreme Court. These factors likely contributed to the Court's decision to follow a more cautious approach, maintaining its traditional stance rather than adjusting its judgment to meet contemporary legal expectations and addressing the intergenerational concerns central to the case (Malcolm Langford, 2019). In light of this, one might ask: if not the Supreme Court, who will ensure that the Parliament has not effectively 'legislated away' its environmental obligations under Article 112?

This issue is particularly pressing given that political decisions, often based on majority votes, tend to represent a compromise between, on the one hand, the strong economic interests tied to a fossil fuel-dependent economy, and, on the other hand, public concerns regarding the long-term, global environmental consequences of such an economy.

The Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons Case (1996), Paragraph 29

In this case, the Court acknowledged the daily threats to the environment and the potential catastrophic impact that the use of nuclear weapons could have. It emphasized that the environment is not an abstract concept but represents the living space, quality of life, and health of humans, including future generations. The Court recognized the general obligation of states to ensure that activities within their jurisdiction or control do not harm the environment of other states or areas beyond national control, marking this as an established principle in international environmental law.

The Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Case (1997), Paragraph 140

The Court underscored the significant environmental implications of the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project, pointing to the substantial evidence provided through scientific reports, despite contradictions between the findings. The Court noted that current environmental standards must be considered to evaluate potential risks, as prescribed by Articles 15 and 19, which impose a continuing obligation on the parties to preserve the quality of the Danube's water and protect nature. The Court stressed that

environmental protection requires vigilance and preventive measures due to the often irreversible nature of environmental damage and the limitations of damage remediation mechanisms.

The Court also acknowledged humanity's long history of economic interventions in nature, often without consideration of the environmental impacts. However, increased scientific understanding and awareness of the risks of such interventions have led to the development of new norms and standards in the last few decades. These evolving standards should guide states not only in new activities but also in the continuation of existing ones. The Court emphasized the importance of reconciling economic development with environmental protection, encapsulated in the concept of sustainable development, urging the parties to reassess the environmental impact of the Gabčíkovo power plant's operations, particularly in relation to water flow into the Danube's old bed and side-arms.

The Pulp Mills Case (2010), Paragraph 204

The Court stated that for the parties to comply with their obligations under Article 41(a) and (b) of the 1975 Statute, an environmental impact assessment must be conducted to protect the aquatic environment, particularly with regard to activities that may cause transboundary harm. The Court referenced a previous case regarding navigational and related rights, noting that international treaties may evolve over time to adapt to developments in international law. This highlights the need for parties to consider the ongoing development of legal frameworks and environmental obligations.

South China Sea Arbitration Case (PCA)

The South China Sea Arbitration is a landmark case in environmental law litigation (David et al., 2011). Brought by the Philippines against China under the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), the case revolves around overlapping territorial and maritime claims based on historical rights, geographical proximity, and maritime law principles. China's claims, supported by historical maps from the Ming dynasty, have sparked significant tension in the region (Susan, 2013). China has also reinforced its claims through economic activities, legislative proposals, and maritime enforcement measures (Panos, 2015).

The dispute has led to international arbitration, with the Philippines challenging China's claims, particularly the nine-dash line, which it argues violates the provisions of UNCLOS. China, on the other hand, has maintained that the nine-dash line reflects its historic maritime rights, which should not be altered by UNCLOS. This arbitration case has profound implications for the future of international maritime law and the environmental management of the South China Sea, as it will likely shape the policies regarding marine resource extraction and conservation in the region (Karen, 2011). The judgment in this case aims to improve the overall conditions of the South China Sea and mitigate the environmental impact of continued territorial disputes.

CONCLUSION

The issue of environmental pollution has become a global concern, exacerbated by rapid industrialization, urbanization, population growth, and the overexploitation of natural resources, all of which have disrupted ecological balances. This problem is particularly acute and significant for various countries. In response, a robust regulatory framework is essential to safeguard the existing, enriched environmental system and integrate environmental considerations into decision-making processes regarding economic issues and urban growth activities. Developing a comprehensive environmental policy within a country presents multiple challenges, including the creation of a regulatory framework that covers all aspects of environmental protection, the modification of existing laws to better achieve their objectives, and the establishment of governing bodies to implement the new and revised legislation.

International environmental law has successfully addressed numerous critical issues. For instance, many harmful chemicals are now regulated, the ozone layer is showing signs of recovery, and populations of crucial wildlife species, such as whales and sea turtles, are increasing due to international environmental agreements. However, many other indicators of global environmental quality have worsened since the Stockholm Conference. These include declining fish stocks, rising global temperatures, and increasing deforestation rates, along with biodiversity loss, ocean acidification, and habitat destruction. Perhaps most urgently, we now face mounting evidence that human-induced environmental changes will lead to profound global impacts if left unaddressed.

International environmental law is one of the essential tools in addressing these challenges. However, it is not a panacea. The underlying issues that sustainability aims to address—widespread poverty and escalating environmental degradation—demand urgent attention. Laws can play a pivotal

role in advancing sustainability, and it is crucial to explore ways to accelerate the adoption and application of legal frameworks that can promote sustainable development.

REFERENCES

Adler, R. W. (2010). Drought, sustainability, and the law. *Sustainability, 2*(7), 2176–2196. https://doi.org/10.3390/su2072176

Asian Development Bank. (1999). *Prepared for the Asian Development Bank and the Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India*.

Asuquo, G. O., Umotong, I. D., & Dennis, O. (2022). A critical exposition of Bergson's process philosophy. *International Journal of Humanities and Innovation (IJHI), 5*(3), 104-109.

Bajaj, R. (1996). *CITES and the wildlife trade in India*. New Delhi: Centre for Environmental Law, WWF-India.

Berebon, C. (2023). Ethical Perspectives of Digital Divide: An African Case Study. *Multilingual African Digital Semiotics and E-lit Journal (MADSEJ), 1*(1), 67-87.

Berebon, C. (2023b). Analysing the Russia-Ukraine Conflict from Liberal and Realist Perspectives. *GNOSI: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Human Theory and Praxis*, *6*(2), 87-98.

Berebon, C. (2023c). Engagement of Children in Conflict Zones in Africa: Ethical Dilemma. *Alkebulan: A Journal of West and East African Studies*, *3*(2), 41-54.

Berebon, C. (2024). Identity Conflicts In Nigeria: Historical Perspectives And Contemporary Implications. *Tamaddun*, *23*(1), 177-189.

Berebon, C. B. (2022). Existential approach towards religion. *INSIGHT*, *2*(2).

Berebon, C. B. (2023a). Gandhian School of Educational Thought. *Jurnal Ilmu Sosiologi Dialektika Kontemporer*, *11*(1).

Bosselmann, K. (2010). Losing the forest for the trees: Environmental reductionism in the law. *Sustainability, 2*(8), 2424–2448. https://doi.org/10.3390/su2082424

Chimni, B. S. (2005). Cooption and resistance: Two faces of global administrative law. *IILJ Working Paper*, No. 2005/16. Retrieved from http://iilj.org/publications/2005-16Chimni.asp

Chimni, B. S. (2005). Cooption and resistance: Two faces of global administrative law. *IILJ Working Paper*, No. 2005/16. Retrieved from http://iilj.org/publications/2005-16Chimni.asp

Chorzów Factory Case (1928). PCIJ Reports, Series A, No. 17, p. 47.

Craig, R. K., & Ruhl, J. (2010). Governing for sustainable coasts: Complexity, climate change, and coastal ecosystem protection. *Sustainability, 2*(5), 1361–1388. https://doi.org/10.3390/su2051361

David, A. (2012). *International law and international relations*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Desombre, R. E. (2005). The evolution of international environmental cooperation. *Global Environmental Politics*, *5*(4), November.

Divan, S., & Rosencranz, A. (2000). *Environmental law and policy in India: Cases, materials and statutes* (2nd ed.). New Delhi: Oxford University Press.

Essien, M. M. (1992). Deep-level High tone as a relational link in certain Ibibio constructions. *Journal of West African Languages*, *22*, 59-68.

Essien, M. M. (1993). Language Development: the Ibibio experience. *Teaching Nigerian Languages: experiences from the Delta*. *COEWA Publishers. Warri*, 36-49.

Hunter, D. (2021). International treaties and principles protect the environment and guard against climate change. *International Environmental Law, 9*.

ICJ. (2018). *Certain activities carried out by Nicaragua in the border area (Compensation owed to Costa Rica)*, Judgment of 2 February 2018. Retrieved July 18, 2018.

Inter-American Court of Human Rights (2017). Advisory Opinion OC-23/17, 15 November 2017. Retrieved from the Inter-American Court's website.

Joshua, B., & Stefano, P. (2012). *Selling forest environmental services: Market-based mechanisms for conservation and development*. Abingdon: Taylor & Francis.

Joyner, C. C. (2005). Rethinking international environmental regimes: What role for partnership coalitions? *Journal of International Law & International Relations*.

Kennedy, A. L. (2010). Using community-based social marketing techniques to enhance environmental regulation. *Sustainability, 2*(5), 1138–1160. https://doi.org/10.3390/su2051138

Kingsbury, B. (2008). Global environmental law as administration: Implications for international law. In *Handbook, supra note 1* (p. 63).

Klabbers, J., & Michell, R. B. (2008). Compliance procedures and theory in international environmental law. In *Handbook, supra note 1* (pp. 893, 995).

Langford, M., & Berge, B. K. (2019). Norway's constitution in a comparative perspective. *Oslo Law Review*, *3*, 198.

Medina, R., & Tarlock, A. D. (2010). Addressing climate change at the state and local level: Using land use controls to reduce automobile emissions. *Sustainability*, *2*(5), 1742–1764. https://doi.org/10.3390/su2051742

Ministry of Environment & Forests. (2001). Retrieved from http://envfor.nic.in

Noah, P., & Okon, M. M. (2020). A prolegomena to the Oron tense system. *International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation*, *3*(4), 205-115.

Odok, J. A., & Berebon, C. (2024). The Interplay of Morality, Law, and Democratic Legitimacy in Habermas's Political Philosophy. *Advances in Law, Pedagogy, and Multidisciplinary Humanities*, *2*(1), 49-63.

Okon, M. M. (2003b). Ibibio Women's Satirical Songs: A Sociolinguistic Perspective. *Topical issues in sociolinguistics the Nigerian perspective*, 265-277.

Okon, M. M. (2019). 13. The Use of Slangs among University & Polytechnic Students in Calabar. *Four Decades in the Study of Nigerian Languages and Linguistics: A Festschrift for Kay Williamson*, (1), 179.

Okon, M. M., & Akpan, I. J. (2003a). The changing role of language in gender disparity and cultural change. *Topical Issues in Sociolinguistics: The Nigerian Perspective. Aba: NINLAN*.

Okon, M. M., & Akpan, J. I. (2001). Sex Differentiation in Language Use: A Case Study of some Ibibio Terms. *Journal of the Association of Women Academics (NAWACS) Calabar*, *1*.

Okon, M. M., & Noah, P. N. (2004). Translation of the Bible into the Ibibio language: experience of the translator. *Jolan: Journal of the linguistic Association of Nigeria*, (8), 2001-2004.

Ozumba, G. O., & John, E. O. (2017). *African political philosophy*. Lulu. com.

Panos, K. (2015). *EU international relations law*. London: Bloomsbury Publishing.

Peter, M. H. (2015). *Epistemic communities, constructivism, and international environmental politics*. Abingdon: Routledge

Ross, A. (2010). It's time to get serious—Why legislation is needed to make sustainable development a reality in the UK. *Sustainability*, *2*(4), 1101–1127. https://doi.org/10.3390/su2041101

Schrijver, N. (2008). *The evolution of sustainable development in international law: Inception, meaning, and status*. Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.

Schutz, A. B. (2010). Grassland governance and common-interest communities. *Sustainability*, *2*(8), 2320–2348. https://doi.org/10.3390/su2082320

Singh, C. (2000). *India's forest policy and forest laws*. Dehradun: Natraj Publishers.

Singh, M. P. (1998). *VN Shukla's Constitution of India* (9th ed.). Lucknow: Eastern Law Book Company.

State of Himachal Pradesh v. Ganesh Wood Products, AIR 1992 SC 509.

Susan, J. B. (2013). *Understanding environmental administration and law*. Washington DC: Island Press.

Umontong, I. D. (2002). Truth: A Philosophical Reflection on Africa. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *2*(2), 25-39.

Umotong, I. (2012). Religious Factor in African Politics. *African Political Philosophy*, 237-249.

Umotong, I. (2018). The meaning, nature and scope of philosophy. *Rudiments of Philosophy and Logic*, 7-29.

Umotong, I. D. (1999). An Application of Karl Popper's Idea of Social Engineering. *International Journal of Educational Development*, *2*, 113-118.

Umotong, I. D. (2008). Terrorism: An epostemic solution. *Sophia: An African Journal of Philosophy*, *11*(1).

Umotong, I. D. (2014). African Notion of Reincarnation: The Illustrative Perspective. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, *1*(1), 1-9.

Umotong, I. D. (2021). WITCHCRAFT IN AFRICA: MALIGNANT OR DEVELOPMENTAL?. *Nnamdi Azikiwe Journal of Philosophy*, *12*(2), 165-177.

Umotong, I., & Dennis, O. (2018). An Expository Analysis of Martin Heidegger's Quest for The Meaning of Being. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, *4*(1).

United Nations Environment Programme (1972). *Declaration of the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment (Stockholm Declaration)*. Retrieved from http://www.unep.org/documents.multilingual/default.asp?documentid=97&articleid=1503

United Nations Environment Programme (1972). *Declaration of the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment (Stockholm Declaration)*. Retrieved from http://www.unep.org/documents.multilingual/default.asp?documentid=97&articleid=1503

Varaba, D. D., & Berebon, C. N. (2021). The Philosophy of Law of Immanuel Kant. *Tamaddun*, *20*(2), 271-282.

Wettestad, J. (2008). Monitoring and verification. In *Handbook, supra note 1* (p. 974).

WWF-India. (1999). *Strengthening environmental legislation in India*.