



International Journal of Environment and Geoinformatics (IJE GEO) is an international, multidisciplinary, peer reviewed, open access journal.

## **Source identification of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) in the urban environment of İstanbul**

**Günay Kural, Nuray Çağlar Balkıs and Abdullah Aksu**

### **Editors**

Prof. Dr. Cem Gazioğlu, Prof. Dr. Dursun Zafer Şeker, Prof. Dr. Ayşegül Tanık,  
Prof. Dr. Şinasi Kaya, Assist. Prof. Dr. Volkan Demir

### **Scientific Committee (2018)**

Assist. Prof. Dr. Abdullah Aksu, Prof. Dr. Bedri Alpar, Prof. Dr. Gülşen Altuğ, Prof. Dr. Lale Balas, Prof. Dr. Can Balas, Prof. Dr. Levent Bat, Prof. Dr. Bülent Bayram, Prof. Dr. Nuray Çağlar, Prof. Dr. Jadunandan Dash, Prof. Dr. A. Evren Erginal, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ali Ertürk, Dr. Dieter Fritsch, Dr. Amin Gharehbaghi, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Tolga Görüm, Prof. Dr. Melike Gürel, Dr. Hakan Kaya, Prof. Dr. Fatmagül Kılıç, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Maged Marghany, Prof. Dr. Nebiye Musaoğlu, Prof. Dr. Masafumi Nakagawa, Prof. Dr. Haluk Özener, Prof. Dr. Erol Sarı, Prof. Dr. Elif Sertel, Prof. Dr. Nüket Sivri, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Füsün Balık Şanlı, Prof. Dr. Uğur Şanlı, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hasan Özdemir, Prof. Dr. Taşkın Kavzoğlu Assoc. Prof. Dr. Oral Yağcı, Prof. Dr. Seyfettin Taş, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ömer Suat Taşkın, Assoc. Prof. Dr. İ. Noyan Yılmaz, Assist. Prof. Dr. Baki Yokeş, Assit. Prof. Dr. Sibel Zeki





Table 1 Hot season monthly average concentrations of PAHs (ng m<sup>-3</sup>) in aerosols in 2010

PAHs	May			June			July			August			September			October			Ave. of Hot Season		
	Min	Max	x	Min	Max	x	Min	Max	x	Min	Max	x	Min	Max	x	Min	Max	x	Min	Max	x
Nap	n.d.	3.30	0.68	n.d.	2.28	0.54	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	3.30	0.20
AcPy	n.d.	0.04	0.01	n.d.	0.06	0.01	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	0.04	0.003
Acp	n.d.	0.05	0.01	n.d.	0.03	0.01	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	0.05	0.003
Flu	n.d.	0.02	0.01	n.d.	0.06	0.02	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	0.06	0.005
PA	n.d.	0.01	0.002	n.d.	0.06	0.01	0.06	0.017	0.004	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	0.01	0.005	n.d.	0.08	0.02	n.d.	0.08	0.007
Ant	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-
FL	n.d.	0.06	0.02	n.d.	0.07	0.02	0.006	0.02	0.001	n.d.	0.006	0.001	0.008	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.04	n.d.	0.08	0.02
Pyr	0.01	0.13	0.07	n.d.	0.35	0.10	n.d.	0.01	0.002	n.d.	0.002	0.0004	0.005	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.03	n.d.	0.26	0.07
CPcdP	0.03	0.11	0.07	0.04	0.26	0.13	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	0.008	0.12	0.05	n.d.	0.13	0.04
BaA	n.d.	0.01	0.002	n.d.	0.10	0.02	n.d.	0.006	0.001	n.d.	0.01	0.002	n.d.	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.15	0.07	n.d.	0.15	0.003
CHR	n.d.	0.01	0.002	n.d.	n.d.	-	0.002	0.16	0.14	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	1.3	0.26	n.d.	0.004	0.0008	n.d.	1.3	0.07
BeP	0.01	0.34	0.13	n.d.	0.56	0.16	0.01	0.16	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	n.d.	0.02	0.008	n.d.	0.02	0.01	n.d.	0.56	0.38
BbFL	n.d.	0.14	0.06	n.d.	0.27	0.10	0.01	0.14	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	n.d.	0.03	0.02	0.007	0.09	0.05	n.d.	0.14	0.05
BkFL	n.d.	0.02	0.01	n.d.	0.45	0.14	n.d.	0.06	0.014	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	0.07	0.015	n.d.	0.45	0.08
BaP	n.d.	0.03	0.01	n.d.	0.05	0.02	0.003	0.09	0.02	0.03	0.08	0.01	n.d.	0.03	0.01	n.d.	0.02	0.1	n.d.	0.08	0.03
Total	0.05	4.27	1.07	0.04	4.6	1.27	0.09	0.66	0.24	0.05	0.15	0.05	0.013	1.49	0.33	0.06	0.7	0.3	0.05	4.27	0.54

**Clean-up**

After holding the florisil at 1300C in 14 hours, it was deactivated with 3% of distilled water. The clean-up glass chromatographic column was prepared by using glass wool at the tip, and then the florisil was packed as an adsorbent and finally topped with anhydrous sodium sulfate. Conditioning carried out with 5 ml hexane and 1 ml sample of PAH extract was passed through the column. Then PAHs eluted with 5 ml of hexane:DCM (1:1, v/v) mixture. The eluate diluted to Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrophotometer (GC-MS) to analysis (EPA 3620B).

In this study, except one of the PAH compound (IND) from sixteen PAH compounds, the other fifteen PAH compounds were analyzed. Recoveries of surrogate standards were 93-100 % for PAHs analyses in this study (Table 1).

**Results And Discussions****PAH levels in aerosols**

The total PAH concentrations as the

summation of 15 PAHs outstanding from the list of US-EPA are shown in Figure 2. It is seen that the concentration levels are high in cold months and were lower at hot months. The similar results are consistent many other researches (Wai Fon et al. 2007; Esen et al. 2008; Sisovic et al. 2008; Sharma et al. 2007). For this reason Table 2 and 3 data are grouped in order to determine the hot and cold season's monthly average concentrations of PAHs and their minimum and maximum levels in aerosol. While the hot season average PAHs concentration is 0.54 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, the cold season average PAH concentration is 4.38 ng m<sup>-3</sup>. The highest individual PAH concentration was measured for pyrene at March as 6.73 ng m<sup>-3</sup>. BaP was found in high concentration only one time at February as 4.48 ng m<sup>-3</sup>. The BeP was found in high concentrations all over the year with the highest value of 4.32 ng m<sup>-3</sup>. The higher concentrations in cold season depend on to use of fossil fuel combustion for residential heating (Esen et al. 2008; Alp and Hanedar 2007; Sharma et al. 2007; Sisovic et al. 2008).




Fig. 2. Monthly total PAH concentrations (ng m<sup>-3</sup>).

In this study the frequency of high concentration of PAHs were found in 4-5 rings compounds. The most abundant PAHs shown in Fig. 3 are benzo(e)pyrene, benzo(b)flouranthene, benzo(k)flouranthene,

cyclopenta(cd)pyrene and pyrene. Exceptionally, two ring compound of naphthalene were found in high concentrations.

Table 2 Cold season monthly average concentrations of PAHs (ng m<sup>-3</sup>) in aerosols in 2010.

PAHs	February			March			April			December			Ave. of Cold Season		
	Min	Max	x	Min	Max	x	Min	Max	x	Min	Max	x	Min	Max	x
Nap	n.d.	0.30	0.07	n.d.	1.32	0.30	n.d.	0.12	0.04	n.d.	-	-	n.d.	1.32	0.10
AcPy	n.d.	0.05	0.01	n.d.	0.08	0.03	n.d.	0.05	0.01	n.d.	-	-	n.d.	0.08	0.01
Acp	n.d.	0.05	0.01	n.d.	0.11	0.04	n.d.	0.17	0.09	n.d.	-	-	n.d.	0.17	0.01
Flu	n.d.	0.05	0.02	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	0.05	0.02	n.d.	-	-	n.d.	0.05	0.01
PA	n.d.	0.06	0.02	n.d.	n.d.	-	n.d.	0.02	0.004	0.04	0.04	0.04	n.d.	0.06	0.02
Ant	n.d.	0.02	0.004	n.d.	0.01	0.002	n.d.	0.12	0.03	n.d.	-	-	n.d.	0.03	0.002
FL	n.d.	0.29	0.11	n.d.	2.54	0.69	n.d.	0.20	0.04	0.15	0.15	0.15	n.d.	2.54	0.25
Pyr	n.d.	n.d.	-	0.97	6.73	2.13	0.07	0.46	0.46	0.09	0.09	0.09	n.d.	6.73	0.67
CPcdP	0.89	2.04	1.60	0.08	0.83	0.36	0.04	0.21	0.16	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	2.04	0.54
BaA	0.02	1.70	0.49	n.d.	0.11	0.04	n.d.	0.05	0.01	0.07	0.07	0.07	n.d.	1.70	0.15
CHR	n.d.	0.20	0.07	n.d.	0.74	0.23	n.d.	0.03	0.01	1	1	1	n.d.	1	0.33
BeP	n.d.	2.23	0.99	0.60	4.32	1.62	n.d.	1.46	0.61	0.02	0.02	0.02	n.d.	1.62	0.81
BbFL	n.d.	1.71	0.60	0.01	2.07	0.71	0.02	0.67	0.28	0.03	0.03	0.03	n.d.	2.07	0.41
BkFL	n.d.	3.78	1.16	0.30	1.52	0.95	n.d.	0.55	0.19	n.d.	-	-	n.d.	3.78	0.58
BaP	0.03	4.48	1.06	n.d.	0.97	0.31	0.01	0.09	0.09	0.003	0.003	0.003	n.d.	4.48	0.37
Total	0.94	14.92	6.22	1.96	21.35	7.4	0.23	4.25	2.05	1.4	1.4	1.4	0.23	21.35	4.38

Table 3 Seasonal BaP values

PAHs	TEF values by Nisbet and LaGoy	Hot season PAHs (ng m <sup>-3</sup> )	Hot season TEF values	Cold season PAHs (ng m <sup>-3</sup> )	Cold season TEF values
Nap	0.001	0.20	0.0002	0.10	0.0001
AcPy	0.001	0.003	0.000003	0.01	0.00001
Acp	0.001	0.003	0.000003	0.01	0.00001
Flu	0.001	0.005	0.000005	0.01	0.00001
PA	0.001	0.007	0.00007	0.02	0.00002
Ant	0.01	-	-	0.002	0.00002
FL	0.001	0.02	0.00002	0.25	0.000025
Pyr	0.001	0.07	0.00007	0.67	0.000067
CPcdP	-	0.04	-	0.54	-
BaA	0.1	0.003	0.0003	0.15	0.015
CHR	0.01	0.07	0.0007	0.33	0.0033
BeP	-	0.38	-	0.81	-
BbFL	0.1	0.05	0.005	0.41	0.041
BkFL	0.1	0.08	0.008	0.58	0.058
BaP	1	0.03	0.03	0.37	0.37
Total		0.54	0.04	4.38	0.49




Fig. 3. Frequency of high concentration PAHs.

The high correlations were observed generally at higher ring compounds; such as (CPcdP) and BaP ( $r = + 0.99$ ), BeP and BbFL ( $r = + 0.98$ ), BbFL and BkFL ( $r = + 0.96$ ), BaA and BaP ( $r = + 0.96$ ), FL and Pyr ( $r = + 0.95$ ), AcPy and Bep ( $r = + 0.90$ ), BeP and BkFL ( $r = + 0.90$ ). The correlations between two or three ring compounds were found medium or lower level (Table 4). In general, the lower molecular PAHs (two or three rings) appeared to be associated with the vapor

Table 4 Diagnosis ratios of aerosol PAHs

Molecular ratios	This study hot season	This study cold season	Gasoline	Vehicular emissions	Diesel	Wood combustion	Used motor oil
BaA/CHR	0.04	0.45	0.28-1.20	0.40-0.58	0.17-0.36	0.93	0.5
BeP/BaP	12.67	2.20	1.1-1.3	-	2.0-2.5	0.44	-
Pyr/BaP	2.33	1.81	0.85	-	0.81	0.71	-
CHR/BeP	0.18	0.41	2.50	-	1.60	2.40	-

BaP is the PAH most widely studied and the abundance of information on toxicity and occurrence of PAHs is related to this compound. The natural background level of BaP may be near to zero. The current annual mean concentration of BaP in major European areas is in the range of 1-10 ng m<sup>-3</sup>. In rural areas, the concentrations are < 1 ng m<sup>-3</sup> (WHO 2000; Sisovic et al. 2008). Maximum concentration of BaP due to high carcinogenic property by WHO (1998) is 1 ng m<sup>-3</sup>. Table 5 shows the TEFs (Toxic Equivalency Factors as BaP) values of 13 PAHs of the study that proposed before by Nisbet and LaGoy (1992). Hot and cold season TEFs values were found as 0.04 ng m<sup>-3</sup> and 0.49 ng m<sup>-3</sup> respectively. Both

phase, and high molecular weight PAHs (five or six rings) were primary attached with the particulate phase (Lui G et al. 2010). For heavy PAHs, owing to the low volatility and close association with particles, once deposited from air, they may be expected to cycle in the soil-water-sediment system, rather than “hoping” upward to a higher altitude under normal ambient temperatures (Liu X., et al. 2005).

seasonal concentrations are below the carcinogenic limit value. For the following two studies; BaP equivalency calculations were realized for 16 PAHs. In the first one, Alp and Hanedar (2008) were found 2.648 ng m<sup>-3</sup> in a traffic congested area. In the second one, Chantara and Sangchan (2009) were found 0.99±0.31 ng m<sup>-3</sup> in a suburban area, 0.92±0.46 ng m<sup>-3</sup> in a community area, 1.70±0.55 ng m<sup>-3</sup> and 1.64±0.41 as ng m<sup>-3</sup> in two traffic congested area. The genotoxic risk levels were high at the industrialized urban localities, when the pollution level of traffic emissions and emissions from industry and household heating were high (Skarek et al. 2007).

Table 5 Comparison of mean concentrations ( $\text{ng m}^{-3}$ ) of total PAHs with other studies

Total PAHs	Sampling Site	Mean ( $\text{ng m}^{-3}$ )	Cities	References
$\Sigma 15$ PAHs	Residential area (near industrial area)	2.37	TR İstanbul. Tuzla	This study
$\Sigma 13$ PAHs	Near coal reserve	0.051-1.791	Brazil. Candiota	Dallarosa et al. (2005)
$\Sigma 13$ PAHs	Suburban area. traffic	2.39	Japan. Hiroshima	Wai Fon et al. (2007)
$\Sigma 16$ PAHs	Urban area	28.53-362.15	China. Beijing	Bin Liu L, et al. (2007)
$\Sigma 15$ PAHs	Urban area	86.0	China. Nanjing	Wang. et al. (2006)
$\Sigma 16$ PAHs	Traffic congestion	84.63 $\pm$ 46.66	TR. İstanbul. Göztepe.	Alp and Hanedar (2008)
$\Sigma 16$ PAHs	Local micrometeorological area	26.7	Shenzen. China	Liu et al. (2010)
$\Sigma 16$ PAHs (three sites)	Suburban area	2.7-8.4	Thailand. Chiang Mai	Chantara and Sangchan (2009)
	Community area	3.9-9.1		
	Traffic congestion	7.6-16.6		

### Relationship between PAH concentrations and meteorological conditions

Table 7 is prepared to observe the effect of meteorological conditions on PAHs concentrations in the ambient air of the region during the sampling periods. As it was mentioned in many studies that the main source of the PAHs in the atmosphere was the emissions of fossil fuel combustions for heating purposes and increase in traffic volume in winter (Liu X. et al. 2005; Liu G. et al. 2010; Liu Y. et al. 2006. Bin Liu L. et al. 2007, Dallarosa et al. 2005). But also the meteorological conditions cause to hold the

produced PAHs in the region. Emissions from fossil fuel combustion cannot be easily dispersed depending on the high humidity and low temperature values (Sharma et al. 2007).

The good correlations had been found between meteorological parameters such as temperature, wind speed and direction with PAHs concentrations (Wai Fon et al. 2007; Fang et al. 2004; Panther, Hooper and Tapper 1999). In this study, the poor correlation was seen between temperature and total PAHs concentrations as  $r = + 0.60$  (Fig. 4).




Fig. 4. Correlation of temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and total PAH concentrations ( $\text{ng m}^{-3}$ ).



The weak correlation ( $r = + 0.27$ ) was seen between humidity and total PAH concentrations (Fig. 5). But the naphthalene as the smallest member of PAHs was found as four times in high concentrations (Fig. 3) even if it must be found in gaseous state in the atmosphere. The rainy weather or high relative humidity during or before sampling period caused to be found Nap in condensed phase as liquid form bounding particle in the

region aerosol (Table 6). In February Sample No:3 also the dust concentration was in between 0.25-0.50 mg m<sup>-3</sup>. The elevated dust concentration causes to increase the adsorption of naphthalene on particulate matter. A combination of particulate scavenging and cold condensation were proposed as a major mechanism for the compositional fractionation of PAHs along the altitudinal profile (Liu X. et al. 2005).

Table 6. Relation between meteorological parameters with Nap concentration

Sample No.	Nap (ng/m <sup>3</sup> )	Humidity(%)	Precipitation(mm)	Temp. (°C)
Feb 3	3.30	After 2 high humidity days(80&70) + during sampling (70)	After 2 rainy days (0.2&0.6)+during sampling (0.4)	12
March 4	1.32	3days before up to 84 + during sampling (63)	After 1 rainy day (0.4) and during sampling (0.2)	2
May 2	3.65	After 1 high humidity days (85) + during sampling (60)	After 1 rainy day (0.6)	20
June 2	2.28	1 day ago (62) and sampling day (70)	After 1 rainy day (8) +during sampling (8)	26




Fig. 5. Correlation of relative humidity (%) and total PAH (ng m<sup>-3</sup>)

Table 7. Meteorological parameters in the year 2010

Sampling Date/ Duration	No	Average Temp. (°C)	Wind-Speed (Ave-Max) (km/h)	Wind Direction	Precipitation (mm)	Relative Humidity (%) (Ave-Max)
12.02.2010/(10:00)-13.02.2010/(09:30)	1	11	12-33	SW	0.2	72-83
16.02.2010/(16:00)-17.02.2010/(09:00)	2	7	7-15	NE	0.6	61-70
17.02.2010/(17:00)-18.02.2010/(09:00)	3	12	10-23	SW	0.4	52-70
18.02.2010/(17:00)-19.02.2010/(09:30)	4	7	8-20	SW	-	80-90
22.02.2010/(17:00)-23.02.2010/(09:00)	5	8	6-18	S-SW	-	58-71
11.03.2010/(17:00)-12.03.2010/(09:00)	1	8	16-28	N-SW	showery	81-84
15.03.2010/(17:00)-16.03.2010/(09:00)	2	6	11-20	N-S	-	66-59
16.03.2010/(17:00)-17.03.2010/(09:00)	3	4	15-32	S	0.4	59-63
17.03.2010/(17:00)-18.03.2010/(12:00)	4	2	8-17	N-S	0.2	48-63
18.03.2010/(17:00)-19.03.2010/(09:00)	5	6	6-15	N-E	-	48-51
15.04.2010/(18:00)-16.04.2010/(10:30)	1	14	5-15	N-SW	-	86-90
16.04.2010/(18:00)-17.04.2010/(19:00)	2	14	20-32	N	-	71-57
19.04.2010/(09:30)-20.04.2010/(09:30)	3	14	10-20	N-S	0.6	71-100
20.04.2010/(17:30)-21.04.2010/(17:00)	4	13	15-35	N	0.8	80-100
21.04.2010/(17:00)-22.04.2010/(09:30)	5	13	11-22	W-SW	-	59-100
12.05.2010/(17:00)-13.05.2010/(09:00)	1	21	7-15	N-E	0.6	60-85
14.05.2010/(17:00)-15.05.2010/(17:00)	2	20	10-20	S-E	-	55-60
17.05.2010/(17:00)-18.05.2010/(17:00)	3	18	22-32	S-N	-	60-70
18.05.2010/(17:00)-19.05.2010/(16:00)	4	16	12-17	S-W	-	57-70
20.05.2010/(16:00)-21.05.2010/(13:00)	5	17	8-22	S-N	-	40-57
18.06.2010/(17:00)-19.06.2010/(18:00)	1	25	17-26	N	8	62-59
19.06.2010/(18:00)-20.06.2010/(16:00)	2	26	9-20	N-S	8	60-70
20.06.2010/(16:00)-21.06.2010/(09:00)	3	24	10-20	N-S	-	70-80
21.06.2010/(17:00)-22.06.2010/(17:00)	4	22	13-39	S	-	54-57
22.06.2010/(17:00)-23.06.2010/(17:00)	5	23	12-24	E-SW	-	54-65
19.07.2010/(18:00)-20.07.2010/(17:00)	1	26	15-37	N	-	62-89
20.07.2010/(17:00)-21.07.2010/(17:00)	2	25	11-24	N	-	69-83
21.07.2010/(17:00)-22.07.2010/(18:00)	3	27	15-30	N	-	72-94
22.07.2010/(17:00)-23.07.2010/(17:00)	4	29	15-26	N	-	63-89
23.07.2010/(17:00)-24.07.2010/(09:00)	5	27	11-18	N-S	-	67-90
09.08.2010/(17:00)-10.08.2010/(11:00)	1	25	15-35	N	exist	75-89
10.08.2010/(17:00)-11.08.2010/(17:00)	2	30	14-39	N	-	70-89
11.08.2010/(17:00)-12.08.2010/(17:00)	3	28	14-28	N	-	67-83
12.08.2010/(17:00)-13.08.2010/(17:00)	4	39	9-16	N-S	-	69-94
13.08.2010/(17:00)-14.08.2010/(11:00)	5	30	9-22	Variable	-	69-50
20.09.2010/(17:00)-21.09.2010/(17:00)	1	22	14-39	N-NW	-	75-100
21.09.2010/(17:00)-22.09.2010/(17:00)	2	20	27-41	N-NE	-	70-88
22.09.2010/(17:00)-23.09.2010/(17:00)	3	21	21-33	N	-	58-63
26.09.2010/(17:00)-27.09.2010/(17:00)	4	26	8-20	S-SE	-	60-78
28.09.2010/(17:00)-29.09.2010/(17:00)	5	22	10-18	S-SW	-	61-78
13.10.2010/(17:00)-14.10.2010/(17:30)	1	17	7-15	N-S	5	87-94
14.10.2010/(17:00)-15.10.2010/(14:00)	2	17	13-18	NE	9	90-100
16.10.2010/(19:00)-17.10.2010/(19:00)	3	18	9-18	N-NE	2	90-100
17.10.2010/(18:00)-18.10.2010/(18:00)	4	18	5-13	S-SW	-	81-94
18.10.2010/(18:00)-19.10.2010/(19:00)	5	19	9-17	S	2	70-88
13.12.2010/(17:00)-14.12.2010/(17:30)	1	4	15-26	N	8	62-87

BeP being the first, BbFL and BkFL are the PAHs mostly encountered in elevated concentrations in hot season and especially in cold season (Table 2 and 3). Bi, et al. (2003) pointed out that the two and three-ring PAHs generally had a greater vapor phase component, while the higher molecular weight exhibited greater association with the particulate phase. Anthracene concentrations could not be

detected in hot season; it was also measured only four times (Sample No: February 5, March 2, April 3 and 5) in cold season (Table 3). As seen from Table 7, the sampling day was rainy and there was a high relative humidity during sampling or the previous period. Two similar situations were observed that Ant concentration was higher in wet deposition rather than dry deposition (Pekey et al. 2007; Gaga and Tuncel 2003).

Even if the use of natural gas for heating purposes in the region, the concentration of CHR was found to be at a high level twice; during September and December relating to the use of coal (Esen et al. 2008). Also, higher CHR concentrations were proposed relative to lower atmospheric temperature during winter season (Pekey et al. 2007; Fang\* et al. 2004).

#### PAH ratios for source identification

In many studies molecular ratios are used to determine the source of the aerosol PAHs (Wai Fon et al. 2007; Fang et al. 2004; Bourotte et al. 2005; Khalli et al. 1995 Li and Kamens 1993). Wai Fon et al. (2007) used BaA/CHR, BeP/BaP, Pyr/BaP and CHR/BeP ratios to detect the molecular diagnosis of aerosol PAHs, and obtained the results as 0.51, 0.80, 1.00 and 1.95 respectively and determined the PAHs emission sources derived from gasoline. The

**Table 8.** Diagnosis ratios of aerosol PAHs

Molecular ratios	This study hot season	This study cold season	Gasoline	Vehicular emissions	Diesel	Wood combustion	Used motor oil
BaA/CHR	0.04	0.45	0.28-1.20	0.40-0.58	0.17-0.36	0.93	0.5
BeP/BaP	12.67	2.20	1.1-1.3	-	2.0-2.5	0.44	-
Pyr/BaP	2.33	1.81	0.85	-	0.81	0.71	-
CHR/BeP	0.18	0.41	2.50	-	1.60	2.40	-

**Table 9** Comparison of mean concentrations ( $\text{ng m}^{-3}$ ) of total PAHs with other studies

Total PAHs	Sampling Site	Mean ( $\text{ng m}^{-3}$ )	Cities	References
$\Sigma 15$ PAHs	Residential area (near industrial area)	2.37	Turkey. İstanbul. Tuzla	This study
$\Sigma 13$ PAHs	Near coal reserve	0.051-1.791	Brazil. Candiota	Dallarosa et al. (2005)
$\Sigma 13$ PAHs	Suburban area. traffic	2.39	Japan. Hiroshima	Wai Fon et al. (2007)
$\Sigma 16$ PAHs	Urban area	28.53-362.15	China. Beijing	Bin Liu L, et al. (2007)
$\Sigma 15$ PAHs	Urban area	86.0	China. Nanjing	Wang. et al. (2006)
$\Sigma 16$ PAHs	Traffic congestion	84.63±46.66	Turkey. İstanbul. Göztepe.	Alp and Hanedar (2008)
$\Sigma 16$ PAHs	Local micrometeorological area	26.7	Shenzen. China	Liu et al. (2010)
$\Sigma 16$ PAHs (three sites)	Suburban area Community area Traffic congestion	2.7-8.4 3.9-9.1 7.6-16.6	Thailand. Chiang Mai	Chantara and Sangchan (2009)

same ratios were used in this study as hot and cold seasons (Table 8). In hot season, Pyr/BaP and especially BeP/BaP ratios are excessively high values since the concentrations of BaP levels are so low and BeP levels are relatively high (Table 2). The high concentrations of BeP also cause to move down the CHR/BeP ratio. The source profiles of vehicle exhausts are heavily influenced by many factors, such as fuel quality and engine power status (Shen et al. 2010). It is clearly seen that in the cold season the ratio of BeP/BaP point out diesel emissions with the value of 2.20. The BaA/CHR ratio takes place in the gasoline range with the value of 0.45. It can be concluded that the PAHs in the region atmosphere is dominated by traffic emissions. These results also support the idea of condensation of emissions of PAHs at cold weather (Sharma et al. 2006).

When the annual mean of total PAHs concentration of the study that is 2.37 ng m<sup>-3</sup> is compared with other studies, it is seen that there is a remarkable similarity with some suburban areas based on the results. (Wai Fon et al. 2007; Chantara and Sangchan 2009). The other studies have higher total PAH concentrations, since they were realized in crowded urban areas or congested traffic (Table 9).

### Conclusions

The annual mean concentration was measured as 2.37 ng m<sup>-3</sup> and ranged between 0.05 ng m<sup>-3</sup> and 7.4 ng m<sup>-3</sup>. Higher concentrations of PAHs were seen in cold season. Exceptionally, naphthalene was observed in high concentrations both in hot and cold seasons. Due to the precipitation, high relative humidity and high dust concentration, naphthalene was hold in condensed phase in liquid form whereas it should be in gaseous phase normally. The higher measurements were seen in BeP concentrations in hot and cold seasons. BeP, BbF, BkF, CPcdP, Pyr and Nap were the PAHs that frequently observed in high concentrations. The good correlations occurred between 4 and 5 rings compounds. The TEFs found in recommended limit for hot and cold season as 0.04 ng m<sup>-3</sup> and 0.49 ng m<sup>-3</sup> respectively. Molecular ratios dedicate that diesel emissions and gasoline from the vehicular emissions are the main pollutant source to İstanbul-Tuzla atmosphere.

### Acknowledgments

We thank to Prof. Dr. M. C. BARLA and technicians of the Piri Reis University, for their help during the collection of aerosol samples.

### References

Alp K, Hanedar A (2008) İstanbul atmosferinde polisiklik hidrokarbon (PAH) konsantrasyonu: 2006-2007 periyodu, Kent Yönetimi, İnsan ve Çevre Sorunları'08 Sempozyumu, 02-06 Kasım

2008, İstanbul Büyükşehir Belediyesi-İSTAÇ 321-328.

- Aslan, O. and Akyürek, Ö. (2018). Spatial Modelling of Air Pollution from PM10 and SO2 concentrations during Winter Season in Marmara Region: 2013-2014. IJEGEO 5(1): 1-16.
- Azimi S, Rocher V, Muller M, Moilleron RR, Thevont DR (2005) Sources, distribution and variability of hydrocarbons and metals in atmospheric deposition in an urban area (Paris, France). Science of the Total Environment 337: 223-239.
- Bi X, Sheng G, Peng P, Chen, Y, Zang Z, Fu K (2003) Distribution of particulate- and vapor-phase n-alkanes and polyaromatic hydrocompounds in urban atmosphere of Guangzhou, China. Atmospheric Environmoint 37: 289-298.
- Bin Liu L, Hashi Y, Liu M, Wei Y, Ming Lin J (2007) Determination of particle-associated polycyclic aromatic Hydrocarbons in urban air of Beijing by GC/MS. Analytical Sciences Vol. 23.
- Bourotte C, Forti MC, Taniguchi S, Bicego MC, Lotufo PA (2005) A wintertime study of PAHs in fine and coarse aerosols in Sao Paulo city, Brazil. Atmospheric Environment 39: 3799-3811.
- Bull K (2003) Protocol to the 1979 Convention on Long-Range Trans boundary Air Pollution on Persistent Organic Pollutants: The 1998 Agreement for the UNECE Region, Geneva. The
- Burak, S., Ünlü, S. and Gazioğlu, C. (2009). Environmental stress created by chemical pollution in the Marmara Sea (Turkey). Asian Journal of Chemistry, Vol. 21(4). 3166-3174.
- Handbook of Environmental Chemistry Vol. 3, Part O, Persistent Organic Pollutants. (ed. By H. Fiedler). Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- Chantara S, Sanghan W (2009) Sensitive analytical method for particle-bound polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: A case study in Chang Mai, Thailand. Science Asia 35: 42-48.
- Chester R (1990) Marine Geochemistry. Unwin. Hyman Ltd, London.
- Dallarosa JB, Teixeira EC, Pires M, Farchel J (2005) Study of the profile of polycyclic

- hydrocarbons in atmospheric particles (PM10) using multivariate methods. *Atmospheric Environment* 39: 6587-6596.
- EPA Environment Protect Agency, 2003. Standard Methods, 5030C.
- EPA Environment Protect Agency, 2003. Standard Methods, 3620B
- Esen F, Taşdemir Y, Vardar N (2008) Atmospheric concentrations of PAHs, their possible sources and gas-to particle partitioning at a residential site of Bursa, Turkey. *Atmospheric Research* 88: 243-255.
- Fang GC, Chang K, Lu C, Bai H (2004) Estimation of PAH's dry deposition and BaP Toxic Equivalency Factors (TEFs) study at urban, industry park and rural sampling sites in Central Taiwan, Taichung, *Chemosphere* 55: 787-796.
- Fang GC, Wu YS, Chen MH, Ho TT, Huang SH, Rau JY (2004) Polyaromatic hydrocarbons study in Taichung, Taiwan, during 2002-2003. *Atmospheric Environment* 38: 3385-3391.
- Gaga EO, Tuncel SG (2003) Occurrence and distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Ankara precipitation. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution: Focus* 3:127-134.
- Henner P, Schiavion M, Morel JL, Lichtouse E (1997) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) occurrence and remediation methods. *Analuis Magazine* 25:56-59.
- Khalli NR, Scheff PA, Holsen TM (1995) PAHs source fingerprints for coke ovens, diesel and gasoline engines, highway tunnels, and wood combustion emissions. *Atmospheric Environment* 29:533-542.
- Li CK, Kamens RM (1993) The use of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons as sources signatures in receptor modeling. *Atmospheric Environment* 159:185-200.
- Liu G, Tong Y, Luong JH, Zhang H, Sun H (2010) A source study of atmospheric polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Shenzhen, South China. *Environ Monit Asses* 163:599-606.
- Liu Y, Liu L, Lin JM, Tang N, Hayakawa K (2006) Distribution and characterization of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon compounds in airborne particulates of East Asia, China. *Particuology* 4(6):283-296.
- Liu X, Zhang G, Jones KC, Li X, Peng X, Qi S (2005) Compositional fractionation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in mosses (*hypnum plumaeformea* WILS) from the Nanling Mountains, South China. *Atmospheric Environment* 39:5490-5499.
- Liu WX, Dou H, Wei ZC, Chang B, Qiu WX, Liu Y, Tao S (2009) Emission characteristics of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from combustion of different residential coals in North China. *Science of the Total Environment* 407:1436-1446.
- Mastral AM, Callen MS (2000) A review on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) emissions from energy generation. *Environmental Science and Technology* 34:3051-3057.
- Nispet C, Lagoy P (1992) Toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology* 16:290-300.
- Panther BC, Hooper MA, Tapper NJ (1999) A comparison of air particulate matter and associated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in some tropical and temperate urban environments. *Atmospheric Environment* 33:4087-4099.
- Pekey B, Karakaş D, Ayberk S (2007) Atmospheric deposition of polycyclic aromatic hydro compounds to İzmit Bay, Turkey. *Chemosphere* 67:537-547.
- Sharma H, Jain VK, Khan ZH (2007) Characterization and source identification of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in the urban environment of Delhi, *Chemosphere* 66:302-310.
- Shen Z, Ha Y, Cao J, Tian J, Zhu C, Liu S, Liu P, Wang Y (2010). Characteristics of traffic-related emissions: A case study in roadside ambient air over Xi'an, China, *Aerosol Air Quality Research* 10:292-300.
- Skarek M, Cupr P, Bartos J, Kohoutek J, Klanova I, Holubek I (2007) A combined approach to the evaluation of organic air pollution- A case study of urban air in Sarejevo and Tuzla (Bosnia and Herzegovina). *Science of the Total Environment* 384:182-193.

- Sarrazin L, Diana C, Wafo E, Pichard-Lagadec V, Schembri T, Monod J (2007) Determination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in marine, brackish, and river sediments by HPLC, Following Ultrasonic Extraction 29:1: 69-85.
- Sisovic A, Belsic A, Sega K, Vadjic V (2008) PAH mass concentrations measured in PM10 particle fraction. *Environmental International* 34:580-584.
- Tan JH, Bi XH, Duan JC, Rahn KA, Sheng GY, Fu JM (2006) Seasonal variation of particulate polycyclic hydrocarbons associated with PM10 in Guangzhou, China. *Atmospheric Research* 80:250-262.
- US EPA Environment Protect Agency, 2003. Standard Methods, 5030C.
- Wai Fon TY, Noriatsu O, Hiroshi S (2007) Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in the aerosol of Higashi Hiroshima, Japan: Pollution scenario and source identification, Springer Science + Business Media B.V.
- Wang G, Huang L, Zhao X, Niu H, Dai, Z (2006) Aliphatic and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons of atmospheric aerosols in five locations of Nanjing urban area, China, *Atmospheric Research* 81:54-66.
- WHO, World Health Organization (1989) IARC Monographs (Vol. 46). Lyon. France: International Agency for Research on Cancer 41-155.
- WHO Regional Office for Europe (2000) Air quality guidelines. Second edition. Copenhagen, Denmark