Mawlānā Jalāl al-Dīn al-Rūmī's Relations with Qādī ^cIzz al-Dīn al-Rāzī and Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī

Rauf Kahraman Ürkmez | 0000-0002-700-6951 | rauf.urkmez@beun.edu.tr Assist.Prof.Dr.| Zonguldak Bülent Ecevit University | Faculty of Theology Zonguldak | Türkiye

ROR ID: https://ror.org/01dvabv26

Abstract

The mystical and intellectual milieu of the great Sufi poet Mawlānā Jalāl al-Dīn al-Rūmī (d. 672/1273) is vital reference point for researchers interested in the cultural structure and scientific life of Seljukid Anatolia. Both his scholarly and intellectual background and the political and sociocultural environment of Seljukid Konya, where he spent the most fertile years of his life, paved the way for Mawlānā to develop diverse and multifaceted relations with many segments of society (scholars, Sufis, akhīs, hāfizes/memorisers, painters, architects, physicians, merchants, statesmen, rulers, khātūns, poets, priests, etc.). From this perspective, our article aims to make a modest contribution to understanding the religious and scholarly life of Seljukid Anatolia through the relations between the prominent figures of the period. In this framework, the biographies of two jurists, one of whom was a qādī/vizier and the other a faqīh/mudarris, are presented and the nature and degree of their relations with Mawlānā are analysed. Qādī GIZZ al-Dīn al-Rāzī (d. 654/1256) was an essential figure of Rayy origin who held critical positions (ambassador, atabag, vizier) in the bureaucracy of the Seljuks of Türkiye. There is an intimate relationship between Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn and Mawlānā, who was also known for his piety and philanthropy and who favoured the scholars and scholarly assemblies. Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī (d. after 656/1258) was a critical Hanafī faqīh of 13th-century Seljuk Konya. However, we owe our knowledge of him almost entirely to early Mawlawī sources, especially the manāqibnāmahs. Therefore, he is an example of a person who came within the field of view of researchers due to the intimate relations he developed with Mawlānā. The fact that not only Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī but also many other scholars (mudarris, physician, qādī, faqīh, etc.) who lived in Anatolia in the 13th-century are the subject of Sufi sources, especially managibnamahs, shows how intensive the relations between Sufis and scholars were. For this reason, managibnamahs, the leading source type we use in our article, have been subjected to content analysis on the axis of other contemporary records. In terms of the relations between the gadis and fagihs and the Sufis, it is concluded that Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn and Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī were moderate and measured in their opposition to Sufism.

SELÇUK— ÜNİVERSİTESİ

Keywords

Faqīh, Manāqibnāmah, Mawlānā Jalāl al-Dīn al-Rūmī, Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn al-Rāzī, Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī

Citation

Ürkmez, Rauf Kahraman. Mawlānā Jalāl al-Dīn al-Rūmī's Relations with Qādī ^cIzz al-Dīn al-Rāzī and Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī. *Journal of Seljuk Studies (Special Issue on Rūmī)*, (December 2025), 97-116.

https://doi.org/ 10.23897/usad.1651672

Date of Submission5.03.2025Date of Acceptance9.12.2025Date of Publication10.12.2025

Peer-Review Double anonymized - Two External

* This article is based on the content of the paper titled "'Mevlânâ Celâleddin-i Rûmî's Relations with Judges and Jurists: Judge İzzeddin-i Râzî and Jurist Şemseddin-i Mardînî", which was presented orally at the "Mevlânâ's Seljuk World - A Mevlana Prosopography" Symposium held in Konya between December 12-14, 2024, but whose full text has not been

published. It has been developed and partially modified.

This study has been derived from the doctoral dissertation entitled *The Sufi Groups in Seljuk Anatolia During the 13th Century According to the Managibnamas*, which was completed under the supervision of Prof. Dr.

Mustafa DEMİRCİ on 05 November 2018.

Ethical Statement It is declared that scientific and ethical principles have been followed while

carrying out and writing this study and that all the sources used have been

properly cited.

Plagiarism Checks Yes - Turnitin

Conflicts of Interest The author(s) has no conflict of interest to declare.

Complaints usad@selcuk.edu.tr

Grant Support

The author(s) acknowledge that they received no external funding in

support of this research.

Copyright & License Authors publishing with the journal retain the copyright to their work

licensed under the CC BY-NC 4.0.

Mevlânâ Celâleddin-i Rûmî'nin Kadı İzzeddin-i Râzî ve Şemseddin-i Mardînî ile İlişkileri

Rauf Kahraman Ürkmez | 0000-0002-700-6951 | rauf.urkmez@beun.edu.tr Dr. Öğr. Üyesi | Zonguldak Bülent Ecevit Üniversitesi | İlahiyat Fakültesi Zonguldak | Türkiye

ROR ID: https://ror.org/01dvabv26

Öz

Büyük sufi şair Mevlânâ Celâleddin-i Rûmî'nin (öl. 672/1273) tasavvufi ve fikri çevresi, Selcuklu Anadolu'sunun kültürel yapısı ve bilimsel yasamıyla ilgilenen arastırmacılar için önemli bir referans noktasıdır. Zira gerek ilmî ve irfanî birikimi gerekse ömrünün en bereketli yıllarını geçirdiği Selçuklu Konya'sının siyasi ve sosyokültürel ortamı, Mevlânâ'nın toplumun pek çok kesimiyle (âlim, sufi, ahi, hafız, ressam, mimar, hekim, tacir, emîr, hükümdar, hatun, edip, şair, rahip vb.) çeşitli ve çok yönlü ilişkiler geliştirmesine zemin hazırlamıştır. Bu bakış açısıyla hazırlanan makalemiz, Selçuklu Anadolu'sunun dinî ve ilmî hayatını, dönemin temel şahsiyetleri arasındaki ilişkiler üzerinden anlamaya mütevazı bir katkı sunmayı amaçlamaktadır. Bu çerçevede makalemizde biri kadı/vezir diğeri fakih/müderris iki hukuk insanının biyografisi oluşturulmuş ve onların Mevlânâ ile kurduğu ilişkilerin mahiyeti ve derecesi mercek altına alınmıştır. Kadı İzzeddin-i Râzî (öl. 654/1256) Türkiye Selçukluları bürokrasisinde kritik görevler (elçi, atabey, vezir) üstlenmiş Rey asıllı mühim bir şahsiyettir. Dindarlığı ve hayırseverliğiyle de tanınan, ulemaya ve ilim meclislerine teveccüh gösteren Kadı İzzeddin ile Mevlânâ arasında samimi bir ilişki söz konusudur. Şemseddin-i Mardînî (öl. 656/1258'ten sonra) ise 13. yüzyıl Selçuklu Konya'sının mühim Hanefi fakihlerinden biridir. Bununla birlikte kendisi hakkındaki bilgileri neredeyse tamamen ilk Mevlevî kaynaklarına —özellikle menâkıbnâmelere borçluyuz. Dolayısıyla o, Mevlânâ ile geliştirdiği samimi ilişkiler dolayısıyla araştırmacıların görüş alanına girebilmiş kişilere örnek teşkil etmektedir. Sadece Şemseddin-i Mardînî'nin değil, 13. yüzyılda Anadolu'da yaşamış pek çok âlimin (müderris, hekim, kadı, fakih vb.), başta menâkıbnâmeler olmak üzere tasavvufi kaynaklara konu olması, sufilerle ulema arasındaki iliskilerin ne kadar yoğun olduğunu göstermektedir. Bu nedenle makalemizde kullandığımız ana kaynak türü olan menâkıbnâmeler, sair muasır kayıtlar ekseninde muhteva analizine tabi tutulmuştur. Kadı ve fakihlerle sufiler arası ilişkiler açısından bakıldığında, Kadı İzzeddin ve Şemseddin-i Mardînî'nin tasavvufa ılımlı ve ölçülü muhalefet yaptıkları sonucuna ulaşılmıştır.

Anahtar Kelimeler

Fakih, Menâkıbnâme, Mevlânâ Celâleddin-i Rûmî, Kadı İzzeddin-i Râzî, Şemseddin-i Mardînî

Atıf Bilgisi

Ürkmez, Rauf Kahraman. Mevlânâ Celâleddin-i Rûmî'nin Kadı İzzeddin-i Râzî ve Şemseddin-i Mardînî ile İlişkileri. *Selçuklu Araştırmaları Dergisi (Mevlânâ Özel Sayısı*), (Aralık 2025), 97-116. https://doi.org/10.23897/usad.1651672

 Geliş Tarihi
 5.03.2025

 Kabul Tarihi
 9.12.2025

 Yayım Tarihi
 10.12.2025

Değerlendirme İki Dış Hakem / Çift Taraflı Körleme

* Bu makale, 12-14 Aralık 2024 tarihleri arasında Konya'da düzenlenen "Mevlânâ'nın Selçuklu Dünyası - Bir Mevlana Prosopografisi" Sempozyumu'nda sözlü olarak sunulan ancak tam metni yayımlanmayan "Mevlânâ Celâleddin-i Rûmî'nin Kadı ve Fakihlerle İlişkileri: Kadı İzzeddini Râzî ve Fakih Şemseddin-i Mardînî" adlı tebliğin içeriği geliştirilerek ve

kısmen değiştirilerek üretilmiş hâlidir.

Bu çalışma, Prof. Dr. Mustafa DEMİRCİ danışmanlığında 05.11.2018 tarihinde tarafımızca tamamlanan *Menâkıbnâmelere Göre XIII. Yüzyıl Selçuklu Anadolusu'nda Tasavvuf*î *Zümreler* başlıklı doktora tezinden üretilmiştir.

Etik Beyan Bu çalışmanın hazırlanma sürecinde bilimsel ve etik ilkelere uyulduğu ve

yararlanılan tüm çalışmaların kaynakçada belirtildiği beyan olunur.

Benzerlik Taraması Yapıldı – Turnitin Etik Bildirim usad@selcuk.edu.tr

Çıkar Çatışması Çıkar çatışması beyan edilmemiştir.

Finansman Bu araştırmayı desteklemek için dış fon kullanılmamıştır.

Telif Hakkı & Lisans
Yazarlar dergide yayınlanan çalışmalarının telif hakkına sahiptirler ve

çalışmaları CC BY-NC 4.0 lisansı altında yayımlanmaktadır.

Introduction

A qādī (judge) is a person appointed by the competent authorities to resolve disputes and lawsuits arising between people in states where Islamic law is in force according to shar^cī provisions (Bilmen, 2016, p. 117). A faqīh (jurist), on the other hand, is a person who knows/declares all the practical judgements of Islam, such as religious, moral, social, political, legal, etc., together with their shar^cī evidence (Bilmen, 2016, p. 74). Interestingly, Awhad al-Dīn al-Kirmānī (d. 635/1238), who was himself a Sufi of madrasah origin, thought that the lowest degree of fiqh (Islamic jurisprudence) education, which was one of the sought-after sciences of the period, was jurisprudence, the middle degree was mudarrisship (the study of fiqh), and the highest degree was qādī (Bayram, 2008, p. 138). The feature that places the position of qādī at the top of this hierarchical structure is the appointment of qādī by the political authority. The mudarris of fiqh received both fiqh education and the licence to give opinions and fatwās (legal opinions) on fiqh issues. However, these qualifications did not entitle them to resolve legal cases and issue binding legal judgements like the qādī. However, qādīs could serve as mudarris in madrasahs (Lewis, 2000, p. 19).

This article aims to make a modest contribution to understanding the religious and scholarly life of Seljuk Anatolia through the relationships between the central figures of the period. In this framework, our article focuses on the biographies of two men of law, one a qādī/vizier and the other a faqīh/mudarris and their relationship with the great Sufi poet Mawlānā, who was also a Hanafī scholar. Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn is a multifaceted figure who appears in local chronicles, endowments, letters and legendary (mangabawī) records of the period due to his critical duties in the Seljuk bureaucracy. Manāqibnāmahs contain rich content about Qādī 'Izz al-Dīn's scholarly personality and the nature and degree of his relations with scholars and Sufis. As we shall see below, Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn has also been the subject of some modern studies regarding his patron personality. Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī was an important Hanafī faqīh of the period. However, we owe our knowledge of him almost entirely to the earliest Mawlawi sources, especially the managibnamahs of Aflaki and Sipahsālār. Therefore, Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī is an example of a person who was able to enter the field of view of researchers thanks to the relations he developed with Mawlānā. For this reason, we would like to briefly touch upon the nature of the ties between Sufis and qādīs and faqīhs in Seljuk Anatolia and the source value of the manāqibnāmahs, which are the primary references in this regard.

Some of the legends (manqabas) about the mystical communities operating in the important cultural centres of Seljuk Anatolia, such as Konya, Sivas, Kayseri, Kırşehir and Tokat enable us to understand where and why the scholars (mudarris, mutakallim, mufassir, philosopher, physician, qādī, faqīh, etc.) who lived in this period came from, where they were educated, what kind of equipment they had, in which madrasahs they taught, which books they read or taught and by whom they were patronised. Thanks to this information, it is also possible to get an idea about the prominent scientific centres of the

Islamic world in the 13th-century and the connection between them, the mobility of the scholars, the scientific density of Anatolian cities and the services of the scholars towards the public (Ürkmez, 2018, pp. 280-281).

In the 13th-century, many scholars who lived in Anatolia were the subjects of the manāqibnāmahs of period, which shows how intense the relations between the mystical communities and the scholars were. As far as we can determine, there are three main reasons for this phenomenon. The first reason is that in Anatolia, as in the rest of the Islamic world, Sufism was vital during this period. Sufism influenced many segments of the society as well as the scholarly circles. The second reason is that some Sufis who left their mark on the period were learning or teaching the zāhirī (ostensible) sciences and sharing their knowledge with those around them before they embarked on the path of Sufism. They continued their relations with the scholarly circles after they decided on Sufism. We can think that this development contributed to the establishment of a healthy balance between sharī^ca and tariqa or zāhir-bātin (exoteric-esoteric) in Sufi circles (Öngören, 2000, pp. 335, 340). This balance must have paved the way for scholarly circles to be interested and inclined towards Sufism. The third reason is the need of the Sufis to introduce and defend their profession and teachings, and the efforts of scholars, especially faqīhs, to understand and position them. This issue is illustrated by the tales of sometimes relentless tensions and struggles between the two sides, sometimes a distinct softening, and occasionally mutual understanding (Ürkmez, 2018, pp. 281, 305-331).

Through his poems, letters, and stories about him, Mawlānā combines the reasons we have mentioned in his person, for he was the child of a Sufi scholar who was known as the king of scholars (sultān al-culamā) and migrated from Balkh to Konya. He also studied in Aleppo and Damascus, and after years of education in Konya, he decided on Sufism under the guidance of Sayyid Burhān al-Dīn al-Tirmidhī (d. 639/1244 [?]) and Shams al-Dīn al-Tabrīzī (d. 645/1247 [?]) and raised his successors in such a process and environment (Lewis, 2000, pp. 9-37; Ürkmez, 2020, pp. 162-196). Both his scholarly and intellectual background and the political and sociocultural environment of the Seljuk capital prepared the ground for Mawlānā to develop various and multifaceted relations with many segments of the society (faqīh, qādī, scholar, Sufi, akhī, hāfiz/memoriser, painter, architect, physician, merchant, statesman, ruler, khātūn, poet, priest, etc.). In this respect, Mawlānā's mystical and intellectual environment is an essential reference point for researchers interested in the cultural structure and scientific life of Seljuk Anatolia. In addition, Mawlānā contributed to shaping the religious-scholarly life of his period and his neighbourhood through guidance and writing, and his personality was shaped within this life. This perspective, which looks at the forest but never neglects the tree, will provide more accurate results in recognising and understanding a central figure like Mawlānā.

1. Qādī cIzz al-Dīn al-Rāzī

Qādīs, who were among the essential elements that shaped the religious and social life of Islamic society, were among the upper-level government officials due to the additional duties they undertook and played an active role in administrative structuring and political developments from the beginning (Atar, 2001, p. 67; Bligh-Abramski, 1992, pp. 40-71). Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn was a critical scholar who rose to the position of vizier and even ended his life on the battlefield during the period of decline and collapse when the Seljuks of Türkiye were subjected to Mongol domination (Akkuş, 2021, pp. 97-102; R. Turan, 1995, pp. 39, 42-46, 115, 119, 133, 142). Incidentally, it should be noted that in the Seljuk period of Türkiye, the vizierate was a position usually assigned to scholars (R. Turan, 1995, p. 87; Yinanç, 2014, Vol. 2, p. 211).

Qādī cizz al-Dīn's full name on the inscription of his sarcophagus is as follows: 'cizz al-Dīn Muhammad b. Ahmad b. Mahmūd b. Muhammad al-Rāzī' (Konyalı, 1964, p. 417). The mosque foundation dated Rajab 652 (August-September 1254) also mentions Qādī cizz al-Dīn's father's name as Ahmad (Konyalı, 1964, p. 418). However, in Ibn Bībī (2014, p. 560), one of the critical historians of the period, and in some waqf records published by O. Turan (1948, pp. 90, 109, 102, 122, 129, 139, 145, 149, 154. 129, 139, 145), his father's name is Mahmūd. While this clarifies his city nisba, it obscures his family information. In addition, although the local chronicles, endowments, letters and legendary records of the period, which we will analyse shortly, contain satisfactory information about Qādī cizz al-Dīn's personality, the administrative duties he undertook and his death, there is not much information about his education process and his life before judgeship. This is closely related to the fact that he was of Iranian Rayy origin and, like many of his contemporaries, was one of the immigrant scholars who came to Anatolia after studying in the important cultural centres of the Middle East and started to work here.

Qādī 'Izz al-Dīn's assumption of duties other than judgeship and his rise in the ranks of the state began with the coming to power of 'Izz al-Dīn Kaykāwus II. When we arrange the available data in chronological order, we see that Qādī 'Izz al-Dīn's first duty outside judgeship was the inspectorate of countries (mushrif-i mamālik). Namely, in 644/1246, 'Izz al-Dīn II was invited to the ceremony to be held on Güyük Khan's ascension to the khanate throne. Still, he did not go himself and sent his brother Rukn al-Dīn Kilij Arslan IV instead. In this process, one of the senior executives in the delegation sent to Karakorum, the capital of the Mongol Empire, in Rukn al-Dīn IV's entourage was Mushrif-i mamālik Qādī 'Izz al-Dīn (İbn Bibi, 2014, p. 529; O. Turan, 1998, pp. 459-462; Yinanç, 2014, Vol. 2, p. 196). Mushrif-i mamālik was a general inspector assigned to supervise the affairs of the dīwān within the country. He came after the vizier in terms of rank (Küçükaşcı, 2006, p. 168; Uzunçarşılı, 1988, pp. 217-218). Therefore, this assignment and development show that Qādī 'Izz al-Dīn was recognised as competent and reliable with his administrative qualifications and experience.

Qādī °Izz al-Dīn was appointed as the vizier (sāhib-i a°zam) following the assassination of vizier Shams al-Dīn al-Isfahānī, who ruled the Türkiye Seljuk State like a monarch on behalf of Batu Khan (A. R. 1227-1256), the founder and first ruler of the Golden Horde Khanate, on 8 Dhu al-Hijjah 646 (24 March 1249) (Anonim, 2014, p. 44; Bal, 2006, pp. 267-287; İbn Bibi, 2014, p. 551; O. Turan, 1998, pp. 466-467). In this process, due to the financial and administrative pressures and interventions of the Mongols on the one hand, and the conflicts between cIzz al-Dīn II and Rukn al-Dīn IV and the statesmen subject to them on the other, stability in the administration of the country could not be achieved and a centralised structure could not be established. Although Qādī 'Izz al-Dīn, who was in the faction of GIZZ al-Dīn II and Jalāl al-Dīn Qaratay (d. 652/1254), was dismissed from the vizierate and appointed to other posts at short intervals, he was killed in a battle near Sultanhanı on 23 Ramadan 654 (14 October 1256) while fighting against Baiju Noyan's second invasion of Anatolia (İbn Bibi, 2014, p. 576)1 he continued to serve as a vizier and tried to reduce their pressure on the country by following an anti-Mongol policy, to protect the reputation of the state and to prevent power conflicts between brother rulers (Aksarayî, 2000, pp. 31-32; Anonim, 2014, pp. 45-46; İbn Bibi, 2014, pp. 551-576; İbnü'l-İbrî, 2011, pp. 26-27).2

Let's look at the other duties undertaken by Qādī 'Izz al-Dīn besides the viziership. We can say the following: In 649 (1251-1252), 'Izz al-Dīn II sent an envoy to Baghdad with Jalāl al-Dīn Qaratay, one of the mighty and capable statesmen of the period, to the last Abbasid caliph Musta'sim bi-llāh (A. R. 1242-1258) and returned with a letter of reply, dresses of honour (khil'āt) and gifts for the ruler and his brothers (Anonim, 2014, p. 45; İbn Bibi, 2014, p. 561). Qādī 'Izz al-Dīn is also reported to have been appointed as an atabag (İbn Bibi, 2014, p. 560; Konyalı, 1964, p. 418; R. Turan, 1995, p. 42; Şahin, 2007, p. 105). The fact that he was near and close to 'Izz al-Dīn II, who was enthroned as a child, may have led him to be referred to with such a title. We see that Qādī 'Izz al-Dīn was one of the people who assumed responsibility for the religious and moral education of the ruler mentioned above and for keeping him away from evil (İbn Bibi, 2014, p. 563; R. Turan, 1995, p. 142). Moreover, Mawlānā's (1999, p. 111) characterisation of 'Qādī 'Izz al-Dīn as the advisor of the kings (salātīn and mulūk) also points to this point.

The last ten years (1246-1256) of the life of vizier $Q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ c Izz al- $D\bar{i}n$, who was in the service of the Seljuks for many years, were quite active. Although he had risen to the position of vizier in this period, from time to time he was removed from his vizier position and returned to the position of $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$. We can follow this situation from some foundation records. $Q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ c Izz al- $D\bar{i}n$ wrote the foundation of the caravanserai of Jal \bar{a} l al- $D\bar{i}n$ Qaratay, with whom he worked for years in the administration of the country, in Kayseri, dated Rabi al-Awwal

¹ Anonim (2014, pp. 45-46) states that this event took place on 24 Ramadan 654 (15 October 1256). Aksarayî (2000, pp. 31-32), on the other hand, erroneously gives the date 656/1258.

For a detailed analysis of the period in question, see. O. Turan, 1998, pp. 471-480; Yinanç, 2014, Vol. 2, pp. 201-224.

645 (July 1247) (O. Turan, 1948, pp. 39, 84, 90, 109, 117) and the addendum of the same foundation dated 14 Ramadan 645 (12 January 1248) (O. Turan, 1948, pp. 84, 102, 122, 127); the foundation dated Safar 646 (June 1248) made by his brother Jalāl al-Dīn Qaratay for statesman Kamāl al-Dīn Rumtash's masjid and zawiya in Konya (O. Turan, 1948, pp. 39, 89, 149, 154, 158) and the foundation dated 25 Jumada al-Awwal 651 (23 July 1253) of Jalāl al-Dīn Qaratay's madrasah in Konya (O. Turan, 1948, pp. 40, 129, 139, 143).

Qādī cIzz al-Dīn is frequently referred to with the following common adjectives both in the inscription of his sarcophagus (Konyalı, 1964, pp. 417-418) and in some foundation records (O. Turan, 1948, pp. 98-99, 117-118, 135-136, 145-146): Mawlānā; pioneer, leader, and guide (sadr, imām); scholar (cālim), knowledgeable (callāma); knowledgeable of the world (callāma al-cālam); father of virtues (Abū al-mahāmid); proof of Islām (hujjat al-Islām); fair judge; judge of judges (qādī al-qudāt); the muftī of the humankind (muftī al-anām); the great vizier (sadr al-sāhib, sadr al-mucazzam, sāhib al-aczam); the king of viziers (malik al-wuzarā); the king of scholars and viziers (sultān al-culamā wa-al-wuzarā); the king of qādī, vizier and scholars (malik al-culamā wa-al-wuzarā wa-al-qudāt); the heir of the prophets (wārith al-anbiyā wa-al-mursalīn); the reviver of the sunnah (muhyī al-sunnah); the crusher of innovation (qāmic al-bidca). This shows that Qādī cIzz al-Dīn was a distinguished figure with his knowledge, justice and virtue and was very sensitive to following the basic principles of religion. Notably, the combinations of two or three of his three main qualities (scholar, qādī, vizier) are remarkable.

Qādī 'Izz al-Dīn's body was brought to Konya after the battle near Sultanhanı and buried next to the masjid bearing his name, which he had built four years before his death (650/1252) (Akkuş, 2021, p. 102; Küçükdağ & Arabacı, 2016, p. 267).

2. Relations between Mawlānā and Qādī °Izz al-Dīn

Ibn Bībī (2014, pp. 560-562), one of the local historians of the period, introduces Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn as a person who aroused respect around him with the greatness of his devotion, the perfection of his piety, and the superiority of his power of expression, and whose words and decisions were respected. According to him, Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn was extremely careful, dignified in judgement, and highly skilled in resolving complex issues. With his pious personality, he organised world affairs and ensured the people's peace. He was interested in scholars and scholarly assemblies. One of the scholars whom Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn favoured was Mawlānā.

According to the records we have, we can say that there was a sincere relationship between Mawlānā and Qādī 'Izz al-Dīn. In two letters addressed to Qādī 'Izz al-Dīn, Mawlānā

This word, meaning 'our master, our owner', was used as an expression of respect at the beginning of the names of some great sheikhs and scholars. When used alone, it refers to Mawlānā Jalāl al-Dīn al-Rūmī, the founder of the Mawlawī order (Ayverdi, 2010, p. 814).

⁴ A scholar of fiqh who answers questions about religious matters and resolves issues by issuing fatwās (Atar, 1995, pp. 486-496).

described him as judge of judges; the great and bright scholar like the full moon; investigator (mudaqqiq); enquirer (muhaqqiq); the flag of specific knowledge or belief (yaqīn) and the right path (hudā); the source of piety (taqwā) and knowledge; the one who remained from the predecessors (salaf) ones and was the master of the successors (khalaf) ones; the honour of Muslims and Islam; the spreader of goodness and justice; the muftī of two factions (firqas); the imām of two sects (madhhabs); the scholar whose composition (inshā³) is perfect; the great vizier. In these letters, Mawlānā mentions the inheritance problem that a student named Fakhr al-Dīn, who was studying fiqh, had with his relatives and asks Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn to find a solution to this problem by reminding him of his previous favours (Mevlânâ, 1999, pp. 107-109, 111-112, 239). Notably, the adjectives mentioned here about Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn are similar and compatible with those in other sources of the period.

In Fīhi mā fīh, a collection of Mawlānā's conversations, there is a record pointing to the intimate relations between him and Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn. Although this record does not mention any city nisba for Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn, he was probably the qādī of Konya, ʿIzz al-Dīn al-Rāzī. Here, Mawlānā responds to the words 'Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn has greetings, he is always praising you' as follows: 'Whoever remembers us with goodness, may his name be remembered with goodness in the world. If someone says good things about another person, it is for himself. In reality, he is praising himself. If a person makes it a habit to say good things about people, he starts to say good things about someone. He becomes his beloved. When he remembers him, he remembers his beloved. The remembrance of the beloved is rose, rosery, fragrance, peace' (Mevlânâ, 1959, p. 174).

Mawlawī author Aflākī introduces Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn with the city nisba 'Konawī' and in accordance with historical facts, he refers to him as the vizier of ʿIzz al-Dīn II and the late martyred qādī. Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn built a large masjid in Konya for Mawlānā, of whom he was a disciple. Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn, who was a generous and benevolent person, organised a gathering in gratitude for the completion of his masjid and donated money scholars, righteous people and memorisers. Mawlānā also gave a sermon here upon his request (Eflâkî, 2006, pp. 136-138). Built in 650/1252, the present form of this masjid is new. It is not known precisely when the original form was demolished (Ergenç, 1995, p. 41; Küçükdağ & Arabacı, 2016, p. 267). Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn also built a madrasah, and a hospital called Māristān-1 Atīk in Konya, which was demolished in 881/1476 and annexed to the masjid (Erdoğru, 2003, p. 149; Kahraman, 2009, p. 92; Konyalı, 1964, pp. 416-418; Şahin, 2007, pp. 105-107). However, it is not possible to determine with certainty that Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn Masjid was built for Mawlānā. We observe that Mawlānā visited this shrine from time to time. He once criticised a sermon he heard here, finding it exaggerated in content and style (Eflâkî, 2006, p. 185).

Once, Qādī c Izz al-Dīn came to meet Mawlānā with Qādī c Izz al-Dīn al-Amāsī and Qādī c Izz al-Dīn es-Sivāsī with him. During the conversation, they asked Mawlānā about his path what he did or how he did it as a Sufi. Mawlānā said, 'Say: This is my way; I invite to Allah with insight, I and those who follow me' (Qur'an 12: 108), and they became his disciples

(Eflâkî, 2006, p. 138). This situation exemplifies the fact that Qādī c Izz al-Dīn visited Mawlānā from time to time with his friends or colleagues. However, the fact that all three people in the narrative are qādī and the question they ask suggests that the visit may have been an inspection.

A conversation between Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn and Mawlānā about the distinction between zāhir-bātin draws our attention. In this conversation, Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn compares the ways of attaining knowledge between the people of madrasah in his person and the people of Sufism in Mawlānā's person. Like Mawlānā, Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn, had learnt the zāhirī sciences to the extent of his effort and ability by reading books and doing exercises. However, his mind could not reach the secrets that Mawlānā knew and understood and could not grasp the meanings he expressed. Mawlānā attributes this to the fact that he studied a leaf or two of the divine sciences, which were not available to Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn (Eflâkî, 2006, p. 137).

This comparison made by Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn is frequently observed in narratives about the relations between Sufis and scholars (Ürkmez, 2018, pp. 310-317). For example, in a narration in Sipahsālār (1977, p. 120), one of the first Mawlawī authors, it is stated that Sufis are people who not only read the books, words and secrets of the sheikhs, but also pursue mujāhada (struggle with the ego, devil and the enemy) and ʿamal (all kinds of worship, good deeds and services done for the sake of Allah). However, the noteworthy point in the above narrative is that Mawlānā was also a person who was well-versed in the zāhirī sciences. The situation that Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn complains about must also be the case with other scholars, for Qādī Muhammad b. Alī b. al-Sarrāj al-Dimashqī (d. 747/1346), who was also a Rifāʿī sheikh, states the following in a somewhat heavy tone: The hearts of some envious jurists burn in the face of the favours Sufis receive. This is because they do not have the slightest trace of such things in them, even though they are engaged in the zāhirī sciences and extremely tired. For this reason, they issued fatwās against the people of truth who are close to Allah. They began investigating and criticising their evils (es-Serrâc, 2015, p. 150).

It would be more accurate to read the presentation of Qādī cIzz al-Dīn as a disciple of Mawlānā in the legendary records we have analysed not as a disciple's initiation into a sheikh, but as a person's being inspired by and respecting a great religious scholar. As a matter of fact, like many of his contemporaries, Qādī cIzz al-Dīn did not approve of the samāc ritual at first and did not attend samāc ceremonies; he gave up this idea in the face of Mawlānā's personal virtues and insistent invitations (Eflâkî, 2006, p. 137).

3. Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī

It is known that the Middle East was one of the primary sources of nourishment for the Islamic civilisation that developed in Anatolia from the 13th-century onwards. The 13th-century career of Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī, one of the most essential Hanafī faqīhs of Seljuk Konya, exemplifies this situation. Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī, who started to learn science

from an early age, read *al-Hidāya*,⁵ one of the most well-known and reliable texts of Hanafī fiqh, during his studies in Aleppo (Eflâkî, 2006, pp. 284, 323; Sipehsâlâr, 1977, pp. 98-99), and later served in Qaratay and Atabakiya madrasahs in Konya, where he arrived later (Eflâkî, 2006, pp. 205-206, 271; al-Sadrî, 2002, pp. 42-43; Mevlânâ, 1999, pp. 137-138).

The nature and curriculum of these madrasahs, which were built by two great statesmen who lived in the same period, are of great importance for our subject and give us some clues. According to the foundation charter dated 25 Jumada al-Awwal 651 (23 July 1253) of the Qaratay Madrasah, which Jalāl al-Dīn Qaratay built in 649/1251, the mudarris who will serve here must be from the Hanafī sect and must know the sciences of sharīca, hadīth, usūl, fürūc, and khilāf (O. Turan, 1948, pp. 74, 77, 140-141). This detail sheds light on Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī's fields of study and expertise. According to the foundation charter of the Atabakiya Madrasah, built by the statesman Fakhr al-Dīn Arslandogmush, the mudarris who will work here must be from the Hanafī sect and fiqh must always be taught in the madrasah (Eflâkî, 2006, p. 360). This detail is also compatible with Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī's being a Hanafī faqīh.

According to the legend, Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī dreamt that the Prophet turned away from him one night. He asked the reason for this, stating that he had suffered many troubles for years to reach his compassion and kindness, and that he had worked to understand the hadīths and solve the difficulties of religion. The Prophet warns him that denying the Sufis

⁵ For detailed information about this vital work by Burhān al-Dīn al-Marghīnānī (d. 593/1197), see. Kallek, 1998, pp. 471-473.

⁶ For extensive information on the aforementioned statesman and his madrasah, see. Kuran, 1969, Vol. 1, pp. 51-53; Mülâyim, 2001, pp. 475-476; Ürkmez, 2018, pp. 468-471.

⁷ For extensive information on the aforementioned statesman and his madrasah, see. Aksarayî, 2000, p. 31; İbn Bibi, 2014, pp. 552-553, 562-563, 570-571, 577; Konyalı, 1964, pp. 785-788; O. Turan, 1998, pp. 468-483.

In the continuation of the manqaba, it is stated that Mawlānā did not find this situation right and that he thought that charity should be unconditional to gain the consent of Allah. Not the original or the first endowment of the Atabakiya Madrasah, but a copy of this endowment dated 28 Jumada al-Awwal 851 (11 August 1447) has survived. However, there is no sign of the special conditions mentioned by Aflākī in this copy (Konyalı, 1968, pp. 97, 99; O. Turan, 1948, p. 75). The sharing of an important detail of a foundation that has not survived to the present day increases the source value of Aflākī and the manāqibnāmahs.

Sufis believed that they had a unique knowledge that was different from the knowledge attained by non-Sufi scholars. They expressed this knowledge with their own terms such as ma^crifat, ^cirfān, yaqīn. Sometimes they also used the word ^cilm for this knowledge. However, when they used the term science in the sense of ma^crifat, they characterised it with some adjectives belonging to Sufi terminology and produced new terms such as the science of ladun, the science of bātin, and the science of state. With these terms, they meant the knowledge of divine secrets and truths, the qualities of the soul, the state of beings, and some matters of unseen nature, which they called ma^crifat. The Sufis, who believe that the beginning of ma^crifat is knowledge, ma^crifat without knowledge is dissent, and knowledge without ma^crifat is vandalism, are of the opinion that ma^crifat is a ladunnī knowledge. The fine line between knowledge and ma^crifat becomes more apparent through their opposite meanings. For while the

in the person of Mawlānā is a great sin. When Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī wakes up, he repents and becomes a disciple of Mawlānā after observing other miracles (Eflâkî, 2006, p. 285; Sipehsâlâr, 1977, pp. 99-100). Whatever the context, this narration is vital in that it demonstrates the widespread opinion about the meaning and content of the works of a religious scholar or jurist in the person of Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī.

The most remarkable figure among Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī's students at the Qaratay Madrasah was the Hanafī scholar 'Abd al-Mu'min al-Tokadī. He taught two crucial personage such as Aflākī (d. 761/1360), one of the primary sources of this article, and Qādī Ahmad of Niğde (d. after 734/1333), who wrote works shedding light on Anatolia during the Seljuk and Principalities periods (Eflâkî, 2006, pp. 8, 433-434; Niğdeli Kadı Ahmed, 2015, Vol. 1, pp. 69, 335). It is also stated that 'Abd al-Mu'min al-Tokadī organised several scientific meetings with the scholars of the city at the Parwāna Madrasah in Tokat (Eflâkî, 2006, pp. 433-434). These details reveal the contribution of both 'Abd al-Mu'min al-Tokadī and his teacher, Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī, to the scholarly life of the Seljuk period in Türkiye. At the post-sitting ceremony held on the occasion of Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī's appointment as a mudarris at the Atabakiya Madrasah, high-ranking administrators such as Mu'sīn al-Dīn Parwāna and Majd al-Dīn Atabag (d. 676/1277), as well as Mawlānā, Sadr al-Dīn al-Qunawī (d. 673/1274), and Qādī Sirāj al-Dīn al-Urmawī (d. 682/1283) (Eflâkî, 2006, p. 271; al-Sadrî, 2002, pp. 42-43) shows the importance attached to Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī.

Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī is introduced and praised as follows in the manāqibnāmahs of the period: The muftī of humankind and the goblins; the most prominent of his century in giving fatwā, in the science of exegesis (tafsīr) and in the sayings of Abū Hanīfa; Shurayh of the time and the second Nucmān; Abū Hanīfa of fiqh; the one who does not fail to fulfil sunnah (practices of Prophet Muhammad) and fard (religious obligations); the sea of knowledge; the saint (walī) of Allah on earth; the king of the mudarris (Eflâkî, 2006, pp. 169, 205, 249; Sipehsālār, 1977, p. 98).

As mentioned above, Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī was able to enter the field of view of researchers thanks to the relations he developed with Mawlānā and the Mawlawī milieu.

opposite of knowledge is ignorance, the opposite of ma^c rifat is denial (Uludağ, 2003, p. 54). As a matter of fact, there are many examples in the manāqibnāmahs of the period that those who criticise the people of ma^c rifat namely Sufis, and those who oppose Sufism are regarded as denier (Ürkmez, 2018, p. 305). This is one of them.

¹⁰ For extensive information on this educational institution, which is thought to have been built as a medical school (dār al-shifā) by Mu^cīn al-Dīn Parwāna (d. 676/1277), one of the mighty statesmen of the period, see. Kuran, 1969, Vol. 1, pp. 96-99; Uzunçarşılı, 1927, pp. 56-57; Ünver, 1938, p. 23.

¹¹ Shurayh was Abū Umayyah Shurayh b. Khāris al-Kūfī, the qādī of Kufa, one of the leading jurists of the Tābiʿīn (the generation following the Companions of the Prophet Muhammad) period, who died in 80/699; Nuʿmān was the great mujtahid Abū Hanīfa Nuʿmān b. Thābit, the imām of the Hanafī sect, who died in 150/767 (Özel, 1990, pp. 11-16; Özen, 2001, p. 119).

Except for an obscure record in Ibn Bībī's work, we owe our information about him entirely to early Mawlawī sources.

When the Mongols defeated the Seljuk army under the command of Baiju Noyan in the battle near Sultanhani on 23 Ramadan 654 (14 October 1256), 'Izz al-Dīn II left Konya and took refuge in Byzantium. Thereupon, Rukn al-Dīn IV, who was in Borgulu (Uluborlu) Castle, was brought to Konya and enthroned on 16 Safar 655 (4 March 1257) (O. Turan, 1998, pp. 478-483). Ibn Bībī (2014, p. 577) states that a person named Qādī Shams al-Dīn served as vizier for about a month during this period and died suddenly due to an illness. Some researchers (O. Turan, 1948, p. 72; R. Turan, 1995, p. 131) believe that the Qādī Shams al-Dīn mentioned is Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī. However, since there is no reference to him being a vizier or even a qādī in the early Mawlawī sources, the accuracy of this interpretation is relatively low. There is also a legend that Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī was alive in 656/1258. Accordingly, Qādī Kamāl al-Dīn al-Kābī, who arrived in Konya on that date, met with some of his friends, including Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī, while he was preparing to return to his province of Dānishmandiya (Eflâkî, 2006, pp. 186-187). Therefore, we can say that Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī died after 656/1258.

4. Relations between Mawlānā and Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī

As it is known, the concept of mushāhada in Sufism means seeing the manifestations of God (Uludağ, 2006, pp. 152-153). In addition, Sufis consider the idea of kashf (unveiling) discovery as knowing some unseen things because they are behind the veil and beyond the mind and watching the manifestations of God. This is because both situations result from lifting or parting the veil (Uludağ, 2002, pp. 315-317). In one of the legends, we will look at in line with this conceptual framework, Mawlānā said to Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī, who came to visit him, 'Come, come, it is good that you have come. Until today they were talking about God without seeing, and you were listening. From now on, listen directly from God!' (Eflâkî, 2006, p. 169). Another legend in the same vein is as follows: One day a fervent sam \bar{a}^c ritual was being performed with the participation of dignitaries. So much so that Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī was disturbed by the sounds of enthusiasm that reached his madrasah. So, to disguise himself, he dressed in a simple outfit and went to where the samāc ritual was performed. He retreated to a corner and engaged in reading the Qur'an. Whenever he reads a prostration verse, he observes with astonishment and admiration that Mawlānā prostrates himself. At this time, Mawlānā said to Shams al-Dīn al-Mardînî, 'These prostrations are not the prostrations of ordinary people. These are the prostrations of the people of bliss. From now on, stop being a pedant and be one of those who see. It is forbidden to test the people of God any longer'. After this incident, Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī left the place and returned with his family and students and became a disciple of Mawlānā (Eflâkî, 2006, pp. 285-286; Sipehsâlâr, 1977, pp. 100-101). The common theme in both two legends is that Mawlānā evaluates the people of the madrasah as those who speak of God in absentia, that is, without seeing Him, and finds the zāhirī sciences inadequate and criticises them.

Interesting narrations point to Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī's view of Sufism, who is mentioned to have shown great sensitivity in obeying religious orders and prohibitions. For example, Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī denies the samā^c and the miracles of the Sufis. A group of faqīhs, who had some knowledge of the states of the saints (walīs), praised Mawlānā in the presence of Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī, saying, 'Mawlānā gives fatwās in samā^c without thinking, without looking at books, and so enchants the souls of philosophers and stops the minds of wise people that none of these great ones can say or breathe anything in his presence; the belts of the scholars of logic remain tied in his presence'. Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī silenced the jurists by saying, 'It is necessary to give up these corrupt dreams and continuously engage in religious sciences'. However, he realises the greatness of Mawlānā after a dream (Eflâkî, 2006, pp. 205-206).

In some of his letters. Mawlānā mentions that Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī behaved well towards the students who became his disciples and studied at the Qaratay Madrasah (Mevlânâ, 1999, p. 137). The attitude and approach of Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī, who is described as a great scholar (sadr al-kabīr) and the master of the virtuous (ustāz al-fuzalā) in these letters, constitutes a representative example of the moderate opposition that should be taken into consideration in the relations between scholars and Sufis. Mawlānā's statement about Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī '...he is a wise man, but he does not know himself. Let us introduce him to himself. Some of the saints do not know their own sainthood, and some know their own sainthood but not others'. His comment and his assessment that Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī became 'a unique saint (walī) in the world' after becoming a disciple of one of God's friends (Mawlānā refers to himself here) make sense within this framework (Eflâkî, 2006, p. 323). This moderate approach also manifests itself in the fact that Aflākī had Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī issue interesting fatwās about samāc and rabāb. Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī felt that Mawlānā was placing a small tambourine on his head in the samā^c ceremony, and he put forward the following opinion: 'Whoever says that this samā^c is taboo (harām) is a depraved (harāmzāda)' (Eflâkî, 2006, p. 207).

In a letter addressed to Akmal al-Dīn al-Nahjuwānī (d. after 701/1302), one of the famous physicians of the period, Mawlānā requests that the Qaratay Madrasah be allocated to Afsah al-Dīn Mu°īd, the great scholar (sadr al-kabīr) and the pride of the mudarrises (fakhr al-mudarrisīn), upon the appointment of Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī to the Atabakiya Madrasah. The only reason why Mawlānā considers Afsah al-Dīn Mu°īd competent for this job is not only his knowledge. He is both aware of the states of the Sufis and a person who approaches the Mawlawī students studying at Qaratay Madrasah with fatherly affection (Mevlânâ, 1999, p. 137). Mu°īd is a teaching staff that we can define as the assistant of the mudarris. He was chosen by the mudarris from among the senior students and repeated his lectures to the students (es-Sakkâr, 2003, pp. 86-87). Afsah al-Dīn Mu°īd, who was Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī's assistant at the Qaratay Madrasah, is mentioned in some legendary stories that both point to this aspect and show that he established positive relations with Mawlawī circles. For example, Afsah al-Dīn Mu°īd gave up his denial of Mawlānā upon a dream he

had and became a follower of Mawlānā along with nearly forty of his disciples (Eflâkî, 2006, p. 207). The mudarris of the Qaratay Madrasah was required to be a member of the Hanafī sect and to know the sciences of sharīca, hadīth, usūl, fürūc and khilāf (O. Turan, 1948, pp. 74, 77, 140-141). This detail shows that Afsah al-Dīn Mucīd's fields of study and specialisation were the same as his teacher. It is also stated that he was related (khīshāvend) to his teacher, and there was no difference between them; therefore, Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī approved this appointment (Mevlânâ, 1999, p. 138).

There are many narratives enriched with miracles (Eflâkî, 2006, pp. 208, 249, 258, 347-348, 434-435; Sipehsâlâr, 1977, pp. 100-101) about Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī's becoming a disciple of Mawlānā together with his disciples and developing intimate relations with him. However, it would be more accurate to read this situation not as a disciple's initiation into a sheikh, as in the relationship between Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn and Mawlānā, but as a person being inspired by and respecting a great religious scholar. In addition to his disciples, Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī was instrumental in bringing some of the people around him closer to Mawlānā. One of the prominent figures of the period, Qādī Kamāl al-Dīn al-Kābī, ¹² who served in the province of Dānishmandiya (Sivas, Tokat, Kastamonu, Sinop, Samsun), is an example of these people (Eflâkî, 2006, pp. 186-187, 258). It is also mentioned that twenty stubborn scholars (dānishmands) became disciples after hearing that Mawlānā had helped Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī in solving a jurisprudential issue (Eflâkî, 2006, pp. 347-348). The fact that a scholar referred his friends to Sufis shows that positive relations were established between the scholars and the Sufis, resulting in favour of Sufism.

Conclusion

When the relationships that Mawlānā established with Qādī cIzz al-Dīn and Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī are examined, it becomes evident that although both jurists initially maintained a certain distance from Sufi circles, they eventually acknowledged Mawlānā's spiritual authority through personal interactions and intellectual/mystical engagements. The evidence that Mawlānā, in his letters addressed to Qādī cIzz al-Dīn, praised him with various titles and honorifics and appealed to his judgment in the resolution of certain juridical matters—together with Qādī cIzz al-Dīn's supportive attitude toward Mawlānā—suggests that relations between Sufis and qādīs in this period could encompass both a supervisory distance and reciprocal cooperation. Similarly, Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī's initial criticism of samāc and miraculous phenomena (karāmāt), followed by his rapprochement with Mawlānā through dreams and experiences of mystical witnessing (mushāhadah), and the reflection of this closeness upon his students and colleagues within the madrasa milieu, demonstrates that jurists did not merely oppose Sufism but could, over time, become actors who helped to legitimize it. When these two examples are considered together, the narratives found in the manāqibnāmahs clearly reveal that Sufi-culamāc

¹² Both Mawlānā and his son Sultan Walad (d. 712/1312) speak highly of this man who developed cordial relations with them (Mevlânâ, 1999, pp. 61-62, 243; Sultan Veled, 2016, p. 29).

relations in Seljuk Anatolia displayed a dynamic structure oscillating between tension and reconciliation, and that the contact between juridical/intellectual circles and Sufism was shaped largely through personal relationships and social networks.

The examples of Qādī ʿIzz al-Dīn and Shams al-Dīn al-Mardīnī, both of whom showed moderate opposition to Sufism, reveal that Mawlānā had a considerable circle among scholars of the status of qādī, faqīh, mudarris, etc. Considering his family, education, background and the fruitful relations he established with many segments and layers of society as a scholar, mudarris, jurist, preacher, Sufi and poet, this situation is quite normal. Therefore, the analysis of Mawlānā's relations with these two crucial figures contributes to our understanding of the nature and degree of the ties between Sufis and qādīs and faqīhs in Seljuk Anatolia. In this context, the following point is particularly noteworthy: In this period, some qādīs and faqīhs were seen as a jurisprudential shield against criticism of Sufism on the one hand, and as a mechanism to control some Sufi practices on the other.

We see that qādīs and faqīhs, who were in the public eye due to their administrative, legal and scholarly activities and were subjected to various criticisms, have a special place in the manāqibnāmahs of the period. In this way, we have valuable information about them that cannot be found in other tabaqāt books.

References

- Akkuş, M. (2021). Kadı İzzeddin'in Hayatı ve Konya'daki Vakıf Eserleri. C. Arabacı & N. Yazıcı vd. (Ed.), *Konya vakıfları* (Vol. 1, pp. 97-107). Konya: Konya Ticaret Odası Publications.
- Aksarayî, K. M. (2000). Müsâmeretü'l-Ahbâr (Öztürk, M. Trans.). Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu Publications.
- Anonim. (2014). *Târih-i Âl-i Selçuk* (Gök, H. İ. & Coşguner, F. Trans.). Ankara: Atıf Publications.
- Atar, F. (1995). Fetva. İslâm ansiklopedisi (Vol. 12, pp. 486-496). İstanbul: Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı Publications.
- Atar, F. (2001). Kadı. *İslâm ansiklopedisi* (Vol. 24, pp. 66-69). İstanbul: Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı Publications.
- Ayverdi, İ. (2010). Misalli büyük Türkçe sözlük. İstanbul: Kubbealtı Publications.
- Bal, M. S. (2006). Türkiye Selçuklu Devletine hükümdarlık yapan vezir; Şemseddin İsfahânî. *Selçuk Üniversitesi Türkiyat Araştırmaları Dergisi*, 19, 265-294.
- Bayram, M. (2008). Şeyh Evhadü'd-din Hâmid el-Kirmânî ve menâkıb-nâmesi. Konya: s.n.
- Bilmen, Ö. N. (2016). İslâm hukuku terimleri sözlüğü (Kahraman, A. Ed.). İstanbul: Nizamiye Akademi Publications.
- Bligh-Abramski, I. (1992). The judiciary (qādīs) as a governmental-administrative tool in early Islam. *Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient*, XXXV/(1), 40-71.
- Eflâkî, A. (2006). Ariflerin Menkıbeleri (Yazıcı, T. Trans.). İstanbul: Kabalcı Publications.
- Erdoğru, M. A. (2003). Murad Çelebi defteri: 1483 yılında Karaman vilâyetinde vakıflar I. Ege Üniversitesi Tarih İncelemeleri Dergisi, XVIII/(1), 119-160.
- Ergenç, Ö. (1995). Osmanlı klasik dönemi kent tarihçiliğine katkı: XVI. yüzyılda Ankara ve Konya. Ankara: Ankara Enstitüsü Vakfı Publications.
- es-Sadrî, Ş. M. (2002). Regâyibü'l-Menâkıb (Agar, M. E. Ed.). İstanbul: Anka Publications.
- es-Sakkâr, S. (2003). Muîd. İslâm ansiklopedisi (Vol. 31, pp. 86-87). İstanbul: Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı Publications.
- es-Serrâc. (2015). *Tuffâhu'l-Ervâh ve Miftâhu'l-İrbâh* (Gürkan, N. & Bardakçı, M. N. & Sarıkaya, M. S. Trans.). İstanbul: Kitap Yayınevi Publications.

- İbn Bibi. (2014). el-Evâmirü'l-Alâ'iyye fi'l-Umûri'l-Alâ'iyye (Öztürk, M. Trans.). Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu Publications.
- İbnü'l-İbrî. (2011). *Târîhu Muhtasari'd-Düvel* (Yaltkaya, Ş. Trans.). Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu Publications.
- Kahraman, S. A. (2009). XVI. yüzyıl başlarında Karaman vilâyeti vakıfları. Kayseri: Kayseri Büyükşehir Belediyesi Kültür Publications.
- Kallek, C. (1998). el-Hidâye. *İslâm ansiklopedisi* (Vol. 17, pp. 471-473). İstanbul: Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı Publications.
- Konyalı, İ. H. (1964). *Abideleri ve kitabeleri ile Konya tarihi*, Konya: Yeni Kitap Basımevi Publications.
- Konyalı, İ. H. (1968). Bir hüccet iki vakfiye. Vakıflar Dergisi, 7, 97-110.
- Kuran, A. (1969). *Anadolu medreseleri* (Vol. 1). Ankara: Orta Doğu Teknik Üniversitesi Mimarlık Fakültesi Publications.
- Küçükaşcı, M. S. (2006). Müşrif. İslâm ansiklopedisi (Vol. 32, pp. 167-169). İstanbul: Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı Publications.
- Küçükdağ, Y. & Arabacı, C. (2016). *Selçuklular ve Konya*. Konya: Çizgi Kitabevi Publications.
- Lewis, F. D. (2000). Rumi past and present east and west. Oxford: Oneworld Publications.
- Mevlânâ. (1959). *Fîhi mâ-fîh* (Gölpınarlı, A. Trans.). İstanbul: Remzi Kitabevi Publications.
- Mevlânâ. (1999). Mektuplar (Gölpınarlı, A. Trans.). İstanbul: İnkılâp Publications.
- Mülâyim, S. (2001). Karatay Medresesi. İslâm ansiklopedisi (Vol. 24, pp. 475-476). İstanbul: Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı Publications.
- Niğdeli Kadı Ahmed. (2015). el-Veledü'ş-Şefîk ve'l-Hâfidü'l-Halîk (Vol. 1-2) (Ertuğrul, A. Trans.). Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu Publications.
- Öngören, R. (2000). Osmanlılar'da tasavvuf. İstanbul: İz Publications.
- Özel, A. (1990). Hanefi fikıh âlimleri. Ankara: Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı Publications.
- Özen, Ş. (2001). Kâdî Şüreyh. *İslâm ansiklopedisi* (Vol. 24, pp. 119-121). İstanbul: Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı Publications.
- Sipehsâlâr. (1977). Risâle (Yazıcı, T. Trans.). İstanbul: Tercüman 1001 Temel Eser Publications.

- Sultan Veled. (2016). *Sultan Veled divanı*. (Değirmençay, V. Trans.). İstanbul: Demavend Publications.
- Şahin, K. (2007). Konya Kadı İzzeddin Mâristân-ı Atik (Hastanesi) ve Sultan Alâddin Keykubat Dâruşşifâsı. *Vakıflar Dergisi*, 30, 101-116.
- Turan, O. (1948). Celâleddin Karatay, vakıfları ve vakfiyeleri. Belleten, XII/(45), 17-172.
- Turan, O. (1998). Selçuklular zamanında Türkiye. İstanbul: Publications.
- Turan, R. (1995). Türkiye Selçuklularında hükûmet mekanizması. İstanbul: Millî Eğitim Bakanlığı Publications.
- Uludağ, S. (2002). Keşf. İslâm ansiklopedisi (Vol. 25, pp. 315-317). İstanbul: Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı Publications.
- Uludağ, S. (2003). Mârifet. *İslâm ansiklopedisi* (Vol. 28, pp. 54-56). İstanbul: Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı Publications.
- Uludağ, S. (2006). Müşâhede. *İslâm ansiklopedisi* (Vol. 32, pp. 152-153). İstanbul: Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı Publications.
- Uzunçarşılı, İ. H. (1927). Anadolu Türk tarihi vesîkalarından Tokad, Niksar, Zile, Turhal,
 Pazar, Amasya vilâyet, kaza ve nahiye merkezlerindeki kitâbeler. İstanbul:
 Maârif Vekâleti Publications.
- Uzunçarşılı, İ. H. (1988). Osmanlı Devleti teşkilâtına medhal. Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu Publications.
- Ünver, A. S. (1938). Büyük Selçuklu İmparatorluğu zamanında vakıf hastahanelerin bir kısmına dair. *Vakıflar Dergisi*, 1, 17-17.
- Ürkmez, R. K. (2018). Menâkıbnâmelere göre XIII. yüzyıl Selçuklu Anadolusu'nda tasavvufî zümreler, (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Selçuk University, Konya.
- Ürkmez, R. K. (2020). Selçuklular zamanında Anadolu'da tasavvufî zümreler. Konya: Çizgi Kitabevi Publications.
- Yinanç, M. H. (2014). Türkiye tarihi Selçuklular devri (Vol. 1-2). Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu Publications.