INTJORASS (2025) 9(1) 93-102 RESEARCH ARTICLE DOI: 10.46463/ijrss.1652856



Year : 2025 Volume: 9 Issue : 1 Pages :93-102

Evaluation of the Relationship between Athlete Self-Efficacy and Mental Toughness in Rugby Players

Çalık Veli Koçak¹ Kudbettin Uçak² Metin Yüceant³ Can Koçak⁴ Deniz Ürün⁵

¹Aksaray University, Faculty of Sports Sciences, Aksaray-TURKEY, <u>kocakveli@hotmail.com</u>, <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1403-0812</u>

²Aksaray University, Faculty of Sports Sciences, Aksaray-TURKEY, <u>ucakkudbettin@gmail.com</u>, <u>https://orcid.org/</u>0009-0003-5846-7690

³Aksaray University, Faculty of Sports Sciences, Aksaray-TURKEY, <u>metinyuceant@hotmail.com</u>, <u>https://orcid.org/</u>0000-0002-6867-2183

⁴Aksaray University, Faculty of Sports Sciences, Aksaray-TURKEY, <u>cancankocak@gmail.com</u>, <u>https://orcid.org/</u> 0009-0008-5130-4510

⁵Aksaray University, Faculty of Sports Sciences, Aksaray-TURKEY, <u>denizurun@outlook.com</u>, <u>https://orcid.org/</u> 0009-0005-3506-7592

Please cite this paper as follows: Koçak, Ç. V., Uçak, K., Yüceant, M., Koçak, C. & Ürün D. (2025). The Relationship Between Athlete Self Efficacy and Mental Toughness in Rugby Players. *International Journal of Recreation and Sport Science*, *9*(1),93-102. DOI: 10.46463/ijrss.1652856

Article History

ABSTRACT

Received: 06.03.2025 Accepted: 21.04.2025 Available online: 16.05.2025



This research aimed to examine the relationship between athlete self-efficacy and mental toughness of rugby athletes. The research sample consisted of 167 (79 female, 88 male) athletes over the age of 18 competing in the Turkish Rugby first league in 2022. To collect data in the research, "Personal Information Form", "Mental Toughness Inventory in Sports" and "Athlete Self-Efficacy Scale" were used. Frequency and percentage values, as well as parametric tests such as Pearson Correlation Test and independent samples t test, were used in statistical analyses. Statistical significance level was taken as p<0.05 in the analyses. According to the results obtained in the research, it can be said that there is a moderate positive relationship between the mental endurance of rugby athletes and their athlete self-efficacy. In addition, male rugby players' athletic self-efficacy and mental endurance are higher than female rugby athletes. While the mental endurance levels of rugby athletes do not vary according to age, the athlete self-efficacy levels of rugby players aged 23 and over are higher than those under the age of 23. Mental toughness and athlete self-efficacy levels do not differ according to educational status. As rugby players' athletic experience increases, their athlete self-efficacy and mental endurance are

Keywords: Athlete, rugby, self-efficacy, mental toughness.

INTRODUCTION

It has been revealed by research that physical and technical performance alone are not sufficient to achieve high-level performance and success in sports (Yalcin & Turan, 2021), and that psychological skills, just like physical and technical skills, should be systematically studied and developed (Weinberg & Gould, 2015).

Rugby, one of the highly competitive sports, is a team sport that includes physical parameters such

as endurance, strength, speed, agility, intense contact, and requires strategic thinking (Gabbett et al., 2007). Although rugby is a team sport, individual talents and skills are also very important. The psychological skills of rugby athletes are an important factor in achieving and maintaining high performance (Batista et al., 2019). This situation emphasizes that rugby athletes need to be physically and psychologically prepared for competitions.

Athlete self-efficacy is considered one of the important psychological factors affecting performance in sports. Athlete self-efficacy is the belief of athletes in their abilities to be successful in



the sport they are interested in (Kocak, 2020) and is a more complex structure than beliefs related to performing different situational tasks and motor skills such as hitting the ball hard and curved or hitting the opponent's field (Feltz et al., 2008). Athlete self-efficacy is an important variable that affects athletes' behaviors and thoughts in different situations (Yılmaz et al., 2020) and affects athletes' level of initiative and success in target behavior (Koçak & Çolak, 2024). With this feature, athlete self-efficacy guides athletes in determining goals and roadmaps (Taiwo, 2015). According to Koçak (2020), athletes with high athlete self-efficacy beliefs have higher levels of realistic goal setting, effort, resistance to difficulties, motivation and effective stress management.

Mental endurance is one of the important psychological skills thought to affect success in sports (Güven & Yazıcı, 2020). Mental endurance is known as the ability of an athlete to recover quickly in difficult situations, to minimize the negative effects of the stress they are exposed to, to maximize concentration, to adapt the to environment and psychological resilience (Altintas, 2015). Mental endurance is considered an important factor affecting performance in addition to the skills, technical and tactical characteristics of successful athletes (Gucciardi & Gordon, 2011). The concept of mental endurance, which was initially seen as an element of personality, has begun to be evaluated as an indicator of psychological performance for athletes in later periods (Güvendi et al., 2018).

When the relevant literature is examined, studies investigating the relationship between athlete selfefficacy and performance in sports (Singh et al., 2009; Valiante & Morris, 2013; Beattie et al., 2016) draw attention. Studies examining the relationship between mental toughness and performance (Newland et al., 2013; Cowden, 2017; Guszkowska & Wójcik, 2021) are also seen. However, it has been observed that studies examining athlete self-efficacy and mental toughness together (Brace et al., 2020; Aizava et al., 2023) are available in the international literature but are limited among national publications (Yıldız, 2017; Koçyiğit, 2022). On the other hand, studies examining the relationship between athlete self-efficacy and mental toughness in a sports branch such as rugby, where competition is at a high level and psychological factors can affect performance, could not be found. Based on this, it is thought that determining the relationship between athlete self-efficacy and mental toughness level in rugby athletes is important in terms of contributing to the literature.

This study aims to examine the relationship between rugby athletes' athlete self-efficacy and mental

toughness. The research questions determined for this purpose are given below.

Is there a statistically significant relationship between rugby athletes' athlete self-efficacy and mental toughness?

Is there a statistically significant difference between rugby athletes' athlete self-efficacy and mental toughness according to gender?

Is there a statistically significant difference between rugby athletes' athlete self-efficacy and mental toughness according to age categories?

Is there a statistically significant difference between rugby athletes' athlete self-efficacy and mental toughness according to their education level?

Is there a statistically significant difference between rugby athletes' athlete self-efficacy and mental toughness according to their duration of sportsmanship?

Is there a statistically significant difference between rugby athletes' athlete self-efficacy and mental toughness according to whether they are national athletes or not?

METHOD

Research Model

This research was designed in the relational screening design, which is one of the quantitative research methods. Relational screening models are studies that examine the degree of change or existence of many variables together (Fraenkel & Wallen, 2009). The research was initiated with the permission of the Aksaray University Human Research Ethics Committee dated 25.04.2022 (Protocol No: 2022/02-52; Ethics Committee Decision Number: E-34183927-000-00000712396).

Study Group

The universe of the study consists of male and female athletes competing in the Turkish Rugby League. The sample of this study consists of 167 athletes who are over the age of 18 (20.10 ± 4.82 years old) competing in the Turkish Rugby 1st League in 2022. The research sample was reached by random sampling method. Some demographic information of the sample group is summarized in Table 1.

| Variable | Category | n | Percentage % |
|--------------------|---------------|-----|--------------|
| Gender | Women | 79 | 47,3 |
| Gender | Men | 88 | 52,7 |
| A .c.o | 18-22 age | 111 | 66,4 |
| Age | 23 + | 56 | 33,6 |
| Educational Status | High School | 104 | 62,3 |
| Educational Status | Undergraduate | 63 | 37,7 |
| Athlete Duration | 1-3 years | 134 | 80,2 |
| Athlete Duration | 4 years + | 33 | 19,8 |
| Total | | 167 | 100,0 |

Table 1. Personal characteristics of the athletes participating in the study

Table 1 shows that 47.3% of the athletes participating in the study were female, 52.7% were male; 111 participants were between the ages of 18-22, and 56 participants were over 23 years old.

Data Collection Tools

The research data were obtained using the survey technique. Personal Information Form, Athlete Self-Efficacy Scale and Mental Toughness Inventory in Sports were used to collect the data.

Personal Information Form: It was created by the researchers to determine the demographic characteristics of the athletes in the study sample. Questions were included regarding gender, age, education status, duration of sportsmanship and national sportsmanship status, which are thought to be effective on the dependent variables determined within the scope of the study.

Athlete Self-Efficacy Scale: The Athlete Self-Efficacy Scale developed by Koçak (2020) was used to evaluate the self-efficacy of rugby athletes. The scale is a 5-point Likert type and consists of 16 items in total. This scale is a 5-point Likert type and consists of the sub-dimensions of sports branch competence, psychological competence, professional thought competence and personality competence.

Mental Toughness Inventory in Sports: It was developed by Sheard et al. (2009) to determine the

mental toughness levels of athletes and was adapted to Turkish by Altıntaş (Altıntaş & Koruç-Bayar, 2016). The inventory consists of 14 items and 3 subdimensions. In addition to general mental toughness, it was designed with three subdimensions, namely Confidence, Control and Continuity, and a 4-point Likert structure.

Analysis of Data

The data collected within the scope of the study were first examined in terms of descriptive statistics. Whether the data were suitable for normal distribution was evaluated with skewness-kurtosis and stem-leaf scatter. In the data set determined to have normal distribution, the Pearson correlation test was used to determine the relationship between athlete self-efficacy and mental toughness, and whether the participants' gender, age, education status, sports age, and national status variables differed according to their categories was analyzed with the independent groups t-test. SPSS-22 package program was used in the analysis of the data. In the study, p<0.05 was accepted as a statistically significant value.

RESULTS

Descriptive statistics such as arithmetic mean, standard deviation, median, skewness-kurtosis and Cronbach's Alpha of the dependent variables used in the study are summarized in Table2.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics on mental toughness and athlete self-efficacy

| Scale | Dimensions | λ±s.s. | Median | Skewness | Kurtosis | Cr α |
|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|----------|------|
| | Scale total | 2,90 ± 0,34 | 2,86 | ,348 | ,267 | ,734 |
| Mental | Confidence | 3,03 ± 0,44 | 3,00 | ,259 | -,127 | ,711 |
| Toughness | Continuity | $3,30 \pm 0,42$ | 3,25 | -,246 | -,165 | ,794 |
| | Control | $\textbf{2,29} \pm \textbf{0,59}$ | 2,25 | ,115 | -,033 | ,687 |
| | Scale total | 3,75 ± 0,68 | 3,81 | -,544 | -,273 | ,892 |

| Κος | ak et al. (2025) Internation | al Journal of Re | ecreation a | and Sport Sciel | nce, 9(1), 93 | -102 | |
|---------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|------|--|
| | | 3,49 ± 0,87 | 3,50 | -,487 | -,075 | ,785 | |
| Athlete Self- | Psychological Efficacy | 3,95 ± 0,83 | 4,00 | -,764 | ,267 | ,736 | |
| efficacy | Professional Thought | 3,63 ± 0,79 | 3,75 | -,251 | -,464 | ,765 | |
| | Personality Efficacy | $\textbf{3,93} \pm \textbf{0,77}$ | 4,00 | -,533 | -,381 | ,718 | |

When the skewness and kurtosis coefficients of the dependent variables used in the study are examined, it is seen that the skewness and kurtosis coefficients for all variables are in the range of (-1, +1). In the literature, it is stated that the skewness and kurtosis coefficients between +1.5 and -1.5 (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2013) are sufficient to accept the existence of a normal distribution. Accordingly, it can be said that the data of this study conform to a normal distribution. In addition, the Cronbach's Alpha values obtained as a result of the reliability analysis conducted for the measurement tools showed that the scales can be used reliably in this sample.

According to the arithmetic mean values in Table 2, it can be said that rugby athletes generally received the highest score in the continuity sub-dimension of their mental toughness and the lowest score in the control sub-dimension. In terms of athlete selfefficacy, it is seen that the highest average is in the psychological competence sub-dimension and the lowest average is in the sports branch competence sub-dimension.

o(1) 00 100

Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to determine the relationships between the mental toughness of athletes and athlete self-efficacy, and the findings are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Evaluation of the relationship between mental toughness and athlete self-efficacy

| Scales | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|---------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-------|
| 1. Confidence | 1 | | | | | | | |
| 2.Control | ,16* | 1 | | | | | | |
| 3. Continuity | ,41** | ,18* | 1 | | | | | |
| 4.MT-Total | ,78** | ,65** | ,67** | 1 | | | | |
| 5.SDE | ,50** | ,06 | ,46** | ,47** | 1 | | | |
| 6.PSE | ,39** | ,16* | ,49** | ,47** | ,53** | 1 | | |
| 7 .PTE | ,29** | ,09 | ,36** | ,34** | ,52** | ,60** | 1 | |
| 8. PE | ,48** | ,09 | ,39** | ,45** | ,60** | ,71** | ,61** | 1 |
| 9. ASES-Total | ,50** | ,12 | , ,51** | , ,52** | , 80** | , 85** | ,81 ^{**} | ,87** |

*p<0,05; **p<0,01; MT=Mental Toughness, ASES: Athlete Self-Efficacy Scale, SDE: Sports Discipline Efficacy, PSE: Psychological Efficacy, PTE: Professional Thought Efficacy, PE: Personality Efficacy

When the correlation matrix in Table 3 is examined, it is seen that there is a moderate, positive and statistically significant relationship (r=,52; p<0,01) between the total mental toughness (MT) and total athlete self-efficacy (ASES). Similarly, there are positive and statistically significant correlations between the MT and athlete self-efficacy subdimensions ranging from 0,34 to 0,47. There are positive correlations between the ASES and mental toughness sub-dimensions ranging from 0,12 to 0,51.

Whether there is a statistically significant difference between the athletic self-efficacy and mental toughness levels of rugby players according to gender was analyzed using an independent groups t-test. The results are summarized in Table 4.

| Table 4. Comparison | of mental tough | hass and athlete | self-efficacy | according to gender |
|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| | or mental tough | less and admete | sen-enicacy | according to genuer |

| Scale | Dimension | Gender | n | X±S.S. | t | р |
|-----------|-------------|--------|----|------------|---------|-------|
| | Coolo totol | Women | 79 | 2,84 ± ,33 | 2.044 | 042* |
| | Scale total | Men | 88 | 2,95 ± ,34 | 2,044 | ,043* |
| | Confidence | Women | 79 | 2,93 ± ,43 | 2,786 | ,006* |
| Mental | Connuence | Men | 88 | 3,12 ± ,42 | 2,780 | |
| Toughness | Continuity | Women | 79 | 3,31 ± ,41 | 0 0 0 0 | 020 |
| | Continuity | Men | 88 | 3,30 ± ,44 | 0,088 | ,930 |
| | Control | Women | 79 | 2,24 ± ,58 | 1 097 | 270 |
| | Control | Men | 88 | 2,34 ± ,60 | 1,087 | ,279 |
| | Scale total | Women | 79 | 3,63 ± ,75 | 2,092 | ,038* |

| | | | Men | 88 | 3,86 ± ,59 | | |
|----------|-------|---------------------------|---------|----|--------------|---------|-------|
| | | Sport Discipline Efficacy | Women | 79 | 3,26 ± ,98 | 3,180 | ,002* |
| | | | Men | 88 | $3,69\pm,71$ | 5,180 | ,002 |
| Athlete | Self- | - Psychological Efficacy | Women | 79 | 3,93 ± ,93 | 0,247 | ,805 |
| efficacy | Sell- | | Men | 88 | 3,97 ± ,73 | 0,247 | ,005 |
| enicacy | | Professional Though | t Women | 79 | 3,51 ± ,84 | 1 0 2 0 | 055 |
| | | Efficacy | Men | 88 | 3,74 ± ,73 | 1,930 | ,055 |
| | | Personality Efficacy | Women | 79 | 3,83 ± ,88 | 1,576 | 117 |
| | | | Men | 88 | 4,02 ± ,64 | 1,570 | ,117 |

*p<0,05

When the analysis results presented in Table 4 are examined, it is seen that there is a statistically significant difference in favor of male athletes according to the MT total scores (t=2,044; p=,043) and MT confidence dimension scores (t=2.786; p<0.05). There is no statistically significant difference in the continuity and control sub-dimensions of the mental toughness scale (p>0,05).

It is seen that there is a statistically significant difference in favor of male athletes according to the

participants' ASES total scores (t=2,092; p<0,05) and the sport discipline efficacy dimension scores (t=3,180; p<0,05). There is no significant difference in the psychological efficacy, professional thought efficacy and personality efficacy dimensions of athlete self-efficacy according to gender (p>0,05).

The mental toughness and athlete self-efficacy levels of rugby athletes according to the age variable were compared with the independent groups t test and the findings are given in Table5.

| Table 5. Comparison | of mental toughness | and athlete self-efficacy | according to age |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| | | | |

| Scale | Dimension | Age | n | X ±S.S. | t | р | |
|---------------|------------------------|-------|-----|--------------------------------|-------|-------|--|
| | Scale total | 18-22 | 111 | 2,89 ± ,34 | 0,039 | 060 | |
| | Scale Local | 23 + | 56 | $\textbf{2,90}\pm\textbf{,32}$ | 0,039 | ,969 | |
| | Canfidance | 18-22 | 111 | 3,01 ± ,44 | 0.620 | 526 | |
| Mental | Confidence | 23 + | 56 | 3,06 ± ,44 | 0,620 | ,536 | |
| Toughness | Captinuity | 18-22 | 111 | 3,34 ± ,41 | 1 750 | 002 | |
| | Continuity | 23 + | 56 | 3,22 ± ,46 | 1,752 | ,082 | |
| | Control | 18-22 | 111 | 2,27 ± ,61 | 0,636 | | |
| | Control | 23 + | 56 | $\textbf{2,33}\pm\textbf{,56}$ | 0,030 | ,525 | |
| | Scale total | 18-22 | 111 | 3,67 ± ,67 | 2,021 | ,045* | |
| | | 23 + | 56 | $3,90\pm,68$ | 2,021 | ,045 | |
| | Sport Discipline | 18-22 | 111 | 3,35 ± ,88 | 2,847 | ,005* | |
| | Efficacy | 23 + | 56 | $3,75\pm,80$ | 2,047 | ,005 | |
| Athlete Self- | | 18-22 | 111 | 3,91 ± ,81 | 0,840 | 402 | |
| efficacy | Psychological Efficacy | 23 + | 56 | $\textbf{4,03}\pm\textbf{,88}$ | 0,040 | ,402 | |
| | Professional Thought | 18-22 | 111 | 3,57 ± ,75 | 1 250 | 176 | |
| | Efficacy | 23 + | 56 | $3,75\pm,86$ | 1,358 | ,176 | |
| | Dorsonality Efficient | 18-22 | 111 | 3,86 ± ,77 | 1 609 | 110 | |
| | Personality Efficacy | 23 + | 56 | 4,07 ± ,74 | 1,608 | ,110 | |

*p<0,05

According to the analysis results in Table 5, it was determined that the mental toughness of rugby players did not show any statistically significant difference according to the age variable (p>0,05).

On the other hand, the athlete self-efficacy of rugby players aged 23 and over was significantly higher than those under 23 (t=2,021; p<0,05). A similar difference was found in the sub-dimension of sports discipline efficacy. The sports discipline efficacy of



athletes aged 23 and over was significantly higher than those under 23 (t=2,847; p<0,05). There was no significant difference in the psychological efficacy, professional thought efficacy and personality efficacy dimensions of athlete self-efficacy according to age (p>0,05). The mental toughness and athlete self-efficacy of rugby players according to their educational status were compared with the independent groups t test (Table 6).

| Scale | Dimension | Education | n | λ±s.s. | t | р |
|---------------|----------------------|---------------|-----|--------------------------------|-------|---------------|
| | Scale total | High School | 104 | 2,92 ± ,33 | 0,937 | ,350 |
| | Scale Local | Undergraduate | 63 | $2,86 \pm ,35$ | 0,937 | ,550 |
| Mental | Confidence | High School | 104 | 3,04 ± ,43 | 0,323 | ,747 |
| Toughness | Connuence | Undergraduate | 63 | $3,01\pm,44$ | 0,323 | ,/+/ |
| | Continuity | High School | 104 | 3,36 ± ,42 | 2,437 | ,016* ,838 |
| | Continuity | Undergraduate | 63 | $3,20\pm,42$ | 2,437 | |
| | Control | High School | 104 | 2,29 ± ,60 | 0,204 | |
| | Control | Undergraduate | 63 | $2,31\pm,60$ | 0,204 | |
| | Scale total | High School | 104 | 3,72 ± ,67 | 0.760 | ,449 |
| | Scale total | Undergraduate | 63 | $\textbf{3,80}\pm\textbf{,70}$ | 0,760 | |
| | Sport Discipline | High School | 104 | 3,40 ± ,88 | 1 601 | 111 |
| | Efficacy | Undergraduate | 63 | 3,63 ± ,85 | 1,601 | ,111 |
| Athlete Self- | Psychological | High School | 104 | 3,96 ± ,79 | 0 170 | 065 |
| efficacy | Efficacy | Undergraduate | 63 | 3,93 ± ,90 | 0,170 | ,865 |
| | Professional Thought | High School | 104 | 3,59 ± ,77 | 0,828 | ,409 |
| | Efficacy | Undergraduate | 63 | 3,70 ± ,83 | 0,020 | ,409 |
| | Dorsonality Efficacy | High School | 104 | 3,92 ± ,77 | 0,760 | ,449 |
| | Personality Efficacy | Undergraduate | 63 | 3,95 ± ,77 | 0,700 | |

| Table 6. | Comparison o | f mental toughness an | d athlete self-efficacy | / according to education | onal status |
|----------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|
|----------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|

*p<0,05; **p<0,01

According to Table 6, a significant difference was found between the mental toughness of the athletes according to the educational status variable in the continuity dimension of MT (t=2,437; p<0,05). Accordingly, the mental toughness of the athletes with a high school education level is higher than the athletes with a higher education level.

On the other hand, no significant difference was found in the MT scale total, confidence and control

dimensions, and ASES and its sub-dimensions according to educational status (p>0.05).

The mental toughness of the rugby athletes and the athlete self-efficacy were compared with the independent groups t-test according to the sportsmanship duration categories, and the results are summarized in Table 7.

| Table 7. Comparison of mental | touchness and athlete self- | efficacy according to duration | n of athlatics |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| Table 7. Companson of mental | i touyimess and atmete sen- | פווונמנץ מננטועוווץ נט עעומנוטו | i or atmetics |

| Scale | Dimension | Athletic duration | n | X±S.S. | t | Р |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----|--------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Mental Toughness | Scale total | 1-3 years | 134 | 2,89 ± ,33 | 2,944 | ,004* |
| | | 4 years + | 33 | 3,05 ± ,34 | | |
| | Confidence | 1-3 years | 134 | 2,97 ± ,43 | 3,697 | ,000* |
| | | 4 years + | 33 | 3,27 ± ,36 | | |
| | Continuity | 1-3 years | 134 | 3,29 ± ,44 | 0,557 | ,578 |
| | | 4 years + | 33 | $3,34\pm,38$ | | |
| | Control | 1-3 years | 134 | 2,26 ± ,59 | 1,412 | ,160 |
| | | 4 years + | 33 | 2,42 ± ,63 | | |
| Athlete Self- efficacy | Scale total | 1-3 years | 134 | 3,68 ± ,68 | 2,737 | ,007* |
| | | 4 years + | 33 | $\textbf{4,04}\pm\textbf{,60}$ | | |
| | | 1-3 years | 134 | 3,34 ± ,88 | 5,819 | ,000* |
| | | | | | | |

| Sport Discipline Efficacy | 4 years + | 33 | 4,07 ± ,56 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----|--------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Developing Efficiency | 1-3 years | 134 | 3,92 ± ,85 | 0,965 | 226 |
| Psychological Efficacy | 4 years + | 33 | $\textbf{4,08}\pm\textbf{,74}$ | | ,336 |
| Professional Thought | 1-3 years | 134 | 3,59 ± ,72 | 1 255 | 211 |
| Efficacy | 4 years + | 33 | $3,79\pm,93$ | 1,255 | ,211 |
| Personality Efficacy | 1-3 years | 134 | 3,86 ± ,79 | 2,358 | ,020* |
| Personality Efficacy | 4 years + | 33 | 4,21 ± ,63 | | ,020 |

*p<0,05

According to the analysis results presented in Table 7, rugby players with a sports age of 4 years and above have significantly higher mental toughness than rugby players with a sports age of 1-3 years (t=2,944; p<0,05). Again, in the MT confidence subdimension, rugby players with a sports age of 4 years and above have higher confidence levels than rugby players with a sports age of 1-3 years (t=3,697; p<0,05). There is no significant difference in the continuity and control dimensions (p>0,05).

According to Table 7, rugby players with a sports age of 4 years and above have higher athlete selfefficacy levels than those with a sports age of 1-3 years (t=2,737; p<0,05). A similar difference is also found in the dimensions of ASES sports discipline efficacy (t=5,819; p<0,05) and personality efficacy (t=2,358; p<0,05). There is no significant difference in the dimensions of psychological efficacy and professional thought efficacy (p>0,05).

DISCUSSION

When the findings obtained in this study were evaluated, it was determined that there was a significant relationship between athlete self-efficacy and mental toughness of high-level rugby athletes. This relationship shows that athlete self-efficacy and mental toughness increase and decrease together and in the same direction. Literature information supports the findings obtained in this study. For example, in studies conducted on athletes in different sports branches (Chen & Cheesman, 2013; Newland et al., 2013; Koçyiğit, 2022; Aizava et al., 2023; Koçak & Çolak, 2024), results were obtained indicating that athletes' self-efficacy can be a determinant for their mental toughness. This relationship between athlete self-efficacy and mental toughness was found to be largely valid on the basis of sub-dimensions. It was determined that there was no significant relationship between the subdimensions of athlete self-efficacy and the control sub-dimension of mental toughness, whereas the relationships between the sports branch and

psychological competence sub-dimensions of the athlete self-efficacy scale and mental toughness were relatively higher.

When the gender variable findings of the study were examined, it was determined that there was a statistically significant difference in favor of male athletes in both athlete self-efficacy and mental toughness. It was determined that there was a significant difference in favor of male athletes in the sports branch of athlete self-efficacy and the confidence sub-dimensions of mental toughness. There are research results in the literature that are parallel to this finding (Demir & Çelebi, 2019; Kalkavan et al., 2020; Koç & Gençay, 2021; Şahinler & Beşler, 2021) and research results that are not similar (Ilhan, 2020; Sarı et al., 2020; Kocaekşi & Yıldırım, 2020; Ramolale et al., 2021).

No significant relationship was found between the mental toughness of rugby players and their age categories. Studies in the literature that did not find a significant correlation between mental toughness and age (Sarı et al., 2020) support this finding. However, there are studies reporting that the mental toughness of athletes varies according to age (Demir & Çelebi, 2019; Kalkavan et al., 2020; Ilhan, 2020; şahinler & Beşler, 2021; Koç & Gençay, 2021). According to the age variable, it was determined that there was a significant difference between the athlete self-efficacy of rugby players, and that the athlete self-efficacy of athletes aged 23 and over was significantly higher than that of athletes under 23. In the literature, it is possible to come across studies reporting that athlete self-efficacy increases as age increases (Asan, 2023).

A significant difference was found in the continuity sub-dimension of mental toughness according to the educational status variable. It has been observed that athletes with secondary education have higher mental toughness than athletes with higher education. It is possible to come across studies in the literature that support this finding (şahinler & Beşler, 2021) and contradict it (Sarı et al., 2020). It has been determined that there is no significant difference between the athlete self-efficacy according to the educational status variable of toplevel rugby athletes.

According to the findings obtained in the study, rugby players with more than 4 years of athletic experience have higher mental toughness and athlete self-efficacy levels than those with less than 4 years. Guszkowska and Wójcik (2021) and Yarayan et al. (2018), stated that mental toughness increases as athletic experience increases. Similarly, Koçak (2019) and Koçak and Çolak (2024) reported a positive relationship between experience and selfefficacy in athletes.

As a result, there is a positive relationship between athlete self-efficacy and mental toughness in rugby players. Male rugby players have higher athlete selfefficacy and mental toughness. While the mental toughness levels of rugby players do not change according to age, the athlete self-efficacy levels of rugby players aged 23 and over are higher than those under 23. In addition, the mental toughness and athlete self-efficacy levels do not change according to educational status. As the athletic experience of rugby players increases, their athlete self-efficacy and mental toughness also increase.

Considering the research results and literature information obtained, studies can be conducted to increase the athlete self-efficacy and mental toughness levels of female and short-term athletic rugby players. On the other hand, since it is a new sport in Turkey, the number of athletes playing rugby at the elite level is low. One of the biggest limitations of this study is the small sample size despite reaching all high-level athletes. It is thought that research to be conducted especially on the youth athlete sample will be important in subsequent studies. Similar studies can be conducted with different sample sizes and different variables. Qualitative research methods can be used to obtain more in-depth information.

Author Contributions: Study Design, ÇVK, KU and MY; Data Collection, MY, CK and DÜ; Statistical Analysis, ÇVK, KU, MY and CK; Data Interpretation, ÇVK, CK and MY; Manuscript Preparation, ÇVK, KU, CK and DÜ; Literature Search, ÇVK, KU, MY, CK and DÜ. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The research was initiated with the permission of the Aksaray University Human Research Ethics Committee dated 25.04.2022 (Protocol No: 2022/02-52; Ethics Committee Decision Number: E-34183927-000-00000712396).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in this study.

Data Availability Statement: Datasets are available through the corresponding author upon reason-able request.

Acknowledgments: We would also like to acknowledge the collective efforts of all the authors who contributed significantly to the realization of this study.

Conflicts of Interest: There is no conflict of interest within the scope of the research.

REFERENCES

Aizava, P.V.S., Codonhato, R., & Fiorese, L. (2023). Association of self-efficacy and mental toughness with sport performance in Brazilian futsal athletes. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1195721. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.11957</u> 21

Altıntaş, A. (2015). The role of optimal performance mood, motivation level and goal orientation in determining the mental toughness of *athletes.* [Doctoral Thesis]. Ankara University.

- Altıntaş, A., & Koruç-Bayar P. (2016). Examining Psychometric Properties of The Sport Mental Toughness Questionnaire-SMTQ. *Hacettepe Spor Bilimleri Dergisi, 27*(4), 162–171. <u>https://doi.org/10.17644/sbd.311985</u>
- Asan, S. (2023). Investigation of athlete selfefficacy levels of athletes active in different branches according to different variables. *Spor ve Bilim Dergisi*, 1(1), 55-63.



- Batista, M., Honório, S., Catarino, J., Vaz, L., Fernandes, H., & Petrica, J. (2019).
 Psychological profile of rugby playersanalysis between athletes of the u18 and u20 national elite teams in the positions of forwards and defenders. *Revista Iberoamericana De Psicologia Del Ejercicio Y El Deporte, 14*(2), 108-111.
- Beattie, S., Woodman, T., Fakehy, M., & Dempsey C. (2016). The role of performance feedback on the self-efficacy-performance relationship. *Sport, Exercise, and Performance Psychology, 5*(1), 1-13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/spy0000051</u>
- Brace, A.W., George, K., & Lovell, G.P. (2020). Mental toughness and self-efficacy of elite ultra-marathon runners. *Plos one, 15*(11), e0241284. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241</u> 284
- Chen, M.A., & Cheesman, D.J. (2013). Mental toughness of mixed martial arts athletes at different levels of competition. *Perceptual and motor skills, 116*(3), 905-917. <u>https://doi.org/10.2466/29.30.PMS.116.3.9</u> 05-917
- Cowden, R.G. (2017). Mental toughness and success in sport: A review and prospect. *The Open Sports Sciences Journal*, 10(1), 1-14. <u>https://doi.org/10.2174/1875399X0171001</u> 0001
- Demir, P., & Çelebi, M. (2019). Investigation of mental resistance of combat sports athletes at the Faculty of Sport Sciences. Uluslararası Güncel Eğitim Araştırmaları Dergisi, 5(2), 188-199.
- Feltz, D.L., Short, S.E., & Sullivan, P.J. (2008). *Self-efficacy in sport*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Fraenkel, J.R., & Wallen, N.E. (2009). *How to design* and evaluate research in education (7th Ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Gabbett, T., Kelly, J., & Pezet, T. (2007). Relationship between physical fitness and playing ability in rugby league players. *Journal of Strength Cond Research*, *21*(4),1126-1133. <u>https://doi.org/10.1519/R-20936.1</u>
- Gucciardi, D., & Gordon, S. (2011). *Mental toughness in sport: Developments in theory and research*. Routledge.
- Guszkowska, M., & Wójcik, K. (2021). Effect of mental toughness on sporting performance:

Review of studies. *Baltic Journal of Health and Physical Activity,* 13(7), 1-12. <u>https://doi.org/10.29359/BJHPA.2021.Supp</u> <u>1.2.01</u>

- Güven, Ş., & Yazıcı, A. (2020). Analysis of the studies in the field of mental toughness published in Turkey. *Ulusal Spor Bilimleri Dergisi*, 4(1), 82-93. <u>https://doi.org/10.30769/usbd.749719</u>
- Güvendi, B., Türksoy, A., Güçlü, M., & Konter, E. (2018). Examination of the level courage and mental toughness of professional wrestlers. *International Journal of Sport Exercise and Training Sciences*, 4(2), 70-78. <u>https://doi.org/10.18826/useeabd.424017</u>
- Ilhan, A. (2020). The mental toughness levels of tennis players. *Journal of Global Sport and Education Research, 3*(2), 28-35.
- Kalkavan, A., Özdilek, Ç., & Çakır, G. (2020). Investigation of mental toughness levels of mountain bikers. *Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi, 22*(2), 31-43.
- Kocaekşi, S., & Yıldırım, I.Ö. (2020). Examining the mental toughness, self-efficacy belief and athletic self-confidence levels of wrestlers. *Uluslararası Güncel Eğitim Araştırmaları Dergisi,* 6(2), 392-406.
- Koç, i., & Gençay, Ö.A. (2021). Examining the mental endurance levels of badminton athletes according to various variables. Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi, 15(1), 110-124.
- Koçak, Ç.V. (2019). The relationship between selfefficacy and athlete burnout in elite volleyball players. *Pedagogics, Psychology, Medical-biological Problems of Physical Training and Sports,* 5, 231-238. <u>https://doi.org/10.15561/18189172.2019.0</u> <u>504</u>
- Koçak, Ç.V. (2020). Athlete self-efficacy scale: Development and psychometric properties. Baltic Journal of Health and Physical Activity, Supplement, 12(4), 41-54. https://doi.org/10.29359/BJHPA.2020.Supp I.1.05
- Koçak, Ç.V., & Çolak, S. (2024). The relationship between athlete self-efficacy and coping with stress in female handball players. Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi, 17(3), 231-247.
- Koçyiğit, B. (2022). The relationship between mental toughness and self-efficacy. *Akdeniz*



Spor Bilimleri Dergisi, 5(4), 871-881. <u>https://doi.org/10.38021/asbid.1166423</u>

- Newland, A., Newton M., Finch L., Harbke C.R., & Podlog L. (2013). Moderating variables in the relationship between mental toughness and performance in basketball. *Journal of Sport and Health Science*, 2(3), 184-192. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2012.09.002</u>
- Ramolale, M., Malete, L., & Ju, U. (2021). Mediational role of mental toughness on the relationship between self-efficacy and prosocial/antisocial behavior in elite youth sport. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*, 745323. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.74532</u> <u>3</u>
- Sarı, i., Sağ, S., & Demir, A.P. (2020). Mental toughness in sports: An investigation in Taekwondo athletes. *Atatürk Üniversitesi Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi*, 22(4), 131-147.
- Sheard, M., Golby, J., & Van Wersch, A. (2009). Progress toward construct validation of the Sports Mental Toughness Questionnaire (SMTQ). European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 25(3), 186-193. https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759.25.3.186
- Singh, T.D., Bhardwaj, G., & Bhardwaj, V. (2009). Effect of self-efficacy on the performance of athletes. *Journal of Exercise Science and Physiotherapy*, *5*(2), 110-114.
- şahinler, Y., & Beşler, H.K. (2021). Examining the mental endurance levels of individuals doing team and combat sports. *Kilis 7 Aralık Üniversitesi Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi, 5*(2), 134-144.
- Tabachnick, B.G., & Fidell, L.S. (2013). Using multivariate statistics (7. ed.). Pearson, Boston.

- Taiwo, J. A. (2015). Commentary on the relationship between self-efficacy, problemfocused coping and performance. *Behavioural Sciences Undergraduate Journal*, 2(1), 37-41. <u>https://doi.org/10.29173/bsuj291</u>
- Valiante, G., & Morris, DB. (2013). The sources and maintenance of professional golfer's selfefficacy beliefs. *The Sport Psychologist, 27*, 130-142. https://doi.org/10.1123/tsp.27.2.130
- Weinberg, R., & Gould, D. (2015). *Improving* performance: *Imagery. Foundations of sport* and exercise psychology. Champaign, IL:Human Kinetics.
- Yalcin, Y., & Turan, F. (2021). Are self-talk and mental toughness level prerequisites besides the kick boxing education level in athletes? *International Education Studies*, 14(10), 105-115. <u>https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v14n10p105</u>

Yarayan, Y.E., Yıldız, A.B., & Gülşen, D.B.A. (2018). Examining the mental endurance levels of elite individual and team sports athletes according to various variables. *Uluslararası Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi, 11*(57), 992-999.

http://dx.doi.org/10.17719/jisr.2018.2509

- Yıldız, A.B. (2017). *Investigation of the relationship* between mental toughness and self-efficacy levels in athletes. [Master's Thesis]. Yıldırım Beyazıt University.
- Yılmaz, T., Yiğit, Ş., Dalbudak, İ., & Acar, E. (2020). Investigation of university students' selfefficacy and sport specific success motivation levels. *Electronic Turkish Studies, 15*(3), 2115-2126. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.29228/TurkishStudies</u> .42905