

## The Plight of Tropical Invalids in *Heat and Dust* by Ruth Praver Jhabvala

### *Ruth Praver Jhabvala'nın Heat and Dust Romanında Tropikal Hastaların Çıkması*

Cengiz KARAGÖZ\*  
Ersoy GÜMÜŞ\*\*

#### Highlights:

- Tropical invalidism can contribute considerably to literary studies in the field of postcolonialism.
- Ruth Praver Jhabvala's fiction reflects the West-Orient relationship by focusing on India.
- Her novel deals with the issue of tropical invalids by defying colonialist stereotypes.

**Abstract:** *Heat and Dust* (1975) by Ruth Praver Jhabvala challenges narratives of European resiliency by presenting India's climate as a tool of anti-imperial resistance in opposition to British colonialism. The physical and mental collapse of colonizers in the tropics, known as tropical invalidism, is employed in this essay to illustrate the fragility of colonial ideology and the unsustainable nature of imperial rule. Drawing on the framework of racialized medicine and colonial disease, this paper attempts to show how Jhabvala reworks environmental imagery (disease, heat, and dust) to undermine myths about European adaptability. Because of their bodies' vulnerability due to India's climate, characters like Olivia, Douglas, and Chid represent the paradox of colonial power and undermine assertions of racial and cultural superiority. Since even these locations are unable to halt the settlers' decline, the novel's hill stations — which are frequently idealized as havens — further underscore the pointlessness of escape. In addition to questioning colonial narratives, *Heat and Dust* reinterprets India's ecology as a tool of resistance by emphasizing tropical invalidism. This research offers a new lens on postcolonial studies by bridging the divide between colonial medical history and literary analysis, demonstrating how Jhabvala's environmental themes undermine imperial power.

**Keywords:** Ruth Praver Jhabvala, Tropical Invalidism, Climate, India

#### Öne Çıkanlar:

- Tropikal hastalık konusu postkolonyal edebiyat alanındaki edebî çalışmalara dikkate değer ölçüde katkı sağlayabilir.
- Ruth Praver Jhabvala'nın kurgusu Doğu-Batı ilişkisini Hindistan'a odaklanarak yansıtır.
- Onun romanı sömürgecilğe ait stereotiplere meydan okuyarak tropikal hastalar konusunu ele alır.

**Özet:** Ruth Praver Jhabvala'nın *Heat and Dust* (1975) adlı eseri, Hindistan'ın iklimini İngiliz sömürgeciliğine karşı bir direniş aracı olarak sunarak Avrupalı dayanıklılık anlatılarını sorgular. Bu makalede, tropikal hastalık (sömürgecilerin tropiklerde yaşadığı fiziksel ve zihinsel çöküş) kavramı, sömürge ideolojisinin kırılmasını ve emperyal yönetimin sürdürülemez doğasını ortaya koymak amacıyla

\* Öğr. Gör. Dr., Tekirdağ Namık Kemal Üniversitesi, Yabancı Diller Yüksekokulu, Tekirdağ, ckaragoz@nku.edu.tr, ORCID: 0000-0002-7564-3815

\*\* Öğr. Gör. Dr., İstanbul Üniversitesi, Yabancı Diller Yüksekokulu, İstanbul, ersoygumus@yahoo.com.tr, ORCID: 0000-0002-3919-336X

kullanılmaktadır. Irk temelli tıp ve sömürge hastalıklarına dair teorik çerçeveden yararlanan bu çalışma, Jhabvala'nın hastalık, sıcak ve toz gibi çevresel imgeleri Avrupalı uyum kabiliyeti mitlerini çürütmek için nasıl yeniden işlediğini göstermeyi amaçlamaktadır. Hindistan'ın iklimi karşısında zayıflayan bedenleriyle Olivia, Douglas ve Chid gibi karakterler, sömürgeci gücün paradoksunu temsil eder ve ırksal/kültürel üstünlük iddialarını temelden sarsar. Romanın sıklıkla sığınak olarak idealize edilen yayla istasyonları (*hill stations*) bile yerleşimcilerin gerilemesini durduramaz, böylece kaçışın anlamsızlığını daha da belirginleştirir. *Heat and Dust*, tropikal hastalık temasını merkeze alarak yalnızca sömürgeci anlatıları sorgulamakla kalmaz, aynı zamanda Hindistan'ın ekolojisini bir direniş mekanizması olarak yeniden yorumlar. Bu araştırma, edebî analiz ile sömürge tıp tarihi arasındaki boşluğu kapatarak, Jhabvala'nın çevresel temalarının emperyal gücü nasıl baltaladığını gösteren yeni bir postkolonyal çalışma perspektifi sunmaktadır.

**Anahtar Kelimeler:** Ruth Praver Jhabvala, tropical hastalık, iklim, Hindistan

**Genişletilmiş Özet:** Ruth Praver Jhabvala'nın *Heat and Dust* adlı romanı, sömürgecilik, kültürel yabancılaşma ve Hindistan'ın baskıcı iklimi arasındaki karmaşık ilişkiyi ele alarak tropikal hastalık kavramını merkezine alır. Roman, 1920'lerin sömürge Hindistan'ında yaşayan Olivia ile onun 1970'lerde izinden giden üvey torununun paralel anlatıları üzerinden, Batılıların Hindistan'ın kültürel ve çevresel koşullarına uyum sağlama konusundaki süregelen mücadelesini gözler önüne serer. İki kadın arasındaki deneyimsel paralellikler, Hindistan'ın Batılılar için hem büyüleyici hem de yıkıcı olabileceğini gösterirken, tropikal hastalık teması, sömürgecilerin fiziksel ve psikolojik kırılganlıklarını ortaya koyar.

Makale, romanda öne çıkan tropikal hastalık ve iklimin etkileri üzerinden sömürge deneyiminin sürdürülemezliğini analiz eder. *Heat and Dust*'ta Hindistan'ın aşırı sıcakları, yoğun tozu ve salgın hastalıkları, Batılı karakterlerin sağlığını ve ruhsal dengelerini bozan temel unsurlar olarak öne çıkar. Jhabvala'nın Olivia, Douglas, Harry ve Chid gibi karakterleri, iklimin yalnızca bir doğal unsur olmadığını, aynı zamanda sömürgecilerin güçsüzlüğünü açığa çıkaran bir araç olarak işlev gördüğünü gösterir. Bu karakterlerin sürekli hastalanması, Simla gibi tepe istasyonlarına kaçmaları ve nihayetinde Hindistan'dan çekilmeleri, Batı'nın sömürgecilik projesinin doğasında var olan kırılganlıkları ortaya koyar.

Romanda, Hindistan'ın sıcak ve tozlu atmosferi yalnızca fiziksel bir engel değil, aynı zamanda psikolojik bir baskı unsuru olarak da işlev görür. Olivia'nın Hindistan'daki varoluşu, giderek artan bir huzursuzlukla şekillenir ve onun hastalık korkusu, yalnızca bedensel bir tehdit değil, aynı zamanda zihinsel bir çöküşün de habercisidir. Olivia'nın deneyimleri, Avrupalıların Hindistan'a hâkim olma çabalarının aslında ne kadar nafîle olduğunu gözler önüne serer. Onun giderek artan kaygıları ve fiziksel rahatsızlıkları, Batılıların bu coğrafyada sürdürülebilir bir yaşam kurmasının neredeyse imkânsız olduğunu vurgular.

Makale ayrıca, Avrupa tıbbının tropikal hastalıklar konusundaki yaklaşımlarına da değinerek, Batılıların Hindistan'ı egzotik ve tehlikeli bir yer olarak algılamalarının altını çizer. Sömürgecilerin hastalık korkusu, yalnızca fiziksel bir endişe değil, aynı zamanda Hindistan'ı tehditkâr ve kontrol edilemez bir yer olarak görmelerinin bir yansımasıdır. Bu bağlamda, romanda tropikal hastalık yalnızca biyolojik bir mesele değil, aynı zamanda sömürgeciliğin temel çelişkilerini açığa çıkaran bir sembol olarak işlev görür. Avrupa'nın modern tıp anlayışı, Hindistan'ı denetim altına alma girişimlerinden biri olsa da, Jhabvala'nın anlatımı, doğanın ve yerel koşulların Batılıların tahayyül ettiği gibi yönetilebilir olmadığını gösterir.

Romanda Simla gibi tepe istasyonları, Batılı karakterler için geçici bir sığınak işlevi görür. Douglas ve diğer Avrupalı karakterler, Hindistan'ın sıcak ve boğucu atmosferinden kaçarak bu istasyonlara sığınmayı bir çözüm olarak görürler. Ancak bu kaçış bile onların Hindistan'ın doğal koşullarına karşı ne kadar savunmasız olduklarını vurgular. Hill istasyonları, yalnızca fiziksel bir kaçış noktası değil, aynı zamanda sömürgecilerin kendi güçsüzlükleriyle yüzleştiği alanlar olarak işlev görür.

Ayrıca, romanda hastalık ve iklimin etkileri yalnızca bireysel bir deneyim olarak kalmaz, aynı zamanda Batılıların Hindistan'daki varlıklarının doğasına dair derin bir metafor oluşturur. Avrupa'nın Hindistan'a olan bakışı, bir yandan onu fethedilmesi gereken bir alan olarak görürken, diğer yandan

buranın Batılı bedenler için ölümcül olabileceğini fark eder. Jhabvala, Batılı karakterlerin tropikal hastalıklarla olan mücadelesini yalnızca fiziksel bir problem olarak değil, aynı zamanda kültürel ve ideolojik bir sorun olarak ele alır.

Sonuç olarak, *Heat and Dust*, tropikal hastalık kavramı aracılığıyla Batılı yerleşimcilerin Hindistan'da yaşadığı çöküşü ve sömürgeciliğin sürdürülemez doğasını eleştirel bir bakış açısıyla değerlendirir. Roman,

Hindistan'ın doğasının Batılıların hayal ettiği gibi kontrol edilebilir olmadığını ve bu coğrafyada sürdürülebilir bir Avrupalı varlığının mümkün olmadığını vurgular. Jhabvala'nın anlatımı, sömürgeciliğin yalnızca politik ve ekonomik bir güç gösterisi değil, aynı zamanda insan doğasına ve çevresine dair derin bir yanlılığı barındırdığını gözler önüne serer. Böylece, *Heat and Dust*, Batılıların Hindistan'da karşılaştığı zorlukları yalnızca bir tarihi mesele olarak değil, aynı zamanda sömürgeciliğin doğasına dair kalıcı bir sorgulama olarak ele alır.

### Introduction

Ruth Praver Jhabvala, born in Germany in 1927, experienced significant transitions throughout her life, both geographically and culturally. In 1939, as World War II loomed, her family emigrated to Britain, where she later became a British citizen in 1948. She pursued her academic interests at the University of London, earning a MA in English Literature in 1951. In the same year, she wed C.S.H. Jhabvala, an Indian architect, and moved to New Delhi, where she lived for 24 years. Over time, however, she grew progressively dissatisfied with life in India, saying, “Yes, something is wrong: I am not happy this way” (Jhabvala 1993, 15). Jhabvala ultimately relocated to New York in 1975, subsequently obtaining U.S. citizenship.

Being a true “observer of other cultures” (Crane 1992, 1), Jhabvala reflects her cross-cultural experiences in her works. A central theme in Jhabvala's works is the tension between Eastern and Western cultures, a subject that is explored in depth in her Booker Prize-winning novel *Heat and Dust* (1975), which interweaves two parallel narratives set in India, examining themes of cultural collision, desire, and the passage of time. Campbell states that “Jhabvala uses the letter to achieve simultaneity, to superimpose one life on another. The unnamed narrator, the reader in the text, uses Olivia's letters (written fifty years earlier) to write two stories simultaneously, Olivia's and her own” (1995, 339). Thinking that Jhabvala overlooks the real picture of India, Sharma criticises the writer: “She concentrates on the high middle-class society, the society which cannot be representative of the Indian psyche. She knows little about the half a million villages where the India lives” (1976, 156). Despite such criticism, the novel achieves much in mirroring the ambiguous aspects of colonialism, drawing attention to the troubles and predicaments of English settlers in India.

The first narrative, set in the 1920s during British colonial rule, follows Olivia, a young Englishwoman married to Douglas, a civil servant. Feeling stifled by the rigid colonial society, Olivia becomes infatuated with the Nawab, a charming but enigmatic Indian prince. Their illicit affair ultimately leads to scandal and Olivia's exile from British society. The second narrative, set in the 1970s, centers on Olivia's step-granddaughter, an unnamed narrator, who travels to India to uncover Olivia's story. As she retraces Olivia's steps, she immerses herself in the vibrant yet challenging Indian landscape, encountering characters who mirror the complexities of modern and colonial India. Through her exploration, she gains insight into both Olivia's choices and her own life. Jhabvala masterfully contrasts the two women's experiences, highlighting the enduring allure of India's beauty and chaos while exposing the consequences of cultural misunderstandings.

Among the many themes explored in the novel, the motif of tropical or climatic invalidism

emerges as a potent symbol of physical, psychological, and cultural vulnerability experienced by European settlers in India. Thus, this article delves into how the concept of climatic invalidism operates within the novel, serving as a reflection of the colonial psyche, a metaphor for cultural dislocation, and a critique of imperialist attitudes. Although postcolonial studies have analyzed *Heat and Dust* from several perspectives mentioned above, little is known about the novel's use of tropical invalidism as a critique of imperial ideology. By portraying the climate as an active agent of anti-imperial resistance, this paper argues that Jhabvala's portrayal of tropical invalidism in *Heat and Dust* may expose the unsustainable nature of British rule in India and challenge colonial narratives of European superiority and resilience. Drawing on the framework of colonial disease, this analysis examines how Jhabvala reframes tropical invalidism to deconstruct myths of Europeans' adaptation to an Oriental land.

### **Tropical Invalidism**

Colonialist discourses were built upon racial hierarchies that positioned whites as superior to blacks. However, these arguments were not confined solely to racial distinctions. Besides racist discourses, "landscape, flora and fauna, seasons, climatic conditions" were thought to be entirely different from "the place of origin as home/colony, Europe/New World, Europe/Antipodes, metropolitan/provincial, and so on" (Ashcroft *et al.* 2004, 11). The crucial distinction between the Orient and the imperial centres sprang from the "climate," especially "heat and humidity" of the tropical land and their effect on the "production of disease" (Naraindas 1996, 3). In contrast to the European climate, which is often characterized by cloudy skies, cold winter days, and snowfall, the intense sunlight and high humidity of the tropics result in a stifling and oppressive environment for the colonizer. "In the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries," "the impact of foreign climates on colonists" and "the eradication of diseases that afflicted both colonists and the indigenous population" were the main issues that "European doctors and medical professionals working in and on the colonies" were involved in (Rogers 2012, 26). What nature in tropical lands usually reflects is that "the water in marshes, ditches, or standing pools has become putrid as animal and vegetable matter decays under the heat of a tropical sun, producing poisons that are absorbed into the air, which reaches a high level of toxicity" (Bewell 1999, 38). Europeans were often considered as "victims to the intemperance of foreign climates," and "nineteen in twenty have been cut off by fevers and fluxes: these being the prevailing and fatal diseases in unhealthy countries through all parts of the world" (Lind 1788, 8-9). Hence, a menacing and intimidating atmosphere, veiling deadly diseases, is a distinctive characteristic of the tropical climate.

The concept of tropical invalidism, which represents the health-related aspect of the colonial period, has largely remained an underexplored subject in literary circles. Defining "tropical invalids" as "figures of colonial return," Bewell argues: "In these people, the British saw not only the extent of their involvement in colonial activity but also its negative effect on their constitutions. The colonial disease was not therefore something that existed 'over there'" (Bewell 1999, 13). Unable to sustain "the physiological capacity to adapt to a tropical region" and "his native constitution," "the 'climate-struck' tropical invalid discovers that he inhabits a body fit for" neither England nor tropical environment, and thus having to experience "an epidemiological limbo, a permanent state of maladaptation and continued suffering" (Bewell 1999, 284-285). In addition to physical suffering, tropical invalids likely endure a state of trauma and sorrow due to disruptions in bodily functions. The accumulation of material wealth from the colonies and the prestige associated with roles as administrators, soldiers, and researchers seldom offered respite from their physical and psychological distress. Johnson and Martin discuss that it is truly

distressing to witness men who have returned from “a tropical climate” wandering the “streets of London” or attending places of entertainment on the damp, chilly “evenings of winter” (1841, 532-533). Their persistent cough, gaunt appearance, and pallid, discoloured complexion unmistakably reveal a fragile pulmonary condition, one that is ill-suited to endure the harsh fluctuations of the northern climate (Johnson & Martin 1841, 533). All their efforts to find relief through a change of environment or engaging in recreational activities often yield no results. Plagued by persistent, intrusive thoughts about their deteriorating health and its potential consequences, they gradually lose their self-confidence and the sense of pride once associated with their participation in the colonial enterprise.

Rather than being only “a physical mismatch between the white male’s refined mental apparatus and an alien, depleting climate,” the main trouble becomes “a personal maladaptation to civilized social life” (Anderson 2006, 155). Subjected to “changes in his moral and physical nature but little considered or understood by his kinsmen and countrymen in general,” the tropical invalid “finds himself in the middle or more advanced life, differing in habits, associations, and pursuits, from those around him; his nearest relatives departed, and he an invalid and a stranger in the land of his birth” (Martin 1856, 450). The invalid’s body weakened and ravaged by the tropical climate and disease, leads to a profound sense of frustration and pity in his social life. Already severely afflicted by his deteriorating health, he further grapples with a life marked by isolation, where he is perceived as an outsider and met with a lack of empathy from those around him.

The perception that “the tropics were dangerous fever nests, probably uninhabitable by Europeans for any prolonged period, greatly complicated the fact of their increasing economic and imperial importance” (Edmond 2005, 177). Bewell underlines the ambivalent side of colonial activity: “European medicine addressed fundamental questions about the relation between biology and colonialism, seeing in these ruined bodies a dark allegory of imperial ambition and its limits” (Bewell 1999, 279). The cases of tropical invalids cast doubt on the long-term sustainability of colonialism; that is, it was increasingly questioned whether the exploitation that led to financial gains justified the risk to the lives of Europeans settling in the colonies.

### **Tropical Invalidism in Heat and Dust**

In *Heat and Dust*, climatic invalidism reflects the European perception of colonized lands as exotic, hostile, and inherently incompatible with their own ways of life. For many settlers, the unfamiliar climate of India – marked by oppressive heat, relentless dust, and monsoonal extremes – was viewed as an extension of the wild and untamed nature of the colonized world, a place that resisted their control and order. This perception reinforced a sense of cultural and physical superiority yet paradoxically exposed their vulnerability and inability to adapt to the land they sought to dominate. The climate, unforgiving and indifferent to European presence, acted as a natural barrier, highlighting their fragility in a space that seemed alien and insurmountable. Such conditions exacerbated feelings of isolation and alienation, further emphasizing their detachment from the people and environment they colonized. Tropical invalidism, therefore, emerges as both a literal condition of physical decline and a metaphorical representation of the settlers' failure to reconcile themselves with the realities of a land that continually defied their attempts at assimilation and control. In other words, in the novel, Jhabvala presents a stark depiction of the tropical climate and unaccommodating landscape, illustrating its detrimental impact on both the physical and psychological well-being of the characters. The extreme weather conditions compel them to focus intensely on safeguarding their physical and mental health and to shape their daily routines around the demands of the climate. The environment oscillates between severe extremes and renders European characters like Olivia, Douglas, Harry, Chid, and even the unnamed

narrator vulnerable to both physical and psychological decline, effectively transforming them into tropical invalids.

From the outset, Jhabvala's descriptive prose immerses readers in the oppressive atmosphere of colonial India, where the climate serves as a constant antagonist to the European characters. Early in the narrative, the unnamed narrator vividly illustrates the harsh and unrelenting nature of the Indian environment: "Once a town is left behind, there is nothing till the next one except flat land, broiling sky, distances, and dust" (Jhabvala 1987, 11). The vast, barren landscape – dominated by oppressive heat and dust – emphasizes not only physical discomfort but also psychological strain. This bolsters the idea that adaptation to an environment is deeply rooted in one's place of origin, as Crozier asserts that "races were naturally best suited to the climatic and topographical features of the places in which they had been born and raised" (2007, 403). Thus, the European settlers, unaccustomed to India's extreme conditions, find themselves increasingly vulnerable as the scorching sky magnifies the sun's punishing force, while the endless dust and isolation deepen their sense of alienation. Over time, this relentless climate erodes both their physical endurance and mental resilience, leaving them susceptible to illness and despair. Ultimately, from the very beginning of the novel, the oppressive climate emerges as a force that strips the colonizers of their illusions of dominance, reducing them to frail figures struggling against an unforgiving land.

Moreover, the oppressive heat and dust in the novel functions as a restrictive force that confines and debilitates the colonial body, undermining the physical and psychological autonomy of European settlers. Unlike the indigenous population, who "appeared to have an inherent immunity" (Mahony & Endfield 2018, 3) against the harsh climate, the colonizers experience heat as an inescapable constraint that dictates their movements, limits their activities, and enforces a state of lethargy. The relentless sun saps their energy, rendering them physically weak and mentally disoriented, as seen in Douglas and Olivia's struggle to maintain their routines amidst the suffocating temperatures. This dynamic aligns with Alan Bewell's assertion that "colonial soils, in their unimproved state, were considered dangerous, and the first effect of colonial contact was to open them to the heat of the sun" (1999, 41). The heat not only weakens them but also dictates their daily schedules, as illustrated by Douglas's routine: "He always left very early so as to be able to ride out on inspection before the sun got too hot" (Jhabvala 1987, 116). His attempts to avoid the midday heat highlight the settlers' dependence on strategies of evasion rather than adaptation, emphasizing their inability to fully acclimate. At the same time, the heat imposes spatial restrictions, forcing Europeans indoors during the hottest hours of the day and reinforcing a sense of confinement within their colonial residences. This is particularly evident in Olivia's case, as she is depicted as entirely isolated once Douglas departs for work because during "the rest of the time Olivia was alone in her big house with all the doors and windows shut to keep out the heat and dust. She read, and played the piano, but the days were long, very long" (Jhabvala 1987, 14). The closed doors and windows reflect not only a physical barrier against the climate but also a symbolic detachment from the world outside, reducing Olivia to passivity. Her confinement exemplifies the broader colonial experience, in which the environment, rather than being controlled, ultimately asserts dominance over the colonizers.

However, even when Olivia steps outside, she remains overwhelmed by the environment, illustrating that confinement offers little relief from the suffocating nature of the land. Her excursion with the Nawab serves as a moment of disillusionment, as the natural landscape proves just as inhospitable as the oppressive interiors she seeks to escape. Despite the Nawab's attempts to ease her passage, Olivia struggles: "She still got scratched by thorns, and also some insects were

biting her; her straw hat had slipped to one side, and she was very hot and near to tears” (Jhabvala 1987, 42). The scene underscores Olivia’s physical vulnerability and the incompatibility of her colonial body with the tropical landscape, reinforcing her status as an outsider. The Nawab’s remark – “Was it very horrid for you? Yes, very horrid – oh our nasty Indian climate!” (Jhabvala 1987, 43) – further highlights the irony of European presence in India. While the British aimed to assert dominance over the land, the climate itself resisted their presence, reducing them to frail figures incapable of adaptation. Olivia’s suffering becomes emblematic of the broader colonial failure to inhabit and control the environment they claimed truly. Thus, the oppressive climate, particularly heat, does not merely confine European settlers but actively dismantles their illusion of control, exposing their fragility. Whether inside their residences or venturing outside, they remain at the mercy of a land that continually asserts its dominance, rendering them passive and helpless in its wake.

Another significant effect of the Indian climate on European settlers, particularly on Olivia and Douglas, is its detrimental impact on their psychological well-being, as it functions not merely as a backdrop but as a central, almost antagonistic force. Olivia, in particular, undergoes a profound transformation as she struggles to cope with the relentless heat and dust. Her increasing emotional instability, irritability, and restlessness are all closely tied to the environmental conditions she inhabits. This connection is explicitly acknowledged by Douglas when he says, “Because the climate is making you irritable” (Jhabvala 1987, 40). His remark is significant not only for its diagnostic tone but also for its implicit recognition of the climate as an active agent of psychological strain. Furthermore, by adding, “[t]hat’s only natural, it happens to all of us” (Jhabvala 1987, 40), Douglas highlights the shared colonial experience in which Westerners, regardless of their individual temperaments, ultimately succumb to the overwhelming force of their surroundings. In other words, his observation underscores the inescapable psychological strain imposed by India’s harsh climate, even on those who attempt to endure or rationalize its effects. The psychological toll of the climate is further exemplified through Olivia’s fluctuating emotional states, which oscillate between lethargy and manic excitement. When Douglas returns home one evening, he finds her in an unusual condition:

*That evening Douglas found Olivia not as usual half in tears with boredom and fatigue but so excited that for a moment he feared she had a fever. He put his hand on her brow: he had seen a lot of Indian fevers.* (Jhabvala 1987, 19)

The obvious disparity between Olivia’s typical emotional exhaustion and her sudden, almost feverish excitement suggests that the oppressive environment is gradually distorting her sense of self. Douglas’s immediate concern that she might have a fever further illustrates the entanglement of psychological distress and physical illness within the colonial context. His reaction also reflects a broader colonial anxiety – the pervasive fear that prolonged exposure to the Indian climate can induce both literal sickness and psychological deterioration, affecting not only the body but also the mind.

Moreover, Douglas, though portrayed as more composed and resilient than Olivia, is not immune to the psychological toll exacted by the relentless heat and dust. His frustration surfaces in a moment of uncharacteristic vulnerability when he exclaims, “I stayed in my room all day yesterday and this morning. What else can you do in this hideous terrible heat. Have you looked outside? Have you seen what it’s like? Once these dust storms start, they go on forever” (Jhabvala 1987, 71). This outburst is significant not only for its emotional intensity but also for its thematic implications. Douglas’s words convey a profound sense of entrapment and claustrophobia, as

though the climate itself functions as a prison, stripping individuals of their agency and sanity. In the same part, Douglas indicates that “[n]o wonder everyone goes mad” (Jhabvala 1987, 72), by which he underscores the idea that the environment is not merely an inconvenience but an active force of psychological destruction. This moment is pivotal in the narrative because it challenges the archetype of colonial resilience that Douglas otherwise embodies. Throughout the novel, he is depicted as a figure of duty and discipline, qualities that were central to the British colonial identity. Yet, here, his composure falters, revealing the limits of personal fortitude in the face of an overwhelming natural force. This vulnerability suggests that the climate of India operates as a leveling force, rendering even the most steadfast individuals susceptible to its destabilizing effects.

The Indian climate is not only characterized by extreme weather but also by the prevalence of tropical diseases, which reinforces its inhospitable nature for European settlers. The novel highlights illnesses such as dysentery, jaundice, ringworm, and fever as intrinsic to the environment, as the heat, humidity, and volatile weather patterns of the tropics created conditions where epidemics thrived, making these regions “notorious as breeding grounds for disease” (Senior 2018, 2). As such, the settlers’ fear of illness extended beyond immediate physical suffering to a broader existential dread – an awareness that prolonged exposure could lead to irreversible deterioration, as reflected in accounts of Europeans returning home “a sallow, yellow-coloured, emaciated invalid” (Bewell 1999, 213). In *Heat and Dust*, this terror manifests in the experiences of European characters who, despite their efforts to adapt, inevitably succumb to tropical ailments. That is, European characters consistently fall victim to illnesses that deplete their strength and limit their ability to function in India. The unnamed narrator’s conversation with a fellow traveler encapsulates this experience: “‘Why did you come?’ I asked her. ‘To find peace.’ She laughed grimly: ‘But all I found was dysentery’” (Jhabvala 1987, 21). This wry admission underscores how disease defines the European experience in India, reducing once-aspiring adventurers to incapacitated convalescents. The narrator further observes young travelers falling ill during their stay: “The young man had also been laid up with something that may have been jaundice (there was an epidemic); the girl had contracted ringworm” (Jhabvala 1987, 21). Jhabvala also highlights that illnesses caused by the Indian climate are not isolated cases but a widespread phenomenon among European settlers. Observing “but others are women and men, they have been here for years and every year they get worse. You see the state they’re in. They’re all sick, some of them dying” (Jhabvala 1987, 5), the unnamed narrator suggests a cumulative and inevitable decline in health, reinforcing the idea that the harsh climate universally affects foreigners. The mention of widespread sickness and even death further underscores that climatic invalidism is a collective experience rather than an individual affliction.

Jhabvala also underscores that the impact of the Indian climate on European settlers’ health may not be temporary but can have long-lasting and destructive consequences. She writes, “Some diseases, even when cured, leave people so unsightly that for the rest of their lives they have to move among their fellows as living examples of all the terrible things that can happen to a man” (Jhabvala 1987, 79), highlighting how illness, particularly when tied to environmental conditions, leaves enduring traces that persist well beyond recovery. Rather than being a fleeting hardship, disease in such a climate often leaves a permanent imprint on the body, serving as a testament to the region’s severity. The physical remnants of sickness are not merely scars but lasting evidence of the climate’s power to weaken and transform those subjected to it. Thus, Jhabvala suggests that European settlers afflicted by the climate do not simply recover and move on but instead bear its effects for the rest of their lives. Their altered appearance becomes a visible warning of the environment’s unforgiving nature, demonstrating that its toll is not easily erased. This challenges

the notion that illness in the tropics is a temporary affliction; rather, it can fundamentally reshape an individual's existence, both physically and socially. In short, such moments vividly illustrate how the Indian climate debilitates European settlers, reducing them to weak and ailing figures whose bodies fail to acclimatize to the land they sought to rule.

Jhabvala further emphasizes how the Indian climate leads to inevitable deterioration, rendering the European settlers invalid by portraying different characters. Chid, a British man who embraces an ascetic lifestyle in India, becomes one of the most extreme examples of tropical invalidism: "Chid did not hear these questions; he was looking at me with pleading, fevered eyes" (Jhabvala 1987, 62). His physical decline mirrors historical accounts of colonial settlers who returned home "with his liver sadly damaged, his mental energies and nervous system much enfeebled" (Bewell 1999, 213). The narrator's growing uncertainty about the exact nature of Chid's illness further underscores the detrimental effects of the Indian climate:

*I don't even know what's wrong with him physically, what disease it is he has got. I asked Dr. Gopal and he couldn't tell me very clearly either. There is something wrong with Chid's liver and something else with his kidneys and altogether his insides are in a terrible state* (Jhabvala 1987, 158).

This vagueness suggests that his affliction is not a singular, diagnosable disease but rather a general collapse of his bodily systems – an outcome frequently attributed to prolonged exposure to the oppressive heat and humidity of the tropics. This predictable trajectory – where settlers arrive in robust health only to be reduced to shadows of their former selves – was widely acknowledged in nineteenth-century medical discourse, which warned that "tropical heat and humidity produce marked changes in body-function which exert an effect adverse to the health and existence of all but the native-born" (Anderson 2006, 40). Chid's irreversible decline highlights how the Indian climate does not merely pose a temporary challenge but actively dismantles the European body from within, rendering it incapable of adapting or recovering.

Harry is another British character in the novel whose frailty exemplifies how the relentless Indian climate transforms Europeans into invalids, leaving them depleted. From the outset, he is described as unable to endure the oppressive heat: "Harry was not keeping good health. He said he could not stand the heat" (Jhabvala 1987, 101). His persistent ailments reflect broader colonial anxieties about the white body's vulnerability in the tropics, reinforcing the belief that "residence in hot climates, under circumstances of ordinary life, has an adverse effect on the white race" (Anderson 2006, 41). His suffering is most evident in his complaint, "'Gosh but I feel ill. Awful.'" When asked if it is his stomach, he concedes, "'That too. And this dashed, dashed heat'" (Jhabvala 1987, 103). His exasperated repetition underscores how heat itself becomes an oppressive force, an adversary he cannot escape. Even when he seeks refuge indoors – "'It's cool in here. It's lovely.' 'But outside, outside!'" (Jhabvala 1987, 103) – he remains overwhelmed by the reality of the climate's inescapable grasp. His instinctive reaction – shutting his eyes, as though blocking out his surroundings – suggests an exhaustion both physical and psychological, mirroring historical accounts of colonial settlers who "dropped down in simple heat exhaustion" (Anderson 1985, 33). To put it simply, Harry's decline fits into a well-documented colonial trajectory in which Europeans, lured by imperial ambition, found themselves undone by the very environment they sought to dominate. As one account laments, "How many young and active men, potential empire builders, seek the tropics for a career and instead, owing to acute malaria, find there a grave!" (Taylor-Pirie 2022, 230). While malaria is not explicitly mentioned in Harry's case, his chronic ill-health and inability to function validate the idea that the climate itself acts as a debilitating force,

gradually dismantling the colonial body. This aligns with the growing colonial belief that the tropics were “dangerous fever nests, probably uninhabitable by Europeans for any prolonged period” (Edmond 2005, 177), exposing the fundamental contradiction of empire: while India was economically and strategically vital, its climate made long-term European settlement unsustainable. Harry, like many before him, does not simply suffer from a passing sickness – he becomes another casualty of a hostile climate, his frailty highlighting the limits of colonial endurance.

Olivia’s fear of disease, however, transcends mere physical concern, evolving into a profound psychological burden that shapes her desires too, particularly her longing for motherhood. Her acute awareness of the rampant illnesses in colonial India, symbolized by the graveyard filled with infants who succumbed to smallpox, cholera, and enteric fever, instills in her a persistent anxiety that extends beyond her own health to the potential life she hopes to nurture. This fear is not just a passive worry but a paralyzing force that infiltrates her psyche, as she acknowledges that her inability to conceive may stem from the overwhelming terror of disease: “sometimes she thought it might be due to psychological reasons – because she had been so frightened by all the little babies in the graveyard, dead of smallpox, dead of cholera, dead of enteric fever” (Jhabvala 1987, 105). Rod Edmond, discussing diseases in tropical climates and their devastating consequences, explains how these diseases go beyond being merely a source of fear, stating that “disease was both a worry in itself and a way of figuring other kinds of anxiety” (2005, 192). Olivia internalizes these anxieties, her fear of illness merging with her longing for pregnancy in a way that both reflects and intensifies her psychological distress. The very environment she inhabits seems inherently hostile to life, turning her desire for motherhood into a site of deep emotional and existential turmoil. Ultimately, Olivia’s anxieties align with broader colonial narratives that frame India as both alluring and dangerous, contributing to her growing alienation and physical decline.

Unable to acclimatize, Olivia experiences an increasing sense of collapse with each passing day. In one of her frequent arguments with Douglas, she acknowledges her emotional breakdown after an outburst, admitting, “I don’t know what’s wrong with me” (Jhabvala 1987, 117). Douglas attributes her deterioration to the oppressive climate, stating, “I told you: it’s the heat. No Englishwoman is meant to stand it” (Jhabvala 1987, 117), thus highlighting the fundamental issue affecting all European characters. At this point, the concept of hill stations in British India gains significance. As Kanwar describes, “Indian hill stations have often been portrayed as islands of European settlement, providing colonists with a retreat, both from the heat and the native culture of the plains” (1984, 215), turning these settlements into vital refuges where Europeans could escape the destructive effects of the Indian climate and preserve their well-being. More specifically, Indian hill stations were strategically developed during British colonial rule as havens where European settlers could find relief from the oppressive heat and perceived health risks of the Indian plains. These high-altitude settlements, such as Darjeeling, Ooty, and Simla – the latest of which holds thematic significance in *Heat and Dust* – offered cooler temperatures, cleaner air, and a landscape often likened to the European countryside. In the novel, Douglas believes that such climatic relief is essential for Olivia, remarking, “You should have gone to Simla. The heat is getting you down” (Jhabvala 1987, 108). Beyond their practical function as a refuge from the heat, hill stations also allowed colonists to maintain their customs and lifestyles while minimizing interaction with the Indian populace, whom they associated with disease and degeneration. As a consequence, it is not only Douglas, who believes that going to Simla will help Olivia, but also Harry as he says “you shouldn’t be here through the summer, Olivia. It’s unbearable” (Jhabvala 1987, 73). Initially opposed to going to Simla, mainly to avoid leaving

Douglas alone, Olivia eventually accepts the idea in hopes of recovering her deteriorating mental state and regaining her physical strength and she decides to go Simla with other European settlers, as described “She made up her mind that, if they had left, she would go too. She would tell Douglas that she could not stand the heat and must leave for the mountains immediately” (Jhabvala 1987, 146). Olivia’s decision to leave for Simla reflects not only her personal struggle with the Indian climate but also the broader colonial reliance on hill stations as a means of preservation and escape from the heat. Her physical and psychological decline underscores the deep-seated fears Europeans held about the tropics, where the environment was perceived as both oppressive and destabilizing. In seeking refuge in the mountains, Olivia follows a well-trodden colonial path, one that underpins the notion that survival in India necessitates distance – both from its climate and its people.

### Conclusion

Jhabvala’s focus on tropical invalidism not only challenges colonial arrogance but also reinterprets India’s climate as a place of subversion, one that defies European dominance by being inhospitable. This study offers a fresh perspective on postcolonial literature’s engagement with ecology and power by illustrating how the novel’s environmental imagery subverts colonial hierarchies, challenging popular readings of *Heat and Dust*.

Ruth Praver Jhabvala’s *Heat and Dust* intricately weaves themes of colonial tension, cultural alienation, and the overwhelming force of the Indian climate to depict the frailty of European settlers in an environment they sought to dominate. Through the lens of tropical invalidism, the novel highlights the deep-seated anxieties and vulnerabilities that Westerners faced in colonial India. Jhabvala’s evocative portrayal of characters such as Olivia, Douglas, Harry, Chid, and the unnamed narrator illustrates how the oppressive heat and dust of India not only affect the physical health of the colonizers but also deeply impact their psychological well-being, shaping their identities and decisions in profound ways.

The novel highlights how the colonial enterprise, despite its aspirations of dominance, was inherently fraught with contradictions. European settlers, who viewed themselves as superior and civilizing agents, often found themselves at the mercy of an unforgiving climate that exposed their weaknesses. Their inability to adapt to the relentless heat, the prevalence of disease, and the alienating cultural landscape rendered them helpless, ultimately questioning the very legitimacy of their presence in India. Olivia’s struggles with confinement, her longing for motherhood, and her descent into emotional instability serve as a microcosm of the broader colonial experience – one marked by disillusionment, isolation, and an eventual withdrawal from the land they sought to control.

Moreover, the motif of tropical invalidism extends beyond individual suffering to a broader critique of imperialist ideology. Jhabvala’s narrative suggests that the European body, despite its claims to superiority, is fundamentally unfit for prolonged exposure to the Indian environment. The settlers’ reliance on hill stations such as Simla, their frequent illnesses, and their psychological distress reinforce the idea that colonialism was not only an exploitative endeavour but also a deeply unsustainable one. The fact that many European characters succumb to illness or retreat from India entirely emphasizes the impermanence and ultimate fragility of colonial rule.

Furthermore, the novel’s exploration of colonial medicine and European perceptions of the tropics as inherently diseased spaces adds another layer to its critique of imperialism. The association of India with sickness, both literal and metaphorical, reveals the deep-seated fears harboured by colonial settlers – fears that extended beyond the physical environment to anxieties about racial

and cultural boundaries. This preoccupation with health and survival underscores the precariousness of the colonial project, suggesting that the European presence in India was never as stable or assured as imperial narratives claimed.

In sum, *Heat and Dust* masterfully deconstructs the myths of colonial resilience and superiority, exposing the vulnerabilities that lay beneath the façade of British rule. Through its depiction of climatic invalidism, the novel challenges the notion of European invincibility, portraying colonialism as an enterprise doomed by its own contradictions. Jhabvala's nuanced storytelling invites readers to reconsider the colonial experience, not as a narrative of dominance, but as one of inevitable decline, where the environment itself emerges as a powerful force resisting foreign control. Ultimately, the novel leaves us with a haunting meditation on the limits of cultural assimilation, the inescapability of historical patterns, and the enduring complexities of East-West relations.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anderson W. 2006, *Colonial pathologies: American tropical medicine, race, and hygiene in the Philippines*. Duke University Press.
- Ashcroft B., Griffiths G. and Tiffin, H. 2004, *The Empire Writes Back: Theory and Practice in Post-colonial Literature*. Taylor & Francis e-Library.
- Bewell A. 1999, *Romanticism and colonial disease*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Campbell E. 1995, Re-Visions, Re-Flections, Re-Creations: Epistolarity in Novels by Contemporary Women. *Twentieth Century Literature*, 41(3), 332–348. <https://doi.org/10.2307/441856>
- Crane R. J. 1992, Ruth Praver Jhabvala. Twayne Publishers.
- Crozier A. 2007, Sensationalising Africa: British medical impressions of Sub-Saharan Africa, 1890–1939. *The Journal of Imperial and Commonwealth History*, 35(3), 393–415. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03086530701523401>
- Edmond R. 2005, Returning fears: Tropical disease and the metropolis. In F. Driver & L. Martins (Eds.), *Tropical visions in an age of empire* (pp. 175-194). University of Chicago Press.
- Jhabvala R. P. 1987, *Heat and Dust*. Fireside.
- Jhabvala R. P. 1993, *Out of India: Selected stories*. HarperCollins.
- Johnson J. & Martin, J. R. 1841, *Observations on the Diseases and Regimen of Invalids on Their Return from Hot and Unhealthy Climates*. London: S. Highley.
- Kanwar P. 1984, The changing profile of the summer capital of British India: Simla 1864–1947. *Modern Asian Studies*, 18(2), 215–236.
- Lind J. 1788, *An essay on diseases incidental to Europeans in hot climates. With the method of preventing their fatal consequences* (4th ed.). London: Murray.
- Mahony M., & Endfield G.H. 2018, Climate and colonialism. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 9(2), e510. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.510>
- Martin J. R. 1856, *The Influence of Tropical Climates on European Constitutions, Including Practical Observations on the Nature and Treatment of the Diseases of Europeans on Their Return from Tropical Climates*. London: Churchill.
- Naraindas H. 1996, Poisons, putrescence and the weather: A genealogy of the advent of Tropical Medicine *Contributions to Indian Sociology* 30(1), 35. <https://doi.org/10.1177/006996679603000101>
- Rogers C. 2012, *Jungle Fever: Exploring Madness and Medicine in Twentieth-Century Tropical Narratives*. Vanderbilt University Press.
- Senior E. 2018, *The Caribbean and the medical imagination, 1764–1834: Slavery, disease, and colonial modernity*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108241977>
- Sharma A. R. 1976, Review of *Heat and Dust*, by R. P. Jhabvala. *Indian Literature*, 19(5), 153–157. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24157368>
- Taylor-Pirie E. 2022, Empire under the microscope: Parasitology and the British literary imagination, 1885–1935. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-84717-3>