DOI: https://doi.org/10.18621/eurj.1660420

Anesthesiology

Effect of prognostic nutritional index on hospital stay in patients undergoing metallic prosthetic mitral valve surgery

Hülya Tosun Söner¹, Serdar Söner², Meral Erdal Erbatur³, Mehmet Özbek⁴

¹Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, University of Health Sciences, Gazi Yaşargil Training and Research Hospital, Diyarbakır, Türkiye; ²Department of Cardiology, University of Health Sciences, Gazi Yaşargil Training and Research Hospital, Diyarbakır, Türkiye; ³Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Dicle University, Faculty of Medicine, Diyarbakır, Türkiye; ⁴Department of Cardiology, Dicle University, Faculty of Medicine, Diyarbakır, Türkiye

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Preoperative malnutrition has been associated with higher rates of morbidity and death, longer hospital admissions, and a worse quality of life after surgery. This study aimed to examine the association between the Prognostic Nutritional Index (PNI) and the duration of hospitalization, in-hospital, and 1-year all-cause mortality among patients having surgery on metallic prosthetic mitral valves.

Methods: The study retrospectively included 90 consecutive patients with metallic prosthetic mitral valve surgery at Dicle University Hospital between January 2021 and December 2023. Patients were split into two groups based on the median length of stay in the hospital (14 days). Those who stayed ≥14 days were included in the longer in-hospital stay group (53 patients), and those who stayed <14 days were included in the shorter in-hospital stay group (37 patients).

Results: The study included patients aged 52.3±15.2 years, 48.9% (n=44) female. Patients with longer hospital stays had wider left atrial diameters, lower albumin levels, and lower PNI values (34.7±6.1 vs. 38±4, P=0.002). Inotropic support was more frequent in this group (18.9% vs. 2.7%, P=0.021). ROC analysis identified PNI <37.2 as predictive of prolonged stays (AUC=0.653, P=0.014). Logistic regression analysis revealed significant associations between prolonged stays and inotropic support, left atrial diameter, albumin, CRP, and PNI values. PNI also predicted in-hospital and 1-year all-cause mortality.

Conclusions: PNI was associated with hospital stay duration, in-hospital, and 1-year all-cause mortality in patients undergoing metallic prosthetic mitral valve surgery. Incorporating PNI into routine preoperative evaluation may enhance perioperative management and outcomes.

Keywords: Prognostic nutritional index, length of hospital stay, prosthetic mitral valve surgery

paratively high risk of mortality and morbidity, even with new surgical procedures and recent technology improvements [1]. Preoperative anemia,

advanced age, coronary artery diameter, socioeconomic status, and left ventricular dysfunction are among the variables that affect mortality and morbidity rates [2-6]. To maximize patient outcomes, preop-

Received: March 18, 2025 Accepted: May 5, 2025 Available Online: June 12, 2025 Published: XX XX, 2025

How to cite this article: Tosun Söner H, Söner S, Erdal Erbatur M, Özbek M. Effect of prognostic nutritional index on hospital stay in patients undergoing metallic prosthetic mitral valve surgery. Eur Res J. 2025. doi: 10.18621/eurj.1660420

Corresponding author: Hülya Tosun Söner, MD., Phone: +90 412 258 00 60, E-mail: hulyatosunsoner@hotmail.com

© The Author(s). Published by Prusa Medical Publishing.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). Available at https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/eurj



erative examination is frequently carried out before elective surgery. In addition to determining a patient's functional ability, a comprehensive assessment often consists of a history and physical examination with an emphasis on risk factors for infectious, pulmonary, and cardiac problems. However, this preoperative evaluation frequently leaves out the patient's nutritional status.

It's also crucial to evaluate the dietary state of people having surgery. Preoperative malnutrition has been associated with higher rates of morbidity and death, longer hospital admissions, and a worse quality of life after surgery [7-9]. It negatively impacts several body systems, including the immunological, gastrointestinal, cardiovascular, and endocrine systems.

As a result, nutritional evaluation is rarely routinely included in preoperative screening, and there are currently no established methods for assessing the nutritional condition of patients having cardiac surgery. A simple prognosis tool, the prognostic nutritional index (PNI), was first created by Buzby *et al.* [10] and then revised by Onodera *et al.* [11]. In recent years, it has been associated with adverse outcomes in cardiovascular diseases [12-14].

The purpose of this study was to examine the association between PNI and the duration of hospitalization, in-hospital mortality, and 1-year all-cause mortality among patients having surgery on metallic prosthetic mitral valves.

METHODS

Our analysis retrospectively included 154 consecutive patients who had isolated prosthetic mitral valve surgery at Dicle University Faculty of Medicine Hospital between January 2021 and December 2023. Ninety patients in all were included in the analysis when the exclusion criteria were met. Fig. 1 displays the flowchart for patient enrollment. Based on the population's median hospital stay (14 days), patients were split into two groups. The longer in-hospital stay group (≥14 days) consisted of 53 patients, and the shorter in-hospital stay group (<14 days) consisted of 37 patients. Demographic, clinical, and laboratory characteristics of the patients were obtained from hospital records. An estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of less than 60 mL/min/1.73 m² for a minimum of three months [15] was considered chronic kidney disease (CKD). The 10th Revision Codes of the International Classification of Diseases were used to classify heart failure (HF), atrial fibrillation (AF), coronary artery diseases (CAD), type 2 diabetes (DM), and hypertension (HT).

To reduce the risk of thromboembolism, anticoagulant medication was carefully controlled before surgery, shifting patients from warfarin to low-molecular-weight or unfractionated heparin. Valve function was assessed by transthoracic or trans-




Fig. 1. Patient enrollment flowchart.

esophageal echocardiography, and perioperative stability was ensured by optimizing comorbidities.

Perioperative Anesthesia Management

After the patients were taken to the operating room, standard monitoring was performed (Electrocardiogram (ECG), pulse oximetry (SpO₂), and non-invasive blood pressure). Additionally, all patients received noninvasive brain function monitoring, regional oximetry, and hemodynamic monitoring (Masimo® Sedline®, Masimo Corporation, Irvine, California, USA).

Preoperative sedoanalgesia was provided with midazolam 1-2 mg IV (Zolamid®, Vem İlaç Sanayi ve Tic. A.Ş. Ankara, Turkey) or midazolam (1-2 mg IV) and fentanyl 1-2 μg/kg IV (Talinat®, Vem İlaç Sanayi ve Tic. A.Ş. Ankara, Turkey) according to the clinical status and vital signs. Radial artery cannulation was done for invasive arterial blood pressure measurement following sedoanalgesia. When radial artery cannulation fails, cannulation is performed from the femoral artery. For anesthesia induction, propofol 1-3 mg/kg IV (Propofol® 2% Fresenius®, Fresenius Kabi, Bad Hamburg, Germany) was used as general anesthetic, fentanyl (1-2 µg/kg IV) was used as analgesic, and rocuronium 0.6-0.9 mg/kg IV (Curon®, Mustafa Nevzat İlaç Sanayi A.Ş., Istanbul, Turkey) was used as neuromuscular blocker. In critically ill patients with low ejection fraction (EF), induction was performed with midazolam (0.1 mg/kg IV) and fentanyl (5 μ g/kg IV) instead of propofol. The patients were intubated and connected to mechanical ventilators. The right jugular vein was used for central venous catheterization. To maintain anesthesia, 2% sevoflurane (1 MAC, 50% O₂, and 50% air mixture) was used. All interventions during cardiopulmonary bypass were performed by the joint decision of the surgeon, anesthesiologist, and perfusion specialist. All surgery procedures applied in the entire region were managed according to the clinical protocol, and training was provided in a standard manner. Valve surgeries were performed by two different surgeons with similar skills and experience. The anesthesiologists working on the table where the cases were taken were two anesthesiologists with similar skills and experience.

Prioritizing hemodynamic management during surgery involves reducing myocardial depression. Transesophageal echocardiography, central venous access, and invasive arterial pressure were also monitored. Intravenous heparin was used to establish anticoagulation during cardiopulmonary bypass, with an ACT over 480 seconds. This was carefully reversed after the bypass to balance the hazards of thrombosis and hemorrhage. To preserve valve performance and avoid problems, anticoagulation was quickly begun after surgery while being closely monitored. Early mobilization and recovery were supported by customized pain management.

Assessing Nutritional Status

Using the PNI and the following formula, the patient's pre-surgery nutritional status was ascertained:

 $10 \times \text{serum albumin (g/Dl)} + 0.005 \times \text{total lymphocyte count (/mm}^3)$

While scores of 35–38 and <35 suggest moderate and severe malnutrition, a score of >38 is regarded as normal. For PNI, there is no "mild" category.

Statistical Analysis

The Statistical Package for Social Science for Windows (SPSS) 27 package tool was used to analyze the data. The Shapiro-Wilks test and histogram were used to confirm the data's normal distribution. Depending on the distribution, continuous parameters have been shown as median, interquartile range (IQR), or mean±SD. The chi-square test was used to compare groups of categorical variables, and categorical results were presented as percentages. The Mann-Whitney U test or Student t-test was used when comparing continuous variables. To determine the factors that contribute to longer hospital stays, univariable logistic regression analyses were conducted. The findings of the univariable logistic regression study were also displayed using a Forest-Plot graph. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to assess the connection between PNI and length of hospital stay. The statistical significance level of the gathered data was ascertained using the P-value. At P<0.05, statistics were considered significant.

RESULTS

The mean age of our patients was 52.3±15.2 years, and the female-male ratios were similar. The female-patient ratio was 48.9% (n=44). In the longer in-hospital

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the total population

| Parameters | Longer in- hospital stay (n=53) | Shorter in- hospital stay (n=37) | Total population (n=90) | P value |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---------|
| Age (years) | 54.1±15 | 49.6±15.2 | 52.3±15.2 | 0.165 |
| Female gender, n (%) | 28 (52.8) | 16 (43.2) | 44 (48.9) | 0.371 |
| LVEF (%) | 56.8±7.4 | 58.9 ± 5.4 | 57.6±6.7 | 0.147 |
| Mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mmHg) | 46±15.8 | 50±15.2 | 47.5±15.6 | 0.301 |
| GFR $(ml/dk/1.73 \text{ mm}^2)$ | 82.6 ± 35.2 | 90.6 ± 27.4 | 85.8 ± 32.3 | 0.255 |
| Left atrial diameter (cm) | 5.1±1.1 | 4.5±1 | 4.8 ± 1.1 | 0.027 |
| Hypertension, n (%) | 26 (49.1) | 15 (40.5) | 41 (45.6) | 0.425 |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%) | 8 (15.1) | 5 (13.5) | 13 (14.4) | 0.834 |
| Coronary artery disease, n (%) | 11 (20.8) | 5 (13.5) | 16 (17.8) | 0.377 |
| Cerebrovascular disease, n (%) | 4 (7.5) | 0 (0) | 4 (4.4) | 0.087 |
| Re-do operation, n (%) | 0 (0) | 1 (2.7) | 1 (1.1) | 0.229 |
| Heart failure, n (%) | 10 (18.9) | 7 (18.9) | 17 (18.9) | 0.995 |
| Pulmonary artery disease, n (%) | 9 (17.3) | 4 (10.8) | 13 (14.6) | 0.392 |
| Smoker, n (%) | 10 (18.9) | 5 (13.5) | 15 (16.7) | 0.502 |
| Inotropic support, n (%) | 10 (18.9) | 1 (2.7) | 11 (12.2) | 0.021 |
| Atrial fibrillation, n (%) | 9 (17) | 5 (13.5) | 14 (15.6) | 0.655 |
| In-hospital mortality, n (%) | 6 (11.3) | 5 (13.5) | 11 (12.2) | 0.755 |
| One-year mortality, n (%) | 12 (22.6) | 7 (18.9) | 19 (21.1) | 0.670 |
| Laboratory parameters | | | | |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL) | 13.4±1.1 | 13.3±1.2 | 13.3±1.2 | 0.839 |
| Albumin (mg/dL) | 3.5 ± 0.6 | 3.8 ± 0.4 | 3.6 ± 0.6 | 0.002 |
| Neutrophile ($\times 10^3/\mu$ L) | 5.4±1.7 | 5.4±2.1 | 5.4 ± 1.9 | 0.978 |
| Lymphocyte ($\times 10^3/\mu$ L) | 2.4 ± 0.9 | 2.2 ± 0.7 | 2.2 ± 0.8 | 0.786 |
| White blood cell ($\times 10^3/\mu L$) | 8.7±2 | 8.5±2.4 | 8.6 ± 2.2 | 0.634 |
| Platelet ($\times 10^3/\mu L$) | 232±76 | 245±78 | 237±76 | 0.464 |
| CRP (mg/dL) | 0.6 (2.1) | 1 (1.1) | 0.54 (1.2) | 0.041 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL) | 171±49 | 179±41 | 174±45 | 0.426 |
| PNI | 34.7±6.1 | 38±4 | 36±5.5 | 0.002 |
| Medications, n (%) | | | | |
| Acetyl salicylic acid | 22 (41.5) | 11 (29.7) | 33 (36.7) | 0.254 |
| Beta-blocker | 30 (56.6) | 19 (51.4) | 49 (54.4) | 0.623 |
| Statin, n (%) | 6 (11.3) | 1 (2.7) | 7 (7.8) | 0.133 |
| ACE-I or ARB | 20 (37.7) | 10 (27) | 30 (33.3) | 0.484 |
| Calcium channel blocker | 7 (13.2) | 7 (18.9) | 14 (15.6) | 0.462 |
| Vitamin K antagonist | 11 (20.8) | 6 (16.2) | 17 (18.9) | 0.588 |
| Non-vitamin K oral anticoagulant | 3 (5.7) | 1 (2.7) | 4 (4.4) | 0.503 |

Data are shown as mean±standard deviation or n (%).

ACE-I=Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, ARB=Aldosterone receptor blocker, GFR=Glomerular filtration rate, LVEF=Left ventricular ejection fraction, PNI=Prognostic nutritional index,

Table 2. Pearson correlation analysis of length of in-hospital stay and other parameters

| Parameters | Correlation coefficient | P value |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| PNI | -0.388 | 0.002 |
| Age | 0.176 | 0.172 |
| Left ventricular ejection fraction | -0.215 | 0.093 |
| Mean pulmonary artery pressure | -0.005 | 0.967 |
| Left atrial diameter | 0.070 | 0.588 |
| Lymphocyte | 0.002 | 0.991 |
| Monocyte | 0.152 | 0.239 |
| Glomerular filtration rate | 0.056 | 0.666 |
| Albumin | -0.388 | 0.002 |

PNI=Prognostic nutritional index

stay group, left atrial diameter (5.1±1.1 cm vs. 4.5±1 cm, P=0.027) was wider, albumin values were lower (3.5±0.6 mg/dL vs. 3.8±0.4 mg/dL, P=0.002), and PNI values were lower (34.7±6.1 vs. 38±4, P=.002) as expected. Inotropic support was more common in the longer in-hospital stay group (10 [18.9] vs. 1 [2.7], P=0.021). Although left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), mean pulmonary arterial blood pressure, and GFR values were lower in the longer in-hospital stay group, this was not statistically significant. There was no noticeable distinction in the medication utilized by

the groups. Table 1 shows the comparison of baseline characteristics, laboratory parameters, and medication use of the total population between groups.

Pearson correlation analyses were performed between the parameters discussed in the literature for their effects on the length of hospital stay. In these comparisons, the strongest relationship between the length of hospital stay and PNI was observed (r=-0.388, P=0.002). Correlation analyses are shown in Table 2.

ROC curve analysis was performed to show the relationship between PNI and longer in-hospital stay




Fig. 2. ROC curve analysis.

Table 3. Logistic regression analysis for predictors of longer in-hospital stay

| Parameters | OR (95% CI) | P value |
|---|----------------------|---------|
| Age | 1.020 (0.992-1.050) | 0.165 |
| Inotropic support | 8.372 (1.022-68.558) | 0.048 |
| LVEF | 0.949 (0.882-1.020) | 0.154 |
| Left atrial diameter | 1.713 (1.043-2.814) | 0.033 |
| Albumin | 0.288 (0.116-0.715) | 0.007 |
| Lymphocyte | 0.632 (0.351-1.140) | 0.128 |
| PNI | 0.883 (0.806-0.967) | 0.007 |
| CRP | 1.227 (1.044-1.442) | 0.013 |
| Regression analyses of the associations of PNI wi | th mortality | |
| In-hospital mortality | 0.875 (0.781-0.981) | 0.022 |
| 1-year all-cause mortality | 0.870 (0.789-0.959) | 0.005 |

CI=Confidence interval, CRP=C-reactive protein, LVEF=Left ventricular ejection fraction, OR= Odds ratio, PNI=Prognostic nutritional index

groups. In ROC analysis, the cut-off value of PNI 37.2 was statistically significant for longer in-hospital stays with 64% sensitivity and 63% specificity (Area under curve: 0.653, P=0.014). (fig. 2).

In univariable logistic regression analysis, statistically significant associations were found between inotropic support (OR=8.372, 95% CI:1.022-68.558, P=0.048), left atrial diameter (OR=1.713, 95% CI:1.043-2.814, P=0.033), albumin (OR=0.288, 95% CI:0.116-0.715, P=0.007), CRP (OR=1.227, 95% CI:1.044-1.442, P=0.013), and PNI (OR=0.883, 95% CI:0.806-0.967, P=0.007) and longer in-hospital stay. In addition, the relationship between PNI and in-hospital (logistic regression) and 1-year all-cause mortality (Cox regression) was investigated by regression analyses. PNI was found to be a predictor of both inhospital (OR=0.875, 95% CI:0.781-0.981, P=0.022) and 1-year all-cause mortality (OR=0.870, 95% CI:0.789-0.959, P=0.005). Logistic regression analyses are shown in Table 3.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the effect of PNI on longer in-hospital stay in patients who underwent metallic prosthetic heart valve surgery. As a result of the study, we found that PNI had a statistically significant effect on longer in-hospital stay in both logistic regression analysis and correlation analysis. We also found that PNI was associated with postoperative inhospital and 1-year all-cause mortality.

The results of our study are compatible with the studies in the literature. According to a study by Tasbulak et al. [16], patients undergoing isolated coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) procedures had greater mortality rates and long-term adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events when compared to the control group when nutritional indicators such as PNI, controlling nutritional status score (CONUT), and geriatric nutritional risk index (GNRI) were present [22]. Recent investigations on cardiovascular illness have also demonstrated a clear correlation between lower PNI levels and greater rates of morbidity and death [17-19]. According to Lee et al. [20], decreased PNI was linked to prolonged hospital and intensive care unit stays and may serve as an independent predictor of early morbidity and death. The results of Hayashi et al. [21] showed a high correlation between a poor prognostic nutrition index and surgical complications and survival. Almohammadi et al.'s study [22], which was comparable to ours, showed that lower PNI levels were linked to greater rates of mortality and morbidity as well as longer hospital stays. These studies show how PNI and other nutritional indicators may be useful in determining risk and forecasting results for patients undergoing heart surgery.

Our study also shows that serum albumin and CRP

levels, left atrial diameter, and intraoperative inotropic support are associated with longer in-hospital stay. Inflammatory indicators, including the level of serum albumin and CRP concentrations, can have a major impact on a patient's likelihood of staying in the hospital for an extended period after having a prosthetic valve operation. Studies show that patients with infective endocarditis had higher long-term death rates when their CRP and albumin levels were raised, indicating that these biomarkers may be predictive of unfavorable outcomes [23, 24]. CRP and albumin levels are considered to be part of the nutritional status and immune response system [25, 26]. It seems to be in line with the literature that they are predictors of poor outcomes in patients undergoing valve surgery, as in many cardiovascular diseases.

We believe that the most important result of our study that distinguishes it from the literature is the lack of a relationship between LVEF and the duration of hospital stay. One study, like previous studies, has shown that low LVEF is a predictor of prolonged hospital stay [27]. In our study, although LVEF was not associated, left atrial diameter, another indicator of ventricular geometry, was associated with prolonged hospital stay. In a study by Augustin P *et al.*, it was shown that the length of hospital stay was not related to mortality. The results of our study were also consistent with this study [28].

The European Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition advises that nutritional optimization for 7-14 days before elective heart valve surgery should be performed on patients with severe malnutrition [29]. Before surgery, nutritional supplements taken orally are believed to improve the outcomes of patients and lessen problems associated with malnutrition by maximizing daily vitamin intake and avoiding excessive fluid and salt [30, 31]. According to these results, nutritional assessment should be performed at the time of admission for every patient, irrespective of age, having heart valve surgery. Perioperative evaluations and multidisciplinary treatment plans should include nutritional screening and nutritional therapy optimization.

Limitations

As in other studies, our study has many limitations. Three primary limitations of our study are its retrospective design, small patient population, and single-center design. In addition, many parameters that are likely to affect hospitalization were not available in our data. Hospital-acquired infections, operator experience, illnesses that coexist (like chronic obstructive pulmonary disease), and some laboratory parameters could not be included in the statistical analysis. Due to the retrospective design, bias could not be completely eliminated. Inotropic support was available in only 1 patient in the shorter in-hospital stay group, and this low number also raises doubts about the reliability of the results. Finally, our data regarding patients who underwent intraoperative blood transfusion were not included in the analysis because of the doubts.

CONCLUSION

According to the results of our study, PNI was associated with the length of hospital stay, in-hospital, and 1-year all-cause mortality in patients undergoing metallic prosthetic mitral valve surgery. Adding PNI, which is not routinely used in preoperative evaluation, to routine evaluation may provide useful information to clinicians in improving perioperative patient management and outcomes.

Ethical Statement

This study was approved by the University of Health Sciences Gazi Yaşargil Training and Research Hospital Clinical Research Ethics Committee (Decision no.: 322, date: 17.01.2025).

Data Availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article. The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author, upon reasonable request.

Authors' Contribution

Study Conception: HTS, MEE; Study Design: HTS, SS; Supervision: HTS, MÖ; Funding: N/A; Materials: HTS, MÖ; Data Collection and/or Processing: HTS, MÖ; Statistical Analysis and/or Data Interpretation: HTS, SS; Literature Review: HTS, SS; Manuscript Preparation: HTS, SS and Critical Review: HTS, MEE.

Conflict of interest

The authors disclosed no conflict of interest during the preparation or publication of this manuscript.

Financing

The authors disclosed that they did not receive any grant during the conduction or writing of this study.

Generative Artificial Intelligence Statement

The author(s) declare that no artificial intelligence-based tools or applications were used during the preparation process of this manuscript. The all content of the study was produced by the author(s) in accordance with scientific research methods and academic ethical principles.

Editor's note

All statements made in this article are solely those of the authors and do not represent the views of their affiliates or the publisher, editors, or reviewers. Any claims made by any product or manufacturer that may be evaluated in this article are not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

REFERENCES

- 1. Hannan EL, Racz MJ, Walford G, et al. Long-term outcomes of coronary-artery bypass grafting versus stent implantation. N Engl J Med. 2005;352(21):2174-2183. doi: 10.1056/NEJ-Moa040316.
- 2. Fortescue EB, Kahn K, Bates DW. Development and validation of a clinical prediction rule for major adverse outcomes in coronary bypass grafting. Am J Cardiol. 2001;88(11):1251-1258. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9149(01)02086-0.
- 3. Kulier A, Levin J, Moser R, et al; Investigators of the Multicenter Study of Perioperative Ischemia Research Group; Ischemia Research and Education Foundation. Impact of preoperative anemia on outcome in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery. Circulation. 2007;116(5):471-479. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.653501.
- 4. Cooper WA, O'Brien SM, Thourani VH, et al. Impact of renal dysfunction on outcomes of coronary artery bypass surgery: results from the Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Adult Cardiac Database. Circulation. 2006;113(8):1063-1070. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.580084.
- 5. Daly LE, Lonergan M, Graham I. Predicting operative mortality after coronary artery bypass surgery in males. Q J Med. 1993;86(12):771-8.
- 6. Koch CG, Li L, Kaplan GA, et al. Socioeconomic position, not race, is linked to death after cardiac surgery. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2010;3(3):267-276. doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUT-

COMES.109.880377.

- 7. Jeon HG, Choi DK, Sung HH, et al. Preoperative Prognostic Nutritional Index is a Significant Predictor of Survival in Renal Cell Carcinoma Patients Undergoing Nephrectomy. Ann Surg Oncol. 2016;23(1):321-327. doi: 10.1245/s10434-015-4614-0.
- 8. Larsson J, Akerlind I, Permerth J, Hörnqvist JO. The relation between nutritional state and quality of life in surgical patients. Eur J Surg. 1994;160(6-7):329-334.
- 9. Allard JP, Keller H, Jeejeebhoy KN, et al. Decline in nutritional status is associated with prolonged length of stay in hospitalized patients admitted for 7 days or more: A prospective cohort study. Clin Nutr. 2016;35(1):144-152. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2015.01.009. 10. Buzby GP, Mullen JL, Matthews DC, Hobbs CL, Rosato EF. Prognostic nutritional index in gastrointestinal surgery. Am J Surg. 1980;139(1):160-7. doi: 10.1016/0002-9610(80)90246-9. 11. Onodera T, Goseki N, Kosaki G. [Prognostic nutritional index in gastrointestinal surgery of malnourished cancer patients].
- Japanese] 12. Yoshihisa A, Kanno Y, Watanabe S, et al. Impact of nutritional indices on mortality in patients with heart failure. Open Heart. 2018;5(1):e000730. doi: 10.1136/openhrt-2017-000730.

Nihon Geka Gakkai Zasshi. 1984;85(9):1001-1005. [Article in

- 13. González Ferreiro R, Muñoz-García AJ, López Otero D, et al. Nutritional risk index predicts survival in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve replacement. Int J Cardiol. 2019;276:66-71. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2018.11.097.
- 14. Söner S, Güzel T, Aktan A, et al. Predictive value of nutritional scores in non-valvular atrial fibrillation patients: Insights from the AFTER-2 study. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2025r;35(3):103794. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2024.103794.
- 15. Chen JY, Chen TW, Lu WD. HAT2CH2 Score Predicts Systemic Thromboembolic Events in Elderly After Cardiac Electronic Device Implantation. Front Med (Lausanne). 2021;8:786779. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.786779.
- 16. Tasbulak O, Guler A, Duran M, et al. Association Between Nutritional Indices and Long-Term Outcomes in Patients Undergoing Isolated Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting. Cureus. 2021;13(7):e16567. doi: 10.7759/cureus.16567.
- 17. Basta G, Chatzianagnostou K, Paradossi U, et al. The prognostic impact of objective nutritional indices in elderly patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction undergoing primary coronary intervention. Int J Cardiol. 2016;221:987-992. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.07.039.
- 18. Keskin M, Hayıroğlu MI, Keskin T, et al. A novel and useful predictive indicator of prognosis in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, the prognostic nutritional index. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2017;27(5):438-446. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2017.01.005.
- 19. Hayıroğlu Mİ, Keskin M, Keskin T, et al. A Novel Independent Survival Predictor in Pulmonary Embolism: Prognostic Nutritional Index. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost. 2018;24(4):633-639. doi: 10.1177/1076029617703482.
- 20. Lee SI, Ko KP, Choi CH, Park CH, Park KY, Son KH. Does the prognostic nutritional index have a predictive role in the outcomes of adult cardiac surgery? J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2020;160(1):145-153.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2019.08.069.
- 21. Detsky AS, Baker JP, O'Rourke K, Goel V. Perioperative par-

enteral nutrition: a meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med. 1987;107(2):195-203. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-107-2-195.

- 22. Almohammadi AA, Alqarni MA, Alqaidy MY, Ismail SA, Almabadi RM. Impact of the Prognostic Nutritional Index on Postoperative Outcomes in Patients Undergoing Heart Surgery. Cureus. 2023;15(8):e43745. doi: 10.7759/cureus.43745.
- 23. Karaca B, Esin F, Tiryaki MM, Akkan G, Kiris T. Combining C-reactive protein, procalcitonin, and serum albumin to predict long-term mortality in patients with infective endocarditis. J Int Med Res. 2023;51(10):3000605231208910. doi: 10.1177/03000605231208910.
- 24. Usalp ZO, Usalp S. Does the eosinophil-to-monocyte ratio predict inflammation in patients with diabetic retinopathy? İJCMBS. 2024;4(1):10-14. doi: 10.5281/zenodo.10707773
- 25. Ignacio de Ulíbarri J, González-Madroño A, de Villar NG, et al. CONUT: a tool for controlling nutritional status. First validation in a hospital population. Nutr Hosp. 2005;20(1):38-45.
- 26. Bouillanne O, Morineau G, Dupont C, et al. Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index: a new index for evaluating at-risk elderly medical patients. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;82(4):777-783. doi:

- 10.1093/ajcn/82.4.777.
- 27. Demal TJ, Arndt N, Bhadra OD, et al. Predictors for Length of Stay after Surgical Aortic Valve Replacement. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2025 Mar 19. doi: 10.1055/a-2466-7245.
- 28. Augustin P, Tanaka S, Chhor V, et al. Prognosis of Prolonged Intensive Care Unit Stay After Aortic Valve Replacement for Severe Aortic Stenosis in Octogenarians. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2016;30(6):1555-1561. doi: 10.1053/j.jvca.2016.07.029. 29. Weimann A, Braga M, Carli F, et al. ESPEN guideline: Clinical nutrition in surgery. Clin Nutr. 2017;36(3):623-650. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2017.02.013.
- 30. Drover JW, Dhaliwal R, Weitzel L, Wischmeyer PE, Ochoa JB, Heyland DK. Perioperative use of arginine-supplemented diets: a systematic review of the evidence. J Am Coll Surg. 2011;212(3):385-399, 399.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jamcoll-surg.2010.10.016.
- 31. Gillis C, Wischmeyer PE. Pre-operative nutrition and the elective surgical patient: why, how and what? Anaesthesia. 2019;74 Suppl 1:27-35. doi: 10.1111/anae.14506.