

Role of Multi-Omics in Functional Genomic, Transcriptomic, Proteomic and Metabolic Mechanisms for Milk Production, Growth, Fertility and Health in Livestock Animals

Tanveer NASIR^{1*}, Abdul REHMAN¹, Muhammad TARIQ², Khadija tul KUBRA¹,
Naghman ASHRAF¹, Farrukh Jamal NASIR¹

¹Cholistan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences (CUVAS), Bahawalpur, Pakistan
tanveernasir408@gmail.com; ORCID: 0009-0008-7232-1053;
jami.abdreman@gmail.com; ORCID: 0009-0000-7588-0127
khalidmy867@gmail.com; ORCID: 0009-0001-0504-4040
dr.naghman.rose@gmail.com; ORCID: 0009-0002-5290-0169
farrukhiqbal6040@gmail.com; ORCID: 0009-0006-7103-7611

²College of Animal Science and Technology Nanjing Agriculture University, Nanjing,
Jiangsu, China
tariq@stu.njau.edu.cn; ORCID: 0000-0001-5539-0454

Sorumlu Yazar (Corresponding Author): tanveernasir408@gmail.com

Abstract

The integration of multi-omics technologies has significantly advanced our understanding of functional genomic, transcriptomic, proteomic, and metabolic mechanisms in livestock animals. These approaches provide a comprehensive framework for exploring the intricate biological processes underlying milk production, growth, fertility, and overall health. By leveraging genomic data, researchers can identify key genetic variants and regulatory elements that influence phenotypic traits. Transcriptomic studies reveal dynamic gene expression patterns, while proteomics and metabolomics offer insights into protein interactions and metabolic pathways that are critical for optimal physiological functions. Integrative multi-omics not only enhances precision breeding strategies but also facilitates the development of tailored nutritional and management practices designed to improve productivity and resilience under diverse environmental conditions. This review highlights recent advancements in multi-omics applications, emphasizing their transformative potential in advancing livestock research and enhancing animal welfare in sustainable agricultural systems.

Keywords: Multi-Omics, Functional Genomic, Transcriptomics, Proteomics, Metabolomics, Livestock Animals

1. Introduction

Multi-omics integrate many fields of biological data such as genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics and epigenomics to give information of the biological processes in organisms (Tolani, Gupta, Yadav, Aggarwal, & Yadav, 2021). Moreover, multi-omics reveal complex molecular mechanisms and networks that remain hidden in single-omics studies. In

livestock, this approach has gained substantial attention for their ability to identify and characterize the complex traits related to health, productivity and adaptation (Renaudeau et al., 2012). Recently, advances in technologies have made it possible to collect large-scale data from multiple biological systems that assist to find more genetic variations and its effects in animals. But complexity of traits such as disease resistance, fertility, growth and milk production need some important drivers to adopt these multi-omics. These traits are being influenced by multiple genetic and environmental factors such as mutations, heritability and nutrition (Feil, 2006). Therefore, the study is facing hurdle due to traditional approaches that are being used. The integration of genomic data with transcriptomic (gene expression), proteomic (protein composition) and metabolomic (metabolite profiles) may give more insights into various molecular pathways to interact and contribute the phenotypic variations for the selection of supervisor animals to breed for next generation.

The high technologies have significantly enhanced ability to understand complex biological systems (Roco & Bainbridge, 2003). Therefore, these approaches integrate data from many omics disciplines that include genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics and epigenomics. This integration overcomes the limitations of single-omics studies. They contribute the more comprehensive perspective on cellular functions disease mechanisms and therapeutic targets (Santos et al., 2017).

Moreover, each omics layers represent different biological components. For example, DNA in genomics, RNA in transcriptomics, proteins in proteomics and metabolites in metabolomics. The single-omics studies provide valuable visions. They often fail to capture the mutuality between these layers. These improvements cover the gap in empowering comprehensive analysis of interactions among diverse omics datasets. They provided the deeper perception into biological processes and their complex regulatory networks (Karlebach & Shamir, 2008).

In addition to, the data of multi-omics integration involve diverse strategies. Therefore, they include correlation-based methods, pathway improvement analysis and machine learning methods. For instance, combination of gene expression shapes with protein-protein interaction networks may reveal important molecular pathways involved in diseases (Nibbe, Chowdhury, Koyutürk, Ewing, & Chance, 2011). Moreover, innovative computational methods of graph neuronal networks and Bayesian frameworks that further enhance the precision and scalability. These analyses are essential for progress in cancer research, neurodegenerative diseases and personalized medicine (Strianese et al., 2020).

Therefore, technological developments in spatial and single-cell multi-omics have added another dimension to this field. These approaches allow for the mapping of molecular data in cellular or subcellular resolution that inauguration heterogeneity within tissues. Spatial transcriptomics may isolate gene expression forms within specific cell types or tissue domains (Gulati, D'Silva, Liu, Wang, & Newman, 2024). They suggest that perceptions into development and disease progression in a unique level of detail.

Furthermore, these challenges remain in integrating multi-omics data due to differences in data types, scales and formats. Moreover, effective integration requires vigorous computational frameworks capable of supervision. These complexities that remove meaningful biological visions. In spite of these hurdles, multi-omics approaches have already demonstrated their potential in identifying novel biomarkers. They exposed disease mechanisms and advancing drug discovery (Singh, Seed, & Olabisi, 2019).

In future, applications of multi-omics are widespread that oscillated from agriculture to human health. In agriculture, it aids in empathetic crop resilience and productivity in medicine. They contribute to disease diagnosis, prognosis and treatment (Loue, 2016).

2. Functional Genomics

Functional genomics discovers the role of genes and their interactions in determining traits (Glazier, Nadeau, & Aitman, 2002). Moreover, traditional breeding focused on phenotypic selection that may lack precision. Therefore, functional genomics sponsored by advances in sequencing technologies that allow researchers to link with genetic variations in traits such as growth, fertility and immunity. The integration of next-generation sequence of transcriptomics and proteomics provide tools to separate complex traits. They allow breeders to optimize productivity and sustainability concerns (Hume, Whitelaw, & Archibald, 2011).

Furthermore, technologies of whole-genome sequencing and high-density genotyping arrays have become accessible and cost-effective in recent years. Therefore, whole-genome sequencing provides comprehensive visions into genetic diversity that reveal mutations and variations associated with significant traits. These genome-wide association studies link in variations to observable characteristics. For instance, studies on cattle have successfully identified SNPs associated with milk quality and disease resilience that provision in precision breeding (Silpa et al., 2021).

Transcriptomics analyze RNA to identify that gene active under specific conditions. RNA sequencing has been used to understand traits that they support in muscle development of pigs and wool production in sheep (Kirgiafina, Kyrgiafina, Gournaris, & Mamuris, 2024). For example, these studies in cashmere goats identified key pathways regulating fiber growth to pave the way for better wool quality. This approach climaxes the temporal and tissue-specific expression of genes to serve complex biological processes (Shi, Wong, Puzianowska-Kuznicka, & Stelow, 1996).

Epigenetic modifications of DNA methylation and histone acetylation that influence gene activity without change the DNA sequence (Vaissière, Sawan, & Herceg, 2008). These modifications may respond to environmental factors that provide a mechanism for adaptability. Recent studies have shown stress conditions of heat or drought trigger epigenetic changes in livestock that affect traits, fertility and growth. Therefore, these modifications of researchers aim to develop livestock resilient to climate change.

The genomic selection combines with genetic and phenotypic data to predict an animal's breeding value with high accuracy (Meuwissen, Hayes, & Goddard, 2016). This technique has condensed generation intervals and improved the reliability of trait selection. For example, genomic selection in dairy cattle has led to rapid improvements in milk yield and quality that reduce environmental impact (Brito et al., 2021). Therefore, application extends to pigs, sheep and poultry that genetic prediction models are fine-tuned to target sparingly important traits.

CRISPR-Cas9 technology has revolutionized livestock genetics in allowing precise modifications to validate and enhance gene functions (Jabbar et al., 2021). Therefore, recent example of editing genes to increase disease resistance in pigs and improve muscle mass in cattle. Moreover, functional genomics to combine with CRISPR that allow researchers to confirm the roles of candidate genes identified in GWAS. These transcriptomic studies that cover the gap between genetic discovery and practical application (Lowe, Shirley, Bleackley, Dolan, & Shafee, 2017).

In addition to, multi-omics approaches combine with genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics and metabolomics to understand traits on multiple biological levels. For instance, integrate gene expression data with metabolite shapes has illuminated the molecular mechanisms feed efficiency in cattle (Alexandre et al., 2015). These comprehensive datasets provide visions into genes and environmental factors interact that allow precise interventions to enhance livestock productivity and adaptability.

Furthermore, functional genomics is critical in conserving genetic diversity in livestock breeds and individuals to threat from climate change or industrialization (Hoffmann, 2010). Therefore, genomic studies of yaks and buffaloes have revealed adaptations to extreme environments that high-altitude survival. These discoveries guide conservation strategies to identify genetic markers associated with resilience and adaptability that ensure the preservation of unique traits for future breeding programs (Ghildiyal et al., 2023).

In future, functional genomics has made significant strides and challenges remain. These include interpreting large datasets, ethical concerns ended gene editing and ensuring the accessibility of technologies for smallholder farmers (Majumder, 2024). The future of functional genomics lies in integrating artificial intelligence and machine learning to analyze data and predict complex traits. Therefore, ethical frameworks and global collaboration will be essential to balance innovation with sustainability and animal welfare.

3. The Role of Transcriptomics

Transcriptomics discovers RNA transcripts to reveal genetic mechanisms and fundamental traits (Majumder, 2024). They reveal genes different to express across developmental stages in response of environmental incentives. This knowledge is crucial to understand gene regulation impacts lactation, growth rates, and metabolic efficiency. RNA sequencing and advanced transcriptomic technologies allow precise analysis of mammary tissue to related systems (Lemay et al., 2013). These perceptions integrate into breeding programs, nutritional interventions and management strategies to enhance productivity and sustainability.

In dairy cows, transcriptomics has provided precious observations into the genetic regulation of milk production (Sun, Plastow, & Guan, 2019). Moreover, studies of mammary tissue across lactation stages identify genes to crucial for milk synthesis, secretion and immune defense. Therefore, these studies expression LALBA (alpha-lactalbumin) and CSN2 (beta-casein) are essential for milk and protein production. Their analysis climaxes stress-responsive genes that affect lactation efficiency to environmental disease stress (Bora et al., 2022). Moreover, integrate transcriptomic and omics data reveal networks to regulate milk production.

Moreover, transcriptomics reveals the molecular mechanisms of fundamental growth and development in livestock. Gene expression studies in muscle and bone tissues climax pathways that regulate cell propagation, differentiation and energy metabolism (Braun & Gautel, 2011). The IGF (insulin-like growth factor) signing pathway is a significant driver of muscle development and growth. In addition to, transcriptomic analyses of nutrient-responsive genes aid explicate diet impacts growth on the molecular level. These discoveries advance in growth biology and guide feed strategies to improve efficiency and health in livestock (Tona, 2018).

Furthermore, comparative transcriptomics discovers species and breed specific gene expression variations in milk production and growth (Bhat et al., 2019). Therefore, transcriptome comparisons of Holstein and indigenous breeds reveal genetic adaptations for disease resistance and environmental resilience. Moreover, transcriptomic comparisons of dairy sheep, goats and camels illuminate regulatory mechanisms to milk composition, lactation and growth traits. In addition to, comparative analyses are critical for utilizing genetic diversity and enhancing breeding programs across livestock species (Groeneveld et al., 2010).

The primary applications of transcriptomics to enhance milk yield and quality (Sun et al., 2019). Therefore, RNA sequencing of mammary tissue reveals biomarkers for milk fat, protein and lactose content. For example, ACACA gene and FASN gene are essential in lipid metabolism to inferior in milk fat synthesis (Bernard et al., 2018). They reveal the genetic basis of milk allergies through the study of allergenic proteins of beta-lactoglobulin. Therefore,

transcriptomic data Integrate with genetic selection and nutrition optimizes milk composition to meet industry and consumer demands (X. Yang et al., 2024).

Lactation affected by stressors that include heat, disease and nutritional imbalances (Sammad et al., 2020). For instance, transcriptomic studies reveal upregulation of stress-responsive genes that include heat shock proteins through thermal stress. These genes play a defensive role to stabilize proteins and maintain cellular homeostasis of adverse conditions (Chovatiya & Medzhitov, 2014). Furthermore, transcriptomics reveals inflammatory responses through mastitis affect milk production in dairy animals. Moreover, aim of stress-responsive pathways through management or therapy may alleviate stress-induced disruptions in lactation (Spitzer, 2019).

Recent advances have allowed non-invasive transcriptomic analysis to use of RNA extracted from milk somatic cells or fat globules (Lemay et al., 2013). This approach provides a convenient method to evaluate the mammary gland transcriptome deprived of tissue cultures. These techniques have identified genes to involve in protein synthesis, immune defense and epithelial cell maintenance. Therefore, non-invasive methods are crucial for study of large animal populations and advance precision in livestock management (Yin et al., 2023).

Moreover, Integration of transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics and epigenomics advances to understand of milk production and growth (Sun et al., 2019). This multi-omics approach link to gene expression, protein activity, metabolite shapes and epigenetic modifications. Therefore, combination of transcriptomics and proteomics identify post-transcriptional regulation in milk protein synthesis. Metabolomics Integrate with transcriptomics reveal revelations into energy allocation through lactation and growth. These integrative studies allow the identification of important regulatory nodes and offer comprehensive solutions to improve livestock productivity (Bahlo, Dahlhaus, Thompson, & Trotter, 2019).

The future of transcriptomics in livestock research lie in the application of cutting-edge technologies such as single-cell RNA sequencing and spatial transcriptomics (Lu et al., 2024). These methods allow researchers to study gene expression in resolution of individual cells and tissues that provide the deeper perceptions into cellular heterogeneity and functional specialization. Moreover, advances in computational biology and machine learning improve the analysis of large-scale transcriptomic data. Therefore, transcriptomic data integrate into breeding and livestock management will enhance sustainability, efficiency, and resilience in the industry (Shashank et al., 2024).

4. Proteomics

Proteomics is significant in livestock research to discover protein networks that regulate health, fertility and productivity (Shashank et al., 2024). The ability to analyze the proteome the complete set of proteins in a cell or organisms to provide new perceptions into the molecular basis of livestock physiology. MS, 2-DE and protein arrays enable protein summary, quantification and interaction analysis that advanced to understand of reproductive and metabolic processes in animals (Choudhary et al., 2024).

The primary applications of proteomics in livestock health are in the study of reproductive biology (Strzezek et al., 2005). Fertility is a complex process regulated in numerous signaling pathways and molecular interactions governed through proteins. Moreover, proteomic analysis of ovarian, uterine and placental tissues reveals significant proteins involved in oocyte maturation, fertilization, implantation and pregnancy maintenance (Q. Yang et al., 2020).

These processes are highly dynamic and are influenced in hormonal signals, environmental factors and genetic predispositions. The identification of proteins such as heat shock proteins

(HSPs), growth factors and cytokines has clarified their roles in oocyte quality and early embryonic development. This information may be used to develop biomarkers for early detection of fertility problems (Wang, Ren, Jing, Qu, & Liu, 2024).

In addition to, proteomics has also significantly advanced to understand of metabolic disorders that are common in dairy cattle (Sun et al., 2019). Ketosis, acidosis and fatty liver disease arise from metabolic imbalances through high lactation or nutritional stress in animals. These metabolic disorders negatively affect fertility to damage the hormonal balance that reduce oocyte quality (Leroy et al., 2018). Therefore, these studies show change in proteins related to lipid metabolism, glucose regulation and inflammation in ketosis may indicate the metabolic dysfunction.

Therefore, proteomics has been used to reveal the molecular mechanisms over which many diseases affect livestock fertility. Inflammation and immune response caused these infections change protein expression shapes to lead interruptions in reproductive function (Smith, 2018).

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, multi-omics technologies offer a powerful, integrated approach to unraveling the complex mechanisms that drive milk production, growth, fertility, and health in livestock. These insights enable precision breeding, optimized management practices, and enhanced animal welfare, all of which contribute to sustainable livestock production. Continued advancements in multi-omics will further accelerate genetic and phenotypic improvements, fostering resilience and productivity in diverse agricultural systems.

References

- Alexandre, P.A., Kogelman, L.J., Santana, M.H., Passarelli, D., Pulz, L.H., Fantinato-Neto, P., . . . Coutinho, L.L. (2015). Liver transcriptomic networks reveal main biological processes associated with feed efficiency in beef cattle. *BMC genomics*, 16, 1-13.
- Bahlo, C., Dahlhaus, P., Thompson, H., & Trotter, M. (2019). The role of interoperable data standards in precision livestock farming in extensive livestock systems: A review. *Computers and electronics in agriculture*, 156, 459-466.
- Bernard, L., Bonnet, M., Delavaud, C., Delosiere, M., Ferlay, A., Fougere, H., & Graulet, B. (2018). Milk fat globule in ruminant: Major and minor compounds, nutritional regulation and differences among species. *European journal of lipid science and technology*, 120(5), 1700039.
- Bhat, S.A., Ahmad, S.M., Ibeagha-Awemu, E.M., Bhat, B.A., Dar, M.A., Mumtaz, P.T., . . . Ganai, N.A. (2019). Comparative transcriptome analysis of mammary epithelial cells at different stages of lactation reveals wide differences in gene expression and pathways regulating milk synthesis between jersey and kashmiri cattle. *PLoS One*, 14(2), e0211773.
- Bora, S.S., Hazarika, D.J., Gogoi, R., Dullah, S., Gogoi, M., & Barooah, M. (2022). Long-term pruning modulates microbial community structure and their functional potential in tea (*camellia sinensis* L.) soils. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 176, 104483.
- Braun, T., & Gautel, M. (2011). Transcriptional mechanisms regulating skeletal muscle differentiation, growth and homeostasis. *Nature reviews Molecular cell biology*, 12(6), 349-361.
- Brito, L., Bédère, N., Douhard, F., Oliveira, H., Arnal, M., Peñagaricano, F., . . . Miglior, F. (2021). Genetic selection of high-yielding dairy cattle toward sustainable farming systems in a rapidly changing world. *Animal*, 15, 100292.

- Choudhary, R.K., Kumar BV, S., Sekhar Mukhopadhyay, C., Kashyap, N., Sharma, V., Singh, N., . . . Singh Malik, Y. (2024). Animal wellness: The power of multiomics and integrative strategies: Multiomics in improving animal health. *Veterinary Medicine International*, 2024(1), 4125118.
- Chovatiya, R., & Medzhitov, R. (2014). Stress, inflammation, and defense of homeostasis. *Molecular cell*, 54(2), 281-288.
- Feil, R. (2006). Environmental and nutritional effects on the epigenetic regulation of genes. *Mutation Research/Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis*, 600(1-2), 46-57.
- Ghildiyal, K., Nayak, S.S., Rajawat, D., Sharma, A., Chhotaray, S., Bhushan, B., . . . Panigrahi, M. (2023). Genomic insights into the conservation of wild and domestic animal diversity: A review. *Gene*, 886, 147719.
- Glazier, A.M., Nadeau, J.H., & Aitman, T.J. (2002). Finding genes that underlie complex traits. *science*, 298(5602), 2345-2349.
- Groeneveld, L., Lenstra, J., Eding, H., Toro, M., Scherf, B., Pilling, D., . . . Groeneveld, E. (2010). Genetic diversity in farm animals—a review. *Animal genetics*, 41, 6-31.
- Gulati, G.S., D'Silva, J.P., Liu, Y., Wang, L., & Newman, A.M. (2024). Profiling cell identity and tissue architecture with single-cell and spatial transcriptomics. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 1-21.
- Hoffmann, I. (2010). Climate change and the characterization, breeding and conservation of animal genetic resources. *Animal genetics*, 41, 32-46.
- Hume, D., Whitelaw, C., & Archibald, A. (2011). The future of animal production: Improving productivity and sustainability. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 149(S1), 9-16.
- Jabbar, A., Zulfqar, F., Mahnoor, M., Mushtaq, N., Zaman, M.H., Din, A.S.U., . . . Ahmad, H.I. (2021). Advances and perspectives in the application of crispr-cas9 in livestock. *Molecular Biotechnology*, 63(9), 757-767.
- Karlebach, G., & Shamir, R. (2008). Modelling and analysis of gene regulatory networks. *Nature reviews Molecular cell biology*, 9(10), 770-780.
- Kirgiafini, D., Kyrgiafini, M.-A., Gournaris, T., & Mamuris, Z. (2024). Understanding circular rnas in health, welfare, and productive traits of cattle, goats, and sheep. *Animals*, 14(5), 733.
- Lemay, D.G., Hovey, R.C., Hartono, S.R., Hinde, K., Smilowitz, J.T., Ventimiglia, F., . . . Silva, P.I. (2013). Sequencing the transcriptome of milk production: Milk trumps mammary tissue. *BMC genomics*, 14, 1-17.
- Leroy, J.L., De Bie, J., Jordaens, L., Desmet, K., Smits, A., Marei, W.F., . . . Van Hoeck, V. (2018). Negative energy balance and metabolic stress in relation to oocyte and embryo quality: An update on possible pathways reducing fertility in dairy cows. *Animal Reproduction (AR)*, 14(3), 497-506.
- Loue, S. (2016). *Therapeutic farms: Recovery from mental illness*: Springer.
- Lowe, R., Shirley, N., Bleackley, M., Dolan, S., & Shafee, T. (2017). Transcriptomics technologies. *PLoS computational biology*, 13(5), e1005457.

- Lu, Y., Li, M., Gao, Z., Ma, H., Chong, Y., Hong, J., . . . Deng, W. (2024). Innovative insights into single-cell technologies and multi-omics integration in livestock and poultry. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 25(23), 12940.
- Majumder, P. (2024). Golden opportunities: Harnessing bioinformatics to revolutionize plant research and unleash the power of golden rice in crop breeding. *Bioinformatics for Plant Research and Crop Breeding*, 505-537.
- Meuwissen, T., Hayes, B., & Goddard, M. (2016). Genomic selection: A paradigm shift in animal breeding. *Animal frontiers*, 6(1), 6-14.
- Nibbe, R.K., Chowdhury, S.A., Koyutürk, M., Ewing, R., & Chance, M.R. (2011). Protein–protein interaction networks and subnetworks in the biology of disease. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Systems Biology and Medicine*, 3(3), 357-367.
- Renaudeau, D., Collin, A., Yahav, S., De Babilio, V., Gourdine, J.-L., & Collier, R. (2012). Adaptation to hot climate and strategies to alleviate heat stress in livestock production. *Animal*, 6(5), 707-728.
- Roco, M.C., & Bainbridge, W.S. (2003). Overview converging technologies for improving human performance: Nanotechnology, biotechnology, information technology, and cognitive science (nbic) *Converging technologies for improving human performance: Nanotechnology, biotechnology, information technology and cognitive science* (pp. 1-27): Springer.
- Sammad, A., Wang, Y.J., Umer, S., Lirong, H., Khan, I., Khan, A., . . . Wang, Y. (2020). Nutritional physiology and biochemistry of dairy cattle under the influence of heat stress: Consequences and opportunities. *Animals*, 10(5), 793.
- Santos, R., Ursu, O., Gaulton, A., Bento, A.P., Donadi, R.S., Bologa, C.G., . . . Oprea, T.I. (2017). A comprehensive map of molecular drug targets. *Nature reviews Drug discovery*, 16(1), 19-34.
- Shashank, C.G., Sejian, V., Silpa, M.V., Devaraj, C., Madhusoodan, A.P., Rebez, E.B., . . . Dunshea, F.R. (2024). Climate resilience in farm animals: Transcriptomics-based alterations in differentially expressed genes and stress pathways. *BioTech*, 13(4), 49.
- Shi, Y.B., Wong, J., Puzianowska-Kuznicka, M., & Stelow, M. (1996). Tadpole competence and tissue-specific temporal regulation of amphibian metamorphosis: Roles of thyroid hormone and its receptors. *Bioessays*, 18(5), 391-399.
- Silpa, M.V., König, S., Sejian, V., Malik, P.K., Nair, M.R.R., Fonseca, V.F., . . . Bhatta, R. (2021). Climate-resilient dairy cattle production: Applications of genomic tools and statistical models. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 8, 625189.
- Singh, V.K., Seed, T.M., & Olabisi, A.O. (2019). Drug discovery strategies for acute radiation syndrome. *Expert Opinion on Drug Discovery*, 14(7), 701-715.
- Smith, J.A. (2018). Regulation of cytokine production by the unfolded protein response; implications for infection and autoimmunity. *Frontiers in immunology*, 9, 422.
- Spitzer, A.J. (2019). *Endotoxin increases oxidative stress and oxygen tension while reducing milk protein gene expression in the mammary gland*: The University of Vermont and State Agricultural College.
- Strianese, O., Rizzo, F., Ciccarelli, M., Galasso, G., D'Agostino, Y., Salvati, A., . . . Rusciano, M.R. (2020). Precision and personalized medicine: How genomic approach improves the management of cardiovascular and neurodegenerative disease. *Genes*, 11(7), 747.

- Strzezek, J., Wysocki, P., Kordan, W., Kuklinska, M., Mogielnicka, M., Soliwoda, D., & Fraser, L. (2005). Proteomics of boar seminal plasma—current studies and possibility of their application in biotechnology of animal reproduction. *Reprod Biol*, 5(3), 279-290.
- Sun, H., Plastow, G., & Guan, L. (2019). Invited review: Advances and challenges in application of feedomics to improve dairy cow production and health. *Journal of dairy science*, 102(7), 5853-5870.
- Tolani, P., Gupta, S., Yadav, K., Aggarwal, S., & Yadav, A.K. (2021). Big data, integrative omics and network biology. *Advances in protein chemistry and structural biology*, 127, 127-160.
- Tona, G.O. (2018). Current and future improvements in livestock nutrition and feed resources. *Animal husbandry and nutrition*, 18, 73088.
- Vaissière, T., Sawan, C., & Herceg, Z. (2008). Epigenetic interplay between histone modifications and DNA methylation in gene silencing. *Mutation Research/Reviews in Mutation Research*, 659(1-2), 40-48.
- Wang, S., Ren, J., Jing, Y., Qu, J., & Liu, G.-H. (2024). Perspectives on biomarkers of reproductive aging for fertility and beyond. *Nature Aging*, 4(12), 1697-1710.
- Yang, Q., Fu, W., Wang, Y., Miao, K., Zhao, H., Wang, R., . . . An, L. (2020). The proteome of ivf-induced aberrant embryo-maternal crosstalk by implantation stage in ewes. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 11, 1-17.
- Yang, X., Li, Q., Wang, Y., Wang, J., Hu, J., Ji, Z., & Chao, T. (2024). Research progress on genomic regions and candidate genes related to milk composition traits of dairy goats based on functional genomics: A narrative review. *Genes*, 15(10), 1341.
- Yin, M., Ma, R., Luo, H., Li, J., Zhao, Q., & Zhang, M. (2023). Non-contact sensing technology enables precision livestock farming in smart farms. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 212, 108171.