

# Asymptotically $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro Equivalence of Sequences of Sets

Uğur Ulusu<sup>a</sup> and Erdinç Dünder<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Literature, Afyon Kocatepe University, 03200, Afyonkarahisar, Turkey  
\*Corresponding author E-mail: [edundar@aku.edu.tr](mailto:edundar@aku.edu.tr)

## Article Info

**Keywords:** Asymptotically equivalence, Cesàro summability, Statistical convergence, Lacunary sequence, Ideal convergence, Sequences of sets, Wijsman convergence.

**2010 AMS:** 34C41, 40A35

**Received:** 26 March 2018

**Accepted:** 2 April 2018

**Available online:** 26 June 2018

## Abstract

In this paper, we defined concepts of asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence and investigate the relationships between the concepts of asymptotically strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence, asymptotically strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -lacunary equivalence, asymptotically  $p$ -strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence and asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistical equivalence of sequences of sets.

## 1. Introduction

The concept of convergence of sequences of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  has been transferred to statistical convergence by Fast [5] and independently by Schoenberg [16].  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence was first studied by Kostyrko et al. [9] in order to generalize of statistical convergence which is based on the structure of the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of subset of the set of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$ . Das et al. [4] introduced new notions, namely  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistical convergence and  $\mathcal{I}$ -lacunary statistical convergence by using ideal.

There are different convergence notions for sequence of sets. One of them handled in this paper is the concept of Wijsman convergence (see, [1], [3], [11], [21], [22]). The concepts of statistical convergence and lacunary statistical convergence of sequences of sets were studied in [11, 18] in Wijsman sense. Also, new convergence notions, for sequences of sets, which is called Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence, Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistical convergence and Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro summability by using ideal were introduced in [7], [8], [20].

Marouf [10] presented definitions for asymptotically equivalent and asymptotic regular matrices. This concepts was investigated in [12, 13, 14]. The concept of asymptotically equivalence of sequences of real numbers which is defined by Marouf [10] has been extended by Ulusu and Nuray [19] to concepts of Wijsman asymptotically equivalence of set sequences. Moreover, natural inclusion theorems are presented. Kişi et al. [8] introduced the concepts of Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}$ -asymptotically equivalence of sequences of sets.

## 2. Definitions and notations

Now, we recall the basic definitions and concepts (See [1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 15, 19, 20]).

Let  $(Y, \rho)$  be a metric space. For any point  $y \in Y$  and any non-empty subset  $U$  of  $Y$ , we define the distance from  $y$  to  $U$  by  $d(y, U) = \inf_{u \in U} \rho(y, u)$ .

Let  $(Y, \rho)$  be a metric space and  $U, U_i$  be any non-empty closed subsets of  $Y$ . The sequence  $\{U_i\}$  is Wijsman convergent to  $U$  if for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(y, U_i) = d(y, U).$$

Let  $(Y, \rho)$  be a metric space and  $U, U_i$  be any non-empty closed subsets of  $Y$ . The sequence  $\{U_i\}$  is Wijsman statistical convergent to  $U$  if  $\{d(y, U_i)\}$  is statistically convergent to  $d(y, U)$ ; i.e., for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ i \leq n : |d(y, U_i) - d(y, U)| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0.$$

A family of sets  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called an ideal if and only if (i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ , (ii) For each  $U, V \in \mathcal{I}$  we have  $U \cup V \in \mathcal{I}$ , (iii) For each  $U \in \mathcal{I}$  and each  $V \subseteq U$  we have  $V \in \mathcal{I}$ .

An ideal is called non-trivial ideal if  $\mathbb{N} \notin \mathcal{I}$  and non-trivial ideal is called admissible ideal if  $\{n\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A family of sets  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a filter if and only if (i)  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ , (ii) For each  $U, V \in \mathcal{F}$  we have  $U \cap V \in \mathcal{F}$ , (iii) For each  $U \in \mathcal{F}$  and each  $V \supseteq U$  we have  $V \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** ([9])  $\mathcal{I}$  is a non-trivial ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$  if and only if

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{E \subset \mathbb{N} : (\exists U \in \mathcal{I})(E = \mathbb{N} \setminus U)\}$$

is a filter in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Throughout the paper, we let  $(Y, \rho)$  be a separable metric space,  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal and  $U, U_i$  be any non-empty closed subsets of  $Y$ .

The sequence  $\{U_i\}$  is Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $U$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $U(y, \varepsilon) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : |d(y, U_i) - d(y, U)| \geq \varepsilon\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$ .

The sequence  $\{U_i\}$  is Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistical convergent to  $U$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0, \delta > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \left| \{i \leq n : |d(y, U_i) - d(y, U)| \geq \varepsilon\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \xrightarrow{S(\mathcal{I}_W)} U$ .

The sequence  $\{U_i\}$  is Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro summable to  $U$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d(y, U_i) - d(y, U) \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \xrightarrow{C_1(\mathcal{I}_W)} U$ .

The sequence  $\{U_i\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro summable to  $U$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |d(y, U_i) - d(y, U)| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \xrightarrow{C_1[\mathcal{I}_W]} U$ .

The sequence  $\{U_i\}$  is Wijsman  $p$ -strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro summable to  $U$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for each  $p$  positive real number and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |d(y, U_i) - d(y, U)|^p \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \xrightarrow{C_p[\mathcal{I}_W]} U$ .

By a lacunary sequence we mean an increasing integer sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  such that  $k_0 = 0$  and  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . In this paper the intervals determined by  $\theta$  will be denoted by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$  and ratio  $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$  will be abbreviated by  $q_r$ .

Let  $\theta$  be a lacunary sequence. The sequence  $\{U_i\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -lacunary summable to  $U$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} |d(y, U_i) - d(y, U)| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \xrightarrow{N_\theta[\mathcal{I}_W]} U$ .

Two nonnegative sequences  $a = (a_i)$  and  $b = (b_i)$  are said to be asymptotically equivalent if

$$\lim_i \frac{a_i}{b_i} = 1$$

and denoted by  $a \sim b$ .

We define  $d(y; U_i, V_i)$  as follows:

$$d(y; U_i, V_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{d(y, U_i)}{d(y, V_i)} & , y \notin U_i \cup V_i \\ \mathcal{L} & , y \in U_i \cup V_i. \end{cases}$$

The sequences  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are Wijsman asymptotically equivalent of multiple  $\mathcal{L}$ , if for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(y; U_i, V_i) = \mathcal{L}.$$

The sequences  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are Wijsman asymptotically statistical equivalent of multiple  $\mathcal{L}$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ i \leq n : |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0.$$

The sequences  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are Wijsman asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}$ -equivalent of multiple  $\mathcal{L}$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $y \in Y$

$$\left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \overset{\mathcal{I}_W^L}{\sim} V_i$  and simply Wijsman asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}$ -equivalent if  $\mathcal{L} = 1$ .

The sequences  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are Wijsman asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistical equivalent of multiple  $\mathcal{L}$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0, \delta > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ i \leq n : |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \overset{S(\mathcal{I}_W^L)}{\sim} V_i$  and simply Wijsman asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistical equivalent if  $\mathcal{L} = 1$ .

Let  $\theta$  be a lacunary sequence. The sequences  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are said to be Wijsman asymptotically strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -lacunary equivalent of multiple  $\mathcal{L}$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \overset{N_\theta[\mathcal{I}_W^L]}{\sim} V_i$  and simply Wijsman asymptotically strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -lacunary equivalent if  $\mathcal{L} = 1$ .

### 3. Main results

In this section, we defined notions of asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence of sequences of sets. Also, we investigate the relationships between the concepts of asymptotically strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence, asymptotically strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -lacunary equivalence, asymptotically  $p$ -strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence and asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistical equivalence of sequences of sets.

**Definition 3.1.** The sequences  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence of multiple  $\mathcal{L}$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \overset{C_1^L(\mathcal{I}_W)}{\sim} V_i$  and simply asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro equivalent if  $\mathcal{L} = 1$ .

**Definition 3.2.** The sequences  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are asymptotically strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence of multiple  $\mathcal{L}$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

and we write  $U_i \overset{C_1^L[\mathcal{I}_W]}{\sim} V_i$  and simply asymptotically strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro equivalent if  $\mathcal{L} = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\theta$  be a lacunary sequence. If  $\liminf_r q_r > 1$  then,

$$U_i \overset{C_1^L[\mathcal{I}_W]}{\sim} V_i \Rightarrow U_i \overset{N_\theta^L[\mathcal{I}_W]}{\sim} V_i.$$

*Proof.* If  $\liminf_r q_r > 1$ , then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $q_r \geq 1 + \delta$  for all  $r \geq 1$ . Since  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$ , we have

$$\frac{k_r}{h_r} \leq \frac{1 + \delta}{\delta} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{k_{r-1}}{h_r} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ , we define the set

$$S = \left\{ k_r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

We can easily say that  $S \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ , which is a filter of the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ , so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| &= \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| - \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \\ &= \frac{k_r}{h_r} \cdot \frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \\ &\quad - \frac{k_{r-1}}{h_r} \cdot \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1 + \delta}{\delta} \right) \varepsilon - \frac{1}{\delta} \varepsilon' \end{aligned}$$

for each  $y \in Y$  and for each  $k_r \in S$ . Choose  $\eta = \left(\frac{1+\delta}{\delta}\right)\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\delta}\varepsilon'$ . Therefore, for each  $y \in Y$

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| < \eta \right\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S}).$$

Therefore,  $U_i \overset{N_\theta^h[\mathcal{S}_w]}{\sim} V_i$ . □

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\theta$  be a lacunary sequence. If  $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$  then,

$$U_i \overset{N_\theta^h[\mathcal{S}_w]}{\sim} V_i \Rightarrow U_i \overset{C_1^t[\mathcal{S}_w]}{\sim} V_i.$$

*Proof.* If  $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$ , then there exists  $K > 0$  such that  $q_r < K$  for all  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $U_i \overset{N_\theta^h[\mathcal{S}_w]}{\sim} V_i$  and for each  $y \in Y$ , we define the sets  $T$  and  $R$

$$T = \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| < \varepsilon_1 \right\}$$

and

$$R = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| < \varepsilon_2 \right\}.$$

Let

$$a_j = \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| < \varepsilon_1$$

for each  $y \in Y$  and for all  $j \in T$ . It is obvious that  $T \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S})$ . Choose  $n$  is any integer with  $k_{r-1} < n < k_r$ , where  $r \in T$ . Then, for each  $y \in Y$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| &\leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \\ &= \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \left( \sum_{i \in I_1} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| + \sum_{i \in I_2} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \cdots + \sum_{i \in I_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \right) \\ &= \frac{k_1}{k_{r-1}} \left( \frac{1}{h_1} \sum_{i \in I_1} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{k_{r-1}} \left( \frac{1}{h_2} \sum_{i \in I_2} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \right) \\ &\quad + \cdots + \frac{k_r - k_{r-1}}{k_{r-1}} \left( \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \right) \\ &= \frac{k_1}{k_{r-1}} a_1 + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{k_{r-1}} a_2 + \cdots + \frac{k_r - k_{r-1}}{k_{r-1}} a_r \\ &\leq \left( \sup_{j \in T} a_j \right) \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}} < \varepsilon_1 \cdot K. \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $\varepsilon_2 = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{K}$  and in view of the fact that

$$\bigcup \{n : k_{r-1} < n < k_r, r \in T\} \subset R,$$

where  $T \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S})$ , it follows from our assumption on  $\theta$  that the set  $R$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S})$  and therefore,  $U_i \overset{C_1^t[\mathcal{S}_w]}{\sim} V_i$ . □

We have the following Theorem by Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $\theta$  be a lacunary sequence. If  $1 < \liminf_r q_r < \limsup_r q_r < \infty$  then,

$$U_i \overset{C_1^t[\mathcal{S}_w]}{\sim} V_i \Leftrightarrow U_i \overset{N_\theta^h[\mathcal{S}_w]}{\sim} V_i.$$

**Definition 3.6.** The sequences  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are asymptotically  $p$ -strongly  $\mathcal{S}$ -Cesàro equivalence of multiple  $\mathcal{L}$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for each  $p$  positive real number and for each  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}|^p \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{S}$$

and we write  $U_i \overset{C_p^t[\mathcal{S}_w]}{\sim} V_i$  and simply asymptotically  $p$ -strongly  $\mathcal{S}$ -Cesàro equivalent if  $\mathcal{L} = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** If the sequences  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are asymptotically  $p$ -strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence of multiple  $\mathcal{L}$  then,  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistical equivalence of multiple  $\mathcal{L}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U_i \overset{C_p^{\mathcal{I}}[\mathcal{I}_w]}{\sim} V_i$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  given. Then, for each  $y \in Y$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}|^p &\geq \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \geq \varepsilon}}^n |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}|^p \\ &\geq \varepsilon^p \cdot |\{i \leq n : |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \geq \varepsilon\}| \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^p \cdot n} \sum_{i=1}^n |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}|^p \geq \frac{1}{n} |\{i \leq n : |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \geq \varepsilon\}|.$$

Hence, for each  $y \in Y$  and for a given  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{i \leq n : |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \subseteq \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}|^p \geq \varepsilon^p \cdot \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Therefore,  $U_i \overset{S(\mathcal{I}_w)}{\sim} V_i$ . □

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $d(y, U_i) = \mathcal{O}(d(y, V_i))$ . If  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistical equivalence of multiple  $\mathcal{L}$  then,  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_i\}$  are asymptotically  $p$ -strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro equivalence of multiple  $\mathcal{L}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $d(y, U_i) = \mathcal{O}(d(y, V_i))$  and  $U_i \overset{S(\mathcal{I}_w)}{\sim} V_i$ . Then, there is a  $K > 0$  such that  $|d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \leq K$ , for all  $i$  and for each  $y \in Y$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $y \in Y$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}|^p &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \geq \varepsilon}}^n |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}|^p + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| < \varepsilon}}^n |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}|^p \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} K^p |\{i \leq n : |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \geq \varepsilon\}| + \frac{1}{n} \varepsilon^p |\{i \leq n : |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| < \varepsilon\}| \\ &\leq \frac{K^p}{n} |\{i \leq n : |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \geq \varepsilon\}| + \varepsilon^p. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}|^p \geq \delta \right\} \subseteq \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{i \leq n : |d(y; U_i, V_i) - \mathcal{L}| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \frac{\delta^p}{K^p} \right\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Therefore,  $U_i \overset{C_p^{\mathcal{I}}[\mathcal{I}_w]}{\sim} V_i$ . □

## References

- [1] M. Baronti and P. Papini, *Convergence of sequences of sets*, In: *Methods of Functional Analysis in Approximation Theory* (pp. 133-155), ISNM 76, Birkhauser, Basel (1986).
- [2] G. Beer, On convergence of closed sets in a metric space and distance functions, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 31 (1985), 421–432.
- [3] G. Beer, Wijsman convergence: A survey, *Set-Valued Anal.*, 2 (1994), 77–94.
- [4] P. Das, E. Savaş and S. Kr. Ghosal, On generalizations of certain summability methods using ideals, *Appl. Math. Letters*, 24(9) (2011), 1509–1514.
- [5] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, *Colloq. Math.*, 2 (1951), 241–244.
- [6] J. A. Fridy and C. Orhan, Lacunary statistical convergence, *Pacific J. Math.*, 160(1) (1993), 43–51.
- [7] Ö. Kişi and F. Nuray, New convergence definitions for sequences of sets, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2013 (2013), Article ID 852796, 6 pages. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/852796>.
- [8] Ö. Kişi, E. Savaş and F. Nuray, On asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}$ -lacunary statistical equivalence of sequences of sets, (*submitted for publication*).
- [9] P. Kostyrko, T. Šalát and W. Wilczyński,  $\mathcal{I}$ -Convergence, *Real Anal. Exchange*, 26(2) (2000), 669–686.
- [10] M. Marouf, Asymptotic equivalence and summability, *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, 16(4) (1993), 755–762.
- [11] F. Nuray and B. E. Rhoades, Statistical convergence of sequences of sets, *Fasc. Math.*, 49 (2012), 87–99.
- [12] R. F. Patterson, On asymptotically statistically equivalent sequences, *Demonstratio Mathematica* 36(1) (2003), 149–153.
- [13] R. F. Patterson and E. Savaş, On asymptotically lacunary statistically equivalent sequences, *Thai J. Math.*, 4(2) (2006), 267–272.
- [14] E. Savaş, On  $\mathcal{I}$ -asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent sequences, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, 111 (2013), 7 pages. doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2013-111.
- [15] E. Savaş and P. Das, A generalized statistical convergence via ideals, *Appl. Math. Letters*, 24(6) (2011), 826–830.
- [16] I. J. Schoenberg, The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 66 (1959), 361–375.
- [17] U. Ulusu and E. Dündar,  $\mathcal{I}$ -lacunary statistical convergence of sequences of sets, *Filomat*, 28(8) (2014), 1567–1574. DOI 10.2298/FIL1408567U.
- [18] U. Ulusu and F. Nuray, Lacunary statistical convergence of sequence of sets, *Progress in Applied Mathematics*, 4(2) (2012), 99–109.
- [19] U. Ulusu and F. Nuray, On asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent set sequences, *Journal of Mathematics*, 2013 (2013), Article ID 310438, 5 pages. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/310438>.
- [20] U. Ulusu and Ö. Kişi,  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cesàro summability of sequences of sets, *Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 5(1) 2017, 278–286.
- [21] R. A. Wijsman, Convergence of sequences of convex sets, cones and functions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 70(1) (1964), 186–188.
- [22] R. A. Wijsman, Convergence of Sequences of Convex Sets, Cones and Functions II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 123(1) (1966), 32–45.