JOURNAL OF UNIVERSAL MATHEMATICS Vol.1 No.2 pp.62-87 (2018) ISSN-2618-5660

# EXISTENCE OF ENTROPY SOLUTIONS FOR STRONGLY NONLINEAR ANISOTROPIC ELLIPTIC PROBLEM INVOLVING LOWER ORDER TERMS AND HARDY POTENTIAL

E. AZROUL, M. BOUZIANI, AND H. HJIAJ

ABSTRACT. In this work, we give an existence result of entropy solutions for the following strongly nonlinear anisotropic elliptic Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^{N} D^{i}(|D^{i}u|^{p_{i}-2}D^{i}u) + h(x,u,\nabla u) + |u|^{p_{0}-2}u + |u|^{s-1}u \\ = f + \mu \frac{|u|^{p_{0}-2}u}{|x|^{p_{0}}} - \operatorname{div} \phi(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is an open bounded subset of  $\mathbf{R}^N$  containing the origin,  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . We assume that the datum f belongs to  $L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\mu$  is a positive constant and  $h(x, u, \nabla u)$  is a nonlinear lower order term with natural growth with respect to  $|\nabla u|$ , satisfying the sign condition.

## 1. Introduction

In the last decades one of the topics from the field of calculus of variations and partial differential equations that gained interest is the study of anisotropic problems, as witnessed by a number of researches that have introduced anisotropic Sobolev spaces which are the appropriate framework to deal with a class of problems with non-standard structural conditions, involving a growth exponent  $\vec{p}$ , where prototype of the differential operator considered is the  $\vec{p}$ -laplacien

$$\Delta_{\vec{p}}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} \partial_{x_i} (|\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i - 2} \partial_{x_i} u),$$

which generalize the p-laplace operator.

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbf{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 2$ ), containing the origin with boundary  $\Omega$  and let  $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_N$  be N+1 exponents, with  $1 < p_i < \infty$  for  $i = 0, \ldots, N$ . Our aim is to prove the existence of entropy solutions for the following anisotropic strongly nonlinear elliptic problem

strongly nonlinear elliptic problem 
$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^{N} D^{i}(|D^{i}u|^{p_{i}-2}D^{i}u) + h(x,u,\nabla u) + |u|^{p_{0}-2}u + |u|^{s-1}u \\ = f + \mu \frac{|u|^{p_{0}-2}u}{|x|^{p_{0}}} - \operatorname{div} \phi(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

Date: June 18, 2018, accepted.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35J66, 46E35; Secondary 35D30.

Key words and phrases. Anisotropic Sobolev spaces, Strongly nonlinear elliptic equation, Hardy potential, Entropy solutions, Lower order terms,  $L^1$ -data.

with  $\mu \geq 0$ ,  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\phi \in C^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and

(1.2) 
$$s(x) > \max\left(\frac{N(p_0 - 1)}{N - p_0}, \frac{1}{p_0 - 1}\right).$$

The nonlinear lower order term  $h(x,s,\xi):\Omega\times I\!\!R\times I\!\!R^N\longmapsto I\!\!R^N$  is Carathéodory functions, (measurable with respect to x in  $\Omega$  for every  $(s,\xi)$  in  $I\!\!R\times I\!\!R^N$  and continuous with respect to  $(s,\xi)$  in  $I\!\!R\times I\!\!R^N$  for almost every x in  $\Omega$ ), which satisfies the following conditions

$$(1.3) h(x, s, \xi)s \ge 0,$$

(1.4) 
$$|h(x, s, \xi)| \le l(x) + j(|s|) \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\xi_i|^{p_i},$$

where  $j: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is a continuous nondecreasing function and  $l \in L^1(\Omega)$ . The notion of anisotropic Sobolev spaces were introduced and studied by Nikolskiii [35], and Troisi [38], and later by Trudinger [39] in the framework of Orlicz spaces. This rise of interest for the study of such spaces was motivated by their physical applications in the thermistor problem, flow of electroreological fluids and processes of image restoration (see for example [36], [15] and [5, 28]). It is important to point out the classic result of Boccardo et al. [10] in which they have studied the anisotropic equations with right hand side measures

(1.5) 
$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(j(Du)\right) = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $j(\xi)$  is the vector whose components are  $|\xi_i|^{p_i-2}\xi_i$   $(i=1,\ldots,N,p_i>1)$ , and also when f is a measurable function such that  $\int_{\Omega}|f|\log(1+|f|)<\infty$ . Antonsev et al. have studied the uniqueness of weak solutions for elliptic equations of the following type

$$-\partial_{x_i}(a_i(x,u)|\partial_{x_i}u|^{p_i-2}\partial_{x_i}u) + b(x,u) = f(x)$$

in a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  with Lipschitz continuous boundary  $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$  and particular mixed boundary conditions and they have established a similar result for the parabolic case. Anisotropic elliptic equations have been considered under many other different aspects, for instance with respect to the maximum principle and to the multiplicity of solutions; see e.g. P. Pucci, V. Radulesco et al. [27] and [32], while the authors in [8] and [7] proved the existence of solutions of some anisotropic elliptic equations for a general class of operators of higher order. For more details concerning the anisotropic problems we refer to [4, 3, 14, 16, 17, 41, 40, 33] and the references therein. It would be interesting to refer to some Embedding theorems for anisotropic Sobolev-Orlicz spaces [26] and a fully anisotropic Sobolev Inequality established by Cianchi in [13]. we can also refer the reader to [24] for some basics properties of anisotropic Orlicz-Musielak spaces, moreover Gwiazda et al. in [25] dealt with anisotropic parabolic problems where the N-function was assumed to be homogeneous in space. we mention also that the author in [37] had treated anisotropic behaviour in a parabolic problem in a framework of maximal monotone graphs, possibly multi-valued with growth conditions formulated with help of an x-dependent N-function.

For the isotropic case, i.e  $p_i = p$  attention has been focused on elliptic problems with singularity on its right-hand side particularly the so- called Hardy potential and its effect that give rise to the existence (or nonexistence) of solutions. Abdellaoui

and Peral have treated the optimal power in order to find a solution the following equation

(1.6) 
$$-\Delta u = \lambda \frac{u}{|x|^2} + |\Delta u|^p + cf(x),$$

where  $\Omega$  is domain containing 0, they assumed that  $\lambda$  and c are positive real numbers and f is nonnegative function under some extra hypotheses. In [30], Mercaldo et al. were interested on existence and nonexistence for positive solutions to the degenerated nonlinear elliptic equations

(1.7) 
$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(A(x,u,\nabla u)\right) = \lambda \frac{u^s}{|x|^p} + f(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x) \geq 0 & \text{on } \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

with  $\Omega$  be an open bounded subset of  $I\!\!R^N$   $(N \geq 3)$ ,  $1 , <math>\lambda$  and s are positives numbers, f is nonnegative function in some Lebesgue space, and  $A: \Omega \times I\!\!R \times I\!\!R^N \longmapsto I\!\!R^n$  is such that

$$\frac{c_0}{(a(x)+|t|)^{\theta}}|\xi|^p \le \langle A(x,t,\xi),\xi\rangle \qquad \text{for some} \qquad 0 < \theta < 1$$

which provide a non coercive operator when  $u \to \infty$ . To investigate other problems of this kind we refer the reader [2, 34, 21, 22]. It is meritorious mentioning that this type of problems appear in several contexts. The problem (1.7) could be seen as a reaction model which produces a saturation effect in some solid combustion problems, while (1.6) is the stationary counterpart of some flame propagation models. It should be pointed out that it was used for the resolution of this problem the notion of entropy solutions which was introduced by Bénilan et al. in [9], for the reason that the data f belong to  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Motivated by the papers [34],[2] and [42], we try to deal with strongly nonlinear anisotropic elliptic Dirichlet problem by using the Galerkin method, and to remove the non-existence effect produced by the singular term  $\frac{|u|^{p_0-2}u}{|x|^{p_0}}$  by exploiting the regularizing effect of the term  $|u|^{s-1}u$ . On the other hand the function  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^0(I\!\!R,I\!\!R^N)$  then  $\phi$  does not belongs to  $(L^1_{loc}(\Omega))^N$ , so that proving existence of a weak solution seems to be an arduous task. to overpass this difficulty we will use some techniques in the framework of entropy solutions. The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows: This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is devoted to introduce some preliminary results including a brief discussion on the anisotropic Sobolev spaces. In section 3, we recall some technical lemmas and we state and prove our main existence results.

### 2. Preliminary

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$   $(N \ge 2)$ , Let  $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_N$  be N+1 exponents, with  $1 < p_i < \infty$  for  $i = 0, \ldots, N$ . We denote

$$\vec{p} = (p_0, \dots, p_N), \quad D^0 u = u \quad \text{ and } \quad D^i u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \quad \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N,$$

and we define

(2.1) 
$$\underline{p} = \min\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_N\} \quad \text{then} \quad \underline{p} > 1.$$

The anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev space  $W^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is defined as follow

$$W^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)=\{u\in L^{p_0}(\Omega)\quad\text{ and }\quad D^iu\in L^{p_i}(\Omega)\quad\text{for}\quad i=1,2,\ldots,N\},$$
 endowed with the norm

(2.2) 
$$||u||_{1,\vec{p}} = \sum_{i=0}^{N} ||D^{i}u||_{p_{i}}.$$

We define also  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$  as the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  in  $W^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm (2.2). The space  $(W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega), \|u\|_{1,\vec{p}})$  is a reflexive Banach space (cf. [31]).

**Lemma 2.1.** We have the following continuous and compact embedding

- if  $\underline{p} < N$  then  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$  for  $q \in [\underline{p},\underline{p}^*[$ , where  $\underline{p}^* = \frac{N\underline{p}}{N-p},$
- if p = N then  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$   $\forall q \in [p, +\infty[,$
- if  $\underline{p} > N$  then  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^0(\overline{\Omega})$ .

The proof of this lemma follows from the fact that the embedding  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,\underline{p}}(\Omega)$  is continuous, and in view of the compact embedding theorem for Sobolev spaces.

**Proposition 1.** The dual of  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$  is denote by  $W^{-1,\vec{p'}}(\Omega)$ , where  $\vec{p'}=(p'_0,\ldots,p'_N)$  and  $\frac{1}{p'_i}+\frac{1}{p_i}=1$ , (cf. [6] for the constant exponent case).

For each  $F \in W^{-1,\vec{p'}}(\Omega)$  there exists  $F_i \in L^{p'_i}(\Omega)$  for i = 0, 1, ..., N, such that  $F = F_0 - \sum_{i=1}^N D^i F_i$ . Moreover for any  $u \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\langle F, u \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Omega} F_i D^i u \, dx.$$

We define a norm on the dual space by

$$||F||_{-1,\vec{p'}} = \inf \Big\{ \sum_{i=0}^{N} ||F_i||_{p'_i} / F = F_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} D^i F_i \text{ with } F_i \in L^{p'_i}(\Omega) \Big\}.$$

We set

$$\mathcal{T}_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega):=\{u:\Omega\mapsto I\!\!R \text{ measurable, such that } T_k(u)\in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \text{ for any } k>0\}.$$

Note that, a measurable function u verifying  $T_k(u) \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$  for all k > 0, does not necessarily belong to  $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ . However, for any  $u \in \mathcal{T}_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$  it is possible to define the weak gradient of u, still denoted  $\nabla u$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{T}_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ . For any  $i \in \{1,\ldots,N\}$ , there exists a unique measurable function  $v_i : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\forall k > 0$$
  $D^i T_k(u) = v_i \cdot \chi_{\{|u| < k\}}$  a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ,

where  $\chi_A$  denotes the characteristic function of a measurable set A. The functions  $v_i$  are called the weak partial derivatives of u and are still denoted  $D^iu$ . Moreover, if

u belongs to  $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ , then  $v_i$  coincides with the standard distributional derivative of u, that is,  $v_i = D^i u$ .

The proof of the Proposition 2.2 follows the usual techniques developed in [9] for the case of Sobolev spaces. For more details concerning the anisotropic Sobolev spaces, we refer the reader to [6] and [17].

### 3. Main results

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbf{R}^N$   $(N \geq 2)$ , containing the origin. First of all, we can give a simpler definition of an entropy solution of (1.1) as follows.

**Definition 3.1.** A measurable function u is an entropy solution of the strongly nonlinear anisotropic elliptic Dirichlet problem (1.1) if

$$u \in \mathcal{T}_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega), \quad |u|^{s-1}u \in L^1(\Omega), \quad \frac{|u|^{p_0-2}u}{|x|^{p_0}} \in L^1(\Omega), \quad h(x,u,\nabla u) \in L^1(\Omega), \quad \phi_i(u) \in L^{p_i'}(\Omega)$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, N$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i}u|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}u D^{i} T_{k}(u-\varphi) dx + \int_{\Omega} h(x,u,\nabla u) T_{k}(u-\varphi) dx 
+ \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_{0}-2} u T_{k}(u-\varphi) dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{s-1} u T_{k}(u-\varphi) dx 
\leq \int_{\Omega} f T_{k}(u-\varphi) dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p_{0}-2} u}{|x|^{p_{0}}} T_{k}(u-\varphi) dx + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{i}(u) D^{i} T_{k}(u-\varphi) dx,$$

for any  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ .

Our purpose is to establish the following existence theorem:

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ , assuming that  $\phi \in C^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  and (1.3) - (1.4) hold true. Then, the problem (1.1) has at least one entropy solution u such that  $u \in W_0^{1,\vec{q}}(\Omega)$ , with

(3.2) 
$$\vec{q} = (s, q_1, \dots, q_N)$$
 and  $1 \le q_i < \frac{p_i s}{s+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

## 3.1. Technical Lemmas.

**Lemma 3.3.** (see [23], Theorem 13.47) Let  $(u_n)_n$  be a sequence in  $L^1(\Omega)$  and  $u \in L^1(\Omega)$  such that

(i): 
$$u_n \to u$$
 a.e. in  $\Omega$ ,

(ii):  $u_n \geq 0$  and  $u \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ,

(iii): 
$$\int_{\Omega} u_n \ dx \to \int_{\Omega} u \ dx,$$

then  $u_n \to u$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$  such that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$  and

(3.3) 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Omega} (|D^{i}u_{n}|^{p_{i}-2}D^{i}u_{n} - |D^{i}u|^{p_{i}-2}D^{i}u)(D^{i}u_{n} - D^{i}u) dx \to 0,$$

then  $u_n \longrightarrow u$  in  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$  for a subsequence.

**Proof of Lemma** For the proof of (3.4) we exploit some techniques of [1]. Let's remark that

(3.4)

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i}u_{n} - D^{i}u|^{p_{i}} dx = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Lambda_{i}} |D^{i}u_{n} - D^{i}u|^{p_{i}} dx + \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Omega \setminus \Lambda_{i}} |D^{i}u_{n} - D^{i}u|^{p_{i}} dx.$$

with  $\Lambda_i = \{x \in \Omega/1 < p_i < 2\}$ . Note  $\Theta_n = \sum_{i=0}^N \int_{\Omega} (|D^i u_n|^{p_i-2} D^i u_n - |D^i u|^{p_i-2} D^i u) (D^i u_n - D^i u) dx$ , then by applying the following known inequality

On the one hand, by virtue of the Hölder inequality we get (3.5)

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Lambda_{i}} |D^{i}u_{n} - D^{i}u|^{p_{i}} dx = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Lambda_{i}} \frac{|D^{i}u_{n} - D^{i}u|^{p_{i}}}{\left(|D^{i}u_{n}| + |D^{i}u|\right)^{\frac{p_{i}(2-p_{i})}{2}}} \left(|D^{i}u_{n}| + |D^{i}u|\right)^{\frac{p_{i}(2-p_{i})}{2}} dx \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{N} \left\| \frac{|D^{i}u_{n} - D^{i}u|^{p_{i}}}{\left(|D^{i}u_{n}| + |D^{i}u|\right)^{\frac{p_{i}(2-p_{i})}{2}}} \right\|_{\frac{2}{p_{i}},\Lambda_{i}} \left\| \left(|D^{i}u_{n}| + |D^{i}u|\right)^{\frac{p_{i}(2-p_{i})}{2}} \right\|_{\frac{2}{2-p_{i}},\Lambda_{i}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{N} \max \left\{ \left( \int_{\Lambda_{i}} \frac{|D^{i}u_{n} - D^{i}u|^{2}}{\left(|D^{i}u_{n}| + |D^{i}u|\right)^{2-p_{i}}} > dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \int_{\Lambda_{i}} \frac{|D^{i}u_{n} - D^{i}u|^{2}}{\left(|D^{i}u_{n}| + |D^{i}u|\right)^{2-p_{i}}} dx \right\} \\ &\times \left( \int_{\Lambda_{i}} (|D^{i}u_{n}| + |D^{i}u|)^{p_{i}} dx \right)^{\frac{2-p_{i}}{2}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{N} \max \{\Theta_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}(p_{i} - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \Theta_{n}(p_{i} - 1)^{-1}\} \left( \int_{\Lambda_{i}} (|D^{i}u_{n}| + |D^{i}u|)^{p_{i}} \right)^{\frac{2-p_{i}}{2}}. \end{split}$$

On the other hand it'easy to check that

(3.6) 
$$\Theta_n \ge \sum_{i=0}^N 2^{2-p_i} \int_{\Omega \setminus \Lambda_i} |D^i u_n - D^i u|^{p_i} dx.$$

Passing to the limit as  $n \to \infty$  while bearing in mind (3.4) and (3.4) – (3.6), we conclude that  $u_n \to u$  in  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}$ .

## Proof of the Theorem 3.2.

Step 1: Approximate problems. Let  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of smooth functions such that  $f_n \to f$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  and  $|f_n| \leq |f|$  (for example  $f_n = T_n(f)$ ). We consider the approximate problem (3.7)

$$A_n u_n + h_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) + |u_n|^{p_0 - 2} u_n + |T_n(u_n)|^{s - 1} T_n(u_n) = f_n + \mu \frac{|T_n(u_n)|^{p_0 - 2} T_n(u_n)}{|x|^{p_0} + \frac{1}{n}} - \text{div } \phi_n(u_n),$$

where

$$A_n v = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} D^i(|D^i v|^{p_i - 2} D^i v) + |v|^{p_0 - 2} v, \qquad \phi_n(s) = \phi(T_n(s))$$

and

$$h_n(x, s, \xi) = \frac{h(x, s, \xi)}{1 + \frac{1}{n}h(x, s, \xi)}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N.$$

let's mention that

$$|h_n(x,s,\xi)| \le n$$
,  $|h_n(x,s,\xi)| \le |h(x,s,\xi)|$  and  $h_n(x,s,\xi)s \ge 0$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

We consider the operator  $G_n: W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \longrightarrow W^{-1,\vec{p'}}(\Omega)$  by

$$\langle G_n u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} h_n(x, u, \nabla u) v dx + \int_{\Omega} |T_n(u)|^{s-1} T_n(u) v dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_n(u)|^{p_0-2} T_n(u)}{|x|^{p_0} + \frac{1}{n}} v dx,$$

for any  $u,v\in W^{1,\vec{p}}_0(\Omega)$ . Using the Hölder's type inequality, we deduce that (3.8)

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle G_n u, v \rangle| &\leq \|h_n(x, u, \nabla u)\|_{p_0'} \|v\|_{p_0} + \int_{\Omega} |T_n(u)|^s |v| dx + \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_n(u)|^{p_0 - 1}}{|x|^{p_0} + \frac{1}{n}} |v| dx \\ &\leq \|h_n(x, u, \nabla u)\|_{p_0'} \|v\|_{p_0} + n^s \int_{\Omega} |v| dx + \mu n^{p_0} \int_{\Omega} |v| dx \\ &\leq C_0 \|v\|_{1, \vec{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we define the operator  $R_n: W_0^{1,\vec{p'}}(\Omega) \longrightarrow W^{-1,\vec{p'}}(\Omega)$  by

$$\langle R_n(u), v \rangle = \langle \operatorname{div} \phi_n(u), v \rangle = -\int_{\Omega} \phi_n(u) \nabla v \, dx, \quad \text{for any} \quad u, v \in W_0^{1, \vec{p}}(\Omega),$$

with  $\phi_n(u) = (\phi_{i,n}(u), \dots, \phi_{N,n}(u))$ . Thanks to the Hölder's type inequality, we have

$$|\int_{\Omega} \phi_{n}(u) \nabla v \, dx| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |\phi_{i,n}(u)| |D^{i}v| \, dx$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\phi_{i,n}(u)\|_{p'_{i}} \|D^{i}v\|_{p_{i}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sup_{|\sigma| \leq n} |\phi_{i}(\sigma)| \left(meas(\Omega)\right)^{\frac{1}{p'_{i}}} \|v\|_{1,\vec{p}}$$

$$\leq C_{1} \|v\|_{1,\vec{p}}.$$

**Lemma 3.5.** The bounded operator  $B_n = A_n + G_n + R_n$  acting from  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$  into  $W^{-1,\vec{p'}}(\Omega)$  is pseudo-monotone. Moreover,  $B_n$  is coercive in the following sense:

$$\frac{\langle B_n v, v \rangle}{\|v\|_{1, \vec{p}}} \longrightarrow +\infty \qquad \quad as \quad \|v\|_{1, \vec{p}} \to \infty \quad for \quad v \in W^{1, \vec{p}}_0(\Omega).$$

# Proof of the Lemma 3.5

In view of the Hölder's inequality and by (3.8) and (3.9), it's easy to see that the operator  $B_n$  is bounded.

For the coercivity, we have for any  $u \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ ,

$$\begin{split} \langle B_n u, u \rangle &= \langle A_n u, u \rangle + \langle G_n u, u \rangle + \langle R_n(u), v \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^N \int_{\Omega} D^i u_n |^{p_i} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} h_n(x, u, \nabla u) u \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |T_n(u)|^s |u| \, dx - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_n(u)|^{p_0-1}}{|x|^{p_0} + \frac{1}{n}} |u| \, dx \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |\phi_{i,n}(u)| |D^i u| \, dx \\ &\geq \|u\|_{1,\vec{p}}^{\underline{p}} + \int_{\Omega} |T_n(u)|^{s+1} \, dx - 2\mu n^{p_0} \|1\|_{p_0'} \|u\|_{1,\vec{p}} - C_1 \|u\|_{1,\vec{p}} \\ &\geq \|u\|_{1,\vec{p}}^{\underline{p}} - C_2 \|u\|_{1,\vec{p}} \end{split}$$

it follows that

$$\frac{\langle B_n u, u \rangle}{\|u\|_{1,\vec{p}}} \longrightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as} \quad \|u\|_{1,\vec{p}} \to \infty.$$

It remains to show that  $B_n$  is pseudo-monotone. Let  $(u_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$  such that

(3.10) 
$$\begin{cases} u_k \rightharpoonup u & \text{in } W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega), \\ B_n u_k \rightharpoonup \chi_n & \text{in } W^{-1,\vec{p'}}(\Omega), \\ \limsup_{k \to \infty} \langle B_n u_k, u_k \rangle \le \langle \chi_n, u \rangle. \end{cases}$$

We will prove that

$$\chi_n = B_n u$$
 and  $\langle B_n u_k, u_k \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \chi_n, u \rangle$  as  $k \to +\infty$ .

We have

$$(3.11) |D^i u_k|^{p_i - 2} D^i u_k \rightharpoonup |D^i u|^{p_i - 2} D^i u \text{in} L^{p'_i}(\Omega) \text{as } k \to \infty$$

(3.12) 
$$|u_k|^{p_0-2}u_k \rightharpoonup |u|^{p_0-2}u$$
 in  $L^{p'_0}(\Omega)$  as  $k \to \infty$ 

In view of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$(3.13) |T_n(u_k)|^{s-1}T_n(u_k) \to |T_n(u)|^{s-1}T_n(u) in L^{p'_0}(\Omega),$$

and

(3.14) 
$$\frac{|T_n(u_k)|^{p_0-2}T_n(u_k)}{|x|^{p_0}+\frac{1}{n}} \to \frac{|T_n(u)|^{p_0-2}T_n(u)}{|x|^{p_0}+\frac{1}{n}} \text{ in } L^{p_0'}(\Omega).$$

It easy to check that  $(h_n(x, u_k, \nabla u_k))_k$  is bounded in  $L^{\underline{p}'}(\Omega)$ , then there exists a function  $\varphi_n$  such that

(3.15) 
$$h_n(x, u_k, \nabla u_k) \rightharpoonup \varphi_n \quad \text{in} \quad L^{\underline{p}'}(\Omega) \quad \text{as } k \to \infty$$

Furthermore, since  $\phi_n = \phi \circ T_n$  is a bounded continuous function and  $u_k \to u$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , by using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we deduce that

(3.16) 
$$\phi_{i,n}(u_k) \rightharpoonup \phi_{i,n}(u)$$
 in  $L^{p'_i}(\Omega)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

For any  $v \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ , we get (3.17)

$$\begin{split} \langle \chi_{n}, v \rangle &= \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle B_{n} u_{k}, v \rangle \\ &= \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i} u_{k}|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i} u_{k} D^{i} v \, dx + \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} h_{n}(x, u_{k}, \nabla u_{k}) v \, dx \\ &+ \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} |T_{n}(u_{k})|^{s-1} T_{n}(u_{k}) v \, dx - \lim_{k \to \infty} \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_{n}(u_{k})|^{p_{0}-2} T_{n}(u_{k})}{|x|^{p_{0}} + \frac{1}{n}} v \, dx \\ &- \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{i,n}(u_{k}) D^{i} v \, dx \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i} u|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i} u D^{i} v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{n} v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |T_{n}(u)|^{s-1} T_{n}(u) v \, dx \\ &- \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_{n}(u)|^{p_{0}-2} T_{n}(u)}{|x|^{p_{0}} + \frac{1}{n}} v \, dx - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{i,n}(u) D^{i} v \, dx. \end{split}$$

Having in mind (3.10) and (3.17), we obtain (3.18)

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \langle B_n(u_k), u_k \rangle$$

$$= \limsup_{k \to \infty} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i}u_{k}|^{p_{i}} dx + \int_{\Omega} h_{n}(x, u_{k}, \nabla u_{k}) u_{k} dx + \int_{\Omega} |T_{n}(u_{k})|^{s-1} T_{n}(u_{k}) u_{k} dx \right.$$

$$\left. - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_{n}(u_{k})|^{p_{0}-2} T_{n}(u_{k})}{|x|^{p_{0}} + \frac{1}{n}} u_{k} dx - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{i,n}(u_{k}) D^{i}u_{k} dx \right\}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i}u|^{p_{i}} dx + \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{n}u dx + \int_{\Omega} |T_{n}(u)|^{s-1} T_{n}(u) u dx$$

$$\left. - \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_{n}(u)|^{p_{0}-2} T_{n}(u)}{|x|^{p_{0}} + \frac{1}{n}} u dx - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{i,n}(u) D^{i}u dx. \right.$$

Thanks to (3.13) and (3.14), we have

(3.19) 
$$\int_{\Omega} |T_n(u_k)|^{s-1} T_n(u_k) u_k \, dx \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} |T_n(u)|^{s-1} T_n(u) u \, dx,$$

and

(3.20) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_n(u_k)|^{p_0-2} T_n(u_k)}{|x|^{p_0} + \frac{1}{n}} u_k \, dx \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_n(u)|^{p_0-2} T_n(u)}{|x|^{p_0} + \frac{1}{n}} u \, dx.$$

Due to (3.15) and (3.16), it yields

(3.21) 
$$\int_{\Omega} h_n(x, u_k, \nabla u_k) u_k \, dx \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} \varphi_n u \, dx,$$

(3.22) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{i,n}(u_k) D^i u_k \, dx \longrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{i,n}(u) D^i u \, dx.$$

Therefore

(3.23) 
$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i} u_{k}|^{p_{i}} dx \leq \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i} u|^{p_{i}} dx.$$

On the other hand, we have

(3.24) 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Omega} (|D^{i}u_{k}|^{p_{i}-2}D^{i}u_{k} - |D^{i}u|^{p_{i}-2}D^{i}u)(D^{i}u_{k} - D^{i}u) dx \ge 0,$$

then

$$\sum_{i=0}^N \int_{\Omega} |D^i u_k|^{p_i} \ dx \ge \sum_{i=0}^N \int_{\Omega} |D^i u_k|^{p_i-2} D^i u_k D^i u \ dx + \sum_{i=0}^N \int_{\Omega} (|D^i u|^{p_i-2} D^i u (D^i u_k - D^i u) \ dx.$$

In view of (3.11) and (3.12) we get

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^i u_k|^{p_i} dx \ge \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^i u|^{p_i} dx.$$

Having in mind (3.23), we conclude that

(3.25) 
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i} u_{k}|^{p_{i}} dx = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i} u|^{p_{i}} dx.$$

Therefore, by combining (3.17), (3.19) - (3.22) and (3.25) we obtain

$$\langle B_n u_k, u_k \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \chi_n, u \rangle$$
 as  $k \to \infty$ .

Now, by (3.25) we can prove that

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left( |D^{i}u_{k}|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}u_{k} - |D^{i}u|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}u \right) \right) (D^{i}u_{k} - D^{i}u) \, dx = 0.$$

and so, by virtue of Lemma 3.4, we get

$$u_k \to u$$
 in  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$  and  $D^i u_k \to D^i u$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ,

then

$$h_n(x, u_k, \nabla u_k) \rightharpoonup h_n(x, u, \nabla u)$$
 in  $L^{p_0'}(\Omega)$ ,

which implies  $\chi_n = B_n u$ . Finally, in view of Lemma 3.5, there exists at least one weak solution  $u_n \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$  of the problem (3.7) (cf. [29], Theorem 8.2).

## Step 2: A priori estimates.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $u_n$  be a weak solution of the approximate problem (3.7), then the following regularity results hold true

(3.26) 
$$u \in W_0^{1,\vec{q}}(\Omega) \quad \text{with} \quad \vec{q} = (s, q_1, \dots, q_N)$$

where the exponent s verify the condition  $s > \max\left(\frac{N(p_0 - 1)}{N - p_0}, \frac{1}{p_0 - 1}\right)$  and  $1 \le q_i < \frac{p_i s}{s + 1}$ , then

(3.27) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|D^{i}u_{n}|^{p_{i}}}{(1+|u_{n}|)^{\theta}} dx \leq C \quad \text{for all} \quad 1 < \theta < \frac{s(p_{i}-q_{i})}{q_{i}},$$

(3.28) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n})|^{p_{i}} dx \leq C(1+k)^{\theta} \quad \text{for all} \quad k > 0,$$

with C is a positive constant that doesn't depend on k and n.

#### Proof of Lemma 3.6

Let  $\theta > 1$  which will be chosen later, we consider the two functions  $\varphi(t) : \mathbb{R} \longmapsto \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\varphi(t) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+|t|)^{\theta-1}}\right) sign(t)$$
 and  $J(t) = \int_0^t j(|\rho|) d\rho$ 

It's clear that  $\varphi(u_n) \exp(J(|u_n|)) \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , and  $0 \leq J(\infty) < \infty$ . By taking  $\varphi(u_n) \exp(J(|u_n|))$  as test function in (3.7) we get

$$(\theta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(|D^{i}u_{n}|^{p_{i}})}{(1 + |u_{n}|)^{\theta}} \exp(J(|u_{n}|)) dx + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} (|D^{i}u_{n}|^{p_{i}}j(|u_{n}|)|\varphi(u_{n})| \exp(J(|u_{n}|)) dx$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} h_{n}(x, u_{n}, \nabla u_{n})\varphi(u_{n}) \exp(J(|u_{n}|)) dx + \int_{\Omega} |u_{n}|^{p_{0}-2}u_{n}\varphi(u_{n}) \exp(J(|u_{n}|)) dx$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} |T_{n}(u_{n})|^{s-1}T_{n}(u_{n})\varphi(u_{n}) \exp(J(|u_{n}|)) dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} f_{n}\varphi(u_{n}) \exp(J(|u_{n}|)) dx + \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_{n}(u_{n})|^{p_{0}-2}T_{n}(u_{n})}{|x|^{p_{0}} + \frac{1}{n}} \varphi(u_{n}) \exp(J(|u_{n}|)) dx$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{i,n}(u_{n})D^{i}(\varphi(u_{n}) \exp(J(|u_{n}|))) dx.$$

Since  $\varphi(u_n)$  have the same sign of  $u_n$ , thus the fourth term on the left-hand side of the previous inequality is positive. Also, we have (3.29)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{i,n}(u_n) D^i(\varphi(u_n) \exp(J(|u_n|))) dx \le \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |\phi_{i,n}(u_n)| |D^i(\varphi(u_n) \exp(J(|u_n|)))| dx$$

$$\le \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sup_{|s| \le n} |\phi_i(s)| \int_{\Omega} |D^i(\varphi(u_n) \exp(J(|u_n|)))| dx \le C_3.$$

Seeing that,  $|\varphi(\cdot)| \le 1$  and in view of (1.4) and (3.29) we obtain (3.30)

$$(\theta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|D^{i}u_{n}|^{p_{i}}}{(1 + |u_{n}|)^{\theta}} \exp(J(|u_{n}|)) dx + \int_{\Omega} |T_{n}(u_{n})|^{s} |\varphi(u_{n})| \exp(J(|u_{n}|)) dx \leq \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_{n}(u_{n})|^{p_{0} - 1}}{|x|^{p_{0}} + \frac{1}{n}} \exp(J(|u_{n}|)) dx + \int_{\Omega} (|f| + |l|) \exp(J(|u_{n}|)) dx + C_{3}.$$

It is clear that

$$\frac{1}{2} \le 1 - \frac{1}{(1+|u_n|)^{\theta-1}}$$
 for  $|u_n| \ge R = \max(2^{\frac{1}{\theta-1}} - 1, 1)$ .

Thus, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\{|u_n| \ge R\}} |T_n(u_n)|^s dx \le \int_{\{|u_n| \ge R\}} |T_n(u_n)|^s \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta - 1}}\right) dx 
\le \int_{\Omega} |T_n(u_n)|^s \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta - 1}}\right) dx,$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |T_n(u_n)|^s dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\{|u_n| < R\}} |T_n(u_n)|^s dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\{|u_n| \ge R\}} |T_n(u_n)|^s dx 
\leq \frac{1}{2} R^s |\Omega| + \int_{\Omega} |T_n(u_n)|^s \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta - 1}}\right) dx.$$

Using (3.30), we deduce that (3.31)

$$(\theta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|D^{i}u_{n}|^{p_{i}}}{(1 + |u_{n}|)^{\theta}} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |T_{n}(u_{n})|^{s} dx$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} R^{s} |\Omega| + \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_{n}(u_{n})|^{p_{0}-1}}{|x|^{p_{0}}} \exp(J(\infty)) dx + \int_{\Omega} (|f| + |l|) \exp(J(\infty)) dx + C_{3}.$$

Inasmuch as  $s > p_0 - 1$ , the Young inequality enables us to obtain

$$\mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_n(u_n)|^{p_0-1}}{|x|^{p_0}} \exp(J(\infty)) \, dx \le \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} |T_n(u_n)|^s \, dx + C_4 \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{|x|^{\frac{sp_0}{s-p_0+1}}},$$

with  $C_2$  is a positive constant depending only on s,  $p_0$ ,  $\exp(J(\infty))$  and  $\mu$ . Thus, we obtain

(3.32)

$$(\theta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|D^{i}u_{n}|^{p_{i}}}{(1 + |u_{n}|)^{\theta}} dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} |T_{n}(u_{n})|^{s} dx$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} R^{s} |\Omega| + C_{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{|x|^{\frac{sp_{0}}{s - p_{0} + 1}}} + \exp(J(\infty)) \int_{\Omega} (|f| + |l|) dx + C_{3}.$$

Under the assumption  $s > \frac{N(p_0-1)}{N-p_0}$ , the integral  $\int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{|x|^{\frac{sp_0}{s-p_0+1}}}$  is finite. Therefore

(3.27) is deduced. Moreover, we have

Taking  $q_i$  such that  $1 \leq q_i < p_i$  for i = 1, ..., N. By virtue of the generalized Hölder's inequality we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i} u_{n}|^{q_{i}} dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| \frac{|D^{i} u_{n}|^{q_{i}}}{(1+|u_{n}|)^{\frac{\theta q_{i}}{p_{i}}}} \right\|_{\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}} \left\| (1+|u_{n}|)^{\frac{\theta q_{i}}{p_{i}}} \right\|_{\frac{p_{i}}{p_{i}-q_{i}}} \\
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|D^{i} u_{n}|^{p_{i}}}{(1+|u_{n}|)^{\theta}} dx \right)^{\frac{q_{i}}{p_{i}}} \left( \int_{\Omega} (1+|u_{n}|)^{\frac{q_{i}\theta}{p_{i}-q_{i}}} dx + 1 \right)^{1-\frac{q_{i}}{p_{i}}}.$$

We now choose  $\theta > 1$  such that  $\frac{q_i \theta}{p_i - q_i} < s$ , such a real number  $\theta$  exists if

$$1 < \frac{s(p_i - q_i)}{q_i}$$
 that is  $q_i < \frac{p_i s}{s+1}$ .

Combining (3.32) - (3.34), we obtain the desired estimates (3.26). To get (3.28), we have thanks to (3.27) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n})|^{p_{i}} dx = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{|u_{n}| < k\}} |D^{i}u_{n}|^{p_{i}} dx \le (1+k)^{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|D^{i}u_{n}|^{p_{i}}}{(1+|u_{n}|)^{\theta}} dx.$$

Step 3: The weak convergence of  $(T_k(u_n))_n$  in  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ . In order to establish the weak convergence of  $(T_k(u_n))_n$  in  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ , we begin by proving that  $(u_n)_n$  is a Cauchy sequence. In fact, thanks to (3.28), we can obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i} T_{k}(u_{n})|^{p_{i}} dx \leq C(1+k)^{\theta} + k^{p_{0}} |\Omega| \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 1,$$

Therefore, the sequence  $(T_k(u_n))_n$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ , and there exists a subsequence still denoted  $(T_k(u_n))_n$  such that

$$\begin{cases} T_k(u_n) \rightharpoonup \eta_k & \text{in } W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega), \\ T_k(u_n) \to \eta_k & \text{in } L^{\underline{p}}(\Omega) \text{ and a.e. in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i} T_{k}(u_{n})|^{p_{i}} dx \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} (|D^{i} T_{k}(u_{n})|^{\underline{p}} - 1) dx$$
$$= \|\nabla T_{k}(u_{n})\|^{\underline{p}} - N|\Omega|,$$

Thanks to (3.28), we deduce that there exists a constant  $C_5$  that does not depend on k and n, such that

(3.36) 
$$\|\nabla T_k(u_n)\|_p \le C_5 k^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \quad \text{for } k \ge 1.$$

Thanks to the Poincaré type inequality, we obtain

(3.37) 
$$k \max\{|u_n| > k\} = \int_{\{|u_n| > k\}} |T_k(u_n)| \, dx \le \int_{\Omega} |T_k(u_n)| \, dx \le C_6 ||T_k(u_n)||_{\underline{p}} \le C_7 ||\nabla T_k(u_n)||_{\underline{p}} \le C_8 k^{\frac{\theta}{\underline{p}}}.$$

Choosing  $\theta$  small enough  $(1 < \theta < p)$ , we conclude that

(3.38) 
$$\operatorname{meas}\{|u_n| > k\} \le C_8 \frac{1}{k^{1-\frac{\theta}{\underline{\rho}}}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to +\infty.$$

For all  $\delta > 0$ , we have

 $\max\{|u_n - u_m| > \delta\} \le \max\{|u_n| > k\} + \max\{|u_m| > k\} + \max\{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(u_m)| > \delta\}.$ 

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , using (3.38) we can choose  $k = k(\varepsilon)$  large enough such that

(3.39) 
$$\max\{|u_n| > k\} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$
 and  $\max\{|u_m| > k\} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ .

On the other hand, thanks to (3.35) we can assume that  $(T_k(u_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in measure. Thus, for any k>0 and  $\delta,\varepsilon>0$ , there exists  $n_0=n_0(k,\delta,\varepsilon)$  such that

(3.40) 
$$\max\{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(u_m)| > \delta\} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{for all } m, n \ge n_0(k, \delta, \varepsilon).$$

In view of (3.39) and (3.40), we deduce that

$$\forall \delta, \varepsilon > 0$$
 there exists  $n_0 = n_0(\delta, \varepsilon)$  such that  $\max\{|u_n - u_m| > \delta\} \le \varepsilon \quad \forall n, m \ge n_0(\delta, \varepsilon)$ ,

which proves that the sequence  $(u_n)_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in measure and then converges almost everywhere to some measurable function u. Consequently, we have

(3.41) 
$$T_k(u_n) \rightharpoonup T_k(u) \quad \text{in} \quad W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega),$$

and in view Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

(3.42) 
$$T_k(u_n) \longrightarrow T_k(u)$$
 in  $L^{p_0}(\Omega)$  and a.e in  $\Omega$ .

Step 4: Strong convergence of truncations. In the sequel, we denote by  $\varepsilon_i(n)$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots$ , various real-valued functions of real variables that converge to 0 as n tends to infinity.

Let h > k > 0, taking  $z_n := u_n - T_h(u_n) + T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)$ , M = 4k + h and  $\omega_n := T_{2k}(z_n)$ .

We also consider  $\psi_k(s) = s \cdot \exp(\lambda s^2)$  where  $\lambda = (b(k)/(2))^2$ . It is simple to see that ([11], Lemma 1)

$$\psi_k'(s) - b(k)|\psi_k(s)| \ge \frac{1}{2}$$
 for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

By using  $\psi_k(\omega_n)$  as a test function in the approximate problem (3.7) we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i}u_{n}|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}u_{n} \psi_{k}'(\omega_{n}) D^{i}\omega_{n} dx + \int_{\Omega} h_{n}(x, u_{n}, \nabla u_{n}) \psi_{k}(\omega_{n}) dx$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} |u_{n}|^{p_{0}-2} u_{n} \psi_{k}(\omega_{n}) dx + \int_{\Omega} |T_{n}(u_{n})|^{s-1} T_{n}(u_{n}) \psi_{k}(\omega_{n}) dx$$

$$= \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_{n}(u_{n})|^{p_{0}-2} T_{n}(u_{n})}{|x|^{p_{0}} + \frac{1}{n}} \psi_{k}(\omega_{n}) dx + \int_{\Omega} f_{n} \psi_{k}(\omega_{n}) dx + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{i,n}(u_{n}) \psi_{k}'(\omega_{n}) D^{i}\omega_{n} dx.$$

For M=4k+h, it's clear that  $D^i\omega_n=0$  on the set  $\{|u_n|\geq M\}$ , and since  $h_n(x,u_n,\nabla u_n)\psi_k(\omega_n)\geq 0$  on the set  $\{|u_n|>k\}$ , therefore

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{|u_n| \leq M\}} |D^i T_M(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_M(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) D^i \omega_n \, dx + \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} h_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \psi_k(\omega_n) \, dx \\ + \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} |T_k(u_n)|^{p_0 - 2} T_k(u_n) \psi_k(\omega_n) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |T_n(u_n)|^{s - 1} T_n(u_n) \psi_k(\omega_n) \, dx \\ \leq \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_n(u_n)|^{p_0 - 2} T_n(u_n)}{|x|^{p_0} + \frac{1}{n}} \psi_k(\omega_n) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} f_n \psi_k(\omega_n) \, dx \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{|u_n| \leq M\}} \phi_{i,n}(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) D^i \omega_n \, dx. \end{split}$$

Thanks to the Young inequality, we have

$$\mu \int_{\{|u_n|>k\}} \frac{|T_n(u_n)|^{p_0-1}}{|x|^{p_0} + \frac{1}{n}} |\psi_k(\omega_n)| dx \le \int_{\{|u_n|>k\}} |T_n(u_n)|^s |\psi_k(\omega_n)| dx + C_7 \int_{\{|u_n|>k\}} \frac{|\psi_k(\omega_n)|}{|x|^{\frac{p_0s}{s-p_0+1}}} dx,$$

and since  $\omega_n = T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)$  on the set  $\{|u_n| \le k\}$ , then (3.43)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{|u_n| \leq M\}} |D^i T_M(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_M(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) D^i \omega_n \, dx + \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} h_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \psi_k(\omega_n) \, dx \\ + \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} |T_k(u_n)|^{p_0 - 2} T_k(u_n) \psi_k(\omega_n) \, dx + \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} |T_n(u_n)|^{s - 1} T_n(u_n) \psi_k(\omega_n) \, dx \\ \leq \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_n(u_n)|^{p_0 - 2} T_n(u_n)}{|x|^{p_0 + \frac{1}{n}}} \psi_k(\omega_n) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} f_n \psi_k(\omega_n) \, dx + C_9 \int_{\{|u_n| > k\}} \frac{|\psi_k(\omega_n)|}{|x|^{\frac{p_0 s}{s - p_0 + 1}}} \, dx \, dx \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{|u_n| \leq M\}} \phi_{i,n}(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) D^i \omega_n \, dx.$$

Now, we will study each terms in the previews inequality. Firstly, we have

$$(3.44) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{|u_n| \leq M\}} |D^i T_M(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_M(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) D^i \omega_n \, dx$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} |D^i T_k(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_k(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) D^i T_{2k}(u_n - T_k(u)) \, dx$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{k < |u_n| \leq M\}} D^i T_M(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_M(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) D^i \omega_n \, dx.$$

on one hand, since  $|u_n - T_k(u)| \le 2$  on  $\{|u_n| \le k\}$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{|u_n| \le k\}} |D^i T_k(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_k(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) D^i T_{2k}(u_n - T_k(u)) dx$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^i T_k(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_k(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) (D^i T_k(u_n) - D^i T_k(u)) dx$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{k < |u_n|\}} |D^i T_k(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_k(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) D^i T_k(u) dx.$$

Seeing that  $1 \leq \psi'_k(\omega_n) \leq \psi'_k(2k)$ , then

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{k < |u_n|\}} |D^i T_k(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_k(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) D^i T_k(u) \, dx \right|$$

$$\leq \psi_k'(2k) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{k < |u_n|\}} |D^i T_k(u_n)|^{p_i - 1} |D^i T_k(u)| \, dx,$$

and since  $|D^iT_k(u_n)|^{p_i-1}$  is bounded in  $L^{p_i'}(\Omega)$ , then there exists  $\zeta \in L^{p_i'}(\Omega)$  such that  $|D^iT_k(u_n)|^{p_i-1} \rightharpoonup \zeta$  in  $L^{p_i'}(\Omega)$ . Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{k < |u_n|\}} |D^i T_k(u_n)|^{p_i - 1} |D^i T_k(u)| \, dx \to \int_{\{k < |u|\}} \zeta |D^i T_k(u)| \, dx = 0.$$

subsequently

(3.46) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{k<|u_n|\}} |D^i T_k(u_n)|^{p_i-2} D^i T_k(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) D^i T_k(u) \, dx = \varepsilon_0(n).$$

Taking  $z_n := u_n - T_h(u_n) + T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)$ , in the second term on the right hand side of (3.44), we get

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\{k < |u_n| \le M\}} |D^i T_M(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_M(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) D^i \omega_n \, dx \\ &= \int_{\{k < |u_n| \le M\} \cap \{|z_n| \le 2k\}} |D^i T_M(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_M(u_n) D^i(u_n - T_h(u_n) + T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \, dx \\ &\ge &= \int_{\{k < |u_n| \le M\}} |D^i T_M(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_M(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) D^i(u_n - T_k(u)) . \chi_{|u_n| > h} \, dx \\ & - \int_{\{k < |u_n| \le M\}} |D^i T_M(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_M(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) D^i T_k(u) . \chi_{|u_n| \le h} \, dx \\ &\ge &- \psi_k'(2k) \int_{\{k < |u| \le M\}} |D^i T_M(u_n)|^{p_i - 1} \, |D^i T_k(u)| \, dx = 0. \end{split}$$

Similarly to (3.46), we can prove that

(3.47) 
$$\psi'_k(2k) \int_{\{k < |u| \le M\}} |D^i T_M(u_n)|^{p_i - 1} |D^i T_k(u)| dx = \varepsilon_1(n)$$

By combining (3.44) - (3.47), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{|u_n| \le M\}} |D^i T_M(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_M(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) D^i \omega_n \, dx$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^i T_k(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_k(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) (D^i T_k(u_n) - D^i T_k(u)) \, dx + \varepsilon_2(n).$$

Therefore, we have (3.49)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left( |D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n})|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}) - |D^{i}T_{k}(u)|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{k}(u) \right) \cdot \left( D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}) - D^{i}T_{k}(u) \right) \psi'_{k}(\omega_{n}) \, dx$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{|u_{n}| \leq M\}} |D^{i}T_{M}(u_{n})|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{M}(u_{n}) \psi'_{k}(\omega_{n}) D^{i}\omega_{n} \, dx$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i}T_{k}(u)|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{k}(u) \left( D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}) - D^{i}T_{k}(u) \right) \psi'_{k}(\omega_{n}) \, dx - \varepsilon_{2}(n)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{|u_{n}| \leq M\}} |D^{i}T_{M}(u_{n})|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{M}(u_{n}) \psi'_{k}(\omega_{n}) D^{i}\omega_{n} \, dx$$

$$+ \psi'_{k}(2k) \int_{\Omega} |D^{i}T_{k}(u)|^{p_{i}-1} |D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}) - D^{i}T_{k}(u)| \, dx - \varepsilon_{2}(n).$$

For the second term on the right-hand side of (3.49), since  $|D^iT_k(u)|^{p_i-1}$  is bounded in  $L^{p_i'}(\Omega)$  and  $D^iT_k(u_n) \rightharpoonup D^iT_k(u)$  in  $L^{p_i}(\Omega)$ , then

(3.50) 
$$\psi'_k(2k) \int_{\Omega} |D^i T_k(u)|^{p_i - 1} |D^i T_k(u_n) - D^i T_k(u)| dx = \varepsilon_3(n) \text{ as } n \to 0.$$

Consequently, we deduce that (3.51)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} (|D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n})|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}) - |D^{i}T_{k}(u)|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{k}(u)) \cdot (D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}) - D^{i}T_{k}(u)) \psi'_{k}(\omega_{n}) dx$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{|u_{n}| \leq M\}} |D^{i}T_{M}(u_{n})|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{M}(u_{n}) \psi'_{k}(\omega_{n}) D^{i}\omega_{n} dx + \varepsilon_{4}(n).$$

Secondly, we deal with the second term on the left-hand side of (3.43). In view of (1.4) we have

$$\begin{split} & \left| \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} h_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \psi_k(\omega_n) \, dx \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} l(x) |\psi_k(\omega_n)| \, dx + j(k) \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |D^i T_k(u_n)|^{p_i} |\psi_k(\omega_n)| \, dx \\ & = \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} l(x) |\psi_k(\omega_n)| \, dx + j(k) \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left( |D^i T_k(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} |D^i T_k(u_n)| \right) D^i T_k(u_n) |\psi_k(\omega_n)| \, dx \\ & \leq \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} l(x) |\psi_k(\omega_n)| \, dx \\ & + j(k) \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left( |D^i T_k(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_k(u_n) - |D^i T_k(u)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_k(u) \right) \\ & \cdot (D^i T_k(u_n) - D^i T_k(u)) |\psi_k(\omega_n)| \, dx \\ & + j(k) \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |D^i T_k(u)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_k(u) (D^i T_k(u_n) - D^i T_k(u)) |\psi_k(\omega_n)| \, dx \\ & + j(k) \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left( |D^i T_k(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_k(u_n) \right) D^i T_k(u). \end{split}$$

It yields (3.52)

$$\begin{split} j(k) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left( |D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n})|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}) - |D^{i}T_{k}(u)|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{k}(u) \right) \\ . (D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}) - D^{i}T_{k}(u)) |\psi_{k}(\omega_{n})| \, dx \\ & \geq \left| \int_{\{|u_{n}| \leq k\}} h_{n}(x, u_{n}, \nabla u_{n}) \psi_{k}(\omega_{n}) \, dx \right| - \int_{\{|u_{n}| \leq k\}} l(x) |\psi_{k}(\omega_{n})| \, dx \\ & - j(k) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i}T_{k}(u)|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{k}(u) (D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}) - D^{i}T_{k}(u)) |\psi_{k}(\omega_{n})| \, dx \\ & - j(k) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left( |D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n})|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}) \right) D^{i}T_{k}(u) |\psi_{k}(\omega_{n})| \, dx. \end{split}$$

Regarding the third term on the right-hand side of (3.52), due to (3.50), we obtain (3.53)

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i} T_{k}(u)|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i} T_{k}(u) (D^{i} T_{k}(u_{n}) - D^{i} T_{k}(u)) |\psi_{k}(\omega_{n})| \right| dx$$

$$\leq \psi_{k}(2k) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left| |D^{i} T_{k}(u)|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i} T_{k}(u) \right| \left| D^{i} T_{k}(u_{n}) - D^{i} T_{k}(u) \right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Concerning the fourth term of the right-hand side of (3.52), knowing that  $|D^iT_k(u_n)|^{p_i-2}D^iT_k(u_n)$  is bounded in  $L^{p_i'}(\Omega)$ , then there exists  $\gamma \in L^{p_i'}(\Omega)$  such that  $|D^iT_k(u_n)|^{p_i-2}D^iT_k(u_n) \rightharpoonup \gamma$  in  $L^{p_i'}(\Omega)$  and, by applying

$$D^i T_k(u) |\psi_k(\omega_n)| \rightharpoonup D^i T_k(u) |\psi_k(T_{2k}(u - T_h(u)))|$$
 in  $L^{p'_i}(\Omega)$ ,

we deduce that

(3.54) 
$$\int_{\Omega} (|D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n})|^{p_{i}-2}D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}))D^{i}T_{k}(u)|\psi_{k}(\omega_{n})| dx \\ \to \int_{\Omega} \gamma D^{i}T_{k}(u)|\psi_{k}(T_{2k}(u-T_{h}(u)))| = 0.$$

For the second term of the right-hand side of (3.52), using the fact that  $\psi_k(\omega_n) \rightharpoonup \psi_k(T_{2k}(u - T_h(u)))$  weak-\* in  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  as  $n \to +\infty$ , then

(3.55) 
$$\int_{\{|u_n| \le k\}} l(x) |\psi_k(\omega_n)| \, dx \to \int_{\{|u| \le k\}} l(x) |\psi_k(T_{2k}(u - T_h(u)))| \, dx = 0$$

By combining (3.52) - (3.55) we conclude that

$$\left| \int_{\{|u_n| \le k\}} h_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \psi_k(\omega_n) \, dx \right| + \varepsilon_5(n)$$

$$\le j(k) \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left( |D^i T_k(u_n)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_k(u_n) - |D^i T_k(u)|^{p_i - 2} D^i T_k(u) \right)$$

$$\cdot (D^i T_k(u_n) - D^i T_k(u)) |\psi_k(\omega_n)| \, dx.$$

As a third estimate, we have

$$\int_{\{|u_n| \le k\}} |u_n|^{p_0 - 2} u_n \psi_k(\omega_n) \, dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \left( |T_k(u_n)|^{p_0 - 2} T_k(u_n) - |T_k(u)|^{p_0 - 2} T_k(u) \right) (T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \exp(\lambda \omega_n^2) dx$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} |T_k(u)|^{p_0 - 2} T_k(u) (T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \exp(\lambda \omega_n^2) dx$$

$$- \int_{\{|u_n| \ge k\}} |T_k(u_n)|^{p_0 - 2} T_k(u_n) (T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) \exp(\lambda \omega_n^2) dx$$

$$\ge \int_{\Omega} \left( |T_k(u_n)|^{p_0 - 2} T_k(u_n) - |T_k(u)|^{p_0 - 2} T_k(u) \right) (T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) dx$$

$$- \exp(\lambda (2k)^2) \int_{\Omega} |T_k(u)|^{p_0 - 1} |(T_k(u_n) - T_k(u))| dx$$

$$- \exp(\lambda (2k)^2) \int_{\{|u_n| \ge k\}} k^{p_0 - 1} (T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) |dx.$$

Thanks to (3.42), the second and the last term on the right-hand side of the previous inequality converges to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ . Thus, we obtain

(3.57) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \left( |T_k(u_n)|^{p_0-2} T_k(u_n) - |T_k(u)|^{p_0-2} T_k(u) \right) (T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)) dx \\ \leq \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} |u_n|^{p_0-2} u_n \psi_k(\omega_n) \, dx + \varepsilon_6(n).$$

For the fourth term of the left-hand side of (3.43). The Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem give us

$$|T_k(u_n)|^{s-1}T_k(u_n) \longrightarrow |T_k(u)|^{s-1}T_k(u)$$
 in  $L^1(\Omega)$ ,

and

$$\left| \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\{|u_n| \le k\}} |T_n(u_n)|^{s-1} T_n(u_n) \psi_k(\omega_n) \, dx \right| dx$$

$$\leq k^s \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\{|u_n| \le k\}} |\psi_k(\omega_n)| \, dx$$

$$= k^s \int_{\{|u| \le k\}} \psi_k(T_{2k}(u - T_h(u))) \, dx = 0,$$

it follows that

(3.58) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\{|u_n| \le k\}} |T_n(u_n)|^{s-1} T_n(u_n) \psi_k(\omega_n) \, dx = 0.$$

Concerning the first term on the right-hand side of (3.43), by virtue of the Hölder's type inequality and as above we have (3.59)

$$\varepsilon_{7}(n) = \left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_{n}(u_{n})|^{p_{0}-2} T_{n}(u_{n})}{|x|^{p_{0}} + \frac{1}{n}} \psi_{k}(\omega_{n}) dx \right|$$

$$\leq k^{s} \left\| \frac{1}{|x|^{p_{0}(x)}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{s}{s-p+1}}(\Omega)} \left\| \psi_{k}(\omega_{n}) \right\|_{L^{\frac{s}{p-1}}(\{|u_{n}| \leq k\})} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

also, due to the weak-\* convergence of  $\psi_k(\omega_n)$  in  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  we have

(3.60) 
$$\int_{\Omega} f_n \ \psi_k(\omega_n) \ dx = \int_{\Omega} f \ \psi_k(T_{2k}(u - T_h(u))) \ dx + \varepsilon_8(n).$$

once again the theorem of Lebesgue allows us

(3.61) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\{|u_n| > k\}} \frac{|\psi_k(\omega_n)|}{|x|^{\frac{p_0 s}{s - p_0 + 1}}} \, dx = \int_{\{|u| > k\}} \frac{|\psi_k(T_{2k}(u - T_h(u))|}{|x|^{\frac{p_0 s}{s - p_0 + 1}}} \, dx.$$

Concerning the last term on the right-hand side of (3.43), we have for n large enough

$$\int_{\{|u_n| \le M\}} \phi_{i,n}(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) D^i \omega_n \, dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \phi_i(T_M(u)) \psi_k'(T_{2k}(u - T_h(u))) D^i T_{2k}(u - T_h(u)) \, dx + \varepsilon_9(n)$$

By using  $W_i(t) = \int_0^t \phi_i(\varsigma) \psi_k'(\varsigma - T_h(\varsigma)) d\varsigma$ , we have  $W_i \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $W_i(0) = 0$ . By applying the Green formula, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \phi_i(T_M(u)) \psi_k'(T_{2k}(u-T_h(u))) D^i T_{2k}(u-T_h(u)) \; dx \\ &= \int_{\{h < |u| \le 2k+h\}} \phi_i(u) \psi_k'(u-T_h(u)) D^i u \; dx \\ &= \int_{\{|u| < 2k+h\}} \phi_i(T_{2k+h}(u)) \psi_k'(T_{2k+h}(u)-T_h(u)) D^i T_{2k+h} \; dx \\ &- \int_{\{|u| < h\}} \phi_i(T_h(u)) \psi_k'(T_h(u)-T_h(u)) D^i T_h \; dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} D^i W_i(T_{2k+h}(u)) \; dx - \int_{\Omega} D^i W_i(T_h(u)) \; dx \\ &= \int_{\partial \Omega} W_i(T_{2k+h}(u)) . n_i \; dx - \int_{\partial \Omega} W_i(T_h(u)) . n_i \; dx = 0. \end{split}$$

Consequently, we get

(3.62) 
$$\int_{\{|u_n| \le M\}} \phi_{i,n}(u_n) \psi_k'(\omega_n) D^i \omega_n \, dx = \varepsilon_{10}(n).$$

Consequently, taking into account (3.43), (3.51) and (3.56) - (3.62), we obtain (3.63)

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left( |D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n})|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}) - |D^{i}T_{k}(u)|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{k}(u) \right) \\
\cdot \left( D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}) - D^{i}T_{k}(u) \right) dx \\
+ \int_{\Omega} \left( |T_{k}(u_{n})|^{p_{0}-2} T_{k}(u_{n}) - |T_{k}(u)|^{p_{0}-2} T_{k}(u) \right) \left( T_{k}(u_{n}) - T_{k}(u) \right) dx \\
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{|u_{n}| \leq M\}} |D^{i}T_{M}(u_{n})|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{M}(u_{n}) \psi'_{k}(\omega_{n}) D^{i}\omega_{n} dx \\
- \left| \int_{\{|u_{n}| \leq k\}} h_{n}(x, u_{n}, \nabla u_{n}) \psi_{k}(\omega_{n}) dx \right| \\
+ \int_{\{|u_{n}| \leq k\}} |u_{n}|^{p_{0}-2} u_{n} \psi_{k}(\omega_{n}) dx + \varepsilon_{10}(n) \\
\leq \int_{\Omega} f \psi_{k}(T_{2k}(u - T_{h}(u))) dx + C_{9} \int_{\{|u| > h\}} \frac{|\psi_{k}(T_{2k}(u - T_{h}(u))|}{|x|^{\frac{p_{0}s}{s-p_{0}+1}}} dx + \varepsilon_{11}(n)$$

therefore

(3.64)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left( |D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n})|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}) - |D^{i}T_{k}(u)|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{k}(u) \right)$$

$$\cdot \left( D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}) - D^{i}T_{k}(u) \right) dx$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} \left( |T_{k}(u_{n})|^{p_{0}-2} T_{k}(u_{n}) - |T_{k}(u)|^{p_{0}-2} T_{k}(u) \right) \left( T_{k}(u_{n}) - T_{k}(u) \right) dx$$

$$\leq 2 \int_{\Omega} f \psi_{k} \left( T_{2k}(u - T_{h}(u)) \right) dx + 2C_{9} \int_{\{|u| > h\}} \frac{|\psi_{k}(T_{2k}(u - T_{h}(u)))|}{|x|^{\frac{p_{0}s}{s-p_{0}+1}}} dx + \varepsilon_{11}(n)$$

Since 
$$\frac{N(p_0-1)}{N-p_0} < s$$
, we have  $\frac{p_0s}{s-p_0+1} < N$  then  $\frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{p_0s}{s-p_0+1}}} \in L^1(\Omega)$ .

Finally, we conclude by letting h and n goes to infinity in (3.64) (3.65)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} (|D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n})|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}) - |D^{i}T_{k}(u)|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{k}(u)) (D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}) - D^{i}T_{k}(u)) dx + \int_{\Omega} (|T_{k}(u_{n})|^{p_{0}(x)-2} T_{k}(u_{n}) - |T_{k}(u_{n})|^{p_{0}(x)-2} T_{k}(u_{n})) (T_{k}(u_{n}) - T_{k}(u)) dx = 0.$$

In view of Lemma 3.4, we conclude that

(3.66) 
$$\begin{cases} T_k(u_n) \longrightarrow T_k(u) & \text{strongly in} \quad W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega), \\ D^i u_n \longrightarrow D^i u & \text{a.e. in} \quad \Omega & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N. \end{cases}$$

Step 5 : The equi-integrability of the nonlinear functions. Thanks to (3.66), we get

(3.67) 
$$h_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \to h(x, u, \nabla u)$$
 a.e. in  $\Omega$ ,

and we have also

(3.68) 
$$|T_n(u_n)|^{s-1}T_n(u_n) \to |u|^{s-1}u$$
 a.e. in  $\Omega$ 

(3.69) 
$$\frac{|T_n(u_n)|^{p_0-2}T_n(u_n)}{|x|^{p_0}+\frac{1}{n}} \longrightarrow \frac{|u|^{p_0-2}u}{|x|^{p_0}} \quad \text{a.e. in} \quad \Omega,.$$

In order to prove the uniform equi-integrability of these functions, we take  $T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n))$  as a test function in (3.7), and since  $T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n))$  have the same sign as  $u_n$  we can obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{k < |u_n| \le k+1\}} |D^i u_n|^{p_i} dx + \int_{\{|u_n| \ge k\}} h_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n)) dx$$

$$+ \int_{\{|u_n| \ge k\}} |T_n(u_n)|^s |T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n))| dx$$

$$\leq \mu \int_{\{|u_n| \ge k\}} \frac{|T_n(u_n)|^{p_0 - 1}}{|x|^{p_0} + \frac{1}{n}} |T_1(u_n - T_h(u_n))| dx + \int_{\{|u_n| \ge k\}} |f_n| dx$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\{k < |u_n| \le k+1\}} \phi_{i,n}(u_n) D^i u_n dx.$$

Note that

$$\int_{\{|u_n| \ge k\}} h_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n)) dx$$

$$\ge \int_{\{|u_n| \ge k+1\}} h_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n)) dx = \int_{\{|u_n| \ge k+1\}} |h_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dx.$$

Let's consider  $\Psi_{i,n} = \int_0^t \phi_{i,n}(\varsigma) d\varsigma$ , we have  $\Psi_n \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\Psi_{i,n}(0) = 0$ . By virtue of Green formula, we obtain

$$\int_{\{k < |u_n| \le k+1\}} \phi_{i,n}(u_n) D^i u_n \, dx 
= \int_{\Omega} \phi_{i,n}(T_{k+1}(u_n)) D^i T_{k+1}(u_n) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \phi_{i,n}(T_k(u_n)) D^i T_k(u_n) \, dx 
= \int_{\Omega} D^i \Psi_{i,n}(T_{k+1}(u_n)) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} D^i \Psi_{i,n}(T_k(u_n)) \, dx = 0$$

Thanks to Young's inequality, we have

$$\mu \int_{\{|u_n| \ge k\}} \frac{|T_n(u_n)|^{p_0 - 1}}{|x|^{p_0} + \frac{1}{n}} |T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n))| dx 
\le \frac{1}{3} \int_{\{|u_n| \ge k\}} |T_n(u_n)|^s |T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n))| dx + C_{10} \int_{\{|u_n| \ge k\}} \frac{|T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n))|}{|x|^{\frac{sp_0}{s - p_0 + 1}}} dx,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\{|u_n| \ge k+1\}} |h_n(x,u_n,\nabla u_n)| \; dx + \frac{1}{3} \int_{\{|u_n| \ge k+1\}} |T_n(u_n)|^s \; dx + \mu \int_{\{|u_n| \ge k+1\}} \frac{|T_n(u_n)|^{p_0-1}}{|x|^{p_0} + \frac{1}{n}} \; dx \\ & \le 2C_{10} \int_{\{|u_n| \ge k\}} \frac{|T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n))|}{|x|^{\frac{sp_0}{s-p_0+1}}} \; dx + \int_{\{|u_n| \ge k\}} |f_n| \; dx. \end{split}$$

Hence, for any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $k(\delta) > 0$  such that (3.70)

$$\int_{\{|u_n| \ge k(\delta)\}} |h_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dx + \int_{\{|u_n| \ge k(\delta)\}} |T_n(u_n)|^s dx + \int_{\{|u_n| \ge k(\delta)\}} \frac{|T_n(u_n)|^{p_0 - 1}}{|x|^{p_0 + \frac{1}{n}}} dx \le \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Now, let E be a measurable subset of  $\Omega$ , we have (3.71)

$$\int_{E} |h_{n}(x, u_{n}, \nabla u_{n})| dx + \int_{E} |T_{n}(u_{n})|^{s} dx + \int_{E} \frac{|T_{n}(u_{n})|^{p_{0}-1}}{|x|^{p_{0}} + \frac{1}{n}} dx 
\leq \int_{E \cap \{|u_{n}| < k(\delta)\}} |h_{n}(x, T_{k(\delta)}(u_{n}), \nabla T_{k(\delta)}(u_{n})| dx + \int_{E \cap \{|u_{n}| < k(\delta)\}} |T_{k(\delta)}(u_{n})|^{s} dx 
+ \int_{E \cap \{|u_{n}| < k(\delta)\}} \frac{|T_{k(\delta)}(u_{n})|^{p_{0}-1}}{|x|^{p_{0}} + \frac{1}{n}} dx + \int_{\{|u_{n}| \ge k(\delta)\}} |h_{n}(x, u_{n}, \nabla u_{n})| dx 
+ \int_{\{|u_{n}| \ge k(\delta)\}} |T_{n}(u_{n})|^{s} dx + \int_{\{|u_{n}| \ge k(\delta)\}} \frac{|T_{n}(u_{n})|^{p_{0}-1}}{|x|^{p_{0}} + \frac{1}{n}} dx.$$

On other hand, we have

$$\int_{E \cap \{|u_n| < k(\delta)\}} |h_n(x, T_{k(\delta)}(u_n), \nabla T_{k(\delta)}(u_n)| dx \le \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| < k(\delta)\}} \left(l(x) + j(|k(\delta)|) \sum_{i=1}^N |D_i|^{p_i}\right) dx$$

Then, thanks to (3.66), there exists  $\beta(\delta)>0$  such that : for any  $E\subseteq\Omega$  with  $\operatorname{meas}(E)\leq\beta(\delta)$ 

$$(3.72) \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| < k(\delta)\}} |h_n(x, T_{k(\delta)}(u_n), \nabla T_{k(\delta)}(u_n)| \, dx + \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| < k(\delta)\}} |T_{k(\delta)}(u_n)|^s \, dx$$

$$+ \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| < k(\delta)\}} \frac{|T_{k(\delta)}(u_n)|^{p_0 - 1}}{|x|^{p_0} + \frac{1}{n}} \, dx \le \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Finally, by combining (3.70), (3.71) and (3.72), one easily has (3.73)

$$\int_{E} |h_{n}(x, u_{n}, \nabla u_{n})| dx + \int_{E} |T_{n}(u_{n})|^{s} dx + \int_{E} \frac{|T_{n}(u_{n})|^{p_{0}-1}}{|x|^{p_{0}} + \frac{1}{n}} dx \le \delta \quad \text{ with meas}(E) \le \beta(\delta),$$

We deduce that  $(h_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n))_n$ ,  $(|T_n(u_n)|^{s(x)-1}T_n(u_n))_n$  and  $\left(\frac{|T_n(u_n)|^{p_0-2}T_n(u_n)}{|x|^{p_0}+\frac{1}{n}}\right)_n$  are equi-integrable, and in view of (3.67)-(3.72) and Vitali's theorem, the following convergences are established

$$(3.74) h_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \to h(x, u, \nabla u) \text{ in } L^1(\Omega),$$

$$(3.75) |T_n(u_n)|^{s-1}T_n(u_n) \to |u|^{s-1}u \text{in} L^1(\Omega),$$

and

(3.76) 
$$\frac{|T_n(u_n)|^{p_0-2}T_n(u_n)}{|x|^{p_0} + \frac{1}{n}} \longrightarrow \frac{|u|^{p_0-2}u}{|x|^{p_0}} \quad \text{in} \quad L^1(\Omega).$$

Step 6: Passage to the limit. By taking  $T_k(u_n - \varphi)$  as a test function in (3.7), with  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , and choosing  $M = k + \|\varphi\|_{\infty}$ , we obtain (3.77)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i}u_{n}|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}u_{n} D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}-\varphi) dx + \int_{\Omega} h_{n}(x,u_{n},\nabla u_{n}) T_{k}(u-\varphi) dx + \int_{\Omega} |T_{n}(u_{n})|^{s-1} T_{n}(u_{n}) T_{k}(u_{n}-\varphi) dx + \int_{\Omega} |u_{n}|^{p_{0}-2} u_{n} T_{k}(u_{n}-\varphi) dx = \mu \int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_{n}(u_{n})|^{p_{0}-2} T_{n}(u_{n})}{|x|^{p_{0}} + \frac{1}{n}} T_{k}(u_{n}-\varphi) dx + \int_{\Omega} f_{n} T_{k}(u_{n}-\varphi) dx + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \phi_{i,n}(u_{n}) D^{i}T_{k}(u-\varphi) dx.$$

On the one hand, as soon as  $|u_n| > M$  we get  $|u_n - \varphi| \ge |u_n| - ||\varphi||_{\infty} > k$ , then  $\{|u_n - \varphi| \le k\} \subseteq \{|u_n| \le M\}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\Omega} |D^{i}u_{n}|^{p_{i}-2}D^{i}u_{n}D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n}-\varphi) \, dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} |D^{i}T_{M}(u_{n})|^{p_{i}-2}D^{i}T_{M}(u_{n})(D^{i}T_{M}(u_{n})-D^{i}\varphi)\chi_{\{|u_{n}-\varphi|\leq k\}} \, dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} (|D^{i}T_{M}(u_{n})|^{p_{i}-2}D^{i}T_{M}(u_{n})-|D^{i}\varphi|^{p_{i}-2}D^{i}\varphi)(D^{i}T_{M}(u_{n})-D^{i}\varphi)\chi_{\{|u_{n}-\varphi|\leq k\}} \, dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} |D^{i}\varphi|^{p_{i}-2}D^{i}\varphi)(D^{i}T_{M}(u_{n})-D^{i}\varphi)\chi_{\{|u_{n}-\varphi|\leq k\}} \, dx. \end{split}$$

According to Fatou's Lemma, we obtain (3.78)

$$\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i}u_{n}|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}u_{n} D^{i}T_{k}(u_{n} - \varphi) dx$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} (|D^{i}T_{M}(u)|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{M}(u) - |D^{i}\varphi|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}\varphi) (D^{i}T_{M}(u) - D^{i}\varphi) \chi_{\{|u-\varphi| \leq k\}} dx$$

$$+ \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i}\varphi|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}\varphi) (D^{i}T_{M}(u) - D^{i}\varphi) \chi_{\{|u-\varphi| \leq k\}} dx$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |D^{i}T_{M}(u)|^{p_{i}-2} D^{i}T_{M}(u) (D^{i}T_{M}(u) - D^{i}\varphi) \chi_{\{|u-\varphi| \leq k\}} dx$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} a_{i}(x, u, \nabla u) D^{i}T_{k}(u - \varphi) dx.$$

On the other hand, we have  $T_k(u_n - \varphi) \rightharpoonup T_k(u - \varphi)$  weak-\* in  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and thanks to (3.74) - (3.76), we deduce that

(3.79) 
$$\int_{\Omega} h_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_k(u - \varphi) dx \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} h(x, u, \nabla u) T_k(u - \varphi) dx,$$

(3.80) 
$$\int_{\Omega} |T_n(u_n)|^{s-1} T_n(u_n) T_k(u_n - \varphi) \, dx \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} |u|^{s-1} u T_k(u - \varphi) \, dx,$$

(3.81) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|T_n(u_n)|^{p_0-2} T_n(u_n)}{|x|^{p_0} + \frac{1}{n}} T_k(u_n - \varphi) \, dx \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p_0-2} u}{|x|^{p_0}} T_k(u - \varphi) \, dx,$$

and

(3.82) 
$$\int_{\Omega} f_n T_k(u_n - \varphi) dx \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} f T_k(u - \varphi) dx.$$

Moreover, since  $T_k(u_n - \varphi) \rightharpoonup T_k(u - \varphi)$  in  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$  and  $\phi_{i,n}(u_n) = \phi_i(T_M(u_n))$  in  $\{|u_n - \varphi| \leq k\}$  for  $n \geq M$ , we obtain

(3.83) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \phi_{i,n}(u_n) D^i T_k(u - \varphi) dx \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} \phi_i(u) D^i T_k(u - \varphi) dx$$

and

(3.84) 
$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p_0-1} u_n T_k(u_n - \varphi) dx \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_0-1} u T_k(u - \varphi) dx.$$

Putting all the terms together, the proof of Theorem 3.2 is now complete.

#### References

- [1] E. Azroul, A. Barbara, H. Hjiaj and M. B. Benboubker, Entropy solutions for nonhomognous anisotropic  $\triangle_{\vec{p}(\cdot)}$  problems, Applicaciones Mathematicae, 41, 2-3, 149–163 (2014).
- [2] B. Abdellaoui, I. Peral and A. Primo, *Elliptic problems with a Hardy potential and critical growth in the gradient*, journal of Differential Equations 239, 386-416 (2007).
- [3] Y. Akdim, A. Salmani, Existence and uniqueness Results for nonlinear Anisotropic elliptic Equations, Journal of Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Applications (JNEEA)., 6, 95–111 (2016).
- [4] S. Antontsev and M.Chipot, Anisotropic equations: uniqueness and existence results, J. Differential and Integral Equations 21(5-6), , 401-419 (2008).
- [5] S. N. Antontsev and J. F. Rodrigues, On stationary thermorheological viscous flows, Ann. Univ.Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat. 52, 19-36 (2007).
- [6] M. Bendahmane, M. Chrif and S. El Manouni, An Approximation Result in Generalized Anisotripic Sobolev Spaces and Application, J. Anal. Appl., 30, 341–353 (2011).
- [7] M. Chrif S. El Manouni, On a strongly anisotropic equation with  $L^1$  data, Appl. Anal. 87(7), 865–871 (2008).
- [8] A. Benkirane M. Chrif S. El Manouni, Existence results for strongly nonlinear elliptic equations of infinite order, Z. Anal. Anwend. (J. Anal. Appl.) 26, 303–312 (2007).
- [9] P. Bénilan, L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, R. Gariepy, M. Pierre and J. L. Vázquez, An L<sup>1</sup>- theory of existence and uniqueness of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. 4, 241-273 (1995)
- [10] L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët and P. Marcellini, Anisotropic equations in L<sup>1</sup>. Differential Integral Equations 9, no. 1, 209–212 (1996).
- [11] L. Boccardo, F. Murat, J.P. Puel, Existence of bounded solutions for non linear elliptic unilateral problems, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)152, 183–196 (1988).
- [12] A. Cianchi, Symmetrization in anisotropic elliptic problems. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 32, no. 4-6, 693–717 (2007).
- [13] Andrea Cianchi, A Fully anisotropic Sobolev inequality, Pacific Journal of Mathematic, Vol. 196, No.2, 283–294 (2000).
- [14] F. Cîrstea and J. Vétois, Fundamental solutions for anisotropic elliptic equations: existence and a priori estimates. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 40, no. 4, 727–765 (2015).
- [15] Y. Chen, S. Levine and M. Rao, Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image processing, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 66, 1383–1406 (2006)
- [16] R. Di Nardo and F. Feo, Existence and uniqueness for nonlinear anisotropic elliptic equations. Arch. Math. (Basel) 102, no. 2, 141–153 (2014).
- [17] R. Di Nardo, F. Feo and O. Guibé, Uniqueness result for nonlinear anisotropic elliptic equations. Adv. Differential Equations 18, no. 5-6, 433-458 (2013).
- [18] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö and M. Ružička, Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2017, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [19] R. J. DiPerna and P. L. Lions, On the cauchy problem for Boltzmann equations: global existence and weak stability, Ann of Math, 130 (1), 321-366 (1989).

- [20] R. J. DiPerna and P. L. Lions, Ordinary differential equations, sobolev spaces and transport theory, Invent. Math, (98), 511–547 (1989)
- [21] O. Guibé, Uniqueness of the renormalized solution to a class of nonlinear elliptic equations. On the notions of solution to nonlinear elliptic problems: results and developments, Quad. Mat., vol. 23, Dept. Math., Seconda Univ. Napoli, Caserta, , pp. 255-282 (2008).
- [22] O. Guibé and A. Mercaldo, Existence of renormalized solutions to nonlinear elliptic equations with two lower order terms and measure data. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360, no. 2, pp 643-669 (2008).
- [23] E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, Real and abstract analysis, Springer-verlng, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1965.
- [24] P. Gwiazda, P. Minakowski, and A. Swierczewska-Gwiazda. On the anisotropic Orlicz spaces applied in the problems of continuum mechanics, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S, 6(5), 1291–1306 (2013).
- [25] P. Gwiazda and A. Swierczewska-Gwiazda, Parabolic equations in anisotropic Orlicz spaces with general N-functions, special Birkhaeuser volume Parabolic Problems. The Herbert Amann Festschrift, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, 60, 301–311 (2010).
- [26] A.G. Korolev, *Embedding theorems for anisotropic Sobolev-Orlicz spaces*, Vestnik Moskovskogo Universiteta, Matematika, 38, 32–37 (1983).
- [27] R. Fortini, D. Mugnai, P. Pucci, Maximum principles for anisotropic elliptic inequalities, Nonlinear Anal. 70, 2917–2929 (2009).
- [28] Y. Liu, R. Davidson and P. Taylor, Investigation of the touch sensitivity of ER fluid based tactile display, Proceeding of SPIE, Smart Structures and Materials: Smart Structures and Integrated Systems, 5764, 92-99 (2005).
- [29] J. L. Lions, Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires. Dunod et Gauthiers-Villars, Paris 1969.
- [30] A. Mercaldo, I. Peral and A. Perimo, Results for degenerate nonlinear elliptic equations with involving a Hardy potential, J. Differ. Equ., 251 (11), 3114–3142 (2011).
- [31] M. Mihailescu, P. Pucci and V. Radulescu, Eigenvalue problems for anisotropic quasilinear elliptic equations with variable exponent, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 340, 687–698 (2008).
- [32] M. Mihailescu, P. Pucci, V. Radulescu, Nonhomogeneous boundary value problems in anisotropic Sobolev spaces, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 345, 561–566 (2007).
- [33] F. Mokhtari, Regularity of the solution to nonlinear anisotropic elliptic equations with variable exponents and irregular data. Mediterr. J. Math. 14, no. 3, Art. 141, 18 (2017).
- [34] M. M. Porzio, On some quasilinear elliptic equations involving Hardy potential, Rendiconti di Matematica, Serie VII, Volume 27, Roma, 277-297 (2007).
- [35] S.M. Nikolskiii, An imbedding theorem for functions with partial derivatives considered in different metrics, Izv. Akad. NaukSSSRSer. Mat. 22, 321336 (1958). English translation, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 90, 27–44 (1970).
- [36] M. Růžička, Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory, Spring-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [37] A. Swierczewska-Gwiazda, Anisotropic parabolic problems with slowly or rapidly growing terms, Colloq. Math. 134(1), 113–130 (2014).
- [38] M. Troisi, Teoremi di inclusione per spazi di Sobolev non isotropi, Ricerche Mat., 18, 3–24 (1969).
- [39] N.S. Trudinger, An imbedding theorem for  $H_0(G,\Omega)$  spaces, Studia Math. 50 (1974), 17–30.
- [40] J. Vétois, Strong maximum principles for anisotropic elliptic and parabolic equations. Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 12, no. 1, 101–114 (2012).
- [41] J. Vétois, Existence and regularity for critical anisotropic equations with critical directions. Adv. Differential Equations 16, no. 1-2, 61-83 (2011).
- [42] A. Youssfi, E. Azroul and H. Hjiaj, On nonlinear elliptic equations with Hardy potential and L1-data. Monatsh. Math. 173, no. 1, 107-129 (2014).

(Elhoussine AZROUL) UNIVERSITÉ SIDI MOHAMED BEN ABDELLAH, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DHAR EL MAHRAZ, LABORATOIRE D'ANALYSE MATHÉMATIQUE ET APPLICATIONS (LAMA), BP 1796 ATLAS FÈS, MAROC

Current address: Université Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, Faculté des Sciences Dhar El Mahraz, Laboratoire d'Analyse Mathématique et Applications (LAMA), BP 1796 Atlas Fès, Maroc

 $E ext{-}mail\ address, Elhoussine AZROUL: elhoussine.azroul@gmail.com}$ 

(Mohammed BOUZIANI) UNIVERSITÉ SIDI MOHAMED BEN ABDELLAH, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DHAR EL MAHRAZ, LABORATOIRE D'ANALYSE MATHÉMATIQUE ET APPLICATIONS (LAMA), BP 1796 ATLAS FÈS, MAROC

 $\it E-mail\ address,\ Mohammed\ BOUZIANI:\ mhdbouziani2010@gmail.com$ 

(Hassane HJIAJ) Université Abdelmalek Essaadi, Faculté des Sciences de Tétouan, Département de Mathématiques, BP 2121, Tétouan, Maroc

 $E ext{-}mail\ address,\ Hassane\ HJIAJ:\ hjiajhassane@yahoo.fr}$