Pamukkale Univ Muh Bilim Derg, 24(4), 749-763, 2018



Pamukkale Üniversitesi Mühendislik Bilimleri Dergisi

Pamukkale University Journal of Engineering Sciences



Forward supply Chain network design problem: Heuristic approaches

İleri tedarik zinciri ağ tasarımı problemi: Sezgisel yaklaşımlar

Çağrı KOÇ¹, Eren ÖZCEYLAN²⁴, Saadettin Erhan KESEN³, Zeynel Abidin ÇİL⁴, Süleyman METE⁵

¹Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Political Sciences, Social Sciences University of Ankara, Ankara, Turkey. cagri.koc@asbu.edu.tr

²Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey.

erenozceylan@gmail.com

³Department of Industrial Engineering, Engineering and Natural Sciences Faculty, Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey. sekesen@gmail.com

⁴Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Izmir Demokrasi University, Izmir, Turkey. cilzeynelabidin@gmail.com

⁵Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Munzur University, Tunceli, Turkey. suleyman489@gmail.com

Received/Geliş Tarihi: 28.11.2017, Accepted/Kabul Tarihi: 20.02.2018 * Corresponding author/Yazışılan Yazar doi: 10.5505/pajes.2018.72324 Research Article/Araștırma Makalesi

Abstract

Determining positions and counting of actors, amount of product flow between and decreasing transportation costs are handled as a network design problem in supply chain management. Supply chain network design (SCND) problem belongs to the class of NP-hard problems. It has therefore appealed to a number of researchers' close attention. However, existing literature lacks of common benchmark instances for forward SCND problems so as to make a fair comparison between developed and applied heuristic approaches. To this end, 450 new benchmark instances ranging from small to large size for forward SCND problems with two, three and four-echelon are generated and a mathematical model for each of the problems is formulated. Due to the complexity issues, we develop two heuristic solution approaches, genetic algorithm (GA) and hybrid heuristic algorithm (HHA), and we apply them to the large pool of benchmark instances. Comparative experiments show that both the GA and HHA can yield feasible solutions in much less computational time and, in particular, outperforms CPLEX regarding the solution quality as the number of echelon grows.

Keywords: Supply chain network design, mixed integer programming; genetic algorithm; hybrid heuristic algorithm.

1 Introduction

A classical supply chain refers to a broad set of activities associated with the transformation and flow of goods and services, including the flow of information, from the sources of materials to end-users [1]-[3]. Nowadays, a supply chain network can take three main forms namely; forward, reverse and closed-loop supply chain [4]. Whereas forward supply chain (FSC) can be defined as flow of goods from source to end-users in a supply chain, reverse supply chain (RSC) can be defined as a process that includes all logistics activities and starts from the point of end-users to transform the used products to products which are reusable in the market [5],[6]. Finally, if all forward and reverse supply chain activities are combined is known to be one of a closed-loop, and research on such chains have given rise to the field of closed-loop supply chain (CLSC) [7] (see Figure 1).

The operation/distribution plans of a supply chain involving forward, reverse or closed-loop need to be optimized. Determining positions and counting of actors, amount of

Öz

Tedarik zinciri içindeki tesislerin yerlerinin belirlenmesi, aralarındaki ürün akışlarının maliyeti minimize edecek şekilde optimize edilmesi tedarik zinciri ağ tasarımı (TZAT) problemi olarak karşımıza çıkmaktadır. TZAT problemleri NP-zor sınıfına girmektedir. Dolayısıyla çoğu araştırmacı tarafından üzerinde çalışılan bir konudur. Ancak literatürde araştırmacıların adil karşılaştırmalar yapabileceği test problemler mevcut değildir. Bu sebeple, küçük boyuttan büyük boyuta kadar iki, üç ve dört aşamalı olmak üzere 450 adet TZAT test problemi geliştirilmiş, matematiksel olarak da modellenmiştir. Problemin çözüm karmaşıklığından dolayı biri genetik algoritma diğeri de melez sezgisel bir yaklaşım olmak üzere iki farklı çözüm yöntemi önerilmiştir. Önerilen yaklaşımlar geliştirilen test problemlere uygulanmış ve karşılaştırmalar yapılmıştır. Elde edilen sonuçlara göre önerilen sezgisel yaklaşımlar küçük boyutlu problemler için CPLEX ile elde edilen optimal sonuçları yakalamış, büyük boyutlu problemler için ise çok daha kısa sürede kabul edilebilir sonuçlar elde etmiştir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Tedarik zinciri ağ tasarımı, karma tamsayılı programlama, genetik algoritma, melez sezgisel algoritma.

product flow between and decreasing transportation costs are handled as a network design problem in supply chain management.

The design task may include,

- location of facilities (plants, retailers, distribution centers, disassembly centers, collection centers etc.) to be opened,
- design of the network configuration,
- meeting customer's demand so as to minimize the total cost consist of fixed operating cost and transportation cost [8]-[11].

Most of the SCND problems can be reduced to the capacitated facility location problem, which is proven to be NP-hard; therefore, SCND problems belong to the class of NP-hard problems as well [8]. To cope with the complexity of the SCND problems and to obtain acceptable solutions in reasonable amount of time, many heuristic and meta-heuristics algorithms are developed and applied in the last decade [12]-[15].



Figure 1: Typical FSC (1), RSC (2) and CLSC (3) networks.

However, literature lacks of benchmark problems for SCND problems to make a fair comparison between developed and applied approaches. Although there are well-known benchmark problems in traveling salesman problems [16], vehicle routing problem with time windows [17] and assembly line balancing problems [18], to the best of our knowledge, no benchmark or common problems are introduced in SCND problem area. A well-established set of benchmark instances provides a good base for future studies on the field of SCND.

The scientific contributions of this study are given as follows. We first model SCND problems as mixed integer linear programming formulations, and we develop two different solution approaches based on the genetic algorithm (GA) and hybrid heuristic algorithm (HHA). We then generate 450 test instances with varying number echelons through a broad

problem set, and we comparatively analyze the effectiveness of the two GA and HHA.

The rest of the article is presented as follows. In the next part, we provide an overview and a summary of the existing literature on forward SCND problems. Basic formulation of forward SCND problem and generation of benchmark instances are given in Section 3. Sections 4 and 5 explain the adopted solution methodology based on GA and HHA, respectively. Section 6 discusses the comparative results on the set of instances. Last part of study (Section 7), conclusions and future directions are given.

2 Literature review

One of the most popular problems is designing and optimizing forward SCND problem, received substantial attention from academicians, researchers and operators in supply chain management research field. For that reason, many heuristic algorithm and mathematical models have been presented. The literature on the forward SCND problem is fruitful and the readers are referred to the comprehensive surveys given in Table 1 for a recent coverage of the state-of-the-art on models and solution algorithms. Table 1 also lists the possible future research directions provided by the authors.

In addition to the surveys, current test problems generated by the researchers for forward SCND problems to test their proposed solution approaches are given in Table 2. Information in Table 2 is classified based on the number of facilities, number of the test problems, proposed approach and comparisons (if exists). The minimum and the maximum number of facilities are given in the cells with dash. With regard to the reviewed studies, the vast majority presents a three-echelon structure, and mainly combines the presence of suppliers, plants, distribution centers/retailers and customers.

While early studies consider single echelon structure [19]-[21], two echelon supply chains have recently drawn attention of

some researchers [22],[23]. In modeling approach, a great deal of the studies reviewed for the linear programming-based modeling approach, especially mixed integer linear programming models [11],[24],[25]. On the contrary, nonlinear programming is only used in two papers [26]-[28].

The inclusion of uncertainty in the various models is achieved by stochastic programming [23],[26]. Likewise, heuristic and meta-heuristics are used as complementary techniques to solve mathematical programming models in a reasonable time [8],[9],[20],[22],[29]-[31]. In the objective frame, minimization of total costs (especially shipping and fixed costs) is the main objective of the studies reviewed while maximization of sales/revenues [11],[32] and customer service [27] are considered to a lesser extent.

Regarding costs, the minimization of shipping cost [8], fixed cost [22], inventory cost [33], backorder cost [34], production cost [35] are considered for forward SCND problems. The maximization of capacity utilization is also taken into account by Altiparmak et al. [27].

Table 1: Characteristics of	earlier review studies	on forward SCND problems.

Reference	Date range	No. of reviewed papers	Suggestions
Meixell and Gargeya [36]	1982- 2005	18	 Need to address the composite supply chain design problem by extending models to include both external supplier locations and internal manufacturing. The performance measures used in global supply chain models need to be broadened in definition to address alternative objectives. More industry settings need to be explored in the context of global supply design.
Melo et al. [37]	1992- 2008	60	• The integration of strategic and tactical / operational decisions in supply chain planning.
Mula et al. [38]	1984- 2009	44	 Integration and/or the hierarchical structure of the tactical and operative planning levels in the supply chain context. Consideration of the different forms of transport (routes, full truck load, grouping, milk round) products among the various nodes of the supply chain. Comparisons made among the centralized and decentralized planning stages of the supply chain. Applying the planning models to real case studies.
Badole et al. [12]	2001- 2010	302	 Some of the missing and most critical performance measures should include information productivity, cost of data processing and information, risk of not using an information technology, and the implications of outsourcing. Research on perishable products is comparatively scarce. A need for the design and implementation of a humanitarian and disaster supply chain.
Fahimnia et al. [13]	1991- 2011	135	 Needing a range of variables and constraints to be incorporated in supply chain models. Requiring quantifying and formulating multiple supply chain performance indicators including both traditional and contemporary objective functions (e.g. cost, service level, social impact, environmental impact, and safety measures).
Lambiase et al. [14]	2000- 2012	50	 Consideration the development of a supply chain model using a profit maximization objective function, including as many strategic decisions, economic parameters and financial aspects as possible, and in order to increase real applicability to the context of globalization.

References	No. of products	No. of suppliers	No. of plants	No. of DC/warehouses	No. of retailers/customers	No. of test problems	Proposed method	Compared with	Max GAP
Qu et al. [19]	15-20	7	1	NA	NA	8	Heuristic	NA	NA
Sabri and Beamon [26]	2	5	1-3	1-4	5	5	LINGO	NA	NA
Jayaraman and Pirkul [24]	10	1-2	3-10	4-15	10-20	13	LR	LINGO	1.06%
Hwang [29]	1	NA	4	10-99	NA	4	GA	Heuristic	20.41%
Syarif et al. [8]	1	3-20	6-15	8-12	50-100	4	GA	LINDO	3.72%
Zhou et al. [20]	1	NA	NA	3-10	30-100	8	GA	Heuristic	39.36%
Syam [39]	1	10-100	2-20	NA	NA	30	LR	SA	7.75%
Jang et al. [25]	10	NA	5-15	10-20	10	9	LR	CPLEX	4.1%
Wang et al. [40]	2	NA	2	2	NA	1	CPLEX	NA	NA
Jayaraman and Ross [33]	2-3	NA	5	10-15	30-75	8	SA	LINGO	4%
Miranda and Garrido [21]	1	NA	10	20	NA	25	LR	LINGO	1.55%
Melachrinoudis et al. [41]	1	NA	1	21	281	1	LINGO	NA	NA
Altıparmak et al. [27]	1	5	3-8	6-20	63	5	GA	SA	5%
Amiri [42]	1	NA	10-20	10-30	100-500	28	LR	CPLEX	11.54%
Farahani and Elahipanah [34]	2-8	NA	2-8	2-15	4-60	9	GA	LINGO	4.7%
Altıparmak et al. [9]	2-3	2	2-25	5-50	10-300	16	LR, GA, SA	CPLEX	12.92%
Lee et al. [43]	1	3-8	2-3	2-3	3-8	5	LR	Xpress-MP	0%
Pishvaee and Rabbani [22]	1	NA	5-40	15-70	10-100	5	Heuristic	LINGO	3.7%
Babazadeh et al. [35]	1	NA	5-10	8-10	10-15	2	CPLEX	NA	NA
Paksoy et al. [11]	1	5-35	3-6	3-7	4-28	8	LINDO	NA	NA
Badri et al. [32]	5-15	5-35	5-20	5-22	10-120	10	LR	CPLEX	18.48%
Benyoucef et al. [28]	1	NA	3-30	10-160	NA	30	LR	CPLEX	8.1%
Hamta et al. [23]	7-10	NA	4-20	6-22	6-25	10	SAA	CPLEX	0.4%
Cheraghi et al. [44]	1	4-8	3-5	3-5	3-6	3	RO	NA	NA
Chiadamrong and Piyathanavong [45]	1	4	4	4	4	1	SOM	NA	NA
Proposed study	1	4-302	2-151	2-151	4-302	450	GA, HHA	CPLEX	17.11%

Table 2: Forward SCND problems in the literature.

LR: Lagrangian relaxation, GA: Genetic algorithm, SA: Simulated annealing, SAA: Sample average approximation, RO: Robust optimization, SOM: Simulation based optimization model, HHA: Hybrid heuristic approach.

According to 248 forward SCND test problems in Table 2, following findings can be highlighted;

- Minimization of shipping and fixed costs is the most common objective function,
- Mixed integer programming is the main solution approach,
- While small size test problems are solved by either CPLEX or LINGO, medium and large size test problems are tackled by meta-heuristic approaches,
- Each paper generates the test problems on its own rather than a common test problem which can be used for comparison.

Unfortunately, test problems generated by the researchers in Table 2 are inaccessible.

3 Forward supply Chain network design problems

In this section, three forward supply chain network models, each with different number of echelons are presented. While the largest forward supply chain network model (i.e., fourechelon) consists of suppliers, plants, distribution centers (DC), retailers and customers, two echelon network includes suppliers, plants and customers as shown in Figure 2.

3.1 Two echelon forward SCND problem

Let *S*, *P* and *D* denote the set of suppliers, plants, and distribution centers, respectively. Two echelon SCN consists of $G^{two} = (N^{two}, A^{two})$, where $N^{two} = \{S \cup P \cup D\}$ is the set of nodes and $A^{two} = \{(i, j, k) | (i \in S, j \in P) \cup (j \in P, k \in D)\}$ is the sets of arcs. The suppliers are companies from which raw materials are purchased. There are vehicles transporting the raw materials to potential plants. The manufacturing plant is the site where the products are produced and some of the plants are not opened due to fixed costs. Distribution centers are the demand points that need to be satisfied. It is noted that all parameters and variables of the three models are given in Appendix A.

The formulation of the two-echelon mathematical model is given as follows:

$$Min\left(\sum_{s\in S}\sum_{p\in p} X_{sp}Di_{sp}t + \sum_{p\in P}\sum_{d\in D} Y_{pd}Di_{pd}t\right) + \left(\sum_{p\in P}\Delta_p FC_p\right)$$
(1)

Subject to

$$\sum_{p \in P} X_{sp} \le Ca_s \quad \forall s \in S \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{c \in C} Y_{pd} \le Ca_p \Delta_p \qquad \forall \ p \in P \tag{3}$$

$$\sum_{p \in P} Y_{pd} = De_d \quad \forall \ d \in D \tag{4}$$

$$\sum_{p \in P} \Delta_p \le MaxP \tag{5}$$

$$\sum_{s \in S} X_{sp} - \sum_{d \in D} Y_{pd} = 0 \quad \forall \ p \in P$$
(6)

$$X_{sp}, Y_{pd} \ge 0 \qquad \forall s \in S, p \in P \text{ and } d \in D$$
(7)

$$\Delta_p \in \{0, 1\} \qquad \forall \ p \in P \tag{8}$$

The objective function has two components (Eq. 1). While the first component represents the cost of transportation on each arc of the network, the second component stands for the fixed costs associated with locating the plants.

Constraints (2) and (3) mean that the production and transportation amount cannot exceed the capacity of suppliers and potential plants, respectively. Constraints (4) ensure that demand of each distribution center must fully be met. Constraints (5) limit the number of plants that can be opened. Constraints (6) are the balance equation: the quantities that enter plants must be equal to the quantities of products that leave the plants. Constraints (7) enforce the non-negativity restriction on the decision variables. Finally, Constraints (8) are the integrality enforcements on binary variable Δ_p .



Figure 2: Forward supply chain networks with different echelons.

3.2 Three echelon forward SCND problem

Let *S*, *P*, *D*, and *R* denote the set of suppliers, plants, distribution centers, and retailers, respectively. Three echelon SCN consists of $G^{three} = (N^{three}, A^{three})$, where $N^{three} = \{S \cup P \cup D \cup R\}$ is the set of nodes and $A^{three} = \{(i, j, k, l) | (i \in S, j \in P) \cup (j \in P, k \in D) \cup (k \in D, l \in R)\}$ is the sets of arcs. Raw materials are shipped from suppliers to potential plants for production. Products are transported from plants to the distribution centers, where the products are distributed to the retailers. Some of the plants and distribution centers may not be opened depending on fixed costs.

The formulation of the three-echelon mathematical model is given as follows:

$$Min \left(\sum_{s \in S} \sum_{p \in p} X_{sp} Di_{sp} t + \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{d \in D} Y_{pd} Di_{pd} t + \sum_{d \in D} \sum_{r \in R} Z_{dr} Di_{dr} t\right) + \left(\sum_{p \in P} \Delta_p F C_p + \sum_{d \in D} \Gamma_d F C_d\right)$$

$$(9)$$

Subject to

Constraints (2), (3), (5), (6), (7), (8) and

$$\sum_{r \in R} Z_{dr} \le C a_d \Gamma_d \ \forall \ d \in D \tag{10}$$

$$\sum_{d \in D} Z_{dr} = De_r \quad \forall r \in R \tag{11}$$

$$\sum_{d\in D} \Gamma_d \le MaxD \tag{12}$$

$$\sum_{p \in P} Y_{pd} - \sum_{r \in R} Z_{dr} = 0 \quad \forall \ d \in D$$
(13)

$$Z_{dr} \ge 0 \qquad \forall \ d \in D \ and \ r \in R \tag{14}$$

$$\Gamma_d \in \{0, 1\} \qquad \forall \ d \in D \tag{15}$$

The objective function has two components (Eq. 9). The first component represents the cost of transportation on each arc of the network (i.e., between suppliers-plants-distribution centers and retailers). The second component represents the fixed costs associated with locating the plants and distribution centers.

Constraints (10) guarantee that the production and transportation amount must not exceed the capacity of distribution centers. Constraints (11) ensure that demands of each retailer must fully be met. Constraints (12) limit the number of distribution centers that can be opened. Constraints (13) are the balance equation: the quantities that enter distribution centers must be equal to the quantity of products that leave the distribution centers. Constraints (14) enforce the non-negativity restriction on the decision variable (Z_{dr}). Finally, Constraints (15) are the integrality enforcements on binary variable Γ_d .

3.3 Four echelon forward SCND problem

Let *S*, *P*, *D*, *R*, and *C* denote the set of suppliers, plants, distribution centers, retailers and customers, respectively. Four echelon SCN consists of $G^{four} = (N^{four}, A^{four})$, where $N^{four} = \{S \cup P \cup D \cup R \cup C\}$ is the set of nodes and $A^{four} = \{(i, j, k, l, m) | (i \in S, j \in P) \cup (j \in P, k \in D) \cup (k \in D, l \in R) \cup (l \in R, m \in C)\}$ is the sets of arcs. Raw materials are shipped

from suppliers to plants for production. Products are transported from plants to the distribution centers where the products are distributed to the retailers. At last step, customers' demands are met by retailers. Some of the plants, distribution centers and retailers may not be opened due to fixed costs.

The mathematical formulation of the three-echelon model is as follows:

 $\begin{aligned} &Min\left(\sum_{s\in S}\sum_{p\in p}X_{sp}Di_{sp}t+\sum_{p\in P}\sum_{d\in D}Y_{pd}Di_{pd}t+\sum_{d\in D}\sum_{r\in R}Z_{dr}Di_{dr}t+\sum_{r\in R}\sum_{c\in C}W_{rc}Di_{rc}t\right)+\left(\sum_{p\in P}\Delta_{p}FC_{p}+\sum_{d\in D}\Gamma_{d}FC_{d}+\sum_{r\in R}\Psi_{r}FC_{r}\right) (16)\\ &\text{Subject to} \end{aligned}$

Constraints (2), (3), (5), (6), (10), (12), (13), (14), (15) and

$$\sum_{c \in C} W_{rc} \le C a_r \Psi_r \qquad \forall r \in R \tag{17}$$

$$\sum_{r \in R} W_{rc} = De_c \quad \forall \ c \in C \tag{18}$$

$$\sum_{r\in R} \Psi_r \le MaxR \tag{19}$$

$$\sum_{r \in R} Z_{dr} - \sum_{c \in C} W_{rc} = 0 \quad \forall r \in R$$
(20)

$$W_{rc} \ge 0 \quad \forall r \in R \text{ and } c \in C$$
(21)

$$\Psi_r \in \{0, 1\} \qquad \forall r \in R \tag{22}$$

The objective function has two components (Eq. 16). The first component represents the cost of transportation on each arc of the network (between suppliers-plants-distribution centersretailers and customers). The second component represents the fixed costs associated with locating the plants, distribution centers and retailers.

Constraints (17) mean that the production and transportation quantity must not exceed the capacity of retailers. Constraints (18) ensure that demand of each customer must fully be met. Constraints (19) limit the number of retailers that can be opened. Constraints (20) are the balance equation: the quantities that enter retailers must be equal to the quantity of products that leave the retailers. Constraints (21) enforce the non-negativity restriction on the decision variable (W_{rc}). Lastly, Constraints (22) are the integrality enforcement on the binary variable Ψ_r .

3.4 Generation of benchmark instances

This section describes how the instances in the proposed SCND problem benchmark are generated. 450 different benchmark instances ranging from small to large size for forward SCND problems with two, three and four-echelon are generated in this study. As is the case in almost all the existing instances, the distances between all type problems are two-dimensional Euclidean. All facilities in two, three and four echelon structures have integer coordinates corresponding to points in a [0; 500]. Shipping cost (t) is set 0.05 monetary units. Fixed cost of potential plants, distribution centers and retailers in all network structures have integer coordinates corresponding to points in a [2750; 3250]. Maximum available numbers of plants, distribution centers and retailers with interval values are given in Table 3.

We randomly generate the data based on uniform distribution. For further details about the benchmark instances, we refer the reader to the Appendix B. All instances are available on the supply chain network design problem web page (scndp.info).

problem sizes.									
Two-Echelon Structure									
Parameters Integer Interval									
Capacities of suppliers	950-1000								
Capacities of plants	2500-3000								
Demands of distribution centers	800-850								
Dea Demands of distribution centers 800-850 Three-Echelon Structure 800-850 800-850									
Parameters Integer Interval									
Capacities of suppliers	950-1000								
Capacities of plants	2500-3000								
Capacities of distribution centers	2500-3000								
Demands of retailers	800-850								
Four-Echelon Structur	e								
Parameters	Integer Interval								
Capacities of suppliers	950-1000								
Capacities of plants	2500-3000								
Capacities of distribution centers	2500-3000								
Capacities of retailers	2500-3000								
Demands of customers	800-850								
	Two-Echelon Structury Parameters Capacities of suppliers Capacities of plants Demands of distribution centers -Echelon Structure Parameters Capacities of suppliers Capacities of plants Capacities of distribution centers Demands of retailers Four-Echelon Structur Parameters Capacities of suppliers Capacities of suppliers Capacities of plants Capacities of suppliers Capacities of suppliers Capacities of suppliers Capacities of plants Capacities of plants Capacities of suppliers Capacities of plants								

Table 3: Parameter intervals used to generate different problem sizes.

4 Description of the Genetic algorithm

This section describes the proposed GA to solve the generated forward SCND instances. The GA builds on several powerful evolutionary based meta-heuristic algorithms (see [9],[27],[46]-[49).

The general scheme of the GA is shown in Algorithm 1. The initialization procedure (Line 1) is used to generate initial population. Two parents are selected (Line 3) for a crossover operation through a binary tournament process in order to creates a new offspring C (Line 4). The mutation technique is used on the offspring C (Line 5). Then, created offspring (offspring C) is added into the population (Line 6). As new offspring are added, the population size n_a , which is limited by n_{p+n_0} , changes over the iterations. The constant n_p denotes the size of the population initialized at the beginning of the algorithm and the constant n_0 is the maximum allowable number of offspring that can be inserted into the population. If the population size n_a reaches $n_{p+}n_o$ at any iteration, then a survivor selection mechanism is applied (Line 7). When the number of Φ iterations without improvement in the incumbent solution is reached, the GA terminates (Line 8).

Algorithm 1: The general framework of the GA.

- 1 Initialization: Initialize a population with size *n*_p
- 2 **while** number of iterations without improvement < Φ
- 3 Parent selection: select parent solutions *P*₁ and *P*₂
- 4 Crossover: generate offspring *C* from *P*₁ and *P*₂
- 5 Mutation: diversify the offspring *C*
- 6 Add offspring *C* to the population
- 7 Survivor selection: if the population size n_a reaches $n_p + n_o$, then select survivors
- 8 end while
- 9 Return best feasible solution

The rest of the part presents basic elements of the GA. Section 4.1 offers representation and evaluation of the results. The initialization procedure is given Section 4.2 in detail. The selection of parent solutions and a segment-based crossover operator are then described in Section 4.3. The mutation procedure is presented in Section 4.4. Lastly, Section 4.5 presents the survivor selection mechanism.

4.1 Representation and evaluation

The priority-based encoding of Gen et al. is adapted [46] for the problems to represent our solutions within the population. For two-echelon SCND problem, the result includes of priorities of first echelon, containing first-level facilities (FL) and second-level facilities (SL), and second echelon including SL and third-level facilities (TL). Priority-based encoding for two-echelon SCND problem is illustrated in Figure 3.

	First Echelon												Sec	ond	Eche	elon			
2	7	4	6	10	3	1	5	8	9	1	3	6	7	4	8	5	9	2	10
		st Le	vel			Seco	ond L	evel		Second Level Third Level									

Figure 3: The representation of the priority-based encoding.

Each solution consists of a single-dimensional array and numbers representing the priority of each node. The total amount of echelons (|FL|+2*|SL|+|TL|) equals to the length of encoding. The transportation tree on a given solution is generated by sequential arc appending between levels. In accordance with priority-based encoding, we first consider the highest priority of TL, and we then open a SL to satisfy its demand. Depending on the selected TL, a SL is decided with taking into account minimum transportation cost and an arc between them. This process is iteratively applied to all facilities until all demands are satisfied. For three-echelon and fourechelon SCND problems, we applied same procedure with adapting the representation to each problem type. The fitness value of each solution is calculated by using the objective function of the considered problem (minimization of total transportation and fixed costs). These fitness values are used to select survivors during the algorithmic iterations. For further implementation details on representation and evaluation section, the reader is referred to Gen et al. [46].

4.2 Initialization, parent selection and crossover

We randomly generate the initial population. For example, we consider a two-echelon SCND problem in Figure 3. First echelon includes first-level and second-level facilities, where |FL|=5 and |SL|=5. The total length of the first-echelon is equal to |FL|+|SL|=10 such that a priority is assigned to each node within the range of 1 and 10.

Two parents are selected with use of the binary tournament for generate offspring C. The technique selects randomly two different individuals from the population. After that, it preserves the one of them having the best fitness value. Following the parent selection phase, two parents undergo the segment-based crossover operator, which is relied upon uniform crossover and tends to keep good gene segments of both parents. Representation of this operator is shown in Figure 4. Each echelon of offspring C is selected at random with equal probability over echelons of parents. These crossover operators use a binary mask where its length is equal to the number of echelons. Binary variables 0 and 1 are used to transfer the genetic materials from parents to offspring C. Each echelon of offspring C randomly takes 0 or 1 values, through which 0 implies the first parent and 1 implies the second parent transferring its genetic materials to the offspring C.



operator.

4.3 Mutation

The effective controlling of results plays a important role in population variety. Therefore, a segment-based mutation operator after crossover, which is represented in Figure 5 has applied in order to improve the performance of the GA. In this step, selected two nodes are relocated in order to increase to the diversification of the results. First, an echelon is randomly selected with using a binary mask as in the crossover operator. Then, two nodes are randomly selected from the same echelon. Finally, these are exchanged by using swap method according to their priorities.



operator.

4.4 Survivor selection

Avoiding premature convergence is a key challenge in population-based meta-heuristics. Population diversity or searching varied area in the solution space can help find best solution or optimal during the algorithm. To tackle with this issue, we used survivor selection method (see [48]), which intends to provide the diversity of the population and preserve the best solutions. Initially, the initial population is generated with the size of n_p , and then at each iteration a generated offspring is inserted into to the population after each iteration. The maximum number of allowable offspring in the population is denoted by n_o . When total population size n_a reaches the maximum limit $n_p + n_o$, the survivor selection mechanism works to select offspring for next generation. On other words, the technique, afterward, elects n_p and separate n_o individuals from the population. The rest of n_0 individuals are selected based on their fitness. In this way, best individuals are protected.

5 Description of the hybrid heuristic algorithm

We develop a two-phase HHA based on the principles of heuristics and integer programming. The problem is divided into two sub-problems, which are finding feasible location plant (plant, distribution center and retailer) and transportation on each arc of the network (between suppliers-plants-distribution centers-retailers and customers). A constructive heuristic is used first generates feasible solutions for finding feasible location in order to meet customer demands. Second subproblem is then solved to optimality with using first subproblem solution by an integer programming solver. The decision variables in the sub-problems are the same as those found within the original formulation.

5.1 Constructive heuristic technique

To obtain optimal solution of the problem is not easy because of dependencies between finding feasible facility location and design of the network configuration. Therefore, the first part of the problem that is location of facilities (plants, distribution centers, retailers, collection centers, disassembly centers etc.) to be opened is determined by the proposed heuristic algorithm.

The first algorithm, constructive heuristic, builds the solution based on the fixed costs (associated with locating the plants, distribution centers and retailers) and costumer demand. First of all, two lists, which are UnexploredNodes, and ExploredNodes list are built to start solution. In the beginning, while UnexploredNodes list includes all potential facility in order to assign solution, *ExploredNodes* is empty list. When a potential facility selects, that facility moves to *ExploredNodes* list. Second, the heuristic technique produces root nodes from lists of unexplored nodes at the first level. Then, descendant nodes are generated for each root nodes. If capacity of nodes (root and descents nodes) is greater than total customer demand, these nodes are transferred to list of Solutions. If the size of the list is larger than predetermined size $(2^{*}\beta)$, certain solutions are selected according to routhwhell selection method to BestSolution list up to the number of β solutions. The objective function, fixed costs associated with locating the plants, is used in routhwhell selection method. The general structure of the constructive heuristic algorithm is shown in Algorithm 2.

Algorithm 2: The general framework of the constructive

algorithn

- 1. Set Solutions=null and BestSolutions = null
- 2. Build two lists UnexploredNodes and ExploredNodes
- 3. Build an empty solution and add it to UnexploredNodes
- 4. **For** iter = 1,..., MaxIter (increasing iter by 1)
- 5. Assign the all potential facility in ExploredNodes and select it as Parent
- 6. For each node
- 7. Update lists of UnexploredNodes
- 8. Create a descentes nodes from the parent

9. **if** capacity of nodes (root and descentes nodes) >= Total customer demands

- 10. Update Solutions list
- 11. end **if**
- 12. **if** size of Solution ≥2 ∗β

13. Select the solutions according to Routhwhell selection from list of *Solution and update BestSolutions list*

- 14.End if
- 15.End For
- 16. End **For**
- 17. Output: BestSolutions

5.2 Integer programming procedure

In this section, after generating initial solution from constructive heuristic, a new procedure based on mathematical approach is proposed. In the proposed model, binary variables

of $\Delta_p, \Gamma_d, \Psi_r$ are transformed to parameters. Thus, fixed costs associated with locating the plants in the objective function is removed and new objective function for all echelons models are given as follows:

$$\operatorname{Min} \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{p \in p} X_{sp} \operatorname{Di}_{sp} t + \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{d \in D} Y_{pd} \operatorname{Di}_{pd} t$$
(23)

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Min} \ \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{p \in p} X_{sp} \text{Di}_{sp} t + \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{d \in D} Y_{pd} \text{Di}_{pd} t \\ + \sum_{d \in D} \sum_{r \in R} Z_{dr} \text{Di}_{dr} t \end{array}$$
 (24)

$$\operatorname{Min} \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{p \in p} X_{sp} \operatorname{Di}_{sp} t + \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{d \in D} Y_{pd} \operatorname{Di}_{pd} t + \sum_{d \in D} \sum_{r \in R} Z_{dr} \operatorname{Di}_{dr} t + \sum_{r \in R} \sum_{c \in C} W_{rc} \operatorname{Di}_{rc} t$$
(25)

The objective is to minimize the cost of transportation on each arc of the network. After determination of $\Delta_{\rm p}$, Γ_d , Ψ_r as parameters, certain constraints are eliminated from the mathematical model. The modifications in all mathematical models are given follows.

In two echelons model: The variable of $\Delta_{\rm p}$ is modified as a parameter, which is obtained from the proposed heuristic algorithm in the Constraints (3). Also, the Constraints (5) are eliminated from model.

In three echelons model: The variables of Δ_p , Γ_d are changed as parameters in Constraints (3) and (10) respectively. In addition, Constraints (5) and (12) are removed from the model.

In four echelons model: Similarly in the previous models, the variables of $\Delta_{\rm p}$, Γ_d , Ψ_r are modified as parameters in the Constraints (3), (10), and (17), respectively. Constraints (5), (12) and (19) are also eliminated from model.

6 Comparative results

In this section, we present the comparative results in order to show the performance of the formulations, the GA and the HHA. All computational experiments are conducted on a server with one gigabyte RAM and Intel Xeon 2.6 GHz processor. We used CPLEX 12.5 with its default settings as the optimizer to solve the integer programming formulations. The GA is coded in C++ and HHA is coded in MATLAB. Maximum allowable computational time is set three hours for each instance in the mathematical formulation solutions. For the GA and the HHA, ten separate runs are performed for each instance and the best one is reported.

Three different network structures (i.e., two, three and four echelons) are solved to evaluate the performance of the formulations, the proposed heuristic algorithms. Summary information about solutions obtained by GAMS, GA and HHA are given in Table 4. All detailed solutions of 450 instances can be found on website scndp.info.

The results show that the GA yields optimal solutions for 21, 16 and 6 test instances out of 150 for two, three and four echelon configurations, respectively. On the other hand, the HHA finds also optimal solution for 32, 20, and 11 problems for the configuration, respectively. In total, 308 of 450 test problems are solved optimality by CPLEX. However, no solutions are obtained in 109 test problems. CPLEX finds a feasible solution within three hours-time limit for the rest 33 the test problems. While the GA finds optimal solutions in 43 test problems, HHA produces optimal solution in 63 test problems. Both algorithms yield good quality solutions in the remaining test problems within a reasonable computation time as well. Expectedly, increasing the size of the network also increases the computation time of the problem. Solution time dramatically increases when the size of the network grows. As can be seen from Table 4, while average CPU time is 386.47 sec. for two echelon network, it jumps to 6266.22 sec., which is 16 times higher than that for four echelon network.

Detailed average results are given in Tables 5-7. It is shown that GA and HHA produce optimal/feasible solutions in all the test problems. For two-echelon test problems, both algorithms are capable of finding the optimal solution in small sizes but the HHA shows better performance than the GA. However, the possibility of finding optimal results decreases in larger echelon structures in the both algorithm. The results clearly indicate that the GA and HHA require quite less computational time and memory than does CPLEX (Tables 5-7). Numbers in bold indicates that HHA performs better than GA in most of the test problems. From Tables 6 and 7, three and four-echelon networks, involving more than hundred facilities cannot even produce feasible solutions within the given time limit (see Figure 6). It must be noted that the capacity and demand values of each problem are not investigated to see the effects on solution time.

Toot Cuouna				CPLEX	
Test Groups	Optimal	Feasible	NA	Average Time(sec.)	
Two Echelon	149	1	0	386.47	-
Three Echelon	93	7	50	4460.10	
Four Echelon	66	25	59	6266.22	
				GA	
	Optimal	Feasible	NA	Average Time(sec.)	Average Gap (%) ^a
Two Echelon	21	129	0	17.60	2.96
Three Echelon	16	134	0	92.81	3.23
Four Echelon	6	144	0	104.90	2.59
				ННА	
	Optimal	Feasible	NA	Average Time(sec.)	Average Gap (%) ^b
Two Echelon	32	118	0	21.80	2.47
Three Echelon	20	130	0	111.21	2.95
Four Echelon	11	139	0	223.45	2.22

Table 4: A summary of solution obtained by CPLEX, GA and HHA.

^a(GA-GAMS)/GAMS×100; ^b(HHA-GAMS)/GAMS×100.

<i>Pamukkale Univ Muh Bilim Derg, 24(4), 750-764, 2018</i>	
Ç. Koç, E. Özceylan, S. E. Kesen, Z. A. Çil, S. Mete	

	Table 5: Average results of two echelon test problems.									
Instance set	CPL			GA			HHA			
	Total Cost	Time (s)	Total Cost	Time (s)	Gap (%)	Total Cost	Time (s)	Gap (%)		
2Ech_F1 (1-10)	122374.79	0.01	122374.79	1.60	0.00	122374.79	2.60	0.00		
2Ech_F2 (11-20)	213572.04	0.16	213572.04	1.78	0.00	213572.04	3.78	0.00		
2Ech_F3 (21-30)	298143.84	0.43	299411.03	2.27	0.43	298143.84	3.27	0.00		
2Ech_F4 (31-40)	344712.50	1.21	352132.69	3.24	2.15	348754.50	4.87	1.17		
2Ech_F5 (41-50)	397433.25	3.12	407892.02	4.43	2.63	409878.25	5.02	3.13		
2Ech_F6 (51-60)	450919.48	6.15	462753.30	5.48	2.62	463456.81	5.45	2.78		
2Ech_F7 (61-70)	501853.49	15.40	517483.93	7.67	3.11	516878.72	6.90	2.99		
2Ech_F8 (71-80)	534133.54	25.76	568344.99	9.06	6.41	553876.67	6.45	3.70		
2Ech_F9 (81-90)	584866.67	58.18	608512.10	11.02	4.04	593453.54	7.25	1.47		
2Ech_F10 (91-100)	624841.59	116.18	650806.72	13.05	4.16	643334.25	8.30	2.96		
2Ech_F11 (101-110)	659888.85	293.50	691024.20	18.23	4.72	674563.32	10.34	2.22		
2Ech_F12 (111-120)	697923.56	267.47	730800.37	21.58	4.71	724563.46	11.30	3.82		
2Ech_F13 (121-130)	728565.32	671.41	761192.41	36.58	4.48	742323.64	14.45	1.89		
2Ech_F14 (131-140)	787289.91	1418.81	824241.77	48.96	4.69	810345.87	26.94	2.93		
2Ech_F15 (141-150)	823307.80	2916.86	862463.40	79.00	4.76	854356.67	52.34	3.77		
	Ta	able 6: Avera	ige results of th	ree echelon t	est problems	1				
	CPLE			GA	est probleme		ННА			
Instance set	Total Cost	Time (s)	Total Cost	Time (s)	Gap (%)	Total Cost	Time (s)	Gap (%)		
3Ech_F1 (1-10)	188409.08	0.03	188409.08	2.01	0.00	188409.08	2.09	0.00		
3Ech_F2 (11-20)	330404.62	0.44	330588.42	2.05	0.05	330404.62	3.03	0.00		
3Ech_F3 (21-30)	442498.54	2.59	450293.09	4.24	1.76	448939.56	5.03	1.45		
3Ech_F4 (31-40)	552731.83	7.57	568136.48	5.46	2.78	567854.42	6.00	2.73		
3Ech_F5 (41-50)			647751.957.06		3.18	639864.78	7.08	1.92		
3Ech_F6 (51-60)	690874.80	66.72	718016.64	8.88	3.92	709345.62	11.03	2.67		
3Ech_F7 (61-70)	787846.31	276.00	823966.76	15.40	4.58	813464.87	20.17	3.25		
3Ech_F8 (71-80)	855301.36	1519.48	903561.68	29.55	5.64	897844.12	29.75	4.97		
3Ech_F9 (81-90)	948197.90	4038.77	1002084.67	73.25	5.68	995643.25	53.45	5.00		
3Ech_F10 (91-100)	1006877.01	6969.03	1071230.95	125.13	6.39	1065984.40	110.24	5.87		
3Ech_F11 (101-110)	NA	NA	1127126.23	175.87	NA	1039125.50	174.70	NA		
3Ech_F12 (111-120)	NA	NA	1208267.74	204.79	NA	1039123.30	210.25	NA		
3Ech_F13 (121-130)	NA	NA	1208207.74	204.79	NA	1263456.56	227.30	NA		
3Ech_F14 (131-140)	NA	NA	1354553.31	243.31	NA	1203430.30	227.30 251.30	NA		
3Ech_F15 (141-150)	NA	NA		243.31 268.21			251.50 260.74	NA		
SECII_F15 (141-150)			1416876.41		NA	1405743.46	200.74	NA		
	Т	able 7: Aver	age results of fo	our echelon t	est problems.					
Instance set		CPLEX			GA			HHA		
	Total Cost	Time (s)	Total Cost	Time (s)	Gap (%)	Total Cost	Time (s)	Gap (%)		
4Ech_F1 (1-10)	261446.77	0.05	261776.77	1.52	0.12	261446.77	2.03	0.00		
4Ech_F2 (11-20)	446461.55	1.48	455425.90	1.76	2.00	447212.60	3.04	0.16		
4Ech_F3 (21-30)	593410.62	5.18	611467.39	3.61	3.04	609842.50	4.60	2.76		
4Ech_F4 (31-40)	722069.96	23.84	739428.04	5.56	2.40	737843.76	6.40	2.18		
4Ech_F5 (41-50)	854909.84	235.70	874909.84	11.00	2.33	866905.32	10.50	1.40		
4Ech_F6 (51-60)	955781.62	2475.83	983781.68	17.24	2.92	979842.45	22.40	2.51		
4Ech_F7 (61-70)	1061234.46	5672.01	1238973.74	31.58	16.74	1213563.87	30.60	14.35		
4Ech_F8 (71-80)	1154708.33	9158.50	1423015.61	64.42	23.23	1352343.65	60.65	17.11		
4Ech_F9 (81-90)	1272519.58	10800.00	1402673.84	111.72	10.22	1394564.50	110.30	9.59		
4Ech_F10 (91-100)	1375804.31	10800.00	1684762.24	130.45	22.45	1503435.89	125.65	9.27		
4Ech_F11 (101-110)	NA	NA	2531983.63	167.44	NA	2523436.78	165.70	NA		
4Ech_F12 (111-120)	NA	NA	2739308.44	189.23	NA	2703445.40	185.60	NA		
4Ech_F13 (121-130)	NA	NA	2981610.61	222.04	NA	2974563.31	225.09	NA		
4Ech_F14 (131-140)	NA	NA	3170228.58	261.55	NA	3164635.90	260.40	NA		
4Ech_F15 (141-150)	NA	NA	3405414.13	354.40	NA	3304567.50	350.45	NA		
= ()										



Figure 6: Comparisons of GA and HHA in terms of average total cost.

Results show that the gap between CPLEX and GA-HHA in three different network structures. As is clear from mentioned tables, the maximum gap interval is observed in four echelon test problems, minimum gap interval is observed in two echelon test problems.

In general, the results reveal that the gaps with respect to solution quality go between 0.00 and 23.23% for GA, and between 0.00 and 17.11% for HHA. Thus, the proposed HHA and GA perform very well in terms of quality of solutions and computational time.

Figure 6 indicates that HHA provides less total cost than GA in all test problem types. Average gap values between GA and HHA are also shown within Figure 6. According to this, average gap between GA and HHA is increased from 1.04% to 1.92% for small (two echelons) and large (four echelons) size problems, respectively.

7 Conclusions

In this paper, we have studied different scenarios of the wellknown forward supply chain network design (SCND) problem where two, three and four echelons are taken into account. Two-echelon SCND is composed of suppliers, production plants and distribution centers. Three and four-echelon SCND problems are extensions of the two-echelon form by adding retailer and customer, respectively. We have formulated each problem with mixed integer programming formulation. Since the problem belongs to NP-Hard problem class, mathematical formulations show poor performance as the number of echelon increases. We therefore develop two heuristic methods; GA and HHA. We compare the effectiveness of the proposed algorithms versus mathematical formulations.

Comparative results substantiate the outstanding performance of the GA and HHA. Based on the computational time measurement, GA and HHA show similar performance.

For future studies, proposed GA and HHA approaches can be compared with other heuristic and meta-heuristics techniques using current benchmark instances. Additionally, uncertainty of costs, demands and capacities can be considered in the model and new solution methodologies including uncertainty can be developed. Finally, similar benchmark instances can be developed for reverse and closed-loop supply chain networks.

8 Acknowledgements

The authors express sincere appreciation to the area editor and four anonymous reviewers for their efforts to improve the quality of this paper.

9 References

- [1] Paksoy T, Bektaş T, Özceylan E. "Operational and environmental performance measures in a multi-product closed-loop supply Chain". *Transportation Research Part E*, 47(4), 532-546, 2011.
- [2] Alayet C, Lehoux N, Lebel L, Bouchard M. "Centralized supply chain planning model for multiple forest companies". *INFOR: Information Systems and Operational Research*, 54(3), 171-191, 2016.
- [3] Ashtab S, Caron RJ, Selvarajah E. "A characterization of alternate optimal solutions for a supply chain network design model". *INFOR: Information Systems and Operational Research*, 53(2), 90-93, 2015.
- [4] Özceylan E, Paksoy T, Bektaş T. "Modeling and optimizing the integrated problem of closed-loop supply chain network design and disassembly line balancing". *Transportation Research Part E*, 61, 142-164, 2014.
- [5] Demirel N, Gökçen H. "A mixed integer programming model for remanufacturing in reverse logistics environment". *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 39(11-12), 1197-1206, 2008.
- [6] Tari I, Alumur SA. "Collection center location with equity considerations in reverse logistics networks". *INFOR: Information Systems and Operational Research*, 52(4), 157-173, 2014.
- [7] Soleimani H, Govindan K, Saghafi H, Jafari H. "Fuzzy multiobjective sustainable and green closed-loop supply chain network design". *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 109, 191-203, 2017.
- [8] Syarif A, Yun Y, Gen M. "Study on multi-stage logistics chain network: A spanning tree-based genetic algorithm approach". *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 43(1-2), 299-314, 2002.
- [9] Altıparmak F, Gen M, Lin L, Karaoğlan I. "A steady-state genetic algorithm for multi-product supply chain network design". *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 56(2), 521-537, 2009.
- [10] Paksoy T, Chang CT. "Revised multi-choice goal programming for multi-period, multi-stage inventory controlled supply chain model with popup stores in guerrilla marketing". *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 34(11), 3586-3598, 2010.
- [11] Paksoy T, Özceylan E, Weber GW. "Profit oriented supply chain network optimization". *Central European Journal of Operational Research*, 21(2), 455-478, 2013.
- [12] Badole CM, Jain R, Rathore APS, Nepal B. "Research and opportunities in supply chain modelling: A review". *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 1(3), 63-86, 2012.

- [13] Fahimnia B, Farahani RZ, Marian R, Luong L. "A review and critique on integrated production-distribution planning models and techniques". *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 32(1), 1-19, 2013.
- [14] Lambiase A, Mastrocinque E, Miranda S, Lambiase A. "Strategic planning and design of supply chains: A literature review". *International Journal of Engineering Business Management*, 5, 1-11, 2013.
- [15] Farias ES, Li JQ, Galvez JP, Borenstein D. "Simple heuristic for the strategic supply chain design of large-scale networks: A Brazilian case study". *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 113, 746-756, 2017.
- [16] Reinelt G. "TSPLIB A traveling salesman problem library". *ORSA Journal on Computing*, 3(4), 376-384, 1991.
- [17] Solomon MM. "Algorithms for the vehicle routing and scheduling problems with time window constraints". *Operations Research*, 35(2), 254-265, 1987.
- [18] Talbot FB, Patterson JH, Gehrlein WV. "A comparative evaluation of heuristic line balancing techniques". *Management Science*, 32(4), 430-454, 1986.
- [19] Qu WW, Bookbinder JH, Iyogun P. "An integrated inventory-transportation system with modified periodic policy for multiple products". *European Journal of Operational Research*, 115(2), 254-269, 1999.
- [20] Zhou G, Min H, Gen M. "The balanced allocation of customers to multiple distribution centers in the supply chain network: A genetic algorithm approach". *Computers* & Industrial Engineering, 43(1-2), 251-261, 2002.
- [21] Miranda PA, Garrido RA. "Incorporating inventory control decisions into a strategic distribution network design model with stochastic demand". *Transportation Research Part E*, 40(3), 183-207, 2004.
- [22] Pishvaee MS, Rabbani M. "A graph theoretic-based heuristic algorithm for responsive supply chain network design with direct and indirect shipment". *Advances in Engineering Software*, 42(3), 57-63, 2011.
- [23] Hamta N, Shirazi MA, Ghomi SMTF, Behdad S. "Supply chain network optimization considering assembly line balancing and demand uncertainty". *International Journal* of Production Research, 53(10), 2970-2994, 2015.
- [24] Jayaraman V, Pirkul H. "Planning and coordination of production and distribution facilities for multiple commodities". *European Journal of Operational Research*, 133(2), 394-408, 2001.
- [25] Jang YJ, Jang SY, Chang BM, Park J. "A combined model of network design and production/distribution planning for a supply network". *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 43(1-2), 263-281, 2002.
- [26] Sabri EH, Beamon BN. "A multi-objective approach to simultaneous strategic and operational planning in supply chain design". *Omega*, 28(5), 581-598, 2000.
- [27] Altıparmak F, Gen M, Lin L, Paksoy T. "A genetic algorithm for multi-objective optimization of supply chain networks". *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 51(1), 197-216, 2006.

- [28] Benyoucef L, Xie X, Tanonkou GA. "Supply chain network design with unreliable suppliers: a Lagrangian relaxationbased approach". *International Journal of Production Research*, 5(21), 6435-6454, 2013.
- [29] Hwang HS. "Design of supply-chain logistics system considering service level". Computers & Industrial Engineering, 43(1-2), 283-297, 2002.
- [30] Hasani AA. "Competitive supply chain network design considering marketing strategies: A hybrid metaheuristic algorithm". *International Journal of Supply and Operations Management*, 3(3), 1429-1441, 2016.
- [31] Yang G, Liu Y. "Optimizing an equilibrium supply chain network design problem by an improved hybrid biogeography based optimization algorithm". *Applied Soft Computing*, 58, 657-668, 2017.
- [32] Badri H, Bashiri M, Hejazi TH. "Integrated strategic and tactical planning in a supply chain network design with a heuristic solution method". *Computers & Operations Research*, 40(4), 1143-1154, 2013.
- [33] Jayaraman V, Ross A. "A simulated annealing methodology to distribution network design and management". *European Journal of Operational Research*, 144(3), 629-645, 2003.
- [34] Farahani RZ, Elahipanah M. "A genetic algorithm to optimize the total cost and service level for just-in-time distribution in a supply chain". *International Journal of Production Economics*, 111(2), 229-243, 2008.
- [35] Babazadeh R, Razmi J, Ghodsi R. "Supply chain network design problem for a new market opportunity in an agile manufacturing system". *Journal of Industrial Engineering International*, 8, 53-62, 2012.
- [36] Meixell MJ, Gargeya VB. "Global supply chain design: A literature review and critique". *Transportation Research Part E*, 41(6), 531-550, 2005.
- [37] Melo MT, Nickel S, Saldanha-da-Gama F. "Facility location and supply chain management: A review". *European Journal of Operational Research*, 196(2), 401-412, 2009.
- [38] Mula J, Peidro D, Díaz-Madroñero M, Vicens E. "Mathematical programming models for supply chain production and transport planning". *European Journal of Operational Research*, 204(3), 377-390, 2009.
- [39] Syam SS. "A model and methodologies for the location problem with logistical components". *Computers & Operations Research*, 29(9), 1173-1193, 2002.
- [40] Wang W, Fung RYK, Chai Y. "Approach of just-in time distribution requirements planning for supply chain management". *International Journal of Production Economics*, 91(2), 101-107, 2003.
- [41] Melachrinoudis E, Messac A, Min H. "Consolidating a warehouse network: A physical programming approach". *International Journal of Production Economics*, 97(1), 1-17, 2005.
- [42] Amiri A. "Designing a distribution network in a supply chain system: Formulation and efficient solution procedure". *European Journal of Operational Research*, 171(2), 567-576, 2006.

- [43] Lee JH, Moon IK, Park JH. "Multi-level supply chain network design with routing". *International Journal of Production Research*, 48(13), 3957-3976, 2010.
- [44] Cheraghi S, Hosseini-Motlagh SM, Samani MRG. "A robust optimization model for blood supply chain network design". *International Journal of Industrial Engineering & Production Research*, 27(4), 425-444, 2016.
- [45] Chiadamrong N, Piyathanavong V. "Optimal design of supply chain network under uncertainty environment using hybrid analytical and simulation modeling approach". *Journal of Industrial Engineering International*, 13(4), 465-478, 2017.
- [46] Gen M, Altıparmak F, Lin L. "A genetic algorithm for twostage transportation problem using priority-based encoding". *OR Spectrum*, 28(3), 337-354, 2006.
- [47] Demirel N, Özceylan E, Paksoy T, Gökçen H. "A genetic algorithm approach for optimising a closed-loop supply chain network with crisp and fuzzy objectives". *International Journal of Production Research*, 52(12), 3637-3664, 2014.
- [48] Koç Ç, Bektaş T, Jabali O, Laporte G. "The fleet size and mix pollution-routing problem". *Transportation Research Part B*, 70, 239-254, 2014.
- [49] Koç Ç. "An evolutionary algorithm for supply chain network design with assembly line balancing". *Neural Computing and Applications*, 28(11), 3183-3195, 2017.

Appendix A

Variables of two echelon forward SCND problem for quantities are as follows:

- $\begin{array}{ll} X_{sp} & \text{Amount shipped from supplier } s \text{ to plant } p; \ \forall \, s \in \\ S \text{ and } p \in P \end{array}$
- $Y_{pd} \qquad \text{Amount shipped from plant } p \text{ to distribution center d}; \\ \forall p \in P \text{ and } d \in D$
- Δ_p Binary variable which takes a value of 1 if plant p is open, 0, otherwise; $\forall p \in P$

The variable notations of two echelon forward SCND problem for model parameters are:

- Di_{sp} Distance between supplier *s* and potential plant *p*; $\forall s \in S$ and $p \in P$
- Di_{pd} Distance between potential plant p and distribution center d; $\forall p \in P$ and $d \in D$
- *Ca*_s Capacity of supplier s; $\forall s \in S$

- Ca_p Capacity of potential plant $p; \forall p \in P$
- De_d Demand of distribution center d; $\forall d \in D$
- *t* Unit shipping cost between facilities
- FC_p Fixed cost of opening plant $p; \forall p \in P$

MaxP Maximum available number of plants to be opened Variables of three echelon forward SCND problem for

quantities are as follows (in addition to the previous model):

- $Z_{dr} \qquad \text{Amount shipped from distribution center } d \text{ to retailer} \\ r; \forall d \in D \text{ and } r \in R$
- Γ_d Binary variable which takes value of 1 if distribution
center d is open. 0, otherwise $\forall d \in D$

The variable notations of three echelon forward SCND problem for model parameters are (in addition to the previous model):

- Di_{dr} Distance between potential distribution center d and retailer r; $\forall d \in D$ and $r \in R$
- Ca_d Capacity of potential distribution center d; $\forall d \in D$
- De_r Demand of retailer $r; \forall r \in R$
- FC_d Fixed cost of opening distribution center d; $\forall d \in D$
- MaxD Maximum available number of distribution centers to be opened

Variables of four echelon forward SCND problem for quantities are as follows (in addition to the previous models):

- $W_{rc} \qquad \text{Amount shipped from retailer } r \text{ to customer } c; \forall r \in R \text{ and } c \in C$
- Ψ_r Binary variable which takes value of 1 if retailer r is open. 0, otherwise $\forall c \in C$

The variable notations of four echelon forward SCND problem for model parameters are (in addition to the previous models):

- Di_{rc} Distance between potential retailer *r* and customer *c*; $\forall r \in R \text{ and } c \in C$
- *Ca*_r Capacity of potential retailer r; $\forall r \in R$
- De_c Demand of customer $c; \forall c \in C$
- *FC_r* Fixed cost of opening retailer r; $\forall r \in R$
- *MaxR* Maximum available number of distribution centers to be opened.

Appendix B

Tables A.1-A.3 present all 450 forward SCND instances (150 two-echelon, 150 three-echelon and 150 four-echelon) with number of facilities.

Table A: 1. Generated two echelons FSCN de	esign instances with number of facilities.
--	--

								0							
Test Problem	S	Р	D	Test Problem	S	Р	D	Test Problem	S	Р	D	Test Problem	S	Р	D
2Ech_1	4	2	4	2Ech_39	80	40	80	2Ech_77	156	78	156	2Ech_114	230	115	230
2Ech_2	6	3	6	2Ech_40	82	41	82	2Ech_78	158	79	158	2Ech_115	232	116	232
2Ech_3	8	4	8	2Ech_41	84	42	84	2Ech_79	160	80	160	2Ech_116	234	117	234
2Ech_4	10	5	10	2Ech_42	86	43	86	2Ech_80	162	81	162	2Ech_117	236	118	236
2Ech_5	12	6	12	2Ech_43	88	44	88	2Ech_81	164	82	164	2Ech_118	238	119	238
2Ech_6	14	7	14	2Ech_44	90	45	90	2Ech_82	166	83	166	2Ech_119	240	120	240
2Ech_7	16	8	16	2Ech_45	92	46	92	2Ech_83	168	84	168	2Ech_120	242	121	242
2Ech_8	18	9	18	2Ech_46	94	47	94	2Ech_84	170	85	170	2Ech_121	244	122	244

Pamukkale Univ Muh Bilim	Derg, 24(4), 750-764, 2018
Ç. Koç, E. Özceylan, S. E	. Kesen, Z. A. Çil, S. Mete

	Table A: 1. Cont.																		
2 2	2Ech_9	20	10	20	2	Ech_47	96	48	96	2Ech_8	35	172	86	172	2Ec	h_122	246	123	246
2 2		22	11	22	2	Ech_48	98	49	98	2Ech_8	36	174	87	174	2Ec	h_123	248	124	248
1 2 2 1 2				24				50					88	176			250		250
2 2 2 2 2 1 4 5 1 4 5 1 4 2 1 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 30 1 32 2 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2<				26															
2 Ech_14 30 2 Ech_52 106 53 106 2 Ech_91 182 21.127 256 128 256 2 Ech_15 32 16 32 108 54 108 54 108 2 Ech_91 184 92 184 2164 128 258 125 258 2 Ech_16 36 18 36 2 Ech_55 112 56 112 2 Ech_93 188 94 188 2 Ech_131 2 Ech 132 132 2 Ech 132 132 132 2 Ech 133 2 Ech 133 2 Ech 133 133										2Ech 8	39								
2 Ech, 15 3 2 2 Ech, 23 108 54 100 2 Ech, 91 104 92 104 2 Ech, 128 258 129 256 2 Ech, 16 34 17 34 2 Ech, 54 110 55 110 2 Ech, 91 166 93 186 2 Ech, 120 260 130 260 2 Ech, 170 36 18 6 2 Ech, 57 114 57 114 2 Ech, 91 190 95 190 2 Ech, 131 264 132 266 132 266 2 Ech, 20 42 21 4 2 Ech, 51 100 61 120 180 91 98 91 918 2 Ech, 131 271 135 272 136 137 274 137 274 137 274 137 274 137 274 137 274 137 276 138 276 138 276 2 Ech, 23 48 2 Ech, 41 128 2 Ech, 141 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>																			
Zech, 16 34 17 34 Zech, 55 110 55 110 Zech, 93 186 94 186 24ch, 130 260 130 260 130 260 130 260 130 260 130 260 131 261 132 266 132 266 132 266 132 266 132 266 132 266 132 266 132 266 132 266 132 266 132 266 132 266 132 266 132 266 132 266 132 270 135 270 135 270 135 270 135 270 135 270 135 270 137 276 138 271 137 276 138 276 138 276 138 276 138 276 138 276 138 276 138 276 138 276 138 276 138 276																			
Ebch.17 36 18 36 2 2bch.55 112 56 114 57 114 22bch.94 190 95 190 22bch.131 22c 131 22c 2bch.19 40 2bch.55 116 58 116 2bch.96 194 97 194 2bch.131 266 133 266 2bch.21 42 24 2bch.58 118 59 118 2bch.96 194 97 194 2bch.131 256 132 266 132 266 2bch.22 46 23 46 2bch.60 122 61 122 2bch.91 198 99 198 2bch.135 272 136 272 2bch.24 50 25 50 2bch.61 126 61 2bch.10 200 102 200 102 2bch.138 278 138 276 2bch.25 50 2bch.62 126 132 2bch.10 201 10				34								186	93						260
2Ech_18 38 19 38 2Ech_56 114 57 116 58 116 2Ech_95 192 96 192 2Ech_131 264 133 266 ZEch_21 44 22 44 2Ech_57 116 58 116 59 192 96 192 2Ech_131 266 133 266 ZEch_21 44 22 44 2Ech_59 120 60 120 2Ech_97 196 98 196 ZEch_137 276 135 272 ZEch_23 48 24 48 2Ech_61 126 63 126 2Ech_100 200 100 200 2Ech_137 276 138 276 ZEch_245 52 25 2Ech_61 128 64 128 2Ech_1100 200 126 140 208 144 288 144 288 144 288 144 288 144 288 144 288 1				36				56	112			188					262	131	262
Eech_19 40 20 40 2Ech_57 116 58 116 2Ech_95 192 96 192 2Ech_132 266 133 266 ZEch_21 44 22 44 ZEch_58 118 59 118 2Ech_97 196 98 196 ZEch_133 270 135 270 ZEch_22 46 23 46 ZEch_161 122 2Ech_98 198 99 198 ZEch_135 272 136 274 ZEch_24 50 25 50 ZEch_61 124 2Ech_101 204 102 204 Ezch_138 274 137 274 138 276 ZEch_25 52 26 2 ZEch_61 130 65 130 251 100 210 126 126 141 280 142 281 128 242 141 281 142 284 ZEch_27 56 35 28 26				38				57	114			190	95				264	132	264
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2Ech_19			40	2	Ech_57	116	58	116	2Ech_9	95	192	96	192	2Ec	h_132	266	133	266
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		42	21	42				59	118			194	97				268		268
Zech_22 46 23 46 Zech_61 122 24 24 28 24 48 24 48 24 61 124 62 124 22 22 26 32 274 137 274 137 274 137 274 137 274 137 274 137 274 137 274 137 274 137 274 137 276 138 276 Zech_25 52 22 22 24 32 144 280 28ch_103 206 128 28ch_140 280 28ch_140 280 28ch_140 280 28ch_140 280 28ch_143 286 28ch_160 124 100 214 100 214 28ch_143 286 28 28 144 288 28ch_13 286 28 28ch_160 124 100 214 216 124 126 126 126 126 226 28ch_143 286	2Ech_21	44	22	44	2	Ech_59	120	60	120	2Ech_9	97	196	98	196	2Ec	h_134	270	135	270
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				46				61				198	99				272		272
2Ech,25 52 26 52 2Ech,26 31 128 64 128 2Ech,101 204 102 204 128 278 139 278 2Ech,26 54 27 54 2Ech,66 132 65 132 2Ech,103 208 104 208 2Ech,140 224 141 282 2Ech,27 56 28 58 2Ech,66 136 68 136 2Ech,105 212 106 212 2Ech,142 286 143 286 2Ech,30 62 31 64 22Ech,68 138 68 136 68 136 68 136 22Ech,106 212 100 212 22Ech,143 290 145 290 2Ech,33 68 34 68 2Ech,71 144 71 144 71 142 216,109 210 100 220 22Ech,146 294 147 294 2Ech,37 76 38 76 2Ech,74 150 75 152 26ch,110 222 113<	2Ech_23	48	24	48	2	Ech_61	124	62	124	2Ech_9	99	200	100	200	2Ec	h_136	274	137	274
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2Ech_24	50 2	25	50	2	Ech_62	126	63	126	2Ech_1	00	202	101	202	2Ec	h_137	276	138	276
2Ech_27 56 28 56 2Ech_65 132 66 132 2Ech_103 208 104 208 2Ech_140 282 141 282 2Ech_28 58 29 58 2Ech_66 134 67 134 68 134 2Ech_105 121 106 210 2Ech_142 286 143 286 2Ech_30 62 31 62 2Ech_67 146 69 138 2Ech_107 214 107 216 108 216 2Ech_144 290 145 290 2Ech_32 66 33 66 2Ech_70 142 144 2Ech_107 216 108 216 2Ech_144 290 145 290 2Ech_33 68 34 68 2Ech_71 144 72 144 2Ech_110 220 110 220 2Ech_144 290 142 294 246 929 246 133 266 1112 224 112 224 122 2Ech_144 300 150 300 22ch_133	2Ech_25	52	26	52	2	Ech_63	128	64	128	2Ech_1	01	204	102	204	2Ec	h_138	278	139	278
2Ech_28 58 29 58 2Ech_66 134 67 134 2Ech_104 210 105 210 2Ech_141 284 142 284 2Ech_29 60 30 60 2Ech_66 136 68 136 2Ech_105 212 106 121 2Ech_142 266 138 2Ech_107 214 107 214 107 214 107 214 2Ech_144 290 145 290 2Ech_33 68 36 66 2Ech_70 142 71 142 2Ech_107 216 108 218 2Ech_144 290 145 290 2Ech_33 70 35 70 2Ech_72 146 73 146 2Ech_110 222 111 222 2Ech_147 290 145 290 2Ech_147 150 75 152 2Ech_111 224 112 224 12ch_147 290 145 290 2Ech_147 150 75 152 2Ech_113 226 114 22 22ch_149 300 150 300	2Ech_26	54	27	54	2	Ech_64	130	65	130	2Ech_1	02	206	103	206	2Ec	h_139	280	140	280
2Ech_29 60 30 60 2Ech_67 136 68 136 2Ech_105 212 106 212 2Ech_143 286 143 286 2Ech_31 64 32 66 33 66 2Ech_96 140 70 140 2Ech_107 216 108 216 2Ech_143 288 144 280 2Ech_33 66 33 66 2Ech_70 142 71 142 2Ech_109 220 110 220 2Ech_146 294 147 294 148 296 2Ech_37 70 3Ech_77 148 74 148 2Ech_111 224 112 226 113 20 116 220 2Ech_144 298 149 298 2Ech_37 76 38 76 2Ech_75 152 76 152 2Ech_113 228 114 228 142 226 161 30 150 300 150 302 151 302 151 302 151 302 151 302 151	2Ech_27	56	28	56	2	Ech_65	132	66	132	2Ech_1	03	208	104	208	2Ec	h_140	282	141	282
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2Ech_28	58	29	58	2	Ech_66	134	67	134	2Ech_1	04	210	105	210	2Ec	h_141	284	142	284
2Ech_31 64 32 64 2Ech_69 140 70 140 2Ech_107 216 108 216 2Ech_144 290 145 290 2Ech_33 66 33 66 2Ech_70 142 71 142 2Ech_108 218 109 218 2Ech_147 294 147 294 2Ech_34 70 35 70 2Ech_72 146 73 146 2Ech_110 222 111 222 2Ech_147 296 148 298 2Ech_37 76 37 74 2Ech_75 152 76 154 77 154 226 111 228 114 228 2Ech_147 300 150 300 2Ech_37 76 38 76 2Ech_75 152 76 152 2Ech_113 228 114 24 12 24 22ch_310 300 130 26 2Ech_37 76 38 76 2Ech_75 152 76 52 10 3Ech_101 204 103 206	2Ech_29	60	30	60	2	Ech_67	136	68	136	2Ech_1	05	212	106	212	2Ec	h_142	286	143	286
2Ech.32 66 33 66 2Ech.70 142 71 142 2Ech.108 218 109 218 2Ech.145 292 146 292 2Ech.34 70 35 70 2Ech.71 144 72 144 72 144 72 144 72 144 72 144 72 111 222 111 224 2Ech.146 294 147 294 2Ech.35 72 36 72 2Ech.75 150 2Ech.111 224 112 224 2Ech.148 298 149 298 2Ech.37 76 38 76 2Ech.75 152 2Ech.112 226 113 226 2Ech.148 200 150 300 2Ech.37 30 32 77 2Ech.76 154 77 154 2Ech.113 226 114 202 114 300 102 204 326 226 104 3Ech.101 206 103	2Ech_30	62	31	62	2	Ech_68	138	69	138	2Ech_1	06	214	107	214	2Ec	h_143	288	144	288
2Ech_33 68 34 68 2Ech_71 144 72 144 2Ech_10 220 110 220 2Ech_146 294 147 294 2Ech_35 72 36 72 2Ech_73 148 74 148 2Ech_111 224 112 224 2Ech_147 298 149 298 2Ech_36 74 37 74 2Ech_74 150 75 150 2Ech_111 224 112 224 2Ech_146 294 147 294 2Ech_36 74 37 74 2Ech_74 150 75 150 2Ech_111 228 114 228 2Ech_150 302 151 302 2Ech_37 76 38 76 2Ech_76 154 77 154 2 144 10 102 204 102 102 102 103 103 206 2Ech_38 8 4 8 3Ech_51 104 5	2Ech_31	64	32	64	2	Ech_69	140	70	140	2Ech_1	07	216	108	216	2Ec	h_144	290	145	290
2Ech_34 70 35 70 2Ech_72 146 73 146 2Ech_110 222 111 222 2Ech_147 296 148 296 2Ech_35 74 37 74 37 74 2Ech_74 150 75 150 2Ech_111 224 112 224 2Ech_147 296 148 296 2Ech_37 76 38 76 2Ech_75 152 76 152 2Ech_175 150 2Ech_113 226 113 226 126 2Ech_150 302 151 302 2Ech_38 78 39 78 ZEch_75 154 77 154 27 146 52 226 114 228 148 286 2Ech_110 226 132 226 132 226 132 266 136 266 136 266 136 148 148 286 2Ech_174 296 148 296 28 26	2Ech_32	66	33	66	2	Ech_70	142	71	142	2Ech_1	08	218	109	218	2Ec	h_145	292	146	292
ZECh_35 72 36 72 ZECh_73 148 74 148 ZECh_111 224 112 224 ZECh_148 298 149 298 ZECh_36 74 37 74 2ECh_74 150 75 150 ZECh_112 226 113 226 2ECh_148 298 149 298 ZECh_37 76 38 76 ZECh_75 152 76 152 2ECh_113 228 114 228 2ECh_150 302 151 302 ZECh_38 78 ZECh_76 154 77 154 77 154 27 148 28 141 22 151 302 151 302 2Ech_113 228 114 228 124 102 102 204 102 102 204 102 102 204 103 103 206 103 103 206 103 103 206 103 103 206 103 103 206 103 103 206 103 103 206 103	2Ech_33	68	34	68	2	Ech_71	144	72	144	2Ech_1	09	220	110	220	2Ec	h_146	294	147	294
Zech_36 74 37 74 Zech_74 150 75 152 Zech_112 226 113 226 114 228 1151 300 150 300 Zech_38 78 9 78 76 154 77 154 77 154 78 300 150 300 103 02 101 030 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 102 104 103 103<	2Ech_34	70	35	70	2	Ech_72	146	73	146	2Ech_1	10	222	111	222	2Ec	h_147	296	148	296
2Ech_37 76 38 76 2Ech_76 152 76 152 76 152 76 152 77 154 77 154 77 154 77 154 77 154 77 154 77 154 77 154 77 154 77 154 77 154 228 114 228 114 228 124 226.150 302 151 302 Test Problem S P D R S	2Ech_35	72	36	72	2	Ech_73	148	74	148	2Ech_1	11	224	112	224	2Ec	h_148	298	149	298
ZEch_38 78 39 78 2Ech_76 154 77 154 Table A: 2. Generated three echelons FSCN design instances with number of facilities. Test Problem S P D R To	2Ech_36	74	37	74	2	Ech_74	150	75	150	2Ech_1	12	226	113	226	2Ec	h_149	300	150	300
Table A: 2. Generated three echelons F2CN design instances with number of facilities. Test Problem S P D R Test Problem S P D R 3Ech_1 4 2 2 4 3Ech_51 104 52 52 104 3Ech_101 204 102 102 204 3Ech_2 6 3 3 6 3Ech_52 106 53 53 106 3Ech_102 206 103 103 206 3Ech_4 10 5 5 10 3Ech_54 110 55 55 110 3Ech_104 210 105 105 210 3Ech_5 12 6 6 12 3Ech_55 112 56 56 112 3Ech_106 214 107 107 214 3Ech_7 16 8 8 16 3Ech_57 116 8 58 18 59 59 118 3Ech_107 216	2Ech_37	76	38	76	2	Ech_75	152	76	152	2Ech_1	13	228	114	228	2Ec	h 150	302	151	302
Test ProblemSPDRTest ProblemSPDRTest ProblemSPDR3Ech_142243Ech_5110452521043Ech_1012041021022043Ech_263363Ech_5210653531063Ech_1022061031032063Ech_384483Ech_5310854541083Ech_1042101051052103Ech_41055103Ech_541105555551103Ech_1042101051052103Ech_51266123Ech_5511256561123Ech_1042101062122143Ech_61477143Ech_5611457571143Ech_1062141071072143Ech_71688163Ech_5711658581163Ech_1072161081082163Ech_71688163Ech_5711658591183Ech_1072161081082163Ech_81899183Ech_5712060601203Ech_1092201101102203Ech_102211111223Ech_6612462	2Ech_38	78	39	78	2	Ech 76	4 - 4												
3Ech_1 4 2 2 4 3Ech_51 104 52 52 104 3Ech_101 204 102 102 204 3Ech_2 6 3 3 6 3Ech_52 106 53 53 106 3Ech_102 206 103 103 206 3Ech_3 8 4 4 8 3Ech_53 108 54 54 108 3Ech_102 206 103 103 206 3Ech_4 10 5 5 10 3Ech_55 112 56 55 110 3Ech_105 212 106 106 212 3Ech_6 14 7 7 14 3Ech_56 114 57 57 114 3Ech_106 214 107 107 214 3Ech_7 16 8 8 16 3Ech_57 116 58 59 118 3Ech_108 218 109 108 216 3Ech_102																			
3Ech_2 6 3 3 6 3Ech_52 106 53 53 106 3Ech_102 206 103 103 206 3Ech_3 8 4 4 8 3Ech_53 108 54 54 108 3Ech_103 208 104 104 208 3Ech_4 10 5 5 10 3Ech_55 112 56 56 112 3Ech_104 210 105 105 210 3Ech_5 12 6 6 12 3Ech_55 112 56 56 112 3Ech_106 214 107 107 214 3Ech_7 16 8 8 16 3Ech_57 116 58 58 118 3Ech_107 216 108 108 216 3Ech_8 18 9 9 18 3Ech_59 120 60 60 120 3Ech_109 220 110 110 210 110 210 3Ech_10 222 111 111 222 111 111 222			Та	ble A						I design i	nstan	ces wit	h nu:	nber o	f facilit				
3Ech_3 8 4 4 8 3Ech_53 108 54 54 108 3Ech_103 208 104 104 208 3Ech_4 10 5 5 10 3Ech_54 110 55 55 110 3Ech_104 210 105 105 210 3Ech_5 12 6 6 12 3Ech_55 112 56 56 112 3Ech_105 212 106 106 212 3Ech_6 14 7 7 14 3Ech_56 114 57 57 114 3Ech_106 214 107 107 214 3Ech_7 16 8 8 16 3Ech_57 116 58 58 116 3Ech_107 216 108 108 216 3Ech_9 20 10 10 20 3Ech_59 120 60 60 120 3Ech_110 222 111 111 222 3Ech_10 22 11 11 22 3Ech_59 120 60 60	Test Problem	S			A: 2. G	enerat	ed three eo	chelo	ons FSCI							ies.	Р		
3Ech_4 10 5 5 10 3Ech_54 110 55 55 110 3Ech_104 210 105 105 210 3Ech_55 12 6 6 12 3Ech_55 112 56 56 112 3Ech_105 212 106 106 212 3Ech_6 14 7 7 14 3Ech_56 114 57 57 114 3Ech_106 214 107 107 214 3Ech_7 16 8 8 16 3Ech_57 116 58 58 116 3Ech_107 216 108 108 216 3Ech_9 20 10 10 20 3Ech_59 120 60 60 120 3Ech_109 220 110 110 220 3Ech_10 22 11 11 22 3Ech_60 122 61 61 122 3Ech_100 222 111 111 222 3Ech_111 24 12 24 3Ech_61 124 3Ech_111 224 <t< td=""><td>3Ech_1</td><td></td><td></td><td>Р</td><td>A: 2. G D</td><td>enerato R</td><td>ed three ed Test Pro</td><td>chelo bler</td><td>ons FSCM n S</td><td>Р</td><td>D</td><td>R</td><td>Те</td><td>est Pro</td><td>blem</td><td>ies. S</td><td></td><td></td><td>R</td></t<>	3Ech_1			Р	A: 2. G D	enerato R	ed three ed Test Pro	chelo bler	ons FSCM n S	Р	D	R	Те	est Pro	blem	ies. S			R
3Ech_5 12 6 6 12 3Ech_55 112 56 56 112 3Ech_105 212 106 106 212 3Ech_6 14 7 7 14 3Ech_56 114 57 57 114 3Ech_106 214 107 107 214 3Ech_7 16 8 8 16 3Ech_57 116 58 58 116 3Ech_107 216 108 108 216 3Ech_9 20 10 10 20 3Ech_59 120 60 60 120 3Ech_109 220 110 110 220 3Ech_10 22 11 11 22 3Ech_60 122 61 61 122 3Ech_110 222 111 111 220 3Ech_11 24 12 12 24 3Ech_61 124 62 62 124 3Ech_111 244 112 112 224 112 112 224 3Ech_13 28 14 14 28 3Ech_61	3Ech_1	4		P 2	A: 2. 6 D 2	enerato R 4	ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_ 3Ech_	bler 51	ons FSCN n S 104	P 4 52	D 52	R 104	Te	e st Pro 3Ech_1	blem	ies. S 204	102	102	R 204
3Ech_6 14 7 7 14 3Ech_56 114 57 57 114 3Ech_106 214 107 107 214 3Ech_7 16 8 8 16 3Ech_57 116 58 58 116 3Ech_107 216 108 108 216 3Ech_8 18 9 9 18 3Ech_58 118 59 59 118 3Ech_108 218 109 109 218 3Ech_9 20 10 10 20 3Ech_59 120 60 60 120 3Ech_109 220 110 110 220 3Ech_11 24 12 12 3Ech_60 122 61 61 122 3Ech_111 224 112 112 224 3Ech_12 26 13 13 26 3Ech_61 124 62 62 124 3Ech_111 224 112 112 224 3Ech_12 26 13 13 26 3Ech_61 126 62 124 3Ec	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3	4 6 8		P 2 3	A: 2. 6 D 2 3 4	R R 4 6 8	ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_ 3Ech_	bler 51	ons FSCM n S 104 104 104	P 4 52 5 53 3 54	D 52 53 54	R 104 106 108	Te	est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1	blem 101 102 103	ies. S 204 206	102 103	102 103 104	R 204 206 208
3Ech_71688163Ech_5711658581163Ech_1072161081082163Ech_81899183Ech_5811859591183Ech_1082181091092183Ech_9201010203Ech_5912060601203Ech_1092201101102203Ech_10221111223Ech_6012261611223Ech_1102221111112223Ech_11241212243Ech_6112462621243Ech_1112241121122243Ech_12261313263Ech_6212663631263Ech_1112261131132263Ech_13281414283Ech_6312864641283Ech_1132281141142283Ech_15321616323Ech_6413065651303Ech_1152321161162323Ech_16341717343Ech_6613467671343Ech_1172361181182363Ech_18381919383Ech_6813869691383Ech_1182381191192383Ech_19402020403Ech_691	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4	4 6 8 10)	P 2 3 4 5	A: 2. 6 D 2 3 4	enerate R 4 6 8 10	ed three ec Test Pro 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_	chelo bler 51 52 53	ons FSCM n S 104 104 105 115	P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55	D 52 53 54 55	R 104 106 108 110	Te	est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1	blem 101 102 103	ies. 204 206 208 210	102 103 104 105	102 103 104 105	R 204 206 208 210
3Ech_81899183Ech_5811859591183Ech_1082181091092183Ech_9201010203Ech_5912060601203Ech_1092201101102203Ech_10221111223Ech_6012261611223Ech_1102221111112223Ech_11241212243Ech_6112462621243Ech_1112241121122243Ech_12261313263Ech_6212663631263Ech_1122261131132263Ech_13281414283Ech_6312864641283Ech_1132281141142283Ech_14301515303Ech_6413065651303Ech_1152321161162323Ech_15321616323Ech_6513266661323Ech_1162341171172343Ech_17361818363Ech_6713668681363Ech_1162341171172343Ech_18381919383Ech_6813869691383Ech_1182381191192383Ech_19402020403Ech_69 <t< td=""><td>3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5</td><td>4 6 8 10 12</td><td>)</td><td>P 2 3 4 5</td><td>A: 2. G D 2 3 4 5</td><td>enerate R 4 6 8 10 12</td><td>ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_</td><td>chelc bler 51 52 53 54 55</td><td>ns FSCN n S 104 104 104 114 114</td><td>P 4 52 6 53 8 54 0 55 2 56</td><td>D 52 53 54 55 56</td><td>R 104 106 108 110 112</td><td>Te</td><td>est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1</td><td>blem 101 102 103 104</td><td>ies. 204 206 208 210 212</td><td>102 103 104 105 106</td><td>102 103 104 105 106</td><td>R 204 206 208 210 212</td></t<>	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5	4 6 8 10 12)	P 2 3 4 5	A: 2. G D 2 3 4 5	enerate R 4 6 8 10 12	ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_	chelc bler 51 52 53 54 55	ns FSCN n S 104 104 104 114 114	P 4 52 6 53 8 54 0 55 2 56	D 52 53 54 55 56	R 104 106 108 110 112	Te	est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1	blem 101 102 103 104	ies. 204 206 208 210 212	102 103 104 105 106	102 103 104 105 106	R 204 206 208 210 212
3Ech_9201010203Ech_5912060601203Ech_1092201101102203Ech_10221111223Ech_6012261611223Ech_1102221111112223Ech_11241212243Ech_6112462621243Ech_1112241121122243Ech_12261313263Ech_6212663631263Ech_1122261131132263Ech_13281414283Ech_6312864641283Ech_1132281141142283Ech_14301515303Ech_6413065651303Ech_1142301151152303Ech_15321616323Ech_6513266661323Ech_1162341171172343Ech_17361818363Ech_6713668681363Ech_1182381191192383Ech_18381919383Ech_6914070701403Ech_1192401201202403Ech_20422121423Ech_7014271711443Ech_1212441221222443Ech_21442222443Ech_71 <td>3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5 3Ech_5 3Ech_6</td> <td>4 6 8 10 12 14</td> <td>) 2 4</td> <td>P 2 3 4 5 6 7</td> <td>A: 2. 0 D 2 3 4 5 6</td> <td>enerate R 4 6 8 10 12 14</td> <td>ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_</td> <td>chelc bler 51 52 53 54 55</td> <td>ns FSCN n S 104 104 104 104 115 114</td> <td>P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55 2 56 4 57</td> <td>D 52 53 54 55 56 57</td> <td>R 104 106 108 110 112 114</td> <td>Te</td> <td>est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1</td> <td>blem 101 102 103 104 105</td> <td>ies. 204 206 208 210 212 214</td> <td>102 103 104 105 106 107</td> <td>102 103 104 105 106 107</td> <td>R 204 206 208 210 212 214</td>	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5 3Ech_5 3Ech_6	4 6 8 10 12 14) 2 4	P 2 3 4 5 6 7	A: 2. 0 D 2 3 4 5 6	enerate R 4 6 8 10 12 14	ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_	chelc bler 51 52 53 54 55	ns FSCN n S 104 104 104 104 115 114	P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55 2 56 4 57	D 52 53 54 55 56 57	R 104 106 108 110 112 114	Te	est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1	blem 101 102 103 104 105	ies. 204 206 208 210 212 214	102 103 104 105 106 107	102 103 104 105 106 107	R 204 206 208 210 212 214
3Ech_10221111223Ech_6012261611223Ech_1102221111112223Ech_11241212243Ech_6112462621243Ech_1112241121122243Ech_12261313263Ech_6212663631263Ech_1122261131132263Ech_13281414283Ech_6312864641283Ech_1132281141142283Ech_14301515303Ech_6413065651303Ech_1142301151152303Ech_15321616323Ech_6513266661323Ech_1162341171172343Ech_16341717343Ech_6613467671343Ech_1162341171172343Ech_17361818363Ech_6713668681363Ech_1172361181182363Ech_19402020403Ech_6914070701403Ech_1192401201202403Ech_2042212121423Ech_7014271711423Ech_1212441221222443Ech_2144222244 <td< td=""><td>3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5 3Ech_5 3Ech_6 3Ech_7</td><td>4 6 8 10 12 14 16</td><td>) 2 4 5</td><td>P 2 3 4 5 6 7</td><td>A: 2. 6 D 2 3 4 5 6 7 8</td><td>enerate R 4 6 8 10 12 14 16</td><td>ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_</td><td>chelo 51 52 53 54 55 56</td><td>ns FSCI n S 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 11- 11- 11-</td><td>P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55 2 56 4 57 5 58</td><td>D 52 53 54 55 56 57 58</td><td>R 104 106 108 110 112 114 116</td><td>Te</td><td>est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1</td><td>blem 101 102 103 104 105 106</td><td>ies. 204 206 208 210 212 214 216</td><td>102 103 104 105 106 107 108</td><td>102 103 104 105 106 107 108</td><td>R 204 206 208 210 212 214 216</td></td<>	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5 3Ech_5 3Ech_6 3Ech_7	4 6 8 10 12 14 16) 2 4 5	P 2 3 4 5 6 7	A: 2. 6 D 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	enerate R 4 6 8 10 12 14 16	ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_	chelo 51 52 53 54 55 56	ns FSCI n S 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 11- 11- 11-	P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55 2 56 4 57 5 58	D 52 53 54 55 56 57 58	R 104 106 108 110 112 114 116	Te	est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1	blem 101 102 103 104 105 106	ies. 204 206 208 210 212 214 216	102 103 104 105 106 107 108	102 103 104 105 106 107 108	R 204 206 208 210 212 214 216
3Ech_11241212243Ech_6112462621243Ech_1112241121122243Ech_12261313263Ech_6212663631263Ech_1122261131132263Ech_13281414283Ech_6312864641283Ech_1132281141142283Ech_14301515303Ech_6413065651303Ech_1152321161162323Ech_15321616323Ech_6513266661323Ech_1162341171172343Ech_16341717343Ech_6613467671343Ech_1162341171172343Ech_17361818363Ech_6713668681363Ech_1172361181182363Ech_19402020403Ech_6914070701403Ech_1192401201202403Ech_20422121443Ech_7114472721443Ech_1212441221222443Ech_21442222443Ech_7114472721443Ech_121244122122244	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5 3Ech_5 3Ech_6 3Ech_7	4 6 8 10 12 14 16) 2 4 5	P 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A: 2. 6 D 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	enerate R 4 6 8 10 12 14 16	ed three ec Test Pro 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_	chelo bler 51 52 53 54 55 55 56 57	ns FSCI n S 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 11- 11- 11-	P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55 2 56 4 57 5 58	D 52 53 54 55 56 57 58	R 104 106 108 110 112 114 116	Te	est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1	blem 101 102 103 104 105 106 107	ies. 204 206 208 210 212 214 216	102 103 104 105 106 107 108	102 103 104 105 106 107 108	R 204 206 208 210 212 214 216
3Ech_12 26 13 13 26 3Ech_62 126 63 63 126 3Ech_112 226 113 113 226 3Ech_13 28 14 14 28 3Ech_63 128 64 64 128 3Ech_113 226 113 114 228 3Ech_14 30 15 15 30 3Ech_64 130 65 65 130 3Ech_114 230 115 115 230 3Ech_15 32 16 16 32 3Ech_65 132 66 66 132 3Ech_115 232 116 116 232 3Ech_16 34 17 17 34 3Ech_66 134 67 67 134 3Ech_116 234 117 117 234 3Ech_17 36 18 18 36 3Ech_67 136 68 68 136 3Ech_117 236 118 118 236 3Ech_18 38 19 19 38 3Ech_69 140 70	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5 3Ech_5 3Ech_6 3Ech_7 3Ech_8	4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18) 2 4 5 3	P 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A: 2. 6 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	enerate R 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18	ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_ 3Ech_	chelc bler 51 52 53 54 55 55 56 57 58	ns FSCN n S 100 100 100 110 110 111 111 111 111 11	P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55 2 56 4 57 5 58 3 59	D 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59	R 104 106 108 110 112 114 116 118 120	Te	est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1	blem 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108	ies. 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109	R 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218
3Ech_13 28 14 14 28 3Ech_63 128 64 64 128 3Ech_113 228 114 114 228 3Ech_14 30 15 15 30 3Ech_64 130 65 65 130 3Ech_114 230 115 115 230 3Ech_15 32 16 16 32 3Ech_65 132 66 66 132 3Ech_115 232 116 116 232 3Ech_16 34 17 17 34 3Ech_66 134 67 67 134 3Ech_116 234 117 117 234 3Ech_17 36 18 18 36 3Ech_67 136 68 68 136 3Ech_117 236 118 118 236 3Ech_18 38 19 19 38 3Ech_69 140 70 70 140 3Ech_119 240 120 120 240 3Ech_20 42 21 21 41 3Ech_70 142 71	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5 3Ech_6 3Ech_7 3Ech_8 3Ech_9	4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20) 2 4 5 3) 2	P 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A: 2. 6 D 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22	ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_	chelc 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59	ns FSCI n S 100 100 110 110 110 111 111 111 111 11	P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55 2 56 4 57 5 58 3 59 0 60 2 61	D 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61	R 104 106 108 110 112 114 116 118 120 122	Te	est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1	blem 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109	ies. 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111	R 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222
3Ech_14301515303Ech_6413065651303Ech_142301151152303Ech_15321616323Ech_6513266661323Ech_1152321161162323Ech_16341717343Ech_6613467671343Ech_1162341171172343Ech_17361818363Ech_6713668681363Ech_1172361181182363Ech_18381919383Ech_6813869691383Ech_1182381191192383Ech_19402020403Ech_6914070701403Ech_1192401201202403Ech_20422121423Ech_7014271711423Ech_1202421211212423Ech_21442222443Ech_7114472721443Ech_121244122122244	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5 3Ech_6 3Ech_7 3Ech_8 3Ech_9 3Ech_10	4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22) 2 4 5 3) 2	P 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A: 2. 6 D 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22	ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_	chelc bler 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	ns FSCI n S 100 100 110 110 110 111 111 111 111 11	P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55 2 56 4 57 5 58 3 59 0 60 2 61	D 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61	R 104 106 108 110 112 114 116 118 120 122	Te	est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1	blem 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110	ies. 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111	R 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222
3Ech_15321616323Ech_6513266661323Ech_1152321161162323Ech_16341717343Ech_6613467671343Ech_1162341171172343Ech_17361818363Ech_6713668681363Ech_1172361181182363Ech_18381919383Ech_6813869691383Ech_1182381191192383Ech_19402020403Ech_6914070701403Ech_1192401201202403Ech_20422121423Ech_7014271711423Ech_1202421211212423Ech_21442222443Ech_7114472721443Ech_121244122122244	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5 3Ech_6 3Ech_7 3Ech_8 3Ech_9 3Ech_10 3Ech_11	4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24) 2 4 5 3 3) 2 4	P 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A: 2. 6 D 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	R 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24	ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_	chelo bler 51 52 53 54 55 55 55 58 59 60 61	ns FSCI n S 10 ⁻ 10 ⁻ 10 ⁻ 10 ⁻ 10 ⁻ 11 ⁻ 1	P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55 2 56 4 57 5 58 3 59 0 60 2 61 4 62	D 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62	R 104 106 108 110 112 114 116 118 120 122 124	Te	est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1	blem 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110	ies. 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222 224	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112	R 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222 224
3Ech_16341717343Ech_6613467671343Ech_1162341171172343Ech_17361818363Ech_6713668681363Ech_1172361181182363Ech_18381919383Ech_6813869691383Ech_1182381191192383Ech_19402020403Ech_6914070701403Ech_1192401201202403Ech_20422121423Ech_7014271711423Ech_1202421211212423Ech_21442222443Ech_7114472721443Ech_121244122122244	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5 3Ech_6 3Ech_7 3Ech_8 3Ech_9 3Ech_10 3Ech_11 3Ech_12 3Ech_13	4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28) 2 4 5 3 3) 2 2 4 5 3	P 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A: 2. 6 D 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28	ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_	chelo bler 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63	ns FSCI n S 100 100 100 110 110 110 110 110 110 11	P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55 2 56 4 57 5 58 3 59 0 60 2 61 4 62 5 63 3 64	D 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64	R 104 106 108 110 112 114 116 118 120 122 124 126 128	Te	est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1	blem 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113	ies. 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222 224 226 228	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114	R 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222 224 226 228
3Ech_17 36 18 18 36 3Ech_67 136 68 68 136 3Ech_117 236 118 118 236 3Ech_18 38 19 19 38 3Ech_68 138 69 69 138 3Ech_118 238 119 119 238 3Ech_19 40 20 20 40 3Ech_69 140 70 70 140 3Ech_119 240 120 240 3Ech_20 42 21 21 42 3Ech_70 142 71 71 142 3Ech_120 242 121 121 242 3Ech_21 44 22 22 44 3Ech_71 144 72 72 144 3Ech_121 244 122 122 244	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5 3Ech_6 3Ech_7 3Ech_8 3Ech_9 3Ech_10 3Ech_11 3Ech_11 3Ech_12 3Ech_13 3Ech_14	4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30) 2 4 5 3 3) 2 4 4 5 3 3)	P 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A: 2. 6 D 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30	ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_	chelo bler 51 52 53 54 55 55 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 63 64	ns FSCI n S 100 100 100 110 110 111 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 110 110 110 110 110 1000 100 1000000	P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55 2 56 4 57 5 58 3 59 0 60 2 61 4 62 6 63 3 64 0 65	D 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65	R 104 106 108 110 112 114 116 118 120 122 124 126 128 130	Te	est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1	blem 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114	ies. 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222 224 226 228 230	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115	R 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222 224 226 228 230
3Ech_18381919383Ech_6813869691383Ech_1182381191192383Ech_19402020403Ech_6914070701403Ech_1192401201202403Ech_20422121423Ech_7014271711423Ech_1202421211212423Ech_21442222443Ech_7114472721443Ech_121244122122244	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5 3Ech_6 3Ech_7 3Ech_8 3Ech_9 3Ech_10 3Ech_11 3Ech_12 3Ech_13 3Ech_14 3Ech_15	4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32) 2 4 5 5 3 3 5 5 3 3) 2 2	P 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A: 2. 6 D 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32	ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_	chelc 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65	ns FSCI n S 100 100 100 110 110 111 111 111 112 122 12	P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55 2 56 4 57 5 58 3 59 0 60 2 61 4 62 6 63 3 64 0 65 2 66	D 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65	R 104 106 108 110 112 114 116 118 120 122 124 126 128 130 132	Te	est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1	blem 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115	ies. 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222 224 226 228 230 232	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115	R 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222 224 226 228 230 232
3Ech_19 40 20 20 40 3Ech_69 140 70 70 140 3Ech_119 240 120 120 240 3Ech_20 42 21 21 42 3Ech_70 142 71 71 142 3Ech_120 242 121 121 242 3Ech_21 44 22 22 44 3Ech_71 144 72 72 144 3Ech_121 244 122 122 244	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5 3Ech_6 3Ech_7 3Ech_8 3Ech_9 3Ech_10 3Ech_11 3Ech_12 3Ech_13 3Ech_14 3Ech_15 3Ech_16	4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32) 2 4 5 5 3 3 5 5 3 3) 2 2	P 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A: 2. 6 D 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32	ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_	chelc 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65	ns FSCI n S 100 100 100 110 110 110 110 110 111 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 1000000	P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55 2 56 4 57 5 58 3 59 0 60 2 61 4 62 63 64 0 65 2 66 4 67	D 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66	R 104 106 108 110 112 114 116 118 120 122 124 126 130 132 134	Te	est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1 3Ech_1	blem 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115	ies. 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222 224 226 228 230 232 234	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117	R 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222 224 226 228 230 232 234
3Ech_20 42 21 21 42 3Ech_70 142 71 71 142 3Ech_120 242 121 121 242 3Ech_21 44 22 22 44 3Ech_71 144 72 72 144 3Ech_121 244 122 122 244	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5 3Ech_6 3Ech_7 3Ech_8 3Ech_9 3Ech_10 3Ech_11 3Ech_12 3Ech_13 3Ech_14 3Ech_15 3Ech_16 3Ech_17	4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36) 2 4 5 3 3) 2 4 4 5 3 3) 2 4 4 5 5	P 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A: 2. 6 D 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36	ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_	cheld 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 65 66 67	ns FSCI n S 100 100 100 110 110 110 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 110 110 110 1000000	P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55 2 56 4 57 6 58 3 59 0 60 2 61 4 62 6 63 3 64 0 65 2 66 4 67 6 68	D 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67	R 104 106 108 110 112 114 116 118 120 122 124 126 128 130 132 134 136	Te	est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_	blem 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117	ies. 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222 224 226 228 230 232 234 236	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118	R 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 224 226 228 230 232 234 236
3Ech_21 44 22 22 44 3Ech_71 144 72 72 144 3Ech_121 244 122 122 244	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5 3Ech_5 3Ech_6 3Ech_7 3Ech_8 3Ech_9 3Ech_10 3Ech_11 3Ech_12 3Ech_13 3Ech_14 3Ech_15 3Ech_16 3Ech_17 3Ech_18	4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38) 22 44 55 33 0) 22 44 55 33 0) 22 44 55 33	P 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A: 2. 6 D 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36	ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_	chelc 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68	ns FSCI n S 100 100 100 110 110 110 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 110 110 110 1000000	P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55 2 56 4 57 6 58 3 59 0 60 2 61 4 62 6 63 3 64 0 65 2 66 4 67 6 68	D 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68	R 104 106 108 110 112 114 116 118 120 122 124 126 128 130 132 134 136 138	Te	est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_	blem 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118	ies. 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222 224 226 228 230 232 234 236	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119	R 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222 224 226 228 230 232 234 236 238
	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5 3Ech_5 3Ech_6 3Ech_7 3Ech_8 3Ech_9 3Ech_10 3Ech_11 3Ech_12 3Ech_13 3Ech_14 3Ech_15 3Ech_16 3Ech_17 3Ech_18	4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38) 22 44 55 33) 22 44 55 33) 22 44 55 33	P 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A: 2. 6 D 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	R 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38	ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_	chelc 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68	ns FSCI n S 100 100 100 110 111 111 112 122 12	P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55 2 56 4 57 5 58 3 59 0 60 2 61 4 62 6 63 3 64 0 65 2 66 4 67 6 68 3 69 0 70	D 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69	R 104 106 108 110 112 114 116 118 120 122 124 126 128 130 132 134 136 138 140	Te	est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_	blem 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118	ies. 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222 224 226 228 230 232 234 236 238	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120	R 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 228 230 232 234 236 238 234 236 238 240
3Ech_22 46 23 23 46 3Ech_72 146 73 73 146 3Ech_122 246 123 123 246	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5 3Ech_6 3Ech_7 3Ech_8 3Ech_9 3Ech_10 3Ech_11 3Ech_12 3Ech_12 3Ech_13 3Ech_14 3Ech_15 3Ech_16 3Ech_17 3Ech_18 3Ech_19 3Ech_20	4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40	0) 22 44 55 33 0) 22 44 55 33 0) 22 44 55 33 0) 22	P 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A: 2. 6 D 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40	ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_	cheld 51 52 53 54 55 55 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70	ns FSCI n S 100 100 100 110 110 110 111 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 111 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 1000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55 2 56 4 57 5 58 3 59 0 60 2 61 4 62 6 63 3 64 0 65 2 66 4 67 6 68 3 69 0 70 2 71	D 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70	R 104 106 108 110 112 114 116 118 120 122 124 126 128 130 132 134 136 138 140 142		est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_	blem 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 114 115 116 117 118 119 120	ies. 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222 224 226 228 230 232 234 236 238 240 242	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121	R 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 228 224 230 232 234 236 238 240 242
	3Ech_1 3Ech_2 3Ech_3 3Ech_4 3Ech_5 3Ech_5 3Ech_6 3Ech_7 3Ech_8 3Ech_9 3Ech_10 3Ech_11 3Ech_12 3Ech_13 3Ech_14 3Ech_15 3Ech_16 3Ech_17 3Ech_18 3Ech_19 3Ech_20 3Ech_21	4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44) 22 4 5 3 3) 22 4 5 5 3 3) 22 4 5 5 3 3) 22 4	P 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A: 2. 6 D 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44	ed three ed Test Pro 3Ech_	cheld 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71	ns FSCI n S 100 100 100 110 111 111 111 11	P 4 52 5 53 3 54 0 55 2 56 4 57 5 58 3 59 0 60 2 61 4 62 6 63 3 64 0 65 2 66 4 67 5 68 3 64 0 65 2 66 4 67 5 68 3 69 0 70 2 71 4 72	D 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72	R 104 106 108 110 112 114 116 118 120 122 124 126 128 130 132 134 136 138 140 142 144		est Pro 3Ech_1 3Ech_	blem 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121	ies. 204 206 208 210 212 214 216 218 220 222 224 226 228 230 232 234 236 238 240 242 244	102103104105106107108109110111112113114115116117118119120121122	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122	R 204 206 210 211 214 216 218 220 224 226 228 230 232 234 236 238 240 242 244

Pamukkale Univ Muh Bilim Derg, 24(4), 750-764, 2018 Ç. Koç, E. Özceylan, S. E. Kesen, Z. A. Çil, S. Mete

Table A: 2. Cont.																	
3Ech_23	4	8	24	24	48	3Ech_73	14	18	74	74	148	3Ech_123	24	48 1	24	124	248
3Ech_24	5	0	25	25	50	3Ech_74	15	50	75	75	150	3Ech_124	25	50 1	25	125	250
3Ech_25	5	2	26	26	52	3Ech_75	15	52	76	76	152	3Ech_125	25	52 1	26	126	252
3Ech_26	5	4	27	27	54	3Ech_76	154		77	77	154	3Ech_126	25	54 1	27	127	254
3Ech_27	5	6	28	28	56	3Ech_77	15	56	78	78	156	3Ech_127	25	56 1	28	128	256
3Ech_28	5	8	29	29	58	3Ech_78	158		79	79	158	3Ech_128	25	58 1	29	129	258
3Ech_29	6	0	30	30	60	3Ech_79	10	50	80	80	160	3Ech_129	26	50 1	130	130	260
3Ech_30	6	2	31	31	62	3Ech_80	10	62	81	81	162	3Ech_130	26	52 1	31	131	262
3Ech_31		4	32	32	64	3Ech_81		54	82	82	164	3Ech_131	26			132	264
3Ech_32		6	33	33	66	3Ech_82	10		83	83	166	3Ech_132	26		33	133	266
3Ech_33		8	34	34	68	3Ech_83		68	84	84	168	3Ech_133	26			134	268
3Ech_34			35	35	70	3Ech_84	17		85	85	170	3Ech_134	27			135	270
3Ech_35		2	36	36	72	3Ech_85		72	86	86	172	3Ech_135	27			136	272
3Ech_36			37	37	74	3Ech_86		74	87	87	174	3Ech_136	27			137	274
3Ech_37		6	38	38	76	3Ech_87	17		88	88	176	3Ech_137	27			138	276
3Ech_38		8	39	39	78	3Ech_88		78	89	89	178	3Ech_138	27			139	278
3Ech_39			40	40	80	3Ech_89		30	90	90	180	3Ech_139	28			140	280
3Ech_40			41	41	82	3Ech_90		32	91	91	182	3Ech_140	28			141	282
3Ech_41			42	42	84	3Ech_91		34	92	92	184	3Ech_141	28			142	284
3Ech_42			43	43	86	3Ech_92	18		93	93	186	3Ech_142	28			143	286
3Ech_43			44	44	88	3Ech_93		38	94	94	188	3Ech_143	28			144	288
3Ech_44			45	45	90	3Ech_94		90	95	95	190	3Ech_144	29			145	290
3Ech_45			46	46	92	3Ech_95		92	96	96	192	3Ech_145	29			146	292
3Ech_46			47	47	94 06	3Ech_96		94	97 00	97 00	194	3Ech_146	29			147	294
3Ech_47			48	48	96 00	3Ech_97		96	98 00	98 00	196	3Ech_147	29			148	296
3Ech_48			49 50	49 50	98 100	3Ech_98	19		99 100	99 100	198 200	3Ech_148	29			149	298
3Ech_49 2Ech_50			50 51	50 51	100 102	3Ech_99 3Ech_100	20		100 101	100 101	200	3Ech_149 2Ech_150	30 30			150 151	300 302
3Ech_50	10											3Ech_150		JZ _	131	151	302
		16	able	4: 5.	C C	ated four echelor Test	IS FSC	in de	sign in	istance	C C	Test	ities.				С
Test Problem	S	Р	D	R	u	Problem	S	Р	D	R	ŭ	Problem	S	Р	D	R	ŭ
4Ech_1	4	2	2	2	4	4Ech_51	104	52	52	52	104	4Ech_101	204	102	102	102	204
4Ech_2	6	3	3	3	6	4Ech_52	106	53	53	53	106	4Ech_102	206	103	103	103	206
4Ech_3	8	4	4	4	8	4Ech_53	108	54	54	54	108	4Ech_103	208	104	104	104	208
4Ech_4	10	5	5	5	10	4Ech_54	110	55	55	55	110	4Ech_104	210	105	105	105	210
4Ech_5	12	6	6	6	12	4Ech_55	112	56	56	56	112	4Ech_105	212	106	106	106	212
4Ech_6	14	7	7	7	14	4Ech_56	114	57	57	57	114	4Ech_106	214	107	107	107	214
4Ech_7	16	8	8	8	16	4Ech_57	116	58	58	58	116	4Ech_107	216		108	108	216
4Ech_8	18	9	9	9	18	4Ech_58	118	59	59	59	118	4Ech_108			109	109	218
4Ech_9	20	10	10	10	20	4Ech_59	120	60	60	60	120	4Ech_109			110	110	
4Ech_10	22	11	11	11	22	4Ech_60	122	61	61	61	122	4Ech_110				111	222
4Ech_11	24	12	12	12	24	4Ech_61	124	62	62	62	124	4Ech_111			112	112	
4Ech_12	26	13	13	13	26	4Ech_62	126	63	63	63	126	4Ech_112			113	113	
4Ech_13	28	14	14	14	28	4Ech_63	128	64	64	64	128	4Ech_113				114	
4Ech_14	30	15	15	15	30	4Ech_64	130	65	65	65	130	4Ech_114				115	
4Ech_15	32	16	16	16	32	4Ech_65	132	66	66	66	132	4Ech_115				116	
4Ech_16	34	17		17	34	4Ech_66	134	67	67	67	134	4Ech_116				117	
4Ech_17	36	18	18	18	36	4Ech_67	136	68	68	68	136	4Ech_117			118		
4Ech_18	38	19	19	19	38	4Ech_68	138	69	69	69	138	4Ech_118			119		238
4Ech_19	40	20	20	20	40	4Ech_69	140	70	70	70	140	4Ech_119			120		
4Ech_20	42	21	21	21	42	4Ech_70	142	71	71	71	142	4Ech_120				121	
4Ech_21	44	22	22	22	44	4Ech_71	144	72	72	72	144	4Ech_121			122		244
4Ech_22	46	23	23	23	46	4Ech_72	146	73	73	73	146	4Ech_122			123		246
4Ech_23	48	24	24	24	48	4Ech_73	148	74	74	74	148	4Ech_123	248	124	124	124	248

_

_

							Table	A: 3.	Cont.								
4Ech_24	50	25	25	25	50	4Ech_74	150	75	75	75	150	4Ech_124	250	125	125	125	250
4Ech_25	52	26	26	26	52	4Ech_75	152	76	76	76	152	4Ech_125	252	126	126	126	252
4Ech_26	54	27	27	27	54	4Ech_76	154	77	77	77	154	4Ech_126	254	127	127	127	254
4Ech_27	56	28	28	28	56	4Ech_77	156	78	78	78	156	4Ech_127	256	128	128	128	256
4Ech_28	58	29	29	29	58	4Ech_78	158	79	79	79	158	4Ech_128	258	129	129	129	258
4Ech_29	60	30	30	30	60	4Ech_79	160	80	80	80	160	4Ech_129	260	130	130	130	260
4Ech_30	62	31	31	31	62	4Ech_80	162	81	81	81	162	4Ech_130	262	131	131	131	262
4Ech_31	64	32	32	32	64	4Ech_81	164	82	82	82	164	4Ech_131	264	132	132	132	264
4Ech_32	66	33	33	33	66	4Ech_82	166	83	83	83	166	4Ech_132	266	133	133	133	266
4Ech_33	68	34	34	34	68	4Ech_83	168	84	84	84	168	4Ech_133	268	134	134	134	268
4Ech_34	70	35	35	35	70	4Ech_84	170	85	85	85	170	4Ech_134	270	135	135	135	270
4Ech_35	72	36	36	36	72	4Ech_85	172	86	86	86	172	4Ech_135	272	136	136	136	272
4Ech_36	74	37	37	37	74	4Ech_86	174	87	87	87	174	4Ech_136	274	137	137	137	274
4Ech_37	76	38	38	38	76	4Ech_87	176	88	88	88	176	4Ech_137	276	138	138	138	276
4Ech_38	78	39	39	39	78	4Ech_88	178	89	89	89	178	4Ech_138	278	139	139	139	278
4Ech_39	80	40	40	40	80	4Ech_89	180	90	90	90	180	4Ech_139	280	140	140	140	280
4Ech_40	82	41	41	41	82	4Ech_90	182	91	91	91	182	4Ech_140	282	141	141	141	282
4Ech_41	84	42	42	42	84	4Ech_91	184	92	92	92	184	4Ech_141	284	142	142	142	284
4Ech_42	86	43	43	43	86	4Ech_92	186	93	93	93	186	4Ech_142	286	143	143	143	286
4Ech_43	88	44	44	44	88	4Ech_93	188	94	94	94	188	4Ech_143	288	144	144	144	288
4Ech_44	90	45	45	45	90	4Ech_94	190	95	95	95	190	4Ech_144	290	145	145	145	290
4Ech_45	92	46	46	46	92	4Ech_95	192	96	96	96	192	4Ech_145	292	146	146	146	292
4Ech_46	94	47	47	47	94	4Ech_96	194	97	97	97	194	4Ech_146	294	147	147	147	294
4Ech_47	96	48	48	48	96	4Ech_97	196	98	98	98	196	4Ech_147	296	148	148	148	296
4Ech_48	98	49	49	49	98	4Ech_98	198	99	99	99	198	4Ech_148	298	149	149	149	298
4Ech_49	100	50	50	50	100	4Ech_99	200	100	100	100	200	4Ech_149	300	150	150	150	300
4Ech_50	102	51	51	51	102	4Ech_100	202	101	101	101	202	4Ech_150	302	151	151	151	302