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#### Abstract

Variable exponent spaces and Hardy operator space have played an important role in recent harmonic analysis because they have an interesting norm including both local and global properties. The variable exponent Lebesgue spaces are of interest for their applications to modeling problems in physics, and to the study of variational integrals and partial differential equations with non-standard growth conditions. This studies also has been stimulated by problems of elasticity, fluid dynamics, calculus of variations, and differential equations with non-standard growth conditions. In this study, we will discuss a characterization of approximation of Hardy operators in variable Lebesgue spaces.
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## 1. Introduction

Theory of approximation with linear integral operators started with Bernstein operators [1], Bernstein operators in the space $C[0,1]$ defined by $B_{n} f(x)=$ $\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) p_{n, k}(x)$ for $x \in[0,1]$ with the Bernstein basis,

$$
p_{n, k}(x)=\binom{n}{k} x^{k}(1-x)^{n-k}
$$

Previously, we have been working on the approach in $C[0,1]$ or $L^{p}[0,1]$ space functions with Bernstein type linear positive operators (see [2, 3, 4, 5])
In this paper we study a characterization of approximation of functions by Hardy operators on variable $L^{p(.)}$ spaces. Hardy type operator is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H f(x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(t) d t \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Functions are defined on an explicit subset $\Lambda$ of $R^{d}$. $L^{p(.)}$ space, $L^{p(.)}(\Lambda)$ is associated with a measurable function $p: \Lambda \rightarrow[1, \infty)$. The variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(.)}$ be composed of all measurable functions $f$ on $\Lambda$ such that

$$
\int_{\Lambda}\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{p(x)} d x \leq 1
$$

for any $\lambda>0$. The norm in $L^{p(.)}$ space is the generalization of the norm in $L^{p}$ space ( $p$ is constant). The norm in $L^{p(.)}$ space is defined in the following manner
$\|f\|_{L^{p(.)}}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \int_{\Lambda}\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\}$
At the same time $L^{p(.)}$ becomes a Banach space. The idea of variable exponent $L^{p(.)}$ spaces was popularized by Orlicz (see [6]). Inspired by relations to variational integrals with non-standard growth linked to design of electrorheological fluids (e.g., $[7,8,9,10,11]$ ),

## 2. Materials and Methods

Definition 2.1. (see Definition 1, [5]) The exponent function $p: \Lambda \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ is log-Hölder continuous. if there exist a positive constants $\widetilde{B}_{p}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
|p(x)-p(y)| \leq & \frac{\tilde{B}_{p}}{-\log |x-y|}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \Lambda \\
& |x-y|<\frac{1}{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and $p$ is $\log$-Hölder continuous at infinity if there holds

$$
\begin{gather*}
|p(x)-p(y)| \leq \frac{\tilde{B}_{p}}{-\log (e+|x|)}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \Omega, \\
|y| \geq|x| \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Denote, $p_{-}=\inf _{\mathrm{y} \in \Lambda} p(y), p_{+}=\sup _{\mathrm{y} \in \Lambda} p(y)$. It is clear that $1 \leq p_{-} \leq p_{+}<\infty$.

Uniformly of approximated functions on the variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(.)}$ can be illustrated by the variable Sobolev space $W^{h, p(.)}(\Lambda)$ (e.g., $\left.[12,13]\right)$ with a uniformly index $h \in N$ which is the Banach space of measurable functions $f$ such that for $\alpha=$
$\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha\right) \in Z_{+}^{b} \quad$ with $\quad|\alpha|_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{b} \alpha_{i} \leq h$, the partial derivative
$B^{\alpha} f=\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|_{1}}}{\partial x_{1}{ }^{\alpha_{1} \ldots}{ }^{\ldots} x_{b}{ }^{\alpha_{d}}} f \in L^{p(.)}(\Lambda)$.
The norm in Sobolev space $W^{h, p(.)}(\Lambda)$ by

$$
\|f\|_{h, p(.)}=\|f\|_{W^{h, p(.)}(\Lambda)}=\sum_{|a|_{1} \leq h}\left\|B^{\alpha} f\right\|_{p(.)}
$$

It is clear that $W^{0, p(.)}(\Lambda)=L^{p(.)}(\Lambda)$.
Denote the seminorm,

$$
|f|_{h, p(.)}=\sum_{\alpha_{1}=r}\left\|B^{\alpha} f(x)\right\|_{p(.)}
$$

Linear operators for approximating functions on $R^{b}$ take the form
$T(f, x)=\int_{R^{b}} \mathrm{~K}(x, t) f(t) d t, \quad x \in R^{b}$
where $\mathrm{K}: R^{b} \times R^{b} \rightarrow R$ is a kernel function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{b}} \mathrm{~K}(x, t) d t \equiv 1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and any conditions for degenerations of $\mathrm{K}(x, t)$ as $|x-t|$ increases. Lets assume that the integral operator degenerations polynomially speed in the feel that for any non-negative integer $m$ and a constant $C_{m}$ there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathrm{K}(x, t)| \leq \frac{C_{m}}{(1+|x-t|)^{m}}, \forall x, t \in R^{b} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2. 2. (see Definition 2, [5]) Let a positive integer $m$, we say that a linear integral operator $T$ on $L^{p}\left(R^{b}\right)$ defined by (2.3) holds approximation order $m \in$ $N$ if for every sufficiently smooth function $f \in L^{p}\left(R^{b}\right)$, $\left\|T_{d} f-f\right\|_{p(.)} \leq \tilde{C} d^{m}, \quad$ as $d \rightarrow 0$
where the constant $\tilde{C}$ is independent of $d$.
Herewith the measuring operator with a measuring parameter $d>0$ defined by $\sigma_{d} f=f(\dot{-}), T_{h}$ is the linear operator $\sigma_{d} T \sigma_{1} / d$.

Lemma 2. 3. (see Lemma 1, [5]) If $g \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(R^{b}\right)$ satisfies $|\Delta g| \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(R^{b}\right)$, then for any $x, t \in R^{b}$ and $H$ is Hardy operator, there holds
$|g(x)-g(t)| \leq \frac{6^{b}}{b}(H(|\Delta g|)(x)+H(|\Delta g|)(t))|x-t|$
Lemma 2. 4. (see Lemma 2, [5]) Let $s>b$. If $g \in$ $L_{l o c}^{1}\left(R^{b}\right)$ satisfies $|\Delta g| \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(R^{b}\right)$ and $H$ is Hardy operator, then
$|g(x)-g(t)| \leq c_{s, b}\left[H\left(|\Delta g|^{s}\right)(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{s}}|x-t|, \forall x, t \in R^{b}$

Lemma 2. 5. (see Lemma 3, [5]) If $\Lambda$ is an open subset of $R^{b}$ and $p: \Lambda \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ satisfies $1<p_{-} \leq p_{+}<\infty$, and the $\log$-Hölder conditions (2.1) and (2.2), there exist a constant $B_{p}>0$ depending only on $p$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|H(f)\|_{p(.)} \leq B_{p}\|f\|_{p(.)}, \forall f \in L^{p(.)}(\Lambda) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2. 6. (see Lemma 4, [5]) If $\Lambda$ is an open subset of $R^{b}$ and $p: \Lambda \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ satisfies $1<p_{-} \leq p_{+}<\infty$, then for any $h>0$ with $h p_{-} \geq 1$ and $f \in L^{h p(.)}(\Lambda)$, there holds

$$
\left\||f|^{h}\right\|_{p(.)}=\|f\|_{h p(.)}^{h}
$$

## 3. Results and Discussion

Theorem 3.1. We assume that the exponent function $p: R^{b} \rightarrow(1, \infty)$ satisfies $1<p_{-} \leq p_{+}<\infty$, and the logHölder conditions (2.1) and (2.2). If the kernel function $K$ holds conditions (2.4) and (2.5) with $m>b+\frac{p_{-}}{p_{-}-1}$, then the operators $\left\{T_{d}\right\}_{d>0}$ on $L^{p(.)}\left(R^{b}\right)$ are regularity bounded by a positive constant $\widetilde{H}_{p}$
$\left\|T_{h}\right\| \leq \widetilde{H}_{p}, \quad \forall h>0$
Proof.. Step 1. We will prove the regular boundedness of $\left\{T_{d}\right\}$ on $L^{p(.)}\left(R^{b}\right)$.
$T_{d}(f)(x)=\frac{1}{d^{b}} \int_{R^{d}} \mathrm{~K}\left(x d^{-1}, t d^{-1}\right) f(t) d t, x \in R^{b}$
By the condition (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|T_{d}(f)(x)\right| & \leq \frac{C_{m}}{d^{b}} \int_{R^{b}} \frac{1}{\left(1+\left|\frac{x-t}{d}\right|\right)^{m}}|f(t)| d t \\
& =C_{m} \widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}_{d} \cdot|f|(x), x \in R^{b} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{d}(x, t)=\frac{1}{d^{b}} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(1+\left|\frac{x-t}{d}\right|\right)^{m}}$. From [14] we say that there exists a constant $B$ depending on $b$ and $m$ such that
$\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_{d} \cdot|f|(x) \leq B H(f)(x), \forall x \in R^{b}, d>0$,
and from Lemma 2.5, we have
$\left\|T_{d}(f)\right\|_{p(.)} \leq C_{m} B\|H(f)\|_{p(.)} \leq C_{m} B B_{p}\|f\|_{p(.)},(3.5)$
As a result, the operators $\left\{T_{d}\right\}$ are regular limited with $\left\|T_{d}\right\| \leq C_{m} B B_{p}$, for any $d>0$.

Step 2. From $\int_{R^{b}} K(x, t) d t \equiv 1$, we have $T_{d}(1, x) \equiv 1$. So for any $f \in L^{p(.)}\left(R^{b}\right)$ and $g \in W^{1, p(.)}$, by the uniform boundedness of the operators $\left\{T_{d}\right\}$, we have

$$
\left\|T_{d}(f-g)\right\|_{p(.)} \leq\left\|T_{d}\right\|\|f-g\|_{p(.)}
$$

Thus for any $g \in W^{1, p(.)}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{f})-\mathrm{f}\right\|_{\mathrm{p}(.)}=\left\|\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{~g})-\mathrm{g}+\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{f}\right\|_{\mathrm{p}(.)} \\
\leq\left(\left\|T_{d}\right\|+1\right)\|f-g\|_{p(.)}+\left\|T_{d}(g)-g\right\|_{p(.)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, $\left\|T_{d}(g)-g\right\|_{p(.)}$, for $\quad g \in W^{1, p(.)}$. By Lemma 2.3, for any $x \in R^{b}$, we have

$$
\left|T_{d}(g, x)-g(x)\right|
$$

$$
=\left|\frac{1}{d^{b}} \int_{R^{b}} \mathrm{~K}\left(x d^{-1}, t d^{-1}\right)[f(t)-f(x)] d t\right|
$$

$$
\leq \frac{6^{b}}{b}\left(\int_{R^{b}} \widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}_{h}(x, t) H(|\Delta g|)(x)|t-x| d t\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{6^{b}}{b}\left(\int_{R^{b}} \widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}_{d}(x, t) H(|\Delta g|)(t)|t-x| d t\right)
$$

$$
=\frac{6^{b}}{b}\left(E_{1, d}(x)+E_{2, d}(x)\right)
$$

## Consequently,

$\left\|T_{d}(g)-g\right\|_{L^{p(.)}}$
$\leq \frac{6^{b}}{b}\left(\left\|E_{1, d}(x)\right\|_{p(.)}+\left\|E_{2, d}(x)\right\|_{p(.)}\right)$
We first estimate $\left\|E_{2, d}\right\|_{p(.)}$. Since $m>b+\frac{p_{-}}{p_{-}-1}$
Let a positive number $k^{\prime}>\frac{p_{-}}{p_{-}-1}$ such that $m>b+k^{\prime}$.
Here $k^{\prime}$ is conjugate of $k \cdot \frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{k^{\prime}}=1$. Then $1<k<p_{-}$.
Then there hold $\frac{k}{p_{-}}<1$ and $k p_{-}>1$. By the Hölder inequality, $E_{2, d}(x)$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{R^{b}} \widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}_{d}(x, t)[H(|\Delta g|)(t)]^{k} d t\right)^{1 / k} \times \\
\times & \left(\int_{R^{b}} \widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}_{d}(x, t)|t-x|^{k^{\prime}} d t\right)^{1 / k^{\prime}} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $m>b+k^{\prime}$, we set the constant

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{C}_{m}=\int_{R^{b}} \frac{1}{(1+|t|)^{m-k^{\prime}}} d t \text {, and get } \\
& \left(\int_{R^{b}} \widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}_{d}(x, t)|t-x|^{k^{\prime}} d t\right)^{1 / k^{\prime}} \\
& \quad \leq d\left(\int_{R^{b}} \frac{d^{-b}}{\left(1+\left|\frac{x-t}{d}\right|\right)^{m-k^{\prime}}} d t\right)^{1 / k^{\prime}} \\
& \quad=\hat{C}_{m}^{1 / k^{\prime}}, \forall x \in R^{b} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6, from estimates (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\left(\int_{R^{b}} \widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}_{d}(x, t)[H(|\Delta \mathrm{~g}|)(t)]^{k} d t\right)^{1 / k}\right\|_{p(.)} \\
=\left\|\left(\int_{R^{b}} K_{d}(x, t)[H(|\Delta g|)(t)]^{k} d t\right)^{1 / k}\right\|_{\frac{p(.)}{k}}^{\bar{k}} \\
\leq\left(B B \frac{p}{k}\right)^{1 / k}\left\|[H|\Delta g|]^{k}\right\|_{\frac{p(.)}{k}}^{\frac{1}{k}}=\left(B B \frac{p}{k}\right)^{1 / k}\|H|\Delta g|\|_{p(.)} \\
\leq\left(B B \frac{p}{k}\right)^{1 / k} B_{p}\||\Delta g|\|_{p(.)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Combining this estimate with (3.7) and (3.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|E_{2, d}(x)\right\|_{p(.)} \leq\left(B B \frac{p}{k}\right)^{1 / k} B_{p} \hat{C}_{m}^{1 / k^{\prime}} d\||\Delta g|\|_{p(.)} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term $\left\|E_{1, d}(x)\right\|_{p(.)}$ is easier to estimate.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1, d}(x)=\frac{1}{d^{b}} \int_{R^{b}} \frac{|t-x|}{\left(1+\left|\frac{x-t}{d}\right|\right)^{m}} d t H(|\Delta g|)(x) \\
& \leq \frac{d}{d^{b}} \int_{R^{b}} \frac{|t-x|}{\left(1+\left|\frac{x-t}{d}\right|\right)^{m-1}} d t H(|\Delta g|)(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

$=d \int_{R^{b}} \frac{1}{(1+|t|)^{m-1}} d t H(|\Delta g|)(x)$
Hence, $E_{1, d}(x) \leq \hat{C}_{m} d H(|\Delta g|)(x), \quad \forall x \in R^{b}$.Thus, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|E_{1, d}(x)\right\|_{p(.)} \leq & \hat{C}_{m} d\|H(|\Delta g|)\|_{p(.)} \\
& \leq \hat{C}_{m} B_{p} d\||\Delta g|\|_{p(.)} \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting (3.9) and (3.10) into (3.6), we finally conclude

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{d}(g)-g\right\|_{L^{p(.)}} & \leq \frac{6^{b}}{b}\left(\hat{C}_{m} B_{p}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(B B \frac{p}{k}\right)^{1 / k} B_{p} \hat{C}_{m}^{1 / k^{\prime}}\right) d\||\Delta g|\|_{p(.)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $f \in L^{p(.)}\left(R^{b}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{d}(f)-f\right\|_{L}^{p(.)}
\end{aligned} \leq \frac{6^{b}}{b}\left(\hat{C}_{m} B_{p}+\left(B B \frac{p}{k}\right)^{1 / k} B_{p} \hat{C}_{m}^{1 / k^{\prime}}\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\qquad+\left\|T_{d}\right\|+1\right) \leq \tilde{C}_{p}
\end{aligned} \text { with the constant, } \quad \text { ( }
$$

$$
\tilde{C}_{p}=\frac{6^{b}}{b}\left(\hat{C}_{m} B_{p}+\left(B B \frac{p}{k}\right)^{1 / k} B_{p} \hat{C}_{m}^{1 / k^{\prime}}+C_{m} B B_{p}+1\right)
$$

depending only on $p(), b,$.$m and C_{m}$.
Theorem 3.1 has been completed.

## 4. Conclusion

We showed a characterization of approximation of Hardy operators in variable Lebesgue spaces
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