

	<b>SAKARYA UNIVERSITY JOURNAL OF SCIENCE</b>		 <b>SAKARYA UNIVERSITY</b>
	e-ISSN: 2147-835X http://www.saujs.sakarya.edu.tr		
	<u>Received</u> 30-05-2018 <u>Accepted</u> 04-08-2018	<u>Doi</u> 10.16984/saufenbilder.428697	

## A Note On Convergence of Nonlinear General Type Two Dimensional Singular Integral Operators

Mine Menekşe Yılmaz<sup>\*1</sup>

### Abstract

The object of this study is to present both the pointwise convergence and the rate of convergence of the nonlinear integral operators given by

$$V_{\zeta}(x, y; f) = \iint_{\Omega} K_{\zeta}(t, s, x, y; f(t, s)) ds dt, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega, \quad \zeta \in E$$

where  $\Omega = \langle a, b \rangle \times \langle c, d \rangle$  is arbitrary bounded region in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ , moreover,  $E$  is a set of nonnegative numbers,  $\zeta_0$  is an accumulation point of  $E$ , and the function  $f$  is Lebesgue-integrable function on  $\Omega$ .

**Keywords:** Lebesgue point, nonlinear singular integral, Lipschitz condition, pointwise convergence.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], Musielak introduced a new type convergence problem using the nonlinear integral operators in the following form

$$T_{\omega}f(t) = \int_a^b K_{\omega}(s - t, f(s)) ds, \quad t \in \langle a, b \rangle \quad (1)$$

and by assuming the whose kernel  $K_{\omega}$  satisfies the generalized Lipschitz condition.

By using this idea, Bardaro et al. ([1], [3]) and in [4], Karsli studied the special cases of equation (1) in some Lebesgue spaces. Also, in [5], Swiderski and Wachnicki gave the theorems on pointwise approximation of the operators of equation (1) in the class of integrable and periodic functions. For further informations on nonlinear integral

operators, we mention some of studies as [1]-[12]. Also, the several approximation properties of many new type integral operators have been studied and discussed by some authors, see [13]-[15].

In this note, first, we present the pointwise convergence, and in the sequel, we give the rate of convergence of general type nonlinear two dimensional singular integral operators of the following type:

$$V_{\zeta}(x, y; f) = \iint_{\Omega} K_{\zeta}(t, s, x, y; f(t, s)) ds dt,$$

$$(x, y) \in \Omega, \quad \zeta \in E \quad (2)$$

under various assumptions on  $f(t, s)$  and  $(K_{\zeta})_{\zeta \in E}$ . By  $L_1(\Omega)$ , we denote the class of all functions  $f(t, s)$  Lebesgue-integrable over the rectangle

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author

<sup>1</sup>menekse@gantep.edu.tr

$\Omega = \langle a, b \rangle \times \langle c, d \rangle$  or  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $E$  is a set of positive numbers and  $\zeta_0$  is an accumulation point of  $E$ .

First, we shall give the basic concepts which are used in this paper.

**Definition 1.1.** A point  $(x_0, y_0) \in \Omega$  is a  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point of function  $f \in L_1(\Omega)$  if

$$\lim_{(h,k) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{1}{\mu(h,k)} \int_0^h \int_0^k |f(x_0 \pm t, y_0 \pm s) - f((x_0, y_0))| ds dt = 0$$

where  $0 < h \leq b - a$ ,  $0 < k \leq d - c$  and  $\mu(h, k) = \int_0^h \int_0^k \rho(t, s) ds dt$

is non-negative function provided  $\rho(t, s)$  is nonnegative Lebesgue integrable function defined on  $[0, b - a] \times [0, d - c]$  (see [16]).

We have created the following definition by taking advantage of the article [11] and [17].

**Definition 1.2.** If the family of functions  $(K_\zeta)_{\zeta \in E}, K_\zeta: \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , holds the following condition and then we say that  $(K_\zeta)_{\zeta \in E}$  belongs to class  $\mathcal{A}$ :

- a)  $K_\zeta(t, s, x, y, 0) = 0$ , for every  $t, s, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\zeta \in E$ .
- b) Let  $T_\zeta: \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  be a function such that

$$|K_\zeta(t, s, x, y; u) - K_\zeta(t, s, x, y; v)| \leq T_\zeta(t, s; x, y)|u - v|,$$

for every  $t, s, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\zeta \in E$ . Moreover, for any fixed  $(x, y) \in \Omega$ ,  $T_\zeta(t, s, x, y)$  is integrable function of  $(t, s)$ .

- c) For every  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and any fixed  $(x, y) \in \Omega$

$$\lim_{\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_0} \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_\zeta(t, s, x, y; u) ds dt - u \right| = 0$$

- d) For any fixed  $(x, y) \in \Omega$  and for every  $\delta > 0$

$$\lim_{\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_0} \left[ \sup_{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus N_\delta(x,y)} T_\zeta(t, s; x, y) \right] = 0$$

where

$$N_\delta(x, y) = (x - \delta, x + \delta) \times (y - \delta, y + \delta).$$

- e) For any fixed  $(x, y) \in \Omega$

$$\lim_{\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_0} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus N_\delta(x,y)} T_\zeta(t, s, x, y, u) ds dt = 0$$

- f) For every  $\zeta \in E$

$$\|T_\zeta(\cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq M < \infty,$$

- g) For any fixed  $x \in \langle a, b \rangle$ ,  $T_\zeta(t, s; x, y)$  is non-increasing as a function of  $t$  on  $\langle x - \delta, x \rangle$  and non-decreasing function on  $[x, x + \delta)$ , for each fixed  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Similarly, for any fixed  $y \in \langle c, d \rangle$ ,  $T_\zeta(t, s, x, y)$  is non-increasing as a function of  $s$  on  $\langle y - \delta, y \rangle$  and non-decreasing function on  $[y, y + \delta)$  for each fixed  $\zeta \in E$ .

Analogously, for any fixed  $(x, y) \in \Omega$  and fixed  $\zeta \in E$ ,  $T_\lambda(t, s, x, y)$  is bimonotonically increasing with respect to  $(t, s)$  on both  $\langle x, x + \delta \rangle \times \langle y, y + \delta \rangle$  and  $\langle y - \delta, y \rangle \times \langle x - \delta, x \rangle$ . Similarly,  $T_\zeta(t, s; x, y)$  is bimonotonically increasing with respect to  $(t, s)$  on both  $[x, x + \delta) \times \langle y - \delta, y \rangle$  and  $\langle x - \delta, x \rangle \times [y, y + \delta)$ .

## 2. POINTWISE CONVERGENCE

Now we shall prove the existence of the integral operators in equation (2) by the Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $f \in L_1(\Omega)$ , then for every  $\zeta \in E$ ,  $V_\zeta \in L_1(\Omega)$  and  $\|V_\zeta\|_{L_1(\Omega)} \leq M \|f\|_{L_1(\Omega)}$

**Proof.** We define a function

$$h(t, s) = \begin{cases} f(t, s), & (t, s) \in \Omega \\ 0, & (t, s) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Omega \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Using Fubini's Theorem (see, e.g., [18]) and conditions (a), (b) and (f) of class  $\mathcal{A}$  we get the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|V_\zeta(x, y; f)\|_{L_1(\Omega)} \\ &= \left| \iint_{\Omega} K_\zeta(t, s, x, y; f(t, s)) ds dt \right| dy dx \\ &\leq \iint_{\Omega} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(t, s)| T_\zeta(t, s, x, y) ds dt \right) dy dx \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L_1(\Omega)} \|T_\zeta\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed for this case.

Now we assume that  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ . Following similar steps, as in the first case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_\zeta(x, y; f)\|_{L_1(\Omega)} &\leq \|T_\zeta\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|f\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\leq M \|f\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed.

We shall show to pointwise convergence of the operator (2) at the  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point.

For  $C > 0$ , let  $P_C$  denote the set

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (x, y, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times E: \\ \int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0+\delta} \rho(|t-x_0|, |s-y_0|) \{T_\zeta(t, s; x_0, y_0) \times \\ \times f(x_0, y_0)\} ds dt \} < C \end{array} \right.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(x_0, y_0)$  be a  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point of function  $f \in L_1(\Omega)$  and functions  $K_\zeta$  satisfies the assumptions listed in class  $\mathcal{A}$ , then for any  $C > 0$  and  $(x, y, \zeta) \in P_C$

$$\lim_{\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_0} V_\zeta(x_0, y_0; f) = f(x_0, y_0).$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $(x_0, y_0) \in \Omega$  is the  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point of function  $f \in L_1(\Omega)$  and

$0 < x_0 - x < \frac{\delta}{2}$  and  $0 < y_0 - y < \frac{\delta}{2}$  for all  $\delta > 0$  satisfying

$$x_0 + \delta < b, x_0 - \delta > a,$$

$$y_0 + \delta < d, y_0 - \delta > c.$$

For the remaining cases, the proof follows a similar line. From Definition 1.1, for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $h$  and  $k$  satisfying  $0 < h, k \leq \delta$  the inequality:

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_0+h} \int_{y_0-k}^{y_0} |f(t, s) - f(x_0 - y_0)| ds dt < \varepsilon \mu(h, k)$$

holds.

By conditions (b) and (c) of class  $\mathcal{A}$ , and from equation (3) using the extension  $g(t, s)$  of  $f(t, s)$ , we get the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} &|V_\zeta(x_0, y_0; f) - f(x_0, y_0)| \\ &\leq \iint_{\Omega} |f(t, s) - f(x_0 - y_0)| T_\zeta(t, s; x_0, y_0) ds dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ |f(x_0, y_0)| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega} T_\zeta(t, s; x_0, y_0) ds dt \\ &+ \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_\zeta(t, s; x_0, y_0; f(x_0, y_0)) ds dt - f(x_0, y_0) \right| \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

The necessity of (e) and (c) of class  $\mathcal{A}$  provides the  $I_2 \rightarrow 0$  and  $I_3 \rightarrow 0$  as  $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_0$ , respectively.

Splitting  $I_1$  into two parts, we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \iint_{\Omega \setminus N_\delta} |f(t, s) - f(x_0, y_0)| T_\zeta(t, s; x_0, y_0) ds dt \\ &+ \iint_{N_\delta} |f(t, s) - f(x_0, y_0)| T_\zeta(t, s; x_0, y_0) ds dt \\ &= I_{11} + I_{12} \end{aligned}$$

where  $N_\delta = (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \times (y_0 - \delta, y_0 + \delta)$  stands for the family of all neighborhoods of  $(x_0, y_0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

For the integral  $I_{11}$  we may write the following

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11} &= \iint_{\Omega \setminus N_\delta} |f(t, s) - f(x_0, y_0)| T_\zeta(t, s; x_0, y_0) ds dt \\ &\leq \left( \sup_{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus N_\delta(x,y)} T_\zeta(t, s; x_0, y_0) \right) \\ &\quad \times (\|f\|_{L_1(\Omega)} + f(x_0, y_0) |b - a| |d - c|) \end{aligned}$$

by condition (d),  $I_{11} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\zeta$  tends to  $\zeta_0$ .

Now, we focus on the integral  $I_{12}$ . It is easy to see that  $I_{12}$  can be written in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{12} &= \left\{ \int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0} + \int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0} + \int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0} \int_{y_0}^{y_0+\delta} + \int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0}^{y_0+\delta} \right\} \\ &\quad |f(t, s) - f(x_0, y_0)| L_\zeta(t, s; x_0, y_0) ds dt \\ &= I_{121} + I_{122} + I_{123} + I_{124}. \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove  $I_{12} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_0$ . It is enough to show that the integrals  $I_{121}$ ,  $I_{122}$ ,  $I_{123}$  and  $I_{124}$  tend to zero as  $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_0$  on  $P_C$ .

Let us define a new function as such:

$$G(t, s) := \int_{x_0}^t \int_s^{y_0} |f(u, v) - f(x_0, y_0)| dv du.$$

Then, for all  $t$  and  $s$  satisfying  $t - x_0 \leq \delta$  and  $y_0 - s \leq \delta$  we have

$$|G(t, s)| \leq \varepsilon \mu(t - x_0, y_0 - s) \tag{4}$$

The following equality holds for the integral  $I_{121}$ :

$$|I_{121}| = \left| \int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0} |f(t, s) - f(x_0, y_0)| T_\zeta(t, s; x_0, y_0) ds dt \right|$$

$$= \left| (LS) \int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0} T_\zeta(t, s; x_0, y_0) d_t d_s [-G(t, s)] \right|,$$

where (LS) means Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral.

Using the integration by parts (see [20]) to the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral  $I_{121}$ , we have the following inequality:

$$|I_{121}| \leq \left| \int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0} G(t, s) d_t d_s [T_\zeta(t, s; x_0, y_0)] \right|$$

$$+ \left| \int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} G(t, y_0 - \delta) d_t [T_\zeta(t, y_0 - \delta; x_0, y_0)] \right|$$

$$+ \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0} G(x_0 + \delta, s) d_s [T_\zeta(x_0 + \delta, s; x, y)]$$

$$+ |G(x_0 + \delta, y_0 - \delta) [T_\zeta(x_0 - \delta, y_0 - \delta; x, y)]|.$$

from equation (4), we have the following inequality:

$$I_{121} \leq \varepsilon \left\{ \int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0} \mu(t - x_0, y_0 - s) d_t d_s [T_\zeta(t, s; x_0, y_0)] \right\}$$

$$+ \varepsilon \int_{x_0}^{x_0-\delta} \mu(t - x_0, \delta) |d_t [T_\zeta(t, y_0 - \delta; x_0, y_0)]|$$

$$+ \varepsilon \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0} \mu(\delta, y_0 - s) |d_s [T_\zeta(x_0 + \delta, s; x_0, y_0)]|$$

$$+ \varepsilon \mu(\delta, \delta) T_\zeta(x_0 + \delta, y_0 - \delta; x_0, y_0)$$

$$= i_1 + i_2 + i_3 + i_4.$$

Using the condition (g) and applying the integration by parts to each integral, the following inequality is obtained (for the rest of the operations see [19] and [20]).

$$|I_{121}| \leq \varepsilon \int_{x_0}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0} T_\zeta(t, s; x_0, y_0) \rho(|t - x_0|, |s - y_0|) ds dt.$$

Computing the integrals  $I_{122}$ ,  $I_{123}$  and  $I_{124}$  with the same method, and combining the obtained inequalities we have the following inequality

$$|I_{12}| \leq \varepsilon \int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0+\delta} T_\zeta(t, s; x_0, y_0) \rho(|t - x_0|, |s - y_0|) ds dt$$

which in view of the definition of the set  $P_C$  tends to zero as  $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_0$ .

Thus the proof of the theorem is completed.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  be a  $\mu$ -generalized Lebesgue point of function  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and function  $K_\zeta$  satisfies the assumptions listed in class  $\mathcal{A}$ , then for any  $C > 0$  and  $(x, y, \zeta) \in P_C$

$$\lim_{\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_0} V_\zeta(x_0, y_0; f) = f(x_0, y_0).$$

**Proof.** The proof can be shown analogous to the proof of Theorem 2.2.

### 3. RATE OF CONVERGENCE

In this part, we establish the rate of pointwise convergence which we got in the Section 2.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2 is satisfied. Let

$$\Delta(\zeta, \delta, x_0, y_0) = \int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0+\delta} \int_{y_0-\delta}^{y_0+\delta} T_\zeta(t, s; x_0, y_0) \rho(|t - x_0|, |s - y_0|) ds dt$$

for  $0 < \delta < \delta_0$  and the following assumptions be satisfied:

- i.  $\Delta(\zeta, \delta, x_0, y_0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_0$  for some  $\delta > 0$ .
- ii. For  $(x_0, y_0) \in \Omega$

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus N_\delta} T_\zeta(t, s; x_0, y_0) ds dt = o(\Delta(\zeta, \delta, x_0, y_0))$$

as  $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_0$ .

- iii. For  $(x_0, y_0) \in \Omega$

$$\left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_\zeta(t, s; x, y, u) ds dt - u \right| = o(\Delta(\zeta, \delta, x_0, y_0))$$

as  $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_0$

- iv. For  $(x_0, y_0) \in \Omega$

$$\sup_{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus N_\delta} T_\zeta(t, s; x_0, y_0) = o(\Delta(\zeta, \delta, x_0, y_0))$$

$\delta > 0$ , as  $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_0$ .

Then, at each  $\mu$  –generalized Lebesgue point of  $f \in L_1(\Omega)$  and we have as  $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta_0$ .

$$|V_\zeta(x_0, y_0; f) - f(x_0, y_0)| = o(\Delta(\zeta, \delta, x_0, y_0)).$$

**Proof.** Omitted.

## REFERENCES

[1] J. Musielak, “On some approximation problems in modular spaces”, In: *Constructive Function Theory, Proceedings of International Conference Varna, 1-5 June, 1981*, Publication House of Bulgarian Academic of Sciences, Sofia, pp. 181-189, 1983.

[2] C. Bardaro, H. Karsli and G. Vinti, “On pointwise convergence of linear integral operators

with homogeneous kernels”, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 19(6), pp. 429–439, 2008.

[3] C. Bardaro, H. Karsli and G. Vinti, “Nonlinear integral operators with homogeneous kernels: pointwise approximation theorems”, *Appl. Anal.*, Vol. 90, Nos. 3–4, pp. 463–47, 2011

[4] H. Karsli, “Convergence and rate of convergence by nonlinear singular integral operators on two parameters”, *Appl. Anal.*, 85, pp.781-791, 2006.

[5] T. Swiderski and E. Wachnicki, “Nonlinear singular integrals depending on two parameters”, *Comment. Math.*, 40, pp. 181-189, 2000.

[6] J. Musielak, “Approximation by nonlinear singular integral operators in generalized Orlicz spaces”, *Comment. Math. Prace Mat.*, 31, pp. 79-88, 1991.

[7] C. Bardaro and G. Vinti, “Approximation by nonlinear integral operators in some modular function spaces, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 63, pp.173-182, 1996.

[8] C. Bardaro, J. Musielak, and G. Vinti, “Nonlinear integral operators and applications”, *De Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 9, Walter de Gruyter, Publ., Berlin-New York, 2003.

[9] C. Bardaro and I. Mantellini, “Pointwise convergence theorems for nonlinear Mellin convolution operators”, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 27(4), pp.431-447, 2006.

[10] C. Bardaro, G. Vinti and H. Karsli, “On pointwise convergence of linear integral operators with homogeneous kernels”, *Integral Transform Sec. Funct.*, 19(6), pp. 429-439, 2008.

[11] H. Karsli, “On approximation properties of non-convolution type nonlinear operators”, *Anal. Theory Appl.* 26 (2), pp.140-152, 2010.

[12] G. Uysal, M. Menekşe Yılmaz and E. Ibikli, “On pointwise convergence of bivariate nonlinear singular integral operators”, *Kuwait Journal of Science*, 44(2):46-57, 2017.

- [13] L. N. Mishra, "On existence and behavior of solutions to some nonlinear integral equations with Applications", Ph.D. Thesis (2017), National Institute of Technology, Silchar 788 010, Assam, India.
- [14] V. N. Mishra, K. Khatri, L. N. Mishra, Deepmala; "Inverse result in simultaneous approximation by Baskakov-Durrmeyer-Stancu operators", *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2013, 2013:586.doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-586.
- [15] A. Kumar, Vandana, "Some approximation properties of generalized integral type operators", *Tbilisi Mathematical Journal*, 11(1), pp. 99–116, 2018.
- [16] B. Rydzewska, "Approximation des fonctions de deux variables par des integrales singulieres doubles", *Fasc. Math.*, 8, pp. 35-45 (in French), 1974.
- [17] S. Esen Almali, "On approximation properties of certain multidimensional nonlinear integrals", *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, (9)5, pp. 3090-3097, 2016.
- [18] P. L. Butzer and R. J. Nessel, *Fourier Analysis and Approximation*, Academic Press, New York, London, 1971.
- [19] M. Menekşe Yılmaz, "A study on convergence of nonconvolution type double singular integral operators", *New Trends Math. Sci.*, 4 (4) pp. 67-78, 2016.
- [20] R. Taberski, "On double integrals and Fourier Series" *Ann. Polon. Math.* 15, pp. 97-115, 1964.