



Certain Properties for Spiral-Like Functions Associated with Ruscheweyh-Type q -Difference Operator

G. Murugusundaramoorthy¹ and Dorina Răducanu^{2*}

¹Department of Mathematics, School of Advanced Sciences, VIT University, Vellore-632014, India

²Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, "Transilvania" University of Braşov 500091, Iuliu Maniu, 50, Braşov, Romania

*Corresponding author E-mail: draducanu@unitbv.ro

Abstract

In this paper, making use of the Ruscheweyh- type q -difference operator $\mathcal{D}_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z))$ we introduce a new subclass of spiral-like functions and discuss some subordination results and Fekete-Szegő problem for this generalized function class. Further, some known and new results which follow as special cases of our results are also mentioned.

Keywords: Convex functions, Fekete-Szegő problem, Hadamard product, Ruscheweyh- type q -difference operator, spiral-like functions, starlike functions, subordinating factor sequence, univalent functions.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic and univalent in the open disc $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Let \mathcal{S} denote the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions that are univalent in \mathbb{U} .

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class of γ -spiral-like functions of order λ in \mathbb{U} , denoted by $\mathcal{S}^*(\gamma, \lambda)$ if

$$\Re \left(\frac{e^{i\gamma} z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \lambda \cos \gamma, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{1.2}$$

for $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and some real γ with $|\gamma| < \frac{\pi}{2}$. The class $\mathcal{S}^*(\gamma, \lambda)$ was studied by Libera [6] and Keogh and Merkes [5]. Note that $\mathcal{S}^*(\gamma, 0)$ is the class of spiral-like functions introduced by Špaček [15], $\mathcal{S}^*(0, \lambda) = \mathcal{S}^*(\lambda)$ is the class of starlike functions of order λ and $\mathcal{S}^*(0, 0) = \mathcal{S}^*$ is the familiar class of starlike functions.

Let \mathcal{B} be the class of all analytic functions w in \mathbb{U} that satisfy the conditions $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1, z \in \mathbb{U}$.

For functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.1) and $g \in \mathcal{A}$ given by $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$, we define the Hadamard product (or Convolution) of f and g by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{1.3}$$

We briefly recall here the notion of q -operators i.e. q -difference operator that play a vital role in the theory of hypergeometric series, quantum physics and in the operator theory. The application of q -calculus was initiated by Jackson [3] (also see [2, 11]). For the applications of q -calculus in geometric function theory, one may see the papers of Mohamad and Darus [7], Purohit and Raina [11], Mohamad and Sokól, [8].

Consider $0 < q < 1$ and a non-negative integer n . The q -integer number or basic number n is defined by

$$[n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{n-1}, \quad [0]_q = 0.$$

For a non-integer number t we will denote $[t]_q = \frac{1 - q^t}{1 - q}$.

The q -shifted factorial is defined as follow

$$[0]! = 1, [n]! = [1]_q [2]_q \dots [n]_q.$$

Note that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} [n]_q = n$ and $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} [n]! = n!$.

The Jackson's q -derivative operator or q -difference operator for a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(qz) - f(z)}{z(q-1)}, & z \neq 0 \\ f'(0), & z = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

Note that for $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $z \in \mathbb{U}$

$$\mathcal{D}_q z^n = [n]_q z^{n-1}. \tag{1.5}$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the q -generalized Pochhammer symbol is defined by

$$[t]_n = [t]_q [t+1]_q [t+2]_q \dots [t+n-1]_q.$$

Moreover, for $t > 0$ the q -Gamma function is given by

$$\Gamma_q(t+1) = [t]_q \Gamma_q(t) \text{ and } \Gamma_q(1) = 1.$$

For details on q -calculus one can refer to [1, 3] and also the reference cited therein.

Using the definition of Ruscheweyh differential operator [12], in [4] Kanas and Răducanu introduced the Ruscheweyh q -differential operator defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z) = f(z) * F_{q, \alpha+1}(z) \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \alpha > -1 \tag{1.6}$$

where $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$F_{q, \alpha+1}(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(n+\alpha)}{[n-1]! \Gamma_q(1+\alpha)} z^n = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\alpha+1]_{n-1}}{[n-1]!} z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{1.7}$$

From (1.6) we have

$$\mathcal{R}_q^0 f(z) = f(z), \quad \mathcal{R}_q^1 f(z) = z \mathcal{D}_q f(z)$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_q^m f(z) = \frac{z \mathcal{D}_q^m (z^{m-1} f(z))}{[m]!} \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.1), in view of (1.6) and (1.7), we obtain

$$\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(n+\alpha)}{[n-1]! \Gamma_q(1+\alpha)} a_n z^n = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\alpha+1]_{n-1}}{[n-1]!} a_n z^n \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{1.8}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} F_{q, \alpha+1}(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\alpha+1}}$$

and

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z) = f(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\alpha+1}}.$$

From (1.8) we get

$$\mathcal{D}_q (\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z)) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q \Psi_q(n, \alpha) a_n z^{n-1} \tag{1.9}$$

where

$$\Psi_q(n, \alpha) = \frac{\Gamma_q(n+\alpha)}{[n-1]! \Gamma_q(1+\alpha)} = \frac{[\alpha+1]_{n-1}}{[n-1]!}. \tag{1.10}$$

Making use of the generalized Ruscheweyh q -differential operator $\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z)$, we introduce a new subclass of spiral-like functions.

For $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $\frac{-\pi}{2} < \eta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, we let $\mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$ be the subclass of A consisting of functions of the form (1.1) and satisfying the analytic condition:

$$\Re \left(e^{i\eta} \frac{z \mathcal{D}_q (\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z))}{(1-\lambda)z + \lambda \mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z)} \right) > \gamma \cos \eta, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \tag{1.11}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_q (\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z))$ is given by (1.9).

Example 1.1. For $\lambda = 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \eta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, we let $\mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, 1) \equiv \mathcal{S}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions of the form (1.1) and satisfying the analytic condition:

$$\Re \left(e^{i\eta} \frac{z \mathcal{D}_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z))}{\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z)} \right) > \gamma \cos \eta, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \quad (1.12)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z))$ is given by (1.9).

Example 1.2. For $\lambda = 0$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \eta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, we let $\mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, 0) \equiv \mathcal{R}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions of the form (1.1) and satisfying the analytic condition:

$$\Re \left(e^{i\eta} \mathcal{D}_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z)) \right) > \gamma \cos \eta, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, \quad (1.13)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z))$ is given by (1.9).

The object of the present paper is to investigate the coefficient estimates and subordination properties for the class of functions $\mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$. Some interesting consequences of the results are also pointed out.

2. Membership characterizations

In this section we obtain several sufficient conditions for a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ to be in the class $\mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and let δ be a real number with $0 \leq \delta < 1$. If

$$\left| \frac{z \mathcal{D}_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z))}{(1-\lambda)z + \lambda \mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \delta, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (2.1)$$

then $f \in \mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$ provided that

$$|\gamma| \leq \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{1-\delta}{1-\lambda} \right).$$

Proof. From (2.1) it follows that

$$\frac{z \mathcal{D}_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z))}{(1-\lambda)z + \lambda \mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z)} = 1 + (1-\delta)w(z),$$

where $w(z) \in \mathcal{B}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \left(e^{i\eta} \frac{z \mathcal{D}_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z))}{(1-\lambda)z + \lambda \mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z)} \right) &= \Re [e^{i\eta} (1 + (1-\delta)w(z))] \\ &= \cos \eta + (1-\delta)\Re(e^{i\eta}w(z)) \\ &\geq \cos \eta - (1-\delta)|e^{i\eta}w(z)| \\ &> \cos \eta - (1-\delta) \\ &\geq \gamma \cos \eta, \end{aligned}$$

provided that $|\eta| \leq \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{1-\delta}{1-\gamma} \right)$. Thus, the proof is completed. \square

If in Theorem 2.1 we take $\delta = 1 - (1-\gamma)\cos \eta$ we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. If

$$\left| \frac{z \mathcal{D}_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z))}{(1-\lambda)z + \lambda \mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq (1-\gamma)\cos \eta, \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \quad (2.2)$$

then $f \in \mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$.

In the following theorem, we obtain a sufficient condition for f to be in $\mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$.

Theorem 2.3. A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is in $\mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$ if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n)_q - \lambda] \sec \eta + (1-\gamma)\lambda \Psi_q(n, \alpha) |a_n| \leq 1 - \gamma, \quad (2.3)$$

where $|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $\Psi_q(n, \alpha)$ is given by (1.10).

Proof. In virtue of Corollary 2.2, it suffices to show that the condition (2.3) is satisfied. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{z\mathcal{D}_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z))}{(1-\lambda)z + \lambda\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z)} - 1 \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^\infty ([n]_q - \lambda)\Psi_q(n, \alpha)a_n z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^\infty \lambda\Psi_q(n, \alpha)a_n z^{n-1}} \right| \\ &< \frac{\sum_{n=2}^\infty ([n]_q - \lambda)\Psi_q(n, \alpha)|a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^\infty \lambda\Psi_q(n, \alpha)|a_n|}. \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is bounded above by $(1 - \gamma)\cos \eta$, if

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty ([n]_q - \lambda)\Psi_q(n, \alpha)|a_n| \leq (1 - \gamma)\cos \eta \left(1 - \sum_{n=2}^\infty \lambda\Psi_q(n, \alpha)|a_n| \right)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty [(n]_q - \lambda)\sec \eta + (1 - \gamma)\lambda\Psi_q(n, \alpha)|a_n| \leq 1 - \gamma.$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem 2.3. □

In view of Examples 1.1 and 1.2, we state the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.4. A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is in $\mathcal{S}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma)$ if

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty (([n]_q - 1)\sec \eta + (1 - \gamma))\Psi_q(n, \alpha)|a_n| \leq 1 - \gamma,$$

where $|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$.

Corollary 2.5. A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is in $\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma)$ if

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty ([n]_q \sec \eta)\Psi_q(n, \alpha)|a_n| \leq 1 - \gamma,$$

where $|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $0 \leq \gamma < 1$.

Remark 2.6. We observe that Corollary 2.4, yields the result of Silverman [13] for special values of η and γ .

3. Subordination result

Before stating and proving our subordination result for the class $\mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$, we need the following definitions and a lemma due to Wilf [17].

Definition 3.1. Let $g, h \in \mathcal{A}$. The function g is said to be subordinate to the function h , denoted by $g \prec h$, if there exists a function $w \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $g(z) = h(w(z))$, for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Definition 3.2. [17]. A sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of complex numbers is said to be a subordinating factor sequence if, whenever $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n z^n, a_1 = 1$ is regular, univalent and convex in \mathbb{U} , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n a_n z^n \prec f(z), z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.3. [17] The sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a subordinating factor sequence if and only if

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n z^n \right\} > 0, z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{3.2}$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$ and $g(z)$ be any function in the usual class of convex functions \mathcal{C} , then

$$\frac{((2]_q - \lambda)\sec \eta + \lambda(1 - \gamma)\Psi_q(2, \alpha)}{2[1 - \gamma + ((2]_q - \lambda)\sec \eta + \lambda(1 - \gamma)\Psi_q(2, \alpha)]} (f * g)(z) \prec g(z) \tag{3.3}$$

where $|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \leq \gamma < 1, 0 \leq \lambda < 1$, with

$$\Psi_q(2, \alpha) = \frac{\Gamma_q(2 + \alpha)}{\Gamma_q(1 + \alpha)} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\Re\{f(z)\} > -\frac{1-\gamma+([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)}{([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)}, z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (3.5)$$

The constant factor $\frac{([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)}{2[1-\gamma+([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)]}$ in (3.3) cannot be replaced by a larger number.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$ satisfy the coefficient inequality (2.3) and suppose that $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n \in C$. Then, by Definition 3.2, the subordination (3.3) of our theorem will hold true if the sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)}{2[1-\gamma+([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)]} a_n \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

is a subordinating factor sequence, with $a_1 = 1$. In view of Lemma 3.3, it is equivalent to the inequality

$$\Re\left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)}{1-\gamma+([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)} a_n z^n \right\} > 0, z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (3.6)$$

By noting the fact that $\frac{([n]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+(1-\gamma)\lambda\Psi_q(n,\alpha)}{(1-\gamma)}$ is an increasing function for $n \geq 2$ and in view of (2.3), when $|z| = r < 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re\left\{ 1 + \frac{([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)}{1-\gamma+([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \right\} \\ & \geq 1 - \frac{([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)}{1-\gamma+([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)} r \\ & \quad - \frac{1-\gamma}{1-\gamma+([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)} r > 0, |z| = r < 1. \end{aligned}$$

This evidently proves the inequality (3.6) and hence also the subordination result (3.3) asserted by Theorem 3.4. The inequality (3.5) follows from (3.3) by taking $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^n \in C$.

The sharpness of the multiplying factor in (3.3) can be established by considering a function $F(z) = z - \frac{1-\gamma}{1-\gamma+([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)} z^2$, where $|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $\Psi_q(2, \alpha)$ is given by (3.4). Clearly $F \in \mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$. Using (3.3) we infer that

$$\frac{([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)}{2[1-\gamma+([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)]} F(z) \prec \frac{z}{1-z},$$

and it follows that

$$\min\left\{ \Re\left(\frac{([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)}{2[1-\gamma+([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)]} F(z) \right) \right\} = -\frac{1}{2}, z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

This shows that the constant $\frac{([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)}{2[1-\gamma+([2]_q-\lambda)\sec\eta+\lambda(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha)]}$ cannot be replaced by any larger one. \square

For $\lambda = 1$, we state the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. *If $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma)$, then*

$$\frac{(q\sec\eta+(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha))}{2[1-\gamma+(q\sec\eta+(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha))]} (f * g)(z) \prec g(z) \quad (3.7)$$

where $|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $g \in C$ and

$$\Re\{f(z)\} > -\frac{1-\gamma+(q\sec\eta+(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha))}{(q\sec\eta+(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha))}, z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

The constant factor $\frac{(q\sec\eta+(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha))}{2[1-\gamma+(q\sec\eta+(1-\gamma)\Psi_q(2,\alpha))]}$ in (3.7) cannot be replaced by a larger one.

By taking $\lambda = 0$, we state the next corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *If $f \in \mathcal{R}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$, then*

$$\frac{(1+q)\sec\eta\Psi_q(2,\alpha)}{2[1-\gamma+(1+q)\sec\eta\Psi_q(2,\alpha)]} (f * g)(z) \prec g(z) \quad (3.8)$$

where $|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $g \in C$ and

$$\Re\{f(z)\} > -\frac{1-\gamma+(1+q)\sec\eta\Psi_q(2,\alpha)}{(1+q)\sec\eta\Psi_q(2,\alpha)}, z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

The constant factor $\frac{(1+q)\sec\eta\Psi_q(2,\alpha)}{2[1-\gamma+(1+q)\sec\eta\Psi_q(2,\alpha)]}$ in (3.8) cannot be replaced by a larger one.

4. The Fekete-Szegő problem

The Fekete-Szegő problem consists in finding sharp upper bounds for the functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ for various subclasses of \mathcal{A} (see [10], [16]). In order to obtain sharp upper-bounds for $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ for the class $\mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$ the following lemma is required (see, e.g., [9], p.108).

Lemma 4.1. *Let the function $w \in \mathcal{B}$ be given by*

$$w(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Then

$$|w_1| \leq 1 \text{ and } |w_2| \leq 1 - |w_1|^2 \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$|w_2 - s w_1^2| \leq \max\{1, |s|\} \text{ for any complex number } s. \tag{4.2}$$

The functions $w(z) = z$ and $w(z) = z^2$ or one of their rotations show that both inequalities (4.1) and (4.2) are sharp.

For the constants γ, η with $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ denote

$$p_{\gamma, \eta}(z) = \frac{1 + e^{-i\eta}(e^{-i\eta} - 2\gamma \cos \eta)z}{1 - z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{4.3}$$

The function $p_{\gamma, \eta}(z)$ maps the open unit disk onto the half-plane

$$H_{\gamma, \eta} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(e^{i\eta} z) > \gamma \cos \eta \right\}.$$

If

$$p_{\gamma, \eta}(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$$

then it is easy to check that

$$p_n = 2e^{-i\eta}(1 - \gamma) \cos \eta, \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \tag{4.4}$$

First we obtain sharp upper-bounds for the Fekete-Szegő functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ with μ real parameter .

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$ be given by (1.1) and let μ be a real number. Then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{2(1-\gamma) \cos \eta}{(1+q+q^2-\lambda)\Psi_q(3, \alpha)} \left[1 + \frac{2(1-\gamma)\lambda}{1+q-\lambda} - \mu \frac{2(1-\gamma)(1+q+q^2-\lambda)}{(1+q-\lambda)^2} \cdot \frac{\Psi_q(3, \alpha)}{\Psi_q^2(2, \alpha)} \right], & \text{if } \mu \leq \sigma_1 \\ \frac{2(1-\gamma) \cos \eta}{(1+q+q^2-\lambda)\Psi_q(3, \alpha)}, & \text{if } \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2 \\ \frac{2(1-\gamma) \cos \eta}{(1+q+q^2-\lambda)\Psi_q(3, \alpha)} \left[\mu \frac{2(1-\gamma)(1+q+q^2-\lambda)}{(1+q-\lambda)^2} \cdot \frac{\Psi_q(3, \alpha)}{\Psi_q^2(2, \alpha)} + \frac{2(1-\gamma)\lambda}{1+q-\lambda} - 1 \right], & \text{if } \mu \geq \sigma_2 \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{\lambda(1+q-\lambda)}{1+q+q^2-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\Psi_q^2(2, \alpha)}{\Psi_q(3, \alpha)} \tag{4.6}$$

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{(1+q-\lambda)(1+q-\lambda\gamma)}{(1-\gamma)(1+q+q^2-\lambda)} \cdot \frac{\Psi_q^2(2, \alpha)}{\Psi_q(3, \alpha)} \tag{4.7}$$

and $\Psi_q(2, \alpha), \Psi_q(3, \alpha)$ are defined by (1.10) with $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ respectively. All estimates are sharp.

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$ is given by (1.1). Then, from the definition of the class $\mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$, there exists $w \in \mathcal{B}$, $w(z) = w_1 z + w_2 z^2 + w_3 z^3 + \dots$ such that

$$\frac{z \mathcal{D}_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z))}{(1-\lambda)z + \lambda \mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z)} = p_{\gamma, \eta}(w(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \tag{4.8}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{z \mathcal{D}_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z))}{(1-\lambda)z + \lambda \mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z)} \\ &= 1 + ([2]_q - \lambda)\Psi_q(2, \alpha)a_2 z + [(\lambda^2 - [2]_q \lambda)\Psi_q^2(2, \alpha)a_2^2 + ([3]_q - \lambda)\Psi_q(3, \alpha)a_3]z^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\frac{z\mathcal{D}_q(\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z))}{(1-\lambda)z + \lambda\mathcal{R}_q^\alpha f(z)}$$

$$= 1 + (1+q-\lambda)\Psi_q(2, \alpha)a_2z + [(\lambda^2 - q\lambda - \lambda)\Psi_q^2(2, \alpha)a_2^2 + (1+q+q^2-\lambda)\Psi_q(3, \alpha)a_3]z^2 + \dots \tag{4.9}$$

Set $p_{\gamma,\eta}(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + p_3z^3 + \dots$. From (4.4) we have

$$p_1 = p_2 = 2e^{-i\eta}(1-\gamma)\cos\eta.$$

Equating the coefficients of z and z^2 on both sides of (4.8) and taking into account (4.9), we obtain

$$a_2 = \frac{p_1w_1}{(1+q-\lambda)\Psi_q(2, \alpha)} \text{ and } a_3 = \frac{1}{(1+q+q^2-\lambda)\Psi_q(3, \alpha)} \left[p_1w_2 + \left(p_2 + \frac{\lambda}{1+q-\lambda}p_1^2 \right) w_1^2 \right].$$

and thus we obtain

$$a_2 = \frac{2e^{-i\eta}(1-\gamma)\cos\eta}{(1+q-\lambda)\Psi_q(2, \alpha)} w_1 \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{2e^{-i\eta}(1-\gamma)\cos\eta}{(1+q+q^2-\lambda)\Psi_q(3, \alpha)} \left[w_2 + \left(1 + \frac{2\lambda e^{-i\eta}(1-\gamma)\cos\eta}{1+q-\lambda} \right) w_1^2 \right]. \tag{4.11}$$

It follows

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1-\gamma)\cos\eta}{(1+q+q^2-\lambda)\Psi_q(3, \alpha)} \times \left\{ |w_2| + \left| 1 + \frac{2e^{-i\eta}(1-\gamma)\cos\eta}{1+q-\lambda} \left(\lambda - \mu \frac{1+q+q^2-\lambda}{1+q-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\Psi_q(3, \alpha)}{\Psi_q^2(2, \alpha)} \right) \right| |w_1|^2 \right\}.$$

Making use of Lemma 4.1 (4.1) we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1-\gamma)\cos\eta}{(1+q+q^2-\lambda)\Psi_q(3, \alpha)} \times \left\{ 1 + \left[\left| 1 + \frac{2e^{-i\eta}(1-\gamma)\cos\eta}{1+q-\lambda} \left(\lambda - \mu \frac{1+q+q^2-\lambda}{1+q-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\Psi_q(3, \alpha)}{\Psi_q^2(2, \alpha)} \right) \right| - 1 \right] |w_1|^2 \right\}.$$

or

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1-\gamma)\cos\eta}{(1+q+q^2-\lambda)\Psi_q(3, \alpha)} \left[1 + \left(\sqrt{1+M(2+M)\cos^2\eta} - 1 \right) |w_1|^2 \right], \tag{4.12}$$

where

$$M = \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{1+q-\lambda} \left(\lambda - \mu \frac{1+q+q^2-\lambda}{1+q-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\Psi_q(3, \alpha)}{\Psi_q^2(2, \alpha)} \right). \tag{4.13}$$

Denote by

$$F(x,y) = 1 + \left(\sqrt{1+M(2+M)x^2} - 1 \right) y^2 \text{ where } x = \cos\eta, y = |w_1| \text{ and } (x,y) \in [0,1] \times [0,1].$$

Simple calculation shows that the function $F(x,y)$ does not have a local maximum at any interior point of the open rectangle $(0,1) \times (0,1)$. Thus, the maximum must be attained at a boundary point. Since $F(x,0) = 1, F(0,y) = 1$ and $F(1,1) = |1+M|$, it follows that the maximal value of $F(x,y)$ may be $F(0,0) = 1$ or $F(1,1) = |1+M|$.

Therefore, from (4.12) we obtain

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1-\gamma)\cos\eta}{(1+q+q^2-\lambda)\Psi_q(3, \alpha)} \max\{1, |1+M|\}. \tag{4.14}$$

where M is given by (4.13).

Consider first the case $|1+M| \geq 1$. If $\mu \leq \sigma_1$, where σ_1 is given by (4.6), then $M \geq 0$ and from (4.14) we obtain

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1-\gamma)\cos\eta}{(1+q+q^2-\lambda)\Psi_q(3, \alpha)} \left[1 + \frac{2(1-\gamma)\lambda}{1+q-\lambda} - \mu \frac{2(1-\gamma)(1+q+q^2-\lambda)}{(1+q-\lambda)^2} \cdot \frac{\Psi_q(3, \alpha)}{\Psi_q^2(2, \alpha)} \right]$$

which is the first part of the inequality (4.5). If $\mu \geq \sigma_2$, where σ_2 is given by (4.7), then $M \leq -2$ and it follows from (4.14) that

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1-\gamma)\cos\eta}{(1+q+q^2-\lambda)\Psi_q(3, \alpha)} \left[\mu \frac{2(1-\gamma)(1+q+q^2-\lambda)}{(1+q-\lambda)^2} \cdot \frac{\Psi_q(3, \alpha)}{\Psi_q^2(2, \alpha)} + \frac{2(1-\gamma)\lambda}{1+q-\lambda} - 1 \right]$$

and this is the third part of (4.5).

Next, suppose $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$. Then, $|1 + M| \leq 1$ and thus, from (4.14) we obtain

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1 - \gamma) \cos \eta}{(1 + q + q^2 - \lambda) \Psi_q(3, \alpha)}$$

which is the second part of the inequality (4.5).

In view of Lemma 4.1, the results are sharp for $w(z) = z$ and $w(z) = z^2$ or one of their rotations. \square

Next, we consider the Fekete-Szegő problem for the class $\mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$ with μ complex parameter.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$ be given by (1.1) and let μ be a complex number. Then,*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1 - \gamma) \cos \eta}{(1 + q + q^2 - \eta) \Psi_q(3, \alpha)} \times \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{2(1 - \gamma) \cos \eta}{1 + q - \lambda} \left(\mu \frac{1 + q + q^2 - \lambda}{1 + q - \lambda} \cdot \frac{\Psi_q(3, \alpha)}{\Psi_q^2(2, \alpha)} - \lambda \right) - e^{i\eta} \right| \right\} \quad (4.15)$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{G}_q^\alpha(\eta, \gamma, \lambda)$. Making use of (4.10) and (4.11) we obtain

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1 - \gamma) \cos \eta}{(1 + q + q^2 - \eta) \Psi_q(3, \alpha)} \times \left| w_2 - \left[-\frac{2e^{-i\eta}(1 - \gamma) \cos \eta}{1 + q - \lambda} \left(\lambda - \mu \frac{1 + q + q^2 - \lambda}{1 + q - \lambda} \cdot \frac{\Psi_q(3, \alpha)}{\Psi_q^2(2, \alpha)} \right) - 1 \right] w_1^2 \right|$$

The inequality (4.15) follows as an application of Lemma 4.1(4.2) with

$$s = \frac{2e^{-i\eta}(1 - \gamma) \cos \eta}{1 + q - \lambda} \left(\mu \frac{1 + q + q^2 - \lambda}{1 + q - \lambda} \cdot \frac{\Psi_q(3, \alpha)}{\Psi_q^2(2, \alpha)} - \lambda \right) - 1.$$

\square

Remark 4.4. *By specializing the parameters $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$ one can state the above discussed results for function f in the subclasses defined in Example 1.1 and 1.2 respectively.*

References

- [1] S. Araci, U. Duran, M. Acikgoz, H. M. Srivastava, *A certain (p, q) -derivative operator and associated divided differences*, J. Inequal. Appl., (2016) 2016:301.
- [2] A. Aral, V. Gupta, R. P. Agarwal, *Applications of q -calculus in operator theory*, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [3] F. H. Jackson, *On q -functions and a certain difference operator*, Trans. R. Soc. Edinburgh, 46 (1908), 253-281.
- [4] S. Kanas, D. Răducanu, *Some subclass of analytic functions related to conic domains*, Math. Slovaca, 64(5)(2014), 1183-1196.
- [5] F. R. Keogh, E. P. Merkes, *A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 20 (1969), 8-12.
- [6] R. J. Libera, *Univalent α -spiral functions*, Canad. J. Math., 19 (1967), 449-456.
- [7] A. Mohammed, M. Darus, *A generalized operator involving the q -hypergeometric function*, Mat. Vesnik, 65(4) (2013), 454-464.
- [8] S. Mahmood, J. Sokół, *New subclasses of analytic functions in conical domain associated with Ruscheweyh q -differential operator*, Results Math., 71 (2017), 1345-1357.
- [9] Z. Nehari, *Conformal mapping*, McGraw-Hill, New-York, 1952.
- [10] H. Orhan, D. Răducanu, M. Caglar, M. Rayram, *Coefficient estimates and other properties for a class of spirallike functions associated with a differential operator*, Abstr. Anal. Appl., vol.2013, Art. ID415319, 7pp.
- [11] S. D. Purohit, R. K. Raina, *Fractional q -calculus and certain subclasses of univalent analytic functions*, Mathematica, 55(78), no.1 (2013), 62-74.
- [12] St. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 49 (1975), 109-115.
- [13] H. Silverman, *Sufficient conditions for spiral-likeness*, Internat. J. Math. Sci., 12(4) (1989), 641-644.
- [14] S. Singh, *A subordination theorem for spiral-like functions*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 24(7) (2000), 433-435.
- [15] L. Špaček, *Contribution à la théorie des fonctions univalentes*, Cas. Mat. Fys., 62(2) (1932), 12-19.
- [16] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra, M. K. Das, *The Fekete-Szegő problem for a subclass of close-to-convex functions*, Complex Var. Theory Appl., 44 (2001), 145-163.
- [17] H. S. Wilf, *Subordinating factor sequence for convex maps of the unit circle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 12 (1961), 689-693.