



ON TWO TIMES DIFFERENTIABLE PREINVEX AND PREQUASIINVEX FUNCTIONS

İM DAT İŞCAN, MAHİR KADAKAL, AND HURİYE KADAKAL

ABSTRACT. The main goal of this paper is to establish a new identity for functions defined on an open invex subset of real numbers. By using this identity, the Hölder integral inequality and power mean integral inequality, we introduce some new type integral inequalities for functions whose powers of second derivatives in absolute values are preinvex and prequasiinvex.

1. PRELIMINARIES

A function $f : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called convex if the inequality

$$f(tu + (1-t)v) \leq tf(u) + (1-t)f(v)$$

holds for all $u, v \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. If the above inequality reverses, then the function f is said to be concave on interval $I \neq \emptyset$. This definition is well known in the literature.

Suppose that $f : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function on the interval I of real numbers and $a_1, a_2 \in I$ with $a_1 < a_2$. The celebrated inequality

$$f\left(\frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{a_2 - a_1} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} f(x) dx \leq \frac{f(a_1) + f(a_2)}{2}$$

is well-known in the literature as the inequality of Hermite-Hadamard for convex functions [18]. We can estimate the mean value of a continuous convex or concave function by means of the classical Hermite-Hadamard inequality. Hadamard's inequality for convex or concave functions has recently took too much attention and a remarkable variety of refinements and generalizations have been found (see [4, 8, 10, 12, 18]). A usage of Hermite-Hadamard inequality may result in obtaining one of the most useful inequalities in mathematical analysis [5].

Received by the editors: April 10, 2018; Accepted: June 01, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26A51, 26D10; Secondary 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Invex set, preinvex and prequasiinvex, Hölder integral inequality.

Definition 1.1. A function $f : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be quasi-convex if the inequality

$$f(tu + (1 - t)v) \leq \max \{f(u), f(v)\}$$

holds for all $u, v \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

We recall that any convex function is a quasi-convex function. Furthermore, there exist quasi-convex functions which are not convex [6].

Definition 1.2 ([20]). Let K be a non-empty subset in \mathbb{R}^n and $\eta : K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $v \in K$, then the set K is said to be invex at v with respect to $\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$, if

$$v + t\eta(u, v) \in K, \quad \forall v, u \in K \text{ and } t \in [0, 1].$$

K is said to be an invex set with respect to $\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$ if K is invex at each $v \in K$. The invex set K is also called η -connected set.

It is true that every convex set is also an invex set with respect to $\eta(u, v) = u - v$, but the converse is not necessarily true, see [14, 21] and the references therein. For the sake of simplicity, we always assume that $K = [v, v + t\eta(u, v)]$, unless otherwise specified [1].

Definition 1.3 ([20]). A function $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on an invex set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is said to be preinvex with respect to $\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$, if

$$f(u + t\eta(v, u)) \leq (1 - t)f(u) + tf(v), \quad \forall u, v \in K, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

It is to be noted that every convex function is preinvex with respect to the map $\eta(u, v) = v - u$ but the converse is not true see for instance.

Definition 1.4 ([2]). A function $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on an invex set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is said to be prequasiinvex with respect to $\eta(\cdot, \cdot)$, if

$$f(u + t\eta(v, u)) \leq \max \{f(u), f(v)\}, \quad \forall u, v \in K, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

We know that every quasi-convex function is a prequasiinvex with respect to the mapping $\eta(v, u) = v - u$ but the converse does not hold, see for example [2].

Definition 1.5 ([14]). Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to the mapping $\eta(\cdot, \cdot) : S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We say that the function satisfies the Condition C if, for any $x, y \in S$ and any $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\eta(y, y + t\eta(x, y)) = -t\eta(x, y) \tag{1.1}$$

$$\eta(x, y + t\eta(x, y)) = (1 - t)\eta(x, y). \tag{1.2}$$

Remark 1.6. Note that, from the Condition C , we have

$$\eta(y + t_2\eta(x, y), y + t_1\eta(x, y)) = (t_2 - t_1)\eta(x, y) \tag{1.3}$$

for any $x, y \in S$ and any $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$.

Really: Let $u = y + t_2\eta(x, y)$.

(i) For $t_2 \in [0, 1)$,

$$\eta(y + t_2\eta(x, y), y + t_1\eta(x, y)) = \eta(u, u + (t_1 - t_2)\eta(x, y)) \quad (1.4)$$

and from (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(x, u) &= \eta(x, y + t_2\eta(x, y)) \\ &= (1 - t_2)\eta(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

From here we get

$$\eta(x, y) = \frac{\eta(x, u)}{1 - t_2}.$$

Using (1.4) and Condition C

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(y + t_2\eta(x, y), y + t_1\eta(x, y)) &= \eta(u, u + (t_1 - t_2)\eta(x, y)) \\ &= \eta\left(u, u + \frac{t_1 - t_2}{1 - t_2}\eta(x, u)\right) \\ &= -\frac{t_1 - t_2}{1 - t_2}\eta(x, u) \\ &= (t_2 - t_1)\eta(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For $t_2 = 1$, let $a = y + \eta(x, y)$. From (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(y + \eta(x, y), y + t_1\eta(x, y)) &= \eta(a, a - (1 - t_1)\eta(x, y)) \\ &= \eta(a, a + (1 - t_1)\eta(y, a)) \\ &= -(1 - t_1)\eta(y, a) \\ &= (1 - t_1)\eta(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the equality (1.3) is true.

In recent years, many mathematicians have been studying about preinvexity and types of preinvexity. See for more information [7, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22].

Theorem 1.7 ([15]). *Let $f : [a_1, a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1)] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a preinvex function on the interval of the real numbers K° (the interior of K) and $a_1, a_2 \in K^\circ$ with $\eta(a_2, a_1) > 0$. Then the following inequalities hold:*

$$f\left(\frac{2a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} f(x) dx \leq \frac{f(a_1) + f(a_2)}{2}. \quad (1.5)$$

For several recent results on inequalities for preinvex and prequasiinvex functions which are connected to (1.3), we refer the reader to [3, 9, 11, 13] and the references therein.

Let $0 < a_1 < a_2$, throughout this paper we will use

$$\begin{aligned} A &= A(a_1, a_2) = \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} \\ L_p(a_1, a_2) &= \left(\frac{a_2^{p+1} - a_1^{p+1}}{(p+1)(a_2 - a_1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad a_1 \neq a_2, p \in \mathbb{R}, p \neq -1, 0 \end{aligned}$$

for the arithmetic and generalized logarithmic mean, respectively. Moreover, for shortness, the notations

$$\alpha = \alpha(a_1, a_2, \eta) = a_1 + \frac{\eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}, \quad \alpha_t = \alpha_t(a_1, a_2, \eta) = a_1 + t \frac{\eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}$$

and

$$I_f(a_1, a_2, \eta) := \eta(a_2, a_1) \left(a_1 + \frac{\eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} \right) f' (a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)) - f(a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)) (a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)) + f(a_1)a_1 + \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} f(x)dx$$

will be used.

2. MAIN RESULTS FOR OUR LEMMA

We will use the next Lemma to obtain our main results related with the preinvexity and prequasiinvexity.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to mapping $\eta(\cdot, \cdot) : K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $a_1, a_2 \in K$ with $\eta(a_2, a_1) > 0$. Suppose that $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a twice differentiable function on K such that $f'' \in L[a_1, a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)]$. Then the following identity holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta(a_2, a_1) \left(a_1 + \frac{\eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} \right) f' (a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)) \\ & - f(a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)) (a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)) + f(a_1)a_1 + \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} f(x)dx \\ & = \eta^2(a_2, a_1) \int_0^1 t \left(a_1 + t \frac{\eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} \right) f''(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Integrating by parts and changing the variable and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta^2(a_2, a_1) \int_0^1 t \left(a_1 + t \frac{\eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} \right) f''(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1)) dt \\ & = \eta(a_2, a_1) \left(a_1 t + t^2 \frac{\eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} \right) f' (a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1)) \Big|_0^1 \\ & \quad - (a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1)) f(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1)) \Big|_0^1 + \eta(a_2, a_1) \int_0^1 f(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1)) dt \\ & = \eta(a_2, a_1) \left(a_1 + \frac{\eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} \right) f' (a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)) \\ & \quad - (a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)) f(a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)) + f(a_1)a_1 + \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)} f(x)dx. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.2. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to mapping $\eta(\cdot, \cdot) : K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $a_1, a_2 \in K$ with $\eta(a_2, a_1) > 0$. Suppose that $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a twice differentiable function on K such that $f'' \in L[a_1, a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)]$. If $|f''|^q$ is preinvex on K for $q > 1$, then the following inequality holds:

$$|I_f(a_1, a_2, \eta)| \leq 2^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{\eta^2(a_2, a_1)}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \times [|f''(a_2)|^q C_{1,\eta}(a_1, a_2) + |f''(a_1)|^q C_{2,\eta}(a_1, a_2)]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (2.1)$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and

$$C_{1,\eta}(a_1, a_2) := \begin{cases} \eta(a_2, a_1) \left[L_{q+1}^{q+1}(\alpha, a_1) - a_1 L_q^q(\alpha, a_1) \right], & a_1 > 0, \alpha > 0, \\ 2(a_1 + \alpha) L_{q+1}^{q+1}(\alpha, -a_1) - \frac{4a_1}{q+1} A(\alpha^{q+1}, (-a_1)^{q+1}), & a_1 < 0, \alpha > 0, \\ -\eta(a_2, a_1) \left[L_{q+1}^{q+1}(-a_1, -\alpha) + a L_q^q(-a_1, -\alpha) \right], & a_1 < 0, \alpha < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$C_{2,\eta}(a_1, a_2) := \begin{cases} -\eta(a_2, a_1) \left[L_{q+1}^{q+1}(\alpha, a_1) - \alpha L_q^q(\alpha, a_1) \right], & a_1 > 0, \alpha > 0, \\ -2(a_1 + \alpha) L_{q+1}^{q+1}(\alpha, -a_1) + \frac{4\alpha}{q+1} A(\alpha^{q+1}, (-a_1)^{q+1}), & a_1 < 0, \alpha > 0, \\ \eta(a_2, a_1) \left[L_{q+1}^{q+1}(-a_1, -\alpha) + \alpha L_q^q(-a_1, -\alpha) \right], & a_1 < 0, \alpha < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $|f''|^q$ for $q > 1$ is preinvex on $[a_1, a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)]$, using Lemma 2.1, the Hölder integral inequality and

$$|f''(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1))|^q \leq t |f''(a_2)|^q + (1-t) |f''(a_1)|^q,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} |I_f(a_1, a_2, \eta)| &\leq \eta^2(a_2, a_1) \int_0^1 t |\alpha_t| |f''(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1))| dt \\ &\leq \eta^2(a_2, a_1) \left(\int_0^1 t^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^1 |\alpha_t|^q |f''(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1))|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \frac{\eta^2(a_2, a_1)}{(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(|f''(a_2)|^q \int_0^1 t |\alpha_t|^q dt + |f''(a_1)|^q \int_0^1 (1-t) |\alpha_t|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{\eta^2(a_2, a_1)}{(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\frac{2|f''(a_2)|^q}{\eta^2(a_2, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{\alpha} 2(x-a_1)|x|^q dx \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{2|f''(a_1)|^q}{\eta^2(b, a_1)} \int_{a_1}^{\alpha} (\eta(a_2, a_1) - 2(x-a_1))|x|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &= 2^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{\eta^2(a_2, a_1)}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(|f''(a_2)|^q \int_{a_1}^{\alpha} 2(x-a_1)|x|^q dx \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + |f''(a_1)|^q \int_{a_1}^{\alpha} (\eta(a_2, a_1) - 2(x-a_1))|x|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &= 2^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{\eta^2(a_2, a_1)}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} [|f''(a_2)|^q C_{1,\eta}(a_1, a_2) + |f''(a_1)|^q C_{2,\eta}(a_1, a_2)]^{\frac{1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

Corollary 1. *Suppose that all the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. If we choose $\eta(a_2, a_1) = a_2 - a_1$ then when $|f''|^q$ is convex on K for $q > 1$ we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} f'(a_2) - \frac{f(a_2)a_2 - f(a_1)a_1}{a_2 - a_1} - \frac{1}{a_2 - a_1} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} f(x) dx \right| \\
 &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{(a_2 - a_1)^2}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} [|f''(a_2)|^q C_1(a_1, a_2) + |f''(a_1)|^q C_2(a_1, a_2)]^{\frac{1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_1(a_1, a_2) = \begin{cases} (a_2 - a_1) \left[L_{q+1}^{q+1}(A, a_1) - aL_q^q(A, a_1) \right], & a_1 > 0, A > 0, \\ (3a_1 + a_2)L_{q+1}^{q+1}(A, -a_1) - \frac{4a_1}{q+1} A (A^{q+1}, (-a_1)^{q+1}), & a_1 < 0, A > 0, \\ -(a_2 - a_1) \left[L_{q+1}^{q+1}(-a_1, -A) + a_1 L_q^q(-a_1, -A) \right], & a_1 < 0, A < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$C_2(a_1, a_2) = \begin{cases} -(a_2 - a_1) \left[L_{q+1}^{q+1}(A, a_1) - \alpha L_q^q(A, a_1) \right], & a_1 > 0, A > 0, \\ -(3a_1 + a_2)L_{q+1}^{q+1}(A, -a_1) + \frac{4\alpha}{q+1} A (A^{q+1}, (-a_1)^{q+1}), & a_1 < 0, A > 0, \\ (a_2 - a_1) \left[L_{q+1}^{q+1}(-a_1, -A) + \alpha L_q^q(-a_1, -A) \right], & a_1 < 0, A < 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.3. If the mapping η satisfies condition C then by use of the preinvexity of $|f''|^q$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f''(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1))|^q &= |f''(a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1) + (1-t)\eta(a_1, a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)))|^q \\
 &\leq t|f''(a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1))|^q + (1-t)|f''(a_1)|^q. \tag{2.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in [0, 1]$. If we use the inequality (2.2) in the proof of Theorem 2.2, then the inequality (2.1) becomes the following inequality:

$$|I_f(a_1, a_2, \eta)| \leq 2^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{\eta^2(a_2, a_1)}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} [|f''(a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1))|^q C_{1,\eta}(a_1, a_2) + |f''(a_1)|^q C_{2,\eta}(a_1, a_2)]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{2.3}$$

We note that by use of the preinvexity of $|f''|^q$ we get

$$|f''(a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1))|^q \leq |f''(a_2)|^q.$$

Therefore, the inequality (2.3) is better than the inequality (2.1).

Theorem 2.4. *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to mapping $\eta(\cdot, \cdot) : K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $a_1, a_2 \in K$ with $\eta(a_2, a_1) > 0$. Suppose that $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a twice differentiable function on K such that $f'' \in L[a_1, a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)]$. If $|f''|^q$ is preinvex on K for $q > 1$, then the following inequality holds:*

$$|I_f(a_1, a_2, \eta)| \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \eta^{1+\frac{1}{q}}(a_2, a_1) C_{3,\eta}^{\frac{1}{p}}(a_1, a_2) \times \left[\frac{(q+1)|f''(a_2)|^q + |f''(a_1)|^q}{(q+1)(q+2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and

$$C_{3,\eta}(a_1, a_2) := \begin{cases} \frac{\eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} L_p^p(\alpha, a_1), & a_1 > 0, \alpha > 0, \\ \frac{2}{p+1} A(\alpha^{p+1}, (-a_1)^{p+1}), & a_1 < 0, \alpha > 0, \\ \frac{\eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} L_p^p(-a_1, -\alpha), & a_1 < 0, \alpha < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $|f''|^q$ for $q > 1$ is preinvex on $[a_1, a_1 + \eta(b, a_1)]$, using Lemma 2.1, the Hölder integral inequality and

$$|f''(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1))|^q \leq t|f''(a_2)|^q + (1-t)|f''(a_1)|^q,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_f(a_1, a_2, \eta)| &\leq \eta^2(a_2, a_1) \int_0^1 t |\alpha_t| |f''(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1))| dt \\ &\leq \eta^2(a_2, a_1) \left(\int_0^1 |\alpha_t|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^1 t^q |f''(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1))|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \eta^2(a_2, a_1) \left(\int_0^1 |\alpha_t|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(|f''(a_2)|^q \int_0^1 t^{q+1} dt + |f''(a_1)|^q \int_0^1 (t^q - t^{q+1}) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \eta^{1+\frac{1}{q}}(a_2, a_1) \left(\int_{a_1}^{\alpha} |x|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\frac{|f''(a_2)|^q}{q+2} + |f''(a_1)|^q \left(\frac{1}{q+1} - \frac{1}{q+2} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &= 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \eta^{1+\frac{1}{q}}(a_2, a_1) C_{3,\eta}^{\frac{1}{p}}(a_1, a_2) \left[\frac{(q+1)|f''(a_2)|^q + |f''(a_1)|^q}{(q+1)(q+2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

Corollary 2. *Suppose that all the assumptions of Theorem 2.4 are satisfied. If we choose $\eta(a_2, a_1) = a_2 - a_1$ then when $|f''|^q$ is convex on K for $q > 1$ we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} f'(a_2) - \frac{f(a_2)a_2 - f(a_1)a_1}{a_2 - a_1} - \frac{1}{a_2 - a_1} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} f(x) dx \right| \\
 &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} (a_2 - a_1)^{1+\frac{1}{q}} C_3^{\frac{1}{p}}(a_1, a_2) \left[\frac{(q+1)|f''(a_2)|^q + |f''(a_1)|^q}{(q+1)(q+2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_3(a_1, a_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{a_2 - a_1}{2} L_p^p(A, a_1), & a_1 > 0, A > 0, \\ \frac{2}{p+1} A (A^{p+1}, (-a_1)^{p+1}), & a_1 < 0, A > 0, \\ \frac{a_2 - a_1}{2} L_p^p(-a_1, -A), & a_1 < 0, A < 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.5. If the mapping η satisfies condition C then using the inequality (2.2) in the proof of Theorem 2.4, then the inequality (2.4) becomes the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_f(a_1, a_2, \eta)| &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \eta^{1+\frac{1}{q}}(a_2, a_1) C_{3,\eta}^{\frac{1}{p}}(a_1, a_2) \\
 &\quad \left[\frac{(q+1)|f''(a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1))|^q + |f''(a_1)|^q}{(q+1)(q+2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{2.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

We note that by use of the preinvexity of $|f''|^q$ we get

$$|f''(a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1))|^q \leq |f''(a_2)|^q.$$

Therefore, the inequality (2.5) is better than the inequality (2.4).

Theorem 2.6. *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to mapping $\eta(\cdot, \cdot) : K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $a_1, a_2 \in K$ with $\eta(a_2, a_1) > 0$. Suppose that $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a twice differentiable function on K such that $f'' \in L[a_1, a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)]$. If $|f''|^q$ is preinvex on K for $q \geq 1$, then the following inequality holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_f(a_1, a_2, \eta)| &\leq 2^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \eta^{1-\frac{2}{q}}(a_2, a_1) D_{1,\eta}^{1-\frac{1}{q}}(a_1, a_2) \\
 &\quad \left[|f''(a_2)|^q D_{2,\eta}(a_1, a_2) + |f''(a_1)|^q D_{3,\eta}(a_1, a_2) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{2.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_{1,\eta}(a_1, a_2) := \begin{cases} \frac{\eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} [L_2^2(\alpha, a_1) - a_1 L(\alpha, a_1)], & a_1 > 0, \alpha > 0 \\ \frac{2}{3} A (\alpha^3, a_1^3) - a_1 A (\alpha^2, a_1^2), & a_1 < 0, \alpha > 0 \\ -\frac{\eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} [L_2^2(\alpha, a_1) - a_1 L(\alpha, a_1)], & a_1 < 0, \alpha < 0 \end{cases},$$

$$D_{2,\eta}(a_1, a_2) := \begin{cases} \frac{\theta\eta^3(a_2, a_1)}{4}, & a_1 > 0, \alpha > 0 \\ \frac{\theta\eta^3(a_2, a_1)}{4} + \frac{a_1^4}{3}, & a_1 < 0, \alpha > 0 \\ -\frac{\theta\eta^3(a_2, a_1)}{4}, & a_1 < 0, \alpha < 0 \end{cases},$$

$$D_{3,\eta}(a_1, a_2) := \begin{cases} \frac{\eta^2(a_2, a_1)}{2} [L_2^2(\alpha, a_1) - a_1 L(\alpha, a_1)] - \frac{\theta\eta^3(b, a_1)}{4}, & a_1 > 0, \alpha > 0 \\ \eta(a_2, a_1) [\frac{2}{3}A(\alpha^3, a_1^3) - aA(\alpha^2, a^2)] - \frac{\theta\eta^3(b, a)}{4} - \frac{a^4}{3}, & a_1 < 0, \alpha > 0 \\ -\frac{\eta^2(a_2, a_1)}{2} [L_2^2(\alpha, a_1) - aL(\alpha, a_1)] + \frac{\theta\eta^3(b, a)}{4}, & a_1 < 0, \alpha < 0. \end{cases}$$

and $\theta = \frac{\eta(a_2, a_1)}{8} + \frac{a_1}{3}$.

Proof. Using Lemma 2.1 and Power-mean integral inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_f(a_1, a_2, \eta)| &\leq \eta^2(a_2, a_1) \int_0^1 t |\alpha_t| |f''(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1))| dt \\ &\leq \eta^2(a_2, a_1) \left(\int_0^1 t |\alpha_t| dt \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_0^1 t |\alpha_t| |f''(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1))|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \eta^2(a_2, a_1) \left(\int_0^1 t |\alpha_t| dt \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\quad \times \left(|f''(a_2)|^q \int_0^1 t^2 |\alpha_t| dt + |f''(a_1)|^q \int_0^1 t(1-t) |\alpha_t| dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= 2^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \eta^{1-\frac{2}{q}}(a_2, a_1) \left(\int_a^\alpha (x - a_1) |x| dx \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left(|f''(a_2)|^q \int_a^\alpha 2(x - a_1)^2 |x| dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |f''(a_1)|^q \int_{a_1}^\alpha (x - a_1) [\eta(a_2, a_1) - 2(x - a_1)] |x| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= 2^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \eta^{1-\frac{2}{q}}(a_2, a_1) D_{1,\eta}^{1-\frac{1}{q}}(a_1, a_2) \\ &\quad \times [|f''(a_2)|^q D_{2,\eta}(a_1, a_2) + |f''(a_1)|^q D_{3,\eta}(a_1, a_2)]^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

Corollary 3. *Suppose that all the assumptions of Theorem 3 are satisfied. If we choose $\eta(a_2, a_1) = a_2 - a_1$ then when $|f''|^q$ is convex on K for $q \geq 1$ we get*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} f'(b) - \frac{f(a_2)a_2 - f(a_1)a_1}{a_2 - a_1} - \frac{1}{a_2 - a_1} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} f(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq 2^{1+\frac{1}{q}} (a_2 - a_1)^{1-\frac{2}{q}} D_1^{1-\frac{1}{q}}(a_1, a_2) [|f''(a_2)|^q D_2(a_1, a_2) + |f''(a_1)|^q D_3(a_1, a_2)]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_1(a_1, a_2) &= \begin{cases} \frac{a_2-a_1}{2} [L_2^2(A, a_1) - a_1 L(A, a_1)], & a_1 > 0, A > 0 \\ \frac{2}{3} A (A^3, a_1^3) - a_1 A (A^2, a_1^2), & a_1 < 0, A > 0 \\ -\frac{a_2-a_1}{2} [L_2^2(A, a_1) - a_1 L(A, a_1)], & a_1 < 0, A < 0 \end{cases}, \\
 D_2(a_1, a_2) &= \begin{cases} \frac{(a_2-a_1)^3}{4} \left(\frac{3a_2+5a_1}{24}\right), & a_1 > 0, A > 0 \\ \frac{(a_2-a_1)^3}{4} \left(\frac{3a_2+5a_1}{24}\right) + \frac{a^4}{3}, & a_1 < 0, A > 0 \\ -\frac{(a_2-a_1)^3}{4} \left(\frac{3a_2+5a_1}{24}\right), & a_1 < 0, A < 0 \end{cases}, \\
 D_3(a_1, a_2) &= \begin{cases} \frac{(a_2-a_1)^2}{2} [L_2^2(A, a_1) - a_1 L(A, a_1)] - \frac{(a_2-a_1)^3(3a_2+5a_1)}{96}, & a_1 > 0, A > 0 \\ (a_2 - a_1) \left[\frac{2}{3} A (A^3, a_1^3) - a_1 A (A^2, a_1^2)\right] - \frac{(a_2-a_1)^3(3a_2+5a_1)}{96} - \frac{a^4}{3}, & a_1 < 0, A > 0 \\ -\frac{(a_2-a_1)^2}{2} [L_2^2(A, a_1) - a_1 L(A, a_1)] + \frac{(a_2-a_1)^3(3a_2+5a_1)}{96}, & a_1 < 0, A < 0. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.7. If the mapping η satisfies condition C then using the inequality (2.2) in the proof of Theorem 2.6, then the inequality (2.6) becomes the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_f(a, b, \eta)| &\leq 2^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \eta^{1-\frac{2}{q}}(b, a) D_{1,\eta}^{1-\frac{1}{q}}(a, b) \\
 &\quad \times [|f''(a + \eta(b, a))|^q D_{2,\eta}(a, b) + |f''(a)|^q D_{3,\eta}(a, b)]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{2.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

We note that by use of the preinvexity of $|f''|^q$ we get

$$|f''(a + \eta(b, a))|^q \leq |f''(b)|^q.$$

Therefore, the inequality (2.7) is better than the inequality (2.6).

Corollary 4. *If we take $q = 1$ in Theorem 2.6, then we have the following inequality:*

$$|I_f(a_1, a_2, \eta)| \leq \frac{4}{\eta(a_2, a_1)} [|f''(a_2)| D_{2,\eta}(a_1, a_2) + |f''(a_1)| D_{3,\eta}(a_1, a_2)]$$

Theorem 2.8. *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to mapping $\eta(\cdot, \cdot) : K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $a_1, a_2 \in K$ with $\eta(a_2, a_1) > 0$. Suppose that $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a twice differentiable function on K such that $f'' \in L[a_1, a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)]$. If $|f''|^q$ is prequasiinvex on K for $q > 1$, then the following inequality holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|I_f(a_1, a_2, \eta)| \\
 &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{q}} \eta^{1+\frac{1}{p}}(a_2, a_1) \left(\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} (\max \{ |f''(a_1)|^q, |f''(a_2)|^q \})^{\frac{1}{q}} C_{\eta}^{\frac{1}{q}}(q, a_1, a_2) \tag{2.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_{\eta}(q, a_1, a_2) := \begin{cases} \frac{\eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} L_q^q(\alpha, a_1), & a_1 > 0, \alpha > 0, \\ \frac{2}{q+1} A [\alpha^{q+1}, (-a_1)^{q+1}], & a_1 < 0, \alpha > 0, \\ \frac{\eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} L_q^q(-a_1, -\alpha), & a_1 < 0, \alpha < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $|f''|^q$ for $q > 1$ is prequasiinvex on $[a_1, a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)]$, using Lemma 2.1, the Hölder integral inequality and

$$|f''(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1))|^q \leq \max \{ |f''(a_1)|^q, |f''(a_2)|^q \}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_f(a_1, a_2, \eta)| &\leq \eta^2(a_2, a_1) \int_0^1 t |\alpha_t| |f''(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1))| dt \\ &\leq \eta^2(a_2, a_1) \left(\int_0^1 t^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^1 |\alpha_t|^q |f''(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1))|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \eta^2(a_2, a_1) \left(\frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^1 |\alpha_t|^q \max \{ |f''(a_1)|^q, |f''(a_2)|^q \} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= 2^{\frac{1}{q}} \eta^{1+\frac{1}{p}}(a_2, a_1) \left(\frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} (\max \{ |f''(a_1)|^q, |f''(a_2)|^q \})^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{a_2}^{\alpha} |x|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= 2^{\frac{1}{q}} \eta^{1+\frac{1}{p}}(a_2, a_1) \left(\frac{1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} (\max \{ |f''(a_1)|^q, |f''(a_2)|^q \})^{\frac{1}{q}} C_{\eta}^{\frac{1}{q}}(q, a_1, a_2) \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

Corollary 5. *Suppose that all the assumptions of Theorem 2.8 are satisfied. If we choose $\eta(b, a_1) = a_2 - a_1$ then when $|f''|^q$ is prequasiinvex on K for $q > 1$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} f'(a_2) - \frac{f(a_2)a_2 - f(a_1)a_1}{a_2 - a_1} - \frac{1}{a_2 - a_1} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} f(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{a_2 - a_1}{p+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} (\max \{ |f''(a_1)|^q, |f''(a_2)|^q \})^{\frac{1}{q}} C^{\frac{1}{q}}(q, a_1, a_2) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C(q, a_1, a_2) := \begin{cases} \frac{a_2 - a_1}{2} L_q^q(A, a_1), & a_1 > 0, A > 0, \\ \frac{2}{q+1} A [A^{q+1}, (-a_1)^{q+1}], & a_1 < 0, A > 0, \\ \frac{a_2 - a_1}{2} L_q^q(-a_1, -A), & a_1 < 0, A < 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.9. If the mapping η satisfies condition C then by use of the prequasiinvexity of $|f''|^q$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f''(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1))|^q &= |f''(a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1) + (1-t)\eta(a_1, a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)))|^q \\ &\leq \max \{ |f''(a_1)|^q, |f''(a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1))|^q \} \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

for every $t \in [0, 1]$.

If we use the inequality (2.9) in the proof of Theorem 2.8, then the inequality (2.8) becomes the following inequality:

$$|I_f(a_1, a_2, \eta)| \leq 2^{\frac{1}{q}} \eta^{1+\frac{1}{p}}(a_2, a_1) \left(\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} C_{\eta}^{\frac{1}{q}}(q, a_1, a_2) \times (\max\{|f''(a_1)|^q, |f''(a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1))|^q\})^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{2.10}$$

We note that by use of the prequasiinvexity of $|f''|^q$ we have

$$|f''(a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1))|^q \leq \max\{|f''(a_1)|^q, |f''(a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1))|^q\}.$$

Therefore, the inequality (2.10) is better than the inequality (2.8).

Theorem 2.10. *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open invex subset with respect to mapping $\eta(\cdot, \cdot) : K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $a_1, a_2 \in K$ with $\eta(a_2, a_1) > 0$. Suppose that $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a twice differentiable function on K such that $f'' \in L[a_1, a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1)]$. If $|f''|^q$ is prequasiinvex on K for $q \geq 1$, then the following inequality holds:*

$$|I_f(a_1, a_2, \eta)| \leq 4 (\max\{|f''(a_1)|^q, |f''(a_2)|^q\})^{\frac{1}{q}} D_{1,\eta}(a_1, a_2) \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$D_{1,\eta}(a_1, a_2) := \begin{cases} \frac{\eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} [L_2^2(\alpha, a_1) - a_1 L(\alpha, a_1)], & a_1 > 0, \alpha > 0 \\ \frac{2}{3} A(\alpha^3, a_1^3) - a A(\alpha^2, a_1^2), & a_1 < 0, \alpha > 0 \\ -\frac{\eta(a_2, a_1)}{2} [L_2^2(\alpha, a_1) - a L(\alpha, a_1)], & a_1 < 0, \alpha < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.1 and Power-mean integral inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_f(a_1, a_2, \eta)| &\leq \eta^2(a_2, a_1) \int_0^1 t |\alpha_t| |f''(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1))| dt \\ &\leq \eta^2(a_2, a_1) \left(\int_0^1 t |\alpha_t| dt\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_0^1 t |\alpha_t| |f''(a_1 + t\eta(a_2, a_1))|^q dt\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \eta^2(a_2, a_1) \left(\int_0^1 t |\alpha_t| dt\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_0^1 t |\alpha_t| \max\{|f''(a_1)|^q, |f''(a_2)|^q\} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \eta^2(a_2, a_1) (\max\{|f''(a)|^q, |f''(a_2)|^q\})^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_0^1 t |\alpha_t| dt \\ &= 4 (\max\{|f''(a_1)|^q, |f''(a_2)|^q\})^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_{a_1}^{a_1 + \frac{\eta(a_2, a_1)}{2}} (x - a_1) |x| dx \\ &= 4 (\max\{|f''(a_1)|^q, |f''(a_2)|^q\})^{\frac{1}{q}} D_{1,\eta}(a_1, a_2) \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

Corollary 6. *Suppose that all the assumptions of Theorem 2.10 are satisfied. If we choose $\eta(a_2, a_1) = a_2 - a_1$ then when $|f''|^q$ is prequasiinvex on K for $q \geq 1$ we*

have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} f'(a_2) - \frac{f(a_2)a_2 - f(a_1)a_1}{a_2 - a_1} - \frac{1}{a_2 - a_1} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} f(x) dx \right| \\ & \leq 4 \frac{D_1(a_1, a_2)}{a_2 - a_1} [\max \{|f''(a_1)|^q, |f''(a_2)|^q\}]^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_1(a_1, a_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{a_2 - a_1}{2} [L_2^2(A, a_1) - a_1 L(A, a_1)], & a_1 > 0, A > 0 \\ \frac{2}{3} A (A^3, a_1^3) - a_1 A (A^2, a_1^2), & a_1 < 0, A > 0 \\ -\frac{a_2 - a_1}{2} [L_2^2(A, a_1) - a_1 L(A, a_1)], & a_1 < 0, A < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Remark 2.11. If we use the inequality (2.9) in the proof of Theorem 2.10, then the inequality (2.11) becomes the following inequality:

$$|I_f(a_1, a_2, \eta)| \leq 4 (\max \{|f''(a_1)|^q, |f''(a_1 + \eta(a_2, a_1))|^q\})^{\frac{1}{q}} D_{1,\eta}(a_1, a_2)$$

This inequality is better than the inequality (2.11).

Corollary 7. *If we take $q = 1$ in Theorem 7, then we have the following inequality:*

$$|I_f(a, b, \eta)| \leq 4 \max \{|f''(a)|, |f''(b)|\} D_{1,\eta}(a, b)$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Antczak, T., Mean value in invexity analysis, *Nonl. Anal.*, 60, (2005), 1473-1484.
- [2] Barani, A, Ghazanfari, A.G., Dragomir, S.S., Hermite-Hadamard inequality through prequasi-*s*invex functions, *RGMA Research Report Collection* 14, Article 48, (2011), 7 pp.
- [3] Barani, A., Ghazanfari A.G., Dragomir, S.S., Hermite-Hadamard inequality for functions whose derivatives absolute values are preinvex, *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2012), 247.
- [4] Dragomir, S.S. and Pearce, C.E.M., Selected Topics on Hermite-Hadamard Inequalities and Applications, *RGMA Monographs*, Victoria University, 2000.
- [5] Hadamard, J., Étude sur les propriétés des fonctions entières et en particulier d'une fonction considérée par Riemann, *J. Math Pures Appl.* 58, (1893), 171-215.
- [6] Ion, D.A., Some estimates on the Hermite-Hadamard inequality through quasi-convex functions, *Annals of University of Craiova, Math. Comp. Sci. Ser*, Volume 34, (2007), 82-87.
- [7] Israel, A.B., and Mond, B., What is invexity? *J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. B* 28(1), (1986), 1-9.
- [8] İşcan, İ., Set, E. and Özdemir, M.E., On new general integral inequalities for *s*-convex functions, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 246, (2014), 306-315.
- [9] İşcan, İ., Ostrowski type inequalities for functions whose derivatives are preinvex, *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society* 40 (2), (2014), 373-386.
- [10] İşcan İ., Kadakal, H. and Kadakal, M., Some New Integral Inequalities for *n*- Times Differentiable Quasi-Convex Functions, *Sigma Journal of Engineering and Natural Sciences*, 35 (3), (2017) 363-368.
- [11] Latif, M.A. and Dragomir, S.S., Some Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for functions whose partial derivatives in absolute value are preinvex on the co-ordinates, *Facta Universitatis (NIS) Ser. Math. Inform.*, Vol. 28, No 3, (2013), 257-270.
- [12] Maden, S., Kadakal, H., Kadakal, M. and İşcan İ., Some new integral inequalities for *n*-times differentiable convex functions, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 10 (12), (2017), 6141-6148.
- [13] Matloka, M., On some new inequalities for differentiable $(h_1; h_2)$ -preinvex functions on the co-ordinates, *Mathematics and Statistics*, 2(1), (2014), 6-14.

- [14] Mohan, S.R., Neogy, S.K., On invex sets and preinvex functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 189, (1995), 901-908.
- [15] Noor, M.A., Hermite-Hadamard integral inequalities for log-preinvex functions, *J. Math. Anal. Approx. Theory*, 2, (2007), 126-131.
- [16] Noor, M.A., Invex equilibrium problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 302, (2005), 463-475.
- [17] Noor, M.A., Variational-like inequalities. *Optimization*, 30, (1994), 323-330.
- [18] Pečarić, J.E., Porschan, F. and Tong, Y.L., Convex Functions, Partial Orderings, and Statistical Applications, Academic Press Inc., 1992.
- [19] Pini, R., Invexity and generalized convexity. *Optimization*, 22, (1991), 513-525.
- [20] Weir, T., and Mond, B., Preinvex functions in multiple objective optimization, *J Math Anal Appl.*, 136, (1998), 29-38.
- [21] Yang, X.M., Yang X.Q., Teo, K.L., Generalized invexity and generalized invariant monotonicity, *J. Optim. Theory. Appl.*, 117, (2003), 607-625.
- [22] Yang, X.M., and Li, D., On properties of preinvex functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 256, (2001), 229-241.

Current address: İMDAT İŞCAN: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Giresun University-Giresun-TÜRKİYE.

E-mail address: imdat.iscan@giresun.edu.tr

ORCID Address: <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6749-0591>

Current address: MAHİR KADAKAL: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Giresun University-Giresun-TÜRKİYE.

E-mail address: mahirkadakal@gmail.com

ORCID Address: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0240-918X>

Current address: HURİYE KADAKAL: Ministry of Education, Bulancak Bahçelievler Anatolian High School, Giresun-TÜRKİYE

E-mail address: huriyekadakal@hotmail.com

ORCID Address: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0304-7192>