



Comparison of some set open and uniform topologies and some properties of the restriction maps

Lamia Harkat¹, Abderrahmane Bouchair*², Smail Kelaiaia¹

¹*LMA Laboratory, University of Badji Mokhtar Annaba, 23000 Annaba, Algeria*

²*LMAM Laboratory, University of Mohamed Seddik Ben Yahia-Jijel, 18000 Jijel, Algeria*

Abstract

Let X be a Tychonoff space, Y an equiconnected space and $C(X, Y)$ be the set of all continuous functions from X to Y . In this paper, we provide a criterion for the coincidence of set open and uniform topologies on $C(X, Y)$ when these topologies are defined by a family α consisting of Y -compact subsets of X . For a subspace Z of a topological space X , we also study the continuity and the openness of the restriction map $\pi_Z : C(X, Y) \rightarrow C(Z, Y)$ when both $C(X, Y)$ and $C(Z, Y)$ are endowed with the set open topology.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 54C35

Keywords. function space, set open topology, topology of uniform convergence on a family of sets, restriction map, Y -compact

1. Introduction

Let X, Y be topological spaces and $C(X, Y)$ be the set of all continuous functions from X to Y . The set $C(X, Y)$ has a number of classical topologies; among them the topology of uniform convergence and the set open topology. Since their introduction by Arens and Dugundji [1], set open topologies have been studied and the comparison between them and the topology of uniform convergence have been considered by many authors (see, for example, [4, 7, 9, 10]).

In [4], Bouchair and Kelaiaia have established a criterion for the coincidence of the set open topology and the topology of uniform convergence on $C(X, Y)$ defined on a family α of compact subsets of X . They also have studied the comparison between some set open topologies on $C(X, Y)$ for various families α . In this paper we continue the study of the comparison between these topologies in the case when α is a family consisting of Y -compact sets and give a criterion for their coincidence.

One of the most useful tools normally used for studying function spaces is the concept of restriction map. If Z is a subspace of a topological space X , then the restriction map $\pi_Z : C(X, Y) \rightarrow C(Z, Y)$ is defined by $\pi_Z(f) = f|_Z$ for any $f \in C(X, Y)$. The properties of the restriction map $\pi_Z : C(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(Z, \mathbb{R})$, when both $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $C(Z, \mathbb{R})$ are endowed with the topology of the pointwise convergence, have been studied by Arhangel'skii in

*Corresponding Author.

Email addresses: harkat-lamia@yahoo.fr (L. Harkat), abdebouchair@yahoo.com (A. Bouchair), kelaiaiasmail@yahoo.fr (S. Kelaiaia)

Received: 27.09.2016; Accepted: 31.07.2017

[2, 3]. In the present paper, we give a criteria for the continuity and for the openness of the restriction map in the case when Y is an equiconnected topological space and $C(X, Y)$ and $C(Z, Y)$ are equipped with the set open topology.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 3, we prove that the set open and uniform topologies on $C(X, Y)$ coincide if and only if α is a functional refinement family. Section 4 is devoted to compare the spaces $C_\alpha(X, Y)$ and $C_\beta(X, Y)$ for two given families α and β of Y -compact subsets of X . In Section 5, we consider, for a subspace Z of a topological space X , the restriction map $\pi_Z : C_\alpha(X, Y) \rightarrow C_\beta(Z, Y)$ and we give necessary and sufficient condition for the continuity and for the openness of the restriction map in the framework of set open topology. We prove that, if α is a functional refinement family consisting of closed Y -compact subsets of X and β is a family of closed Y -compact subsets of Z , then π_Z is continuous if and only if the quadruplet (β, α, X, Y) satisfies the property (P) . We also show that, if α and β are two admissible families of compact subsets of X and Z respectively, then $\pi_Z : C_\alpha(X, Y) \rightarrow C_\beta(Z, Y)$ is open onto its image if and only if β approximates $\alpha|_Z$.

2. Definitions and preliminaries

Throughout this paper, X is a Tychonoff space, Y is an equiconnected topological space, $C(X, Y)$ is the set of all continuous functions from X to Y , and α is always a nonempty family of subsets of X . The set open topology on $C(X, Y)$ has a subbase consisting of all sets of the form $[A, V] = \{f \in C(X, Y) : f(A) \subseteq V\}$, where $A \in \alpha$ and V is an open subset of Y , and the function space $C(X, Y)$ endowed with this topology is denoted by $C_\alpha(X, Y)$. If V is not arbitrary but is restricted to some collection \mathcal{B} of open subsets of Y , then we denote by $C_\alpha^{\mathcal{B}}(X, Y)$ the corresponding function space.

For a metric space (Y, ρ) , the topology of uniform convergence on members of α has as base at each point $f \in C(X, Y)$ the family of all sets of the form

$$\langle f, A, \epsilon \rangle = \{g \in C(X, Y) : \sup_{x \in A} \rho(f(x), g(x)) < \epsilon\},$$

where $A \in \alpha$ and $\epsilon > 0$. The space $C(X, Y)$ having the topology of uniform convergence on α is denoted by $C_{\alpha, u}(X, Y)$.

The symbols \emptyset and \mathbb{N} will stand for the empty set and the positive integers, respectively. We denote by \mathbb{R} the real numbers with the usual topology. The complement and the closure of a subset A in X is denoted by A^c and \bar{A} , respectively. If $A \subseteq X$, the restriction of a function $f \in C(X, Y)$ to the set A is denoted by $f|_A$. Let Z be a subspace of X , then $\alpha|_Z$ denotes the family $\{A \cap Z : A \in \alpha\}$.

Let β be a nonempty family of subsets of X . We say that α refines β if every member of α is contained in some member of β . We say that β approximates α provided that for every $A \in \alpha$ and every open neighborhood U of A in X , there exist $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \beta$ such that $A \subseteq B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_n \subseteq U$. A family α is said to be admissible if for every $A \in \alpha$ and every finite sequence U_1, \dots, U_n of open subsets of X such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$, there exists a finite sequence A_1, \dots, A_m of members of α which refines U_1, \dots, U_n and whose union contains A . For example, the family of all compact sets as well as the family of all finite sets in a topological space is an admissible family.

A topological space Y is said to be equiconnected [6] if there exists a continuous map $\Psi : Y \times Y \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ such that $\Psi(x, y, 0) = x$, $\Psi(x, y, 1) = y$, and $\Psi(x, x, t) = x$ for all $x, y \in Y$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. The map Ψ is called an equiconnecting function. A subset V of an equiconnected space Y is called a Ψ -convex subset of Y if $\Psi(V, V, [0, 1]) \subseteq V$. It is a known fact that any topological vector space or any convex subset of any topological vector space is an equiconnected space, and any equiconnected space is a pathwise connected space.

For topological space X and Y , we write $X = Y$ ($X \leq Y$) to mean that X and Y have the same underlying set and the topology on Y is the same to (finer than or equal to) the topology on X .

Definition 2.1. ([10]). Let $A \subseteq X$ and let Y be an arbitrary topological space. For a fixed natural number n , we will say that A is Y^n -compact if, for any continuous function $f \in C(X, Y^n)$, the set $f(A)$ is compact in Y^n .

We would like to mention that there are Y -compact sets which are not closed. Indeed, it is proved in [10, Example 1] that if X is the set of all ordinals that are less than or equal to ω_1 and $Y = \mathbb{R}$, then the subset of all countable ordinals from X is \mathbb{R} -compact but it is not closed in X . It was proved also that there are closed sets that are not Y -compact, see [10, Example 4]. So in our comparison of topologies on $C(X, Y)$ we consider the family α in the class of closed and Y -compact sets. Notice that, in the case when $A = X$ and $Y = \mathbb{R}$, the \mathbb{R} -compactness of the set A coincides with the pseudocompactness of the space X .

Definition 2.2. ([11]). A space Y is called *cub*-space (or *quadra*-space) if for any $x \in Y \times Y$ there are a continuous map f from $Y \times Y$ to Y and a point $y \in Y$ such that $f^{-1}(y) = x$.

For example, any Tychonoff space with G_δ -diagonal containing a nontrivial path or a zero-dimensional space with G_δ -diagonal containing a nontrivial convergent sequence is a cub-space. Also a pathwise connected metric space is a *cub*-space.

Proposition 2.3. Let X be a topological space, and (Y, ρ) be a pathwise connected metric space. If A is an Y -compact subset of X and n is a natural number, then A is also an Y^n -compact subset of X .

Proof. For the proof see Proposition 2.2 in [11]. □

The following lemma will be useful in the sequel which is a particular case of the Proposition 2.4 in [11].

Lemma 2.4. Let X be a topological space and (Y, ρ) be a pathwise connected metric space. Then the intersection of an Y -compact subset of X and the inverse image, by any continuous function from X to Y , of any closed subset of Y is Y -compact.

Proof. Let $A \subseteq X$ be an Y -compact set, F a closed subset of Y , and $g \in C(X, Y)$. We will show that $A \cap g^{-1}(F)$ is Y -compact. Take an arbitrary element f of $C(X, Y)$ and prove that $f(A \cap g^{-1}(F))$ is compact in Y^2 . Define the function $h : X \rightarrow Y^2$ by $h(x) = (f(x), g(x))$, for every $x \in X$. It is clear that the function h is continuous. By Proposition 2.3, the set $h(A)$ is compact in Y^2 . Consider the set $T = Y \times F$. We claim that $h(A \cap g^{-1}(F)) = h(A) \cap T$. Indeed, if $z \in h(A \cap g^{-1}(F))$, then $z \in h(A)$. On the other hand, there exists a point $x \in A \cap g^{-1}(F)$ such that $z = h(x) = (f(x), g(x))$. Therefore $z \in T$. Conversely, let $y \in h(A) \cap T$. Then, $y = h(x) = (f(x), g(x))$ for some $x \in A$. Since $y \in T$, we have $x \in g^{-1}(F)$. Therefore, $x \in A \cap g^{-1}(F)$ and $y \in h(A \cap g^{-1}(F))$. Thus, $h(A \cap g^{-1}(F)) = h(A) \cap T$ which is compact as the intersection of the compact set $h(A)$ with the closed set T . To finish the proof of the lemma it suffices to see that $f(A \cap g^{-1}(F))$ is the projection of the set $h(A \cap g^{-1}(F))$ on T . □

We give the following definition.

Definition 2.5. A family α of subsets of X is called a functional refinement if for every $A \in \alpha$, every finite sequence U_1, \dots, U_n of open subsets of Y , and every $f \in C(X, Y)$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(U_i)$, there exists a finite sequence A_1, \dots, A_m of members of α which refines $f^{-1}(U_1), \dots, f^{-1}(U_n)$ and whose union contains A .

It is clear that every admissible family is a functional refinement family.

Proposition 2.6. *Let X be a topological space, and (Y, ρ) be a metric space. Then, the family of all Y -compact subsets of X is a functional refinement family.*

Proof. Let $A \subseteq X$ be an Y -compact set, $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ a finite sequence of open subsets of Y , and $f \in C(X, Y)$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(U_i)$. For every $y \in f(A)$, there exist $i_y \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\varepsilon_y > 0$ such that $\overline{B(y, \varepsilon_y)} \subseteq U_{i_y}$, where $B(y, \varepsilon_y)$ is the open ball with center y and radius ε_y . Then the family $\{B(y, \varepsilon_y) : y \in f(A)\}$ is an open covering of $f(A)$. From this covering, let us choose a finite subcover $\{B(y_j, \varepsilon_{y_j})\}_{j=1}^m$ and for each $j = 1, \dots, m$, let us choose some i_{y_j} such that $\overline{B(y_j, \varepsilon_{y_j})} \subseteq U_{i_{y_j}}$. By Lemma 2.4, the set $A_j = f^{-1}(\overline{B(y_j, \varepsilon_{y_j})}) \cap A$ is Y -compact, for each j . Therefore, the family A_1, \dots, A_m of Y -compact subsets of X covers A and refines $f^{-1}(U_1), \dots, f^{-1}(U_n)$. Hence, the family of all Y -compact subsets of X is a functional refinement. \square

3. Coincidence of set open and uniform topologies

In this section, we study necessary and sufficient condition for the coincidence of the set open topology and the uniform topology on $C(X, Y)$ in the case when the family α consists of closed Y -compact sets. We first give subbase for the space $C_\alpha(X, Y)$ that help us to study the comparison of these topologies.

Theorem 3.1. *Let α be a functional refinement family consisting of Y -compact subsets of X and \mathcal{B} be an arbitrary base for Y . Then, the family*

$$\{[A, V] : A \in \alpha, V \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

is a subbase for the space $C_\alpha(X, Y)$.

Proof. Let $f \in C(X, Y)$ and take a subbasic open neighborhood $[K, U]$ of f in $C_\alpha(X, Y)$, where $K \in \alpha$ and U open in Y . The open set U will be written as the union of some subfamily $\{V_i : i \in I\}$ of \mathcal{B} which covers $f(K)$. Since $f(K)$ is compact, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $f(K) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$. Since α is a functional refinement, there exists a sequence K_1, \dots, K_m of members of α which refines $\{f^{-1}(V_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ and whose union contains K . For each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, let us choose $i_j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $K_j \subseteq f^{-1}(V_{i_j})$. It is easy to see that $f \in \bigcap_{j=1}^m [K_j, V_{i_j}] \subseteq [K, U]$. \square

The following result was obtained in [11, Theorem 3.3].

Theorem 3.2. *For every Hausdorff space X and any uniform cub-space (Y, \mathcal{U}) the topology on $C(X, Y)$ induced by the uniformity $\hat{\mathcal{U}}|_\alpha$ of uniform convergence on the saturation family α coincides with the set open topology on $C(X, Y)$, where Y has the topology induced by \mathcal{U} .*

Because every equiconnected metric space is a cub-space, the following result follows immediately from the above theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a topological space, and (Y, ρ) be an equiconnected metric space. If α is a functional refinement family consisting of Y -compact subsets of X , then $C_\alpha(X, Y) = C_{\alpha, u}(X, Y)$.*

Corollary 3.4. *Let X be a topological space, and (Y, ρ) be an equiconnected metric space. If α is a family consisting of Y -compact subsets of X which contains all Y -compact subsets of its elements, then $C_\alpha(X, Y) = C_{\alpha, u}(X, Y)$.*

We will now give a necessary and sufficient condition for which $C_\alpha^B(X, Y) = C_{\alpha, u}(X, Y)$. To this end, we will introduce, for a family α of subsets of X , the following family

$$\alpha_1 = \{A'/A' \text{ is } Y\text{-compact subset of } X \text{ and } \exists A \in \alpha : A' \subseteq A\}.$$

Proposition 2.6 leads us to the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. *If α is a family of Y -compact subsets of X , then the family α_1 is always a functional refinement family.*

We give a definition.

Definition 3.6. Let X and Y be two topological spaces. Let α and β be two families of subsets of X . We will say that the quadruplet (α, β, X, Y) satisfies the property (P) provided that for every $A \in \alpha$, every open subset U in Y , and every $f \in C(X, Y)$ such that $A \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$, there exist $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \beta$ with $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$.

From the above definition, we observe that if a family β approximates α then (α, β, X, Y) satisfies the property (P) .

Lemma 3.7. *Let α be a family of Y -compact subsets of X . Then α is a functional refinement if and only if the quadruplet (α_1, α, X, Y) satisfies the property (P) .*

Proof. Suppose that α is a functional refinement family. We will show that (α_1, α, X, Y) satisfies the property (P) . Let $A' \in \alpha_1$, U be an open subset of Y and $f \in C(X, Y)$ such that $A' \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$. Let $A \in \alpha$, with $A' \subseteq A$. If $A \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$ the proof is finished. If $A \not\subseteq f^{-1}(U)$; then the family $\{f^{-1}(f(A')^c), f^{-1}(U)\}$ is an open cover of A . Since α is a functional refinement family, there exists a finite sequence A_1, \dots, A_n of elements of α which refines $\{f^{-1}(f(A')^c), f^{-1}(U)\}$ and whose union contains A . Put $J = \{i : A_i \subseteq f^{-1}(U)\}$. It is clear that $A' \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in J} A_i \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$.

Conversely, suppose that (α_1, α, X, Y) satisfies the property (P) . Let $A \in \alpha$, $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ a finite family of open subsets of Y and let $f \in C(X, Y)$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(U_i)$. From Proposition 2.6, there exists a finite sequence A_1, \dots, A_m of Y -compact subsets of X which refines $\{f^{-1}(U_1), \dots, f^{-1}(U_n)\}$ and whose union contains A . We set $A'_j = A_j \cap A$ for each $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then the subfamily $\{A'_1, \dots, A'_m\}$ of α_1 covers A and refines $f^{-1}(U_1), \dots, f^{-1}(U_n)$. For each $j = 1, \dots, m$, let us choose some i_j such that $A'_j \subseteq f^{-1}(U_{i_j})$. By our hypothesis there is, for every $j = 1, \dots, m$, a finite family $\mathcal{A}_j = \{A_1^j, \dots, A_{m_j}^j\}$ of members of α , such that $A'_j \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{m_j} A_k^j \subseteq f^{-1}(U_{i_j})$. Put $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathcal{A}_i$. This is a finite family of elements of α which covers A and refines $f^{-1}(U_1), \dots, f^{-1}(U_n)$. Therefore α is a functional refinement family. \square

Corollary 3.8. *For any family α of Y -compact subsets of X , we have $C_\alpha(X, Y) \leq C_{\alpha_1}(X, Y) = C_{\alpha_1, u}(X, Y) = C_{\alpha, u}(X, Y)$.*

Let $\bar{\alpha} = \{\bar{A} : A \in \alpha\}$. We have the following result.

Proposition 3.9. *Let X be a topological space, and (Y, ρ) be a metric space. For any family α of Y -compact subsets of X , we have $C_{\alpha, u}(X, Y) = C_{\bar{\alpha}, u}(X, Y)$.*

Proof. Let us prove that $C_{\bar{\alpha}, u}(X, Y) = C_{\alpha, u}(X, Y)$. Let $A \in \alpha$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f \in C(X, Y)$. As $A \subseteq \bar{A}$, we have $\langle f, \bar{A}, \varepsilon \rangle \subseteq \langle f, A, \varepsilon \rangle$, then $C_{\alpha, u}(X, Y) \leq C_{\bar{\alpha}, u}(X, Y)$. Let $\langle f, \bar{A}, \varepsilon \rangle$ be an open subbasic set of $C_{\bar{\alpha}, u}(X, Y)$. Let us show that $\langle f, A, \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \rangle \subseteq \langle f, \bar{A}, \varepsilon \rangle$. Let $g \in \langle f, A, \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \rangle$ and x be an arbitrary point of the set \bar{A} . Since g and f are continuous, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a point $y_\varepsilon \in A$ such that $\rho(f(x), f(y_\varepsilon)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ and $\delta(g(x), g(y_\varepsilon)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$

(this is possible, since $f^{-1}(B(f(x), \frac{\varepsilon}{3})) \cap g^{-1}(B(g(x), \frac{\varepsilon}{3}))$ is a neighborhood of the point x and $x \in \bar{A}$). Hence $\rho(f(x), g(x)) \leq \rho(f(x), f(y)) + \rho(f(y), g(y)) + \rho(g(x), g(y)) < \varepsilon$; therefore $C_{\bar{\alpha}, u}(X, Y) \leq C_{\alpha, u}(X, Y)$. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let X be a topological space, and (Y, ρ) be an equiconnected metric space with a bounded metric ρ and having a base \mathcal{B} consisting of Ψ -convex sets. Let α be a family of closed Y -compact subsets of X . Then $C_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{B}}(X, Y) = C_{\alpha, u}(X, Y)$ if and only if α is a functional refinement family.*

Proof. If α is a functional refinement family, then by Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 we have $C_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{B}}(X, Y) = C_{\alpha}(X, Y) = C_{\alpha, u}(X, Y)$. Conversely, suppose that $C_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{B}}(X, Y) = C_{\alpha, u}(X, Y)$ and let us show that α is a functional refinement family. From Lemma 3.7 it suffices to prove that (α_1, α, X, Y) satisfies the property (P). Let $A' \in \alpha_1$, $f \in C(X, Y)$ and let U be an open subset in Y such that $A' \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$. Since $f(A')$ is compact, there exists a continuous function $g : Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $g(f(A')) = \{1\}$ and $g(U^c) = \{0\}$. Take a nontrivial path p in Y with $p(0) \neq p(1)$, and put $h = p \circ g \circ f$. Since the topologies of the spaces $C_{\alpha_1, u}(X, Y)$ and $C_{\alpha, u}(X, Y)$ coincide, the set $\langle h, A', \epsilon \rangle$, where ϵ is strictly inferior to the distance between $p(0)$ and $p(1)$ in Y , is an open neighborhood of h in $C_{\alpha, u}(X, Y)$. Moreover, since $C_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{B}}(X, Y) = C_{\alpha, u}(X, Y)$ there exist $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \alpha$ and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$h \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n [A_i, V_i] \subseteq \langle h, A', \epsilon \rangle.$$

Equiconnectedness of Y leads us, by [12, Corollary 1], to the fact that $A' \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$. We set $\mathcal{J} = \{i : A_i \subseteq f^{-1}(U)\}$. By the same argument as in [4, Theorem 3], it follows that $A' \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{J}} A_i$. This means that (α_1, α, X, Y) satisfies the property (P), and so the family α is a functional refinement. \square

Corollary 3.11. *Let X be a topological space, and (Y, ρ) be an equiconnected metric space with a bounded metric ρ and having a base \mathcal{B} consisting of Ψ -convex sets. Let α be a family of closed Y -compact subsets of X . Then $C_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{B}}(X, Y) = C_{\alpha_1}(X, Y)$ if and only if α is a functional refinement family.*

4. Comparison of $C_{\alpha}(X, Y)$ and $C_{\beta}(X, Y)$

In this section, we are going to compare the topologies of $C_{\alpha}(X, Y)$ and $C_{\beta}(X, Y)$ when α and β are two families of Y -compact subsets of X .

Theorem 4.1. *Let α and β be two families of subsets of X . If (α, β, X, Y) satisfies the property (P), then $C_{\alpha}(X, Y) \leq C_{\beta}(X, Y)$.*

Proof. The proof is the same of [4, Theorem 5]. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let α and β be two families of closed Y -compact subsets of X , and Y be an equiconnected topological space having a base \mathcal{B} consisting of Ψ -convex sets. If $C_{\alpha}(X, Y) \leq C_{\beta}^{\mathcal{B}}(X, Y)$, then the quadruplet (α, β, X, Y) satisfies the property (P).*

Proof. Let $A \in \alpha$, V an open subset in Y and $f \in C(X, Y)$ such that $A \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$. Since $f(A)$ is compact in Y , there exists a continuous function $g : Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $g(f(A)) = \{0\}$ and $g(V^c) = \{1\}$; Let $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ be a path in Y with $p(0) \neq p(1)$, and let $h = p \circ g \circ f$. Let $W \in \mathcal{B}$ which contains the point $p(0)$ and does not contain $p(1)$. Then $[A, W]$ is an open neighborhood of h in $C_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{B}}(X, Y)$. Since the topology of $C_{\beta}^{\mathcal{B}}(X, Y)$ is finer than the topology of $C_{\alpha}(X, Y)$, there exist $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \beta$ and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$h \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n [B_i, V_i] \subseteq [A, W].$$

We have then $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i$. Put $I = \{i : B_i \subseteq f^{-1}(V)\}$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.10, we obtain that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i$ and hence (α, β, X, Y) satisfies the property (P). \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let α and β be two families consisting of closed Y -compact subsets of X , and Y be an equiconnected topological space having a base \mathcal{B} consisting of Ψ -convex sets. If β is a functional refinement family, then $C_\alpha(X, Y) \leq C_\beta(X, Y)$ if and only if (α, β, X, Y) satisfies the property (P).*

5. Restriction map

In this section, we use the results obtained above to study and generalize some results due to Arhangel'skii about the properties of the so-called restriction map on function spaces. Let Z be a subspace of a topological space X . The restriction map $\pi_Z : C(X, Y) \rightarrow C(Z, Y)$ is defined by $\pi_Z(f) = f|_Z$ for any $f \in C(X, Y)$. We begin by examining the continuity of π_Z . The following result is stated in [3].

Proposition 5.1. [3, Proposition 1] *Let X, Y be topological spaces, and Z be a subspace of X . Let α be a network in X and β be a network in Z . If $\beta \subset \alpha$, then the restriction map $\pi_Z : C_\alpha(X, Y) \rightarrow C_\beta(Z, Y)$ is continuous.*

Proposition 5.1 can be strengthened as follows.

Proposition 5.2. *Let X, Y be topological spaces, and Z be a subspace of X . Let α be a family of subsets of X and β be a family of subsets of Z . If (β, α, X, Y) satisfies the property (P), then $\pi_Z : C_\alpha(X, Y) \rightarrow C_\beta(Z, Y)$ is continuous.*

Proof. Let $f \in C(X, Y)$ and $[B, V]$ be an open neighborhood of $f|_Z$ in $C_\beta(Z, Y)$, where $B \in \beta$ and V open in Y . Then $B \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$. Since (β, α, X, Y) satisfies the property (P), there exist $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \alpha$ such that $B \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$. Thus $\bigcap_{i=1}^n [A_i, V]$ is an open neighborhood of f in $C_\alpha(X, Y)$. It is easy to see that $\pi_Z(\bigcap_{i=1}^n [A_i, V]) \subseteq [B, V]$. Therefore π_Z is continuous. \square

Proposition 5.3. *Let X, Y be topological spaces, and Z be a subspace of X . Let α be a family of subsets of X and β be a family of subsets of Z . If Z is dense in X and (β, α, X, Y) satisfies the property (P), then $\pi_Z : C_\alpha(X, Y) \rightarrow \pi_Z(C_\alpha(X, Y))$ is a bijective continuous map, i.e. a condensation.*

Proof. Let f and g be distinct elements in $C(X, Y)$. The continuity of the functions f and g and the fact that $\bar{Z} = X$ imply that $f|_Z \neq g|_Z$. Hence $\pi_Z(f) \neq \pi_Z(g)$. This means that π_Z is one-to-one. By Proposition 5.2, π_Z is continuous. \square

Corollary 5.4. *Let X, Y be topological spaces, and Z be a subspace of X . Let α be a family of subsets of X and β be a family of subsets of Z . If α approximates β , then $\pi_Z : C_\alpha(X, Y) \rightarrow C_\beta(Z, Y)$ is continuous.*

Let $B \subseteq Z \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq Y$. Recall that $[B, V] = \{f \in C(X, Y) : f(B) \subseteq V\}$; let us denote by $[B, V]_Z = \{f \in C(Z, Y) : f(B) \subseteq V\}$. For the converse of Theorem 5.2, we have the following.

Proposition 5.5. *Let X be topological space, Y an equiconnected topological space having a base \mathcal{B} consisting of Ψ -convex sets, and Z be a subspace of X . Let α be a functional refinement family consisting of closed Y -compact subsets of X and β be a family of closed Y -compact subsets of Z . If $\pi_Z : C_\alpha(X, Y) \rightarrow C_\beta(Z, Y)$ is continuous, then (β, α, X, Y) satisfies the property (P).*

Proof. By Corollary 4.3, it suffices to show that $C_\beta(X, Y) \leq C_\alpha(X, Y)$. Let $f \in C_\beta(X, Y)$ and $[B, V]$ be an open neighborhood of it in $C_\beta(X, Y)$, where $B \in \beta$ and V open in Y . Then $\pi_Z(f) = f|_Z \in [B, V]_Z$. The continuity of π_Z leads to the existence of $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \alpha$ and open subsets V_1, \dots, V_n of Y such that

$$f \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n [A_i, V_i] \subseteq [B, V].$$

Hence $C_\beta(X, Y) \leq C_\alpha(X, Y)$, and so (β, α, X, Y) satisfies the property (P). \square

Now, to find out when π_Z is open we first recall the following result obtained by Arhangel'skii [2, Proposition 3] for the topology of poinwise convergence in the case $Y = \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 5.6. *If Z is a closed subset of X , then π_Z maps the space $C_p(X)$ openly onto the subspace $\pi_Z(C_p(X))$ of $C_p(Z)$.*

In order to study the openness of the restriction map when $C(X, Y)$ and $C(Z, Y)$ are equipped with set open topologies, we will need the following lemmas.

Lemma 5.7. *Let X be a topological space, and Y be an equiconnected space. Let K be compact subset of X , F be closed subset of X , and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous function such that $f(K \cap F) \subseteq V$, where V is an open Ψ -convex subset of Y . Then there exists a continuous function $f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f_1(K) \subseteq V$ and $f_1|_F = f|_F$.*

Proof. We observe that V is pathwise connected. Let $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow V$ be a path in V . Put $K_1 = K \cap f^{-1}(V^c)$ which is compact. Let $g : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a continuous function such that $g(F) = \{1\}$ and $g(K_1) = \{0\}$. Define the function $f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$ by $f_1(z) = \Psi(p \circ g(z), f(z), g(z))$ for each $z \in X$. It is clear that f_1 is continuous, and one can easily verify that $f_1|_F = f|_F$ and $f_1(K) \subseteq V$. \square

Lemma 5.8. *Let X be a topological space, Y an equiconnected space with equiconnecting function Ψ , Z a subspace of X , α a family of compact subsets of X with $A \cap Z = A \cap \bar{Z}$ for each $A \in \alpha$ and let $g \in C(Z, Y)$ be a function continuously extendable over X . Let $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \alpha$, and V_1, \dots, V_n are Ψ -convex open subsets of Y such that $g(A_i \cap \bar{Z}) \subseteq V_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then there exists a continuous extension $g' : X \rightarrow Y$ of g such that $g'(A_i) \subseteq V_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof. We proceed by recurrence. Let $g \in C(Z, Y)$, $A \in \alpha$ and V be an open Ψ -convex subset of Y with $g(A \cap \bar{Z}) \subseteq V$. By applying Lemma 5.7 with $F = \bar{Z}$ and $K = A$, we obtain a continuous extension g' of g over X with $g'(A) \subseteq V$.

Suppose that the property is true up to n . We show that it remains true for $n + 1$; let $A_1, \dots, A_{n+1} \in \alpha$, and V_1, \dots, V_{n+1} are Ψ -convex open subsets of Y such that $g(A_i \cap \bar{Z}) \subseteq V_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n+1$, and let us show the existence of a continuous extension $g' \in C(X, Y)$ of g such that $g'(A_i) \subseteq V_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. By our assumption, we have $g((A_i \cap A_{n+1}) \cap \bar{Z}) \subseteq V_i \cap V_{n+1}$, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then the family $\{A_1 \cap A_{n+1}, \dots, A_n \cap A_{n+1}\}$ verifies $(A_i \cap A_{n+1}) \cap Z = (A_i \cap A_{n+1}) \cap \bar{Z}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore, by the recurrence hypothesis, we find a function $g'_1 \in C(X, Y)$ extending g and such that $g'_1(A_i \cap A_{n+1}) \subseteq V_i \cap V_{n+1}$, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. We set $X_1 = X \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^n (A_i \cap A_{n+1}))$. Clearly we have $A_i \cap X_1 = A_i \cap \bar{X}_1$ and $g'_1(A_i \cap \bar{X}_1) \subseteq V_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Applying Lemma 5.7 once again for $F = \bar{X}_1$ and $K = A_{n+1}$, we get an extension $g'_2 \in C(X, Y)$ of $g'_1|_{\bar{X}_1}$ such that $g'_2(A_{n+1}) \subseteq V_{n+1}$. We observe that $g'_2(A_i \cap A_{n+1}) \subseteq V_i$, for each i . Again we put $X_2 = X_1 \cup A_{n+1}$. Then, we have, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $A_i \cap X_2 = A_i \cap \bar{X}_2$ and $g'_2(A_i \cap \bar{X}_2) \subseteq V_i$. By the recurrence hypothesis, there exists $g'_3 \in C(X, Y)$ which extending $g'_2|_{\bar{X}_2}$ such that $g'_3(A_i) \subseteq V_i$, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, and we have $g'_3(A_{n+1}) = g'_2(A_{n+1}) \subseteq V_{n+1}$. Whence $g' = g'_3$ is our required function. \square

Lemma 5.9. *Let X be a topological space, Z a subspace of X , α and β are two families of compact subsets of X and Z , respectively. If β approximates $\alpha|_{\bar{Z}}$, then $A \cap Z = A \cap \bar{Z}$ for each $A \in \alpha$.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists $A \in \alpha$ such that $A \cap (\bar{Z} \setminus Z) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in A \cap (\bar{Z} \setminus Z)$. Then there is no member of β for which x belongs. Therefore $A \cap \bar{Z}$ does not contained in any finite union of members of β ; this contradicts the fact that β approximates $\alpha|_{\bar{Z}}$. \square

Theorem 5.10. *Let X be a topological space, Z a subspace of X , and Y is an equiconnected space with a base \mathcal{B} consisting of Ψ -convex sets. Let α be an admissible family of compact subsets of X and β be a family of compact subsets of Z . If β approximates $\alpha|_{\bar{Z}}$, then π_Z is an open map from $C_\alpha(X, Y)$ onto the subspace $\pi_Z(C_\alpha(X, Y))$ of $C_\beta(Z, Y)$.*

Proof. Let $\cap_{i=1}^n [A_i, V_i]$, where $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \alpha$ and $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{B}$, be a basic open subset of $C_\alpha(X, Y)$ and $f \in \pi_Z(\cap_{i=1}^n [A_i, V_i])$. Let $f' \in C(X, Y)$ be an extension of f over X such that $f' \in \cap_{i=1}^n [A_i, V_i]$. Since β approximates $\alpha|_{\bar{Z}}$, there exists, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, a finite subfamily β_i of β such that

$$A_i \cap \bar{Z} \subseteq \bigcup \{B : B \in \beta_i\} \subseteq f'^{-1}(V_i).$$

Then

$$f \in \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \bigcap_{B \in \beta_i} [B, V_i] \right) \cap \pi_Z(C(X, Y)) = W.$$

We have $W \subseteq \pi_Z(\cap_{i=1}^n [A_i, V_i])$. Indeed, let $g \in W$. Because $g(\bigcup \{B : B \in \beta_i\}) \subseteq V_i$, then $g(A_i \cap \bar{Z}) \subseteq V_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. Also, from Lemma 5.9, we have $A \cap Z = A \cap \bar{Z}$ for each $A \in \alpha$. Then, by Lemma 5.8, there exists a function $g' \in C(X, Y)$ which agrees with g on Z and belongs to $\cap_{i=1}^n [A_i, V_i]$. We have then $g \in \pi_Z(\cap_{i=1}^n [A_i, V_i])$. Therefore $W \subseteq \pi_Z(\cap_{i=1}^n [A_i, V_i])$, which means that $\pi_Z : C_\alpha(X, Y) \rightarrow \pi_Z(C_\alpha(X, Y))$ is open. \square

Lemma 5.11. *Let X be a topological space, Z a subspace of X , and α, β are two families of compact subsets of X and Z , respectively. Let Y be an equiconnected T_1 -space, with equiconnecting function Ψ . If π_Z is an open map from $C_\alpha(X, Y)$ onto the subspace $\pi_Z(C_\alpha(X, Y))$ of $C_\beta(Z, Y)$, then $A \cap Z = A \cap \bar{Z}$ for each $A \in \alpha$.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists $A \in \alpha$ such that $A \cap (\bar{Z} \setminus Z) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in A \cap (\bar{Z} \setminus Z)$. Let $p : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ be a path in Y with $p(0) \neq p(1)$ and put $V = Y \setminus \{p(0)\}$. Let $f \in \pi_Z([A, V])$, then we have $f = f'|_Z$ for some $f' \in [A, V]$. Since $\pi_Z : C_\alpha(X, Y) \rightarrow \pi_Z(C_\alpha(X, Y))$ is open, there exist $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \beta$ and V_1, \dots, V_n open subsets in Y such that

$$f \in \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n [B_i, V_i] \right) \cap \pi_Z(C(X, Y)) \subseteq \pi_Z([A, V]).$$

Since $x \in A \cap (\bar{Z} \setminus Z)$, we have $x \notin \cup_{i=1}^n B_i$. Complete regularity of X gives us a continuous function $h : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $h(x) = 0$ and $h(\cup_{i=1}^n B_i) = \{1\}$. Consider the function $h_1 : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $h_1(z) = \Psi(p \circ h(z), f'(z), h(z))$ for each $z \in X$. It is clear that h_1 is continuous, $h_1(\cup_{i=1}^n B_i) \subseteq V$ and $h_1(x) = p(0) \notin V$. So $h_1|_Z \in (\bigcap_{i=1}^n [B_i, V_i]) \cap \pi_Z(C(X, Y))$ and h_1 does not belong to $[A, V]$. Assume that $h_1|_Z$ admits another extension $h_2 \in C(X, Y)$. By continuity we have $h_1|_{\bar{Z}} = h_2|_{\bar{Z}}$. Thus $h_1(x) = h_2(x) \notin V$, and so $h_2 \notin [A, V]$. This leads that no continuous extension of $h_1|_Z$ over X belongs to $[A, V]$, which contradicts the fact that $(\bigcap_{i=1}^n [B_i, V_i]) \cap \pi_Z(C(X, Y)) \subseteq \pi_Z([A, V])$. Hence, we have $A \cap Z = A \cap \bar{Z}$ for every $A \in \alpha$. \square

Theorem 5.12. *Let X be a topological space, Z a subspace of X , and Y is an equiconnected T_1 -space with a base \mathcal{B} consisting of Ψ -convex sets. Let α be a family of compact subsets of X and β an admissible family of compact subsets of Z . If π_Z is an open map from $C_\alpha(X, Y)$ onto the subspace $\pi_Z(C_\alpha(X, Y))$ of $C_\beta(Z, Y)$, then β approximates $\alpha|_{\bar{Z}}$.*

Proof. Notice first that, from Lemma 5.11, we have $A \cap \bar{Z} = A \cap Z$ for every $A \in \alpha$. Furthermore, $C_\beta(Z, Y) = C_\beta^{\mathcal{B}}(Z, Y)$ because β is a functional refinement family. Let $A \in \alpha$, G an open subset of Z with $A \cap \bar{Z} = A \cap Z \subseteq G$. Let G_1 be an open subset in X with $G_1 \cap Z = G$. Now the subset $G_2 = G_1 \cup (X \setminus \bar{Z})$, which is open in X , contains A and verifies $G_2 \cap Z = G_1 \cap Z = G$. Let $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a continuous function such that $f(A) = \{1\}$ and $f(X \setminus G_2) = \{0\}$. Let $V = Y \setminus \{p(0)\}$, where p is a path in Y , and put $g = p \circ f$. Then $g^{-1}(V) \subseteq G_2$. Thus $g|_Z^{-1}(V) \subseteq G$. Consider in $C_\alpha(X, Y)$ the subbasic open subset $[A, V]$. We have then $g \in [A, V]$. Thus $\pi_Z(g) = g|_Z$ belongs to $\pi_Z([A, V])$ which is open in $\pi_Z(C_\alpha(X, Y))$, by our assumption. Therefore, there exist $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \beta$ and open sets $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$g|_Z \in (\cap_{i=1}^n [B_i, V_i]) \cap \pi_Z(C_\alpha(X, Y)) \subseteq \pi_Z([A, V]).$$

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 5.11, we obtain that $A \cap Z = A \cap \bar{Z} \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^n B_i$. To continue our proof we will introduce the following notation. By B^1 we denote a subset $B \subseteq X$, and by B^0 its complementary in X , i.e., B^c . Let $\mathcal{J} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and define the following set

$$\Delta = \left\{ (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\} : \left(\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{J}} B_i^{\delta_i} \right) \cap (A \cap \bar{Z}) \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

We have then

$$A \cap \bar{Z} = A \cap Z \subseteq \bigcup \left\{ \bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i^{\delta_i} / (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n) \in \Delta \right\}.$$

Fixing an element $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$ in Δ and let us show that $\bigcap_{\delta_i=1} V_i \subseteq V$. Assume the contrary. Let $y_0 \in \bigcap_{\delta_i=1} V_i \setminus V$. Let $x_0 \in \left(\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{J}} B_i^{\delta_i} \right) \cap (A \cap \bar{Z})$, then $x_0 \notin (\cup_{\delta_i=0} B_i)$ and $g(x_0) \in \bigcap_{\delta_i=1} V_i$. By continuity of g and the fact that $x_0 \notin (\cup_{\delta_i=0} B_i)$, we can take an open neighborhood U of x_0 such that $g(U) \subseteq \bigcap_{\delta_i=1} V_i$ and $U \cap (\cup_{\delta_i=0} B_i) = \emptyset$. Consider a continuous function $\varphi : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\varphi(x_0) = 0$ and $\varphi(U^c) = \{1\}$, and $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$. Then the function $h : X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $h(x) = \Psi(y_0, g(x), \varphi(x))$, for all $x \in X$, is continuous and $h|_Z$ does not belong to $\pi_Z([A, V])$ because $h(x_0) = y_0$. But $h|_Z \in (\cap_{i=1}^n [B_i, V_i]) \cap \pi_Z(C_\alpha(X, Y))$. In fact, if $x \in B_i \cap U$ then $h(x) = \Psi(y_0, g(x), \varphi(x)) \in V_i$, because V_i is Ψ -convex subset, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $x \in B_i \setminus U$, then $h(x) = g(x) \in V_i$ for each i with $1 \leq i \leq n$. This gives us a contradiction, so we have $\bigcap_{\delta_i=1} V_i \subseteq V$. Moreover, since $\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{J}} B_i^{\delta_i} \subseteq \bigcap_{\delta_i=1} B_i$ and $A \subseteq \bigcup \left\{ \bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{J}} B_i^{\delta_i} / (\delta_i) \in \Delta \right\}$ we obtain that

$$A \cap Z \subseteq \bigcup \left\{ \bigcap_{\delta_i=1} B_i / (\delta_i) \in \Delta \right\} \subseteq f|_Z^{-1}(V).$$

Moreover, the admissibility of β gives us, by [4, Lemma 1], that for each $(\delta_i) \in \Delta$, there exist $\beta_{(\delta_i)}$ finite subfamily of β such that

$$\bigcap_{\delta_i=1} B_i \subseteq \bigcup \left\{ B : B \in \beta_{(\delta_i)} \right\} \subseteq f|_Z^{-1}(V).$$

Hence

$$A \cap \bar{Z} = A \cap Z \subseteq \bigcup_{(\delta_i) \in \Delta} \left(\bigcup_{B \in \beta_{(\delta_i)}} B \right) \subseteq f|_Z^{-1}(V) \subseteq G.$$

Thus, we have β approximates $\alpha|_{\bar{Z}}$. \square

Corollary 5.13. *Let X be a topological space, Z a subspace of X , and Y is an equiconnected T_1 -space with a base \mathcal{B} consisting of Ψ -convex sets. Let α be an admissible family of compact subsets of X and β an admissible family of compact subsets of Z . Then π_Z is an open map from $C_\alpha(X, Y)$ onto the subspace $\pi_Z(C_\alpha(X, Y))$ of $C_\beta(Z, Y)$ if and only if β approximates $\alpha|_{\bar{Z}}$.*

Acknowledgment. The last section of this work was done when the second author was visiting the Department of Mathematics of Gazi University (Turkey). He want to thank Professor Çetin Vural for his warm hospitality and useful discussions.

References

- [1] R. Arens and J. Dugundji, *Topologies for function spaces*. Pacific J. Math. **1**, 5-31, 1951.
- [2] A.V. Arhangel'skii, *Topological Function Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1992.
- [3] A.V. Arhangel'skii, *General topology III*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences **51**, Springer-Verlag Berlin, 1995.
- [4] A. Bouchair and S. Kelaiaia, *Comparison of some set open topologies on $C(X, Y)$* , Top. Appl. **178**, 352-359, 2014.
- [5] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Polish Scientific Publishing, 1977.
- [6] R. Fox, *On fibre spaces II*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **49**, 733-735, 1943.
- [7] S. Kelaiaia, *Propriétés de certaines topologies set-open sur $C(X)$* , Thèse de Doctorat de l'Université de Rouen, 1995.
- [8] R.A. McCoy and I. Ntantu, *Countability properties of function spaces with set-open topology*. Top. proc. **10**, 329-345, 1985.
- [9] R.A. McCoy and I. Ntantu, *Topological properties of spaces of continuous functions*. Lecture Note in Math. **1315**, Springer Verlag Germany, 1988.
- [10] S.E. Nokhrin and A.V. Osipov, *On the coincidence of set-open and uniform topologies*. Proc. steklov Inst. Math. Suppl. **3**, 184-191, 2009. Top. Appl. **150**, 255-265, 2005.
- [11] A.V. Osipov, *Uniformity of uniform convergence on the family of sets*, Top. Proc. **50**, 79-86, 2017.
- [12] S. Önal and C. Vural, *Some cardinal invariants on the space $C_\alpha(X, Y)$* , Top. Appl. **150**, 255-265, 2005.