

Boundary value problem for a Sturm-Liouville operator with piecewise continuous coefficient

K. R. Mamedov * and F. A. Cetinkaya †

Abstract

In this paper, a self adjoint boundary value problem with a piecewise continuous coefficient on the positive half line $[0, \infty)$ is considered. The resolvent operator is constructed and the expansion formula with respect to eigenfunctions or equivalently Parseval equality is obtained. The spectrum of the operator is discussed.

2000 AMS Classification: 34L10, 34L40.

Keywords: Sturm-Liouville operator, eigenvalue, eigenfunction, resolvent operator, expansion formula.

Received 20/06/2013 : Accepted 08/04/2014 Doi : 10.15672/HJMS.2015449435

1. Introduction

Here, we consider the boundary value problem on the half line $0 < x < \infty$ generated by the differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad -y'' + q(x)y = \lambda^2 \rho(x)y$$

and the boundary condition

$$(1.2) \quad y'(0) - hy(0) = 0,$$

where λ is a spectral parameter, $q(x)$ is a real valued function satisfying the condition

$$(1.3) \quad \int_0^\infty (1+x)|q(x)|dx < \infty$$

*Mersin University, Faculty of Science and Letters, Department of Mathematics, 33343 Mersin, Turkey.

Email: hanlar@mersin.edu.tr

†Mersin University, Faculty of Science and Letters, Department of Mathematics, 33343 Mersin, Turkey.

Email: faycacetinkaya@mersin.edu.tr

and

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha^2, & 0 \leq x < a, \\ 1, & x \geq a, \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \alpha \neq 1$. It is not hard to verify that the function

$$f_0(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}} \right) e^{i\lambda\mu^+(x)} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}} \right) e^{i\lambda\mu^-(x)}$$

is the solution of equation (1.1) when $q(x) \equiv 0$, where

$$\mu^\pm(x) = \pm x\sqrt{\rho(x)} + a(1 \mp \sqrt{\rho(x)}).$$

As it is known from [5, 8] that for λ from the closed upper half plane equation (1.1) has a unique solution $f(x, \lambda)$ which can be represented in the form

$$(1.4) \quad f(x, \lambda) = f_0(x, \lambda) + \int_{\mu^+(x)}^{\infty} K(x, t) e^{i\lambda t} dt,$$

where $K(x, \cdot) \in L_1(\mu^+(x), +\infty)$. The function $f(x, \lambda)$ is called the *Jost solution* of equation (1.1).

Note that, a singular Sturm-Liouville problem in the form of (1.1), (1.2) is encountered when applying separation of variables to mathematical physics problems in non-homogeneous media, e. g. when $q(x) \equiv 0$ an application of electric prospecting problem, was given in [13, 15]. In this works, expansion formula was obtained by using Titchmarsh's [14] method with the help of integral representation (1.4), for the solution of equation (1.1). When $\rho(x) \equiv 1$ spectral expansion formula, for singular differential operators on the interval $[0, \infty)$ was investigated with different methods in [14, 10], etc. When $\rho(x) \neq 1$, spectral properties of similar problems were considered in [4, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9]. Also, in this case the direct and inverse problem in a finite interval were examined in [1, 11].

Using (1.4) we have for real $\lambda \neq 0$ that the functions $f(x, \lambda)$ and $\overline{f(x, \lambda)}$ form the fundamental system of solutions of equation (1.1) and the Wronskian of this system is equal to $2i\lambda$:

$$W \left\{ f(x, \lambda), \overline{f(x, \lambda)} \right\} = f'(x, \lambda) \overline{f(x, \lambda)} - f(x, \lambda) \overline{f'(x, \lambda)} = 2i\lambda.$$

By $\omega(x, \lambda)$, we denote the solutions of equation (1.1) satisfying the initial data

$$\omega(0, \lambda) = 1, \quad \omega'(0, \lambda) = h.$$

Proof of the following propositions can be done analogously to [8].

1.1. Proposition. *For real $\lambda \neq 0$ the following identity*

$$(1.5) \quad 2i\lambda \frac{\omega(x, \lambda)}{f'(0, \lambda) - hf(0, \lambda)} = \overline{f(x, \lambda)} - S(\lambda)f(x, \lambda)$$

holds, here

$$S(\lambda) = \frac{\overline{f'(0, \lambda)} - hf(0, \lambda)}{f'(0, \lambda) - hf(0, \lambda)} \quad \text{and} \quad |S(\lambda)| = 1.$$

$S(\lambda)$ is called the *scattering function* of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2).

1.2. Proposition. *The function $\varphi(\lambda) \equiv f'(0, \lambda) - hf(0, \lambda) \neq 0$ may have only a finite number of zeros λ_k , ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$) in the half plane $\text{Im}\lambda > 0$. These zeros are all simple and lie on the imaginary axis. For $\lambda = i\lambda_j$ ($\lambda_j > 0$), $j = \overline{1, n}$, we get*

$$m_j^{-2} \equiv \int_0^\infty \rho(x) |f(x, i\lambda_j)|^2 dx = -\frac{1}{2i\lambda_j} \dot{\varphi}(i\lambda_j) f(0, i\lambda_j).$$

These values are called the *norming constants* of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2).

2. Spectrum

This section is devoted to examine the properties of the eigenvalues of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2).

2.1. Theorem. *The operator L has no eigenvalues on the positive half line.*

Proof. Let $\lambda_0^2 > 0$ be an eigenvalue of the operator L and $y_0(x) = y(x, \lambda_0)$ be the corresponding eigenfunction. Since $f(x, \lambda_0)$ and $\overline{f(x, \lambda_0)}$ form the fundamental system of solutions, the general solution of (1.1) can be written in the form

$$y_0(x) = c_1 f(x, \lambda_0) + c_2 \overline{f(x, \lambda_0)}.$$

As $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$f(x, \lambda_0) \rightarrow e^{i\lambda_0 x} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{f(x, \lambda_0)} \rightarrow e^{-i\lambda_0 x},$$

hence

$$y_0(x) = c_1 e^{i\lambda_0 x} + c_2 e^{-i\lambda_0 x} + o(1).$$

Since, its principal part is periodic this function does not belong to $L_2(0, \infty)$ for any values of c_1 and c_2 . \square

2.2. Theorem. *For $-\lambda_0^2$ ($\lambda_0 \neq 0$) to be an eigenvalue it is necessary and sufficient that $\varphi(\lambda_0) = 0$.*

Proof. Indeed, let $\varphi(\lambda_0) = 0$ ($Im \lambda_0 > 0$). Thus, $f'(0, \lambda_0) - hf(0, \lambda_0) = 0$. Therefore, $f(x, \lambda_0)$ is a solution of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2). While $x \rightarrow \infty$ $f(x, \lambda_0)$ decreases exponentially. Hence, $f(x, \lambda_0) \in L_2(0, \infty)$ and for the corresponding eigenvalue $-\lambda_0^2$ $f(x, \lambda_0)$ is the eigenfunction of operator L . On the other hand, let $-\lambda_0^2$ ($\lambda_0 \neq 0$) be an eigenvalue and $y(x, \lambda_0)$ be the suitable eigenfunction of operator L . Then $y'(0, \lambda_0) - hy(0, \lambda_0) = 0$. It is clear that, $y(0, \lambda_0) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality assume that $y(0, \lambda_0) = 1$, then $y'(0, \lambda_0) = h$. Since, $f(x, \lambda_0)$ and $\hat{f}(x, \lambda_0)$ form the fundamental system of solutions of equation (1.1) (see [12] p. 297), we can write

$$y(x, \lambda_0) = c_1 f(x, \lambda_0) + c_2 \hat{f}(x, \lambda_0).$$

As $x \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $c_2 = 0$, then $c_1 \neq 0$. Substituting $x = 0$ in the last relation, we get

$$y'(0, \lambda_0) - hy(0, \lambda_0) = c_1$$

i.e.,

$$f'(0, \lambda_0) - hf(0, \lambda_0) = \varphi(\lambda_0) = 0.$$

Thus, for each eigenvalue $-\lambda_0^2$, there is one and only one adequate (up to a multiplicative constant) eigenfunction:

$$y(x, \lambda_0) = cf(x, \lambda_0), \quad (c \neq 0).$$

\square

The proof of the following theorem can be obtained directly from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2.

2.3. Theorem. *The operator L has a finite number of eigenvalues:*

$$-\lambda_1^2, -\lambda_2^2, \dots,$$

$$-\lambda_n^2.$$

Therefore, it is appropriate at this point to note that the spectral problem (1.1), (1.2) has a finite number of negative eigenvalues and it fills positive half line with its continuous spectrum.

3. The Resolvent Operator and Expansion Formula for the Eigenfunctions

In the space $L_{2,\rho}(0, \infty)$, we define an inner product by

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_0^\infty f(x)\overline{g(x)}\rho(x)dx,$$

where $f(x), g(x) \in L_{2,\rho}(0, \infty)$.

Let us define

$$D(L) = \left\{ f(x) \in L_{2,\rho}(0, \infty) : f(x), f'(x) \in AC[0, \infty), l(f) \in L_{2,\rho}(0, \infty), \right. \\ \left. f'(0) - hf(0) = 0 \right\},$$

as $L : f \rightarrow l(f)$ where

$$l(f) = \frac{1}{\rho(x)} \{-f''(x) + q(x)f(x)\}.$$

The boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2) is equivalent to the equation $Ly = \lambda^2 y$ and the operator L is self-adjoint in the space $L_{2,\rho}(0, \infty)$.

Let us assume that λ^2 is not a spectrum point of operator $R_{\lambda^2}(L) = (L - \lambda^2 I)^{-1}$ and find the expression of the operator $R_{\lambda^2}(L)$ as all numbers λ^2 ($Im\lambda \geq 0, \varphi(\lambda) \neq 0$) belong to the resolvent set of the operator L .

3.1. Theorem. *The resolvent $R_{\lambda^2}(L)$ is the integral operator*

$$R_{\lambda^2}(L) = \int_0^\infty G(x, t; \lambda)g(t)\rho(t)dt$$

with the kernel,

$$(3.1) \quad G(x, t; \lambda) = -\frac{1}{\varphi(\lambda)} \begin{cases} \omega(x, \lambda) f(t, \lambda), & t \geq x, \\ f(x, \lambda) \omega(t, \lambda), & t \leq x. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $g(x) \in D(L)$ and assume that it is a finite function at infinity. To construct the resolvent operator of L we need to solve the boundary value problem

$$(3.2) \quad -y'' + q(x)y = \lambda^2 \rho(x)y + g(x)\rho(x),$$

$$(3.3) \quad y'(0) - hy(0) = 0.$$

We know that the functions $w(x, \lambda)$ and $f(x, \lambda)$ are the solutions of homogeneous problem for $Im\lambda > 0$. Now let us find the solutions of the problem (3.2), (3.3) which has the form

$$(3.4) \quad y(x, \lambda) = c_1(x, \lambda)w(x, \lambda) + c_2(x, \lambda)f(x, \lambda).$$

By applying the method of variation of constants, we get the system of equations

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} c_1'(x, \lambda)w(x, \lambda) + c_2'(x, \lambda)f(x, \lambda) & = 0, \\ c_1'(x, \lambda)w'(x, \lambda) + c_2'(x, \lambda)f'(x, \lambda) & = -\rho(x)g(x). \end{cases}$$

Since $y(x, \lambda) \in L_{2,\rho}(0, \infty)$, then $c_1(0, \infty) = 0$. By using this relation and the system equations (3.5), we obtain

$$c_1(x, \lambda) = -\frac{1}{\varphi(\lambda)} \int_x^\infty f(t, \lambda)g(t)\rho(t)dt,$$

$$(3.6) \quad c_2(x, \lambda) = c_2(0, \lambda) - \frac{1}{\varphi(\lambda)} \int_0^x w(t, \lambda) g(t) \rho(t) dt.$$

Substituting (3.6) into (3.4) and taking (3.3) into consideration, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed. \square

3.2. Lemma. *Let $g(x)$ be a twice continuously differential function vanishing outside of some finite interval and $g(x) \in D(L)$. Then, as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$, $Im\lambda > 0$ the following holds:*

$$(3.7) \quad \int_0^\infty G(x, t; \lambda) g(t) \rho(t) dt = -\frac{g(x)}{\lambda^2} + \frac{Z(x, \lambda)}{\lambda^2},$$

where

$$Z(x, \lambda) = \int_0^\infty G(x, t, \lambda) \tilde{g}(t) \rho(t) dt$$

as $\tilde{g}(t) = -g''(t) + q(t)g(t)$.

Proof. The proof can be easily seen by using Theorem 3.1 and integrating by parts. \square

Bounded solutions of boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2) are given in the following way:

$$u(x, \lambda) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi}} \left[\overline{f(x, \lambda)} - S(\lambda) f(x, \lambda) \right], \quad 0 < \lambda^2 < \infty,$$

$$u(x, i\lambda_j) = m_j f(x, i\lambda_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

By using the contour integration, it can be shown that they form a complete system.

3.3. Theorem. *The expansion formula which is equivalent to Parseval equality*

$$(3.8) \quad \delta(x - t) = \sum_{j=1}^n u(x, i\lambda_j) u(t, i\lambda_j) \rho(t) + \int_0^\infty u(x, \lambda) \overline{u(t, \lambda)} \rho(t) d\lambda$$

holds, where $\delta(x)$ is Dirac delta function, also when $x \rightarrow \infty$ the following asymptotic formulae are true:

$$(3.9) \quad u(x, \lambda) = e^{-i\lambda x} - S(\lambda) e^{i\lambda x} + o(1), \quad (0 < \lambda^2 < \infty)$$

$$u(x, i\lambda_j) = m_j e^{-\lambda_j x} [1 + o(1)], \quad (j = 1, \dots, n).$$

Proof. Let Γ_R denote the circle of radius R and center zero which boundary contour is positive oriented. Assume $D = \{z : |z| \leq R, |Imz| \geq \epsilon\}$, denote the positive oriented boundary contour of D as $\Gamma_{R, \epsilon}$ and take integration along this contour. By multiplying both sides of (3.7) by $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \lambda$ and integrating it with respect to λ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{R, \epsilon}} \lambda d\lambda \int_0^\infty G(x, t; \lambda) g(t) \rho(t) dt = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{R, \epsilon}} \frac{g(x)}{\lambda} d\lambda + Z_{R, \epsilon}(x),$$

where

$$Z_{R, \epsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{R, \epsilon}} \frac{Z(x, \lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda.$$

It can be shown from the properties of the functions $w(x, \lambda)$, $f(x, \lambda)$ that, as $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $Z_{R, \epsilon} \rightarrow 0$ holds for $\forall x \in [0, T] \subset [0, \infty)$ uniformly. From the last relation, as $R \rightarrow \infty$, $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we can write

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{R, \epsilon}} \lambda d\lambda \int_0^\infty G(x, t; \lambda) g(t) \rho(t) dt \rightarrow -g(x) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \lambda d\lambda \int_0^\infty [G(x, t; \lambda + i0) - G(x, t; \lambda - i0)] g(t) \rho(t) dt.$$

On the other hand, using the residue calculus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{R,\epsilon}} \lambda d\lambda \int_0^\infty G(x, t; \lambda) g(t) \rho(t) dt &= \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=i\lambda_j} \left[\lambda \int_0^\infty G(x, t; \lambda) g(t) \rho(t) dt \right] + \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=-i\lambda_j} \left[\lambda \int_0^\infty G(x, t; \lambda) g(t) \rho(t) dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From the last two relations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= - \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=i\lambda_j} \left[\lambda \int_0^\infty G(x, t; \lambda) g(t) \rho(t) dt \right] - \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=-i\lambda_j} \left[\lambda \int_0^\infty G(x, t; \lambda) g(t) \rho(t) dt \right] + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\lambda \int_0^\infty [G(x, t; \lambda + i0) - G(x, t; \lambda - i0)] g(t) \rho(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\psi(x, \lambda)$ be the solution of (1.1) satisfying the initial conditions

$$\psi(0, \lambda) = 0, \quad \psi'(0, \lambda) = 1$$

and $W\{\omega(x, \lambda), f(x, \lambda)\} = 1$. From here, we can write

$$f(x, \lambda) = f(0, \lambda)\omega(x, \lambda) - \varphi(\lambda)\psi(x, \lambda).$$

Therefore, from (3.1) we have

$$G(x, t; \lambda) = -\frac{f(0, \lambda)}{\varphi(\lambda)}\omega(x, \lambda)\omega(t, \lambda) - \begin{cases} \omega(x, \lambda)\psi(t, \lambda), & x \leq t, \\ \psi(x, \lambda)\omega(t, \lambda), & t \leq x. \end{cases}$$

Accordingly for $\operatorname{Im}\lambda \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty G(x, t; \lambda) g(t) \rho(t) dt &= -\frac{1}{\varphi(\lambda)} f(0, \lambda) \omega(x, \lambda) \int_0^\infty \omega(t, \lambda) g(t) \rho(t) dt - \\ &- \psi(x, \lambda) \int_0^x \omega(t, \lambda) g(t) \rho(t) dt - \\ &- \omega(x, \lambda) \int_x^\infty \psi(t, \lambda) g(t) \rho(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=i\lambda_j} \left[\lambda \int_0^\infty G(x, t; \lambda) g(t) \rho(t) dt \right] + \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=-i\lambda_j} \left[\lambda \int_0^\infty \overline{G(x, t; \lambda)} g(t) \rho(t) dt \right] &= \\ = -\frac{2i\lambda_j}{\varphi(i\lambda_j)} f(0, i\lambda_j) \omega(x, i\lambda_j) \int_0^\infty \omega(t, i\lambda_j) g(t) \rho(t) dt &= \\ = u(x, i\lambda_j) \int_0^\infty u(t, i\lambda_j) g(t) \rho(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, t; \lambda + i0) - G(x, t; \lambda - i0) &= \left[-\frac{f(0, \lambda + i0)}{\varphi(\lambda + i0)} + \frac{f(0, \lambda - i0)}{\varphi(\lambda - i0)} \right] \omega(x, \lambda) \omega(t, \lambda) = \\ &= \frac{\varphi(\lambda) \overline{f(0, \lambda)} - \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} f(0, \lambda)}{|\varphi(\lambda)|^2} \omega(x, \lambda) \omega(t, \lambda) = \\ &= \frac{2i\lambda}{|\varphi(\lambda)|^2} \omega(x, \lambda) \omega(t, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda d\lambda \int_0^{\infty} [G(x, t; \lambda + i0) - G(x, t; \lambda - i0)] g(t) \rho(t) dt &= \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^2}{|\varphi(\lambda)|^2} \omega(x, \lambda) \int_0^{\infty} \omega(t, \lambda) g(t) \rho(t) dt d\lambda = \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} u(x, \lambda) \int_0^{\infty} u(t, \lambda) g(t) \rho(t) dt d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (3.10) we get the expansion formula for the eigenfunctions:

$$(3.11) \quad g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n u(x, i\lambda_j) \int_0^{\infty} u(t, i\lambda_j) g(t) \rho(t) dt + \\ + \int_0^{\infty} u(x, \lambda) \int_0^{\infty} \overline{u(t, \lambda)} g(t) \rho(t) dt d\lambda$$

or we obtain (3.8) that is equivalent to the Parseval equality. Asymptotic expressions (3.9) can be obtained from (1.5) when $x \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Writing the expansion formula (3.11) in the form of Stieltjes integral we have

$$g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \omega(x, \lambda) \left(\int_0^{\infty} \omega(t, \lambda) g(t) \rho(t) dt \right) d\sigma(\lambda),$$

where

$$d\sigma(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\lambda^2 d\lambda}{|\varphi(\lambda)|^2}, & \lambda \geq 0, \\ \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(2i\lambda_j)^2 \delta(\lambda - i\lambda_j)}{m_j^2 \varphi(i\lambda_j)^2}, & \lambda < 0 \end{cases}$$

is the *spectral function* of operator L .

Now taking

$$G(\lambda) = \int_0^{\infty} \omega(x, \lambda) g(x) \rho(x) dx,$$

we get

$$g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\lambda) \omega(x, \lambda) d\sigma(\lambda).$$

Multiplying both sides of this equivalence by $g(x)$, we obtain the Parseval equality

$$\int_0^{\infty} g^2(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G^2(\lambda) d\sigma(\lambda).$$

Acknowledgment. This work is supported by The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK).

References

- [1] Akhmedova, E. N. and Huseynov, H. M. *On inverse problem for Sturm-Liouville operator with discontinuous coefficients*, Transactions of Saratov University, (Izv. Sarat. Univ.). **10** (1), 3-9, 2010.
- [2] Chadan, K. and Sabatier, P. C. *Inverse problems in quantum scattering theory* (Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg Berlin, 1977).
- [3] Darwish, A. A. *The inverse scattering problem for a singular boundary value problem*, New Zea. Jou. Math. **22**, 37-56, 1993.
- [4] Gasymov, M G. *The direct and inverse problem of spectral analysis for a class of equations with a discontinuous coefficient*, Non-Classical Methods in Geophysics, Nauka, Novosibirsk, USSR., 37-44, 1977.

- [5] Guseinov, I. M. and Pashaev, R. T. *On an inverse problem for a second order differential operator*, In: Usp. Math. Nauk. **57**(3), 597-598, 2002.
- [6] Levitan, B. M. and Sargsjan, I. S. *Introduction to spectral theory* (American Mathematical Society, 1975).
- [7] Mamedov, Kh. R. *Uniqueness of the solution of the inverse problem of scattering theory for Sturm-Liouville operator with discontinuous coefficient*, Proceedings of IMM of NAS of Azerbaijan, 163-172, 2006.
- [8] Mamedov, Kh. R. *On an inverse scattering problem for a discontinuous Sturm-Liouville equation with a spectral parameter in the boundary condition*, Boundary Value Problems, pp. 17, 2010.
- [9] Mamedov Kh. R. and Kosar N. P. *Inverse scattering problem for Sturm-Liouville operator with nonlinear dependence on the spectral parameter in the boundary condition*, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences. **34** (2), 231-241, 2011.
- [10] Marchenko, V. A. *Sturm-Liouville operators and applications* (AMS Chelsea Publishing, 2011).
- [11] Nabiev, A. A. and Amirov, Kh. R. *On the boundary value problem for the Sturm-Liouville equation with the discontinuous coefficient*, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences DOI: 10.1002/mma.2714.
- [12] Naimark, M. A. *Linear differential operators, Part II* (Frederick Ungar Publishing, 1967).
- [13] Tikhonov, A. N. *On the uniqueness of the solution of the problem of electric prospecting*, Dok. Aka. Nauk SSSR., **69**, 787-80, 1949.
- [14] Titchmarsh, E. C. *Eigenfunctions expansions* (Oxford, 1962).
- [15] Tikhonov, A. N. and Samarskii, A. A. *Equations of mathematical physics* (Dover Books on Physics, 2011).