# Bemisia tabaci: Population increases on cotton cultivars in Turkey

Erdal ŞEKEROĞLU\*

A. Faruk ÖZGÜR\*

# Summary

The rate of buildup of whitefly,  $\frac{\text{Bemisia tabaci}}{\text{of "Carolina Queen"}}$ , populations on the different cotton cultivars was compared with that  $\frac{\text{Of "Carolina Queen"}}{\text{of "Carolina Queen"}}$ , a standard local variety cultivated extensively, for three years. The cultivars that showed smaller populations had glabrous, either small or okra-leaf shapes, and an open canopy. The variety "LA 510 ONS" stood out as the most important selection because of the low  $\frac{\text{B. tabaci}}{\text{Double of the low B. tabaci}}$  population developing on it.

### Introduction

The sweetpotato whitefly,  $\underline{\text{Bemisia tabaci}}$  (Gennadius) is a serious pest of cotton causing the fiber to be sticky and transmitting crumple leaf virus. It is also a very serious virus vector to other crops in many parts of the world. Both leaf pubescence and the okra-leaf trait appear to influence whitefly abundance in cotton. Early studies in Sudan Gezira showed that hairy-leaved leafhopper-resistant cottons had larger B. tabaci populations than glabrous-leaved varieties (Mound, 1965). The relationship between high abundance of the bandedwinged whitefly, Trialeurodes abutilonea (Haldeman), and leaf pubescence was noted for the "Dwarf A x Lankart" genetic combination in Arizona (Butler and Muramoto, 1967). In Mississippi greenhouse studies, cultivars having the highest mean colonization rating of  $\underline{T}$ . abutilonea were classified as hairy or densly hairy (Lambert et al., 1982). "Stoneville 825" and "Deltapine 41" cottons with pubescent leaves had the highest populations of B. tabaci in Arizona and California in 1982. Eight smooth-leaf cottons had significantly fewer adults in 2-min vacuum samples than their semismooth and pubescent counterparts (Butler and Hennebrry, 1984). Also in Arizona, smoothleaf in "AET-5" had significantly fewer adults of both B. tabaci and T. abutilonea than pubescent-leaf plants (Butler and Wilson, 1986). Okra

<sup>\*</sup> Plant Protection Department, Ç.Ü. Agricultural Faculty, Adana, Turkey
Alınış (Received): 2.9.1988

superokra-leaf traits were associated with a high degree of resistance to <u>T. abutilonea</u> in field studies in Louisiana (Jones et al., 1975). Observations of adult populations made in Arizona in 1985 by a beating technique indicated that okra-leaf selections of "ST-8710N" and "ST-8737N" had fewer adult whiteflies. "La Okra 5-5" had fewer whiteflies than the normal-leaf "Stoneville 213" (Butler and Wilson, 1986). In Arizona during 1986, seven of the 19 cotton cultivars had significantly fewer whiteflies than the check, "Stoneville 825". Five of the seven were okra-leaf and two were normal-leaf cottons. At several locations in Israel during 1986, the okra-leaf cotton, "Bet Dagan 12", had significantly fewer whiteflies than a number of normal-leaf cottons (Wilson and Butler, 1987).

The very rapid rate of increase of <u>B. tabaci</u> populations, the protected location this species inhabits on the undersides of cotton leaves, and the development of resistance to insecticides makes chemical control ineffective (Prabhaker et al., 1985). The complex of other harmful insects currently being controlled in cotton by sequential insecticidal treatments makes the use of natural or biological measures for whitefly control impossible. The following report is based on studies of the population development of <u>B. tabaci</u> in various cotton cultivars in <u>Gukurova</u>, Turkey by Özgür and <u>Sekeroğlu</u> (1986). The rate of buildup of the populations in the different cultivars is compared with that of "Carolina Queen", a local standard variety that was grown extensively having a moderate whitefly population.

### Materials and Methods

These experiments were conducted at Gukurova, Turkey, which is located in the center of the south of Turkey ca. 20 miles inland from the Mediterranean. The cotton cultures were planted in randomized blocks with 3 replicates in 1982 and 1983 and 4 replicates in 1984. The plot size was increased from 16 m2 in 1982 to 32 m2 in 1983, and 250 m2 in 1984. Irrigation, fertilization, and other cultural practices were done according to standard local practices. When needed, the plots were sprayed with amitraz at 600 g AI/ha.

B. tabaci were sampled by collecting 3 leaves (top, middle, bottom) per plant from 10 plants per plot. Counts were made of the number of eggs, larvae and pupae with a steriomicroscope on each of three 2.85 cm2 samples from each leaf. In 1982, sampling began on 14 June and 8 samples were taken by 11 August. An insecticide treatment was applied on 13 August but whitefly counts generally continued to rise to 18 August in 12 of the varieties and to 1 September in 6 of the varieties so were included in the regression equations. Sampling began on 2 May 1983. Populations were absent or extremely low on 2 May and 9 June. Results for analyses were used from all of the 6 samples collected between 23 June and 8 August. An insecticide treatment was applied on 21 July but did not appear to affect the increase of whiteflies in most of the varieties. In 1984, sampling began on 6 June and extended for a 89-day period until 3 September. All observations were used except for those made on 6 and 18 June for "LA 510 ONS" and 6 and 1 June for "Coker 413".

Comparisons of the rates of increase in whitefly population density were made by comparing the slopes (b) of the linear regression equation, y= a+bx where y is the  $\log_{10}$  of the number of whiteflies

and x is the date. The rates of increase among the different varieties were compared by testing for homogeneity of regression equations and different slopes against "Carolina Queen", a variety grown each year, and generally intermediate in its  $\underline{B.\ tabaci}$  populations. Differences were considered significant if the  $\overline{F}$  value exceeded the 5 % level and highly significant if they exceeded the 1% level. The estimated population on 1 September was calculated from each regression equation.

# Results and Discussion

The mean number of <u>B. tabaci</u> immature stages (egg+larval+pupal) per 2.85 cm² area of leaf area on various cotton cultivars for various dates during 1982 and 1983 are presented in Tables 1 and 2 in Özgür and Şekeroğlu (1986). The regression equations for the buildup of <u>B. tabaci</u> on the 22 varieties observed in 1982 indicate that the rise in the population (b value of the regression equation) averaged 0.0643 and varied from a high of 0.0763 for "DPL 15.21" to a low of 0.0478 for "LA 510 ONS" (Table 1). This rate of increase of the population is similar to the rate of increase of 0.064 and 0.065 for sticky trap collections observed at Brawley, California and Yuma, Arizona in 1982 (Butler et al., 1985). With the relative uniformity of the rate of increase of the whiteflies in all of these cultivars, there was no rate of increase that was significantly different from that of "Carolina Queen". In the increase of the total number of whiteflies, "A2" and "Coker 413" had significantly more individuals, while "Gumbo" and "LA 520 ONS" had significantly fewer. The estimate of the 1 September populations was largest for "Adana 967/10" and smallest for "LA 510 ONS" (Table 1).

During 1983, the average rate of increase of 0.0519 was slightly less than that observed during the previous year (Table 2). Three varieties, "LA 510 ONS", "LA 740142", and "Yerli 193" had b values less than the smallest of the previous year but only "Yerli 193" had significantly smaller rate of increase than that of "Carolina Queen". Seven varieties had significantly larger populations than "Carolina Queen" and one of these, "Aleppo Glandless", was highly significant. Only two selections had fewer whiteflies than "Carolina Queen". These were "LA 510 ONS" and "Yerli 193", this latter difference was highly significant.

The average rate of increase during 1984 was 0.0535 for all the cultivars (Table 3). The increase for "DPL 15 A292" was significantly greater than that of "Carolina Queen". Both this variety and "Tashkent" had significantly more whiteflies. "LA 510 ONS" once again had fewer whiteflies than "Carolina Queen".

Ranking the cultivars according to the estimated number of whiteflies on September 1 showed that there were none that were consistantly high each year. On the other hand, the cultivar "LA 510 ONS" was consistently lower in numbers than "Carolina Queen". Those cultivars with smaller populations had glabrous, either small or okra-leaf shapes, an open canopy and were taller. The cultivars with large pubescent leaves and a closed canopy showed much higher numbers of whiteflies. "LA 510 ONS" stands out as the most important selection because of the relatively low <u>B. tabaci</u> population developing on it.

Table 1. Regression equations (a) for the increase in the number of <u>B. tabaci</u> on different cotton varieties, a comparison of the population with than on Caroline Queen, and an estimate of the populations on 1 September, Cukurova, Turkey, 1982

Cotton Variety	No. Obs.		Compar. w/Carol. Queen Slope Intcpt.				
		Regression Equation	r <sup>2</sup>	F	F	Estim. 1 Sept.	
DPL 15.21	8	-12.586+0.0763	0.97	2.80	2.87	416	
COKER 413	8	-12.583+0.0755	0.97	2.72	4.99*	343	
AZ-64	- 8	-12.275+0.0753	0.95	2.12	1.36	445	
GUMBO	. 8	-12.612+0.0741	0.83	0.81	4.05*	237	
TASHKENT 1	9	-11.951+0.0717	0.97	1.69	6.31*	256	
GIZA 45	7	-12.070+0.0710	0.98	1.55	1.78**	191	
LA 566 FN	8	-11.253+0.0709	0.94	1.05	0.57	423	
PIMA S-4	7	-11.371+0.0705	0.98	1.24	1.33	341	
HAR 1233	8	-11.266+0.0703	0.98	1.32	1.05	360	
ADANA 967/10	8	-10.445+0.0687	0.85	0.37	0.97	554	
DPL SMOOTH ·	8	-10.405+0.0657	0.89	0.20	0.66	277	
STN 731-N	8	-10.190+0.0623	0.90	0.03	4.84*	150	
ALEPPO GLANDLESS	8	-8.975+0.0614	0.90	0.01	1.27	406	
A-2	8	-8.632+0.0612	0.91	0.01	4.58*	545	
CAROLINE QUEEN	8	-9.086+0.0603	0.92			278	
MNS 79 PAK	9	-8.748+0.0590	0.91	0.02	0.07	284	
LA 740142	9	-8.951+0.0572	0.89	0.08	2.57	149	
DPL 15 X A292	9 7	-8.654+0.0563	0.88	0.14	1.77	161	
YERLÏ 193	7	-8.245+0.0553	0.70	0.09	0.29	190	
TAMCOT SP 37	9 .	-8.005+0.0550	0.96	0.41	0.21	225	
GLANDLESS	8	-6.827+0.0495	0.96	1.59	0.85	191	
LA 510 ONS	9	-8.883+0.0478	0.73	0.84	0.17**	16	

<sup>(</sup>a) Regression equation: y=a+bx, where y is the log10 of the number of whiteflies and x is the date. The "\*" denotes significance at P=0.05, and "\*\*" at P=0.01 (Neter and Wasserman, 1976).

Table 2. Regression equations (a) for the increase in the number of <u>B. tabaci</u> on different cotton varieties, a comparison of the population with that on Caroline Queen, and an estimate of the populations on 1 September. Cukurova, Turkey, 1983

Cotton Variety	No. Obs.		Compar. w/ Carol. Queen Slope Intcpt.				
		Regression Equation	r <sup>2</sup>	F	F	Estim. 1 Sept.	
HAR 1233	6	-13.102+0.0750	0.76	0.89	0.51	181	
DPL 15 X A292	6	-12.781+0.0744	0.98	2.98	1.49	215	
GIZA 45	6	-11.830+0.0701	0.94	1.46	0.77	195	
PASHKENT 1	6	-11.290+0.0677	0.85	0.71	0.42	187	
GUMBO	6	-11.479+0.0668	0.94	1.02	0.75	124	
TAMCOT SP 37	6	-8.710+0.0594	0.89	0.22	4.56*	325	
MNS 79 PAK	6	-8.459+0.0573	0.98	0.18	4.91*	250	
COKER 413	6	-9.513+0.0563	0.92	0.09	0.74	68	
PIMA S-4	6	-8.440+0.0562	0.82	0.06	1.51	195	
AZ-64	6	-8.079+0.0550	0.93	0.05	3.05	209	
DPL SMOOTH	6.	-7.961+0.0524	0.85	0.01	0.57	124	
A-2	6	-7.313+0.0523	0.92	0.01	5.09*	232	
CAROLINE QUEEN	6	-8.228+0.0518	0.82			82	
NONGOSSYPOL-50	6	-6.832+0.0503	0.92	0.01	6.19*	231	
DPL 15.21	6	-6.965+0.0492	0.89	0.03	2.53	154	
LA 566 FN	6	-6.651+0.0491	0.96	0.04	6.35*	206	
STN 731-N	6	-6.487+0.0477	0.91	0.08	4.10	172	
NONGOSSYPOL~47	6	-6.335+0.0476	0.94	0.10	5.96*	196	
GLANDLESS	6	-6.270+0.0467	0.84	0.10	3.57	168	
NONGOSSYPOL-52	6	-6.194+0.0463	0.90	0.15	4.29	164	
ALEPPO GLANDLESS	6	-5.653+0.0456	0.93	0.21	9.57**	238	
ADANA 967/10	. 6	-6.200+0.0447	0.84	0.21	1.55	110	
LA 510 ONS	6	-5.717+0.0312	0.43	0.92	6.50*	7	
LA 740142	6	-3.337+0.0282	0.66	2.27	1.18	35	
YERLİ 193	6	+0.315+0.0154	0.60	7.15*	11.19**	59	

<sup>(</sup>a) Regression equation: y=a+bx, where y is the log10 of the number of whiteflies and x is the date. The "\*" denotes significance at P=0.05, and "\*\*" at P=0.01 (Neter and Wasserman, 1976).

Table 3. Regression equations (a) for the increase in the number of different cotton varieties, a comparison of the population with that on Caroline Queen, and an estimate of the populations on 1 September. Cukurova, Turkey, 1984

Cotton Variety	No. Obs.	Regression Equation	Compar. w/Carol. Queen Slope Intcpt.				
			r <sup>2</sup>	F	F	Estim. 1 Sept.	
DPL 15 X A292	11	-14.500+0.0728	0.88	5.09*	3.47*	26.1	
COKER 413	11	-12.720+0.0643	0.89	2.63	2.24	19.5	
TASHKENT	13	-11.867+0.0631	0.78	2.06	4.42*	34.1	
GUMBO: (	13	-10.732+0.0543	0.83	0.67	0.62	12.4	
CAROLINE QUEEN	14	-9.209+0.0457	0.77			7.0	
LA 510 ONS	11	-5.342+0.0211	0.37	4.24	10.43*	0.8	

<sup>(</sup>a) Regression equation: y=a+bx, where y is the log10 of the number of whiteflies and x is the date. The "\*" denotes significance at P= 0.05, and "\*\*" at P= 0.01 (Neter and Wasserman, 1976).

## Özet

# Bemisia tabaci: Değişik pamuk çeşitleri üzerinde populasyon gelişmesi

Bemisia tabacı'nin değişik pamuk çeşitleri üzerindeki populasyon gelişmesi, bölgede yaygın olarak kullanılan "Caroline Queen" pamuk çeşidi üzerindeki populasyon gelişmesi ile karşılaştırılmıştır. Beyaz sinek populasyonunun gelişmesinin düşük olduğu pamuk çeşitleri tüysüz, bamya yapraklı ve açık habituslu özellikler göstermişlerdir. "LA 510 ONS" çeşidi, üzerinde Beyaz sinek populasyonunun gelişmesinin çok düşük düzeyde olması nedeniyle en önemli çeşit olarak dikkati çekmiştir.

#### Literature Cited

- Butler, G. D., Jr. and T. J. Henneberry, 1984. Bemisia tabaci; effect of cotton leaf pubescence on abundance. Southwestern Entomol., 9: 91-94.
- Butler, G. D., Jr., T. J. Henneberry and E. T. Natwick, 1985. Bemisia tabaci: 1982 and 1983 populations in Arizona and California cotton fields.

  Southwestern Entomol., 10: 20-25.
- Butler, G. D., Jr., and H. Muramoto, 1967. Bandedwinged whitefly abundance and cotton leaf pubescence in Arizona. J. Econ. Entomol., 60: 1176-1177.
- Butler, G. D., Jr. and F. D. Wilson, 1986. Whitefly adults in okra-leaf and normal-leaf cottons. Ariz. Agr. Expt. Sta. P-63: 223-226.
- Jones, J. E., D. F. Clower, M. R. Milam, W. D. Caldwell and D. R. Melvill, 1975. Resistance in upland cotton to the banded-winged whitefly, <u>Trialeurodes abutilonea</u> (Haldeman). Proc. Beltwide Cotton Prod. Res. Conf.: 98-99.
- Lamblert, L., J. N. Jenkins, W. L. Parrott and J. C. McCarty, 1982. Greenhouse technique for evaluating resistance to the bandedwinged whitefly (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) used to evaluate thirty-five foreign cotton cultivars. J. Econ. Entomol., 75: 1166-1168.
- Mound, L. A., 1965. Effect of leaf hairs on cotton whitefly populations in the Sudan Gezira.

  Emp. Cotton Grow. Rev., 42: 33-40.
- Neter, J. and W. Wasserman, 1976. Applied linear statistical models. R. D. Irwin, Inc. Homewood, IL. 842 pp.
- Özgür, A. F. and E. Şekeroğlu, 1986. Population development of <u>Bemisia tabaci</u> (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) on various cotton cultivars in <u>Cukurova</u>, <u>Turkey</u>. <u>Agric. Ecosystems and Environment</u>, <u>17</u>: 83-88.
- Prabhaker, N., D. L. Coudriet and D. E. Meyerdirk, 1985. Insecticide resistance in the sweetpotato whitefly, <u>Bemisia tabaci</u> (Homoptera:Aleyrodidae). <u>J. Econ. Entomol., 78</u>: 748-752.
- Wilson, P. D. and G. D. Butler, 1987. Whitefly adults in okra-leaf and normal-leaf cottons. Ariz. Agr. Expt. Sta. P-69: 163-165.