

## GEODESICS IN THE TENSOR BUNDLE OF DIAGONAL LIFTS

N. Cengiz\* and A. A. Salimov\*

Received 21.12.2001

### Abstract

Let  $M_n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold of class  $C^\infty$  and  $T_q^1(M_n)$  the tensor bundle over  $M_n$  of tensor of type  $(1, q)$ . The purpose of this paper is to define a diagonal lift  ${}^Dg$  of a Riemannian metric  $g$  of a manifold  $M_n$  to the tensor bundle  $T_q^1(M_n)$  of  $M_n$  and to investigate geodesics in a tensor bundle with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of  ${}^Dg$ .

**Key Words:** Tensor bundle, Riemannian metric, Diagonal lift, Levi-Civita connection, Geodesics.

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary: 53A45, Secondary: 53B21

### 1. Introduction

Let  $M_n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold of class  $C^\infty$  and  $T_q^1(M_n)$  the tensor bundle over  $M_n$  of tensor of type  $(1, q)$ . If  $x^i$  are local coordinates in a neighborhood  $U$  of point  $x \in M_n$ , then a tensor  $t$  at  $x$  which is an element of  $T_q^1(M_n)$  is expressible in the form  $(x^i, t_{i_1 \dots i_q}^j)$ , where  $t_{i_1 \dots i_q}^j$  are components of  $t$  with respect to the natural frame. We may consider  $(x^i, t_{i_1 \dots i_q}^j) = (x^i, x^{\bar{i}}) = (x^I)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n, \bar{i} = n+1, \dots, n(1+n^q)$ ,  $I = 1, \dots, n(1+n^q)$  as local coordinates in a neighbourhood  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  ( $\pi$  is the natural projection  $T_q^1(M_n)$  onto  $M_n$ ).

Let now  $M_n$  be a Riemannian manifold with non-degenerate metric  $g$  whose components in a coordinate neighbourhood  $U$  are  $g_{ji}$  and denote by  $\Gamma_{ji}^h$  the Christoffel symbols formed with  $g_{ji}$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{T}_s^r(M_n)$  the module over  $F(M_n)$  ( $F(M_n)$  is the ring of  $C^\infty$  functions in  $M_n$ ) all tensor fields of class  $C^\infty$  and of type  $(r, s)$  in  $M_n$ . Let  $X \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M_n)$  and  $w \in \mathcal{T}_q^1(M_n)$ . Then  ${}^C X \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(\mathcal{T}_q^1(M_n))$  (complete lift)  ${}^H X \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(\mathcal{T}_q^1(M_n))$

---

\*Atatürk University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, 25240 Erzurum, Turkey.

(horizontal lift) and  ${}^V w \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(T_q^1(M_n))$  (vertical lift) have, respectively, components (see [5-7])

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C X &= \begin{pmatrix} X^h \\ t_{h_1 \dots h_q}^m \partial_m X^k - \sum_{\mu=1}^q t_{h_1 \dots m \dots h_q}^k \partial_{h_\mu} X^m \end{pmatrix} \\ {}^H X &= \begin{pmatrix} X^h \\ -x^m (\Gamma_{ms}^k t_{h_1 \dots h_q}^s - \sum_{\mu=1}^q \Gamma_{mh_\mu}^s t_{h_1 \dots m \dots h_q}^k) \end{pmatrix} \\ {}^V w &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ w_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

with respect to the natural frame  $\{\partial_H\} = \{\partial_h, \partial_{\bar{h}}\}$ ,  $x^{\bar{h}} = t_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k$  in  $T_q^1(M_n)$ , where  $X^h$  and  $w_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k$  are respectively local components of  $X$  and  $w$ .

In each coordinate neighborhood  $U(x^h)$  of  $M_n$ , we put

$$\begin{aligned} X_j &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = \delta_j^h \frac{\partial}{\partial x^h} \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M_n), \quad j = 1, \dots, n \\ w_{\bar{j}} &= \partial_l \otimes dx^{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{j_q} \\ &= \delta_l^k \delta_{h_1}^{j_1} \dots \delta_{h_q}^{j_q} \partial_k \otimes dx^{h_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{h_q} \in \mathcal{T}_q^1(M_n), \\ &\quad \bar{j} = n+1, \dots, n(1+n^q) \end{aligned}$$

Taking account of (1), we easily see that the components of  ${}^H X_j$  and  ${}^V w_{\bar{j}}$  are respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned} {}^H X_j &= (A_j^H) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_j^h \\ \sum_{\mu=1}^q \Gamma_{jh_\mu}^s t_{h_1 \dots s \dots h_q}^k - \Gamma_{js}^k t_{h_1 \dots h_q}^s \end{pmatrix}, \\ {}^V w_{\bar{j}} &= (A_{\bar{j}}^H) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \delta_l^k \delta_{h_1}^{j_1} \dots \delta_{h_q}^{j_q} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

with respect to the natural frame  $\{\partial_H\}$  where  $\delta_j^i$  is the Kronecker delta. We call the set  $\{{}^H X_j, {}^V w_{\bar{j}}\}$  the frame adapted to the Riemannian connection  $\nabla$  in  $\pi^{-1}(U) \subset T_q^1(M_n)$ . On putting

$$A_j = {}^H X_j, \quad A_{\bar{j}} = {}^V w_{\bar{j}}$$

we write the adapted frame as  $\{A_\beta\} = \{A_j, A_{\bar{j}}\}$ .

It is easily verified that  $n(1+n^q)$  local 1-forms

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}^i &= (\tilde{A}_H^i) = (\delta_h^i, 0) = dx^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \\ \tilde{A}^{\bar{i}} &= (\tilde{A}_H^{\bar{i}}) = \left( \Gamma_{hs}^r t_{i_1 \dots i_q}^s - \sum_{\mu=1}^q \Gamma_{hi_\mu}^s t_{i_1 \dots s \dots i_q}^r, \delta_k^r \delta_{i_1}^{h_1} \dots \delta_{i_q}^{h_q} \right) \\ &= \left( \Gamma_{hs}^r t_{i_1 \dots i_q}^s - \sum_{\mu=1}^q \Gamma_{hi_\mu}^s t_{i_1 \dots s \dots i_q}^r \right) dx^h + \delta_k^r \delta_{i_1}^{h_1} \dots \delta_{i_q}^{h_q} dx^{\bar{h}} \\ &= \delta_{i_1 \dots i_q}^s, \quad \bar{i} = n+1, \dots, n(1+n^q) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

form a coframe  $\{\tilde{A}^\alpha\} = \{\tilde{A}^i, \tilde{A}^{\bar{i}}\}$  dual to the adapted frame  $\{A_\beta\}$ , i.e.  $\tilde{A}^\alpha H A_\beta = \delta^\alpha_\beta$ .

## 2. Lift ${}^Dg$ of a Riemannian $g$ to $T_q^1(M_n)$

On putting locally

$$\begin{aligned} {}^Dg &= {}^Dg_{ji} \tilde{A}^j \otimes \tilde{A}^i + {}^Dg_{\bar{j}\bar{i}} \tilde{A}^{\bar{j}} \otimes \tilde{A}^{\bar{i}} \\ &= g_{ji} dx^j \otimes dx^i + g_{lr} \delta^{j_1 i_1} \dots \delta^{j_q i_q} \delta t_{j_1 \dots j_q}^l \otimes \delta t_{i_1 \dots i_q}^r \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $\delta^{ji}$  is the Kronecker delta, we see that  ${}^Dg$  defines a tensor field of type  $(0, 2)$  in  $T_q^1(M_n)$ , which is called the diagonal lift of the tensor field  $g$  to  $T_q^1(M_n)$  with respect to  $\nabla$ . From (3) we prove that  ${}^Dg$  has components of the form

$${}^Dg = ({}^Dg_{\beta\alpha}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{ji} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{lr} \delta^{j_1 i_1} \dots \delta^{j_q i_q} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

with respect to the adapted frame and components

$$\begin{aligned} {}^Dg &= ({}^Dg_{JI}) = \begin{pmatrix} {}^Dg_{ji} & {}^Dg_{j\bar{i}} \\ {}^Dg_{\bar{j}i} & {}^Dg_{\bar{j}\bar{i}} \end{pmatrix} \\ {}^Dg_{ji} &= g_{ji} + g_{lr} \delta^{j_1 i_1} \dots \delta^{j_q i_q} \left( \Gamma_{jm}^l t_{j_1 \dots j_q}^m - \sum_{\mu=1}^q \Gamma_{j\mu}^m t_{j_1 \dots m \dots j_q}^l \right) \\ &\quad \left( \Gamma_{is}^r t_{i_1 \dots i_q}^s - \sum_{\mu=1}^q \Gamma_{i\mu}^s t_{i_1 \dots s \dots i_q}^r \right) \\ {}^Dg_{j\bar{i}} &= g_{lr} \delta^{j_1 i_1} \dots \delta^{j_q i_q} \left( \Gamma_{jm}^l t_{j_1 \dots j_q}^m - \sum_{\mu=1}^q \Gamma_{j\mu}^m t_{j_1 \dots m \dots j_q}^l \right) \\ {}^Dg_{\bar{j}i} &= g_{lr} \delta^{j_1 i_1} \dots \delta^{j_q i_q} \left( \Gamma_{is}^r t_{i_1 \dots i_q}^s - \sum_{\mu=1}^q \Gamma_{i\mu}^s t_{i_1 \dots s \dots i_q}^r \right) \\ {}^Dg_{\bar{j}\bar{i}} &= g_{lr} \delta^{j_1 i_1} \dots \delta^{j_q i_q} \end{aligned}$$

with respect to the natural frame. The indices  $\alpha = (i, \bar{i})$ ,  $\beta = (j, \bar{j}) = 1, \dots, n(1 + n^q)$  and  $I = (i, \bar{i})$ ,  $J = (j, \bar{j}) = 1, \dots, n(1 + n^q)$  indicate the indices with respect to the adapted frame and natural frame respectively.

From (4) it easily follows that if  $g$  is a Riemannian metric in  $M_n$ , then  ${}^Dg$  is a Riemannian metric in  $T_q^1(M_n)$ .

**2.1. Remark.** The metric  ${}^Dg$  is similar to that of the Riemannian extension studied by S. Sasaki in the tangent bundle  $T_0^1(M_n)$  ( $q = 0$ ) [8] (see also [9], p.155, for the frame bundle, see [1, 4]). O. Kowalski [2] studied the Levi-Civita connection of the Sasaki metric on the tangent bundle. Section 3 in this paper will be devoted to a study of the Levi-Civita connection of  ${}^Dg$  in  $T_q^1(M_n)$ .

From (1) and (2) we see that components of  ${}^C X$ ,  ${}^H X$  and  ${}^V w$  :

$${}^C X^\alpha = \tilde{A} \alpha_H {}^C X^H, \quad {}^H X^\alpha = \tilde{A} \alpha_H {}^H X^H, \quad {}^V w^\alpha = \tilde{A} \alpha_H {}^V w^H$$

with respect to the adapted frame are given respectively by

$$\begin{cases} ({}^C X^\alpha) = \left( \begin{array}{c} X^i \\ t_{i_1 \dots i_q}^m \nabla_m X^r - \sum_{\mu=1}^q t_{i_1 \dots m \dots i_q}^r \nabla_{i_\mu} X^m \end{array} \right), \\ ({}^H X^\alpha) = \left( \begin{array}{c} X^i \\ 0 \end{array} \right), \\ ({}^V w^\alpha) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ w_{i_1 \dots i_q}^r \end{array} \right). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

From (4) and (5) we have

$${}^D g({}^H X, {}^H Y) = g(X, Y) \quad (6)$$

$${}^D g({}^V w, {}^H Y) = 0 \quad (7)$$

from (6). Hence we have:

**2.2. Theorem:** *Let  $X, Y \in T_0^1(M_n)$ . Then the inner product of the horizontal lifts  ${}^H X$  and  ${}^H Y$  to  $T_q^1(M_n)$  with metric  ${}^D g$  is equal to the vertical lift of the inner product of  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $M_n$ .*

From (4) and (5) we have also

$${}^D g({}^V w, {}^V \theta) = \sum_{(i)}^V (g(w_{(i)}, \theta_{(i)})), \quad (8)$$

$${}^D g({}^V w, {}^C Y) = \sum_{(i)}^V g(w_{(i)}, \iota(\nabla Y)_{(i)}), \quad (9)$$

$${}^D g({}^C X, {}^C Y) = {}^V (g(X, Y)) + \sum_{(i)}^V (g(\iota(\nabla X)_{(i)}, \iota(\nabla Y)_{(i)})), \quad (10)$$

where  $(i) = (i_1 \dots i_q)$  and  $\iota(\nabla X)_{(i)} = (t_{(i)}^m \nabla_m X^r - \sum_{\mu=1}^q t_{i_1 \dots m \dots i_q}^r \nabla_{i_\mu} X^m) \partial_r$ .

Since the horizontal (or complete) and the vertical lifts to  $T_q^1(M_n)$  of vector fields in  $M_n$  span the module of vector fields in  $T_q^1(M_n)$ , formulas (6)–(8) (or (8)–(10)) completely determine the diagonal lift  ${}^D g$  of the Riemannian metric  $g$  to the tensor bundle  $T_q^1(M_n)$ .

**2.3. Remark.** We recall that any element  $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{T}_2^0(T_0^1(M_n))$  of type (0, 2) in the tangent bundle  $T_0^1(M_n)$ , ( $q = 0$ ) is completely determined by its action on lifts of the type  ${}^C X_1, {}^C X_2$ , where  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are arbitrary vector fields in  $M_n$  ([9], p.33). Then  ${}^D g \in \mathcal{T}_2^0(T_0^1(M_n))$  is completely determined by (10) alone.

### 3. Levi-Civita Connection of ${}^Dg$

We now need the components of the non-holonomic object which is important when we use a frame of reference such as  $\{A_\beta\}$ . They are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} [A_\gamma, A_\beta] &= \Omega_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha A_\alpha, \text{ or,} \\ \Omega_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha &= (A_\gamma A_\beta^H - A_\beta A_\gamma^H) \tilde{A}^\alpha_H. \end{aligned}$$

According to  $A_j = \partial_j + (\sum_{\mu=1}^q \Gamma_{jh_\mu}^s t_{h_1 \dots s \dots h_q}^k - \Gamma_{js^t h_1 \dots h_q}^k) \partial_{\bar{h}}$ ,  $A_{\bar{j}} = \partial_{\bar{j}}$ , the components  $\Omega_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha$  are given by

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^{\bar{s}} = -\Omega_{j\bar{i}}^{\bar{s}} = \sum_{\mu=1}^q \Gamma_{js_\mu}^t \delta_r^{i_1} \dots \delta_t^{i_\mu} \dots \delta_{s_q}^{i_q} - \Gamma_{j\bar{r}}^n \delta_{s_1}^{i_1} \dots \delta_{s_q}^{i_q} \\ \Omega_{i\bar{j}}^{\bar{s}} = -\Omega_{j\bar{i}}^{\bar{s}} = \sum_{\mu=1}^q R_{ijs_\mu}^t t_{s_1 \dots t \dots s_q}^r - R_{ij\bar{t}}^r t_{s_1 \dots s_q}^t \\ \Omega_{i\bar{j}}^s = \Omega_{\bar{i}j}^s = \Omega_{i\bar{j}}^{\bar{s}} = \Omega_{\bar{i}j}^{\bar{s}} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where  $R_{kji}^h$  are components of the curvature tensor of the Riemannian connection  $\nabla$ .

Components of the Riemannian connection determined by the metric  ${}^Dg$  are given by:

$${}^D\Gamma_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} {}^Dg^{\alpha\epsilon} (A_\gamma {}^Dg_{\epsilon\beta} + A_\beta {}^Dg_{\gamma\epsilon} - A_\epsilon {}^Dg_{\gamma\beta}) + \frac{1}{2} (\Omega_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha + \Omega_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha + \Omega_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha), \quad (12)$$

where  $\Omega_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha = {}^Dg^{\alpha\epsilon} {}^Dg_{\delta\beta} \Omega_{\epsilon\gamma}^\delta$ ,  ${}^Dg^{\alpha\epsilon}$  are the contravariant components of the metric  ${}^Dg$  with respect to the adapted frame:

$$({}^Dg^{\beta\alpha}) = \begin{pmatrix} g^{ji} & 0 \\ 0 & g^{lr} \delta_{j_1 i_1} \dots \delta_{j_q i_q} \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

Then, taking account of (11), (12), and (13), we have

$$\begin{cases} {}^D\Gamma_{i\bar{j}}^h = \Gamma_{i\bar{j}}^h, & {}^D\Gamma_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^{\bar{h}} = \sum_{\mu=1}^q \Gamma_{jh_\mu}^t \delta_{h_1}^{i_1} \dots \delta_t^{i_\mu} \dots \delta_{h_q}^{i_q} \delta_r^k \\ {}^D\Gamma_{i\bar{j}}^h = \frac{1}{2} g^{hn} g_{\theta r} \delta^{m_1 j_1} \dots \delta^{m_q j_q} \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^q R_{nim_\mu}^t t_{m_1 \dots t \dots m_q}^\theta - R_{nit}^\theta t_{m_1 \dots m_q}^t \right) \\ {}^D\Gamma_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^h = \frac{1}{2} g^{hn} g_{\theta r} \delta^{m_1 i_1} \dots \delta^{m_q i_q} \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^q R_{njm_\mu}^t t_{m_1 \dots t \dots m_q}^\theta - R_{njt}^\theta t_{m_1 \dots m_q}^t \right) \\ {}^D\Gamma_{i\bar{j}}^{\bar{h}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^q R_{ijh_\mu}^t t_{h_1 \dots t \dots h_q}^k - R_{ij\bar{t}}^k t_{h_1 \dots h_q}^t \right) \\ {}^D\Gamma_{i\bar{j}}^{\bar{h}} = \Gamma_{i\bar{j}}^k \delta_{h_1}^{j_1} \dots \delta_{h_q}^{j_q}, & {}^D\Gamma_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^h = 0, & {}^D\Gamma_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^{\bar{h}} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

From (5) and (14) we see that  ${}^D\nabla_{V\theta}{}^Vw$ ,  ${}^D\nabla_{HX}{}^HY$ ,  ${}^D\nabla_{V\theta}{}^HY$  and  ${}^D\nabla_{HX}{}^Vw$  have, respectively, components of the form

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^D\nabla_{V\theta}{}^Vw = 0 \\ {}^D\nabla_{HX}{}^HY = \left( \begin{array}{l} X^i\nabla_i Y^k \\ \frac{1}{2}X^i Y^j \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^q R_{ijk_\mu}{}^t t_{k_1\dots t\dots k_q}^s - R_{ijt}{}^s t_{k_1\dots k_q}^t \right) \end{array} \right) \\ = \overline{H(\nabla_X Y) - \frac{1}{2}R(X, Y)} \\ {}^D\nabla_{V\theta}{}^HY = \left( \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2}Y^j \theta_{i_1\dots i_q}^r g^{hn} g_{lr} \delta^{m_1 i_1} \dots \delta^{m_q i_q} \\ \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^q R_{njm_\mu}{}^t t_{m_1\dots t\dots m_q}^l - R_{njt}{}^l t_{m_1\dots m_q}^t \right) \\ Y^j \sum_{\mu=1}^q \Gamma_{jh_\mu}^t \theta_{h_1\dots t\dots h_q}^k \end{array} \right) \\ {}^D\nabla_{HX}{}^Vw = \left( \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2}X^i w_{j_1\dots j_q}^l g^{hn} g_{lr} \delta^{m_1 j_1} \dots \delta^{m_q j_q} \\ \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^q R_{nim_\mu}{}^t t_{m_1\dots t\dots m_q}^r - R_{nit}{}^r t_{m_1\dots m_q}^t \right) \\ X^i (\partial_i w_{h_1\dots h_q}^k + \Gamma_{il}^k w_{h_1\dots h_q}^l) \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right. \quad (15)$$

where  $\overline{R(X, Y)}$  has components of the form

$$\overline{R(X, Y)} = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^q R_{ijk_\mu}{}^t t_{k_1\dots t\dots k_q}^s - R_{ijt}{}^s t_{k_1\dots k_q}^t \right) X^i Y^j \end{array} \right)$$

with respect to the adapted frame (also the natural frame). Since the horizontal and vertical lifts to  $T_q^1(M_n)$  span the module of vector field in  $T_q^1(M_n)$ , formulae (15) completely determine the Riemannian connection  ${}^D\nabla$  of the metric  ${}^Dg$ .

We will now define the horizontal lift  ${}^H\nabla$  of the Riemannian connection  $\nabla$  in  $M_n$  to  $T_q^1(M_n)$  by the conditions

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^H\nabla_{Vw}{}^V\theta = 0, \quad {}^H\nabla_{Vw}{}^HY = 0, \\ {}^H\nabla_{HX}{}^V\theta = {}^V(\nabla_X\theta), \quad {}^H\nabla_{HX}{}^HY = {}^H(\nabla_X Y) \end{array} \right. \quad (16)$$

for any  $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_q^1(M_n)$ ,  $w, \theta \in \mathcal{T}_q^1(M_n)$ . The horizontal lift  ${}^H\nabla$  has the components

$$\begin{aligned} {}^H\Gamma_{ms}^i &= \Gamma_{ms}^i, \quad {}^H\Gamma_{\overline{ms}}^i = 0, \quad {}^H\Gamma_{m\overline{s}}^i = 0, \quad {}^H\Gamma_{\overline{m\overline{s}}}^i = 0, \\ {}^H\Gamma_{\overline{ms}}^{\overline{i}} &= \Gamma_{sl_1}^{j_1} \delta_{i_1}^{m_1} \dots \delta_{i_q}^{m_q} - \sum_{c=1}^q \delta_{l_1}^{j_1} \delta_{i_1}^{m_1} \dots \Gamma_{s i_c}^{m_c} \dots \delta_{i_q}^{m_q}, \\ {}^H\Gamma_{m\overline{s}}^{\overline{i}} &= \Gamma_{mk_1}^{j_1} \delta_{i_1}^{s_1} \dots \delta_{i_q}^{s_q} - \sum_{c=1}^q \delta_{k_1}^{j_1} \delta_{i_1}^{s_1} \dots \Gamma_{m i_c}^{s_c} \dots \delta_{i_q}^{s_q}, \\ {}^H\Gamma_{ms}^{\overline{i}} &= (\partial_m \Gamma_{sa}^{j_1} + \Gamma_{mr}^j \Gamma_{sa}^r - \Gamma_{mc}^r \Gamma_{ra}^{j_1}) t_{i_1\dots i_q}^a \\ &\quad + \sum_{c=1}^q (-\partial_m \Gamma_{s i_c}^a + \Gamma_{m i_c}^{j_1} \Gamma_{sr}^a + \Gamma_{ms}^r \Gamma_{r i_c}^a) t_{i_1\dots a\dots i_q}^a \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{c=1}^q (\Gamma_{mr}^{j_1} \Gamma_{s_i c}^a + \Gamma_{m_i c}^a \Gamma_{sr}^{j_1}) t_{i_1 \dots a \dots i_q}^r \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=1}^q \sum_{c=1}^q (\Gamma_{m_i c}^l \Gamma_{s_i b}^r + \Gamma_{m_i b}^r \Gamma_{s_i c}^l) t_{i_1 \dots r \dots l \dots i_q}^{j_1}, \\
 {}^H \Gamma_{\bar{m} \bar{s}}^{\bar{v}} = 0, \quad (x^{\bar{i}} = t_{i_1 \dots i_q}^{j_1}, \quad x^{\bar{m}} = t_{m_1 \dots m_q}^{l_1}, \quad x^{\bar{s}} = t_{s_1 \dots s_q}^{k_1})
 \end{aligned}$$

with respect to the natural frame in  $T_q^1(M_n)$ .

Since the local vector fields  ${}^H X_i$  and  ${}^V w_{\bar{r}}$  span the module of vector fields in  $\pi^{-1}(U) \subset T_q^1(M_n)$ , any tensor field of type (1,2) is determined in  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  by its action on  ${}^H X_i$  and  ${}^V w_{\bar{r}}$ . We now define a tensor field  ${}^H S \in (\mathcal{T}_2^1(M_n))$  by

$$\begin{cases} {}^H S({}^H X, {}^H Y) = {}^H(S(X, Y)), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M_n) \\ {}^H S({}^V w, {}^H Y) = {}^V(S_Y(w)), \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{T}_q^1(M_n) \\ {}^H S({}^H X, {}^V \theta) = {}^V(S_X(\theta)), \quad \forall \theta \in \mathcal{T}_q^1(M_n) \\ {}^H S({}^V w, {}^V \theta) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

where  $S_X(w), S_X(\theta) \in \mathcal{T}_q^1(M_n)$  and  ${}^H S$  is called the horizontal lift of  $S \in \mathcal{T}_2^1(M_n)$  to  $T_q^1(M_n)$  [5].

Denote by  $T$  and  $\tilde{T}$ , respectively, the torsion tensors of  $\nabla$  and  ${}^H \nabla$ . Directly from the definition of the torsion tensor, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{T}({}^V w, {}^V \theta) &= {}^H \nabla_{{}^V w} {}^V \theta - {}^H \nabla_{{}^V \theta} {}^V w - [{}^V w, {}^V \theta] \\
 \tilde{T}({}^V w, {}^H Y) &= {}^H \nabla_{{}^V w} {}^H Y - {}^H \nabla_{{}^H Y} {}^V w - [{}^V w, {}^H Y] \\
 \tilde{T}({}^H X, {}^H Y) &= {}^H \nabla_{{}^H X} {}^H Y - {}^H \nabla_{{}^H Y} {}^H X - [{}^H X, {}^H Y].
 \end{aligned}$$

On other hand, let  $R$  denote the curvature tensor field of the connection  $\nabla$ . Then [3],

$$\begin{cases} [{}^V w, {}^V \theta] = 0, \quad [{}^V w, {}^H Y] = -{}^V(\nabla_Y w), \\ [{}^H X, {}^H Y] = {}^H[X, Y] + \overline{R(X, Y)} \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Taking into account (16), (17) and (18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{T}({}^V w, {}^V \theta) &= 0, \quad \tilde{T}({}^V w, {}^H Y) = 0 \\
 \tilde{T}({}^H X, {}^H Y) &= {}^H T({}^H X, {}^H Y) - \overline{R(X, Y)} \\
 &= {}^H(T(X, Y)) - \overline{R(X, Y)} = -\overline{R(X, Y)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

**3.1. Theorem:** When  $\nabla$  is a Riemannian connection,  ${}^H \nabla$  is torsionless if  $\nabla$  is locally flat, i.e.  $T = 0$  and  $R = 0$ .

We put

$${}^D \tilde{g} = \tilde{g}^{j_i} A_j \otimes A_i + \delta_{j_1 i_1} \dots \delta_{j_q i_q} \tilde{g}^{l_r} A_j \otimes A_{\bar{r}} \quad (19)$$

in  $T_q^1(M_n)$ .

From (16), we have

$$\begin{cases} {}^H\nabla_{{}^H X} A_i = X^s \Gamma_{s_i}^h A_h \\ {}^H\nabla_{{}^H X} A_{\bar{i}} = X^s (\Gamma_{sr}^k \delta_{h_1}^{i_1} \cdots \delta_{h_q}^{i_q} - \sum_{\mu=1}^q \Gamma_{sh_\mu}^t \delta_r^k \delta_{h_1}^{i_1} \cdots \delta_{h_q}^{i_q}) A_{\bar{h}} \\ {}^H\nabla_{V_w} A_i = 0, \quad {}^H\nabla_{V_w} A_{\bar{i}} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

for any  $X \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M_n)$ ,  $w \in \mathcal{T}_q^1(M_n)$ . Thus, according to (19) and (20), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} {}^H\nabla_{{}^H X} {}^D \tilde{g} = {}^D (\nabla_X \tilde{g}), \\ {}^H\nabla_{V_w} {}^D \tilde{g} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Let  $\nabla_X g = 0$ , then  $\nabla_X \tilde{g} = 0$ . Thus, taking account of (21),  $\nabla_X g = 0$  and  ${}^D g_{\alpha\gamma} {}^D \tilde{g}^{\gamma\beta} = \delta_\alpha^\beta$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} {}^H\nabla_{{}^H X} {}^D g &= 0, \\ {}^H\nabla_{V_w} {}^D g &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

**3.2. Theorem:** *Let  $M_n$  be a Riemannian manifold with metric  $g$ . Then the horizontal lift  ${}^H\nabla$  of the Riemannian connection  $\nabla$  is a metric connection with respect to  ${}^D g$ .*

#### 4. Geodesics in $T_q^1(M_n)$ with metric ${}^D g$

Let  $C$  be a curve in  $M_n$  expressed locally by  $x^h = x^h(t)$  and  $w_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k(t)$  be a tensor field of type  $(1, q)$  along  $C$ . Then, in the tensor bundle  $T_q^1(M_n)$ , we define a curve  $\tilde{C}$  by

$$x^h = x^h(t), \quad x^{\tilde{h}} \stackrel{def}{=} t_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k = w_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k(t) \quad (22)$$

If the curve  $C$  satisfies at all points the relation

$$\frac{\delta w_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k}{dt} = 0, \quad (23)$$

where  $\delta$  denotes absolute differentiation, then the curve  $\tilde{C}$  is said to be a *horizontal lift* of the curve  $C$  in  $M_n$ . Thus, if the initial condition  $w_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k = (w_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k)_0$  for  $t = t_0$  is given, there exists a unique horizontal lift expressed by (22).

We now consider differential equations of the geodesics of the tensor bundle  $T_q^1(M_n)$  with the metric  ${}^D g$ . If  $t$  is the arc length of a curve  $x^A = x^A(t)$  in  $T_q^1(M_n)$ , equations of geodesics in  $T_q^1(M_n)$  have the usual form

$$\frac{\delta^2 x^A}{dt^2} = \frac{d^2 x^A}{dt^2} + {}^D \Gamma_{CB}^A \frac{dx^C}{dt} \frac{dx^B}{dt} = 0 \quad (24)$$

with respect to the natural coordinates  $(x^i, x^{\bar{i}}) = (x^i, t_{j_1 \dots j_q}^l)$  in  $T_q^1(M_n)$ .

We find it more convenient to refer the equations (24) to the adapted frame  $\{A_i, A_{\bar{i}}\}$ . Using (2), we now write

$$\begin{aligned}\theta^h &= A^{(h)}_A dx^A = dx^h, \\ \theta^{\bar{h}} &= A^{(\bar{h})}_A dx^A = \delta t^k_{h_1 \dots h_q},\end{aligned}$$

and put

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\theta^h}{dt} &= A^{(h)}_A \frac{dx^A}{dt} = \frac{dx^h}{dt}, \\ \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} &= A^{(\bar{h})}_A \frac{dx^A}{dt} = \frac{\delta t^k_{h_1 \dots h_q}}{dt}\end{aligned}$$

along a curve  $x^A = x^A(t)$ , i.e.,  $x^h = x^h(t)$ ,  $t^k_{h_1 \dots h_q} = t^k_{h_1 \dots h_q}(t)$  in  $T_q^1(M_n)$ .

If we therefore write down the form equivalent to (24), namely,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\theta^\alpha}{dt} \right) + {}^D \Gamma_\delta^\alpha \beta \left( \frac{\theta^\gamma}{dt} \right) \left( \frac{\theta^\beta}{dt} \right) = 0$$

with respect to the adapted frame and take account of (14), then we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\delta^2 x^h}{dt^2} + g_{\theta l} \delta^{m_1 i_1} \dots \delta^{m_q i_q} \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^q R^n_{im_\mu} t^{\theta}_{m_1 \dots t \dots m_q} - R^n_{nit} t^{\theta}_{m_1 \dots m_q} \right) \frac{dx^i}{dt} \frac{dt^l_{j_1 \dots j_q}}{dt} = 0, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\delta t^k_{h_1 \dots h_q}}{dt} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^q R^n_{ij h_\mu} t^k_{h_1 \dots n \dots h_q} - R^n_{ijn} t^k_{h_1 \dots h_q} \right) \frac{dx^i}{dt} \frac{dx^j}{dt} \\ + \sum_{\mu=1}^q \Gamma^n_{jh_\mu} \left( \frac{\delta t^k_{h_1 \dots n \dots h_q}}{dt} \right) \frac{dx^j}{dt} + \Gamma^k_{il} \frac{dx^i}{dt} \frac{\delta t^l_{h_1 \dots h_q}}{dt} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

Since we have

$$R_{j i h}^m \frac{dx^j}{dt} \frac{dx^i}{dt} = 0$$

as a consequence of  $R_{(j i) h}^m = 0$ , we conclude by means of (25) that a curve  $x^i = x^i(t)$ ,  $t^k_{h_1 \dots h_q} = t^k_{h_1 \dots h_q}(t)$  in  $T_q^1(M_n)$  with the metric  ${}^D g$  is a geodesic in  $T_q^1(M_n)$ , if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\delta^2 x^h}{dt^2} + g_{\theta l} \delta^{m_1 i_1} \dots \delta^{m_q i_q} \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^q R^n_{im_\mu} t^{\theta}_{m_1 \dots t \dots m_q} - R^n_{nit} t^{\theta}_{m_1 \dots m_q} \right) \frac{dx^i}{dt} \frac{dt^l_{j_1 \dots j_q}}{dt} = 0, & (a) \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\delta t^k_{h_1 \dots h_q}}{dt} \right) + \sum_{\mu=1}^q \Gamma^n_{jh_\mu} \frac{\delta t^k_{h_1 \dots h_q}}{dt} \frac{dx^j}{dt} + \Gamma^k_{jl} \frac{\delta t^l_{j_1 \dots j_q}}{dt} \frac{dx^j}{dt} = 0. & (b) \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

If a curve satisfying (26) lies on the fibre given by  $x^h = \text{const}$ , then (24), (b)) reduces to

$$\frac{d^2 t^k_{h_1 \dots h_q}}{dt^2} = 0,$$

so that  $t_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k = a_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k t + b_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k$ ,  $a_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k$  and  $b_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k$  being constant. Thus we have

**4.1. Theorem :** *If the geodesic  $x^h = x^h(t)$ ,  $t_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k = t_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k(t)$  lies in a fibre of  $T_q^1(M_n)$  with the metric  ${}^Dg$ , the geodesic is expressed by the linear equations  $x^h = c^h$ ,  $t_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k = a_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k t + b_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k$ , where  $a_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k$ ,  $b_{h_1 \dots h_q}^k$  and  $c^h$  are constant.*

From (23) and (26), we have

**4.2. Theorem :** *The horizontal lift of a geodesic in  $M_n$  is always a geodesic in  $T_q^1(M_n)$  with the metric  ${}^Dg$ .*

## References

- [1] Cordero, L. A., Dotson, C. T. J. and de Leon, M. Differential geometry of frame bundles, Kluwer Acad. Pub. 1989.
- [2] Kowalski, O. Curvature of the induced Riemannian metric on the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold, J. reine angew. Math. **250**, 124–129, 1971.
- [3] Ledger A. and Yano K. Almost complex structures on tensor bundles, J. Dif. Geom. **1**, 355–368, 1976.
- [4] Mok K. P. On the differential geometry of the frame bundles of Riemannian manifolds, J. reine angew. Math. **302**, 16–31, 1976.
- [5] Salimov A. A. A new method in theory of lifts of tensor fields to a tensor bundle, IZV. Vuz. Math. N **3**, 69–75, 1994 (in Russian).
- [6] Salimov A. A. The generalized Yano-Ako operator and the complete lift of tensor fields, Tensor N. S. Japan **55 (2)**, 142–146, 1994.
- [7] Salimov, A. A. and Mağden, A. Complete lifts of tensor fields on a pure cross-section in the tensor bundle  $T_q^1(M_n)$ , Note Di Matematica **18 (1)**, 27–37, 1998.
- [8] Sasaki, S. On the differential geometry of tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds, Tohoku Math. J. **10**, 338–354, 1958.
- [9] Yano, K. and Ishihara, S. Tangent and Cotangent Bundles, Mareel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1973.