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#### Abstract

The main purpose of the paper is to study the Tachibana operator for a pure Riemannian metric tensor field and then to apply the results obtained to the study of paraholomorphic B-manifolds.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $M_{n}$ be a Riemannian manifold with metric $g$, which is not necessarily positive definite. We denote by $\mathcal{T}_{q}^{p}\left(M_{n}\right)$ the set of all tensor fields of type $(p, q)$ on $M_{n}$. Manifolds, tensor fields and connections are always assumed to be differentiable and of class $C^{\infty}$.

An almost paracomplex manifold is an almost product manifold $\left(M_{n}, \varphi\right), \varphi \in I$, such that the two eigenbundles $T^{+} M_{n}$ and $T^{-} M_{n}$ associated with the two eigenvalues +1 and -1 of $\varphi$, respectively, have the same rank. Note that the dimension of an almost paracomplex manifold is necessarily even.

Considering the paracomplex structure $\varphi$, we obtain the following set of affinors on $M_{n}$ : $\{I, \varphi\}, \varphi^{2}=I$, which form a basis of a representation of an algebra of order 2 over the field of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$, which is called the algebra of paracomplex (or double) numbers and is denoted by $\mathbb{R}(j)=\left\{a_{0}+a_{1} j \mid j^{2}=1, a_{0}, a_{1} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$. Obviously, it is associative, commutative and unitial, i.e., it admits a principal unit 1. The canonical basis of this algebra has the form $\{1, j\}$. The structural constants of an algebra are defined by the multiplication law of the base units of this algebra: $e_{i} e_{j}=C_{i j}^{k} e_{k}$. With respect to the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}(j)$ the components of $C_{i j}^{k}$ are given by $C_{11}^{1}=C_{12}^{2}=C_{21}^{2}=C_{22}^{1}=1$, all the others being zero.

Consider $\mathbb{R}(j)$ endowed with the usual topology of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and a domain $U$ of $\mathbb{R}(j)$. Let

$$
X=x^{1}+j x^{2}
$$

[^0]be a variable in $\mathbb{R}(j)$, where $x^{i}$ are the real coordinates of a point of a certain domain $U$ for $i=1,2$. Using two real-valued functions $f^{i}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right), i=1,2$, we introduce a paracomplex function
$$
F=f^{1}+j f^{2}
$$
of the variable $X$. It is said to be paraholomorphic if we have
$$
d F=F^{\prime}(X) d X
$$

for the differentials $d X=d x^{1}+j d x^{2}, d F=d f^{1}+j d f^{2}$ and the derivative $F^{\prime}(X)$. The paraholomorphy of the function $F=f^{1}+j f^{2}$ in the variable $X=x^{1}+j x^{2}$ is equivalent to the requirement that the Jacobian matrix $D=\left(\partial_{k} f^{i}\right)$ should commute with the matrix $C_{2}=\left(C_{i j}^{k}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ (see [5, p. 87]). It follows that $F$ is paraholomorphic if and only if $f^{1}$ and $f^{2}$ satisfy the para-Cauchy-Riemann equations:

$$
\frac{\partial f^{1}}{\partial x^{1}}=\frac{\partial f^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}, \frac{\partial f^{1}}{\partial x^{2}}=\frac{\partial f^{2}}{\partial x^{1}}
$$

For almost paracomplex structures, integrability is equivalent to the vanishing of the Nijenhuis tensor

$$
N_{\varphi}(X, Y)=[\varphi X, \varphi Y]-\varphi[\varphi X, Y]-\varphi[X, \varphi Y]+[X, Y] .
$$

On the other hand, in order that an almost paracomplex structure be integrable, it is necessary and sufficient that we can introduce on $M$ a torsion free linear connection such that $\nabla \varphi=0$.

A paracomplex manifold is an almost paracomplex manifold $\left(M_{2 k}, \varphi\right)$ such that the $G$-structure defined by the affinor field $\varphi$ is integrable. We can give another, equivalent, definition of paracomplex manifold in terms of local homeomorphisms in the space $\mathbb{R}^{k}(j)=\left\{\left(X^{1}, \ldots, X^{k}\right) \mid X^{i} \in \mathbb{R}(j), i=1, \ldots, k\right\}$ and paraholomorphic changes of charts in a way similar to [1] (for more details see [5]), i.e. a manifold $M_{2 k}$ with an integrable paracomplex structure $\varphi$ is a real realization of the paraholomorphic manifold $M_{k}(\mathbb{R}(j))$ over the algebra $\mathbb{R}(j)$. Let $t^{*}$ be a paracomplex tensor field on $M_{k}(\mathbb{R}(j))$. The real model of such a tensor field is a tensor field on $M_{2 k}$ of the same order, that is independent of whether its vector or covector arguments is subject to the action of the affinor structure $\varphi$. Such tensor fields are said to be pure with respect to $\varphi$. They have been studied by many authors (see, e.g., $[2-5,7]$ ). In particular, when applied to a $(0, q)$-tensor field $\omega$, purity means that for any $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{q} \in \mathcal{T}_{0}^{1}\left(M_{2 k}\right)$, the following conditions should hold:

$$
\omega\left(\varphi X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{q}\right)=\omega\left(X_{1}, \varphi X_{2}, \ldots, X_{q}\right)=\cdots=\omega\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, \varphi X_{q}\right) .
$$

We define an operator $\phi_{\varphi}: \mathcal{T}_{q}^{0}\left(M_{2 k}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{q+1}^{0}\left(M_{2 k}\right)$, applied to the pure tensor field $\omega$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\phi_{\varphi} \omega\right)\left(X, Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{q}\right) & =(\varphi X)\left(\omega\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{q}\right)\right)-X\left(\omega\left(\varphi Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{q}\right)\right)+ \\
& +\omega\left(\left(L_{Y_{1}} \varphi\right) X, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{q}\right)+\cdots+\omega\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots,\left(L_{Y_{q}} \varphi\right) X\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

(see [7]), where $L_{Y}$ denotes Lie differentiation with respect to $Y$.
When $\varphi$ is paracomplex structure on $M_{2 k}$, and the tensor field $\phi_{\varphi} \omega$ vanishes, the paracomplex tensor field $\omega^{*}$ on $M_{k}(\mathbb{R}(j))$ is said to be paraholomorphic [2]. Thus a paraholomorphic paracomplex tensor field $\omega^{*}$ on $M_{2}(\mathbb{R}(j))$ is realized on $M_{2 k}$ in the form of a pure tensor field $\omega$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi_{\varphi} \omega\right)\left(X, Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{q}\right)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X, Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{q} \in \mathcal{T}_{0}^{1}\left(M_{n}\right)$. Therefore such a tensor field $\omega$ on $M_{2 k}$ is also called a paraholomorphic tensor field.

## 2. Holomorphic B-Manifolds

A pure metric with respect to an almost paracomplex structure is a Riemannian metric $g$ such that
(2.1) $\quad g(\varphi X, Y)=g(X, \varphi Y)$
for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_{0}^{1}\left(M_{n}\right)$. Such Riemannian metrics were studied in [6], where they were called $B$-metrics, since the metric tensor $g$ with respect to the structure $\varphi$ is a B-tensor according to the terminology accepted in [5]. If $\left(M_{2 k}, \varphi\right)$ is an almost paracomplex manifold with a B-metric, we say that $\left(M_{2 k}, \varphi, g\right)$ is an almost $B$-manifold. If $\varphi$ is integrable, we say that $\left(M_{2 k}, \varphi, g\right)$ is an $B$-manifold.

In a B-manifold, the B-metric is called paraholomorphic if $\left(\phi_{\varphi} g\right)(X, Y, Z)=0$. If $\left(M_{2 k}, \varphi, g\right)$ is a B-manifold with a paraholomorphic B-metric $g$, we say that $\left(M_{2 k}, \varphi, g\right)$ is a paraholomorphic B-manifold.

In some respects paraholomorphic B-manifolds may viewed as analogous to Kahler manifolds. The following theorem [3] is analogous to an almost Hermitian manifold being Kahler if and only if the almost complex structure is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection.
2.1. Theorem. An almost B-manifold is a paraholomorphic B-manifold if and only if the almost paracomplex structure is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection.

Let $\left(M_{2 k}, \varphi, g\right)$ be an almost B-manifold. The associated B-metric of an almost Bmanifold is defined by
(2.2) $\quad G(X, Y)=(g \circ \varphi)(X, Y)$
for all vector fields $X$ and $Y$ on $M_{2 k}$. We shall now apply the Tachibana operator to the pure Riemannian metric $G$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\phi_{\varphi} G\right)(X, Y, Z)= & \left(L_{\varphi X} G-L_{X}(G \circ \varphi)\right)(Y, Z)+G\left(Y, \varphi L_{X} Z\right)-G\left(\varphi Y, L_{X} Z\right) \\
= & \left(L_{\varphi X}(g \circ \varphi)-L_{X}((g \circ \varphi) \circ \varphi)(Y, Z)+(g \circ \varphi)\left(Y, \varphi L_{X} Z\right)\right. \\
& -(g \circ \varphi)\left(\varphi Y, L_{X} Z\right) \\
= & \left(\left(L_{\varphi X} g\right) \circ \varphi+g \circ L_{\varphi X} \varphi-L_{X}(g \circ \varphi) \circ \varphi-(g \circ \varphi) L_{X} \varphi\right)(Y, Z) \\
& \quad+(g \circ \varphi)\left(Y, \varphi L_{X} Z\right)-(g \circ \varphi)\left(\varphi Y, L_{X} Z\right) \\
= & \left(L_{\varphi X} g-L_{X}(g \circ \varphi)\right)(\varphi Y, Z)+g\left(\varphi Y, \varphi L_{X} Z\right) \\
& \quad-g\left(\varphi(\varphi Y), L_{X} Z\right)+\left(g \circ L_{\varphi X} \varphi-(g \circ \varphi) L_{X} \varphi\right)(Y, Z) \\
= & \left(\phi_{\varphi} g\right)(X, \varphi Y, Z)+g\left(\left(L_{\varphi X}\right) Y, Z\right)-g\left(\varphi\left(\left(L_{X} \varphi\right) Y\right), Z\right) \\
= & \left(\phi_{\varphi} g\right)(X, \varphi Y, Z)+g([\varphi X, \varphi Y]-\varphi[\varphi X, Y], Z) \\
& \quad-g\left(\varphi[X, \varphi Y]-\varphi^{2}[X, Y], Z\right) \\
= & \left(\phi_{\varphi} g\right)(X, \varphi Y, Z)+g([\varphi X, \varphi Y]-\varphi[\varphi X, Y]-\varphi[X, \varphi Y] \\
& \left.+\varphi^{2}[X, Y], Z\right) \\
= & \left(\phi_{\varphi} g\right)(X, \varphi Y, Z)+g\left(N_{\varphi}(X, Y), Z\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (2.3) implies the following
2.2. Theorem. In an almost $B$-manifold, we have

$$
\phi_{\varphi} G=\left(\phi_{\varphi} g\right) \circ \varphi+g \circ\left(N_{\varphi}\right) .
$$

From Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 we have:
2.3. Theorem. Almost B-manifold satisfying the conditions $\phi_{\varphi} G=0, N_{\varphi} \neq 0$, i.e. the analogues of the almost Kahler manifolds, do not exist.
2.4. Corollary. The following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $\phi_{\varphi} g=0$.
(b) $\phi_{\varphi} G=0$.

We denote by $\nabla_{g}$ the covariant differentiation of the Levi-Civita connection of the B-metric $g$. Then, we have

$$
\nabla_{g} G=\left(\nabla_{g} g\right) \circ \varphi+g \circ\left(\nabla_{g} \varphi\right)=g \circ\left(\nabla_{g} \varphi\right)
$$

which implies $\nabla_{g} G=0$ by virtue of Theorem 2.1. Therefore we have
2.5. Theorem. Let $\left(M_{2 k}, \varphi, g\right)$ be a paraholomorphic B-manifold. Then the Levi-Civita connection of the B-metric $g$ coincides with the Levi-Civita connection of the associated $B$-metric $G$.

## 3. Curvature tensors in a paraholomorphic B-manifold

Let $R$ and $S$ be the curvature tensors formed by $g$ and $G$ respectively. Then for a paraholomorphic B-manifold we have $R=S$ by means of Theorem 2.5.

Applying Ricci's identity to $\varphi$, we get
(3.1) $\quad \varphi(R(X, Y) Z)=R(X, Y) \varphi Z$
by virtue of $\nabla \varphi=0$. Hence $R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)=g\left(R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) X_{3}, X_{4}\right)$ is pure with respect to $X_{3}$ and $X_{4}$, and also pure with respect to $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \varphi X_{3}, X_{4}\right) & =g\left(R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \varphi X_{3}, X_{4}\right) \\
& =g\left(\varphi\left(R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) X_{3}\right), X_{4}\right) \\
& =g\left(R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) X_{3}, \varphi X_{4}\right) \\
& =R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \varphi X_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, $S$ being the curvature tensor formed by the associated B-metric $G$, if we put $S\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)=G\left(S\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) X_{3}, X_{4}\right)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)=S\left(X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking account of (1.1), (2.2), (3.1) and $R=S$, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right) & =G\left(S\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) X_{3}, X_{4}\right) \\
& =g\left(\varphi\left(S\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) X_{3}\right), X_{4}\right) \\
& =g\left(S\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) X_{3}, \varphi X_{4}\right) \\
& =g\left(R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) X_{3}, \varphi X_{4}\right) \\
& =R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \varphi X_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\left(X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{1}, X_{2}\right) & =G\left(S\left(X_{3}, X_{4}\right) X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \\
& =g\left(\varphi\left(S\left(X_{3}, X_{4}\right) X_{1}\right), X_{2}\right) \\
& =g\left(S\left(X_{3}, X_{4}\right) X_{1}, \varphi X_{2}\right) \\
& =g\left(R\left(X_{3}, X_{4}\right) X_{1}, \varphi X_{2}\right) \\
& =R\left(X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{1}, \varphi X_{2}\right) \\
& =R\left(X_{1}, \varphi X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus equation (3.2) becomes

$$
R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \varphi X_{4}\right)=R\left(X_{1}, \varphi X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)
$$

which shows that $R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)$ is pure with respect to $X_{2}$ and $X_{4}$. Therefore $R\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)$ is pure.

Thus we get
3.1. Theorem. In a paraholomorphic B-manifold, the Riemannian curvature tensor of the $B$-metric is pure.

Since the Riemannian curvature tensor $R$ is pure, we can apply the $\phi$-operator to $R$. By similar devices (see the proof of Theorem 1 in [3]), we can prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi_{\varphi} R\right)\left(X, Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}, Y_{4}\right)=\left(\nabla_{\varphi X} R\right)\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}, Y_{4}\right)-\left(\nabla_{X} R\right)\left(\varphi Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}, Y_{4}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.1), and applying Bianchi's 2nd identity to (3.3), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\phi_{\varphi} R\right)\left(X, Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}, Y_{4}\right) & =g\left(\left(\nabla_{\varphi X} R\right)\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}\right)-\left(\nabla_{X} R\right)\left(\varphi Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}\right), Y_{4}\right) \\
= & g\left(\left(\nabla_{\varphi X} R\right)\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}\right)-\varphi\left(\left(\nabla_{X} R\right)\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}\right)\right), Y_{4}\right) \\
= & g\left(-\left(\nabla_{Y_{1}} R\right)\left(Y_{2}, \varphi X, Y_{3}\right)-\left(\nabla_{Y_{1}} R\right)\left(\varphi X, Y_{1}, Y_{3}\right)\right.  \tag{3.4}\\
& \left.-\varphi\left(\left(\nabla_{X} R\right)\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}\right)\right), Y_{4}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, using $\nabla \varphi=0$, we find:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\nabla_{Y_{2}} R\right)\left(\varphi X, Y_{1}, Y_{3}\right)= \nabla_{Y_{2}}\left(R\left(\varphi X, Y_{1}, Y_{3}\right)\right)-R\left(\nabla_{Y_{2}}(\varphi X), Y_{1}, Y_{3}\right) \\
&-R\left(\varphi X, \nabla_{Y_{2}} Y_{1}, Y_{3}\right)-R\left(\varphi X, Y_{1}, \nabla_{Y_{2}} Y_{3}\right) \\
&=\left(\nabla_{Y_{2}} \varphi\right)\left(R\left(X, Y_{1}, Y_{3}\right)\right)+\varphi\left(\nabla_{Y_{2}} R\left(X, Y_{1}, Y_{3}\right)\right) \\
&-R\left(\left(\nabla_{Y_{2}} \varphi\right) X+\varphi\left(\nabla_{Y_{2}} X\right), Y_{1}, Y_{3}\right) \\
&-R\left(\varphi X, \nabla_{Y_{2}} Y_{1}, Y_{3}\right)-R\left(\varphi X, Y_{1}, \nabla_{Y_{2}} Y_{3}\right) \\
&= \varphi\left(\nabla_{Y_{2}} R\left(X, Y_{1}, Y_{3}\right)\right)-\varphi\left(R\left(\nabla_{Y_{2}} X, Y_{1}, Y_{3}\right)\right) \\
&=-\varphi\left(R\left(X, \nabla_{Y_{2}} Y_{1}, Y_{3}\right)\right)-\varphi\left(R\left(X, Y_{1}, \nabla_{Y_{2}} Y_{3}\right)\right) \\
&=\varphi\left(\left(\nabla_{Y_{2}} R\right)\left(X, Y_{1}, Y_{3}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{Y_{1}} R\right)\left(Y_{2}, \varphi X, Y_{3}\right)=\varphi\left(\left(\nabla_{Y_{1}} R\right)\left(Y_{2}, X, Y_{3}\right)\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.5) and (3.6) in (3.4), and using again Bianchi's 2nd identity, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\phi_{\varphi} R\right)\left(X, Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}, Y_{4}\right)=g\left(-\varphi\left(\left(\nabla_{Y_{1}} R\right)\left(Y_{2}, X, Y_{3}\right)\right)-\varphi\left(\left(\nabla_{Y_{2}} R\right)\left(X, Y_{1}, Y_{3}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\varphi\left(\left(\nabla_{X} R\right)\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}\right)\right), Y_{4}\right) \\
& =-g\left(\varphi\left(\sigma\left\{\left(\nabla_{X} R\right)\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right\}, Y_{3}\right)\right), Y_{4}\right) \\
& =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma$ denotes the cyclic sum with respect to $X, Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$. Therefore we have:
3.2. Theorem. In a paraholomorphic B-manifold, the Riemannian curvature tensor field is a paraholomorphic tensor field
3.3. Example. We suppose that the manifold $M_{2 n}$ is the tangent bundle $\pi: T\left(V_{n}\right) \rightarrow V_{n}$ of a Riemannian manifold $V_{n}$. If $x^{i}$ are the local coordinates on $V_{n}$, then the $x^{i}$, together with the fibre coordinates $x^{\bar{\imath}}=y^{i}, \bar{\imath}=n+1, \ldots 2 n$, form local coordinates on $T\left(V_{n}\right)$.

A tensor field of type $(0, q)$ on $T\left(V_{n}\right)$ is completely determined by its action on all vector fields $\widetilde{X}_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, q$, which are of the form ${ }^{V} X$ (vertical lift) or ${ }^{H} X$ (horizontal lift) [8, p.101]:

$$
{ }^{V} X=X^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\bar{\imath}}},{ }^{H} X=X^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}-y^{s} \Gamma_{s h}^{i} X^{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\imath}} .
$$

Therefore, we define the Sasakian metric ${ }^{s} g$ on $T\left(V_{n}\right)$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{ }^{s} g\left({ }^{H} X,{ }^{H} Y\right)={ }^{V}(g(X, Y))  \tag{3.7}\\
{ }^{s} g\left({ }^{V} X,{ }^{V} Y\right)={ }^{V}(g(X, Y)) \\
{ }^{s} g\left({ }^{V} X,{ }^{H} Y\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_{0}^{1}\left(V_{n}\right)$. The metric ${ }^{s} g$ has local components

$$
{ }^{s} g=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
g_{j i}+g_{t s} y^{k} y^{l} \Gamma_{k j}^{t} \Gamma_{l i}^{s} & y^{k} \Gamma_{k j}^{s} g_{s i} \\
y^{k} \Gamma_{k i}^{s} g_{j s} & g_{j i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with respect to the induced coordinates $\left(x^{i}, x^{\bar{\imath}}\right)$ in $T\left(V_{n}\right)$, where $\Gamma_{i j}^{k}$ are the components of the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla_{g}$ in $V_{n}$.

The diagonal lift ${ }^{D} \varphi$ in $T\left(V_{n}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{ }^{D} \varphi^{H} X={ }^{H}(\varphi X),  \tag{3.8}\\
{ }^{D} \varphi^{V} X=-{ }^{V}(\varphi X),
\end{array}\right.
$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{T}_{0}^{1}\left(V_{n}\right)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_{1}^{1}\left(M_{n}\right)$. The diagonal lift ${ }^{D} I$ of the identity tensor field $I \in \mathcal{T}_{1}^{1}\left(M_{n}\right)$ has the components

$$
{ }^{D} I=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\delta_{i}^{j} & 0 \\
-2 y^{t} \Gamma_{t i}^{j} & -\delta_{i}^{j}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with respect to the induced coordinates and satisfies $\left({ }^{D} I\right)^{2}=I_{T\left(V_{n}\right)}$. Thus, ${ }^{D} I$ is an almost paracomplex structure determining the horizontal distribution and the distribution consisting of the tangent planes to fibres.

We put

$$
A(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})={ }^{s} g\left({ }^{D} I \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}\right)-{ }^{s} g\left(\tilde{X},{ }^{D} I \tilde{Y}\right)
$$

If $A(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})=0$ for all vector fields $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{Y}$ which are of the form ${ }^{{ }^{V}} X,{ }^{V} Y$ or ${ }^{H^{H}} X,{ }^{H^{H}} Y$ then $A=0$. We have by virtue of ${ }^{D} I^{V} X=-{ }^{V} X,{ }^{D} I^{H} X={ }^{H} X$, (4.1) and (4.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left({ }^{V} X,{ }^{V} Y\right)={ }^{s} g\left(-{ }^{V} X,{ }^{V} Y\right)-{ }^{s} g\left({ }^{V} X,-{ }^{V} Y\right)=0, \\
& A\left({ }^{V} X,{ }^{H} Y\right)={ }^{s} g\left(-{ }^{V} X,{ }^{H} Y\right)-{ }^{s} g\left({ }^{V} X,{ }^{H} Y\right)=0, \\
& A\left({ }^{H} X,{ }^{V} Y\right)={ }^{s} g\left({ }^{H} X,{ }^{V} Y\right)-{ }^{s} g\left({ }^{H} X,-{ }^{V} Y\right)=0, \\
& \left.A\left({ }^{H} X,{ }^{H} Y\right)={ }^{s} g\left({ }^{H} X,{ }^{H} Y\right)-{ }^{s} X,{ }^{H} Y\right)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. ${ }^{s} g$ is B-metric with respect to ${ }^{D} I$. Hence we have:
3.4. Theorem. $\left(T\left(V_{n}\right),{ }^{D} I,{ }^{s} g\right)$ is an almost $B$-manifold.

Using the properties of ${ }^{V} X,{ }^{H} X$ and $\gamma R(X, Y)=y^{s} R_{i j s}^{k} X^{i} Y^{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\phi_{D_{I}}{ }^{s} g\right)\left({ }^{V} X,{ }^{H} Y,{ }^{H} Z\right) & =-2\left({ }^{s} g{ }^{V}\left(\nabla_{Y} X\right),{ }^{H} Z+{ }^{s} g\left({ }^{H} Y,{ }^{V}\left(\nabla_{Z} X\right)\right)\right)=0 \\
\left(\phi_{D_{I}}{ }^{s} g\right)\left({ }^{V} X,{ }^{H} Y,{ }^{V} Z\right) & =-2{ }^{s} g\left({ }^{H} Y,\left[{ }^{V} Z,{ }^{V} X\right]\right)=0 \\
\left(\phi_{D_{I}}{ }^{s} g\right)\left({ }^{V} X,{ }^{V} Y,{ }^{H} Z\right) & =-2^{s} g\left(\left[{ }^{V} Y,{ }^{V} X\right],{ }^{H} Z\right)=0 \\
\left(\phi_{D_{I}}{ }^{s} g\right)\left({ }^{V} X,{ }^{V} Y,{ }^{V} Z\right) & =0 \\
\left(\phi_{D_{I}}{ }^{s} g\right)\left({ }^{H} X,{ }^{H} Y,{ }^{H} Z\right) & =0 \\
\left(\phi_{D_{I}}{ }^{s} g\right)\left({ }^{H} X,{ }^{V} Y,{ }^{V} Z\right) & =2{ }^{V}\left(\left(\nabla_{X} g\right)(Y, Z)\right)=0 \\
\left(\phi_{D_{I}}{ }^{s} g\right)\left({ }^{H} X,{ }^{H} Y,{ }^{V} Z\right) & =-2^{s} g\left(\gamma R(Y, X),{ }^{V} Z\right) \\
\left(\phi_{D_{I}}{ }^{s} g\right)\left({ }^{H} X,{ }^{V} Y,{ }^{H} Z\right) & =-2^{s} g\left({ }^{V} Y, \gamma R(Z, X)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have:
3.5. Theorem. The almost B-manifold $\left(T\left(V_{n}\right),{ }^{D} I,{ }^{s} g\right)$ is paraholomorphic if and only if $V_{n}$ is locally Euclidean.
3.6. Example. Now let $M_{n}$ be the locally product Riemannian manifold with integrable almost product structure $\varphi=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\delta_{j}^{i} & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta_{\bar{\jmath}}^{\bar{\imath}}\end{array}\right), i, j=1, \ldots, k, \bar{\imath}, \bar{\jmath}=k+1, \ldots, n$, and let $n=2 k$. Then the paracomplex manifold $M_{2 k}$ admits the structure of a B-manifold:

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
g_{i j} & 0 \\
0 & g_{\bar{\imath} \bar{\jmath}}
\end{array}\right), g_{i j}=g_{i j}\left(x^{t}, x^{\bar{t}}\right), g_{\bar{\imath} \bar{\jmath}}\left(x^{t}, x^{\bar{t}}\right)
$$

Suppose that the metric of the locally product Riemannian manifold $M_{2 k}$ has the form

$$
d s^{2}=g_{i j}\left(x^{t}\right) d x^{i} d x^{j}+g_{\bar{\imath} \bar{\jmath}}\left(x^{\bar{t}}\right) d x^{\bar{\imath}} d x^{\bar{\jmath}}, i, j, t=1, \ldots, k, \bar{\imath}, \bar{\jmath}, \bar{t}=k+1, \ldots, 2 k
$$

that is the $g_{i j}(x)$ are functions of $x^{t}$ only, $g_{\bar{\imath} \bar{\jmath}}=0$ and the $g_{\bar{\imath} \bar{\jmath}}(x)$ are functions of $x^{\bar{t}}$ only, then we call the manifold a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold. A necessary and sufficient condition for a locally product Riemannian manifold to be a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold is that $\nabla_{g} \varphi=0$ [9, p. 420]. Then from Theorem 3.2 we have
3.7. Theorem. A locally decomposable Riemannian manifold $M_{2 k}$ is a paraholomorphic $B$-manifold.
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