hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics

 $\bigcirc \quad \text{Volume } 44 \, (3) \, (2015), \, 651 - 657$ 

# Is homotopy perturbation method the traditional Taylor series expansion

M. Turkyilmazoglu \*

#### Abstract

The present paper deals with the homotopy perturbation method. The question of whether the homotopy perturbation method is simply the conventional Taylor series expansion is examined. It is proven that under particular choices of the auxiliary parameters the homotopy perturbation method is indeed the Taylor series expansion of the sought solution of nonlinear equations.

Keywords: Nonlinearity, Analytic solution, Homotopy perturbation method.

Received 07/03/2012 : Accepted 30/07/2013 Doi : 10.15672/HJMS.2015449416

#### 1. Introduction

Most of the real-life phenomena is governed by nonlinear equations whose solutions are difficult to find. Therefore, friendly tools have been the focus of past two decade's research.

Recently, investigators have proposed plenty of techniques to find approximate solutions. One of the most recent popular technique is the homotopy perturbation method based on the concept of topology. This method is quite distinct from the classical perturbation technique and does not require a small parameter or a linear term in a differential equation. Essentially, a homotopy with an embedding parameter  $p \in [0, 1]$  is constructed. The basic details of homotopy perturbation method for solving nonlinear differential equations were outlined in [1], see also [2, 3, 4]. A numerous nonlinear problems were recently treated by the method, see for instance [5]. The recent works highlight clearly the fact that there is a close relationship between the Adomian decomposition and Taylor series methods as well as the homotopy and Taylor series methods [6, 7].

The investigation of current paper focuses on the homotopy perturbation technique. The prime motivation is to examine the method mathematically and to prove that under certain constraints, by particular choice of auxiliary linear operator and initial approximation, the homotopy perturbation method simply collapses onto the classical Taylor series expansion.

<sup>\*</sup>Mathematics Department, University of Hacettepe, 06532-Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey Email: turkyilm@hacettepe.edu.tr

## 2. The Homotopy Perturbation Method

The essential idea of this method is to introduce a homotopy parameter, say p, which varies from 0 to 1. Consider the nonlinear initial value problem

(2.1) 
$$N(u) = 0, \quad B(u, \frac{du}{dn}) = 0,$$

where u is the function to be solved under the boundary constraints in B. He's homotopy perturbation technique [1, 10] defines a homotopy  $u(r, p) : R \times [0, 1] \to R$  so that

(2.2) 
$$H(u,p) = (1-p)[L(u) - L(u_0)] + pN(u),$$

where L is a suitable auxiliary linear operator,  $u_0$  is an initial approximation of equation (2.1) satisfying exactly the boundary conditions, see also [2] for the rest. It is obvious from equation (2.2) that

(2.3) 
$$H(u,0) = L(u) - L(u_0), \quad H(u,1) = N(u)$$

As p moves from 0 to 1, u(t, p) moves from  $u_0(t)$  to u(t). Our basic assumption is that the solution of equation (2.2) when equated to zero can be expressed as a power series in p

(2.4) 
$$u(t,p) = u_0(t) + pu_1(t) + p^2 u_2(t) + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k(t) p^k.$$

The approximate solution of equation (2.1), therefore, can be readily obtained as

(2.5) 
$$u(t) = \lim_{p \to 1} u(t,p) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k(t).$$

# 3. Homotopy perturbation and Taylor expansion

To answer the question raised in the title of the paper, let's take into account the first-order initial value problem version of (2.1)

(3.1) 
$$u'(t) = F(u), \quad u(0) = \alpha,$$

where  $\alpha$  is a constant. A straightforward Taylor series representation for the solution u(t) at point t = 0 can be given in the form

(3.2) 
$$u(t) = u(0) + u'(0)t + \frac{u''(0)}{2!}t^2 + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k t^k,$$

where  $a_n = \frac{u^{(n)}(0)}{n!}$  can be immediately found from differentiating (3.1) successively and substituting t = 0. A few of the coefficients follow

(3.3)  

$$a_{1} = u'(0) = F(\alpha),$$

$$a_{2} = \frac{u''(0)}{2!} = \frac{1}{2!}F_{u}(\alpha)a_{1},$$

$$a_{3} = \frac{u'''(0)}{3!} = \frac{1}{3!}[F_{uu}(\alpha)a_{1}^{2} + 2F_{u}(\alpha)a_{2}],$$

$$a_{4} = \frac{u^{(4)}(0)}{4!} = \frac{1}{4!}[F_{uuu}(\alpha)a_{1}^{3} + 6F_{uu}(\alpha)a_{1}a_{2} + 6F_{u}(\alpha)a_{3}],$$

$$\vdots$$

652

**Theorem.** If the auxiliary linear operator L and the initial approximation  $u_0(t)$  to the solution u(t) of equation (3.1) is taken in the homotopy procedure (2.2) as

(3.4) 
$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad u_0(t) = \alpha,$$

then the homotopy series solution (2.4) converges to the Taylor series expansion (3.2) whose coefficients are evaluated in the order given by (3.3).

**Proof.** Expanding the homotopy solution u(t, p) from (2.2) into Taylor series according to the parameter p at p = 0, it reads

(3.5) 
$$u(t,p) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k(t)p^k.$$

When (3.5) is substituted into the homotopy equations (2.2) or equivalently differentiating (2.2) successively with respect to p and replacing p = 0 at the end yields a system of linear ordinary differential equations for the coefficients  $u_k(t)$  of (3.5)

(3.6) 
$$L(u_k - \chi_k u_{k-1}) = -u'_{k-1} + \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \left[\frac{\partial^{k-1}F}{\partial p^{k-1}}\right]|_{p=0}$$

$$u_k(0,p) = \alpha,$$

where  $\chi_k = 0$  for k = 1 and  $\chi_k = 1$  for k > 1. Having solved the equations (3.6) iteratively, the followings result for  $u_k(t)$ 

(3.7) 
$$u_{1}(t) = F(\alpha)t = a_{1}t,$$

$$u_{2}(t) = \frac{1}{2!}F_{u}(\alpha)a_{1}t^{2} = a_{2}t^{2},$$

$$u_{3}(t) = \frac{1}{3!}[F_{uu}(\alpha)a_{1}^{2} + 2F_{u}(\alpha)a_{2}]t^{3} = a_{3}t^{3},$$

$$u_{4}(t) = \frac{1}{4!}[F_{uuu}(\alpha)a_{1}^{3} + 6F_{uu}(\alpha)a_{1}a_{2} + 6F_{u}(\alpha)a_{3}]t^{4} = a_{4}t^{4},$$
:

which generates the homotopy series

(3.8) 
$$u(t,p) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k(t) p^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k t^k p^k.$$

The convergence assumption of (3.8) at p = 1 yields the homotopy series solution (2.5) which turns out to be the Taylor series expansion (3.2-3.3) to the solution.

**Remark 1.** Since the homotopy series (3.8) at p = 1 is the traditional Taylor series, then the convergence issue of the homotopy series (3.5) is guaranteed for those values t, |t| < R such that  $R = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_n + 1} \right|$ .

**Remark 2.** If u = u(t, r) with r denoting space variables and the initial-value problem consists of a partial differential equation of the form

(3.9) 
$$u_t = F(u, u_r),$$
  
 $u(t = 0, r) = f(r),$ 

then by a similar argument to Theorem 1, the homotopy solution to (3.9) will be again the traditional Taylor series expansion at t = 0, provided that the auxiliary linear operator

L and the initial approximation  $u_0(t,r)$  are selected as

$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad u_0(t,r) = f(r).$$

**Remark 3.** If higher-order ordinary or partial differential initial-value problems (or systems) are considered, by a particular choice of linear differential operator and initial guess, it can be shown that the homotopy perturbation series solution and the Taylor series solution are the same.

# 4. Illustrative Examples

To justify the presented analysis, the following examples are given, as also stated in reference [2].

**Example 1.** The steady free convection flow over a vertical semi-infinite flat plate, see [12] and [13] is given by

(4.1) 
$$y' + y^2 = 1, \quad y(0) = 0,$$

To comply with the Theorem,  $u_0(t) = 0$  and  $L = \frac{d}{dt}$  are chosen so that the homotopy (2.2) becomes

(4.2) 
$$\frac{\partial u(t,p)}{\partial t} + p \ u(x,p)^2 - p = 0, \quad u(0,p) = 0.$$

A few approximate homotopy solutions via the homotopy perturbation (4.2) can be calculated as

$$u_1(t) = t, \ u_2(t) = 0, \ u_3(t) = -\frac{t^3}{3}, \ u_4(t) = 0, \ u_5(t) = \frac{2}{15}t^5,$$

which are the same as those generated from the classical Taylor series expansion of (4.1) at t = 0, the validity region is determined to be  $-\frac{\pi}{2} < t < \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

**Example 2.** The steady mixed convection flow [14] is given by

(4.3) 
$$2y'' + y - y^2 = 0, \quad y(0) = 0, \quad y'(0) = \alpha = 1/\sqrt{6}.$$

The Taylor series expansion of (4.3) at point t = 0 yields

$$(4.4) y(t) = t\alpha - \frac{t^3\alpha}{12} + \frac{t^4\alpha^2}{24} + \frac{t^5\alpha}{480} - \frac{t^6\alpha^2}{288} + \frac{t^7\alpha\left(-1 + 40\alpha^2\right)}{40320} + \frac{t^8\alpha^2}{7680} + \cdots$$

which totally corresponds to the homotopy perturbation series solution provided that we choose the auxiliary parameters as  $u_0(t) = \alpha t$  and  $L = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}$ , see [2].

**Example 3.** The approximate theory of the flow through a shock wave traveling in a viscous fluid [15] is given by

$$(4.5) u_t + uu_x = u_{xx}, \quad u(x,0) = 2x, \ (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0,1/2),$$

which receives an exact solution given by (see [2])

(4.6) 
$$u(x,t) = \frac{2x}{1+2t}$$

Exact solution (4.6) is approximated by the auxiliary parameters  $u_0(x,t) = 2x$  and  $L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ . Then, the homotopy (2.2) turns out to be

$$(4.7) u_t(x,t,p) + p(u(x,t,p)u_x(x,p,t) - u_{xx}(x,t,p) = 0, u(x,0,p) = 2x.$$

654

Equation (4.7) produces the below homotopy series for the solution of (4.5)

$$(4.8) \quad u(x,t) = 2x - 4xt + 8xt^2 - 16xt^3 + 32xt^4 + \dots + (-1)^n 2^{n+1}xt^n + \cdots$$

which is the same as the classical Taylor series expansion of (4.6) around t = 0. The interval of convergence is easy to identify as  $0 \le t < 1/2$ .

**Example 4.** Consider now the well-known KdV-Burger's equation involving both dispersion and dissipation terms

(4.9) 
$$u_t + 2(u^3)_x - u_{xxx} + u_{xx} = 0, \quad u(x,0) = \frac{1}{6} \left( 1 + \tanh\left[\frac{x}{6}\right] \right),$$

whose exact travelling-wave solution is given by

(4.10) 
$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{6} \left( 1 + \tanh\left[\frac{1}{6}\left(x - \frac{2}{9}t\right)\right] \right)$$

To approximate the exact solution (4.10), if we choose the auxiliary parameters  $u_0(x,t) = \frac{1}{6} \left(1 + \tanh\left[\frac{x}{6}\right]\right)$  and  $L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ , the homotopy (2.2) turns out to be

(4.11) 
$$u_t(x,t,p) + p(2(u(x,t,p)^3)_x - u_{xxx}(x,p,t) + u_{xx}(x,t,p)) = 0,$$
$$u(x,0,p) = \frac{1}{6} \left( 1 + \tanh\left[\frac{x}{6}\right] \right).$$

It is no hard to deduce that the Taylor series and homotopy perturbation series completely coincide again for this specific problem.

**Example 5.** The transverse vibrations of a uniform flexible beam [16] is given by

$$(4.12) \quad u_{tt} + \left(\frac{y+z}{2\cos x} - 1\right)u_{xxxx} + \left(\frac{z+x}{2\cos y} - 1\right)u_{yyyy} + \left(\frac{x+y}{2\cos z} - 1\right)u_{zzzz} = 0$$
$$u(x, y, z, 0) = -u_t(x, y, z, 0) = x + y + z - (\cos x + \cos y + \cos z),$$

admitting an exact solution

(4.13) 
$$u(x,t) = (x+y+z-\cos x - \cos y - \cos z)e^{-t}, see[2]$$

This exact solution (4.13) is approximated by selecting the auxiliary parameters respectively,  $u_0(x,t) = (x + y + z - \cos x - \cos y - \cos z)(1-t)$  and  $L = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}$ . As a result, we obtain the homotopy series

$$(4.14) \ u(x,t) = (x+y+z-\cos x-\cos y-\cos z)(1-t+\frac{t^2}{2!}-\frac{t^3}{3!}+\frac{t^4}{4!}+\cdots)$$

which matches exactly onto the Taylor series expansion of (4.13) around t = 0

(4.15) 
$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x+y+z-\cos x - \cos y - \cos z) \frac{t^n}{n!},$$

that is obviously convergent for all t.

**Example 6.** As a final example, we consider the linear partial differential equation

(4.16)  $u_t + u_x - 2u_{xxt} = 0, \quad u(x,0) = e^{-x},$ 

having the exact solution

(4.17) 
$$u(x,t) = e^{-x-t}, see[2]$$

Choosing the auxiliary parameters  $u_0(x,t) = e^{-x}$  and  $L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ , then the homotopy (2.2) becomes

(4.18) 
$$u_t(x,t,p) + p(u_x(x,p,t) - u_{xxt}(x,t,p) = 0, \ u(x,0,p) = e^{-x}.$$

The homotopy series solution of (4.13) from (2.1) can be found as

$$(4.19) u(x,t) = \frac{e^{-x}}{720} (720 + 45360t + 46440t^2 + 13320t^3 + 1470t^4 + 66t^5 + \cdots),$$

whose radius of convergence is zero, so that the homotopy series (4.19) is convergent only at the point t = 0. On the other hand, the classical Taylor series expansion applied to (4.16) predicts the exact result (4.17). It should be remarked that this example does not contradict at all with the Theorem, since (4.16) involves mixed partial derivatives. The weakness of the homotopy perturbation method on this example may be overcame by a better choice of auxiliary parameters.

It can be concluded as an answer to the title of the paper that for specific choices of auxiliary homotopy parameters, the homotopy perturbation technique produces exactly the same series as the traditional Taylor series. If this is the case, then there seems no a scientific merit to publish papers regarding the homotopy perturbation technique.

## 5. Concluding remarks

The homotopy perturbation method is mathematically analyzed in the present work. The theorem presented here proves that under certain special conditions the traditional homotopy perturbation method becomes the well-known Taylor series expansion. An example has also been given to demonstrate the advantage of the Taylor series expansion over the homotopy perturbation method. It can be concluded that a great deal of the papers published under the topic of homotopy perturbation technique is simply the traditional Taylor series expansion, whose contributions to science are questionable.

## References

- He, J. H.: An approximate solution technique depending on an artificial parameter: A special example, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat. 3, 92–96 (1998).
- [2] Turkyilmazoglu, M.: Convergence of the homotopy analysis method, arxiv4.library.cornell.edu 1–13 (2010).
- [3] Turkyilmazoglu, M.: Some issues on HPM and HAM methods: A convergence scheme, Mathematical and Computer Modelling 53, 1929–1936 (2011).
- [4] Turkyilmazoglu, M.: Convergence of the Homotopy Perturbation Method, Int. J. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul 12, 9–14 (2012).
- [5] Khan, Y.: Homotopy Perturbation Transform Method for nonlinear equations using He's Polynomials, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 61, 1963–1967 (2011).
- [6] Duan, J. S.: Recurrence triangle for adomian polynomials, Appl. Math. Comput. 216, 1235– 1241 (2010).
- [7] Liu, C. S.: The essence of the homotopy analysis method, Appl. Math. Comput. 216, 1299– 1303 (2010).
- [8] He, J. H.: Homotopy perturbation technique, Comput. Meth. Appl. Mech. Eng. 178, 257– 262 (1999).
- [9] Liao, S. J.: The proposed homotopy analysis technique for the solution of nonlinear problems, PhD thesis, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 1992.
- [10] He, J. H.: Non-perturbative methods for strongly nonlinear problems, Berlin, dissertation de-Verlag im Internet GmbH, 2006.
- [11] Liao, S. J.: Beyond perturbation: introduction to homotopy analysis method, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2003.
- [12] Crane, L.: Flow past a stretching plate, Z. angew. Math. Phys. 21, 645-647 (1970).
- [13] Magyari, E. and Keller, B.: Exact solutions for self-similar boundary-layer flows induced by permeable stretching walls, Eur. J. Mech., B/Fluids 19, 109–122 (2000).
- [14] Magyari, E. and Pop, I. and Keller, B.: Exact dual solutions occurring in darcy mixed convection flow, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 44, 4563–4566 (2001).

- [15] Benton, E.R.: Some new exact, viscous, nonsteady solutions of Burgers' equation, Phys. Fluids. 9, 1247–1248 (1966).
- [16] Gorman, D. J.: Free vibrations analysis of beams and shafts, Wiley, 1975.