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#### Abstract

We consider the problem of estimating the finite population mean in two phase sampling when some information on auxiliary attributes is available. It is shown that the proposed estimator is more efficient than the usual mean estimator, the S. Bahl and R. K. Tuteja (Ratio and product type exponential estimators, Information and Optimization Sciences 12 (1), 159-163, 1991) estimator and a family of estimators considered by H. S. Jhajj et al (A family of estimators of population mean using information on auxiliary attribute, Pakistan Journal of Statistics 22 (1), 43-50, 2006). Two numerical examples are considered to further evaluate the performances of various estimators considered here.


Keywords: Two-phase sampling, Attribute, Point bi-serial correlation, Phi correlation, Efficiency.
2000 AMS Classification: 62 D O5.

## 1. Introduction

There are many situations when auxiliary information is available in the form of attributes. For example, sex is a good auxiliary attribute while dealing with height, and the breed of a cow is a good auxiliary attribute while estimating milk production (see Naik and Gupta [9]). Another example considered by Jhajj et al. [5] is where crop variety is used as an auxiliary attribute in estimating the yield of wheat. In all of these examples point bi-serial correlation between the study variable and the auxiliary attribute exists. Naik and Gupta [9] introduce a ratio estimator when the study variable and the auxiliary attribute are positively correlated. Jhajj et al. [5] discuss a family of

[^0]estimators for the population mean in single and two-phase sampling when the study variable and the auxiliary attribute are positively correlated. We extend the works of Naik and Gupta [9] and Jhajj et al. [5] to the situation when two auxiliary attributes are available. We assume that both auxiliary attributes have significant point bi-serial correlation with the study variable and that there is significant phi-correlation between the two auxiliary attributes. Two examples of this scenario are:
(a) The study variable $(y)$ is the yield of some crop in a particular region, $\psi_{1}$ is the ownership (rich vs. poor) of the cultivated land and $\psi_{2}$ is the irrigation status (adequate vs. inadequate).
(b) The study variable $(y)$ is the student's grade point average, $\psi_{1}$ is the number of hours spent studying (low vs. high) and $\psi_{2}$ is the use of library facilities (low vs. high).
In the examples above we expect point bi-serial correlation between the study variable and the two auxiliary attributes; and phi-correlation between the two auxiliary attributes.

Now consider a finite population which consists of $N$ identifiable units $U_{i}(1 \leq i \leq$ $N)$. Assume there is a complete dichotomy in the population regarding the presence and absence of the two attributes $\psi_{j},(j=1,2)$, which take values zero or one. Let $y_{i}$ and $\psi_{j i}(j=1,2)$ be the observations on the study variable $y$ and the auxiliary attributes $\psi_{j},(j=1,2)$, respectively. Let $\psi_{j i}=1$, if $i^{\text {th }}$ unit possesses the attribute $\psi_{j}$, $(j=1,2)$ and $\psi_{j i}=0$ otherwise. Let $A_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi_{j i}$ and $a_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi_{j i}$ denote the total number of units in the population and the sample, respectively, that possess the attribute $\psi_{j}$. Let the corresponding population and sample proportions be $P_{j}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi_{j i}}{N}=\frac{A_{j}}{N}$ and $p_{j}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi_{j i}}{n}=\frac{a_{j}}{n},(j=1,2)$ respectively. Let $s_{y}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}$ and $s_{\psi_{j}}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\psi_{j i}-p_{j}\right)^{2}$ be the sample variances corresponding to the population variances $S_{y}^{2}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}$ and $S_{\psi_{j}}^{2}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\psi_{j i}-P_{j}\right)^{2}$, respectively, where $\bar{y}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}$ and $\bar{Y}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i}$.

Let $s_{y \psi_{j}}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)\left(\psi_{j i}-p_{j}\right)$ and $\hat{\rho}_{p b_{j}}=\frac{s_{y \psi_{j}}}{s_{y} s_{\psi_{j}}},(j=1,2)$ be the sample point bi-serial covariance and point bi-serial correlation between $y$ and $\psi_{j}$ corresponding to the population point bi-serial covariance and point bi-serial correlation $S_{y \psi_{j}}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)\left(\psi_{j i}-P_{j}\right)$ and $\rho_{p b_{j}}=\frac{S_{y \psi_{j}}}{S_{y} S_{\psi_{j}}}$, respectively.

Let $s_{\psi_{1} \psi_{2}}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\psi_{1 i}-p_{1}\right)\left(\psi_{2 i}-p_{2}\right)$ and $\hat{\rho}_{\phi}=\frac{s_{\psi_{1} \psi_{2}}}{s_{\psi_{1}} s_{\psi_{2}}}$ be the sample phicovariance and phi correlation between $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ corresponding to the population phicovariance and phi correlation $S_{\psi_{1} \psi_{2}}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\psi_{1 i}-P_{1}\right)\left(\psi_{2 i}-P_{2}\right)$ and $\rho_{\phi}=\frac{S_{\psi_{1} \psi_{2}}}{S_{\psi_{1}} S_{\psi_{2}}}$.

Let $C_{y}=\frac{S_{y}}{Y}$ and $C_{p_{j}}=\frac{S_{\psi_{j}}}{P_{j}}(j=1,2)$ be the coefficients of variation of $y$ and $\psi_{j}$, ( $j=1,2$ ), respectively.

It is assumed that population proportion $\left(P_{1}\right)$ and population variance $\left(S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}\right)$ for the first auxiliary attribute $\psi_{1}$ are unknown, while the same is known for the second auxiliary attribute $\psi_{2}$. In such a situation, we can estimate ( $P_{1}$ ) and ( $S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}$ ) from the sample by using a two-phase sampling procedure as per Jhajj et al. [6], Kiregyra [7] and Swain [15]. We use simple random sampling without replacement at both phases as described below:
(1) We draw a sample $s^{*}$ of fixed size $n^{*}$ from the population and observe $\left(\psi_{j}, j=\right.$ $1,2)$ and estimate $P_{1}$ as well as $S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}$.
(2) Given $s^{*}$, we draw a sample $s\left(s \subset s^{*}\right)$ of fixed size $n$ and observed $y$.

Let $p_{j}^{*}=\frac{1}{n^{*}} \sum_{i=1}^{n^{*}} \psi_{j i},(j=1,2), s_{\psi_{j}}^{2}=\frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\psi_{j i}-p_{j}\right)^{2}, s_{\psi_{j}}^{* 2}=\frac{1}{\left(n^{*}-1\right)} \sum_{i=1}^{n^{*}}\left(\psi_{j i}-\right.$ $\left.p_{j}^{*}\right)^{2}$.

We now introduce the following relative error terms. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{0}=\frac{\bar{y}-\bar{Y}}{\bar{Y}}, \quad \quad \Delta_{\psi_{1}}=\frac{p_{1}-P_{1}}{P_{1}}, \quad \quad \Delta_{\psi_{1}}^{*}=\frac{p_{1}^{*}-P_{1}}{P_{1}}, \\
& \Delta_{\psi_{2}}=\frac{s_{\psi_{1}}^{2}-S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}}{S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}}, \quad \Delta_{\psi_{2}}^{*}=\frac{s_{\psi_{1}}^{* 2}-S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}}{S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}}, \quad \Delta_{\psi_{3}}=\frac{p_{2}-P_{2}}{P_{2}}, \\
& \Delta_{\psi_{3}}^{*}=\frac{p_{2}^{*}-P_{2}}{P_{2}}, \quad \Delta_{\psi_{4}}=\frac{s_{\psi_{2}}^{2}-S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}}{S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}}, \quad \Delta_{\psi_{4}}^{*}=\frac{s_{\psi_{2}}^{* 2}-S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}}{S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Expected values of these relative errors are calculated in the Appendix.
We also introduce two more notations:

$$
\mu_{a b c}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{a}\left(\psi_{1 i}-P_{1}\right)^{b}\left(\psi_{2 i}-P_{2}\right)^{c} \text { and } \delta_{a b c}=\frac{\mu_{a b c}}{\mu_{200}^{a / 2} \mu_{020}^{b / 2} \mu_{002}^{c / 2}}
$$

We now discuss some of the existing estimators of $\mu$.
The usual estimator of $\mu$ is $\bar{y}$, and its variance is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}(\bar{y})=\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) S_{y}^{2} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The class of estimators using a single auxiliary attribute in two phase sampling when $P_{1}$ is unknown is discussed by Jhajj et al. [5]. It is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}_{J}=h\left(\bar{y}, v^{*}\right), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v^{*}=\frac{p_{1}}{p_{1}^{*}}$ and $h\left(\bar{y}, v^{*}\right)$ is a parametric function of $\bar{y}$ and $v^{*}$ such that $h(\bar{Y}, 1)=\bar{Y}$, $\forall \bar{Y}$, and certain regularity conditions hold (see Jhajj et al. [5]). The minimum MSE of $\bar{y}_{J}$ to the first order of approximation, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\bar{y}_{J}\right)_{\min } \cong\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) S_{y}^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{n^{*}}\right) S_{y}^{2} \rho_{p b_{1}}^{2} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above expression is equal to the variance of the linear regression estimator $\bar{y}_{l r d}=$ $\bar{y}+b\left(p_{1}^{*}-p_{1}\right)$ in two phase sampling, where $b=\frac{\hat{\rho}_{p b_{1}} s_{y}}{s_{\psi_{1}}}$ is the sample regression coefficient of $y$ on $\psi_{1}$.

The Bahl and Tuteja [2] estimator using a single auxiliary attribute in two phase sampling when $P_{1}$ is unknown, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}_{B T}=\bar{y} \exp \left(\frac{p_{1}^{*}-p_{1}}{p_{1}^{*}+p_{1}}\right) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bias and MSE of $\bar{y}_{B T}$, to the first order of approximation are, respectively, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Bias}\left(\bar{y}_{B T}\right) \cong \bar{Y}\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{n^{*}}\right)\left[\frac{1}{2} \rho_{p b_{1}} C_{y} C_{p}-C_{p}^{2}\right] \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\bar{y}_{B T}\right) \cong \bar{Y}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) C_{y}^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{n^{*}}\right)\left\{\frac{1}{4} C_{p}^{2}-\rho_{p b_{1}} C_{y} C_{p}\right\}\right] . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Proposed two-phase sampling estimator

Following Bahl and Tuteja [2] and Shabbir et al. [12], we propose the following exponential difference-cum-ratio type estimator when two auxiliary attributes are available. Also, as mentioned earlier, it is assumed that the population proportion $\left(P_{1}\right)$ and variance $\left(S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}\right)$ for the first auxiliary attribute $\psi_{1}$ are unknown, while the same are known for the second auxiliary attribute $\psi_{2}$. The proposed estimator is as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{y}_{P}=\bar{y}+w_{1}\left(p_{1}^{*}-p_{1}\right) \exp \left(\frac{p_{1}^{*}-p_{1}}{p_{1}^{*}+p_{1}}\right)+w_{2}\left(s_{\psi_{1}}^{* 2}-s_{\psi_{1}}^{2}\right) \exp \left(\frac{s_{\psi_{1}}^{* 2}-s_{\psi_{1}}^{2}}{s_{\psi_{1}}^{* 2}+s_{\psi_{1}}^{2}}\right) \\
&+w_{3}\left(P_{2}-p_{2}^{*}\right) \exp \left(\frac{P_{2}-p_{2}^{*}}{P_{2}+p_{2}^{*}}\right)+w_{4}\left(S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}-s_{\psi_{2}}^{* 2}\right) \exp \left(\frac{S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}-s_{\psi_{2}}^{* 2}}{S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}+s_{\psi_{2}}^{* 2}}\right), \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $w_{i},(i=1,2,3,4)$ are suitably chosen constants whose values are to be determined.
In the proposed estimator, we try to utilize the information available through the population variances also. There are various studies where this has been done. For details, see, Ahmad et al. [1], Dubey and Sharma [3], Jhajj et al. [6] and Singh et al. [14]. Moreover, in medical and biological studies, it is quite often the case that populations are highly skewed. In such cases, use of information on the variance of the auxiliary attributes can be quite helpful.

Expressing (2.1) in terms of the $\Delta$ 's, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{y}_{P}=\bar{Y}\left(1+\Delta_{0}\right)+w_{1}\left\{P_{1}\left(1+\Delta_{\psi_{1}}^{*}\right)-\right.\left.P_{1}\left(1+\Delta_{\psi_{1}}\right)\right\} \\
& \times \exp \left\{\frac{P_{1}\left(1+\Delta_{\psi_{1}}^{*}\right)-P_{1}\left(1+\Delta_{\psi_{1}}\right)}{P_{1}\left(1+\Delta_{\psi_{1}}^{*}\right)+P_{1}\left(1+\Delta_{\psi_{1}}\right)}\right\} \\
&+w_{2}\left\{S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}\left(1+\Delta_{\psi_{2}}^{*}\right)-S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}\left(1+\Delta_{\psi_{2}}\right)\right\} \\
& \times \exp \left\{\frac{S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}\left(1+\Delta_{\psi_{2}}^{*}\right)-S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}\left(1+\Delta_{\psi_{2}}\right)}{S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}\left(1+\Delta_{\psi_{2}}^{*}\right)+S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}\left(1+\Delta_{\psi_{2}}\right)}\right\}  \tag{2.2}\\
&+w_{3}\left\{P_{2}-P_{2}\left(1+\Delta_{\psi_{3}}^{*}\right)\right\} \exp \left\{\frac{P_{2}-P_{2}\left(1+\Delta_{\psi_{3}}^{*}\right)}{P_{2}+P_{2}\left(1+\Delta_{\psi_{3}}^{*}\right)}\right\} \\
&+w_{4}\left\{S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}-S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}\left(1+\Delta_{\psi_{4}}^{*}\right)\right\} \exp \left\{\frac{S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}-S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}\left(1+\Delta_{\psi_{4}}^{*}\right)}{S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}+S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}\left(1+\Delta_{\psi_{4}}^{*}\right)}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Simplifying (2.2) and retaining terms up to order two in the $\Delta$ 's, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{y}_{P}-\bar{Y}=\bar{Y} \Delta_{0}+ & w_{1} P_{1}\left\{\left(\Delta_{\psi_{1}}^{*}-\Delta_{\psi_{1}}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta_{\psi_{1}}^{*}-\Delta_{\psi_{1}}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& +w_{2} S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}\left\{\left(\Delta_{\psi_{2}}^{*}-\Delta_{\psi_{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta_{\psi_{2}}^{*}-\Delta_{\psi_{2}}\right)^{2}\right\}  \tag{2.3}\\
& -w_{3} P_{2}\left\{\Delta_{\psi_{3}}^{*}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\psi_{3}}^{* 2}\right\}-w_{4} S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}\left\{\Delta_{\psi_{4}}^{*}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\psi 4}^{* 2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.3), the bias and MSE of $\bar{y}_{P}$ to the first order of approximation are, respectively, given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Bias}\left(\bar{y}_{P}\right) \cong \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{n^{*}}\right)\right. & \left(w_{1} P_{1} C_{p_{1}}^{2}+w_{2} S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}\left(\delta_{040}-1\right)\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
& \left.+\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left(w_{3} P_{2} C_{p_{2}}^{2}+w_{4} S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}\left(\delta_{004}-1\right)\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{MSE}\left(\bar{y}_{P}\right) \cong\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left\{S_{y}^{2}+w_{1}^{2} S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}\right.+w_{2}^{2} S_{\psi_{1}}^{4}\left(\delta_{040}-1\right)-2 w_{1} \rho_{p b_{1}} S_{y} S_{\psi_{1}} \\
&\left.-2 w_{2} S_{y} S_{\psi_{1}}^{2} \delta_{120}+2 w_{1} w_{2} S_{\psi_{1}}^{3} \delta_{030}\right\} \\
&-\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left\{w_{1}^{2} S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}+w_{2}^{2} S_{\psi_{1}}^{4}\left(\delta_{040}-1\right)-w_{3}^{2} S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}\right.  \tag{2.5}\\
&-w_{4}^{2} S_{\psi_{2}}^{4}\left(\delta_{004}-1\right)-2 w_{1} \rho_{p b_{1}} S_{y} S_{\psi_{1}}-2 w_{2} S_{y} S_{\psi_{1}}^{2} \delta_{120} \\
&+2 w_{3} \rho_{p b_{2}} S_{y} S_{\psi_{2}}+2 w_{4} S_{\psi_{2}}^{2} C_{y} \delta_{102} \\
&+\left.2 w_{1} w_{2} S_{\psi_{1}}^{3} \delta_{030}-2 w_{3} w_{4} S_{\psi_{2}}^{3} \delta_{003}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.5), we get the optimum values of $w_{i},(i=1,2,3,4)$, given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
w_{1}=\frac{S_{y}\left\{\left(\delta_{040}-1\right) \rho_{p b_{1}}-\delta_{030} \delta_{120}\right\}}{S_{\psi_{1}}\left\{\left(\delta_{040}-1\right)-\delta_{030}^{2}\right\}}, & w_{2}=\frac{S_{y}\left\{\delta_{120}-\rho_{p b_{1}} \delta_{030}\right\}}{S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}\left\{\left(\delta_{040}-1\right)-\delta_{030}^{2}\right\}}, \\
w_{3}=\frac{S_{y}\left\{\left(\delta_{004}-1\right) \rho_{p b_{2}}-\delta_{003} \delta_{102}\right\}}{S_{\psi_{2}}\left\{\left(\delta_{004}-1\right)-\delta_{003}^{2}\right\}}, & w_{4}=\frac{S_{y}\left\{\left(\delta_{102}-\rho_{p b_{2}} \delta_{003}\right\}\right.}{S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}\left\{\left(\delta_{004}-1\right)-\delta_{003}^{2}\right\}} .
\end{array}
$$

Substituting the optimum values of $w_{i},(i=1,2,3,4)$ in (2.5), we get the minimum MSE of $\bar{y}_{P}$ in the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\bar{y}_{P}\right)_{\min } \cong S_{y}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\right. & -\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{n^{*}}\right)\left\{\rho_{p b_{1}}^{2}+\frac{\left(\delta_{120}-\delta_{030} \rho_{p b_{1}}\right)^{2}}{\left\{\left(\delta_{040}-1\right)-\delta_{030}^{2}\right\}}\right\}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \left.-\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left\{\rho_{p b_{2}}^{2}+\frac{\left(\delta_{102}-\delta_{003} \rho_{p b_{2}}\right)^{2}}{\left\{\left(\delta_{004}-1\right)-\delta_{003}^{2}\right\}}\right\}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

The expression in (2.6) provides only the ideal minimum MSE of $\bar{y}_{P}$, since the optimum values of $w_{i},(i=1,2,3,4)$ involve unknown parameters. These values can be replaced by their consistent estimates as discussed by many authors (see Koyuncu and Kadilar [8], Perri and Diana [10] and Pradhan [11]).

## 3. Comparison of estimators

We now compare the proposed estimator with the other estimators considered here. The following conditions can be verified easily.

Condition (i): $\operatorname{MSE}\left(\bar{y}_{P}\right)_{\text {min }}<\operatorname{Var}(\bar{y})$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{y}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{n^{*}}\right)\left\{\rho_{p b_{1}}^{2}+\frac{\left(\delta_{120}-\delta_{030} \rho_{p b_{1}}\right)^{2}}{\left\{\left(\delta_{040}-1\right)-\delta_{030}^{2}\right\}}\right\}\right. \\
&\left.+\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left\{\rho_{p b_{2}}^{2}+\frac{\left(\delta_{102}-\delta_{003} \rho_{p b_{2}}\right)^{2}}{\left\{\left(\delta_{004}-1\right)-\delta_{003}^{2}\right\}}\right\}\right]>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Condition (ii): $\operatorname{MSE}\left(\bar{y}_{P}\right)_{\text {min }}<\operatorname{MSE}\left(\bar{y}_{B T}\right)$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{Y}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{n^{*}}\right)\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2} C_{P}-\rho_{p b 1} C_{y}\right)^{2}+C_{y}^{2} \frac{\left(\delta_{120}-\delta_{030} \rho_{p b_{1}}\right)^{2}}{\left\{\left(\delta_{040}-1\right)-\delta_{030}^{2}\right\}}\right\}\right. \\
&\left.+\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left\{\rho_{p b_{2}}^{2}+\frac{\left(\delta_{102}-\delta_{003} \rho_{p b_{2}}\right)^{2}}{\left\{\left(\delta_{004}-1\right)-\delta_{003}^{2}\right\}}\right\}\right]>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Condition (iii): $\operatorname{MSE}\left(\bar{y}_{P}\right)_{\text {min }}<\operatorname{MSE}\left(\bar{y}_{J}\right)_{\text {min }}$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{y}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{n^{*}}\right)\{ \right. & \left.\frac{\left(\delta_{120}-\delta_{030} \rho_{p b_{1}}\right)^{2}}{\left\{\left(\delta_{040}-1\right)-\delta_{030}^{2}\right\}}\right\} \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left\{\rho_{p b_{2}}^{2}+\frac{\left(\delta_{102}-\delta_{003} \rho_{p b_{2}}\right)^{2}}{\left\{\left(\delta_{004}-1\right)-\delta_{003}^{2}\right\}}\right\}\right]>0
\end{aligned}
$$

The above three conditions will always hold true because $\left(\delta_{040}-\delta_{030}^{2}-1\right) \geq 0$ and $\left(\delta_{004}-\delta_{003}^{2}-1\right) \geq 0$ (see Jhajj et al. [6]).

We use the following numerical examples to further evaluate the performances of various estimators.

Data 1: (Source: Singh and Chaudhary [13], p. 177)
The population consists of 34 wheat farms in 34 villages in certain region of India. The variables are defined as:
$y=$ area under wheat crop (in acres) during 1974,
$p_{1}=$ proportion of farms under wheat crop which have more than 500 acres land during 1971, and
$p_{2}=$ proportion of farms under wheat crop which have more than 100 acres of land during 1973.

For this data, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=34, \quad \bar{Y}=199.4, \quad P_{1}=0.6765, \quad P_{2}=0.7353, \quad S_{y}^{2}=22564.6, \\
& S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}=0.225490, \quad S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}=0.200535, \quad \rho_{p b_{1}}=0.599, \quad \rho_{p b_{2}}=0.559, \\
& \rho_{\phi}=0.725, \quad \delta_{040}=1.52302, \quad \delta_{004}=2.07490, \quad \delta_{030}=-0.74326, \\
& \delta_{003}=-1.05086, \quad \delta_{120}=-0.44516, \quad \delta_{102}=-0.58747 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Data 2: (Source: Government of Pakistan [4])
The population consists of rice cultivation areas in 73 districts of Pakistan. The variables are defined as:
$y=$ rice production (in $000^{\prime}$ tonnes, with one tonne $=0.984$ ton) during 2003,
$p_{1}=$ proportion of farms where rice production is more than 20 tonnes during the year 2002, and
$p_{2}=$ proportion of farms with rice cultivation area more than 20 hectares during the year 2003.

For this data, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=73, \quad \bar{Y}=61.3, \quad P_{1}=0.4247, \quad P_{2}=0.3425, \quad S_{y}^{2}=12371.4, \\
& S_{\psi_{1}}^{2}=0.242770, \quad S_{\psi_{2}}^{2}=0.228311, \quad \rho_{p b_{1}}=0.621, \quad \rho_{p b_{2}}=0.673, \\
& \rho_{\phi}=0.889, \quad \delta_{040}=1.16022, \quad \delta_{004}=1.42109, \quad \delta_{030}=0.41703, \\
& \delta_{003}=0.65939, \quad \delta_{120}=0.25907, \quad \delta_{102}=0.44374 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The results for the data sets above are given in Tables 1 and 2 .

Table 1. The percentage relative efficiency of estimators with respect to $\bar{y}$ for Data 1

| Sample size |  |  | Estimator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n^{*}$ | $n$ | $\bar{y}$ | $\bar{y}_{B T}$ | $\bar{y}_{J}$ | $\bar{y}_{P}$ |
| 10 | 3 | 100.00 | 135.476 | 138.020 | 153.384 |
|  | 7 | 100.00 | 114.791 | 115.680 | 149.249 |
| 15 | 3 | 100.00 | 142.709 | 145.947 | 154.589 |
|  | 7 | 100.00 | 129.714 | 131.748 | 152.344 |
|  | 12 | 100.00 | 111.785 | 112.474 | 148.544 |
| 20 | 3 | 100.00 | 146.622 | 150.262 | 155.198 |
|  | 7 | 100.00 | 131.731 | 141.580 | 153.940 |
|  | 12 | 100.00 | 126.719 | 128.502 | 151.772 |
|  | 17 | 100.00 | 111.399 | 112.062 | 148.451 |

Table 2. The percentage relative efficiency of estimators with respect to $\bar{y}$ for Data 2

| Sample size |  |  | Estimator |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n^{*}$ | $n$ | $\bar{y}$ | $\bar{y}_{B T}$ | $\bar{y}_{J}$ | $\bar{y}_{P}$ |
| 10 | 3 | 100.00 | 127.428 | 139.182 | 167.731 |
|  | 7 | 100.00 | 110.845 | 114.674 | 175.624 |
| 15 | 3 | 100.00 | 132.625 | 147.435 | 165.780 |
|  | 7 | 100.00 | 121.056 | 129.448 | 170.426 |
|  | 12 | 100.00 | 107.593 | 110.169 | 177.564 |
| 20 | 3 | 100.00 | 135.386 | 151.939 | 164.821 |
|  | 7 | 100.00 | 126.901 | 138.361 | 167.941 |
|  | 12 | 100.00 | 116.434 | 122.639 | 172.628 |
|  | 17 | 100.00 | 106.118 | 108.156 | 178.499 |
| 30 | 3 | 100.00 | 138.264 | 156.728 | 163.873 |
|  | 7 | 100.00 | 133.339 | 148.592 | 165.527 |
|  | 12 | 100.00 | 126.858 | 138.294 | 167.958 |
|  | 20 | 100.00 | 119.984 | 127.851 | 170.917 |
|  | 25 | 100.00 | 108.077 | 110.834 | 177.265 |

From Tables 1 and 2, one can see that the efficiency of the proposed estimator is greater than the usual mean estimator, the Bahl and Tuetja [2] estimator and the Jhajj et al. [5] estimator for both data sets. This was expected based on the efficiency comparisons (Conditions (i-iii)) which always hold true. Also note that the relative efficiencies with respect to the usual mean estimator of all estimators increase as the sample size $n^{*}$ increases, and also the efficiency of the proposed estimator increases as $n$ increases.

## 4. Appendix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\Delta_{0}\right)=E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{i}}\right)=E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{i}}^{*}\right)=0,(i=1,2,3,4) \\
& E\left(\Delta_{0}^{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) C_{y}^{2}, E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{1}}^{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) C_{p_{1}}^{2}, \\
& E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{2}}^{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left(\delta_{040}-1\right), E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{3}}^{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) C_{p_{2}}^{2}, \\
& E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{4}}^{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left(\delta_{004}-1\right), E\left(\Delta_{0} \Delta_{\psi_{1}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) \rho_{p b_{1}} C_{y} C_{p_{1}}, \\
& E\left(\Delta_{0} \Delta_{\psi_{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) C_{y} \delta_{120}, E\left(\Delta_{0} \Delta_{\psi_{3}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) \rho_{p b_{2}} C_{y} C_{p_{2}}, \\
& E\left(\Delta_{0} \Delta_{\psi_{4}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) C_{y} \delta_{102}, E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{1}} \Delta_{\psi_{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) C_{p_{1}} \delta_{030}, \\
& E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{3}} \Delta_{\psi_{4}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) C_{p_{2}} \delta_{003}, E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{1}}^{* 2}\right)=E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{1}}^{*} \Delta_{\psi_{1}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right) C_{p_{1}}^{2}, \\
& E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{2}}^{* 2}\right)=E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{2}}^{*} \Delta_{\psi_{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left(\delta_{040}-1\right), E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{3}}^{* 2}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right) C_{p_{2}}^{2}, \\
& E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{4}}^{* 2}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right) \delta_{004}, E\left(\Delta_{0} \Delta_{\psi_{1}}^{*}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right) \rho_{p b_{1}} C_{y} C_{p_{1}}, \\
& E\left(\Delta_{0} \Delta_{\psi_{2}}^{*}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right) C_{y} \delta_{120}, E\left(\Delta_{0} \Delta_{\psi_{3}}^{*}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right) \rho_{p b_{2}} C_{y} C_{p_{2}}, \\
& E\left(\Delta_{0} \Delta_{\psi_{4}}^{*}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right) C_{y} \delta_{102}, \\
& E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{1}}^{*} \Delta_{\psi_{2}}^{*}\right)=E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{1}} \Delta_{\psi_{2}}^{*}\right)=E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{1}}^{*} \Delta_{\psi_{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right) C_{p_{1}} \delta_{030}, \\
& E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{1}}^{*} \Delta_{\psi_{3}}\right)=E\left(\Delta_{\psi 1} \Delta_{\psi 3}^{*}\right)=E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{1}}^{*} \Delta_{\psi_{3}}^{*}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right) \rho_{\phi} C_{p_{1}} C_{p_{2}}, \\
& E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{1}}^{*} \Delta_{\psi_{4}}^{*}\right)=E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{1}} \Delta_{\psi_{4}}^{*}\right)=E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{1}}^{*} \Delta_{\psi_{4}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right) \delta_{012} C_{p_{1}}, \\
& E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{2}}^{*} \Delta_{\psi_{3}}^{*}\right)=E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{2}} \Delta_{\psi_{3}}^{*}\right)=E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{2}}^{*} \Delta_{\psi_{3}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right) \delta_{021} C_{p_{2}}, \\
& E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{2}}^{*} \Delta_{\psi_{4}}^{*}\right)=E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{2}} \Delta_{\psi_{4}}^{*}\right)=E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{2}}^{*} \Delta_{\psi_{4}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left(\delta_{022}-1\right), \\
& E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{3}} \Delta_{\psi_{4}}^{*}\right)=E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{3}}^{*} \Delta_{\psi_{4}}\right)=E\left(\Delta_{\psi_{3}}^{*} \Delta_{\psi_{4}}^{*}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n^{*}}-\frac{1}{N}\right) C_{p_{2}} \delta_{003}
\end{aligned}
$$
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