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#### Abstract

In this paper, a new family of distributions, called the Kumaraswamy odd log-logistic, is proposed and studied. Some mathematical properties are presented and special models are discussed. The asymptotes and shapes are investigated. The family density function is given by a linear combination of exponentiated densities following the same baseline model. We derive a power series for the quantile function, explicit expressions for the moments, quantile and generating functions and order statistics. We provide a bivariate extension of the new family. Its performance is illustrated by means of two real data sets.
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## 1. Introduction

Recently, some attempts have been made to define new families of distributions that extend well-known distributions and at the same time provide great flexibility in modelling data in practice. So, several classes by adding one or more parameters to generate new distributions have been proposed in the statistical literature. Some well-known generators are the Marshall-Olkin generated family (MO-G) by Marshall and Olkin (1997), the beta-G by Eugene et al. (2002), the Kumaraswamy-G (Kw-G for short) by Cordeiro and de Castro (2011), the McDonald-G (Mc-G) by Alexander et al. (2012), the gamma-G by Zografos and Balakrishanan (2012), the transformer (T-X) by Alzaatreh et al. (2013), the Weibull-G by Bourguignon et al. (2014) and the exponentiated half-logistic family by Cordeiro et al. (2014).

Let $G(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})$ be a baseline cumulative distribution function (cdf) and $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ the $p \times 1$ vector of associated parameters. Recently, Gleaton and Lynch (2006) and da Cruz et al. (2014) introduced a class of distributions named the odd log-logistic family with one extra shape parameter $\alpha>0$ defined by the cdf

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=\frac{G(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}}{G(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{G}(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})=1-G(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})$.
Let $r(t)$ be the probability density function (pdf) of a random variable $T \in[c, d]$ for $-\infty \leq c<d<\infty$ and let $W[G(x)]$ be a function of the cdf of a random variable $X$ such that $W[G(x)]$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{cases}(i) & W[G(x)] \in[c, d]  \tag{1.2}\\ (i i) & W[G(x)] \text { is differentiable and monotonically non-decreasing, and } \\ (\text { iii }) & W[G(x)] \rightarrow c \text { as } x \rightarrow-\infty \text { and } W[G(x)] \rightarrow d \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty\end{cases}
$$

Alzaatreh et al. (2013) defined the T-X family of distributions by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\int_{c}^{W[G(x)]} r(t) d t \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W[G(x)]$ satisfies the conditions (1.2). The pdf corresponding to (1.3) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\left\{\frac{d}{d x} W[G(x)]\right\} r\{W[G(x)]\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we propose a new wider class of continuous distributions called the Kumaraswamy odd log-logistic- $G$ ("KwOLL-G" for short) family by taking $W[G(x)]=$
$\frac{G(x ; \xi)^{\alpha}}{x ; \xi)^{\alpha}+G(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}}$ and $r(t)=a b t^{a-1}\left(1-t^{a}\right)^{b-1}, \quad 0<t<1$. Its cdf is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
F(x) & =\int_{0}^{\frac{G(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}}{G(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}+G(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}}} a b t^{a-1}\left(1-t^{a}\right)^{b-1} d t \\
& =1-\left\{1-\left[\frac{G(x, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}}{G(x, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}}\right]^{a}\right\}^{b}, \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha>0, a>0$ and $b>0$ are three extra shape parameters to the baseline $\operatorname{cdf} G(x, \boldsymbol{\xi})$. The KwOLL-G family (1.5) includes the Kumaraswamy generalized family (Cordeiro and de Castro, 2011), the proportional and reversed hazard rate models, the odd log-logistic family (Gleaton and Lynch, 2006 and da Cruz et al., 2014), among others. Some special models of (1.5) are listed in Table 1.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide a physical interpretation of the KwOLL-G family. Four special cases are described in Section 3 with some details. In Section 4, the asymptotes and shapes of the density and hazard rate functions are

Table 1. Some special models.

| a | b | $\alpha$ | Reduced distribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| - | - | 1 | Kumaraswamy generalized family of distributions (Cordeiro and de Castro, 2011) |
| 1 | 1 | - | Odd log-logistic family (Gleaton and Lynch 2006 and da Cruz et al. 2014) |
| 1 | - | 1 | Proportional hazard rate model (Gupta et al., 1998) |
| - | 1 | 1 | Proportional reversed hazard rate model (Gupta and Gupta, 2007) |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $G(x)$ |

investigated analytically. Some useful expansions are obtained in Section 5. In Section 6, we derive a power series for the quantile function (qf). In Sections 7 and 8, we obtain the ordinary and incomplete moments and the generating function, respectively. The order statistics are derived in Section 9.
In Section 10, we introduce a bivariate extension of the new family. The estimation of the model parameters by maximum likelihood is performed in Section 11. Two applications to real data illustrate the potentiality of the proposed family in Section 12. Section 13 provides some conclusions.

## 2. The new family

The pdf corresponding to (1.5) is
$f(x ; a, b, \alpha, \boldsymbol{\xi})=\frac{a b \alpha g(x, \boldsymbol{\xi}) G(x, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha a-1} \bar{G}(x, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha-1}}{\left[G(x, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}\right]^{a+1}}\left\{1-\left[\frac{G(x, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}}{G(x, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}}\right]^{a}\right\}^{b-1}$,
where $g(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})=d G(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi}) / d x$. Hereafter, $X \sim \operatorname{KwOLL-G(a,b,\alpha ,\boldsymbol {\xi })\text {denotesarandom}}$ variable having the density function (2.1). Further, we sometimes omit the dependence on the vector $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and write simply $G(x)=G(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})$.

A physical interpretation of the KwOLL-G cdf (for $a$ and $b$ positive integers) is as follows. Equation (1.5) denotes the cdf of the lifetime of a series-parallel system consisting of independent components with the common cdf $H(x)$ given by (1.1). Consider that a system is formed by $b$ independent series subsystems and that each of the subsystems is made up of $a$ independent parallel components. Let $X_{i j} \sim H(x)$, for $1 \leq i \leq a$ and $1 \leq j \leq b$, denote the lifetime of the $i$ th component in the $j$ th subsystem and $X$ denotes the lifetime of the entire system. We have

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(X \leq x)=1-\left\{1-\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{11} \leq x, \cdots, X_{1 a} \leq x\right)\right\}^{b}=1-\left\{1-\operatorname{Pr}^{a}\left(X_{11} \leq x\right)\right\}^{b},
$$

and then $X$ has pdf (2.1).
The hazard rate function (hrf) of $X$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x ; a, b, \alpha, \boldsymbol{\xi})=\frac{a b \alpha g(x, \boldsymbol{\xi}) G(x, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha a-1} \bar{G}(x, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha-1}}{\left[G(x, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}\right]\left\{\left[G(x, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}\right]^{a}-G(x, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{a \alpha}\right\}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The KwOLL-G family is simulated by inverting $F(x)=u$ in (1.5) as follows: if $u$ has a uniform $U(0,1)$ distribution, the solution of the nonlinear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{u}=G^{-1}\left(\frac{\left[1-(1-u)^{\frac{1}{b}}\right]^{\frac{1}{a \alpha}}}{\left[1-(1-u)^{\frac{1}{b}}\right]^{\frac{1}{a \alpha}}+\left\{1-\left[1-(1-u)^{\frac{1}{b}}\right]^{\frac{1}{a}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the pdf (2.1).

## 3. Three special cases of the KwOLL-G family

Equation (2.1) will be most tractable when $G(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})$ and $g(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})$ have closed-forms. Now, we provide only three cases of so many distributions which can be special models of the KwOLL-G family.
3.1. The Kumaraswamy odd log-logistic-normal (KwOLLN) distribution. By taking $G(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})$ and $g(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})$ in (2.1) to be the cdf and pdf of the normal $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ distribution, where $\boldsymbol{\xi}=(\mu, \sigma)^{T}$, the KwOLLN pdf follows as

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x ; a, b, \alpha, \mu, \sigma)= & \frac{a b \alpha \phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\left[\Phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{\alpha a-1}\left[1-\Phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{\alpha-1}}{\sigma\left\{\left[\Phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{\alpha}+\left[1-\Phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{\alpha}\right\}^{a+1}} \\
& \times\left\{1-\left[\frac{\left[\Phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{\alpha}}{\left[\Phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{\alpha}+\left[1-\Phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]^{\alpha}}\right]^{a}\right\}^{b-1} \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is a location parameter, $\sigma>0$ is a scale parameter, and $\phi(\cdot)$ and $\Phi(\cdot)$ are the pdf and cdf of the standard normal distribution, respectively. We denote by $X \sim \operatorname{KwOLLN}(a, b, \alpha, \mu, \sigma)$ a random variable with $\operatorname{pdf}(3.1)$. For $\mu=0$ and $\sigma=1$, we obtain the standard KwOLLN distribution, and for $a=b=\alpha=1$, it reduces to the normal distribution. For $\alpha=1$, we have the Kumaraswamy normal (KwN) (Cordeiro and de Castro, 2011) distribution. Further, if $\alpha=1$ in addition to $b=1$, it gives the exponentiated-normal (EN) distribution. Plots of the KwOLLN pdf for selected parameter values are displayed in Figure 1.
3.2. The Kumaraswamy odd log-logistic-Weibull (KwOLLW) distribution. By taking $G(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})=1-\mathrm{e}^{-(\beta \mathrm{x})^{\lambda}}$ to be the Weibull distribution with scale parameter $\beta>0$ and shape parameter $\lambda>0$, where $\boldsymbol{\xi}=(\lambda, \beta)^{T}$, we obtain the KwOLLW pdf (for $x>0$ ) as

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x)=f(x ; a, b, \alpha, \lambda, \beta)= & \frac{a b \alpha \lambda \beta^{\lambda} x^{\lambda-1}\left\{1-\exp \left[-(\beta x)^{\lambda}\right]\right\}^{\alpha a-1}\left\{\exp \left[-(\beta x)^{\lambda}\right]\right\}^{\alpha}}{\left\{\left[1-\exp \left[-(\beta x)^{\lambda}\right]\right]^{\alpha}+\left[\exp \left[-(\beta x)^{\lambda}\right]\right]^{\alpha}\right\}^{a+1}} \\
& \times\left\{1-\left[\frac{\left\{1-\exp \left[-(\beta x)^{\lambda}\right]\right\}^{\alpha}}{\left.\left.\left\{1-\exp \left[-(\beta x)^{\lambda}\right]\right\}^{\alpha}+\left\{\exp \left[-(\beta x)^{\lambda}\right]\right\}^{\alpha}\right]^{a}\right\}^{b-1}}\right.\right. \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The Weibull distribution (with parameters $\lambda$ and $\beta$ ) is a basic exemplar for $a=b=$ $\alpha=1$. Other special models include the Kumaraswamy Weibull (KwW) (Cordeiro et al., 2010) for $\alpha=1$ and the exponentiated Weibull (EW) (Mudholkar et al., 1995; Mudholkar et al., 1996; Nassar and Eissa, 2003; Nadarajah et al., 2013) and exponentiated exponential (EE) (Gupta and Kundu, 2001) distributions for $b=\alpha=1$ and $b=\alpha=\beta=1$, respectively. Plots of the pdf and hrf of the KwOLLW distribution for selected parameter values are displayed in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. Further, it allows for five major hazard shapes: constant, increasing, decreasing, bathtub and unimodal hazard rates .


Figure 1. The KwOLLN pdf: (a) For $b=0.5, \mu=0$ and $\sigma=3$. (b) For $a=1.5, \mu=0$ and $\sigma=3$. (c) For $a=1.5, b=2.0, \mu=0$ and $\sigma=1$.
3.3. The Kumaraswamy odd log-logistic-Gumbel (KwOLLGu) distribution. Let $G(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be the Gumbel distribution with parameters $(\mu, \sigma)$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is the location parameter and $\sigma>0$ is the scale parameter, and cdf given by

$$
G(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})=\exp \left[-\exp \left(-\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right], x \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Inserting these expressions in equation (2.1) yields the KwOLLGu pdf

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x ; a, b, \alpha, \mu, \sigma)= & \frac{a b \alpha \exp \left\{-\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}-\exp \left(-\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right\}\left(\exp \left\{-\exp \left(-\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right\}\right)^{\alpha a-1}}{\sigma\left\{\left[\exp \left\{-\exp \left(-\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right\}\right]^{\alpha}+\left[1-\exp \left\{-\exp \left(-\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right\}\right]^{\alpha}\right\}^{a+1}} \\
& \times\left\{1-\left[\frac{\left[\exp \left\{-\exp \left(-\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right\}\right]^{\alpha}}{\left[\exp \left\{-\exp \left(-\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right\}\right]^{\alpha}+\left[1-\exp \left\{-\exp \left(-\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right\}\right]^{\alpha}}\right]^{a}\right\}^{b-1} \\
& \times\left(1-\exp \left\{-\exp \left(-\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right\}\right)^{\alpha-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The Kumaraswamy Gumbel (KwGu) (Cordeiro et al., 2010) model corresponds to $\alpha=1$. The Lehmann type I Gumbel distribution refers to $b=\alpha=1$. This


Figure 2. The KwOLLW pdf: (a) For $b=0.5, \alpha=0.5$ and $\beta=1$. (b) For $\lambda=1.5$ and $\beta=1.5$.
case is usually called the exponentiated Gumbel (EGu) model. Indeed, the EGu cdf is defined by (for $\lambda>0$ )

$$
F(x ; \lambda, \boldsymbol{\xi})=1-[1-G(x ; \boldsymbol{\xi})]^{\lambda} .
$$

Plots of the KwOLLGu pdf for some parameter values are displayed in Figure 4.

## 4. Asymptotes and Shapes

4.1. Proposition. The asymptotics of equations (1.5), (2.1) and (2.2) as $x \rightarrow 0$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(x) \sim b G(x)^{a \alpha} \quad \text { as } \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x}) \rightarrow 0 \\
& f(x) \sim a b \alpha g(x) G(x)^{a \alpha-1} \quad \text { as } \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x}) \rightarrow 0 \\
& h(x) \sim a b \alpha g(x) G(x)^{a \alpha-1} \quad \text { as } \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x}) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

4.2. Proposition. The asymptotics of equations (1.5), (2.1) and (2.2) as $x \rightarrow \infty$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1-F(x) \sim[a \alpha \bar{G}(x)]^{b} \quad \text { as } \mathrm{x} \rightarrow \infty, \\
& f(x) \sim b(a \alpha)^{b} g(x) \bar{G}(x)^{b-1} \quad \text { as } \mathrm{x} \rightarrow \infty, \\
& h(x) \sim \frac{b g(x)}{\bar{G}(x)} \quad \text { as } \mathrm{x} \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

The shapes of the density and hazard rate functions can be described analytically. The critical points of the KwOLL-G pdf are the roots of the equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{g^{\prime}(x)}{g(x)}+(a \alpha-1) \frac{g(x)}{G(x)}+(1-\alpha) \frac{g(x)}{\bar{G}(x)}-\alpha(a+1) g(x) \frac{G(x)^{\alpha-1}-\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-1}}{G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}} \\
= & a(b-1) \alpha g(x) \frac{G(x)^{a \alpha-1} \bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-1}}{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]\left\{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a}-G(x)^{a \alpha}\right\}} . \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 3. The KwOLLW hrf: (a) Constant, increasing and decreasing hrf. (b) Bathtub hrf. (c) Unimodal hrf.

There may be more than one root to (4.1). Let $\lambda(x)=\frac{d^{2} \log [f(x)]}{d x^{2}}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda(x)=\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(x) g(x)-g^{\prime}(x)^{2}}{g(x)^{2}}+(a \alpha-1) \frac{g^{\prime}(x) G(x)-g(x)^{2}}{G(x)^{2}}+(1-\alpha) \frac{g^{\prime}(x) \bar{G}(x)+g(x)^{2}}{\bar{G}(x)^{2}} \\
- & \alpha(a+1) g^{\prime}(x) \frac{G(x)^{\alpha-1}-\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-1}}{G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}}-\alpha(\alpha-1)(a+1) g(x)^{2} \frac{G(x)^{\alpha-2}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-2}}{G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}} \\
+\quad & (a+1)\left\{\alpha g(x) \frac{G(x)^{\alpha-1}-\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-1}}{G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}}\right\}^{2} \\
-\quad & a(b-1) \alpha g^{\prime}(x) \frac{G(x)^{a \alpha-1} \bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-1}}{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]\left\{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a}-G(x)^{a \alpha}\right\}} \\
- & a(a \alpha-1)(b-1) \alpha \frac{g(x)^{2} G(x)^{a \alpha-2} \bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-1}}{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]\left\{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a}-G(x)^{a \alpha}\right\}} \\
+ & a(b-1)(\alpha-1) \alpha \frac{g(x)^{2} G(x)^{a \alpha-1} \bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-2}}{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]\left\{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a}-G(x)^{a \alpha}\right\}} \\
+ & a \alpha^{2}(b-1) \frac{g(x)^{2}\left[G(x)^{\alpha-1}-\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-1}\right] G(x)^{a \alpha-1} \bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-1}}{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{2}\left\{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a}-G(x)^{a \alpha}\right\}} \\
+ & \frac{a^{2} \alpha^{2}(b-1) g(x) G(x)^{a \alpha-1} \bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-1}\left\{\left[G(x)^{\alpha-1}-\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-1}\right]\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a-1}\right\}}{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]\left\{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a}-G(x)^{a \alpha}\right\}^{2}} \\
+\quad & \frac{a^{2} \alpha^{2}(b-1) g(x) G(x)^{2 a \alpha-2} \bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-1}}{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]\left\{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a}-G(x)^{a \alpha}\right\}^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 4. The KwOLLGu pdf: (a) For $a=1.5, \mu=0$ and $\sigma=2.5$; (b) For $b=1.5, \mu=0$ and $\sigma=2.5$.

If $x=x_{0}$ is a root of (4.1) then it corresponds to a local maximum (minimum) if $\lambda(x)>0(<0)$ for all $x<x_{0}$ and $\lambda(x)<0(>0)$ for all $x>x_{0}$. It yields points of inflexion if either $\lambda(x)>0$ for all $x \neq x_{0}$ or $\lambda(x)<0$ for all $x \neq x_{0}$.

The critical points of the $\operatorname{hrf} h(x)$ are obtained from the equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{g^{\prime}(x)}{g(x)}+(a \alpha-1) \frac{g(x)}{G(x)}+(1-\alpha) \frac{g(x)}{\bar{G}(x)}-\alpha g(x) \frac{\left[G(x)^{\alpha-1}-\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-1}\right]}{G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}} \\
= & a \alpha g(x) \frac{\left[G(x)^{\alpha-1}-\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-1}\right]\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a-1}-G(x)^{a \alpha-1}}{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a}-G(x)^{a \alpha}} . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

There may be more than one root to (4.2). Let $\tau(x)=d^{2} \log [h(x)] / d x^{2}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau(x) & =\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(x) g(x)-g^{\prime}(x)^{2}}{g(x)^{2}}+(a \alpha-1) \frac{g^{\prime}(x) G(x)-g(x)^{2}}{G(x)^{2}}+(1-\alpha) \frac{g^{\prime}(x) \bar{G}(x)+g(x)^{2}}{\bar{G}(x)^{2}} \\
& -\alpha g^{\prime}(x) \frac{G(x)^{\alpha-1}-\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-1}}{G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}}-\alpha(\alpha-1) g(x)^{2} \frac{G(x)^{\alpha-2}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-2}}{G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}} \\
& +\left\{\alpha g(x) \frac{G(x)^{\alpha-1}-\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-1}}{G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}}\right\}^{2} \\
& -a \alpha g^{\prime}(x) \frac{\left[G(x)^{\alpha-1}-\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-1}\right]\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a-1}-G(x)^{a \alpha-1}}{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a}-G(x)^{a \alpha}} \\
& -a \alpha(\alpha-1) g(x)^{2} \frac{\left[G(x)^{\alpha-2}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-2}\right]\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a-1}}{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a}-G(x)^{a \alpha}} \\
& -a \alpha^{2}(a-1) g(x)^{2} \frac{\left[G(x)^{\alpha-1}-\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-1}\right]^{2}\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a-2}}{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a}-G(x)^{a \alpha}} \\
& +a \alpha(a \alpha-1) g(x)^{2} \frac{G(x)^{a \alpha-2}}{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a}-G(x)^{a \alpha}} \\
& +\left\{a \alpha g(x) \frac{\left[G(x)^{\alpha-1}-\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha-1}\right]\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a-1}-G(x)^{a \alpha-1}}{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a}-G(x)^{a \alpha}}\right\}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $x=x_{0}$ is a root of (4.2) then it refers to a local maximum (minimum) if $\tau(x)>0(<0)$ for all $x<x_{0}$ and $\tau(x)<0(<0)$ for all $x>x_{0}$. It gives an inflexion point if either $\tau(x)>0$ for all $x \neq x_{0}$ or $\tau(x)<0$ for all $x \neq x_{0}$.

## 5. Some useful expansions

The cdf (1.5) of $X$ admits the expansion

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x) & =1-\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m}\binom{b}{m} \frac{G(x)^{a \alpha m}}{\left[G(x)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}(x)^{\alpha}\right]^{a m}} \\
& =1-\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m}\binom{b}{m} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_{1, k}^{(m)} G(x)^{k}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_{2, k}^{(m)} G(x)^{k}} \\
& =1-\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m}\binom{b}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k}^{(m)} G(x)^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta_{0}^{(m)}=\frac{\delta_{1,0}^{(m)}}{\delta_{2,0}^{(m)}}$ and for $k \geq 1$

$$
\beta_{k}^{(m)}=\frac{1}{\delta_{2,0}^{(m)}}\left(\delta_{1, k}^{(m)}-\frac{1}{\delta_{2,0}^{(m)}} \sum_{r=1}^{k} \delta_{2, k}^{(m)} \beta_{k-r}^{(m)}\right), \delta_{1, k}^{(m)}=\sum_{i=k}^{\infty}(-1)^{i+k}\binom{a \alpha m}{i}\binom{i}{k}
$$

and $\delta_{2, k}^{(m)}=h_{k}(\alpha, a m)$ is defined in the Appendix. Then, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} G(x)^{k}, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
b_{0}=1-\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m}\binom{b}{m} \beta_{0}^{(m)}, \text { and for } k \geq 1, b_{k}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m+1}\binom{b}{m} \beta_{k}^{(m)} .
$$

So, the pdf of $X$ can be expressed as an infinite mixture of exponentiated-G ("exp-G") densities

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=f(x ; a, b, \alpha, \boldsymbol{\xi})=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k+1} h_{k+1}(x), \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{k+1}(x)=(k+1) G(x)^{k} g(x)$ denotes the exp-G pdf with power parameter $k+$ 1. Structural properties of some exp-G distributions were studied by Mudholkar et al. (1996), Gupta and Kundu (2001), Nadarajah and Kotz (2006), Nadarajah and Gupta (2007) and Nadarajah et al. (2013), among others. So, some mathematical quantities of $X$ can be derived from (5.2) and those exp-G properties. For example, the ordinary and incomplete moments and moment generating function (mgf) of $X$ can be easily obtained from those of the exp-G quantities.

The formulae derived in the next sections can be easily handled in most symbolic computation software platforms such as MAPLE, MATHEMATICA and MATLAB. These platforms have currently the ability to deal with complex expressions. Established closedform statistical measures can be more efficient than calculating them by numerical integration. The infinity limit in these sums can be substituted by a large positive integer such as twenty or thirty for most applications.

## 6. Quantile power series

Here, we derive a power series for the qf $x=Q(u)=F^{-1}(u)$ of $X$ by expanding (2.3). First, if $Q_{G}(u)$ (the baseline qf) does not have an explicit expression, it can usually be expressed as a power series given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{G}(u)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} u^{i} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $a_{i}$ 's are suitably chosen real numbers which depend on the parameters of the G distribution. For several important distributions, such as the normal, Student t , gamma and beta distributions, $Q_{G}(u)$ does not have explicit expressions but it can be expanded as in equation (6.1).

Here and from now on, we use a result by Gradshteyn and Ryzhik (2007, Section $0.314)$ for a power series raised to a positive integer $n$ (for $n \geq 1$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{G}(u)^{n}=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} u^{i}\right)^{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{n, i} u^{i} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $c_{n, i}$ (for $i=1,2, \ldots$ ) can be obtained from the recurrence equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n, i}=\left(i a_{0}\right)^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{i}[m(n+1)-i] a_{m} c_{n, i-m} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c_{n, 0}=a_{0}^{n}$. Clearly, the quantity $c_{n, i}$ can be determined numerically in any algebraic or numerical software from the quantities $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{i}$.

Second, we derive an expansion for the argument $A$ of $Q_{G}(\cdot)$ in equation (2.3)

$$
A=\frac{\left[1-(1-u)^{\frac{1}{b}}\right]^{\frac{1}{a \alpha}}}{\left[1-(1-u)^{\frac{1}{b}}\right]^{\frac{1}{a \alpha}}+\left\{1-\left[1-(1-u)^{\frac{1}{b}}\right]^{\frac{1}{a}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}=\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k}^{*} u^{k}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k}^{*} u^{k}}
$$

where $a_{k}^{*}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i+k}\binom{\frac{1}{\alpha a}}{i}\binom{\frac{i}{b}}{k}$ and $b_{k}^{*}=a_{k}^{*}+\sum_{i, j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i+j+k}\binom{\frac{1}{\alpha}}{i}\binom{\frac{i}{a}}{j}\binom{\frac{j}{b}}{k}$.

The quotient of the two power series is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k}^{*} u^{k} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}^{*}=\frac{a_{0}^{*}}{b_{0}^{*}}$ and the coefficients $c_{k}^{*}$ 's $(k \geq 1)$ are determined from the recurrence equation

$$
c_{k}^{*}=\frac{1}{b_{0}^{*}}\left(a_{k}^{*}-\frac{1}{b_{0}^{*}} \sum_{r=1}^{k} b_{r}^{*} c_{k-r}^{*}\right)
$$

Then, the qf of the KwOLL-G family can be reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(u)=Q_{G}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k}^{*} u^{k}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By combining (6.1) and (6.5) gives

$$
Q(u)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k}^{*} u^{k}\right)^{i}
$$

and then using (6.2) and (6.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(u)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_{k} u^{k} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{k}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} d_{i, k}, d_{i, 0}=c_{0}^{* i}$ and (for $k>1$ )

$$
d_{i, k}=\left(k c_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{k}[m(i+1)-k] c_{m}^{*} d_{i, k-m}
$$

Hence, equation (6.6) reveals that the qf of the KwOLL-G family can be expressed as a power series. So, several mathematical quantities of $X$ can be reduced to integrals over $(0,1)$ based on this power series. For the great majority of these quantities, we can adopt twenty terms in this power series.

Let $W(\cdot)$ be any integrable function in the real line. We can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(x) f(x) d x=\int_{0}^{1} W\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_{k} u^{k}\right) d u \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (6.6) and (6.7) are the main results of this section since we can obtain from them various KwOLL-G mathematical properties. In fact, they can follow by using the integral on the hight-hand side for special $W(\cdot)$ functions, which are usually more simple than if they are based on the left-hand integral. For example, a formula for the $n$th moment of $X$ follows from (6.7) combined with (6.2) and (6.3) as

$$
\mu_{n}^{\prime}=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_{k} u^{k}\right)^{n} d u=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_{n, k}}{(k+1)}
$$

where (for $n \geq 0) f_{n, 0}=e_{0}^{n}$ and, for $k \geq 1, f_{n, k}=\left(k e_{0}\right)^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^{k}[r(n+1)-k] e_{r} f_{n, k-r}$.

## 7. Moments

Let $Y_{k+1}(k \geq 0)$ be a random variable having the $\operatorname{pdf} h_{k+1}(x)$. A first formula for the $n$th moment of $X$ is obtained from (5.2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(X^{n}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k+1} E\left(Y_{k+1}^{n}\right) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moments of some exp-G distributions are given by Nadarajah and Kotz (2006), which can be used to obtain $E\left(X^{n}\right)$.

A second formula for $E\left(X^{n}\right)$ can be expressed from (7.1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(X^{n}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+1) b_{k+1} \tau(n, k) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau(n, k)=\int_{0}^{1} Q_{G}(u)^{n} u^{k} d u$.
The $n$th incomplete moment of $X$ is determined from (5.2) as

$$
m_{n}(y)=\int_{0}^{y} x^{n} f(x) d x=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+1) b_{k+1} \int_{0}^{G(y)} Q_{G}(u)^{n} u^{k} d u
$$

Using (6.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{n}(y)=\sum_{i, k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+1) b_{k+1} c_{n, i}}{(k+i+1)} G(y)^{k+i+1} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (7.1)-(7.3) are the main results of this section.

## 8. Generating function

Here, we provide two formulae for the mgf $M(t)=E\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{tx}}\right)$ of $X$. Clearly, the first one simply comes from (5.2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k+1} M_{k+1}(t) \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{k+1}(t)$ is the mgf of $Y_{k+1}$. Hence, $M(t)$ can be determined from the exp-G generating function. A second formula for $M(t)$ can be derived from (5.2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(k+1) b_{k+1} \rho(t, k) \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho(t, k)=\int_{0}^{1} \exp \left[t Q_{G}(u)\right] u^{k} d u$ can be computed numerically for most G distributions.

So, we can obtain the mgfs of several generated distributions from (3.2) directly from equations (8.1) and (8.2).

## 9. Order statistics

Order statistics make their appearance in many areas of statistical theory and practice. Suppose that $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is a random sample from $X$ and let $X_{i: n}$ denote the $i$ th order statistic. From equations (5.1) and (5.2), the pdf of $X_{i: n}$ becomes

$$
f_{i: n}(x)=C \sum_{j=0}^{n-i}(-1)^{j}\binom{n-i}{j}\left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}(r+1) b_{r+1} G(x)^{r} g(x)\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} G(x)^{k}\right)^{j+i-1},
$$

where $C=n!/[(i-1)!(n-i)!]$. Using (6.2) and (6.3), we can write

$$
\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} G(x)^{k}\right)^{j+i-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_{j+i-1, k} G(x)^{k}
$$

where $e_{j+i-1,0}=b_{0}^{j+i-1}$ and

$$
e_{j+i-1, k}=\left(k b_{0}\right)^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{k}[m(j+i)-k] b_{m} e_{j+i-1, k-m} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i: n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{k} h_{k+1}(x) \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{k}=C \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} \sum_{m=0}^{k} b_{m+1} e_{j+i-1, k-m}$.
Equation (9.1) gives the pdf of the KwOLL-G order statistics as a linear combination of exp-G densities.

## 10. A bivariate extension

Here, we construct a bivariate version of the proposed model. The joint cdf of ( $X_{1}, X_{2}$ ) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{X_{1}, X_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; a, b, \alpha, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) & =\int_{0}^{\frac{G\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}{G\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\left[1-G\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]^{\alpha}}} a b t^{a-1}\left(1-t^{a}\right)^{b-1} d t \\
& =1-\left\{1-\left[\frac{G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}{G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\left[1-G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]^{\alpha}}\right]^{a}\right\}^{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)$ is a bivariate continuous distribution with marginal cdfs $G_{1}\left(x_{1} ; \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)$ and $G_{2}\left(x_{2} ; \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)$. This distribution is called the bivariate Kumaraswamy odd log-logistic (BKwOLL) family of distributions. The marginal cdfs are given by

$$
F_{X_{i}}\left(x_{i} ; a, b, \alpha, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)=1-\left\{1-\left[\frac{G_{i}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}{G_{i}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}_{i}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}\right]^{a}\right\}^{b}, \quad i=1,2
$$

The joint pdf of $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ can be expressed as $f_{X_{1}, X_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2} F_{X_{1}, X_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}$ and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{X_{1}, X_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; a, b, \alpha, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) & =\frac{a b \alpha A\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; a, b, \alpha, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha a-1}\left[1-G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]^{\alpha-1}}{\left\{G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\left[1-G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]^{\alpha}\right\}^{a+1}} \\
& \times\left\{1-\left[\frac{G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}{G(x, y, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}+\left[1-G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]^{\alpha}}\right]^{a}\right\}^{b-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; a, b, \alpha, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)=g\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) \\
+ & \frac{\partial G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)}{\partial x_{2}}\left[\frac{a \alpha-1}{G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)}+\frac{1-\alpha}{1-G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)}\right] \\
- & (a+1) \alpha \frac{\partial G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha-1}-\left[1-G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]^{\alpha-1}}{G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\left[1-G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]^{\alpha}} \\
+ & \frac{a \alpha(1-b) G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{a \alpha-1}\left[1-G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]^{\alpha-1}}{\left\{G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\left[1-G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]^{\alpha}\right\}} \\
& \times \frac{\partial G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)}{\partial x_{2}} \\
& \quad \frac{\left.\left.\partial G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\left[1-G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]^{\alpha}\right\}^{a}-G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha \alpha}\right\}}{} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The marginal pdfs are given by
$f_{X_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{a b \alpha g_{i}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) G_{i}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha a-1} \bar{G}_{i}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha-1}}{\left[G_{i}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}_{i}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{a+1}}\left\{1-\left[\frac{G_{i}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}{\bar{G}_{i}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}_{i}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}\right]^{a}\right\}^{b-1}, i=1,2$.
The conditional cdfs are given by

$$
F_{X_{i} \mid X_{j}}\left(x_{i} \mid x_{j}\right)=\frac{1-\left\{1-\left[\frac{G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}{G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\left[1-G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]^{\alpha}}\right]^{a}\right\}^{b}}{1-\left\{1-\left[\frac{G_{j}\left(x_{j}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}{G_{j}\left(x_{j}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+G_{j}\left(x_{j}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}\right]^{a}\right\}^{b}} \text { for } i, j=1,2 \text { and } i \neq j
$$

The conditional pdfs are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{X_{i} \mid X_{j}}\left(x_{i} \mid x_{j}\right)=\frac{A\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; a, b, \alpha, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha a-1}\left[1-G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]^{\alpha-1}}{\left\{G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\left[1-G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]^{\alpha}\right\}^{a+1}} \\
\times & \left\{1-\left[\frac{G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}{G(x, y, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\alpha}+\left[1-G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]^{\alpha}}\right]^{a}\right\}^{b-1} \\
& \times\left\{\frac{g_{j}\left(x_{j}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) G_{j}\left(x_{j}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha a-1} \bar{G}_{j}\left(x_{j}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha-1}}{\left[G_{j}\left(x_{j}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}_{j}\left(x_{j}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{a+1}}\left\{1-\left[\frac{G_{j}\left(x_{j}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}{G_{j}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}_{j}\left(x_{j}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}\right]^{a}\right\}^{b-1}\right\}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i, j=1,2$ and $i \neq j$.

## 11. Estimation

We determine the maximum likelihood estimates (MLEs) of the parameters of the new family from complete samples only. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be the observed values from the KwOLL-G distribution with parameters $a, b, \alpha$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\theta}=(a, b, \alpha, \boldsymbol{\xi})^{\top}$ be the $r \times 1$ parameter vector. Then, the total $\log$-likelihood function for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\ell_{n}(\boldsymbol{\theta})= & n \log [a b \alpha]+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left[g\left(x_{i} ; \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]+(a \alpha-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left[G\left(x_{i} ; \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right] \\
& +(\alpha-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left[\bar{G}\left(x_{i} ; \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]-(a+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left\{G\left(x_{i} ; \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}\left(x_{i} ; \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}\right\} \\
.1) \quad & +(b-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left\{1-\left[\frac{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}\right]^{a}\right\} . \tag{11.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The components of the score function are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{a}(\boldsymbol{\theta})= & \frac{n}{a}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left[\frac{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}\right] \\
& +(1-b) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left[\frac{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}\right]^{a} \log \left[\frac{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}\right]}{1-\left[\frac{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}\right]^{a}}, \\
U_{b}(\boldsymbol{\theta})= & \frac{n}{b}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left\{1-\left[\frac{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}}\right]^{a}\right\}, \\
U_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\theta})= & \frac{n}{\alpha}+a \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left[G\left(x_{i} ; \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left[\bar{G}\left(x_{i} ; \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right] \\
& -(a+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha} \log \left[G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]+\bar{G}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha} \log \left[\bar{G}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right]}{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}} \\
& -a(b-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{a \alpha} \bar{G}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha} \log \left[\frac{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)}\right]}{\left\{\left[G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{\alpha}-G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{a \alpha}\right\}\left[G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{g^{(\xi)}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)}{g\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)}+(a \alpha-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{G^{(\xi)}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)}{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)}+(1-\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{G^{(\xi)}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)}{\bar{G}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)} \\
& -\alpha(a+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} G^{(\xi)}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) \frac{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha-1}-\bar{G}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha-1}}{G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}} \\
& -a \alpha(b-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{G^{(\xi)}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha \alpha-1} \bar{G}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha-1}}{\left\{\left[G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{a}-G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha \alpha}\right\}\left[G\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}+\bar{G}\left(x_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{\alpha}\right]} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Numerical maximization of (11.1) is performed by using the RS method (Rigby and Stasinopoulos, 2005) which is available in the gamlss package (R Development Core Team, 2013), SAS (Proc NLMixed) or the Ox program (sub-routine MaxBFGS) (see, Doornik, 2007) or by solving the nonlinear likelihood equations obtained by differentiating (11.1). Setting these equations to zero, $U_{a}(\boldsymbol{\theta})=U_{b}(\boldsymbol{\theta})=U_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\theta})=U_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})=\mathbf{0}$, and solving them simultaneously yields the MLE $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

For interval estimation and hypothesis tests on the parameters in $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, we require the $(p+3) \times(p+3)$ total observed information matrix $\mathbf{J}(\boldsymbol{\theta})=-\left\{U_{r s}\right\}$, where the elements $U_{r s}$ for $r, s=a, b, \alpha, \boldsymbol{\xi}$ are calculated numerically. The estimated multivariate normal $\mathrm{N}_{p+3}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{J}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})^{-1}\right)$ distribution can be used to construct approximate confidence regions for the parameters in $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$. An asymptotic confidence interval (ACI) with significance level $\gamma$ for each parameter $\theta_{r}$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{ACI}\left(\theta_{r}, 100(1-\gamma) \%\right)=\left(\hat{\theta}_{r}-z_{\gamma / 2} \sqrt{\hat{\kappa}^{\theta_{r}, \theta_{r}}}, \hat{\theta}_{r}+z_{\gamma / 2} \sqrt{\hat{\kappa}^{\theta_{r}, \theta_{r}}}\right)
$$

where $\hat{\kappa}^{\theta_{r}, \theta_{r}}$ is the $r$ th diagonal element of $\mathbf{J}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^{-1}$ estimated at $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ and $z_{\gamma / 2}$ is the quantile $1-\gamma / 2$ of the standard normal distribution.

We can compute the maximum values of the unrestricted and restricted log-likelihoods to construct likelihood ratio (LR) statistics for testing some sub-models of the KwOLL-G distribution. For example, we may use LR statistics to check if the fit using the KwOLLW distribution is statistically "superior" to the fits using the KwW, EW, EE and Weibull distributions for a given data set. In any case, considering the partition $\boldsymbol{\theta}=\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}^{T}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{2}^{T}\right)^{T}$,
tests of hypotheses of the type $H_{0}: \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}^{(0)}$ versus $H_{A}: \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1} \neq \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}^{(0)}$ can be performed using the LR statistic $w=2\{\ell(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})-\ell(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\}$, where $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ are the estimates of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ under $H_{A}$ and $H_{0}$, respectively. Under the null hypothesis $H_{0}, w \xrightarrow{d} \chi_{q}^{2}$, where $q$ is the dimension of the vector $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{1}$ of interest. The LR test rejects $H_{0}$ if $w>\xi_{\gamma}$, where $\xi_{\gamma}$ denotes the upper $100 \gamma \%$ point of the $\chi_{q}^{2}$ distribution.

## 12. Applications

We illustrate the importance of the proposed family in two applications to real data. In the last few years, several extensions of the normal and Weibull distributions have been introduced in the literature. For example, Silva et al. (2010) studied the beta modified Weibull (BMW) distribution, Cordeiro et al. (2012b) proposed the McDonald normal (McN) distribution, Cordeiro et al. (2014b) defined the Libby-Novick beta Weibull (LNBW) distribution, Cordeiro et al. (2014c) studied the McDonald Weibull (McW) distribution and Cordeiro et al. (2014d) introduced the Kummaraswamy modified Weibull (KwMW) distribution.

We compare the fits of the KwOLLN and KwOLLW distributions with those of other known models, namely the McN, beta normal (BN), Kumaraswamy normal (KwN), McW, BMW, KwMW, LNBW, beta Weibull (BW), Kumaraswamy Weibull (KwW) and their baseline distributions themselves, see Alexander et al. (2012) and Cordeiro et al. (2010) for more details.
12.1. Aarset data. We consider the lifetimes of 50 industrial devices put on life test at time zero presented by Aarset (1987). These data also reported in Mudholkar and Srivastava (1993), Mudholkar et al. (1996) and Silva et al. (2010) exhibit a bathtubshaped failure rate property. These authors consider that the data are generated by a Weibull distribution. So, we adopt this distribution as the baseline model for our family.

Table 2 lists the MLEs and their standard errors (in parentheses) of the parameters from the fitted KwOLLW, McW, KwMW, BMW, LNBW, BW, KwW, EW and Weibull models and the values of the statistics: Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Consistent Akaike Information Criterion (CAIC) and Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). The computations are performed using the statistical software R. The results indicate that the KwOLLW model has the smallest values of these statistics among the fitted models, and therefore it could be chosen as the best model.

A comparison of the KwOLLW distribution with some of its sub-models using LR statistics is given in Table 3. Clearly, we reject the null hypotheses for the three LR tests in favor of the KwOLLW distribution. In order to assess if the new model is appropriate, Figures 5 a and 5 b display the histogram of the data and the fitted KwOLLW density function and the densities of some of its sub-models and non-nested models, respectively. Further, Figures 5c and 5d display plots of the empirical and estimated survival functions of the KwOLLW distribution and of some sub-models and non-nested models, respectively. We can conclude that the KwOLLW distribution is a very suitable model to fit to the current data.

We shall apply formal goodness-of-fit tests in order to verify which distribution fits the data better. We consider the Cramér-Von Mises $\left(W^{*}\right)$ and Anderson-Darling $\left(A^{*}\right)$ statistics defined by Chen and Balakrishnan (1995).

The values of these statistics for the fitted models are listed in Table 4. Overall, by comparing the measures of these formal goodness-of-fit tests in Table 4, we conclude that the KwOLLW distribution yields a better fit than the Weibull, EW, KwW, BW and McW distributions and therefore it can be an interesting alternative to these distributions for

Table 2. MLEs and information criteria.

| Aarset | $\lambda$ | $\beta$ | $a$ | $b$ | $\alpha$ | AIC | CAIC | BIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KwOLLW | 5.4771 | 0.0203 | 3.0532 | 4.9020 | 0.0514 | 441.0 | 442.3 | 450.5 |
|  | $(0.0100)$ | $(0.0010)$ | $(1.2819)$ | $(4.5062)$ | $(0.0188)$ |  |  |  |
| KwW | 5.5025 | 0.0165 | 0.0602 | 0.2510 | 1 | 449.5 | 450.4 | 457.2 |
|  | $(0.0043)$ | $(0.0013)$ | $(0.0205)$ | $(0.0796)$ | $(-)$ |  |  |  |
| EW | 4.6978 | 0.0108 | 0.1381 | 1 | 1 | 464.3 | 464.8 | 470.0 |
|  | $(0.00002)$ | $(0.0008)$ | $(0.0206)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ |  |  |  |
| Weibull | 0.9488 | 0.0222 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 486.0 | 486.2 | 489.8 |
|  | $(0.1195)$ | $(0.0034)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\lambda$ | $\beta$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |  |  |  |
| McW | 5.4712 | 0.0202 | 0.0880 | 0.0876 | 0.8457 | 447.5 | 448.8 | 457.0 |
|  | $(0.0086)$ | $(0.0028)$ | $(0.0195)$ | $(0.0640)$ | $(0.6682)$ |  |  |  |
| BW | 5.3386 | 0.0212 | 0.0864 | 0.0731 | 1 | 445.7 | 446.5 | 453.3 |
|  | $(0.0146)$ | $(0.0019)$ | $(0.0181)$ | $(0.0306)$ | $(-)$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\lambda$ | $\beta$ | $a_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $c_{1}$ |  |  |  |
| LNBW | 5.4514 | 0.0217 | 0.0838 | 0.0620 | 2.1512 | 447.3 | 448.7 | 456.9 |
|  | $(0.0109)$ | $(0.0041)$ | $(0.0187)$ | $(0.0618)$ | $(14.3571)$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\alpha_{2}$ | $\lambda_{2}$ | $\gamma_{2}$ | $a_{2}$ | $b_{2}$ |  |  |  |
| BMW | 0.0028 | 0.0403 | 1.1337 | 0.2455 | 0.1400 | 453.9 | 455.2 | 463.4 |
|  | $(0.0009)$ | $(0.0125)$ | $(0.2873)$ | $(0.0623)$ | $(0.0671)$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\alpha_{3}$ | $\lambda_{3}$ | $\gamma_{3}$ | $a_{3}$ | $b_{3}$ |  |  |  |
| KwMW | 0.0038 | 0.03724 | 0.9403 | 0.2654 | 0.3195 | 457.7 | 459.0 | 467.2 |
|  | $(0.0020)$ | $(0.0106)$ | $(0.2650)$ | $(0.1058)$ | $(0.1649)$ |  |  |  |

Table 3. LR tests.

| Aarset | Hypotheses | Statistic $w$ | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KwOLLW vs KwW | $H_{0}: \alpha=1$ vs $H_{1}: H_{0}$ is false | 10.55 | 0.0011 |
| KwOLLW vs EW | $H_{0}: b=\alpha=1$ vs $H_{1}: H_{0}$ is false | 27.33 | $<0.0001$ |
| KwOLLW vs Weibull | $H_{0}: a=b=\alpha=1$ vs $H_{1}: H_{0}$ is false | 51.00 | $<0.0001$ |

modeling lifetime data. These results illustrate the importance of the additional shape parameters of the new distribution to analyze real data.
12.2. Respiratory data. Now, we use a real data set to compare the fits of the KwOLLN distribution with those of the McN, BN, KwN and normal distributions. The $\mathrm{McN} \operatorname{pdf}$ (Cordeiro et al., 2012b) is given by
$f(x ; \mu, \sigma, a, b, c)=\frac{c}{B(a, b) \sigma} \phi\left(\sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right)\left\{\Phi\left(\sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right)\right\}^{a c-1}\left\{1-\Phi\left(\sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right)^{c}\right\}^{b-1}$,
where $x \in \mathbb{R}, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is the location parameter, $\sigma>0$ is the scale parameter and $a, b$ and $c$ are positive shape parameters.

We consider 630 observations on respiratory rate (Alexander et al., 2012) and a parent normal distribution. These data were taken from a study by the University of São Paulo, ESALQ (Laboratory of Physiology and Post-Harvest Biochemistry), which evaluate the


Figure 5. (a) Estimated densities of the KwOLLW, BW, KwW, EW and Weibull models. (b) Estimated densities of the KwOLLW, McW, KwMW, BMW and LNBW models. (c) Empirical and estimated survival functions of the KwOLLWBW, KwW, EW and Weibull. (d) Empirical and estimated survival functions of the KwOLLW, McW, KwMW, BMW and LNBW models.
effects of mechanical damage on banana fruits (genus Musa spp.); see Saavedra del Aguila et al. (2010) for more details. The major problem affecting bananas during and after harvest is the susceptibility of the mature fruit to physical damage caused during transport and marketing. The extent of the damage is measured by the respiratory rate.

Initial values for $a, b, \mu$ and $\sigma$ are taken from the fitted KwN model with $\alpha=1$; see, for example, Cordeiro et al. (2012b). The computations are performed using the subroutine NLMIXED in SAS. Table 5 lists the MLEs and their standard errors (in parentheses) of the parameters of the fitted models and the AIC, CAIC and BIC values. The computations are performed using the subroutine NLMixed in SAS. These results indicate that the KwOLLN model has the lowest AIC, CAIC and BIC values among those values of the fitted models, and therefore it could be chosen as the best model.

More information is provided by a visual comparison of the histogram of the data with the fitted densities. In Figure 6, we plot the histogram of the respiratory data and

Table 4. Formal goodness-of-fit tests for Aarset data.

| Model | $W^{*}$ |  | Statistic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.0833 | $A^{*}$ |  |
| KwOLLW | 0.1454 | 0.7477 |  |
| KwW | 0.2740 | 1.1324 |  |
| EW | 0.4963 | 1.8111 |  |
| Weibull | 0.1041 | 3.0079 |  |
| BW | 0.1047 | 0.9043 |  |
| McW | 0.1028 | 0.9056 |  |
| LNBW | 0.1677 | 0.8972 |  |
| BMW | 0.1912 | 1.2697 |  |
| KwMW |  | 1.3995 |  |

Table 5. MLEs and information criteria.

| Respiratory | $\mu$ | $\sigma$ | $a$ | $b$ | $\alpha$ | AIC | CAIC | BIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KwOLLN | 6.5396 | 113.18 | 2.2642 | 0.2778 | 11.2953 | 5547.0 | 5547.1 | 5569.3 |
|  | $(2.7772)$ | $(14.6040)$ | $(0.3356)$ | $(0.0147)$ | $(2.3128)$ |  |  |  |
| KwN | -32.7704 | 29.4031 | 13.4721 | 0.4520 | 1 | 5775.1 | 5775.2 | 5792.9 |
|  | $(2.5507)$ | $(0.8140)$ | $(1.4283)$ | $(0.0329)$ | $(-)$ |  |  |  |
| Normal | 34.3166 | 27.7500 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5979.3 | 5979.4 | 5988.2 |
|  | $(1.1056)$ | $(0.7818)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ | $(-)$ |  |  |  |
|  | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma$ | AIC | CAIC | BIC |
| McN | 10021.0 | 0.4681 | 4.6369 | -186.04 | 47.9945 | 5638.3 | 5638.4 | 5660.5 |
|  | $(8.8561)$ | $(0.0305)$ | $(0.6311)$ | $(7.9203)$ | $(1.7718)$ |  |  |  |
| BN | 50.9335 | 0.4135 | 1 | -56.1790 | 32.2426 | 5709.9 | 5710.0 | 5727.7 |
|  | $(2.5794)$ | $(0.0296)$ | - | $(2.1684)$ | $(0.9699)$ |  |  |  |

the fitted KwOLLN, McN, BN, KwN and normal densities. The KwOLLN and McN distributions provide reasonable fits, but it is clear that the KwOLLN model provides a more adequate fit to the histogram and better captures its extreme bathtub shape.

## 13. Conclusions

We introduce and study a new class of distributions called the Kumaraswamy odd log-logistic-G (KwOLL-G) family, which includes as special cases some classical generators of distributions such as the Kumaraswamy-generalized and exponentiated families. For each baseline G distribution, we define the corresponding KwOLL-G distribution with three additional shape parameters using simple formulas to extend widely-known models such as the normal, Weibull and Gumbel distributions in order to provide more flexibility. Some characteristics of the new family, such as the ordinary moments, generating function and mean deviations, have tractable mathematical properties. The role of the generator parameters is related to the skewness and kurtosis of the new family. We estimate the parameters using maximum likelihood and determine the observed information matrix. Inference on the model parameters is conducted based on likelihood ratio statistics for testing nested models and other formal statistics for non-nested models. Two applications to real data demonstrate the importance of the new family.
(b)


Figure 6. Fitted densities of the KwOLLN, McN and BW models for the respiratory data. (b) Fitted densities of the KwOLLN, KwN and normal models for the respiratory data.
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## Appendix A

We present four power series expansions required for the proof of the general result in Section 4. First, for $b>0$ real non-integer and $0<u<1$, we have the binomial expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-u)^{a}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{j}\binom{a}{j} u^{j}, \tag{13.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the binomial coefficient is defined for any real.
Second, the following expansion holds for any $\alpha>0$ real non-integer

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x)^{\alpha}=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} s_{r}(\alpha) G(x)^{r}, \tag{13.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{r}(\alpha)=\sum_{j=r}^{\infty}(-1)^{r+j}\binom{\alpha}{j}\binom{j}{r}$.
Third, by expanding $z^{\lambda}$ in Taylor series, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\lambda}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(\lambda)_{k}(z-1)^{k} / k!=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_{i} z^{i}, \tag{13.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f_{i}=f_{i}(\lambda)=\sum_{k=i}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-i}(\lambda)_{k}}{k!}\binom{k}{i}
$$

and $(\lambda)_{k}=\lambda(\lambda-1) \ldots(\lambda-k+1)$ is the descending factorial.

Fourth, we consider equations (6.2) and (6.3) to obtain an expansion for $\left[G(x)^{a}+\right.$ $\left.\bar{G}(x)^{a}\right]^{c}$. We can write from equations (13.1) and (13.2)

$$
\left[G(x)^{a}+\bar{G}(x)^{a}\right]=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t_{j} G(x)^{j}
$$

where $t_{j}=t_{j}(a)=s_{j}(a)+(-1)^{j}\binom{a}{j}$. Then, using (13.3), we can write

$$
\left[G(x)^{a}+\bar{G}(x)^{a}\right]^{c}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_{i}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t_{j} G(x)^{j}\right)^{i},
$$

where $f_{i}=f_{i}(c)$. Finally, based on equations (6.2) and (6.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[G(x)^{a}+\bar{G}(x)^{a}\right]^{c}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_{j} G(x)^{j}, \tag{13.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{j}=h_{j}(a, c)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_{i} m_{i, j}$ and $m_{i, j}=\left(j t_{0}\right)^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{j}[m(j+1)-j] t_{m} m_{i, j-m}$ (for $j \geq 1$ ) and $m_{i, 0}=t_{0}^{i}$.
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