

On operators of A_p and A_p^* class

R. Eskandari^{*}, F. Mirzapour[†] and H. Rahmatan[‡]

Received 11 : 12 : 2012 : Accepted 11 : 07 : 2013

Abstract

In this paper we study the properties of bounded linear operators namely A_p -class and A_p^* -class operators that satisfy $T^*T \leq (T^{*p}T^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $TT^* \leq (T^{*p}T^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ respectively. We use some known operator inequalities and we show that if $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an A_p -class or an A_p^* -class operator, then $r(T) = \|T\|$.

2000 AMS Classification: Primary 47A63; Secondary 47A30, 47B20.

Keywords: A -class operator, operator inequality, Spectral radius.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we denote the set of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where \mathcal{H} is a complex Hilbert space. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we denote the spectrum of T by $\sigma(T)$ and the spectral radius of T by $r(T)$ where

$$r(T) = \sup\{|\lambda|, \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}.$$

We say that $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an A_- -class operator if $|T|^2 \geq |T^2|$. In [1, 2] the properties of A_- class operators are studied and it is shown that operators in this class satisfy the Weyl theorem.

Our main purpose in this paper is to introduce the A_p^* -class and A_p -class operators and to denote the properties of this class of operators. In the main section we prove that operators in A_p or A_p^* class satisfy $r(T) = \|T\|$. These classes of operators present some important classes of operators that probably fall in one of this A_p classes. We are trying to answer the following question; are there operators which belong to A_p^* -class operators but do not belong to A_p -class operators? We give an example of operator T such that

^{*} Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University, 19395-4697, Tehran, Iran. Also Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Zanjan, Zanjan 45195-313, Iran, Email: eskandari@znu.ac.ir

[†]Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Zanjan, Zanjan 45195-313, Iran, Email: f.mirza@znu.ac.ir

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University, 19395-4697, Tehran, Iran, Email: h.rahmatan@gmail.com

$TT^* \leq (T^{*2}T^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $T^*T \not\leq (T^{*2}T^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, this says that there is an operator T which is A_p^* -class operator but T is not A_p -class operator. If $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we write $\mathcal{N}(T)$ and $\mathcal{R}(T)$ for the null space and the range space of T respectively. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called Fredholm if it has closed range and

$$\dim \mathcal{N}(T) < \infty \quad , \quad \dim \mathcal{R}(T)^\perp < \infty .$$

If $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is Fredholm then we denote the index of T by $\text{ind}(T)$ which is given by

$$\text{ind}(T) = \dim(\mathcal{N}(T)) - \dim(\mathcal{R}(T)^\perp) .$$

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called a Weyl operator if it is Fredholm of index zero. Also, let $\pi_{00}(T)$ be the set of isolated eigenvalues with finite multiplicity and $\omega(T)$ be the Weyl spectrum of T . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{00}(T) &= \{\lambda \in \text{iso}(\sigma(T)); 0 < \dim \mathcal{N}(T - \lambda I) < \infty\} \\ \omega(T) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; T - \lambda I \text{ is not weyl}\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{iso}(A)$ is the isolated point of the set A .

2. main results

We give some lemmas that we use in the next.

2.1. Lemma. [5] *Let T be a self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we have*

$$\|T\| = \sup\{|\langle Tx, x \rangle|, \|x\| = 1\}$$

2.2. Lemma. [3] *(Hansen inequality). If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfy $A \geq 0$ and $\|B\| \leq 1$, then*

$$(B^*AB)^\delta \geq B^*A^\delta B$$

for all $0 < \delta \leq 1$.

2.3. Lemma. [4] *(Hölder-McCarthy inequality). If $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a positive operator and $x \in \mathcal{H}$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad &\langle A^r x, x \rangle \geq \langle Ax, x \rangle^r \|x\|^{2(1-r)} \text{ for } r > 1, \\ (2) \quad &\langle A^r x, x \rangle \leq \langle Ax, x \rangle^r \|x\|^{2(1-r)} \text{ for } 0 \leq r \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

2.4. Definition. The operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called an A_p -class operator if

$$T^*T \leq (T^{*p}T^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} .$$

2.5. Definition. The operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called an A_p^* -class operator if

$$(2.1) \quad TT^* \leq (T^{*p}T^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} .$$

If in Definition 2.5 we put $p = 2$, we get the definition of $(A, *)$ -class operator where it has been introduced in [3]. Thus A_p^* -class operators are generalization of $(A, *)$ -class operators.

In the next, we show that A_p^* -class operators are normaloid in the sense that $r(T) = \|T\|$, we give a useful lemma as follows,

2.6. Lemma. *If $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an A_p^* -class operator then*

$$(2.2) \quad \|T^{*np-p-1}|T|^{4T^{np-p-1}}\| \geq \|T^{*np-p}T^{np-p}\|^2 \|T^{np-p-1}\|^{-2} ,$$

where $|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

Proof. Suppose that $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|x\| = 1$ and $T^{np-p-1}x \neq 0$ then by the Lemma 2.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle T^{*np-p-1}|T|^4T^{np-p-1}x, x \rangle \|T^{np-p-1}x\|^2 \\
&= \langle |T|^4T^{np-p-1}x, T^{np-p-1}x \rangle \|T^{np-p-1}x\|^2 \\
&\geq \langle |T|^2T^{np-p-1}x, T^{np-p-1}x \rangle^2 \\
&= \langle T^{*np-p-1}|T|^2T^{np-p-1}x, x \rangle^2 \\
(2.3) \quad &= \langle T^{*np-p}T^{np-p}x, x \rangle^2.
\end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$(2.4) \quad \langle T^{*np-p-1}|T|^4T^{np-p-1}x, x \rangle \|T^{np-p-1}x\|^2 \geq \langle T^{*np-p}T^{np-p}x, x \rangle^2.$$

If $T^{np-p-1}x = 0$ then the inequality (2.4) is valid, too.

Now by taking the supremum over all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\|x\| = 1$ in the inequality (2.4) and by use of the Lemma 2.1 we get

$$(2.5) \quad \|T^{*np-p-1}|T|^4T^{np-p-1}\| \|T^{np-p-1}\|^2 \geq \|T^{*np-p}T^{np-p}\|^2,$$

this completes the proof. \square

2.7. Theorem. Assume that T is an A_p^* -class or an A_p -class operator (p is an integer), then $r(T) = \|T\|$.

Proof. Since λT is A_p^* -class (A_p -class) operator for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, where T is an A_p^* -class (A_p -class) operator, without loss of generality we assume that $\|T\| = 1$. Firstly, suppose that T is an A_p^* -class operator then

$$\begin{aligned}
(T^{*np}T^{np})^{\frac{1}{p}} &= (T^*T^{*np-1}T^{np-1}T)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\geq T^* (T^{*np-1}T^{np-1})^{\frac{1}{p}} T \quad (\text{by lemma 2.2}) \\
&\vdots \\
(2.6) \quad &\geq T^{*np-p} (T^{*p}T^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} T^{np-p}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.1) and (2.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(T^{*np}T^{np})^{\frac{1}{p}} &\geq T^{*np-p} (T^{*p}T^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} T^{np-p} \\
&\geq T^{*np-p} (TT^*) T^{np-p} \\
&= T^{*np-p-1}|T|^4T^{np-p-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(2.7) \quad \|(T^{*np}T^{np})^{\frac{1}{p}}\| \geq \|T^{*np-p-1}|T|^4T^{np-p-1}\|,$$

by the Lemma 2.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T^{*np-p-1}|T|^4T^{np-p-1}\| &\geq \|T^{*np-p}T^{np-p}\|^2 \|T^{np-p-1}\|^{-2} \\
&\geq \|T^{*np-p}T^{np-p}\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By using (2.7) we get,

$$(2.8) \quad \|(T^{*np}T^{np})^{\frac{1}{p}}\| \geq \left\| (T^{*np-p}T^{np-p})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|^{2p}.$$

We repeat the inequality (2.8) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T^{*np}T^{np})^{\frac{1}{p}}\| &\geq \|(T^{*p}T^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|^{(2p)^{n-1}} \\ &\geq \|TT^*\|^{(2p)^{n-1}} \\ &= \|T\|^{2(2p)^{n-1}} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand $r(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$, this yields that

$$\begin{aligned} [r(T)]^2 = r(T^*)r(T) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^{*np}\|^{\frac{1}{np}} \|T^{np}\|^{\frac{1}{np}} \\ (2.9) \quad &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^{*np}T^{np}\|^{\frac{1}{np}} \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $r(T) = 1$.

secondly, if T is an A_p -class operator then by the Definition 2.4 and the Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.10) \quad (T^{*n(p-1)}T^{n(p-1)})^{\frac{1}{p}} &\geq (T^*T^{*(n-1)(p-1)-1}T^{n(p-1)-1}T)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\geq T^* (T^{*(n-1)(p-1)-1}T^{n(p-1)-1})^{\frac{1}{p}} T \\ &\vdots \\ &\geq T^{*(n-1)(p-1)-1} (T^{*p}T^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} T^{(n-1)(p-1)-1} \\ &\geq T^{*(n-1)(p-1)-1} (T^*T) T^{(n-1)(p-1)-1} \\ &= T^{*(n-1)(p-1)} T^{(n-1)(p-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$(2.11) \quad \left\| (T^{*n(p-1)}T^{n(p-1)})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| \geq \left\| (T^{*(n-1)(p-1)}T^{(n-1)(p-1)})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|^p,$$

if we repeat the inequality (2.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (T^{*n(p-1)}T^{n(p-1)})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\| &\geq \left\| (T^{*(p-1)}T^{p-1})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|^{p^{n-1}} \\ &= \|T^{*(p-1)}T^{p-1}\|^{p^{n-2}} \\ &= \left(\|T^*\| \left\| T^{*(p-1)}T^{p-1} \right\| \|T\| \right)^{p^{n-2}} \\ &\geq \|T^{*p}T^p\|^{p^{n-2}} \\ &\geq \|T^*T\|^{p^{n-2}} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

According to the (2.9) it can be concluded that $r(T) \geq 1$, thus in this case $r(T) = 1$, too. \square

2.8. Corollary. *If T is an A_p^* -class or an A_p -class operator and also T is quasinilpotent operator, then $T = 0$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.7, T is normaloid, on the other hand, zero is single operator such that it is normaloid and quasinilpotent, hence $T = 0$. \square

2.9. Theorem. *Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, if T is an A_p^* -class operator and $(T - \lambda)(x) = 0$ then $(T - \lambda)^*(x) = 0$.*

Proof. Without lose of generality we take $\|x\| = 1$, so by use of the Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(T - \lambda)^* x\|^2 &= \langle (T - \lambda)^* x, (T - \lambda)^* x \rangle \\
&= \langle (T - \lambda)(T^* - \bar{\lambda})x, x \rangle \\
&= \langle TT^* x, x \rangle - \langle \lambda T^* x, x \rangle - \langle \bar{\lambda} T x, x \rangle + |\lambda|^2 \langle x, x \rangle \\
&= \langle |T^*|^2 x, x \rangle - \lambda \langle x, T x \rangle - \bar{\lambda} \langle T x, x \rangle + |\lambda|^2 \langle x, x \rangle \\
&\leq \langle (T^* T)^{\frac{1}{p}} x, x \rangle - |\lambda|^2 \langle x, x \rangle \leq \langle (T^* T)^{\frac{1}{p}} x, x \rangle^{\frac{1}{p}} - |\lambda|^2 \langle x, x \rangle = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(T - \lambda)^* x = 0$. □

2.10. Theorem. *If T is an A_p^* - class operator and $0 \in \sigma(T) \setminus \omega(T)$, then $0 \in \pi_{00}(T)$.*

Proof. Let $0 \in \sigma(T) \setminus \omega(T)$, then T is a Weyl operator. Hence T is a Fredholm operator with $\text{ind} T = 0$. This yields that $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is close and $\dim \mathcal{N}(T) = \dim \mathcal{R}(T)^\perp$. We show that zero is the isolated eigenvalue of T . If zero is not eigenvalue of T , then $\dim \mathcal{N}(T) = 0$. Therefore

$$\dim \mathcal{R}(T)^\perp = 0, \quad \mathcal{R}(T) = \mathcal{H}$$

so T is an invertible operator, this is a contradiction. Now let $0 \notin \text{iso}(\sigma(T))$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(T^*) = \mathcal{R}(T)^\perp,$$

since $\dim \mathcal{N}(T) = \dim \mathcal{R}(T)^\perp$, so $\mathcal{N}(T) = \mathcal{R}(T)^\perp$. We consider \mathcal{H} and T as the following,

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{N}(T) \oplus \mathcal{N}(T)^\perp \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$$

because of the operator $B : \mathcal{N}(T)^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(T)^\perp$ is one to one and $\mathcal{N}(T)^\perp = \overline{\mathcal{R}(T)} = \mathcal{R}(T)$, we have

$$\mathcal{R}(B) = \mathcal{R}(T) = \mathcal{N}(T)^\perp$$

therefore B is a surjective operator, hence B is an invertible operator.

On the other hand $0 \notin \text{iso} \sigma(T)$ and $\sigma(T) = \{0\} \cup \sigma(B)$, so there exists a sequence like $\{\lambda_n\}$ of $\sigma(B)$ such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$, therefore $0 \in \sigma(B)$. This is a contradiction and the proof is complete. □

In the next, we give an example that it clarifies the set of A_p^* - class operators are different from the set of A_p - class operators.

2.11. Example. We consider the infinite matrix operators T as follows,

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we get $TT^* \leq (T^* T^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $T^* T \not\leq (T^* T^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus T is an A_2^* - class operator but T is not A_2 - class operator.

2.12. Remark. Note that if $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an invertible and T^*, T^{-1} are A_2^* -class operator, then by the Definition 2.5 we have

$$(2.12) \quad T^{-1}T^{*-1} \leq (T^{*-2}T^{-2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and $T^*T \leq (T^2T^{*2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$, by taking the inverse of (2.12) we get $T^*T \geq (T^2T^{*2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ this inequalities showed $T^*T = (T^2T^{*2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$, moreover

$$|T|^2 = |T^{*2}|.$$

2.13. Remark. If T is an A_p^* -class operator then by the Theorem 2.9 we have $\mathcal{N}(T) \subset \mathcal{N}(T^*)$. If T^* is an A_p^* -class operator then $\mathcal{N}(T^*) \subset \mathcal{N}(T)$. Therefore if T and T^* are A_p^* -class operators then we have $\mathcal{N}(T) = \mathcal{N}(T^*)$ and we get

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)}.$$

2.14. Remark. Note that if T is an A_p^* -class operator and T^p is A_q -class operator then T is A_{pq}^* -class operator, also if T^* is A_p -class operator and T^p is A_q^* -class operator then T is A_{pq}^* -class operator.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to sincerely thank the referee for several useful comments.

References

- [1] N.L.BRAHA, M.LOHAJ, F.H. MAREVEI, SH LOHAJ, *Some properties of paranormal and hyponormal Operators*, Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Application (2010), no. 2, 23-361.
- [2] I. H. JEON, I.H. KIM, *On Operators Satisfying $T^*|T^2|T^k \geq T^*|T|^2T^k$* , Linear Algebra Appl, **418** (2006), no. 2-3, 319-331.
- [3] I.H. KIM, *Weyls Theorem and Tensor Product For Operators Satisfying $T^{*k}|T^2|T^k \geq T^{*k}|T|^2T^k$* , J. Korean. Math. Soc. **47** (2010), no. 2, 351-361.
- [4] J.PEČARIĆ, T. FURTA, J. M. HOT AND Y. SEP, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities*, Element, Zagreb,2005.
- [5] J.WEIDMANN, *Linear Operators in Hilbert Spaces*,Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1980.