



On some topological properties of intuitionistic 2-fuzzy n -normed linear spaces

Lj.D.R. Kočinac^{*1} , V.A. Khan² , K.M.A.S. Alshlool² , H. Altaf² 

¹University of Niš, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, 18000 Niš, Serbia

²Aligarh Muslim University, Department of Mathematics, Aligarh 202002, India

Abstract

Motivated by the notion of n -norm due to Gähler, in this article we define the concept of intuitionistic 2-fuzzy n -normed space in general setting of t -norm as a generalization of intuitionistic fuzzy normed space in the sense of Bag and Samanta. Further we define the notion of α - n -norm corresponding to intuitionistic 2-fuzzy n -norm. In addition, we discuss some basic properties of convergence and completeness for intuitionistic 2-fuzzy n -normed spaces.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 03E72, 40A05, 46B20, 46S40, 54A20

Keywords. fuzzy set, intuitionistic fuzzy set, 2-fuzzy n -normed space, t -norm, t -conorm

1. Introduction

A lot of significant developments of Zadeh's theory of fuzzy sets [21] have been taken place to figure out the fuzzy analogues of the classical set theory. Noticeably, the area of fuzzy set study has become the focus of many researchers for the last 50 years. It was applied very actively in the field of science and engineering, such as computer programming [8] and nonlinear dynamical systems [10]. Once we talk about the fuzzy set theory, we get to put the light on the success of Atanassov [1, 2] who introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. After that, Çoker [5] studied intuitionistic topological spaces, while Park [15] introduced and studied the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Saadati and Park [18] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy normed space. On the other side, Gähler [6, 7] has introduced and developed the satisfactory theory of 2-norms and n -norms on a linear space. After that, many authors motivated by Gähler's work, have generalized and developed the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy normed space to intuitionistic fuzzy 2-normed spaces (see, for example, [13]) and investigated some of their topological properties. Later, Bag and Samanta [4] redefined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy normed space in such a way that the underlying t -norm and t -conorm are considered in general setting in the sense that only continuity of t -norm and t -conorm at $(1, 1)$ and $(0, 0)$, respectively, are used. This article develops and supports this theory as in some cases the ordinary norms do not work. The concepts of fuzzy norm and α -norm were

*Corresponding Author.

Email addresses: lkocinac@gmail.com (Lj.D.R. Kočinac), vakhanmaths@gmail.com (V.A. Khan), k_moto@yahoo.com (K.M.A.S. Alshlool), vakhanmaths@gmail.com (H. Altaf)

Received: 08.12.2017; Accepted: 19.10.2018

introduced by Bag and Samanta in [3]. The concept of fuzzy n -normed linear spaces has been studied by many authors like [11, 14, 17]. In 2012, Park and Alaca [16] introduced the concept of 2-fuzzy n -normed linear space or fuzzy n -normed linear space of the set of all fuzzy sets of a non-empty set. These authors defined the notion of α - n -norms on a linear space corresponding to the fuzzy n -norm by using some ideas from [20].

Our main concern here is to define the concept of intuitionistic 2-fuzzy n -normed space in general t -norm as a generalization of intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces due to Saadati and Park [18], but in the sense of Bag and Samanta [4]. Further we define the notion of α - n -norms corresponding to intuitionistic 2-fuzzy n -norm. In addition, we discuss some basic properties of convergence and completeness for intuitionistic 2-fuzzy n -normed spaces.

Throughout the article \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} will be the sets of natural, real and complex numbers, respectively. By \mathbb{K} we denote the field of real or complex numbers.

2. Definitions and preliminaries

In this section, we present some preliminary definitions and results related to n -normed spaces and 2-fuzzy n -normed spaces used in this article.

Definition 2.1 ([9], [12]). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let X be a real linear space of dimension $d \geq n$. A real-valued function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ on X^n satisfying the following properties:

- (i) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = 0$ if and only if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent,
- (ii) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ is invariant under any permutation,
- (iii) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, \alpha x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (iv) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y + z\| \leq \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\| + \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, z\|$

is called an n -norm on X , and the pair $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is called an n -normed linear space.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a linear space over \mathbb{K} and $F(X)$ be the set of all fuzzy sets in X . If $f \in F(X)$, then $f = \{(x, \mu) : x \in X, \mu \in (0, 1]\}$. Clearly, f is a bounded function ($|f(x)| \leq 1$). Then $F(X)$ is a linear space over the field \mathbb{K} , where the addition and scalar multiplication are defined by

$$f + g = \{(x, \mu) + (y, \nu)\} = \{(x + y, \mu \wedge \nu) : (x, \mu) \in f, (y, \nu) \in g\},$$

and

$$\lambda f = \{(\lambda x, \mu) : (x, \mu) \in f\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{K}.$$

The linear space $F(X)$ is said to be a *normed linear space* if for every $f \in F(X)$ there is associated a non-negative real number $\|f\|$ (called the *norm* of f) in such a way that

- (1) $\|f\| = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$,
- (2) $\|\lambda f\| = |\lambda| \|f\|$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$,
- (3) $\|f + g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|$ for every $f, g \in F(X)$.

Then $(F(X), \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed linear space.

Definition 2.3 ([20]). A fuzzy set on $F(X)$ is called a *2-fuzzy set on X* .

Definition 2.4 ([15]). Let X be a real linear space of dimension $d \geq n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $F(X)$ be the set of all fuzzy sets in X . Assume that a $[0, 1]$ -valued function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ on $F(X)^n$ satisfying the following properties:

- (i) $\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\| = 0$ if and only if f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n are linearly dependent;
- (ii) $\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|$ is invariant under any permutation;
- (iii) $\|f_1, f_2, \dots, \lambda f_n\| = |\lambda| \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$;
- (iv) $\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}, y + z\| \leq \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}, y\| + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}, z\|$.

Then $(F(X), \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is an n -normed linear space or $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is a 2- n -normed linear space.

Definition 2.5 ([14]). Let $F(X)$ be a real linear space. A fuzzy subset N of $F(X)^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is called a *2-fuzzy n -norm on X* (or a *fuzzy n -norm on $F(X)$)* if

- (2-N1): for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, with $t \leq 0$, $N(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = 0$,
- (2-N2): for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, with $t > 0$, $N(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = 1$ if and only if f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n are linearly dependent,
- (2-N3): $N(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t)$ is invariant under any permutation of f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n ,
- (2-N4): for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, with $t > 0$, $N(f_1, f_2, \dots, \lambda f_n, t) = N(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, \frac{t}{|\lambda|})$ if $\lambda \neq 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$,
- (2-N5): for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, $N(f_1, \dots, f_n + f'_n, s+t) \geq \min\{N(f_1, \dots, f_n, s), N(f_1, \dots, f'_n, t)\}$,
- (2-N6): $N(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous,
- (2-N7): $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = 1$.

Then $(F(X), N)$ is a *fuzzy n -normed linear space* or (X, N) is a *2-fuzzy n -normed linear space*.

Remark 2.6 ([15]). The non-decreasing property of $N(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, \cdot)$ in a 2-fuzzy n -normed linear space (X, N) follows from (2-N4) and (2-N5) for all $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in F(X)$.

Definition 2.7 ([19]). A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is said to be *continuous t -norm*, if the following hold:

- (i) $*$ is associative and commutative,
- (ii) $*$ is continuous,
- (iii) $x * 1 = x$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$,
- (iv) $x * y \leq z * w$ whenever $x \leq z$ and $y \leq w$, where $x, y, z, w \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.8 ([19]). A binary operation \diamond : $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is said to be *continuous t -conorm* if it satisfies the following properties:

- (i) \diamond is associative and commutative,
- (ii) \diamond is continuous,
- (iii) $x \diamond 0 = x$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$,
- (iv) $x \diamond y \leq z \diamond w$ whenever $x \leq z$ and $y \leq w$, where $x, y, z, w \in [0, 1]$.

Remark 2.9 ([15]). (i) For any $r_1, r_2 \in (0, 1)$ with $r_1 > r_2$ there exist $r_3, r_4 \in (0, 1)$ such that $r_1 * r_3 \geq r_2$ and $r_1 \geq r_4 \diamond r_2$;
(ii) For any $r_5 \in (0, 1)$ there exist $r_6, r_7 \in (0, 1)$ such that $r_6 * r_6 \geq r_5$ and $r_7 \diamond r_7 \leq r_5$.

Definition 2.10 ([1]). Let E be any set. An intuitionistic fuzzy set A of E is an object of the form $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) : x \in E\}$, where the functions $\mu : E \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\nu : E \rightarrow [0, 1]$ denote the degree of membership and the non-membership of the element $x \in E$, respectively, and $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$.

3. Intuitionistic 2-fuzzy n -normed linear spaces

In this section we define a new and interesting notion of intuitionistic 2-fuzzy n -normed linear space.

Definition 3.1. Let $F(X)$ be a linear space over a field \mathbb{K} of dimension $d \geq n$, $*$ a continuous t -norm, \diamond a continuous t -conorm. An *intuitionistic 2-fuzzy n -norm*, for short I2FnN, on X (or intuitionistic fuzzy n -norm on $F(X)$, for short IFnN) is an object of the form

$$A = \{F(X), \mu(f_1, \dots, f_n, t), \nu(f_1, \dots, f_n, t) : (f_1, \dots, f_n, t) \in [F(X)]^n \times \mathbb{R}\},$$

where μ and ν are fuzzy sets on $[F(X)]^n \times \mathbb{R}$, μ denote the degree of membership, and ν denote the degree of non-membership of $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \in [F(X)]^n \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (I2FnN1): for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \leq 0$, $\mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = 0$,

- (I2FnN2): for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t > 0$, $\mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = 1$ if and only if f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n are linearly dependent,
- (I2FnN3): $\mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t)$ is invariant under any permutation of f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n ,
- (I2FnN4): for all $c \in \mathbb{K}$ with $c \neq 0$, $\mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, cf_n, t) = \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t/|c|)$,
- (I2FnN5): for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu(f_1, \dots, f_n + f'_n, s+t) \geq \mu(f_1, \dots, f_n, s) * \mu(f_1, \dots, f'_n, t)$,
- (I2FnN6): $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = 1$,
- (I2FnN7): for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \leq 0$, $\nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = 1$,
- (I2FnN8): for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t > 0$, $\nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = 0$ if and only if f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n are linearly dependent,
- (I2FnN9): $\nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t)$ is invariant under any permutation of f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n ,
- (I2FnN10): for all $c \in \mathbb{K}$ with $c \neq 0$, $\nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, cf_n, t) = \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t/|c|)$,
- (I2FnN11): for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\nu(f_1, \dots, f_n + f'_n, s+t) \leq \nu(f_1, \dots, f_n, s) \diamond \nu(f_1, \dots, f'_n, t)$,
- (I2FnN12): $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = 0$.

In this case (μ, ν) is called an intuitionistic 2-fuzzy n -norm on X or intuitionistic fuzzy n -norm on $F(X)$ and we denote it by $(\mu, \nu)_n$. Then the five-tuple $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ is called an *intuitionistic 2-fuzzy n -normed linear space* (for short I2FnNLS) or $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy n -normed linear space (for short IFnNLS).

Remark 3.2. The non-decreasing property of $\mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t)$ follows from (I2FN2) and (I2FN5), and the non-increasing property of $\nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t)$ follows from (I2FN8) and (I2FN11).

Hereafter we use the notion intuitionistic fuzzy n -norm on $F(X)$ instead of intuitionistic 2-fuzzy n -norm on X .

We construct the following example of an intuitionistic fuzzy n -normed linear space.

Example 3.3. Let $(F(X), \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be a 2-fuzzy n -normed linear space, $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ and $a \diamond b = \max\{a, b\}$, for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in F(X)$. Define

$$\mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|}, & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 0, & t \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|}, & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 1, & t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

If $A = \{F(X), N(f_1, \dots, f_n, t), M(f_1, \dots, f_n, t) : f_1, \dots, f_n \in F(X)\}$, then $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -normed linear space.

Proof. We prove that (IFnN1)–(IFnN12) are satisfied.

(IFnN1) For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \leq 0$, $\mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = 0$.

(IFnN2)] for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = 1 &\Leftrightarrow \frac{t}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|} = 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow t = t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\| \\ &\Leftrightarrow \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\| = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \text{ are linearly independent.} \end{aligned}$$

(IFnN3) For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) &= \frac{t}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|} = \frac{t}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, f_{n-1}\|} \\ &= \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, f_{n-1}, t) = \dots \end{aligned}$$

(IFnN4) For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t > 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{K}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t/|c|) &= \frac{t/|c|}{t/|c| + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|} \\ &= \frac{t}{t + |c|\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|} = \frac{t}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, cf_n\|} \\ &= \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, cf_n, t).\end{aligned}$$

(IFnN5) Without of loss of generality assume that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n, t) &\leq \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, s) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{t}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\|} &\leq \frac{s}{s + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|} \\ \Rightarrow t(s + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|) &\leq s(t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\|) \\ \Rightarrow t\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\| &\leq s\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\| \\ \Rightarrow \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\| &\leq \frac{s}{t}\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\|.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\| + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\| &\leq \frac{s}{t}\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\| + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{s}{t} + 1\right)\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\| = \left(\frac{s+t}{t}\right)\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\|.\end{aligned}$$

But,

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n + f'_n\| &\leq \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\| + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{s+t}{t}\right)\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\| \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n + f'_n\|}{s+t} &\leq \frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\|}{t} \\ \Rightarrow 1 + \frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n + f'_n\|}{s+t} &\leq 1 + \frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\|}{t} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{s+t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n + f'_n\|}{s+t} &\leq \frac{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\|}{t} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{s+t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n + f'_n\|}{s+t} &\geq \frac{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\|}{t} \\ \Rightarrow \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n + f'_n, s+t) &\geq \min\{\mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, s), \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n, t)\}.\end{aligned}$$

(IFnN6) Clearly, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = 1$.

(IFnN7) Obviously, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \leq 0$, $\nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = 1$.

(IFnN8) For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow \frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\| = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \text{ are linearly independent.}\end{aligned}$$

(IFnN9)

$$\begin{aligned}\nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) &= \frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|} = \frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}\|}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}\|} \\ &= \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}, t) = \dots\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|$ is invariant under any permutation of f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n , it follows that $\nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t)$ is invariant under any permutation of f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n .

(IFnN10) For $c \neq 0$ and $t > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, cf_n, t) &= \frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, cf_n\|}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, cf_n\|} = \frac{|c|\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|}{t + |c|\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|} \\ &= \frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|}{\frac{t}{|c|} + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|} = \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, \frac{t}{|c|}). \end{aligned}$$

(IFnN11) We consider only the case when $s, t > 0$ since other cases are obvious. Without loss of generality assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, s) &\leq \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n, t) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|}{s + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|} &\leq \frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\|}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\|} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|(t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\|) &\leq \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\|(s + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|) \\ \Rightarrow t\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\| &\leq s\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|f_1, \dots, f_n + f'_n\|}{s + t + \|f_1, \dots, f_n + f'_n\|} &= \frac{\|f_1, \dots, f'_n\|}{s + t + \|f_1, \dots, f'_n\|} \\ &\leq \frac{\|f_1, \dots, f_n\| + \|f_1, \dots, f'_n\|}{s + t + \|f_1, \dots, f_n\| + \|f_1, \dots, f'_n\|} \\ &= \frac{t\|f_1, \dots, f_n\| - s\|f_1, \dots, f'_n\|}{(s + t + \|f_1, \dots, f_n\| + \|f_1, \dots, f'_n\|)(t + \|f_1, \dots, f'_n\|)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.1)

$$\frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n + f'_n\|}{s + t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n + f'_n\|} \leq \frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\|}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\|}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n + f'_n\|}{s + t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n + f'_n\|} &\leq \frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|}{s + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|} \\ &\Rightarrow \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n + f'_n, s + t) \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, s), \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n, t) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(IFnN12) Clearly, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = 0$.

Hence, $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -normed linear space. \square

4. Convergence and completeness

In this section, we discuss some basic properties of sequences in an intuitionistic 2-fuzzy n -normed linear space.

Definition 4.1. A sequence $\{f_k\}$ in an intuitionistic fuzzy n -normed linear space $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ is said to be:

- (1) *convergent to* $f \in F(X)$ if for given $t > 0$, $0 < r < 1$, there exists an integer $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) > 1 - r$ and $\nu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) < r$ for all $n \geq n_0$ and for all $\omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n \in F(X)$;

- (2) a *Cauchy sequence* if for all $0 < r < 1$, $t > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(f_k - f_m, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) > 1 - \epsilon$ and $\nu(f_k - f_m, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) < \epsilon$ for all $k, m \geq n_0$ and for all $\omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n \in F(X)$.

Definition 4.2. The intuitionistic fuzzy n -normed linear space $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ in which every Cauchy sequence converges is called *complete*.

Theorem 4.3. In an intuitionistic fuzzy n -normed linear space $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$, a sequence $\{f_k\}$ converges to f if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) = 0.$$

Proof. Fix $t > 0$. Suppose $\{f_k\}$ converges to f . Then for a given $t > 0$, $0 < r < 1$, there exists an integer $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) > 1 - r$ and $\nu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) < r$ for all $k \geq n_0$. Thus $1 - \mu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) < r$ and $\nu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) < r$ and hence $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) = 0$.

Conversely, if for each $t > 0$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) = 0$, then for every r , $0 < r < 1$, there exists an integer $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 - \mu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) < r$ and $\nu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) < r$. Thus $\mu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) > 1 - r$ and $\nu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) < r$ for all $k \geq n_0$. Hence $\{f_k\}$ converges to f in $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$. \square

Theorem 4.4. If $*$ is continuous at the point $(1, 1)$, and \diamond is continuous at $(0, 0)$, then the limit of a convergent sequence in an intuitionistic fuzzy n -normed linear space $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ is unique.

Proof. Suppose that $\{f_k\}$ converges to distinct f and h in $F(X)$. As $\dim F(X) \geq n$, there exist a linearly independent set of vectors $\{f - h, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n\}$ in $F(X)$. Now for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(f - h, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, 2t) &= \mu(f - f_k + f_k - h, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t + t) \\ &\geq \mu(f - f_k, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) * \mu(f_k - h, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit to both sides and using the continuity of t -norm, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{2t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f - h, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t + t) &\geq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f - f_k, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) \\ &* \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f_k - h, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) = 1 * 1 = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\{f - h, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n\}$ are linearly independent. This is a contradiction.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(f - h, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, 2t) &= \nu(f - f_k + f_k - h, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t + t) \\ &\leq \nu(f - f_k, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) \diamond \nu(f_k - h, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit to both sides and using continuity of t -conorm, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{2t \rightarrow \infty} \nu(f - h, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t + t) &\leq \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \nu(f - f_k, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) \\ &\diamond \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nu(f_k - h, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) = 0 \diamond 0 = 0 \text{ for all } t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\{f - h, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n\}$ are linearly independent. This is a contradiction. Thus $f - h = \underline{0}$, i.e. $f = h$. \square

Theorem 4.5. Let $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy n -normed linear space such that $*$ is continuous at the point $(1, 1)$, and \diamond is continuous at $(0, 0)$. If $\{f_k\}$ and $\{h_k\}$ are two sequences in $F(X)$ such that $\{f_k\}$ converges to $\{f\}$, and $\{h_k\}$ converges to $\{h\}$, then for $t > 0$:

- (i) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu((f_k + h_k) - (f + h), \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, 2t) = 1$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nu((f_k + h_k) - (f + h), \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, 2t) = 0$;

$$(ii) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(c(f_k - f), \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) = 1, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nu(c(f_k - f), \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n, t) = 0 \text{ for } c \in \mathbb{K} \text{ with } c \neq 0.$$

Proof. (i) We have the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu((f_k + h_k) - (f + h), \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, 2t) \\ &= \mu((f_k - f) + (h_k - h), \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t + t) \\ &\geq \mu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) * \mu(h_k - h, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) = 1 * 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit and using the continuity of the t -norm, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{2t \rightarrow \infty} \mu((f_k + h_k) - (f + h), \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, 2t) \\ &= \lim_{2t \rightarrow \infty} \mu((f_k - f) + (h_k - h), \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t + t) \\ &\geq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) \\ &* \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(h_k - h, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) = 1 * 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu((f_k + h_k) - (f + h), \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, 2t) \\ &= \nu((f_k - f) + (h_k - h), \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t + t) \\ &\leq \nu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) \\ &* \nu(h_k - h, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) = 1 * 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit and using the continuity of t -conorm, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{2t \rightarrow \infty} \nu((f_k + h_k) - (f + h), \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, 2t) \\ &= \lim_{2t \rightarrow \infty} \nu((f_k - f) + (h_k - h), \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t + t) \\ &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) \\ &* \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \nu(h_k - h, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) = 0 \diamond 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) \text{ First, } \mu(c(f_k - f), \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) = \mu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{|c|}).$$

Let $s = \frac{t}{|c|}$ and taking limit of the above equation, we get

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, s) = 1.$$

Again,

$$\nu(c(f_k - f), \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) = \nu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{|c|}).$$

Let $s = \frac{t}{|c|}$ and taking limit of the above equation, we get

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \nu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, s) = 0.$$

□

Theorem 4.6. *In an intuitionistic fuzzy n -normed linear space $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$, every convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence.*

Proof. Let $\{f_k\}$ be a convergent sequence in $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ converging to $f \in F(X)$. Let $t > 0$, $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Choose $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $(1-r)*(1-r) > 1-\epsilon$ and $r \diamond r < \epsilon$. Since $\{f_k\}$ converges to f , there exists an integer $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{2}) >$

$1 - r$ and $\nu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{2}) < r$ for all $k \geq n_0$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(f_k - f_m, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) &= \mu(f_k - f + f - f_m, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{2} + \frac{t}{2}) \\ &\geq \mu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{2}) * \mu(f - f_m, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{2}) \\ &> (1 - r) * (1 - r), \text{ for all } k, m \geq n_0 \\ &> (1 - \epsilon), \text{ for all } k, m \geq n_0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(f_k - f_m, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) &= \nu(f_k - f + f - f_m, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{2} + \frac{t}{2}) \\ &\leq \nu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{2}) \diamond \nu(f - f_m, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{2}) \\ &< r \diamond r, \text{ for all } k, m \geq n_0 \\ &< \epsilon, \text{ for all } k, m \geq n_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\{f_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$. □

Remark 4.7. The converse of Theorem 4.6 is not necessarily true. It is verified by the following example.

Example 4.8. Let $(F(X), \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be an n -normed linear space and define $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ and $a \diamond b = \max\{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$, $t > 0$. $\mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = \frac{t}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|}$ and $\nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) = \frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|}$. If

$$A = \{F(X), \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t), \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) : f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in [F(X)]^n\},$$

then by Example 3.3, $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy n -normed linear space. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence in $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$. Then

- (i) $\{f_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(F(X), \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ if and only if $\{f_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in intuitionistic fuzzy n -normed linear space $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$.
- (ii) $\{f_n\}$ is a convergent sequence in $(F(X), \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ if and only if $\{f_n\}$ is a convergent sequence in intuitionistic fuzzy n -normed linear space $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$.

(i) $\{f_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(F(X), \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Leftrightarrow \lim_{n, k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n - f_k\| = 0. \\ &\Leftrightarrow \lim_{n, k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n - f_k, t) = \lim_{n, k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n - f_k\|} = 1 \\ &\quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n, k \rightarrow \infty} \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n - f_k, t) = \lim_{n, k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n - f_k\|}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n - f_k\|} = 0. \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n - f_k, t) > 1 - r \text{ and } \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n - f_k, t) < r, \\ &\quad \text{for each } r \in (0, 1), \text{ for all } n, k \geq n_0. \\ &\Leftrightarrow \{f_n\} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence in } (F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{(ii) } \{f_n\} \text{ is a convergent sequence in } (F(X), \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \\
 & \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n - f\| = 0. \\
 & \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n - f, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n - f\|} = 1 \\
 & \quad \text{and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n - f, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n - f\|}{t + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n - f\|} = 0. \\
 & \Leftrightarrow \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n - f, t) > 1 - r \text{ and } \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n - f, t) < r, \\
 & \quad \text{for each } r \in (0, 1), \text{ for all } n \geq n_0. \\
 & \Leftrightarrow \{f_n\} \text{ is a convergent sequence in } (F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus if there exists an 2-fuzzy n -normed linear space $(F(X), \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ which is not complete, then the IFnN induced by such a crisp 2- n -norm $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ on an incomplete 2- n -normed linear space $F(X)$ is an incomplete intuitionistic fuzzy n -normed linear space.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy n -normed linear space with underlying t -norm being continuous at $(1, 1)$, and t -conorm is continuous at $(0, 0)$ such that every Cauchy sequence in $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ has a convergent subsequence. Then $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ is a complete intuitionistic fuzzy n -normed linear space.*

Proof. Let $\{f_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ and let $\{f_{k_s}\}$ be a subsequence of $\{f_k\}$ that converge to f . We prove that $\{f_k\}$ converges to f . Let $t > 0$, $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and choose $r \in (0, 1)$ be such that $(1 - r) * (1 - r) > 1 - \epsilon$ and $r \diamond r < \epsilon$. Since $\{f_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, there exist an integer $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(f_k - f_m, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{2}) > 1 - r$ and $\nu(f_k - f_m, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{2}) < r$, for all $k, m \geq n_0$. Since $\{f_{k_s}\}$ converges to f , there is a positive integer $i_s > n_0$ such that $\mu(f_{i_s} - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{2}) > 1 - r$ and $\nu(f_{i_s} - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{2}) < r$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) &= \mu(f_k - f_{i_s} + f_{i_s} - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{2} + \frac{t}{2}) \\
 &\geq \mu(f_k - f_{i_s}, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{2}) * \mu(f_{i_s} - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{2}) \\
 &> (1 - r) * (1 - r) > 1 - \epsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nu(f_k - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, t) &= \nu(f_k - f_{i_s} + f_{i_s} - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{2} + \frac{t}{2}) \\
 &\geq \nu(f_k - f_{i_s}, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{2}) \diamond \nu(f_{i_s} - f, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots, \omega_n, \frac{t}{2}) \\
 &< r \diamond r < \epsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\{f_k\}$ converges to f in intuitionistic fuzzy n -normed linear space $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ and hence it is complete. \square

As a consequence of [15, Theorem 3.1], we introduce an interesting notion of ascending family of α - n -norms corresponding to the intuitionistic 2-fuzzy n -norm in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.10. *Let $(F(X), \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy n -normed linear space such that:*

- (i) $a * a = a$, $a \diamond a = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$;
- (ii) For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t > 0$, $\mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) > 0$ implies f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n are linearly independent;
- (iii) For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t > 0$, $\nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) < 1$ implies f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n are linearly independent.

Define

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_\alpha &= \inf\{t : \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \geq \alpha, \alpha \in (0, 1)\} \text{ and} \\ \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_\alpha &= \sup\{t : \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \leq 1 - \alpha, \alpha \in (0, 1)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1)\}$ is an ascending family of n -norms on $F(X)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. We show that $\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}, f_n\|_\alpha$ is an n -norm on $F(X)$.

(i) Let $\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_\alpha = 0$. This implies $\inf\{t : \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \geq \alpha, \alpha \in (0, 1)\} = 0$ and $\sup\{t : \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \leq 1 - \alpha, \alpha \in (0, 1)\} = 0$. Then for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \geq \alpha > 0$ and $\nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \leq 1 - \alpha < 1$ which implies that f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n are linearly independent.

Conversely, assume that f_1, \dots, f_n are linearly independent. This implies $\mu(f_1, \dots, f_n, t) = 1$ and $\nu(f_1, \dots, f_n, t) = 0$ for all $t > 0$, we have $\inf\{t : \mu(f_1, \dots, f_n, t) \geq \alpha, \alpha \in (0, 1)\} = 0$ and $\sup\{t : \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \leq 1 - \alpha, \alpha \in (0, 1)\} = 0$ this implies $\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_\alpha = 0$.

(ii) Since $\mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t)$ and $\nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t)$ are invariant under any permutation it follows that $\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_\alpha$ is also invariant under any permutation.

(iii) If $c \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_1, f_2, \dots, cf_n\|_\alpha &= \inf\{s : \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, cf_n, s) \geq \alpha, \alpha \in (0, 1)\} \\ &= \inf\{s : \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, \frac{s}{|c|}) \geq \alpha, \alpha \in (0, 1)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_1, f_2, \dots, cf_n\|_\alpha &= \sup\{s : \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, cf_n, s) \leq 1 - \alpha, \alpha \in (0, 1)\} \\ &= \sup\{s : \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, \frac{s}{|c|}) \leq 1 - \alpha, \alpha \in (0, 1)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $t = \frac{s}{|c|}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_1, f_2, \dots, cf_n\|_\alpha &= \inf\{|c|t : \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \geq \alpha, \alpha \in (0, 1)\} \\ &= |c| \inf\{t : \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \geq \alpha, \alpha \in (0, 1)\} \\ &= |c| \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_\alpha\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_1, f_2, \dots, cf_n\|_\alpha &= \sup\{|c|t : \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \leq 1 - \alpha, \alpha \in (0, 1)\} \\ &= |c| \sup\{t : \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \leq 1 - \alpha, \alpha \in (0, 1)\} \\ &= |c| \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

If $c = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_1, f_2, \dots, cf_n\|_\alpha &= \|f_1, f_2, \dots, 0\|_\alpha = 0 \\ &= 0 \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_\alpha = |c| \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_\alpha \text{ for all } c \in \mathbb{R}.\end{aligned}$$

(iv) We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_\alpha + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\|_\alpha &= \inf\{s : \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, s) \geq \alpha\} \\ &\quad + \inf\{t : \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n, t) \geq \alpha\} \\ &\geq \inf\{s + t : \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, s) \geq \alpha, \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n, t) \geq \alpha\} \\ &\geq \inf\{s + t : \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, s) * \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n, t) \geq \alpha * \alpha\} \\ &\geq \inf\{s + t : \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n + f'_n, s + t) \geq \alpha\} \\ &= \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n + f'_n\|_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_\alpha + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\|_\alpha &= \sup\{s : \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, s) \leq 1 - \alpha\} \\ &\quad + \sup\{t : \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n, t) \leq 1 - \alpha\} \\ &\geq \sup\{s + t : \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, s) \leq 1 - \alpha, \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n, t) \leq 1 - \alpha\} \\ &\geq \sup\{s + t : \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, s) \diamond \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n, t) \leq 1 - \alpha \diamond 1 - \alpha\} \\ &= \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n + f'_n\|_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n + f'_n\|_\alpha \leq \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_\alpha + \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f'_n\|_\alpha.$$

Thus $\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_\alpha$ is an n -norm on $F(X)$.

Let $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_{\alpha_1} \inf\{t : \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \geq \alpha_1\} \text{ and} \\ &\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_{\alpha_2} \inf\{t : \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \geq \alpha_2\}. \\ &\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_{\alpha_1} \sup\{t : \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \leq 1 - \alpha_1\} \text{ and} \\ &\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_{\alpha_2} \sup\{t : \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \leq 1 - \alpha_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$

$$\{t : \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \geq \alpha_2\} \subset \{t : \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \geq \alpha_1\}$$

implies

$$\inf\{t : \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \geq \alpha_2\} \geq \inf\{t : \mu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \geq \alpha_1\},$$

and

$$\{t : \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \leq 1 - \alpha_2\} \subset \{t : \nu(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, t) \leq 1 - \alpha_1\}$$

implies

$$\sup\{t : \nu(f_1, \dots, f_n, t) \leq 1 - \alpha_2\} \geq \sup\{t : \nu(f_1, \dots, f_n, t) \leq 1 - \alpha_1\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_{\alpha_2} \geq \|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_{\alpha_1}.$$

Hence $\{\|f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\|_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1)\}$ is an ascending family of α - n -norms on $F(X)$. \square

The n -norms described in the previous theorem are called α - n -norms on $F(X)$ corresponding to the intuitionistic 2-fuzzy n -norms on X .

References

- [1] K.T. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets Syst. **20** (1), 87–96, 1986.
- [2] K.T. Atanassov, *More on intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets Syst. **33** (1), 37–45, 1989.
- [3] T. Bag and S.K. Samanta, *Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces*, J. Fuzzy Math. **11** (3), 687–705, 2003.
- [4] T. Bag and S.K. Samanta, *Finite dimensional intuitionistic fuzzy normed linear spaces*, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. **6** (2), 45–57, 2013.
- [5] D. Çoker, *An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets Syst. **88** (1), 81–89, 1997.
- [6] S. Gähler, *Lineare 2-normierte Räume*, Math. Nachr. **28** (1-2), 1–43, 1964.
- [7] S. Gähler, *Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m -metrische Räume*, Math. Nachr. **40** (1-3), 165–189, 1969.
- [8] R. Giles, *A computer program for fuzzy reasoning*, Fuzzy Sets Syst. **4** (3), 221–234, 1980.

- [9] H. Gunawan and M. Mashadi, *On n -normed spaces*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **27** (10), 631–639, 2001.
- [10] L. Hong and J.-Q. Sun, *Bifurcations of fuzzy nonlinear dynamical systems*, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. **11** (1), 1–12, 2006.
- [11] S.S. Kim and Y.J. Cho, *Strict convexity in linear n -normed spaces*, Demonstratio Math. **29** (4), 739–744, 1996.
- [12] A. Misiak, *n -inner product spaces*, Math. Nachr. **140** (1), 299–319, 1989.
- [13] M. Mursaleen and Q.M. Danish Lohani, *Intuitionistic fuzzy 2-normed space and some related concepts*, Chaos Solitons Fract. **42** (1), 224–234, 2009.
- [14] A.L. Narayanan and S. Vijayabalaji, *Fuzzy n -normed linear spaces*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **24**, 3963–3977, 2005.
- [15] J.H. Park, *Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Chaos Solitons Fract. **22** (5), 1039–1046, 2004.
- [16] C. Park and C. Alaca, *An introduction to 2-fuzzy n -normed linear spaces and a new perspective to the Mazur-Ulam problem*, J. Inequal. Appl. **14**, 2012.
- [17] M.H.M. Rashid and Lj.D.R. Kočinac, *Ideal convergence in 2-fuzzy 2-normed spaces*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **46** (1), 145–159, 2017.
- [18] R. Saadati and J.H. Park, *On the intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces*, Chaos Solitons Fract. **27** (2), 331–344, 2006.
- [19] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Statistical metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **10** (1), 313–334, 1960.
- [20] R.M. Somasundaram and T. Beaula, *Some aspects of 2-fuzzy 2-normed linear spaces*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **32** (2), 211–221, 2009.
- [21] L.A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform. and Control **8** (3), 338–353, 1965.