

Konuralp Journal of Mathematics

Journal Homepage: www.dergipark.gov.tr/konuralpjournalmath e-ISSN: 2147-625X



Faber Polynomial Coefficients Estimates of Bi-univalent Functions Associated with Generalized Salagean q-Differential Operator

Sibel Yalçın^{1*}, Shahid Khan² and Saqib Hussain³

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Science, Bursa Uludag University, Bursa, Turkey
 ²Department of Mathematics, Riphah International University, Islamabad, Pakistan
 ³Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Abbottabad, Pakistan
 * Corresponding author E-mail: syalcin@uludag.edu.tr

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions by using generalized Salagean *q*-differential operator in open unit disc $E = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. By using Faber polynomial expansions and *q*-analysis to find a general coefficient bounds $|a_n|$, for $n \ge 3$, of class of bi-subordinate functions, also find initial coefficients bounds. We also highlight some known consequences of our main results.

Keywords: Bi-univalent function; Faber polynomial expansions; Generalized Salagean q-differential operator. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45; 30C50.

1. Introduction

Let A be the class of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$
 (1.1)

which are analytic in the open unit disc $E = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ and normalized under the conditions

$$f(0) = 0,$$

$$f'(0) = 1.$$

Further, by *S* we shall denote the class of all functions in *A* which are univalent in *E*. Let $f \in A$ given by (1.1) and $g \in A$ given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (z \in E)$$

we define the convolution product (or Hadamard) of f and g as

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n a_n z^n \quad (z \in E).$$
 (1.2)

With a view to recalling the principle of subordination between analytic functions, let the functions f and g be analytic in E. Given functions $f, g \in A, f$ is subordinate to g if there exists a Schwarz function $u \in \Lambda$, where

$$\Lambda = \{ u : u(0) = 0, |u(z)| = |u_1 z + ... + u_n z^n + ...| < 1, z \in E \},\$$

Email addresses: syalcin@uludag.edu.tr (Sibel Yalçın), shahidmath761@gmail.com (Shahid Khan), saqib_math@yahoo.com (Saqib Hussain)

such that

$$f(z) = g(u(z)) \qquad (z \in E).$$

We denote this subordination by

$$f \prec g \text{ or } f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in E).$$

In particular, if the function g is univalent in E, the above subordination is equivalent to

$$f(0) = g(0), \quad f(E) \subset g(E).$$

For the Schwarz function u(z), $|u_n| \le 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see [15].

The Koebe-one quarter theorem [15] shows that the image of E under every univalent function $f \in A$ contains a disc $\{w : |w| < \frac{1}{4}\}$ of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. Every univalent function f has an inverse f^{-1} defined on some disc containing the disc $\{w : |w| < \frac{1}{4}\}$ and satisfying:

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \ (z \in E),$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \ \left(|w| < r_0(f), \ r_0(f) \ge \frac{1}{4} \right),$$

where

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots$$
(1.3)

A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be bi-univalent on *E* if both *f* and $g = f^{-1}$ are univalent on *E*.

Lewin [29] studied the class of bi-univalent functions, obtained the bound $|a_2| \le 1.51$. Netanyahu [31] showed that Max $|a_2| = \frac{4}{3}$. Brannan and Clunie [12] conjectured that $|a_2| \le \sqrt{2}$. Ali et al. [7], Altınkaya and Yalçın [8, 9, 10], Frasin and Aouf [17], Hamidi and Jahangiri [20, 22, 27, 28], Srivastava et al. [35, 36] and Bulut [13] investigate the coefficients bounds for the subclasses of bi-univalent functions. The theory of q-analysis in the recent past has been applied in many areas of mathematics and physics, for example, in the areas of ordinary fractional calculus, optimal control problems, q-difference and q-integral equations and in q-transform analysis. The q-theory has wide applications in special functions and quantum physics which makes the study interesting and pertinent in this field. Note that the q-difference operator plays an important role in the theory of hypergeometric series and quantum theory, number theory, statistical mechanics, etc. At the beginning of the last century studies on q-difference equations appeared in intensive works especially by Jackson [25], Carmichael [14], Mason [30], Adams [1] and Trjitzinsky [37]. Research work in connection with function theory and q-theory together was first introduced by Ismail et al. [24]. Till now only non-significant interest in this area was shown although it deserves more attention. F as:

For any non-negative integer *n*, the *q*-integer number *n* denoted by
$$[n]_q$$
, is define a

$$[n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q}, \ [0]_q = 0.$$

For non-negative integer n the q-number shift factorial is defined by

$$[n]_q! = [1]_q[2]_q[3]_q \dots [n]_q, \quad ([0]_q! = 1).$$

We note that when $q \to 1^-$, $[n]_q!$ reduces to classical definition of factorial. In general, for a non-integer number t, $[t]_q$ is defined by $[t]_q = \frac{1-q^i}{1-q}$, $[0]_q = 0$. Throughout in this paper, we will assume q to be a fixed number between 0 and 1. The q-difference operator related to the q-calculus was introduced by Andrews et al. (see in [2] CH 10).

Definition 1.1. [2] For $f \in A$, the q-derivative operator or q-difference operator is defined as:

$$D_q f(z) = \frac{f(qz) - f(z)}{z(q-1)} \quad (z \in E).$$

It can easily verify that.

$$D_q f(z) \to f(z)$$
 as $q \to 1^-$.

Definition 1.2. [18] For $f \in A$, let the Salagean q-differential operator be defined by

$$\begin{split} S^0_q(f(z)) &= f(z), \\ S^1_q(f(z)) &= z D_q f(z), \\ S^2_q(f(z)) &= S^1_q \left(S^1_q f(z) \right) = z D_q (z D_q f(z)), \\ &\vdots \\ S^p_q(f(z)) &= z D_q (S^{p-1}_q(f(z)). \end{split}$$

A simple calculation implies

$$S_q^p(f(z)) = f(z) * G_{q,p}(z) \quad (z \in E, \ p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} = \mathbb{N}_0)$$

where

$$G_{q,p}(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q^p z^n,$$

$$S_q^p(f(z)) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q^p a_n z^n.$$

The symbol "*" stands for Hadamard product (or convolution). In this article we define Generalized Salagean *q*-differential operator by using the same technique of [18].

Definition 1.3. For $f \in A$, let the Generalized Salagean q-differential operator be defined as:

$$\begin{split} D^0_{q,\alpha}(f(z)) &= f(z), \\ D^1_{q,\alpha}(f(z)) &= (1-\alpha)f(z) + \alpha z D_q f(z), \ \alpha \ge 0, \\ &\vdots \\ D^p_{q,\alpha}(f(z)) &= D_{q,\alpha} \left(D^{p-1}_{q,\alpha} f(z) \right). \end{split}$$

A simple calculation implies

$$D_{q,\alpha}^{p}(f(z)) = f(z) * G_{q,\alpha}^{p}(z), \quad (z \in E, \ p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}),$$
(1.4)

where

$$G_{q,\alpha}^{p}(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 + \alpha([n]_{q} - 1) \right)^{p} z^{n}.$$
(1.5)

Making the use of (1.4), (1.5) the power series of $D_{q,\alpha}^p(f(z))$ for f(z) of the form (1.1) is given by

$$D_{q,\alpha}^{p}(f(z)) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 + \alpha([n]_{q} - 1) \right)^{p} a_{n} z^{n}.$$
(1.6)

Note that

(i) For $\alpha = 1$, we get Salagean q-differential operator introduced by Govindaraj and Sivasubramanian in [18].

(ii) For $q \to 1^-$, $\alpha = 1$, we get Salagean differential operator introduced by Salagean in [32].

The Faber polynomials introduced by Faber [16] play an important role in various areas of mathematical sciences, especially in geometric function theory see also [19, 33, 34]. Not much is known about the bounds on general coefficients $|a_n|$, for $n \ge 4$ of bi-univalent functions as Ali et al. [7] also declared the bounds for the n - th ($n \ge 4$) coefficients of bi-univalent functions an open problem. In the literature only a few work determining the general coefficient $|a_n|$, for $n \ge 4$ for the analytic bi-univalent function given by (1.1). For more study see [3, 4, 21, 23, 26, 38].

Motivated by the works of Altınkaya and Yalçın [11], we define new subclass of bi-univalent functions with the theory of *q*-calculus. we determine estimates for the general coefficient bounds $|a_n|$ for $n \ge 3$, by using Faber polynomial expansions.

Definition 1.4. A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class

$$B_{\Sigma}(q, p, \lambda, \alpha, A, B)$$

$$(-1 \le B < A \le 1, q \in (0,1), p \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lambda \ge 0, \alpha \ge 0; z, w \in E)$$

if the following subordinations are satisfied:

$$\frac{(1-\lambda)D_{q,\alpha}^pf(z)+\lambda D_{q,\alpha}^{p+1}f(z)}{z}\prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

$$\frac{(1-\lambda)D_{q,\alpha}^{p}g(w)+\lambda D_{q,\alpha}^{p+1}g(w)}{w} \prec \frac{1+Aw}{1+Bw}$$

where the function g is given by (1.3).

Special case

i) For $q \to 1^-$, $\alpha = 1, A = 1$ and B = -1, then the class $B_{\Sigma}(q, p, \lambda, \alpha, A, B)$ reduce into the class $B_{\Sigma}(p, \lambda, \varphi)$ introduced by Altinkaya and Yalçın [11].

ii) For $q \to 1^-$, $\alpha = 1$, p = 0, A = 1 and B = -1, then the class $B_{\Sigma}(q, p, \lambda, \alpha, A, B)$ reduce into the class $B_{\Sigma}(\varphi, \lambda)$ introduced by Frasin and Aouf [17].

2. Main Results

By using the Faber polynomial expansion of functions f of the form (1.1), the coefficients of its inverse map $g = f^{-1}$ are given by,

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} K_{n-1}^{-n}(a_2, a_3, ...) w^n$$

where

$$\begin{split} K_{n-1}^{-n} &= \frac{(-n)!}{(-2n+1)!(n-5)!} a_2^{n-1} + \frac{(-n)!}{[2(-n+1)]!(n-3)!} a_2^{n-3} a_3 \\ &+ \frac{(-n)!}{(-2n+3)!(n-4)!} a_2^{n-4} a_4 \\ &+ \frac{(-n)!}{[2(-n+2)]!(n-5)!} a_2^{n-5} \left[a_5 + (-n+2) a_3^2 \right] \\ &+ \frac{(-n)!}{(-2n+5)!(n-6)!} a_2^{n-6} \left[a_6 + (-2n+5) a_3 a_4 \right] \\ &+ \sum_{j \ge 7} a_2^{n-j} V_j, \end{split}$$

and $g = f^{-1}$ given by (1.3), V_j with $7 \le j \le n$ is a homogeneous polynomial in the variables $|a_2|, |a_3|, \dots, |a_n|$ [5]. In particular, the first three terms of K_{n-1}^{-n} are

$$\frac{1}{2}K_1^{-2} = -a_2,$$

$$\frac{1}{3}K_2^{-3} = 2a_2^2 - a_3,$$

$$\frac{1}{4}K_3^{-4} = -(5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4).$$

In general, for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \ge 2$, an expansion of K_{n-1}^p [4] is,

$$K_{n-1}^{p} = pa_{n} + \frac{p(p-1)}{2}E_{n-1}^{2} + \frac{p!}{(p-3)!3!}E_{n-1}^{3} + \dots + \frac{p!}{(p-n+1)!(n-1)!}E_{n-1}^{n-1},$$

where $E_{n-1}^{p} = E_{n-1}^{p}(a_{2}, a_{3}...)$ [6] given by

$$E_{n-1}^{m}(a_{2},...,a_{n}) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m!(a_{2})^{\mu_{1}}...(a_{n})^{\mu_{n-1}}}{\mu_{1}!...\mu_{n-1}!}, \quad for \ m \leq n.$$

While $a_1 = 1$, and the sum is taken over all nonnegative integer $\mu_1, ..., \mu_n$ satisfying:

$$\mu_1+\mu_2+\ldots+\mu_n=m,$$

and

$$\mu_1 + 2\mu_2 + \ldots + (n-1)\mu_{n-1} = n-1.$$

Evidently, $E_{n-1}^{n-1}(a_2,...,a_n) = a_2^{n-1}$, [3], or equivalently,

$$E_n^m(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m!(a_1)^{\mu_1} ... (a_n)^{\mu_n}}{\mu_1! ... \mu_n!}, \quad \text{for } m \le n,$$

again $a_1 = 1$, and the taking the sum over all nonnegative integer $\mu_1, ..., \mu_n$ satisfying:

$$\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_n = m, \mu_1 + 2\mu_2 + \dots + n\mu_n = n.$$

It is clear that $E_n^n(a_1,...,a_n) = E_1^n$ the first and last polynomials are $E_n^n = a_1^n$, and $E_n^1 = a_n$.

Theorem 2.1. *For* $\lambda \ge 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$, $q \in (0, 1)$, $-1 \le B < A \le 1$, and $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, *let* $f \in B_{\Sigma}(q, p, \lambda, \alpha, A, B)$. *If* $a_m = 0$; $2 \le m \le n - 1$, *then*

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{A-B}{\left(1+\alpha([n]_q-1)\right)^p \left\{1+\alpha\lambda\left([n]_q-1\right)\right\}}; \quad n \geq 4.$$

Proof. Let f be given by (1.1), we have

$$\frac{(1-\lambda)D_{q,\alpha}^{p}f(z) + \lambda D_{q,\alpha}^{p+1}f(z)}{z} = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 + \alpha([n]_{q} - 1)\right)^{p} \left\{1 + \alpha\lambda\left([n]_{q} - 1\right)\right\} a_{n}z^{n-1},$$
(2.1)

and for its inverse map $g = f^{-1}$, we have

$$\frac{(1-\lambda)D_{q,\alpha}^{p}g(w) + \lambda D_{q,\alpha}^{p+1}g(w)}{w} = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{cases} \left(1 + \alpha([n]_{q} - 1)\right)^{p} \left\{1 + \alpha\lambda\left([n]_{q} - 1\right)\right\} \\ \times \frac{1}{[n]_{q}} K_{n-1}^{-n}(a_{2}, a_{3}, \dots, a_{n})w^{n-1} \end{cases} \right\}$$
$$= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 + \alpha([n]_{q} - 1)\right)^{p} \left\{1 + \alpha\lambda\left([n]_{q} - 1\right)\right\} b_{n}w^{n-1}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $b_n = \frac{1}{[n]_q} K_{n-1}^{-n}(a_2, a_3, ..., a_n)$. Since, both functions f and its inverse map $g = f^{-1}$ are in $B_{\Sigma}(q, p, \lambda, \alpha, A, B)$, by the definition of subordination, for $z, w \in E$ there exist two Schwarz functions

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$

and

$$\Phi(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n w^n,$$

such that

$$\frac{(1-\lambda)D_{q,\alpha}^{p}f(z) + \lambda D_{q,\alpha}^{p+1}f(z)}{z} = \frac{1+A(\psi(z))}{1+B(\psi(z))},$$
(2.3)

and

$$\frac{(1-\lambda)D_{q,\alpha}^{p}g(w) + \lambda D_{q,\alpha}^{p+1}g(w)}{w} = \frac{1+A(\Phi(w))}{1+B(\Phi(w))},$$
(2.4)

where

$$\frac{1+A(\psi(z))}{1+B(\psi(z))} = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (A-B)K_n^{-1}(c_1, c_2, ..., c_n, B)z^n,$$
(2.5)

and

$$\frac{1+A(\Phi(w))}{1+B(\Phi(w))} = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (A-B)K_n^{-1}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n, B)w^n.$$
(2.6)

In general [3, 4] for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \ge 2$, an expansion of $K_n^p(k_1, k_2, ..., k_n, B)$,

$$\begin{split} K_n^p(k_{1,k_2,\dots,k_n,B}) &= \frac{p!}{(p-n)!n!} k_1^n B^{n-1} + \frac{p!}{(p-n+1)!(n-2)!} k_1^{n-2} k_2 B^{n-2} \\ &+ \frac{p!}{(p-n+2)!(n-3)!} \times k_1^{n-3} k_3 B^{n-3} \\ &+ \frac{p!}{(p-n+3)!(n-4)!} k_1^{n-4} \left[k_4 B^{n-4} + \frac{p-n+3}{2} k_3^2 B \right] \\ &+ \frac{p!}{(p-n+4)!(n-5)!} k_1^{n-5} \left[k_5 B^{n-5} + (p-n+4) k_3 k_4 B \right] \\ &+ \sum_{j \ge 6} k_1^{n-1} X_j, \end{split}$$

where X_j is a homogeneous polynomial of degree j in the variables $k_1, k_2, ..., k_n$. Comparing the corresponding coefficients of (2.3) and (2.5) yields

$$\left(1 + \alpha([n]_q - 1)\right)^p \left\{1 + \alpha\lambda(n - 1)\right\} a_n = -(A - B)K_{n-1}^{-1}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}, B)$$
(2.7)

and similarly, from (2.4) and (2.6) yields

$$\left(1+\alpha([n]_q-1)\right)^p \left\{1+\alpha\lambda(n-1)\right\} b_n = -(A-B)K_{n-1}^{-1}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{n-1}, B).$$
(2.8)

Note that for $a_m = 0$; $2 \le m \le n - 1$ we have $b_n = -a_n$, and so

$$\left(1 + \alpha([n]_q - 1)\right)^p \{1 + \alpha\lambda(n - 1)\}a_n = -(A - B)c_{n-1},$$
(2.9)

$$\left(1 + \alpha([n]_q - 1)\right)^p \{1 + \alpha \lambda(n - 1)\} a_n = (A - B)d_{n-1}.$$
(2.10)

Now taking the absolute values of equation (2.9) and (2.10) and using the fact that $|c_{n-1}| \le 1$ and $|d_{n-1}| \le 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n| &= \frac{|-(A-B)c_{n-1}|}{|(1+\alpha([n]_q-1))^p\{1+\alpha\lambda([n]_q-1)\}|} &= \frac{|(A-B)d_{n-1}|}{|(1+\alpha([n]_q-1))^p\{1+\alpha\lambda([n]_q-1)\}|} \\ &\leq \frac{A-B}{(1+\alpha([n]_q-1))^p\{1+\alpha\lambda([n]_q-1)\}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $q \to 1^-$, $\alpha = 1$, A = 1 and B = -1, in Theorem 2.1, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.2. [11] For $\lambda \ge 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $f \in B_{\Sigma}(p,\lambda,\phi)$. If $a_m = 0$; $2 \le m \le n-1$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2}{n^p \left\{1 + \lambda(n-1)\right\}}; \quad n \geq 4.$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $f \in B_{\Sigma}(q, p, \lambda, \alpha, A, B), q \in (0, 1), -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \lambda \geq 0$, and $\alpha \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{split} |a_{2}| &\leq \min\left\{\frac{A-B}{(1+\alpha q)^{p}(1+\alpha\lambda q)}, \sqrt{\frac{(A-B)\{1+|B|\}}{(1+\alpha(q+q^{2}))^{p}(1+\alpha\lambda(q+q^{2}))}}\right\}\\ |a_{3}| &\leq \min\left\{\frac{(A-B)^{2}}{(1+\alpha q)^{2p}(1+\alpha\lambda q)^{2}} + \frac{A-B}{(1+\alpha(q+q^{2}))^{p}(1+\alpha\lambda(q+q^{2}))}, \\ \frac{(A-B)\{2+|B|\}}{(1+\alpha((q+q^{2}))^{p}(1+\alpha\lambda(q+q^{2}))}\right\},\\ |a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}| &\leq \frac{A-B}{2(1+\alpha(q+q^{2}))^{p}(1+\alpha\lambda(q+q^{2}))}. \end{split}$$

Proof. Replacing n by 2 and 3 in (2.7) and (2.8), respectively, we find that

$$(1 + \alpha q)^{p} (1 + \alpha \lambda q) a_{2} = -(A - B) c_{1}, \qquad (2.11)$$

$$\left(1 + \alpha(q+q^2)\right)^p (1 + \alpha\lambda(q+q^2))a_3 = (A-B)c_2 + B(B-A)c_1^2, \qquad (2.12)$$

$$(1+\alpha q)^p (1+\alpha \lambda q)a_2 = (A-B)d_1, \qquad (2.13)$$

$$\left(1 + \alpha(q+q^2)\right)^p \left(1 + \alpha\lambda(q+q^2)(2a_2^2 - a_3)\right) = (A-B)d_2 + B(B-A)d_1^2.$$
(2.14)

From (2.11) and (2.13) we obtain

$$|a_{2}| = \frac{|-(A-B)c_{1}|}{(1+\alpha q)^{p}(1+\alpha \lambda q)} = \frac{|(A-B)d_{1}|}{(1+\alpha q)^{p}(1+\alpha \lambda q)}$$

$$\leq \frac{A-B}{(1+\alpha q)^{p}(1+\alpha \lambda q)}.$$
(2.15)

Adding (2.12) and (2.14) implies

$$2\left(1+\alpha(q+q^2)\right)^p(1+\alpha\lambda(q+q^2))a_2^2 = (A-B)(c_2+d_2)+B(B-A)(c_1^2+d_1^2),$$

or equivalently,

$$|a_2| \le \sqrt{\frac{(A-B)\{1+|B|\}}{\left(1+\alpha(q+q^2)\right)^p \left(1+\alpha\lambda(q+q^2)\right)}}.$$
(2.16)

Now from (2.12), one can easily see that

$$|a_3| = \frac{\left| (A-B) c_2 + B(B-A) c_1^2 \right|}{\left(1 + \alpha(q+q^2)\right)^p \left(1 + \alpha\lambda(q+q^2)\right)} \le \frac{(A-B) \left\{1 + |B|\right\}}{\left(1 + \alpha(q+q^2)\right)^p \left(1 + \alpha\lambda(q+q^2)\right)}.$$

Next in order to find the bound on the coefficient $|a_3|$, we subtract (2.14) from (2.12) we thus obtain

$$2\left(1+\alpha(q+q^2)\right)^p (1+\alpha\lambda(q+q^2))(a_3-a_2^2) = (A-B)(c_2-d_2) + B(B-A)(c_1^2-d_1^2)$$
(2.17)

Using the fact that $c_1^2 = d_1^2$ and taking the absolute values of both sides of the equation (2.17), we obtain the desired inequality

$$|a_{3}| = |a_{2}|^{2} + \frac{|(A-B)(c_{2}-d_{2})|}{2(1+\alpha(q+q^{2}))^{p}(1+\alpha\lambda((q+q^{2})))}$$

$$\leq |a_{2}|^{2} + \frac{A-B}{(1+\alpha(q+q^{2}))^{p}(1+\alpha\lambda((q+q^{2})))}.$$
(2.18)

Substituting the value of a_2^2 from (2.15) into (2.18), we obtain

$$|a_3| \le \frac{(A-B)^2}{(1+\alpha q)^{2p} (1+\alpha \lambda q)^2} + \frac{A-B}{\left(1+\alpha (q+q^2)\right)^p (1+\alpha \lambda ((q+q^2)))}.$$
(2.19)

Additionaly, substituting the value of a_2^2 from (2.16) into (2.18), we obtain

$$|a_3| \le \frac{(A-B)\{2+|B|\}}{\left(1+\alpha(q+q^2)\right)^p \left(1+\alpha\lambda((q+q^2))\right)}.$$
(2.20)

Solving the equation (2.17) for $a_3 - a_2^2$, we get the desired inequality as

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{3} - a_{2}^{2} \end{vmatrix} = \left| \frac{(A - B)(c_{2} - d_{2}) + B(B - A)(c_{1}^{2} - d_{1}^{2})}{2(1 + \alpha(q + q^{2}))^{p}(1 + \alpha\lambda((q + q^{2})))} \right| \\ \leq \frac{A - B}{2(1 + \alpha(q + q^{2}))^{p}(1 + \alpha\lambda((q + q^{2})))}.$$

$$(2.21) \Box$$

For $q \to 1^-$, $\alpha = 1$, A = 1 and B = -1 in Theorem 2.3 and from equation (2.14), we have the following Corollary. **Corollary 2.4.** [11] Let $f \in B_{\Sigma}(p,\lambda,\varphi), -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \lambda \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2| &\leq \min\left\{\frac{1}{2^{p-1}(1+\lambda)}, \sqrt{\frac{4}{3^p(1+2\lambda)}}\right\} = \frac{1}{2^{p-1}(1+\lambda)}\\ |a_3| &\leq \min\left\{\frac{1}{2^{2p-2}(1+\lambda)^2} + \frac{2}{3^p(1+2\lambda)}, \frac{2}{3^{p-1}(1+2\lambda)}\right\}\\ &= \frac{1}{2^{2p-2}(1+\lambda)^2} + \frac{2}{3^p(1+2\lambda)},\\ |a_3 - 2a_2^2| &\leq \frac{4}{3^p(1+2\lambda)},\\ |a_3 - a_2^2| &\leq \frac{2}{3^p(1+2\lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.5. (*i*) For $q \rightarrow 1^-$, p = 0, A = 1, $\alpha = 1$ and B = -1 in Theorem 2.3 we obtain the bounds on $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ are improvement of the estimates given in Frasin and Aouf [17].

(ii) For $q \to 1^-$, p = 0, $\lambda = 1$, $\alpha = 1$, A = 1 and B = -1 in Theorem 2.3 we obtain the bounds on $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ are improvement of the estimates given in Srivastava et al.[35].

References

- C. R. Adams, On the linear partial q-difference equation of general type, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 31 (1929), 360-371.
- [2] G. E. Andrews, G. E. Askey and R. Roy, Special Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [3] H. Airault, Remarks on Faber polynomials, Int. Math. Forum., 3 (9–12) (2008), 449–456.
- [4] H. Airault, Kennarks on Face polynomials, int. Wath. Forum, 3 (9-12) (2006), 449-450.
 [4] H. Airault, A. Bouali, Differential calculus on the Faber polynomials, Bull. Sci. Math., 130 (3) (2006), 179-222.
 [5] H. Airault, J. Ren, An algebra of differential operators and generating functions on the set of univalent functions, Bull. Sci. Math., 126 (5) (2002), 343-367.
 [6] H. Airault. Symmetric sums associated to the factorizations of Grunsky coefficients, in: Conference, Groups and Symmetries Montreal Canada, April 2007.
- [7] R. M. Ali, S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran, S. Supramaniam, Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma–Minda starlike and convex functions, Appl. Math. Lett., 25 (3) (2012), 344-351.
- Ş. Altınkaya, S. Yalçın, Coefficient estimates for two new subclasses of bi-univalent functions with respect to symmetric points, J. Funct. Spaces, (2015), 145242, 5 pp. [8]
- [9] Ş. Altınkaya, S. Yalçın, Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Acta Univ. Apulensis, Mat. Inform., 40 (2014),
- [10]
- 347–354.
 Ş. Altınkaya, S. Yalçın, Initial coefficient bounds for a general class of bi-univalent functions, Int. J. Anal., (2014), 867871, 4 pp.
 Ş. Altınkaya, S. Yalçın, Faber polynomial coefficient bounds for a subclass of bi-univalent functions. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I, 353 (2015), 1075-1080.
 D. A. Burner, J. Churia. Acpects of contemporary complex analysis. Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Instute Held at University of Durham, [11] [12] D. A. Brannan, J. Clunie, Aspects of contemporary complex analysis, Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Instute Held at University of Durham,
- New York, Academic Press, 1979. [13] S. Bulut, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for a comprehensive subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I, 352 (6) (2014), 479-484.

- [14] R. D. Carmichael, The general theory of linear q-difference equations, Amer. J. Math., 34 (1912), 147-168.
- [15] P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 259, Springer, New York, 1983.
- [16] G. Faber, Uber polynomische Entwickelungen, Math. Ann., 57 (3) (1903), 389-408.
- [17] B. A. Frasin, M. K. Aouf, New subclasses of bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett., 24 (9) (2011), 1569–1573.
- [18] M. Govindaraj, S. Sivasubramanian, On a class of analytic functions related to conic domains involving q calculus, Analysis Math., 43 (3) (2017), 75-487 [19] H. Grunsky, Koffizientenbedingungen fur schlict abbildende meromorphe funktionen, Math. Zeit., 45 (1939), 29-61.
- [20] S. G. Hamidi, J. M. Jahangiri, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for analytic bi-close-to-convex functions, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I, 352 (1)
- (2014), 17-20.
- [21] S. G. Hamidi, J. M. Jahangiri, Faber polynomial coefficients of bi-subordinate functions, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I, 354 (2016), 365–370.
 [22] S. G. Hamidi, J.M. Jahangiri, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions defined by subordinations, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc., 41 (5)
- (2015), 1103–1119. [23] S. Hussain, S. Khan, M. A. Zaighum, Maslina Darus, and Zahid Shareef, Coefficients Bounds for Certain Subclass of Biunivalent Functions Associated
- with Ruscheweyh q-Differential Operator, Journal of Complex Analysis, (2017), 2826514, 9 pp.
- [24] M. E. H. Ismail, E. Merkes and D. Styer, A generalization of starlike functions, Complex Variables Theory Appl., 14 (1990), 77–84.
 [25] F. H. Jackson, On q-definite integrals, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math., 41 (15) (1910), 193–203.
- [26] J. M. Jahangiri, On the coefficients of powers of a class of Bazilevic functions, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 17 (9) (1986), 1140–1144.
- [27] J. M. Jahangiri, S.G. Hamidi, Coefficient estimates for certain classes of bi-univalent functions, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. (2013), 190560, 4 pp. [28] J. M. Jahangiri, S.G. Hamidi, S. Abd Halim, Coefficients of bi-univalent functions with positive real part derivatives, Bull. Malays. Math. Soc., (2) 3
- (2014), 633–640.
 [29] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 18 (1967), 63-68.
- [30] T. E. Mason, On properties of the solution of linear q-difference equations with entire function coefficients, Amer. J. Math., 37 (1915), 439-444.
- [31] E. Netanyahu, The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in |z| < 1, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 32 (1969), 100-112.
- [32] G. S. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, in: Complex Analysis, fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar, Part 1 (Bucharest, 1981), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1013, Springer (Berlin, 1983), 362-372.
- [33] M. Schiffer, A method of variation within the family of simple functions, Proc. London Math. Soc., 44 (1938), 432-449.
- [34] A. C. Schaeffer, D. C. Spencer, The coefficients of schlict functions, Duke Math. J., 10 (1943), 611-635
 [35] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra, P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett., 23 (10) (2010), 1188–1192.
- [36] H. M. Srivastava, S. S. Eker, R. M. Ali, Coefficient bounds for a certain class of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Filomat, 29 (8) (2015), 1839–1845.
 [37] W. J. Trjitzinsky, Analytic theory of linear q-difference equations, Acta Math., 61 (1933), 1–38.
- [38] P. G. Todorov, On the Faber polynomials of the univalent functions of class, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 162 (1) (1991), 268-276.