



A Certain Subclass of Meromorphic with Positive Coefficients Associated with Rapid Operator

P. Thirupathi Reddy¹, B. Venkateswarlu^{2*} and S. Sreelakshmi³

¹Department of Mathematics, Kakatiya University, Warangal- 506 009, Telangana, India

²Department of Mathematics, GST, GITAM University, Doddaballapur- 561 203, Bengaluru Rural, India

³Department of Mathematics, T S W R College , Elkathurthy - 505 476, Warangal Urban, Telangana, India

*Corresponding author E-mail: bvlmaths@gmail.com

Abstract

The aim of the present paper is to introduce a new subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients defined by a certain integral operator and obtain coefficient inequality, convex linear combinations, extreme points, radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness, convexity, Hadamard product and integral transforms for the functions f in this class.

Keywords: meromorphic, coefficient inequality, starlikeness, Hadamard product.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

1. Introduction

Let Σ be denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disc $U^* = \{z \in C : 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}$. For functions $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1) and $g \in \Sigma$ given by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$$

their Hadamard product (or convolution) [9] is defined by $(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$.

Analytically a function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1) is said to be meromorphically starlike of order α if it satisfies the following:

$$Re \left\{ - \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right\} > \alpha, \quad (z \in U)$$

for some $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$. We say that f is in the class $\Sigma^*(\alpha)$ of such functions.

Similarly a function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1) is said to be meromorphically convex of order α if it satisfies the following:

$$Re \left\{ - \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right\} > \alpha, \quad (z \in U)$$

for some $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$. We say that f is in the class $\Sigma_k(\alpha)$ of such functions.

For a function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1) is said to be meromorphically close to convex of order β and type α if there exists a function $g \in \Sigma^*(\alpha)$ such that

$$Re \left\{ - \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right) \right\} > \beta, \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1, z \in U).$$

We say that f is in the class $K(\beta, \alpha)$.

The class $\Sigma^*(\alpha)$ and various other subclasses of Σ have been studied rather extensively by Akgul [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], Clunie [8], Miller [16], Pommerenke [17], Royster [19], Sakar and Guney [10, 11] and Venkateswarlu et al. [20].

Recent years, many authors investigated the subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficient. Juneja and Reddy [6] introduced the class of Σ_p functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, a_n \geq 0 \tag{1.2}$$

which are regular and univalent in U . The functions in this class are said to be meromorphic functions with positive coefficient. Jung et al. [14] defined the integral operator on the normalized analytic functions and Lashin [15] modified their operator for meromorphic functions as follows:

Lemma 1.1. For $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1), if the operator $S_\mu^\theta : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is defined by

$$S_\mu^\theta f(z) = \frac{1}{(1-\mu)^\theta \Gamma(\theta+1)} \int_0^\infty t^{\theta+1} e^{-\frac{t}{1-\mu}} f(tz) dt, \tag{1.3}$$

($0 \leq \mu < 1, 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and $z \in U$) then

$$S_\mu^\theta f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} L(n, \mu, \theta) a_n z^n \tag{1.4}$$

where $L(n, \mu, \theta) = (1-\mu)^{n+1} \frac{\Gamma(n+\theta+2)}{\Gamma(\theta+1)}$ and Γ is the familiar Gamma function.

Using the equation (1.4), it is easily seen that

$$z(S_\mu^\theta f(z))' = \mu S_\mu^{\theta-1} f(z) - (\mu+1) S_\mu^\theta f(z), (0 \leq \mu \leq 1, 0 \leq \theta \leq 1). \tag{1.5}$$

Now we introduce the following subclass of Σ_p associated with the Rapid operator $S_\mu^\theta f(z)$

Definition 1.2. A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$ if and only if satisfies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & Re \left\{ \frac{-z \left((\lambda \alpha z^2 S_\mu^\theta f(z))'' + (\lambda - \alpha) z (S_\mu^\theta f(z))' + (1 - \lambda + \alpha) S_\mu^\theta f(z) \right)'}{(\lambda \alpha z^2 S_\mu^\theta f(z))'' + (\lambda - \alpha) z (S_\mu^\theta f(z))' + (1 - \lambda + \alpha) S_\mu^\theta f(z)} \right\} \\ & > \beta \left| \frac{z \left(\lambda \alpha z^2 (S_\mu^\theta f(z))'' + (\lambda - \alpha) z (S_\mu^\theta f(z))' + (1 - \lambda + \alpha) S_\mu^\theta f(z) \right)'}{(\lambda \alpha z^2 S_\mu^\theta f(z))'' + (\lambda - \alpha) z (S_\mu^\theta f(z))' + (1 - \lambda + \alpha) S_\mu^\theta f(z)} + 1 \right| + \xi \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where $0 \leq \mu < 1, 0 \leq \theta \leq 1, 0 \leq \xi < 1, 0 \leq \alpha < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}, \beta \geq 0$.

$$\text{Let } \Phi(z) = \lambda \alpha z^2 (S_\mu^\theta f(z))'' + (\lambda - \alpha) z (S_\mu^\theta f(z))' + (1 - \lambda + \alpha) S_\mu^\theta f(z). \tag{1.7}$$

If we write equation (1.7) in the inequality (1.6), then by a simple calculation the inequality (1.6) can be written as

$$Re \left\{ \frac{-z \Phi'(z)}{\Phi(z)} \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{z \Phi'(z)}{\Phi(z)} + 1 \right| + \xi. \tag{1.8}$$

It is easily shown that there is following equality between these subclasses

$$\Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta) = \Sigma(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta) \cap \Sigma_p.$$

In order to prove our results we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.3. Let σ be a real number and $\omega = u + iv$ is a complex number. Then

$$Re(\omega) \geq \sigma \Leftrightarrow |\omega - (1 + \sigma)| \leq |\omega + (1 - \sigma)|.$$

Lemma 1.4. Let $\omega = u + iv$ be a complex number and σ, γ are real numbers. Then

$$Re(-\omega) \geq \sigma |-\omega - 1| + \gamma \Leftrightarrow Re(-\omega(1 + \sigma e^{i\theta}) - \sigma e^{i\theta}) \geq \gamma, (-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi).$$

The purpose of this paper is to introduce a new subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients and obtain the necessary and sufficient conditions for the functions defined by the relation (1.2) in this class. We also obtain coefficient inequality, convex linear combinations, extreme points, radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness, convexity, Hadamard product and integral transforms for this class.

2. Coefficient estimates

We obtain in this section a necessary and sufficient condition for a function f to be in the class $\Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$. We employ the technique adopted by Aqlan et al. [6] and Athsan and Kulkarni [7] to find the coefficient estimates for the functions f defined by the equation (1.2) in the class $\Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$. A subclass for meromorphic function $f \in \Sigma_p$ given by the equality (1.2) with positive coefficient was defined and investigated in [18]. In this study we modified and extended their subclass to the subclass of the functions $f \in \Sigma_p$ defined by the certain Rapid operator.

Theorem 2.1. A meromorphic function f defined by the equation (1.2) in the class $\Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n+\xi) + \beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)a_n \leq (1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1) \quad (2.1)$$

for some $0 \leq \xi < 1, 0 \leq \mu < 1, 0 \leq \theta \leq 1, 0 \leq \alpha \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $f \in \Sigma_p$ and suppose satisfies the condition (2.1). Then by applying Lemma 1.4, we have to show that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{-z(\Phi(z))'}{\Phi(z)} (1 + \beta e^{i\varphi}) - \beta e^{i\varphi} \right\} > \xi,$$

($-\pi \leq \varphi \leq \pi, 0 \leq \xi < 1, \beta \geq 0$) or equivalently

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{-z(\Phi(z))'(1 + \beta e^{i\varphi}) - \beta e^{i\varphi} \Phi(z)}{\Phi(z)} \right\} > \xi. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $\Psi(z) = -z\Phi'(z)[1 + \beta e^{i\varphi}] - \beta e^{i\varphi} \Phi(z)$.

Thus, the equation (2.2) is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\Psi(z)}{\Phi(z)} \right\} > \xi. \quad (2.3)$$

In view of Lemma 1.3, it is sufficient to prove that

$$|\Psi(z) + (1-\xi)\Phi(z)| - |\Psi(z) - (1+\xi)\Phi(z)| > 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(z) + (1-\xi)\Phi(z)| &= \left| (2-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1) \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(1-n-\xi) + \beta(-n-1)e^{i\varphi}][(-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)a_n z^n \right| \\ &\geq (2-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1) \left| \frac{1}{z} \right| - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n+\xi-1) + \beta(n+1)][(-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)a_n |z^n|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Thus, similarly we obtain

$$|\Psi(z) - (1+\xi)\Phi(z)| \leq (2-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1) \left| \frac{1}{z} \right| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n+\xi+1) + \beta(n+1)][(-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)a_n |z^n|. \quad (2.6)$$

Thus from (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(z) + (1-\xi)\Phi(z)| - |\Psi(z) - (1+\xi)\Phi(z)| &\geq 2(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2(n+\xi) + 2\beta(n+1)][(-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)a_n \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

If we use the inequality (2.1) in last inequality then we obtain the desired result.

Conversely assume that the function f defined by the equation (1.1) is in the class $\Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$. That is, the inequality (1.6) holds for the function f . By choosing the value of z on the positive real axis, where $0 \leq z = r < 1$ the inequality (1.6) reduced to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1+\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n+\xi) + \beta(n+1)][(-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)a_n r^{n+1}}{(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)a_n r^{n+1}} \right\} \geq 0$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(-e^{i\varphi}) \geq -|e^{i\varphi}| = -1$. Letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$ through positive values, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n+\xi) + \beta(n+1)][(-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)a_n \leq (1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)$$

and this is desired result. \square

Theorem 2.2. Let the function f defined by the equation (1.2) be in the class $\Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$. Then

$$a_n \leq \frac{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)}{[(n+\xi) + \beta(n+1)][(-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)}$$

for $n \geq 1$. The result is sharp for each n for the functions of the form

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)}{[(n+\xi) + \beta(n+1)][(-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)} z^n, \quad (2.7)$$

where $n \geq 1$.

3. Convex Linear Combination

In this section, we shall prove the closure theorem of the functions given by the form (1.2) in the class $\Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$.

Theorem 3.1. *The class $\Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$ is closed under convex linear combination.*

Proof. Let the functions $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ be in the class $\Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$. Then by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n + \xi) + \beta(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)a_n \leq (1 - \xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1) \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n + \xi) + \beta(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)b_n \leq (1 - \xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1).$$

For $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$, define the function h as $h(z) = \tau f(z) + (1 - \tau)g(z)$.

Then, we get $h(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\tau a_n + (1 - \tau)b_n]z^n$.

Now, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n + \xi) + \beta(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)[\tau a_n + (1 - \tau)b_n] \\ &= \tau [(n + \xi) + \beta(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)a_n + (1 - \tau) [(n + \xi) + \beta(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)b_n \\ &\leq \tau(1 - \alpha) + (1 - \tau)(1 - \alpha) \\ &= (1 - \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

So, $h(z) \in \Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$. □

4. Extreme Points

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f_0(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ and*

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1 - \xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)}{[(n + \xi) + \beta(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)} z^n, \quad n \in N.$$

Then $f \in \Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$ if and only if it can be represented in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n f_n(z),$$

where $\omega_n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_n = 1$.

Proof. Assume that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_n f_n(z), \quad \left(\omega_n \geq 0, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_n = 1 \right).$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_n f_n(z) \\ &= \omega_0 f_0(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n f_n(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)}{[(n + \xi) + \beta(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)} \omega_n z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n + \xi) + \beta(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)\omega_n \frac{(1 - \xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)}{[(n + \xi) + \beta(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)} \\ &= (1 - \xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n \\ &= (1 - \xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)(1 - \omega_0) \\ &\leq (1 - \xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.1, $f \in \Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$.

Conversely, suppose that $f \in \Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$. Since by Theorem 2.2,

$$a_n \leq \frac{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)}{[(n+\xi) + \beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)},$$

if we set

$$\omega_n = \frac{[(n+\xi) + \beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)}{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} a_n$$

and $\omega_0 = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n$, then we obtain

$$f(z) = \omega_0 f_0(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n f_n(z)$$

for $n \geq 1$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

5. Radii of Starlikeness and Convexity

In this section, we find the radii of meromorphically close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for functions f in the class $\Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $f \in \Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$. Then f is meromorphically close-to-convex of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) in the disk $|z| < r_1$, where*

$$r_1 = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\frac{(1-\delta)[(n+\xi) + \beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)}{n(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$$

and the result is sharp.

Proof. Let $f \in \Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$. It is sufficient to prove that

$$|z^2 f'(z) + 1| < 1 - \delta. \quad (5.1)$$

By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n+\xi) + \beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)}{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} a_n \leq 1.$$

So the inequality

$$|z^2 f'(z) + 1| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n+1} \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n |z|^{n+1} < 1 - \delta$$

holds true if

$$\frac{n|z|^{n+1}}{1-\delta} \leq \frac{[(n+\xi) + \beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)}{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)}.$$

Then, (5.1) holds true if

$$|z|^{n+1} \leq \frac{[(1-\delta)(n+\xi) + \beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)}{n(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)},$$

which yields the close-to-convexity of the function and completes the proof. Also, the result is sharp for the functions of the form (2.7). \square

Theorem 5.2. *Let $f \in \Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$. Then f is meromorphically starlike of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) in the disk $|z| < r_2$, where*

$$r_2 = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\left(\frac{1-\delta}{n+2-\delta} \right) \frac{[(n+\xi) + \beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)}{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$$

and the result is sharp.

Proof. Let $f \in \Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$. It is sufficient to prove that

$$\left| \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} + 1 \right| < 1 - \delta \quad (5.2)$$

where $0 \leq \delta < 1$, $|z| < r_2$. For the function $f \in \Sigma_p$ given by the equation (1.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} + 1 \right| &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1) a_n |z|^{n+1}}{1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n |z|^{n+1}} \leq 1 - \delta \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+2-\delta) a_n |z|^{n+1}}{(1-\delta)} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n+\xi) + \beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)}{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} a_n \leq 1.$$

Then inequality (5.2) holds true if

$$\frac{(n+2-\delta)}{(1-\delta)}|z|^{n+1} \leq \frac{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)}$$

which is equivalent to

$$|z| \leq \left[\frac{(1-\delta)}{(n+2-\delta)} \frac{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$$

which yields the starlikeness of the function and completes the proof. Also, the result is sharp for the functions of the form (2.7). □

Theorem 5.3. Let $f \in \Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$. Then f is meromorphically convex of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) in the disk $|z| < r_3$, where

$$r_3 = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\frac{(1-\delta)}{n(n+2-\delta)} \frac{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n+1}}.$$

The result is sharp for the extremal function f given by

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{n(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)}{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta)} z^n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Proof. By using the technique employed in the proof of Theorem 5.1 and 5.2, we can show that

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + 2 \right| < 1 - \delta$$

for $|z| < r_3$ and prove that the assertion of the theorem is true. The result is sharp for the functions given by the equation (2.7). □

6. Hadamard Product

Theorem 6.1. For functions $f, g \in \Sigma_p$ defined by (1.1), let $f, g \in \Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$. Then the Hadamard product

$$f * g \in \Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta),$$

where

$$\rho \leq \frac{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]^2 L(n,\mu,\theta) - n(1-\alpha)^2}{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]^2 L(n,\mu,\theta)(1-\alpha)^2 [1-\delta(n+1)]}.$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} a_n \leq 1 \tag{6.1}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} b_n \leq 1. \tag{6.2}$$

From (6.1) and (6.2) we find, by means of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} \sqrt{a_n b_n} \leq 1. \tag{6.3}$$

We need to find the largest ρ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n+\rho)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}{(1-\rho)(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} a_n b_n \leq 1.$$

Thus it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n+\rho)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}{(1-\rho)(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} a_n b_n \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} \sqrt{a_n b_n}$$

that is,

$$\sqrt{a_n b_n} \leq \frac{(1-\rho)[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)]}{(1-\xi)[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)]}. \tag{6.4}$$

On the other hand, from (6.3), we have

$$\sqrt{a_n b_n} \leq \frac{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)}{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}. \tag{6.5}$$

Therefore in view of (6.4) and (6.5), it is enough to show that

$$\frac{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)}{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta)} \leq \frac{(1-\rho)[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)]}{(1-\xi)[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)]}$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho \leq 1 - \frac{2(1-\xi)^2(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)L(n,\mu,\theta)}{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)]^2[(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta) + 2(1-\xi)^2(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)}.$$

$$\text{Let } \Phi(n) = \frac{2(1-\xi)^2(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)L(n,\mu,\theta)}{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)]^2[(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta) + 2(1-\xi)^2(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)}.$$

Clearly $\Phi(n)$ is an increasing function of $n(n \geq 1)$. Letting $n = 1$, we have prove the assertion. \square

Theorem 6.2. For functions $f, g \in \Sigma_p$ defined by (1.1), let $f, g \in \Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$. Then the function $k(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n^2 + b_n^2)z^n$ is in the class $\Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$, where

$$\rho \leq 1 - \frac{4(1-\xi)^2[(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)]^2[(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta) - 2(1-\xi)^2[n+\beta(n+1)][2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1]}.$$

Proof. Since $f, g \in \Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} a_n \right]^2 \leq 1 \quad (6.6)$$

$$\text{and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} b_n \right]^2 \leq 1. \quad (6.7)$$

Combining the inequalities (6.6) and (6.7), we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} \right]^2 (a_n^2 + b_n^2) \leq 1.$$

But, we need to find the largest ρ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n+\rho)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}{(1-\rho)(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} (a_n^2 + b_n^2) \leq 1. \quad (6.8)$$

The inequality (6.8) would hold if

$$\left[\frac{[(n+\rho)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}{(1-\rho)(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} a_n \right] \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} \right]^2.$$

Then we have

$$\rho \leq 1 - \frac{4(1-\xi)^2[(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)]^2[(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta) - 2(1-\xi)^2[n+\beta(n+1)][2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1]}.$$

$$\text{Let } \Phi(n) = \frac{4(1-\xi)^2[(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)]L(n,\mu,\theta)}{[(n+\xi)+\beta(n+1)]^2[(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\mu,\theta) - 2(1-\xi)^2[n+\beta(n+1)][2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1]}.$$

A simple computation shows that $\Phi(n+1) - \Phi(n) > 0$ for all n . This means that $\Phi(n)$ is increasing and $\Phi(n) \geq \Phi(1)$. Letting $n = 1$, we prove the assertion. \square

7. Integral Operators

In this section, we consider integral transforms of functions in the class $\Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$ of the type considered by Goel and Sohi [12].

Theorem 7.1. Let the function $f \in \Sigma_p$ defined by the equation (1.2) is in the class of $\Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$. Then the integral operator

$$F(z) = c \int_0^1 u^c f(uz) du, \quad 0 < u \leq 1, \quad 0 < c < \infty \quad (7.1)$$

is in $\Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \rho, \beta)$ where

$$\rho \leq 1 - \frac{2c(1-\xi)[(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)]}{c(1-\xi)[(2\lambda\alpha-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)] + (c+2)(2k+\xi+1)}$$

and the result is sharp.

Proof. Let the function $f \in \Sigma_p$ given by (1.2) is in the class $\Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$. Then by a simple computation we have

$$F(z) = c \int_0^1 u^c f(uz) du = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c}{c+n+1} a_n z^n. \quad (7.2)$$

We have to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c[(n+\rho) + \beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)}{(c+n+1)(1-\rho)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} a_n \leq 1. \quad (7.3)$$

Since $f \in \Sigma_p(\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \theta, \xi, \beta)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n+\xi) + \beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)}{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} a_n \leq 1.$$

We note that the inequality (7.3) is satisfied if

$$\frac{c[(n+\rho) + \beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)}{(c+n+1)(1-\rho)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} \leq \frac{[(n+\xi) + \beta(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \mu, \theta)}{(1-\xi)(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)}.$$

Then we get

$$\rho \leq 1 - \frac{2c(1-\xi)[n+k(n+1)]}{[n+\xi - \xi\beta(n+1)](n+c+1) + (1-\xi)[1-\beta(n+1)]}.$$

By a simple computation, we can show that the function

$$\phi(n) = 1 - \frac{(1-\xi)[1+\beta(n+1)] + cn}{(c+n+1)[n+\beta+k(n+1)] - c(1-\beta)[n+k(n+1)]}$$

is an increasing function of $n (n \geq 1)$ and $\phi(n) \geq \phi(1)$. Using this, we obtain the desired result. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors express their sincere thanks to the esteemed referee(s) for their careful readings, valuable suggestions and comments, which helped them to improve the presentation of the paper.

References

- [1] A. Akgul and S. Bulut, On a certain subclass of meromorphic functions defined by Hilbert space operator, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, (45) (2016), 1-9.
- [2] A. Akgul, A certain subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients associated with an integral operator, Honam Math. J. 39(3) (2017), 331-347.
- [3] A. Akgul, A new subclass of meromorphic functions defined by Hilbert space operator, Honam Math. J., 38 (3) (2016), 495-506.
- [4] A. Akgul, A new subclass of meromorphic functions with positive and fixed second coefficients defined by the Rapid-Operator, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Series A1, 66 (2) (2017), 1-13 .
- [5] A. Akgul, A subclass of meromorphic functions defined by a certain integral operator on Hilbert space , Creative Math. and Infor., 26(2) (2017), 115-124.
- [6] E. Aqlan, J. M. Jhangiri and S. R. Kulkarni, Class of k -uniformly convex and starlike functions , Tamkang J. Math., 35 (2004), 1-7.
- [7] W. G. Athsan and S. R. Kulkarni and J. Rajasthan, Subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients defined by Ruscheweyh derivate-I, Acad. Phy.Sci., 6(2) (2007), 129-140.
- [8] J. Clunie, On meromorphic schlicht functions, J. London Math. Soc., 34 (1959), 115-216.
- [9] P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1983.
- [10] F. Muge Sakar and H. Ozlem Guney, On meromorphic functions with fixed residue defined using convolution, Scientific Research and Essays, 8 (31) (2013), 1532-1539.
- [11] F. Muge Sakar and H.Ozlem Guney, On a new subclass of harmonic meromorphic functions with fixed residue ξ , TWMS Journal of Applied and Engg. Math., 4(1) (2014), 92-97.
- [12] R. M. Goel and N. S. Sohi, On a class of meromorphic functions, Glasnik Matematicki, 17(1) (1982), 19-28.
- [13] O. P. Juneja and T. R. Reddy, Meromorphic starlike univalent functions with positive coefficients, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie Skłodowska, Sect. A, 39 (1985), 65-76.
- [14] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim, H. M. Srivastava, The Hardy spaces of analytic functions associated with certain one parameter families of integral operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 176 (1), (1993), 138-147.
- [15] A. Y. Lashin, On certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with certain integral operators, Comput. Math. Appl., 59 (1) (2010), 524-531.
- [16] J. E. Miller, Convex meromorphic mapping and related functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 25 (1970), 220-228.
- [17] Ch. Pommerenke, On meromorphic starlike functions, Pac. J. Math., 13 (1963), 221-235.
- [18] T. Ram Reddy, R. B. Sharma and K. Saroja, A new subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 44(1) (2013), 26 -29.
- [19] W. C. Royster, Meromorphic starlike univalent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 107 (1963), 300-308.
- [20] B. Venkateswarlu, P. Thirupathi Reddy and N. Rani, On new subclass of meromorphically convex functions with positive coefficients, Surveys in Math. and its Appl., 14 (2019), 49-60.