# Preeklampsi: Maternal Risk Faktörleri ve Perinatal Sonuçlar

## Preeclampsia: Maternal Risk Factors & Perinatal Outcomes

Yusra A. Hussein<sup>1</sup>, Raid K. Ali<sup>2</sup>, Jalil I. Alezzi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Gynecology & Obstetrics-Al-Zahraa Maternity and Pediatric Hospital

<sup>2</sup> Al-Batool Teaching Hospital
 <sup>3</sup> University of Diyala, College of Medicine, Pediatric Department

Amaç: Preeklampsi (PE) gebelikte en sık görülen hipertansif hastalıktır. Preeklampsinin olumsuz maternal ve perinatal sonuçları, ulusal sağlık sistemi üzerindeki büyük yükü temsil etmektedir.Gebelerde preeklampsinin maternal risk faktörlerini belirlemek ve bu problemin maternal ve perinatal sonuçlarını bulmak.

Metodlar: Diyala ili / Irak'ta El-Mukaradia Bölgesi'ndeki Kadın Hastalıkları ve Doğum Kliniği (ZMPH)'de 1 Şubat 2017 - 31 Ocak 2018 tarihleri arasında prospektif bir izlem çalışması yapıldı. Çalışma örnekleminde preeklampsili 60 gebe ve kontrol grubu olarak 60 normal gebe alındı. PE tanısı, Amerikan Kadın Doğum Kliniği ve Jinekologlar Koleji (ACOG) PE tanı kriterlerine göre kondu.

Bulgular: Kontrole kıyasla preeklampsiyle anlamlı derecede ilişkili anne risk faktörleri, kadının yaşı, şişmanlığı ve primigraviditesinde artış idi. Sezaryen doğum oranları ile preeklampsi arasında oldukça anlamlı bir ilişki vardı. Preeklamptik kadınların yenidoğanları ile düşük doğum ağırlıklı erken doğum, 1 dakikada düşük Apgar skoru, düşük 5 dakika Apgar skoru ve yenidoğan bakım ünitesine yatış arasında anlamlı bir ilişki gözlendi.

Sonuç: Preeklampsi için ana maternal risk faktörleri ileri derecede üreme yaşı, obezite ve primigravidite iken, ortak ters maternal sonuç sezaryendir. PE'nin sık görülen perinatal sonuçları düşük doğum ağırlığı, prematürite, 1-5 dakikada düşük Apgar skorları ve yüksek NICU kabulüdür.

### Anahtar Kelimeler: Preeklampsi, Obezite, Perinatal sonuç, Prematüre Corresponding Author: Raid K. Ali

Address:. Al-Batool Teaching Hospital Iraq

E-mail: raaed ali2002@yahoo.com

#### ABSTRACT

Background: Pre-eclampsia (PE) is the commonest hypertensive disorder in pregnancy. Adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes of pre-eclampsia are representing the big burden on national health system. The objectives of the study is to identify the maternal risk factors of pre-eclampsia among pregnant women as well as to find out the maternal and perinatal outcomes of this problem.

Methods: A prospective follow up study was conducted in Obstetrics & Gynecology Department (OGD) of Al-Zahraa Maternity and Pediatric Hospital (ZMPH) in Al-Muqdadia District in Diyala province/Iraq from the period 1st of February 2017 to 31st of January 2018. The study sample comprised of 60 pregnant women with pre-eclampsia and 60 healthy pregnant women as controls. The diagnosis of PE was established according to American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) diagnostic criteria of PE.

Results: Maternal risk factors related significantly to preeclampsia in comparison to control were increased woman's age, obesity and primigravidity. There was a highly significant association between cesarean section delivery rates and preeclampsia. A significant association was observed between neonates of pre-eclamptic women and low birth weight preterm birth, low Apgar score at 1 minute, low Apgar score at 5 minutes and admission to neonatal care unit.

Conclusion: The main maternal risk factors for pre-eclampsia are advanced reproductive age, obesity and primigravidity, while the common adverse maternal outcome is the cesarean section. The common perinatal outcomes of PE are low birth weight, prematurity, low Apgar scores at 1 & 5 minutes and high NICU admission.

Keywords: Pre-eclapsia, Obesity, Perinatal outcome, Prematurity

Başvuru Tarihi/Received: 05-10-2018 Kabul Tarihi/Accepted: 08-01-2019

# Introduction

The pre-eclampsia (PE) affects about 2–5% of women during their gestation and it is responsible for high rates of co-morbidities and death among mothers and neonates. (1,2) Worldwide, the maternal mortality rate of PE and eclampsia is reaching 14%. (3) In Iraq, 13.6% of women death at delivery is related to PE and its complications (4). In low income countries, the PE is the cause of 1 in 4 perinatal deaths. (5) The perinatal death in Iraq is high and approximating half of mortalities for under 5 years old children, and the PE is responsible of 10.3% of perinatal death. (6) In addition to its early effect, the PE has late effect on the cardiovascular system of both mothers and offspring. (7). The main adverse maternal outcomes of PE were abruptio placentae, acute renal failure and cerebral hemorrhage and main perinatal outcomes of PE were premature birth, infection, meconium aspiration, low birth weight and low Apgar scores. (8,9). Primigravidity, high parity, younger or older women, poor nutrition, obesity, bad socioeconomic status, chronic medical illnesses and family history are the frequent risk factors for PE. (10, 11) Common risk factors associated with PE in Iraq are gestational age, family history, multiple pregnancy, abortion history, chronic diseases and poor socioeconomic conditions. (12) Globally many studies showed different maternal risk factors which increase the incidence of PE in pregnant women like (maternal age, obesity, poverty, hypertension pre pregnancy. westernization of lifestyle, and younger age at marriage) lead to higher PE incidence (4, 12). Because of great burden of PE on society by mothers loss or neonatal morbidity and mortality and on national health system in addition to scarcity of literatures on the risk factors and outcomes of PE in our country and especially Diyala province, we conducted this study. The aim of our study is to identify the maternal risk factors for pre-eclamsia among pregnant women and to find out the maternal and perinatal outcomes associated with this problem.

# **Patients and Methods**

This study is a prospective follow up study conducted in Obstetrics & Gynecology department of Al-Zahraa Maternity and Hospital Children in Al-Muqdadia District/Diyala province/ Iraq during the period from 1st of February 2017 to 31st of January 2018. All pregnant women with symptoms and signs of pre-eclampsia (PE) admitted to department Obstetric & Gynecological comprised the study population. The exclusion criteria include multiple pregnancy, congenital anomalies and HELP syndrome. A sample of 60 PE pregnant women was included in the study, in addition to a sample of 60 healthy pregnant women presented to the same hospital as outpatients for minor consultations as controls. An informed oral consent was obtained from each woman before enrolling in the study and an ethical agreement was taken from administration of the hospital in addition to treatment maintenance and consultation for selected women by the authors.

The data was collected through fulfilling a prepared questionnaires designed by the authors, it included maternal age, BMI, gestational history, past medical history, past surgical history, mode of delivery, birth weight, gestational age, neonatal status, neonatal gender, Apgar scores at 1 & 5 minutes and neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admission.

The diagnosis of PE was done according to American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) diagnostic criteria of PE (hypertension in pregnancy, proteinuria or in absence of proteinuria, thrombocytopenia, renal insufficiency, impaired liver function, pulmonary edema and cerebral or visual symptoms (1). The blood pressure was measured by the obstetrician using mercury sphygmomanometer. All pregnant women with PE had high blood pressure with mean of 172/114.8 mmHg and high albumin in urine with more than 300 mg/24 hrs. Body weights and heights of eligible women were measured in the hospital by the authors. The body mass index was classified according to WHO classification; Normal BMI is <25(Kg/m<sup>2</sup>), overweight 25-29.9(Kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and obese ≥30  $(Kg/m^2)$ . The pregnant women of both study groups were followed up until their delivery through direct interview and by phone calling. The neonates were first examined and assessed by Pediatricians (the co-authors), second; the neonates with deteriorated status were referred to NICU of Al-Batool Teaching hospital in Baaqubah city /Diyala province The birth weight was measured at labor room directly after the delivery using the UNICEF weighing scale. The Apgar score was assessed by the Pediatricians at 1 and 5 minutes. All premature neonates were admitted to NICU.

Ethical consent:

The study protocol and the questionnaires were carried out according to principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, as well as reviewed and approved by the College Ethical Research Committee at College of Medicine University of Diyala /Iraq. Verbal consents were also taken from the pregnant women involved in the study.

Statistical analysis The data were processed and analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 22 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The Chi-square test and Fischer's exact test were used for statistical analysis as appropriate. P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

A total of 60 pregnant women with PE were included in this study with mean age 26.7 years, predominant age group was 20-29 years (51.6%). The mean BMI of pregnant women with PE was 33.4 Kg/m2, 96.7% of them were obese. Primigravidity and nulliparity were prevalent among pregnant women with PE (51.7% and 60%, respectively) and abortion history was positive for 7 (11.7%) pregnant women. Past medical history was positive among 15% of pregnant women with PE and past surgical history was positive among 21.7% of them. (Table 1)

Table (2) showed that 28.3% of pregnant women with PE were delivered by normal vaginal delivery, while 71.7% of them were delivered by cesarean section. The birth weight of neonates of pregnant women with PE was low in 76.7% of them and 65% of them were preterm. The male neonates of pregnant women with PE were weighting less than females (48.3% vs. 51.7%). All neonates of women with PE were alive. The Apgar score at 1 minute was low in 40% of PE women neonates and the Apgar score at 5 minutes was low in 25% of those neonates. About two thirds (65%) of neonates were admitted to NICU.

 Table 1. General characteristics of sixty pregnant women with PE.

Variable	No. (%)
Age of women mean± SD (26.1	7±7.3 years)
<20 years	10 (16.7)
20-29 years	31 (51.6)
30-39 years	16 (26.7)
≥40 years	3 (5.0)
<b>BMI</b> mean± SD (33.4±3.1 Kg/	$m^2$ )
Overweight	2 (3.3)
Obese	58 (96.7)
Gravidity	
Primigravida	31 (51.7)
2-4	26 (43.3)
>4	3 (5.0)
Parity	
Nulliparous	36 (60.0)
1-3	21 (35.0)
>3	3 (5.0)
Abortion	
No	53 (88.3)
Yes	7 (11.7)
Past medical history of *	
Positive	9 (15.0)
Negative	51 (85.0)
Past surgical history of **	•
Positive	13 (21.7)
Negative	47 (78.3)
	co inco ó

\*- diabetes militias, hypertension, asthma, thyroid disease, cardiac disease, ...

\*\*- caesarian section, GIT surgery, Renal surgery, CNS surgery, ...

Table 2. Perinatal outcome of sixty pregnant women with PE.

Mode of delivery           Vaginal         17 (28.3)           CS         43 (71.7)           Birth weight         14 (23.3)           Low         46 (76.7)           Normal         14 (23.3)           Gestational age         Preterm           Preterm         39 (65.0)           Term         21 (35.0)           Neonatal gender         Male           Male         29 (48.3)           Female         31 (51.7)           Neonatal status         Alive           Alive         60 (100.0)           Dead         0 (-)           Apgar score at 1 minute         Low           Low         24 (40.0)           Normal         36 (60.0)           Apgar score at 5 minutes         Low           Low         15 (25.0)           Normal         45 (75.0)           NICU admission         Yes           Yes         39 (65.0)           No         21 (35.0)           Total         60 (100.0)	Variable	No. (%)
Vaginal       17 (28.3)         CS       43 (71.7) <b>Birth weight</b>	Mode of delivery	
CS $43 (71.7)$ Birth weight $46 (76.7)$ Normal $14 (23.3)$ Gestational age $14 (23.3)$ Preterm $39 (65.0)$ Term $21 (35.0)$ Neonatal gender $39 (65.0)$ Male $29 (48.3)$ Female $31 (51.7)$ Neonatal status $31 (51.7)$ Neonatal status $0 (-)$ Apgar score at 1 minute $0 (-)$ Low $24 (40.0)$ Normal $36 (60.0)$ Apgar score at 5 minutes $15 (25.0)$ Low $15 (25.0)$ Normal $45 (75.0)$ NICU admission $21 (35.0)$ Yes $39 (65.0)$ No $21 (35.0)$	Vaginal	17 (28.3)
Birth weightLow $46 (76.7)$ Normal $14 (23.3)$ Gestational agePreterm $39 (65.0)$ Term $21 (35.0)$ Neonatal genderMale $29 (48.3)$ Female $31 (51.7)$ Neonatal statusAlive $60 (100.0)$ Dead $0 (-)$ Apgar score at 1 minuteLow $24 (40.0)$ Normal $36 (60.0)$ Apgar score at 5 minutesLow $15 (25.0)$ Normal $45 (75.0)$ NICU admissionYes $39 (65.0)$ No $21 (35.0)$ Total $60 (100.0)$	CS	43 (71.7)
Low $46 (76.7)$ Normal $14 (23.3)$ Gestational agePreterm $39 (65.0)$ Term $21 (35.0)$ Neonatal genderMale $29 (48.3)$ Female $31 (51.7)$ Neonatal statusAlive $60 (100.0)$ Dead $0 (-)$ Apgar score at 1 minuteLow $24 (40.0)$ Normal $36 (60.0)$ Apgar score at 5 minutesLow $15 (25.0)$ Normal $45 (75.0)$ NICU admissionYes $39 (65.0)$ No $21 (35.0)$ Total $60 (100.0)$	Birth weight	
Normal       14 (23.3)         Gestational age $39$ (65.0)         Term       21 (35.0)         Neonatal gender $31$ (35.7)         Male       29 (48.3)         Female       31 (51.7)         Neonatal status $31$ (51.7)         Neonatal status $0$ (-)         Apgar score at 1 minute $0$ (-)         Low       24 (40.0)         Normal       36 (60.0)         Apgar score at 5 minutes $15$ (25.0)         Low       15 (25.0)         Normal       45 (75.0)         NICU admission $21$ (35.0)         Yes       39 (65.0)         No       21 (35.0)         Total       60 (100.0)	Low	46 (76.7)
Gestational age         Preterm $39 (65.0)$ Term $21 (35.0)$ Neonatal gender         Male $29 (48.3)$ Female $31 (51.7)$ Neonatal status         Alive $60 (100.0)$ Dead $0 (-)$ Apgar score at 1 minute $0 (-)$ Low $24 (40.0)$ Normal $36 (60.0)$ Apgar score at 5 minutes $15 (25.0)$ Low $15 (25.0)$ Normal $45 (75.0)$ NICU admission $21 (35.0)$ Yes $39 (65.0)$ No $21 (35.0)$	Normal	14 (23.3)
Preterm $39 (65.0)$ Term $21 (35.0)$ Neonatal gender $31 (35.0)$ Male $29 (48.3)$ Female $31 (51.7)$ Neonatal status $31 (51.7)$ Neonatal status $0 (100.0)$ Dead $0 (-)$ Apgar score at 1 minute $0 (-)$ Low $24 (40.0)$ Normal $36 (60.0)$ Apgar score at 5 minutes $15 (25.0)$ Low $15 (25.0)$ Normal $45 (75.0)$ NICU admission $Yes$ Yes $39 (65.0)$ No $21 (35.0)$ Total $60 (100.0)$	Gestational age	
Term       21 (35.0)         Neonatal gender	Preterm	39 (65.0)
Neonatal genderMale $29 (48.3)$ Female $31 (51.7)$ Neonatal statusAlive $60 (100.0)$ Dead $0 (-)$ Apgar score at 1 minuteLow $24 (40.0)$ Normal $36 (60.0)$ Apgar score at 5 minutesLow $15 (25.0)$ Normal $45 (75.0)$ NICU admissionYes $39 (65.0)$ No $21 (35.0)$ Total $60 (100.0)$	Term	21 (35.0)
Male       29 (48.3)         Female       31 (51.7)         Neonatal status	Neonatal gender	
Female       31 (51.7)         Neonatal status	Male	29 (48.3)
Neonatal status           Alive         60 (100.0)           Dead         0 (-)           Apgar score at 1 minute         1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Female	31 (51.7)
Alive       60 (100.0)         Dead       0 (-)         Apgar score at 1 minute       1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Neonatal status	
Dead       0 (-)         Apgar score at 1 minute	Alive	60 (100.0)
Apgar score at 1 minute         Low       24 (40.0)         Normal       36 (60.0)         Apgar score at 5 minutes         Low       15 (25.0)         Normal       45 (75.0)         NICU admission         Yes       39 (65.0)         No       21 (35.0)         Total       60 (100.0)	Dead	0 (-)
Low       24 (40.0)         Normal       36 (60.0)         Apgar score at 5 minutes	Apgar score at 1 minute	
Normal         36 (60.0)           Apgar score at 5 minutes            Low         15 (25.0)           Normal         45 (75.0)           NICU admission            Yes         39 (65.0)           No         21 (35.0)           Total         60 (100.0)	Low	24 (40.0)
Apgar score at 5 minutes           Low         15 (25.0)           Normal         45 (75.0)           NICU admission         45 (75.0)           Yes         39 (65.0)           No         21 (35.0)           Total         60 (100.0)	Normal	36 (60.0)
Low       15 (25.0)         Normal       45 (75.0)         NICU admission       78         Yes       39 (65.0)         No       21 (35.0)         Total       60 (100.0)	Apgar score at 5 minutes	
Normal         45 (75.0)           NICU admission	Low	15 (25.0)
NICU admission           Yes         39 (65.0)           No         21 (35.0)           Total         60 (100.0)	Normal	45 (75.0)
Yes         39 (65.0)           No         21 (35.0)           Total         60 (100.0)	NICU admission	
No         21 (35.0)           Total         60 (100.0)	Yes	39 (65.0)
Total 60 (100.0)	No	21 (35.0)
	Total	60 (100.0)

Table 3. General characteristics among controls and PE women (n=60, for each group).

Variable	Control No. (%)	PE No. (%)	P value
Age			0.04*
<20 years	9 (15.0)	10 (16.7)	
20-29 years	22 (36.7)	31 (51.6)	
30-39 years	29 (48.3)	16 (26.7)	
$\geq$ 40 years	0 (-)	3 (5.0)	
BMI			<0.001*
Overweight	39 (65.0)	2 (3.3)	OR=53.8 {CI=11.8-
Obese	21 (35.0)	58 (96.7)	242.9}
Gravidity			0.01*
Primigravida	21 (35.0)	31 (51.7)	
2-4	25 (41.7)	26 (43.3)	
>4	14 (23.3)	3 (5.0)	
Parity			0.1**
Nulliparous	26 (43.3)	36 (60.0)	
1-3	26 (43.3)	21 (35.0)	
>3	8 (13.4)	3 (5.0)	
Abortion			0.1**
No	47 (78.3)	53 (88.3)	
Yes	13 (21.7)	7 (11.7)	
Past medical history			0.1**
Positive	4 (6.7)	9 (15.0)	
Negative	56 (93.3)	51 (85.0)	
Past surgical history			0.2**
Positive	18 (30.0)	13 (21.7)	
Negative	42 (70.0)	47 (78.3)	

\* Significant, \*\* Not significant.

There was a significant association between increased age of pregnant women and PE (p=0.04). The obesity was significantly higher among pregnant women with PE (p<0.001; OR=53.8). A significant association was observed between primigravidity of women and PE (p=0.01). No significant differences were observed between controls and PE pregnant women regarding parity (p=0.1), abortion history (p=0.1), past medical history (p=0.1) and past surgical history (p=0.2). (Table 3). There was a highly significant association between CS delivery mode and PE (p<0.001; OR=9.1). The low birth weight was significantly higher among pregnant women with PE (p<0.001; OR=0.02). No significant differences were observed between controls and PE pregnant women regarding neonatal gender (p=0.8). A highly significant association was observed between prematurity of neonates and PE (p<0.001). The Apgar scores at 1 & 5 minutes were significantly lower among neonates of women with PE (p=0.002, p=0.006, respectively). The NICU admission was significantly higher for neonates of women with PE (p<0.001). (Table 4)

Table 4.	Maternal	and	perinatal	outcomes	among	controls	and
PE wom	en (n=60, i	for ea	ach group	).			

Variable	Control	PE	P value
	No. (%)	No. (%)	
Mode of delivery			<0.001*
Vaginal	47 (78.3)	17 (28.3)	OR=9.1
CS	13 (21.3)	43 (71.7)	{ <i>CI</i> =3.9-21}
Birth weight			<0.001*
Low	4 (6.7)	46 (76.7)	OR=0.02
Normal	56 (93.3)	14 (23.3)	{ <i>CI</i> =0.01-0.07}
Neonatal gender			0.8**
Male	30 (50.0)	29 (48.3)	
Female	30 (50.0)	31 (51.7)	
Gestational age			<0.001*
Preterm	17 (28.3)	39 (65.0)	OR=0.2
Term	43 (71.7)	21 (35.0)	{ <i>CI</i> =0.1-0.4}
Apgar score at 1 minute			0.002*
Low	9 (15.0)	24 (40.0)	OR=0.2
Normal	51 (85.0)	36 (60.0)	{ <i>CI</i> =0.1-0.6}
Apgar score at 5 minutes			0.006*
Low	4 (6.7)	15 (25.0)	OR=0.2
Normal	56 (93.3)	45 (75.0)	{ <i>CI</i> =0.09-0.8}
NICU admission			<0.001*
Yes	17 (28.3)	39 (65.0)	OR=0.2
No	43 (71.7)	21 (35.0)	{ <i>CI</i> =0.1-0.4}

\* Significant, \*\* Not significant.

## Discussion

The preeclampsia is a hazardous obstetrical disease accompanied by high rates of maternal morbidity and mortality especially in developing countries.14 This study showed that increased age of women was a significant

maternal risk factor for pre-eclampsia (p=0.04). Lamminpää et al 15 conducted a registry based study on primiparous women in Finland and revealed that advanced maternal age was a risk factor for pre-eclampsia. Other study carried out in Ireland by English et al 16 found that effective management of preeclampsia was achieved for younger age more than older age women in reproductive period. The effect of increased maternal age on preeclampsia varies according to conception method and the parity history of women.17, 18 Recent study in Japan documented that pregnancy of maternal age more than 40 years is highly accompanied by adverse maternal outcomes like pre-eclampsia, severe eclampsia, cesarean section and placenta previa and age effect differs according to conception way and parity.19 In present study, the obesity of pregnant women was a significant risk factor for pre-eclampsia (p<0.001), with high odds of risk in developing PE reaching about 54 times probability of risk. This finding is consistent with results of Endeshaw et al 20 study in Ethiopia which stated that obesity of young age women is related to high risk of pre-eclampsia development in pregnancy. Other study showed that obesity is a predominant risk factor for pre-eclampsia and cardiovascular diseases among pregnant women in USA.21 The obesity role in development of preeclampsia is similar to its role in cardiovascular diseases.22 This relation between obesity and PE is an interesting finding denoted to effect of lifestyle and habitual changes of Iraqi society in last years on development of PE pregnant women. among It was recommended that weight reduction before or at beginning of pregnancy is beneficial in prevention of PE.23 The primigravidity of pregnant women in our study was a significant risk factor for the pre-eclampsia (p=0.01). This finding is similar to results of Robb et al 24 study in UK which found that arterial stiffness is increased in women with pre-eclampsia specifically among primigravida women. Current study showed that cesarean section delivery was significantly the most common adverse maternal outcome of pre-eclampsia (p<0.001; OR=9.1). This finding is in agreement with results of van der Tuuk et al 25 study in Netherlands which reported that in women with pre-eclampsia, the cesarean section risk is predicted. However, Cho et al 26 study in South Korea stated that the cesarean section in first pregnancy may be risk factor for PE before cesarean section in second pregnancy. The cesarean section is associated with maternal and perinatal co-morbidities that rank it as maternal adverse outcome of PE.27 Despite these findings, the vaginal delivery of majority of women with PE was successful.28 The present study revealed that low birth weight was a significant adverse perinatal outcome of pregnant women with preeclampsia (p<0.001). Similarly, Backes et al29 documented that adverse neonatal outcomes of pre-eclampsia is not limited to prematurity only, it also included early and late neonatal complications and the low birth weight is most prevalent early neonatal complication of PE. The prematurity of neonates in our study is also a significant adverse perinatal outcome of PE (p<0.001). Obaid et al 30 study in Iraq found that the main adverse neonatal outcomes of PE were small for gestational age, preterm birth, respiratory distress syndrome and NICU admission. In our study, the Apgar scores at 1 & 5 minutes were significantly lower among neonates of pre-eclmptic women controls (p=0.002 than and p=0.006, respectively). These findings are consistent with results of Doddamani study in India.31 The NICU admission in present study was significantly higher for neonates of preeclamptic women (p<0.001). Although this finding is related with preterm infants in our study, it coincides with results of Masoura et al 32 study in Greece. The main limitations in current study were loss of follow up, single center study and inability to study other risk factors and outcomes due to incomplete information.

In conclusion, the main maternal risk factors of pre-eclampsia are advanced reproductive age, obesity and primigravidity, while the common adverse maternal outcome of pre-eclampsia is the cesarean section. The common perinatal outcomes of pre-eclampsia are low birth weight, prematurity, low Apgar scores at 1 & 5 minutes and high neonatal intensive care unit admission.

#### Recommendations

Weight reduction programs for young women should be incorporated to national maternity health care programs.

#### Acknowledgment

Special thanks and appreciation for all medical workers in Al-Zahraa and Al-Batool hospitals for their cooperation and support.

**Conflict of interest:** The authors have no conflict of interest to be disclosed

#### References

1. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; Task Force on Hypertension in Pregnancy. Hypertension in pregnancy. Report of the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists' Task Force on Hypertension in Pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol 2013; 122(5):1122-1131.

2. Mustafa R, Ahmed S, Gupta A, Venuto RC. A comprehensive review of hypertension in pregnancy. J Pregnancy 2012; 2012:105918.

3. Cecil RL, Goldman L, Schafer Al. Goldman's Cecil medicine (24th ed). Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier Saunders; 2012. Pages 737-842

4. Al-Kayat ES. Maternal Mortality in cities of Iraq for Three Years. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci 2016; 5(1): 590-611.

5. Shen M, Smith GN, Rodger M, White RR, Walker MC, Wen SW. Comparison of risk factors and outcomes of gestational hypertension and pre-eclampsia. Räisänen SH, ed. PLoS ONE 2017; 12(4):e0175914.

6. Awqati NA, Ali MM, Al-Ward NJ, Majeed FA, Salman K, Al-Alak M, et al. Causes and differentials of childhood mortality in Iraq. BMC Pediatrics 2009; 9:40.

7. Tranquilli AL, Landi B, Giannubilo SR, Sibai BM. Preeclampsia: no longer solely a pregnancy disease. Pregnancy Hypertens 2012; 2(4):350–357.

8. Steegers EA, Von Dadelszen P, Duvekott JJ, Pijnenborg R. Pre-eclampsia. Lancet 2010; 376(9741):631–644.

9. Gruslin A, Lemyre B. Pre-eclampsia: fetal assessment and neonatal outcomes. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2011; 25(4):491–507.

10. Dalmáz CA, Santos KG, Botton MR, Roisenberg I. Risk factors for hypertensive disorders of pregnancy in Southern Brazil. Rev Assoc Med Bras 2011; 57(6):692–696.

11. Jasovic-Siveska E, Jasovic V. Demographic characteristics in preeclamptic women in Macedonia. Rev Med Chil 2011; 139(6):748–754.

12. Altaei A, Mohammad JM. Incidence and Risk Factors of Pre-eclampsia among Iraqi Pregnant women. AJPS 2012; 12 (2): 52-60.

13. World Health Organization. WHO recommendations for prevention and treatment of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia. 2011; Available at: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241548335\_e

ng.pdf 14. Liang J, Zhu J, Dai L, Li X. Maternal mortality in China, 1996-2005. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2010; 110: 93-96. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2010.03.013

15. Lamminpää R, Vehviläinen-Julkunen K, Gissler M, Heinonen S. Preeclampsia complicated by advanced maternal age: a registry-based study on primiparous women in Finland 1997–2008. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth 2012; 12:47.

16. English FA, Kenny LC, McCarthy FP. Risk factors and effective management of preeclampsia. Integrated Blood Pressure Control 2015; 8:7-12.

17. Thomopoulos C, Tsioufis C, Michalopoulou H, Makris T, Papademetriou V, Stefanadis C. Assisted reproductive

technology and pregnancy-related hypertensive complications: a systematic review. J Hum Hypertens 2013; 27(3):148–157.

18. Jackson RA, Gibson KA, YW W, Croughan MS. Perinatal outcomes in singletons following in vitro fertilization: a meta-analysis. Obstet Gynecol 2004; 103(3):551–563.

19. Ogawa K, Urayama KY, Tanigaki S, Sago H, Sato S, Saito S, et al. Association between very advanced maternal age and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a cross sectional Japanese study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth 2017; 17:349.

20. Endeshaw M, Abebe F, Worku S, Menber L, Assress M, Assefa M. Obesity in young age is a risk factor for preeclampsia: a facility based case-control study, northwest Ethiopia. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth 2016; 16:237.

21. Jeyabalan A. Epidemiology of preeclampsia: Impact of obesity. Nutrition reviews 2013; 71(0 1):10. 1111/nure.12055.

22. Roberts JM, Bodnar LM, Patrick TE, Powers RW. The Role of Obesity in Preeclampsia. Pregnancy hypertension 2011; 1(1):6-16.

23. Rasmussen KM, Yaktine AL, Institute of Medicine (U.S.) Committee to Reexamine IOM Pregnancy Weight Guidelines. Weight gain during pregnancy: reexamining the guidelines. National Academies Press; Washington, DC: 2009.

24. Robb AO, Mills NL, Din JN, Smith IB, Paterson F, Newby DE, et al. Influence of the menstrual cycle, pregnancy, and preeclampsia on arterial stiffness. Hypertension 2009; 53(6):952-958.

25. van der Tuuk K, van Pampus MG, Koopmans CM, Aarnoudse JG, van den Berg PP, van Beek JJ, et al. Prediction of cesarean section risk in women with gestational hypertension or mild preeclampsia at term. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2015; 191:23-27.

26. Cho GJ, Kim LY, Min K-J, Sung YN, Hong S-C, Oh M-J, et al. Prior cesarean section is associated with increased preeclampsia risk in a subsequent pregnancy. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth 2015; 15:24.

27. Tang S, Li X, Wu Z. Rising cesarean delivery rate in primiparous women in urban China: evidence from three nationwide household health surveys. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2006; 195(6):1527–1532.

28. Kim LH, Cheng YW, Delaney S, Jelin AC, Caughey AB. Is preeclampsia associated with an increased risk of cesarean delivery if labor is induced? J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2010; 23(5):383-388.

29. Backes CH, Markham K, Moorehead P, Cordero L, Nankervis CA, Giannone PJ. Maternal Preeclampsia and Neonatal Outcomes. Journal of Pregnancy 2011; 2011:214365.

30. Obaid KA, Kadoori MB, Baker GM. Effect of Maternal Hypertension on Neonatal Outcome in Diyala Province, Iraq. Diyala Journal of Medicine 2013; 5 (2): 69-74.

31. Doddamani GB, Doddamani UG. Perinatal Outcome in Pre-Eclampsia: A Prospective Study. Sch. J. App. Med Sci 2014; 2(1C):291-293.

32. Masoura S, Kalogiannidis I, Margioula-Siarkou C, Diamanti E, Papouli M, Drossou-Agakidou V, et al. Neonatal outcomes of late preterm deliveries with pre-eclampsia. Minerva Ginecol 2012; 64(2):109-115.