



On $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I} - \text{Paracompact Spaces}$

Elif Turanlı¹ and Oya Bedre Özbakır^{1*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ege University, İzmir, Turkey

*Corresponding author E-mail: oya.ozbakir@ege.edu.tr

Abstract

In this paper, our aim is to introduce the class of β_1 -paracompact spaces in ideal topological spaces. Then, some fundamental properties of $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact spaces are given. Also, the relationships between $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact spaces and other types of paracompact spaces are studied.

Keywords: paracompact, β -open set, β_1 -paracompact, \mathcal{I} -paracompact, $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A05, 54A10, 54D20, 54G05, 54G20

1. Introduction

In 1944, Dieudonne [9] introduced the paracompact spaces. In 1948, Stone [28] proved the fundamental theorem that every metric space is a paracompact space. Since then, a lot of works has been done on paracompact spaces and many interesting results have been obtained [1, 2, 7, 8, 13, 23].

The notion of an ideal topological space was studied independently by Kuratowski [17] and Vaidyanathaswamy [29]. Hamlet and Jankovic [15] investigated further properties of ideal topological spaces.

Zahid [31] introduced the concept of paracompactness with respect to an ideal. Later, \mathcal{I} -paracompactness studied by Hamlet et al. [14] and Sathiyasundari and Renukadevi [26]. Also, Sanabria et al. [25] studied this concept to define S -paracompactness in ideal topological spaces. In recent years, the use of ideals has taken a significant role in the generalization of some topological notions such as regularity, compactness, paracompactness, semi-paracompactness and β -paracompactness [22, 24].

In this work, we introduce and study a stronger version of \mathcal{I} -paracompact space called $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact space which is defined on an ideal space. Then, we investigate the relationships between $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact spaces and the other types of paracompactness. Moreover, we obtain various properties, examples and counterexamples concerning $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompactness.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the present paper, (X, τ) denotes a topological space. If F is a subset of X , then the closure of F and the interior of F will be denoted by $cl(F)$ and $int(F)$, respectively. Also, we denote the class of all subsets of X by $\mathcal{P}(X)$.

Definition 2.1. [17, 29] An ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ on a set X is a nonempty collection of subsets of X which satisfies

(i) If $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \subseteq A$, then $B \in \mathcal{I}$,

(ii) If $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \in \mathcal{I}$, then $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$.

In this paper, we denote a topological space (X, τ) together with an ideal \mathcal{I} defined on X by the triple (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) that will be called an ideal space.

Lemma 2.2. [14] If $\mathcal{I} \neq \emptyset$ is an ideal on X and F is a subset over X , then $\mathcal{I}_F = \{F \cap I : I \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is an ideal on X .

Definition 2.3. [17] Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space. A set operator $(\cdot)^* : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$, called local function of F with respect to \mathcal{I} and τ , is defined as follows

$$F^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau) = \{x \in X : (F \cap G) \notin \mathcal{I} \text{ for every } G \in \tau_{(x)}\}$$

where $\tau_{(x)} = \{G \subseteq X : x \in G \text{ and } G \in \tau\}$. We simply write F^* instead of $F^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau)$ in case there is no chance for confusion.

Definition 2.4. [15] Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space. A Kuratowski closure operator $cl(\cdot)^*$ for a topology $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau)$ (also denoted by τ^*), called $*$ -topology, finer than τ is defined by $cl^*(F) = F \cup F^*$. A basis $\beta(\mathcal{I}, \tau)$ for τ^* can be described as follows

$$\beta(\mathcal{I}, \tau) = \{V - I : V \in \tau \text{ and } I \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Definition 2.5. [10] Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $F \subseteq X$. Then, F is said to be a β -open (semi-preopen [3]) set if $F \subseteq cl(int(cl(F)))$. The complement of β -open set is said to be a β -closed set. The collection of all β -open (β -closed) subsets of X is denoted by $\beta O(X, \tau)$ ($\beta C(X, \tau)$).

Definition 2.6. [20] Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $F \subseteq X$. F is said to be an α -open set if $F \subseteq int(cl(int(F)))$. The collection of all α -open subsets of X is denoted by τ^α , forms a topology on X , finer than τ .

Definition 2.7. [3, 12] Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $F \subseteq X$. The intersection of all β -closed sets over X containing F is called β -closure of F , and it is denoted by $\beta cl(F)$.

Theorem 2.8. [19] Let (X, τ) be a topological space, $F \subset Y \subset X$ and Y be a β -open set over X . Then F is a β -open set over X if and only if F is a β -open set over (Y, τ_Y) .

Lemma 2.9. [3, 12] Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $F \subseteq X$. Then, the set $\beta cl(F)$ is a β -closed set over X .

Definition 2.10. [11] Let (X, τ) be a topological space. If for each β -open set U and each $x \in U$, there exists a β -open set F over X such that $x \in F \subseteq \beta cl(F) \subseteq U$, then it is called β -regular space.

Definition 2.11. [30] Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Then it is called extremally disconnected if the closure of every open set is an open set over X .

Definition 2.12. [5] Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Then it is called submaximal if each dense subset of X is an open set over X .

Example 2.13. Let (X, τ) be a topological space where $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{x_2\}\}$. Clearly, (X, τ) is an extremally disconnected and submaximal topological space.

Lemma 2.14. [16] Let (X, τ) be an extremally disconnected and submaximal topological space. Then all semi-open sets over X are open.

Definition 2.15. [18] Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be topological spaces. A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be a β -irresolute if for every β -open set F over Y , $f^{-1}(F)$ is a β -open set over X .

Definition 2.16. [30] Let (X, τ) be a topological space. A collection $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda \subseteq X : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is said to be a locally finite if for each $x \in X$, there exists an open set U containing x such that $V_\lambda \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for all $\lambda \in \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$.

Lemma 2.17. [4] The union of a family of locally finite collection of sets in a topological space is a locally finite family of sets.

Theorem 2.18. [5] Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a locally finite collection. If $V_\lambda \subset U_\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a locally finite collection.

Definition 2.19. [14] Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space. An ideal \mathcal{I} is called weakly τ -local on X if $F^* = \emptyset$ implies $F \in \mathcal{I}$.

Example 2.20. Let (X, τ) be as in Example 2.13 with the ideal $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{x_2\}\}$. It is obvious that, \mathcal{I} is a weakly τ -local on X .

Definition 2.21. [14] Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space. An ideal \mathcal{I} is called τ -locally finite on X if the union of each locally finite collection contained in \mathcal{I} belongs to \mathcal{I} .

Theorem 2.22. [14] Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space. If \mathcal{I} is a weakly τ -local on X , then \mathcal{I} is a τ -locally finite on X .

Definition 2.23. [9] Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Then it is said to be a paracompact space, if every open cover of X has a locally finite open refinement which covers to X .

Definition 2.24. [14] Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space. Then it is said to be an \mathcal{I} -paracompact space if every open cover \mathcal{U} of X has a locally finite open refinement \mathcal{V} such that $X - \bigcup \{V \subseteq X : V \in \mathcal{V}\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

Definition 2.25. [31] Let (X, τ) be a topological space. The collection \mathcal{V} satisfying $X - \bigcup \{V : V \in \mathcal{V}\} \in \mathcal{I}$ is called an \mathcal{I} -cover of X .

Theorem 2.26. [26] Let (X, τ) be a topological space. If (X, τ) is a paracompact space, then it is a \mathcal{I} -paracompact space.

Definition 2.27. [1] Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Then it is called β_1 -paracompact if every β -open cover of X has a locally finite open refinement.

Theorem 2.28. [1] Let (X, τ) be a topological space. If (X, τ) is a β_1 -paracompact space, then it is a paracompact space.

Definition 2.29. [6] Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $F \subseteq X$. Then F is said to be a N -closed relative to X (briefly, N -closed) [9] if for every cover $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of F by open sets over X , there exists a finite subfamily Λ_0 of Λ such that $F \subset \bigcup \{int(cl(U_\alpha)) : \alpha \in \Lambda_0\}$.

Definition 2.30. [27] Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be topological spaces and $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function. Then f is said to be an almost closed mapping if $f(F)$ is closed over Y for each regular closed set F over X .

Lemma 2.31. [21] Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be topological spaces and $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an almost closed surjection with N -closed point inverse. If $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a locally finite open cover of X , then $\{f(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a locally finite cover of Y .

3. $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I} - \text{paracompact spaces}$

Definition 3.1. An ideal space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is said to be a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact space if every β -open cover \mathcal{U} of X has a locally finite open refinement \mathcal{V} such that $X - \bigcup \{V \subseteq X : V \in \mathcal{V}\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

It is clear that every β_1 -paracompact space (X, τ) is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact space for any ideal \mathcal{I} on X . But the following example shows that the converse is not true in general.

Example 3.2. Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space where $X = \{a, b, c\}$ with the topology $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ and the ideal $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then, one can verify (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact space, but (X, τ) is not a β_1 -paracompact since the collection $\mathcal{U} = \{\{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$ is a β -open cover of X which admits no locally finite open refinement of \mathcal{U} which covers to X .

Remark 3.3. Definition 3.1 coincides with β_1 -paracompactness when the ideal \mathcal{I} just consists of empty set.

Theorem 3.4. If (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact space, then it is an \mathcal{I} -paracompact space.

Proof. The simple proof is omitted. □

The converse of Theorem 3.4 is not necessarily true as we can see in the following example.

Example 3.5. Let $X = \mathbb{Z}$ be the set of integer numbers with the topology $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{0\}\}$ and the ideal $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}$. Observe that (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is an \mathcal{I} -paracompact space, but is not a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact space. Since the collection $\mathcal{U} = \{\{0, x\} : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a β -open cover of X which admits no locally finite open refinement which is an \mathcal{I} -cover of X .

Remark 3.6. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathcal{I} be an ideal on X . Then, the following diagram obtains immediately from Theorem 2.26, Theorem 2.28, Definition 3.1 and Theorem 3.4.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \tau) \text{ } \beta_1\text{-paracompact} & \implies & (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \text{ } \beta_1\text{-}\mathcal{I}\text{-paracompact} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (X, \tau) \text{ paracompact} & \implies & (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \text{ } \mathcal{I}\text{-paracompact} \end{array}$$

Theorem 3.7. Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space. If (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact space, then $(X, \tau^\alpha, \mathcal{I})$ is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact space.

Proof. The proof follows immediately from $\beta O(X, \tau) = \beta O(X, \tau^\alpha)$ and $\tau \subseteq \tau^\alpha$. □

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 3.7 may not be true, in general.

Example 3.8. Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space where $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ with the topology $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{0\}\}$ and the ideal $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}$. Then, one can readily verify $(X, \tau^\alpha, \mathcal{I})$ is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact space, but (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is not a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact since the collection $\{\{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\}, \{0, 3\}\}$ is a β -open cover of X which admits no locally finite open refinement which is an \mathcal{I} -cover of X .

Theorem 3.9. Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space. If $(X, \tau^\alpha, \mathcal{I})$ is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact space, then (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is an \mathcal{I} -paracompact space.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\gamma \subseteq X : \gamma \in \Lambda\}$ be an open cover of X . Since $\beta O(X, \tau) = \beta O(X, \tau^\alpha)$, then \mathcal{U} is a β -open cover of (X, τ^α) . By hypothesis, there exists a locally finite open collection $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda \subseteq X : \lambda \in \mathcal{V}\}$ of (X, τ^α) which refines \mathcal{U} such that $X - \bigcup \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathcal{V}\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

Let $V_\lambda \in \mathcal{V}$. Since \mathcal{V} refines \mathcal{U} , there is some $U_{\gamma_\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $V_\lambda \subseteq U_{\gamma_\lambda}$ which implies that the collection $\mathcal{G} = \{int(cl(int(V_\lambda))) \cap U_{\gamma_\lambda} : V_\lambda \subseteq U_{\gamma_\lambda} \text{ and } U_{\gamma_\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is an open refinement of \mathcal{U} such that $X - \bigcup \{int(cl(int(V_\lambda))) \cap U_{\gamma_\lambda} : V_\lambda \subseteq U_{\gamma_\lambda} \text{ and } U_{\gamma_\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

Now, we shall show that \mathcal{G} is a locally finite collection of (X, τ) . Let $x \in X$. Since \mathcal{V} is a locally finite collection of (X, τ^α) , there exists an $F_x \in \tau^\alpha$ containing x such that $V_\lambda \cap F_x \neq \emptyset$ for all $\lambda \in \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$. Therefore, we get $F_x \subseteq int(cl(int(F_x)))$ and

$$V_\lambda \cap F_x \subseteq (int(cl(int(V_\lambda))) \cap U_{\gamma_\lambda}) \cap int(cl(int(F_x)))$$

and so that $(int(cl(int(V_\lambda))) \cap U_{\gamma_\lambda}) \cap int(cl(int(F_x))) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\lambda \in \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$. Hence, (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is an \mathcal{I} -paracompact space. □

The converse of Theorem 3.9 need not be true as shown by the following example.

Example 3.10. Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space where $X = \{a, b, c\}$ with the topology $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and the ideal $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. Observe that (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is an \mathcal{I} -paracompact space, but $(X, \tau^\alpha, \mathcal{I})$ is not a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact since the collection $\{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}\}$ is a β -open cover of (X, τ^α) which admits no open locally finite refinement of (X, τ^α) which is an \mathcal{I} -cover of X .

Remark 3.11. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathcal{I} be an ideal on X . Then, the following diagram obtains immediately from Theorem 2.26, Theorem 2.28, Definition 3.1, Theorem 3.4, Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.9.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(X, \tau) \beta_1\text{-paracompact} & \implies & (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \beta_1\text{-}\mathcal{I}\text{-paracompact} \\
& & \Downarrow \\
& & (X, \tau^\alpha, \mathcal{I}) \beta_1\text{-}\mathcal{I}\text{-paracompact} \\
& & \Downarrow \\
(X, \tau) \text{ paracompact} & \implies & (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \mathcal{I}\text{-paracompact}
\end{array}$$

Theorem 3.12. Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an extremally disconnected and submaximal space. If $(X, \tau^\alpha, \mathcal{I})$ is a $\beta_1\text{-}\mathcal{I}\text{-paracompact}$ space, then (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is a $\beta_1\text{-}\mathcal{I}\text{-paracompact}$ space.

Proof. This follows directly from the fact that if (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is an extremally disconnected and submaximal space, then from Lemma 2.14 $\tau = \tau^\alpha$. \square

Theorem 3.13. Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space. If it is a $\beta_1\text{-}\mathcal{I}\text{-paracompact}$ space and the collection \mathcal{F} is an ideal on X such that $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, then (X, τ, \mathcal{F}) is a $\beta_1\text{-}\mathcal{F}\text{-paracompact}$ space.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda \subseteq X : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a β -open cover of X . By hypothesis, \mathcal{U} has a locally finite open refinement \mathcal{V} such that $X - \bigcup\{V : V \in \mathcal{V}\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Since $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, $X - \bigcup\{V : V \in \mathcal{V}\} \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus, (X, τ, \mathcal{F}) is a $\beta_1\text{-}\mathcal{F}\text{-paracompact}$ space. \square

Theorem 3.14. Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space. If (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is a $\beta_1\text{-}\mathcal{I}\text{-paracompact}$ space and \mathcal{I} is weakly τ -local, then (X, τ^*, \mathcal{I}) is a $\beta_1\text{-}\mathcal{I}\text{-paracompact}$ space.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U}^* = \{U_\gamma - I_\gamma : U_\gamma \in \tau, I_\gamma \in \mathcal{I}, \gamma \in \Lambda\}$ be a β -open cover of (X, τ^*) . Then $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\gamma \subseteq X : U_\gamma \in \tau, \gamma \in \Lambda\}$ is a β -open cover of (X, τ) . By hypothesis, there exists locally finite open collection $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda \subseteq X : \lambda \in \Delta\}$ which refines \mathcal{U} such that $X - \bigcup\{V_\lambda : V_\lambda \in \mathcal{V}\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

It is clear that $\mathcal{V}^* = \{V_\lambda - I_\gamma : \lambda \in \Delta, \gamma \in \Lambda\}$ is an open collection of (X, τ^*) which refines \mathcal{U}^* . Also, since $\tau \subseteq \tau^*$, \mathcal{V}^* is a locally finite collection of (X, τ^*) . It remains only to show that $X - \bigcup\{V_\lambda - I_\gamma : \lambda \in \Delta, \gamma \in \Lambda\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

By Theorem 2.18, $\{V_\lambda \cap I_\gamma : \lambda \in \Delta, \gamma \in \Lambda\}$ is a locally finite collection. Since \mathcal{I} is weakly τ -local on X , by Theorem 2.22, we have \mathcal{I} is τ -locally finite on X . It follows that $\bigcup(V_\lambda \cap I_\gamma) \in \mathcal{I}$. Then,

$$X - \bigcup\{V_\lambda - I_\gamma : \lambda \in \Delta, \gamma \in \Lambda\} \subseteq (X - \bigcup\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}) \cup (\bigcup(V_\lambda \cap I_\gamma)) \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Thus, we have $X - \bigcup\{V_\lambda - I_\gamma : \lambda \in \Delta, \gamma \in \Lambda\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Therefore, (X, τ^*, \mathcal{I}) is a $\beta_1\text{-}\mathcal{I}\text{-paracompact}$ space. \square

Theorem 3.15. Let (X, τ) be a β -regular space. If (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is $\beta_1\text{-}\mathcal{I}\text{-paracompact}$ then every β -open cover of X has a locally finite β -closed \mathcal{I} -cover refinement.

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be a β -open cover of X . By β -regularity of X , for each $x \in X$ and $x \in U_x \in \mathcal{U}$, there exists $F_x \in \beta O(X, \tau)$ such that $x \in F_x \subset \beta cl(F_x) \subset U_x$. Then the collection $\mathcal{F} = \{F_x : x \in X\}$ is a β -open cover of X . By hypothesis, there exists a locally finite open collection $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ which refines \mathcal{F} such that $X - \bigcup\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $X - \bigcup\{\beta cl(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

Let $x \in X$. Since \mathcal{V} is locally finite, there exists a $G \in \tau$ containing x such that $V_\lambda \cap G \neq \emptyset$ for all $\lambda \in \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$. Since $V_\lambda \cap G \subset \beta cl(V_\lambda) \cap G$, we get $\beta cl(V_\lambda) \cap G \neq \emptyset$ for all $\lambda \in \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$. So, the collection $\mathcal{H} = \{\beta cl(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is locally finite. Let $\beta cl(V_\lambda) \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $V_\lambda \in \mathcal{V}$. Since, \mathcal{V} refines \mathcal{F} , there exists $F_x \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $V_\lambda \subset F_x$ so that $\beta cl(V_\lambda) \subset \beta cl(F_x) \subset U_x$. Hence, \mathcal{H} refines \mathcal{U} . Moreover by Lemma 2.9, $\mathcal{H} = \{\beta cl(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a β -closed collection. Therefore, \mathcal{H} is a locally finite β -closed \mathcal{I} -cover refinement of \mathcal{U} . \square

If $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ in the above theorem, we have the Remark 3.16.

Remark 3.16. [1, Theorem 2.12] Let (X, τ) be a β -regular space. If each β -open cover of the space X has a locally finite refinement, then each β -open cover of X has a locally finite β -closed refinement.

4. $\beta_1\text{-}\mathcal{I}\text{-paracompact}$ subsets

Definition 4.1. A subset F of X is called a $\beta_1\text{-}\mathcal{I}\text{-paracompact}$ relative to (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) if for every β -open cover \mathcal{U} of F , there exists an $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and a locally finite open refinement \mathcal{V} such that $F \subset \bigcup\{V \subseteq X : V \in \mathcal{V}\} \cup I$.

Theorem 4.2. (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space and $A, B \subseteq X$. If A and B are $\beta_1\text{-}\mathcal{I}\text{-paracompact}$ relative to (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) , then $A \cup B$ is a $\beta_1\text{-}\mathcal{I}\text{-paracompact}$ relative to (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\gamma : \gamma \in \Lambda\}$ be a β -open cover of $A \cup B$. Then $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\gamma : \gamma \in \Lambda\}$ is a β -open cover of A and B . By hypothesis, there exists $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ and locally finite open refinements $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\}$ of A and $\mathcal{G} = \{G_i : i \in \nabla\}$ of B such that

$$A \subseteq \bigcup\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\} \cup I_1 \text{ and } B \subseteq \bigcup\{G_i : i \in \nabla\} \cup I_2.$$

Take $\mathcal{H} = \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\} \cup \{G_i : i \in \nabla\}$. Since the families \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{G} are locally finite by Lemma 2.17, \mathcal{H} is a locally finite collection. Therefore, \mathcal{H} is a locally finite open refinement of \mathcal{U} .

Now we shall show that $A \cup B \subseteq \bigcup\{H : H \in \mathcal{H}\} \cup I$ for some $I \in \mathcal{I}$. Since $A \subseteq \bigcup\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\} \cup I_1$ and $B \subseteq \bigcup\{G_i : i \in \nabla\} \cup I_2$, we get

$$A \cup B \subseteq \bigcup \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\} \cup I_1 \cup \bigcup \{G_i : i \in \nabla\} \cup I_2 = \bigcup \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Delta\} \cup \bigcup \{G_i : i \in \nabla\} \cup I$$

where $I = I_1 \cup I_2$. Thus, $A \cup B$ is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) . \square

Theorem 4.3. (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space and $A, B \subseteq X$. If A is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) and B is a β -closed set over X , then $A \cap B$ is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\delta : \delta \in \wedge\}$ be a cover of $A \cap B$ such that $U_\delta \in \beta O(X, \tau)$. Then $\mathcal{G} = \{U_\delta : \delta \in \wedge\} \cup (X - B)$ is a β -open cover of A . By hypothesis, there exists $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and locally finite open collection $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla\} \cup V$ ($V \subset X - B$) which refines \mathcal{U} such that $A \subset \bigcup \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla\} \cup V \cup I$. Then,

$$A \cap B \subset (\bigcup \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla\} \cup V \cup I) \cap B \subseteq \bigcup \{V_\lambda \cap B : \lambda \in \nabla\} \cup (I \cap B)$$

which $A \cap B \subseteq \bigcup \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla\} \cup I_B$ where $I_B = I \cap B$.

Since \mathcal{V} is a locally finite collection, by Theorem 2.18, $\mathcal{H} = \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla\}$ is a locally finite refinement of \mathcal{U} . Thus $A \cap B$ is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) . \square

Remark 4.4. If (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact space and B is a β -closed set over X , then B is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) .

Proof. The proof is direct from Theorem 4.3. \square

Theorem 4.5. Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space and $A \subset B \subset X$. If A is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) and B is a β -open set over X then A is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to $(B, \tau_B, \mathcal{I}_B)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a cover of A such that $U_\alpha \in \beta O(B, \tau_B)$. Since B is a β -open set over X , by Theorem 2.8, \mathcal{U} is a β -open cover of A . By hypothesis, there exists $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and locally finite open collection $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\beta : \beta \in \Delta_1\}$ which refines \mathcal{U} such that $A \subseteq \bigcup \{V_\beta : \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup I$, which implies $A \subseteq \bigcup \{V_\beta \cap B : \beta \in \Delta_1\} \cup I_B$ where $I_B = I \cap B$.

Let $x \in B$. Since \mathcal{V} is a locally finite collection of X , there exists an open set F containing x such that $F \cap V_\beta = \emptyset$ for $\beta \notin \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$ which implies $(F \cap B) \cap (V_\beta \cap B) = \emptyset$ for $\beta \notin \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$. Thus, the collection $\mathcal{V}_B = \{V_\beta \cap B : \beta \in \Delta_1\}$ is a locally finite open collection of (B, τ_B) which refines \mathcal{U} . Therefore, A is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact relative to $(B, \tau_B, \mathcal{I}_B)$. \square

Theorem 4.6. $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma, \mathcal{F})$ be an open, β -irresolute, almost closed bijective function with N -closed point inverse with $f(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. If (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact space, then (Y, σ, \mathcal{F}) is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{F}$ -paracompact space.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \wedge\}$ be a β -open cover of Y . Since f is a β -irresolute function, $\mathcal{G} = \{f^{-1}(U_\lambda) : \lambda \in \wedge\}$ is a β -open cover of X . Since (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{I}$ -paracompact space, the collection \mathcal{G} has a locally finite open refinement $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla\}$ such that $X - \bigcup \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Since f is an open function, by Lemma 2.31, $f(\mathcal{V}) = \{f(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \nabla\}$ is a locally finite open collection which refines \mathcal{U} . Also, $f(\mathcal{V})$ is a \mathcal{F} cover of Y , because

$$Y = f(X) = f(\bigcup \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla\} \cup I) = f(\bigcup \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \nabla\}) \cup f(I) = \bigcup \{f(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \nabla\} \cup f(I).$$

This implies that $Y - \bigcup \{f(V_\lambda) : \lambda \in \nabla\} \in f(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. So, (Y, σ, \mathcal{F}) is a $\beta_1 - \mathcal{F}$ -paracompact space. \square

Acknowledgement

We would like to express our gratitude to the anonymous referees for their helpful suggestions and corrections. The first author is granted as fellowship by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK-2211-A).

References

- [1] AlJarrah, H. H., β_1 -paracompact spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., Vol:9 (4) (2016).
- [2] Al-Zoubi, K. Y., S -Paracompact Spaces, Acta Math. Hungar. 110(1-2) (2006), 165-174.
- [3] Andrijevic, D., *Semi-preopen sets*, Mat. Vesnik, 38 (1986), 24-32.
- [4] Arkhangel'ski, V.I. (1984). Ponomarev, Fundamentals of General Topology Problems and Exercises, Hindustan, India.
- [5] Bourbaki, N., *General Topology*, Part I, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass, (1966).
- [6] Carnahan, D., *Locally nearly-compact spaces*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., 6.1 (1972), 146-153.
- [7] Dahmen, R., *Smooth embeddings of the Long Line and other non-paracompact manifolds into locally convex spaces*, Topology and its Applications, 202 (2016), 70-79.
- [8] Demir, I. and Ozbakir O. B., *On β -paracompact spaces*, Filomat 27(6) (2013), 971-976.
- [9] Dieudonne, J. A., *Une generalisation des espaces compacts*, J. Math. Pures. Appl., 23 (1944), 65-76.
- [10] El-Monsef, M. A., El-Deeb, S. N. and Mahmoud, R. A., β -open sets and β -continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ, 12(1) (1983), 77-90.
- [11] El-Monsef, M. A., Geaisa, A. N. and Mahmoud, R. A., β -regular spaces, In Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, 60 (1985), 47-52.
- [12] El-Monsef, M. A., Mahmoud, R. A. and Lashin, E. R., β -closure and β -interior, J. Fac. Ed. Ain Shams Univ, 10 (1986), 235-245.
- [13] Gutev V., *Strongly paracompact metrizable spaces*, Colloq. Math. 2 (2016), 144.
- [14] Hamlett, T. R., Rose, D. and Jankovic, D., *Paracompactness with respect to an ideal*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 20(3) (1997), 433-442.
- [15] Jankovic, D. and Hamlett, T. R., *New topologies from old via ideals*, The American Mathematical Monthly, 97(4) (1990), 295-310.
- [16] Jankovic, D.S., *A note on mappings of extremally disconnected spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar. 46 (1985), 83-92.
- [17] Kuratowski, K., *Topology I*, New York Academic Press., (1966).
- [18] Mahmoud, R. A. and Abd El-Monsef, M. E., β -irresolute and β -topological invariant, Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci. 27 (1990), 285- 296.
- [19] Navalagi G.B., *Semi-precontinuous functions and properties of generalized semi-preclosed sets in topological spaces*, Int. J. Math. Sci., 29, 1.1. (2002), 85-98.
- [20] Njastad, O., *On some classes of nearly open sets*, Pacific Journal of mathematics, 15(3) (1965), 961-970.

- [21] Noiri, T., *Completely continuous image of nearly paracompact space*, Mat. Vesn., 29, 1.6 (1977), 59–64.
- [22] Ravi, O., Kumarb, R. S. and Choudhic, A. H., *Decompositions of πg -Continuity via Idealization*, Journal of New Results in Science, (2014), 3(7).
- [23] Ray, A. D. and Bhowmick, R., *μ -paracompact and $q\mu$ -paracompact generalized topological spaces*, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics 45 (2) (2016), 447-453.
- [24] Renukadevi, V. and Sathiyasundari, N., *Nearly Paracompactness with respect to an ideal*, J. Adv. Math. Stud. 8 (2015), 18-39.
- [25] Sanabria, J., Rosas, E., Carpintero, C., Salas-Brown, M. and Garcia, O., *S-Paracompactness in ideal topological spaces*, Mat. Vesnik, 68(3) (2016), 192-203.
- [26] Sathiyasundari, N. and Renukadevi, V., *Paracompactness with respect to an ideal*, Filomat 27(2) (2013), 333-339.
- [27] Singal M.K. and Singal A.R., *Almost-continuous mappings*, Yokohama Math. J. I6 (1968), 63-73.
- [28] Stone, A. H., *Paracompactness and product spaces*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 54(10) (1948), 977-982.
- [29] Vaidyanathaswamy, R., *The localisation theory in set-topology*, In Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences-Section, Springer India, 20, 1 (1944), 51-61.
- [30] Willard, S., *General topology*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, (1970).
- [31] Zahid, M. I., *Para H-closed spaces, locally para H-closed spaces and their minimal topologies*, Ph. D. Dissertation, Univ. of Pittsburgh, (1981).