



Eigenfunction Expansion in the Singular Case for Dirac Systems on Time Scales

Bilender P. Allahverdiev¹ and Hüseyin Tuna^{2*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Süleyman Demirel University, , 32260 Isparta, TURKEY

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, , 15030 Burdur, TURKEY

*Corresponding author E-mail: hustuna@gmail.com

Abstract

In this work, we prove the existence of a spectral function for one dimensional singular Dirac system on time scales. Further, we establish a Parseval equality and expansion formula in eigenfunctions by terms of the spectral function.

Keywords: Dirac operator, parseval equality, singular point, spectral function, Time scales

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34L05, 34L10, 34N05

1. Introduction

The theory of time scales attempts to unify continuous and discrete mathematics. It was introduced at first by Stefan Hilger in [12]. This theory represents an effective tool for applications to insect population models, quantum physics, maximization problems in economics, epidemic models among others. Hence, it has recently received a lot of attention (see [1], [4]- [7], [9]-[10], [15]).

Eigenfunction expansions theorems are important for solving varies problems in mathematics. We lead to the problem of expanding an arbitrary function as a series of eigenfunctions whenever we seek a solution of a partial differential equation by the Fourier method. There are a lot of studies about eigenfunction expanding problems (for instance, see [2]-[3], [10]-[11], [16], [19]).

In this paper, we consider the one dimensional singular Dirac system

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta y_2^p + p(t)y_1 &= \lambda y_1, \\ \Delta y_1 + r(t)y_2 &= \lambda y_2, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $p(\cdot)$ and $r(\cdot)$ are real-valued functions defined on $[a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $p, r \in L^1_{\Delta,loc}([a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}})$, where

$$L^1_{\Delta,loc}([a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}) := \{f : [a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} := (-\infty, \infty), \int_I |f(t)| \Delta t < \infty, \forall I \text{ finite subinterval of } [a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}\}.$$

If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, the system (1.1) describe a relativistic electron in the electrostatic field (see [20]). For these systems, we prove the existence of a spectral function. A Parseval equality and an expansion formula in eigenfunctions are established.

On the other hand, there is a few research about Dirac system on time scales ([8], [13]). Hence, our study can fill the important gap in this subject.

Now, we recall some necessary fundamental concepts of time scale calculus. These definitions and properties can be found in [6]-[7].

Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale, i.e, a non-empty closed subset of real numbers \mathbb{R} . The forward jump operator $\sigma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is defined by

$$\sigma(t) = \inf \{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\}, \text{ where } t \in \mathbb{T}$$

and the backward jump operator $\rho : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is defined by

$$\rho(t) = \sup \{s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\}, \text{ where } t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

It is convenient to have graininess operators $\mu_\sigma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\mu_\rho : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow (-\infty, 0]$ defined by

$$\mu_\sigma(t) = \sigma(t) - t$$

and

$$\mu_\rho(t) = \rho(t) - t,$$

respectively.

Definition 1.1. A point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is left scattered if $\mu_\rho(t) \neq 0$ and left dense if $\mu_\rho(t) = 0$. A point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is right scattered if $\mu_\sigma(t) \neq 0$ and right dense if $\mu_\sigma(t) = 0$.

Now, we introduce the sets $\mathbb{T}^k, \mathbb{T}_k, \mathbb{T}^*$ which are derived from the time scale \mathbb{T} as follows. If \mathbb{T} has a left scattered maximum t_1 , then $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T} - \{t_1\}$, otherwise $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T}$. If \mathbb{T} has a right scattered minimum t_2 , then $\mathbb{T}_k = \mathbb{T} - \{t_2\}$, otherwise $\mathbb{T}_k = \mathbb{T}$. Finally, $\mathbb{T}^* = \mathbb{T}^k \cap \mathbb{T}_k$.

Definition 1.2. A function f on \mathbb{T} is said to be Δ -differentiable at some point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ if there is a number $f^\Delta(t)$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{T}$ of t such that

$$|f(\sigma(t)) - f(s) - f^\Delta(t)(\sigma(t) - s)| \leq \epsilon |\sigma(t) - s| \quad \text{where } s \in U.$$

Analogously one may define the notion of ∇ -differentiability of some function using the backward jump ρ . One can show (see [9])

$$f^\Delta(t) = f^\nabla(\sigma(t)), \quad f^\nabla(t) = f^\Delta(\rho(t))$$

for continuously differentiable functions.

If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, then

$$f^\Delta(t) = f'(t).$$

If $\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{Z}$ ($h > 0$), then

$$f^\Delta(t) = \frac{f(t+h) - f(t)}{h}.$$

If $\mathbb{T} = q^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ ($q > 1$), then

$$f^\Delta(t) = \frac{f(qt) - f(t)}{(q-1)t}.$$

The product and quotient rules on time scales have the following form: If $f, g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (fg)^\Delta(t) &= f^\Delta(t)g(t) + f(\sigma(t))g^\Delta(t), \\ (fg)^\nabla(t) &= f^\nabla(t)g(t) + f(\rho(t))g^\nabla(t), \\ \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\Delta(t) &= \frac{f^\Delta(t)g(t) - f(t)g^\Delta(t)}{g(t)g(\sigma(t))}, \\ \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\nabla(t) &= \frac{f^\nabla(t)g(t) - f(t)g^\nabla(t)}{g(t)g(\rho(t))}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, and $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$. If there exists a function $F : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $F^\Delta(t) = f(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, then F is a Δ -antiderivative of f . In this case the integral is given by the formula

$$\int_a^b f(t) \Delta t = F(b) - F(a) \text{ for } a, b \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Analogously one may define the notion of ∇ -antiderivative of some function.

If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and f is continuous, then

$$\int_a^b f(t) \Delta t = \int_a^b f(t) dt.$$

If $\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{Z}$ ($h > 0$) and $a = hx, b = hy, x < y$, then

$$\int_a^b f(t) \Delta t = h \sum_{k=x}^{y-1} f(hk).$$

If $\mathbb{T} = q^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ ($q > 1$) and $a = q^x, b = q^y, x < y$, then

$$\int_a^b f(t) \Delta t = (q-1) \sum_{k=x}^{y-1} q^k f(q^k).$$

Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale which is bounded from below and unbounded from above such that $\inf \mathbb{T} = a > -\infty$ and $\sup \mathbb{T} = \infty$. We will denote \mathbb{T} also as $[a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$.

Let $L^2_{\Delta}[a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ be the space of all functions defined on \mathbb{T} such that

$$\|f\| := \left(\int_a^{\infty} |f(t)|^2 \Delta t \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

The space $L^2_{\Delta}[a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product (see [17])

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_a^{\infty} f(t) \overline{g(t)} \Delta t, \quad f, g \in L^2_{\Delta}[a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Now, we introduce convenient Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} := L^2_{\Delta}([a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}; E)$ ($E := \mathbb{R}^2$) of vector-valued functions using the inner product

$$(f, g) := \int_a^{\infty} (f(t), g(t))_E \Delta t, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Now let $y(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1(\cdot) \\ y_2(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}$, $z(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} z_1(\cdot) \\ z_2(\cdot) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}$. Then, we define the Wronskian of $y(t)$ and $z(t)$ by

$$W(y, z)(t) = y_1(t)z_2^{\rho}(t) - z_1(t)y_2^{\rho}(t), \quad (1.2)$$

where $f^{\rho}(t) := f(\rho(t))$.

2. Main Results

Let us consider

$$\tau(y) := \begin{cases} -\Delta y_2^{\rho} + p(t)y_1 \\ \Delta y_1 + r(t)y_2 \end{cases},$$

$$\tau(y) = \lambda y, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in [a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad (2.1)$$

with the boundary condition

$$y_1(a, \lambda) \sin \beta + y_2^{\rho}(a, \lambda) \cos \beta = 0, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\Delta f(t) := f^{\Delta}(t)$, λ is a complex eigenvalue parameter, $p(\cdot)$ and $r(\cdot)$ are real-valued functions defined on $[a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $p, r \in L^1_{\Delta, loc}([a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}})$.

Denote by $\phi(t, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(t, \lambda) \\ \phi_2(t, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$, the solution of the system (2.1) subject to the initial conditions

$$\phi_1(a, \lambda) = \cos \beta, \quad \phi_2^{\rho}(a, \lambda) = -\sin \beta. \quad (2.3)$$

Further, we adjoin to problem (2.1)-(2.2) the boundary condition

$$y_2^{\rho}(b, \lambda) \cos \alpha + y_1(b, \lambda) \sin \alpha = 0, \quad b \in (a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.4)$$

It is clear that the problem (2.1), (2.2), (2.4) is a regular problem for a Dirac system.

Let $\lambda_{m,b}$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z} := \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$) denote the eigenvalues of this problem and by

$$\phi_{m,b}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{m,b}^{(1)}(t) \\ \phi_{m,b}^{(2)}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \phi(t, \lambda_{m,b}) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(t, \lambda_{m,b}) \\ \phi_2(t, \lambda_{m,b}) \end{pmatrix}$$

the corresponding eigenfunction which satisfy the conditions (2.2). If $f(t) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(t) \\ f_2(t) \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\int_a^b (f_1^2(t) + f_2^2(t)) \Delta t < +\infty,$$

and

$$\alpha_{m,b}^2 = \int_a^b \left((\phi_{m,b}^{(1)}(t))^2 + (\phi_{m,b}^{(2)}(t))^2 \right) \Delta t,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b (f_1^2(t) + f_2^2(t)) \Delta t \\ &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_{m,b}^2} \left\{ \int_a^b (f_1(t) \phi_{m,b}^{(1)}(t) + f_2(t) \phi_{m,b}^{(2)}(t)) \Delta t \right\}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

which is called the Parseval equality.

Now, let us define the nondecreasing step function ω_b on $(-\infty, \infty)$ by

$$\omega_b(\lambda) = \begin{cases} -\sum_{\lambda < \lambda_{m,b} < 0} \frac{1}{\alpha_{m,b}^2}, & \text{for } \lambda \leq 0 \\ \sum_{0 \leq \lambda_{m,b} < \lambda} \frac{1}{\alpha_{m,b}^2} & \text{for } \lambda \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then equalities (2.5) can be written as

$$\int_a^b (f_1^2(t) + f_2^2(t)) \Delta t = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F^2(\lambda) d\omega_b(\lambda), \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$F(\lambda) = \int_a^b (f_1(t)\phi_1(t, \lambda) + f_2(t)\phi_2(t, \lambda)) \Delta t.$$

We will show that the Parseval equality for the problem (2.1), (2.2) can be obtained from (2.6) by letting $b \rightarrow \infty$. For this purpose, we shall prove a lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *For any positive κ , there is a positive constant $\Upsilon = \Upsilon(\kappa)$ not depending on b such that*

$$\bigvee_{-\kappa}^{\kappa} \{\omega_b(\lambda)\} = \sum_{-\kappa \leq \lambda_{m,b} < \kappa} \frac{1}{\alpha_{m,b}^2} = \omega_b(\kappa) - \omega_b(-\kappa) < \Upsilon. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. Let $\sin \beta \neq 0$. Since $\phi_2(t, \lambda)$ is continuous on the region

$$\{(t, \lambda) : -\kappa \leq \lambda \leq \kappa, a \leq t \leq b\},$$

by condition $\phi_2^0(a, \lambda) = -\sin \beta$, there is a positive number k and near by a such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{k} \int_a^k \phi_2(t, \lambda) \Delta t\right)^2 > \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \beta. \tag{2.8}$$

Let us define $f_k(t) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{1k}(t) \\ f_{2k}(t) \end{pmatrix}$ by

$$f_{1k}(t) = 0, f_{2k}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k}, & a \leq t < k \\ 0, & t \geq k. \end{cases}$$

From (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^k (f_{1k}^2(t) + f_{2k}^2(t)) \Delta t &= \frac{k-a}{k^2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} \int_a^k \phi_2(t, \lambda) \Delta t\right)^2 d\omega_b(\lambda) \\ &\geq \int_{-\kappa}^{\kappa} \left(\frac{1}{k} \int_a^k \phi_2(t, \lambda) \Delta t\right)^2 d\omega_b(\lambda) \\ &> \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \beta \{\omega_b(\kappa) - \omega_b(-\kappa)\}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the inequality (2.7).

If $\sin \beta = 0$, then we define the function $f_k(t) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{1k}(t) \\ f_{2k}(t) \end{pmatrix}$ by the formula

$$f_{1k}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k^2}, & a \leq t < k \\ 0, & t \geq k \end{cases}, f_{2k}(t) = 0.$$

So, we obtain the inequality (2.7) by applying the Parseval equality. □

Now, we recall that the following well-known theorems of Helly's.

Theorem 2.2 ([14]). *Let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ($\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$) be a uniformly bounded sequence of real nondecreasing function on a finite interval $c \leq \lambda \leq d$. Then there exists a subsequence $(u_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a nondecreasing function u such that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{n_k}(\lambda) = u(\lambda), \quad c \leq \lambda \leq d.$$

Theorem 2.3 ([14]). *Assume $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a real, uniformly bounded, sequence of nondecreasing function on a finite interval $c \leq \lambda \leq d$, and suppose*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(\lambda) = u(\lambda), \quad c \leq \lambda \leq d.$$

If f is any continuous function on $c \leq \lambda \leq d$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_c^d f(\lambda) du_n(\lambda) = \int_c^d f(\lambda) du(\lambda).$$

Let ω be any nondecreasing function on $-\infty < \lambda < \infty$. Denote by $L_{\omega}^2(-\infty, \infty)$ the Hilbert space of all functions $f : (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ which are measurable with respect to the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure defined by ω and such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^2(\lambda) d\omega(\lambda) < \infty,$$

with the inner product

$$(f, g)_{\omega} := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\lambda) g(\lambda) d\omega(\lambda).$$

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. For the Dirac system (2.1)-(2.2), there exists a nondecreasing function $\omega(\lambda)$ on $-\infty < \lambda < \infty$ with the following properties.

(i) If $f(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(\cdot) \\ f_2(\cdot) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}$, there exist a function $F \in L^2_{\omega}(-\infty, \infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ F(\lambda) - \int_a^b (f_1(t)\phi_1(t, \lambda) + f_2(t)\phi_2(t, \lambda)) \Delta t \right\} d\omega(\lambda) = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

and the Parseval equality

$$\int_a^{\infty} (f_1^2(t) + f_2^2(t)) \Delta t = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F^2(\lambda) d\omega(\lambda) \quad (2.10)$$

holds.

(ii) The integrals

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\lambda) \phi_1(t, \lambda) d\omega(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\lambda) \phi_2(t, \lambda) d\omega(\lambda)$$

converge to f_1 and f_2 in $L^2_{\Delta}[a, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, respectively. That is,

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \left\{ f_1(t) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\lambda) \phi_1(t, \lambda) d\omega(\lambda) \right\}^2 \Delta t = 0,$$

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \left\{ f_2(t) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\lambda) \phi_2(t, \lambda) d\omega(\lambda) \right\}^2 \Delta t = 0.$$

We note that the function ω is called a spectral function for the system (2.1)-(2.2).

Proof. Assume that the function $f_{\xi}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{1\xi}(x) \\ f_{2\xi}(x) \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies the following conditions.

- 1) $f_{\xi}(t)$ vanishes outside the interval $[a, \xi]_{\mathbb{T}}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$, $\xi < b$.
- 2) The function $f_{\xi}(t)$ is Δ -differentiable.
- 3) $f_{\xi}(t)$ satisfies the boundary condition (2.2).

If we apply to $f_{\xi}(t)$ the Parseval equality (2.6), we obtain

$$\int_a^{\xi} (f_{1\xi}^2(t) + f_{2\xi}^2(t)) \Delta t = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{\xi}^2(\lambda) d\omega(\lambda), \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$F_{\xi}(\lambda) = \int_a^{\xi} (f_{1\xi}(x)\phi_1(t, \lambda) + f_{2\xi}(x)\phi_2(t, \lambda)) \Delta t. \quad (2.12)$$

Since $\phi(t, \lambda)$ satisfies the system (2.1), we see that

$$\phi_1(t, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} [-\Delta\phi_2^p(t, \lambda) + p(t)\phi_1(t, \lambda)],$$

$$\phi_2(t, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} [\Delta\phi_1(t, \lambda) + r(t)\phi_2(t, \lambda)].$$

By (2.12), we get

$$F_{\xi}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_a^b f_{1\xi}(t) [-\Delta\phi_2^p(t, \lambda) + p(t)\phi_1(t, \lambda)] \Delta t \\ + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_a^b f_{2\xi}(t) [\Delta\phi_1(t, \lambda) + r(t)\phi_2(t, \lambda)] \Delta t.$$

Since $f_{\xi}(t)$ vanishes in a neighborhood of the point b and $f_{\xi}(t)$ and $\phi(t, \lambda)$ satisfy the boundary condition (2.3), we obtain

$$F_{\xi}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_a^b \phi_1(t, \lambda) [-\Delta f_{2\xi}^p(t) + p(t)f_{1\xi}(t)] \Delta t \\ + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_a^b \phi_2(t, \lambda) [\Delta f_{1\xi}(t) + r(t)f_{2\xi}(t)] \Delta t,$$

by integration by parts.

For any finite $\kappa > 0$, using (2.6), we have

$$\int_{|\lambda| > \kappa} F_{\xi}^2(\lambda) d\omega_b(\lambda) \\ \leq \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_{|\lambda| > \kappa} \left\{ \int_a^b \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1(t, \lambda) [-\Delta f_{2\xi}^p(t) + p(t)f_{1\xi}(t)] \\ + \phi_2(t, \lambda) [\Delta f_{1\xi}(t) + r(t)f_{2\xi}(t)] \end{bmatrix} \Delta t \right\}^2 d\omega_b(\lambda) \\ \leq \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_a^b \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1(t, \lambda) [-\Delta f_{2\xi}^p(t) + p(t)f_{1\xi}(t)] \\ + \phi_2(t, \lambda) [\Delta f_{1\xi}(t) + r(t)f_{2\xi}(t)] \end{bmatrix} \Delta t \right\}^2 d\omega_b(\lambda)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_a^\xi \left\{ \left[-\Delta f_{2\xi}^p(t) + p(t) f_{1\xi}(t) \right]^2 + \left[\Delta f_{1\xi}(t) + r(t) f_{2\xi}(t) \right]^2 \right\} \Delta t.$$

From (2.11), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_a^\xi \left(f_{1\xi}^2(t) + f_{2\xi}^2(t) \right) \Delta t - \int_{-\kappa}^\kappa F_\xi^2(\lambda) d\omega_b(\lambda) \right| \leq \\ & \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_a^\xi \left\{ \left[-\Delta f_{2\xi}^p(t) + p(t) f_{1\xi}(t) \right]^2 + \left[\Delta f_{1\xi}(t) + r(t) f_{2\xi}(t) \right]^2 \right\} \Delta t. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

By Lemma 2.1, the set $\{\omega_b(\lambda)\}$ is bounded. Using Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, we can find a sequence $\{b_k\}$ such that the function $\omega_{b_k}(\lambda)$ ($\kappa \rightarrow \infty$) converge to a monotone function $\omega(\lambda)$. Passing to the limit with respect to $\{b_k\}$ in (2.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_a^\xi \left(f_{1\xi}^2(t) + f_{2\xi}^2(t) \right) \Delta t - \int_{-\kappa}^\kappa F_\xi^2(\lambda) d\omega(\lambda) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_a^\xi \left\{ \left[-\Delta f_{2\xi}^p(t) + p(t) f_{1\xi}(t) \right]^2 + \left[\Delta f_{1\xi}(t) + r(t) f_{2\xi}(t) \right]^2 \right\} \Delta t. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, letting $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\int_a^\xi \left(f_{1\xi}^2(t) + f_{2\xi}^2(t) \right) \Delta t = \int_{-\infty}^\infty F_\xi^2(\lambda) d\omega(\lambda).$$

Now, let f be an arbitrary function on \mathcal{H} . It is known that there exists a sequence of function $\{f_\xi(t)\}$ satisfying the condition 1-3 and such that

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^\infty \|f(t) - f_\xi(t)\|^2 \Delta t = 0.$$

Let

$$F_\xi(\lambda) = \int_a^\infty \|f_\xi^T(t) \phi(t, \lambda)\| \Delta t,$$

where the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is the convenient norm in E . Then, we have

$$\int_a^\infty \left(f_{1\xi}^2(t) + f_{2\xi}^2(t) \right) \Delta t = \int_{-\infty}^\infty F_\xi^2(\lambda) d\omega(\lambda).$$

Since

$$\int_a^\infty \|f_{\xi_1}(t) - f_{\xi_2}(t)\|^2 \Delta t \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \xi_1, \xi_2 \rightarrow \infty,$$

we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \left(F_{\xi_1}(\lambda) - F_{\xi_2}(\lambda) \right)^2 d\omega(\lambda) = \int_a^\infty \|f_{\xi_1}(t) - f_{\xi_2}(t)\|^2 \Delta t \rightarrow 0$$

as $\xi_1, \xi_2 \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, there is a limit function F which satisfies

$$\int_a^\infty \left(f_1^2(t) + f_2^2(t) \right) \Delta t = \int_{-\infty}^\infty F^2(\lambda) d\omega(\lambda),$$

by the completeness of the space $L_\omega^2(-\infty, \infty)$.

Our next goal is to show that the function

$$K_\xi(\lambda) = \int_a^\xi f_1(t) \phi_1(t, \lambda) + f_2(t) \phi_2(t, \lambda) \Delta t$$

converges as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ to F in the metric of space $L_\omega^2(-\infty, \infty)$. Let g be another function in \mathcal{H} . By a similar arguments, $G(\lambda)$ be defined by g . It is clear that

$$\int_a^\infty \|f(t) - g(t)\|^2 \Delta t = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \{F(\lambda) - G(\lambda)\}^2 d\omega(\lambda).$$

Set

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} f(t), & t \in [a, \xi] \\ 0, & t \in (\xi, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \{F(\lambda) - K_\xi(\lambda)\}^2 d\omega(\lambda) = \int_\xi^\infty \left(f_1^2(t) + f_2^2(t) \right) \Delta t \rightarrow 0 \text{ } (\xi \rightarrow \infty),$$

which proves that K_ξ converges to F in $L_\omega^2(-\infty, \infty)$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$. This proves (i).

Now, we will prove (ii). Suppose that the functions $f(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(\cdot) \\ f_2(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}$, $g(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(\cdot) \\ g_2(\cdot) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}$, and $F(\lambda)$ and $G(\lambda)$ are their Fourier transforms. Then $F \mp G$ are transforms of $f \mp g$. Consequently, by (2.10), we have

$$\int_a^\infty \left([f_1(t) + g_1(t)]^2 + [f_2(t) + g_2(t)]^2 \right) \Delta t = \int_{-\infty}^\infty (F(\lambda) + G(\lambda))^2 d\omega(\lambda),$$

$$\int_a^\infty \left([f_1(t) - g_1(t)]^2 + [f_2(t) - g_2(t)]^2 \right) \Delta t = \int_{-\infty}^\infty (F(\lambda) - G(\lambda))^2 d\omega(\lambda).$$

Subtracting the second relation from the first, we get

$$\int_a^\infty [f_1(t)g_1(t) + f_2(t)g_2(t)] \Delta t = \int_{-\infty}^\infty F(\lambda)G(\lambda) d\omega(\lambda) \quad (2.14)$$

which is called the generalized Parseval equality.

Set

$$f_\tau(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \int_{-\tau}^\tau F(\lambda) \phi_1(t, \lambda) d\omega(\lambda) \\ \int_{-\tau}^\tau F(\lambda) \phi_2(t, \lambda) d\omega(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \tau > 0,$$

where F is the function defined in (2.9). Let $g(\cdot) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(\cdot) \\ g_2(\cdot) \end{pmatrix}$ be a vector-function which equals zero outside the finite interval $[a, \mu]_{\mathbb{T}}$, $\mu > a$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (f_\tau, g) &= \int_a^\mu \left\{ \int_{-\tau}^\tau F(\lambda) \phi_1(t, \lambda) d\omega(\lambda) \right\} g_1(t) \Delta t \\ &\quad + \int_a^\mu \left\{ \int_{-\tau}^\tau F(\lambda) \phi_2(t, \lambda) d\omega(\lambda) \right\} g_2(t) \Delta t \\ &= \int_{-\tau}^\tau F(\lambda) \left\{ \int_0^\mu \phi_1(t, \lambda) g_1(t) \Delta t \right\} d\omega(\lambda) \\ &\quad + \int_{-\tau}^\tau F(\lambda) \left\{ \int_0^\mu \phi_2(t, \lambda) g_2(t) \Delta t \right\} d\omega(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{-\tau}^\tau F(\lambda) G(\lambda) d\omega(\lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

From (2.14), we get

$$(f, g) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty F(\lambda)G(\lambda) d\omega(\lambda). \quad (2.16)$$

Subtracting (2.15) and (2.16), we have

$$(f_\tau - f, g) = \int_{|\lambda| > \tau} F(\lambda)G(\lambda) d\omega(\lambda).$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(f_\tau - f, g)|^2 &\leq \int_{|\lambda| > \tau} F^2(\lambda) d\omega(\lambda) \int_{|\lambda| > \tau} G^2(\lambda) d\omega(\lambda) \\ &\leq \int_{|\lambda| > \tau} F^2(\lambda) d\omega(\lambda) \int_{-\infty}^\infty G^2(\lambda) d\omega(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Apply this inequality to the function

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} f_\tau(t) - f(t), & t \in [0, \mu]_{\mathbb{T}} \\ 0, & t \in (\mu, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \end{cases}$$

we get

$$\|f_\tau - f\|^2 \leq \int_{|\lambda| > \tau} F^2(\lambda) d\omega(\lambda).$$

Letting $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ yields the desired result. \square

3. Conclusion

In this paper, we have considered one dimensional singular Dirac system on time scales. In this context, we prove the existence of a spectral function for one dimensional singular Dirac system on time scales. Finally, we establish a Parseval equality and expansion formula in eigenfunctions by terms of the spectral function.

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner and D. O'Regan, Time scale boundary value problems on infinite intervals, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 141 (2002), 27-34.
- [2] B. P. Allahverdiev and H. Tuna, An expansion theorem for q -Sturm-Liouville operators on the whole line, *Turk J Math*, 42, (2018), 1060-1071.
- [3] B. P. Allahverdiev and H. Tuna, Spectral expansion for the singular Dirac system with impulsive conditions, *Turk J Math*, 42, (2018), 2527 – 2545.
- [4] D. R. Anderson, G. Sh. Guseinov and J. Hoffacker, Higher-order self-adjoint boundary-value problems on time scales, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 194 (2) (2006), 309 – 342.
- [5] F. Atici Merdivenci and G. Sh. Guseinov, On Green's functions and positive solutions for boundary value problems on time scales, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 141 (1 – 2) (2002), 75 – 99.
- [6] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.
- [7] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, (Eds.), *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.
- [8] T. Gulsen and E. Yilmaz, Spectral theory of Dirac system on time scales, *Applicable Analysis*, 96(16), (2017), 2684–2694.
- [9] G. Sh. Guseinov, Self-adjoint boundary value problems on time scales and symmetric Green's functions, *Turkish J. Math.*, 29 (4), (2005), 365 – 380.
- [10] G. Sh. Guseinov, Eigenfunction expansions for a Sturm-Liouville problem on time scales. *Int. J. Difference Equ.* 2 (2007), no. 1, 93–104.
- [11] G. Sh. Guseinov, An expansion theorem for a Sturm-Liouville operator on semi-unbounded time scales. *Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl.* 3 (2008), no. 1, 147–160.
- [12] S. Hilger, Analysis on measure chains-a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus, *Results Math.* 18, (1990), 18 – 56.
- [13] G. Hovhannisyann, On Dirac equation on a time scale, *Journal of Math. Physics*, 52, no.10, 102701, 2011.
- [14] A. N. Kolmogorov and S. V. Fomin, *Introductory Real Analysis*. Translated by R.A. Silverman, Dover Publications, New York, 1970.
- [15] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Sivasundaram and B. Kaymakcalan, *Dynamic Systems on Measure Chains*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [16] B. M. Levitan and I. S. Sargsjan, *Sturm-Liouville and Dirac Operators*. Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series). Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991 (translated from the Russian).
- [17] B. P. Rynne, L^2 spaces and boundary value problems on time-scales, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 328, (2007), 1217 – 1236.
- [18] B. Thaller, *The Dirac Equation*, Springer, 1992.
- [19] E. C. Titchmarsh, *Eigenfunction Expansions Associated with Second-Order Differential Equations*. Part I. Second Edition Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1962.
- [20] J. Weidmann, *Spectral Theory of Ordinary Differential Operators*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1258, Springer, Berlin 1987.