

A study on certain inequalities for p-valent functions

Roya GHASEMKHANİ

Department Of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University Of Jiroft, Jiroft, Iran

Received: 01.02.2015; Accepted: 05.05.2015

Abstract. In this paper, we derive conditions on the parameters a, b, c so that the function $z^{p}F(a, b; c; z)$ is p-valent starlike and p-valent convex functions in \mathcal{U} , where F(a, b; c; z) denotes the classical hypergeometric function. We also consider an integral operator related to the hypergeometric function.

Keywords: starlike; convex; p-valent; hypergeometric function.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{A}(p)$ be the class of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1,2,3,...\})$$
(Error! No
text of
specified
style in
document.-1)

which are analytic and p-valent in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ is called p-valent starlike of order β ($0 \le \beta < p$), $p \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if

$$Re\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \beta, z \in \mathcal{U}.$$
(Error! No
text of
specified
style in
document -2)

This function class is denoted by $S^*(p,\beta)$. Also a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ is said to be p-valent convex of order β ($0 \le \beta < p$), $p \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if

$$Re\left\{1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > \beta, z \in \mathcal{U}.$$
(Error! No
text of
specified
style in
document.-3)

This class is denoted by $\mathcal{K}(p,\beta)$. Note that $\mathcal{S}^*(1,\beta) \equiv \mathcal{S}^*(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{K}(1,\beta) \equiv \mathcal{K}(\beta)$ are, respectively, the usual classes of starlike and convex functions of order β . Furthermore $\mathcal{S}^*(p,0) \equiv \mathcal{S}^*(p)$ and $\mathcal{K}(p,0) \equiv \mathcal{K}(p)$

^{*}Corresponding author. Email address: Roya.Ghasemkhani@gmail.com

Special Issue: The Second National Conference on Applied Research in Science and Technology

http://dergi.cumhuriyet.edu.tr/cumuscij ©2015 Faculty of Science, Cumhuriyet University

are, respectively p-valent starlike and p-valent convex functions

From (1.2) and (1.3), we have

$$f \in \mathcal{K}(p,\beta) \iff \frac{zf'}{p} \in \mathcal{S}^*(p,\beta).$$

A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ is said to be in $\mathcal{US}_p(\beta)$, the class of uniformly p-valent starlike functions of order β if

it satisfies the condition

$$Re\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \beta\right\} \ge \left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p\right|, z \in \mathcal{U}.$$
(Error! No
text of
specified
style in
document.-4)

Also a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ is said to be in $\mathcal{UCV}_p(\beta)$, the class of uniformly p-valent convex functions of order β if it satisfies the condition

$$Re\left\{1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \beta\right\} \ge \left|\frac{zf''(z)}{f'^{(z)}} - (p-1)\right|, z \in \mathcal{U}.$$
(Error! No
text of
specified
style in
document.-5)

Uniformly p-valent starlike and p-valent convex functions were first introduced [1] when $p = 1, \beta = 0$ and [2] when $p \ge 1, p \in \mathbb{N}$ and then studies by various authors.

Also denote $\mathcal{T}(p)$, the subclass of $\mathcal{A}(p)$ consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n \ z^n, a_n \ge 0, p \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(Error! No
text of
specified
style in
document.-6)

We denote by $\mathcal{T}^*(\mathbf{p}, \beta)$, $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{p}, \beta)$, $\mathcal{T}^*_{\mathbf{p}}(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{p}}(\beta)$ the classes obtained by taking intersections, respectively, of the classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbf{p}, \beta)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{p}, \beta)$ with $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{p})$; that is

$$\mathcal{T}^*(\mathbf{p},\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbf{p},\boldsymbol{\beta}) \cap \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{p})$$

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{p},\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{p},\boldsymbol{\beta}) \cap \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{p})$$

$$\mathcal{T}^*_{p}(\beta) = \mathcal{U}\mathcal{S}_{p}(\beta) \cap \mathcal{T}(p)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{p}(\beta) = \mathcal{UCV}_{p}(\beta) \cap \mathcal{T}(p)$$

The classes $\mathcal{T}^*(\mathbf{p}, \beta)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{p}, \beta)$ were introduced by Owa (1985)[4]. In particular, the classes $\mathcal{T}^*(\mathbf{1}, \beta) = \mathcal{T}^*(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{1}, \beta) = \mathcal{C}(\beta)$ when p=1, were studied by Silverman (1975)[3].

Note that $f \in \mathcal{T}^*(\mathbf{p}, \beta) \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{T}^*_{\mathbf{p}}(\beta), f \in \mathcal{UCV}_{\mathbf{p}}(\beta) \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{p}, \beta)$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbf{p}, \beta) \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{US}_{\mathbf{p}}(\beta).$

Let F(a,b;c;z) be the Gaussian hypergeometric function defined by

$$F(a,b;c;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} z^n$$
(Error! No
text of
specified
style in
document.-7)

Where $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ and $(a)_n$ is the pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(a)_{n} = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 0 \\ \\ a(a+1)(a+2) \dots (a+n-1) n \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

We note that F(a, b; c; 1) converges for Re(c - a - b) > 0 and is related to the Gamma function by

	(Error! No
$\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)$	text of
$F(a, b; c; 1) = \frac{\Gamma(c) \Gamma(c - a) \Gamma(c - b)}{\Gamma(c - a) \Gamma(c - b)}$	specified
1(c - a)1(c - b)	style in
	document8)

Corresponding to the function F(a,b;c;z), we define $h_p(a,b;c;z) = z^p F(a,b;c;z)$.

Clearly $z^p F(a, b; c; z)$ has the series representation of the form ((Error! No text of specified style in document.-1) where $a_n = \frac{(a)_{n-p}(b)_{n-p}}{(c)_{n-p}(1)_{n-p}}$, hence we have

$$h_p$$
 (a, b; c; z) = $z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-p}(b)_{n-p}}{(c)_{n-p}(1)_{n-p}} z^n$.

2. MAIN RESULT

In this section, we determine necessary and sufficient conditions for $h_p(a,b;c;z) = z^p F(a,b;c;z)$ to be in $\mathcal{T}^*(p,\beta)$ and $\mathcal{C}(p,\beta)$. Furthermore, we consider an integral operator related to the hypergeometric function.

To prove the main result, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 [4]

(i) A function f(z) of the form ((Error! No text of specified style in document.-1) is in $\mathcal{US}_p(\beta)$ if it satisfies the condition

A study on certain inequalities for p-valent functions

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (n-\beta) |a_n| \le p - \beta.$$
(Error! No
text of
specified
style in
document.-9)

(ii) A function f(z) of the form ((Error! No text of specified style in document.-1) is in $\mathcal{UCV}_p(\beta)$ if it satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p} (n-\beta) |a_n| \le p - \beta.$$
(Error! No text of specified style in document.-10)

Lemma 2.2[4]

(i) A function f(z) of the form ((Error! No text of specified style in document.-1) is in $\mathcal{T}^*(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$ if and only if it satisfies

....

(Freeday)

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (n-\beta) a_n \le p-\beta.$$
(Error! No
text of
specified style
in
document.-11)

(ii) A function f(z) of the form ((Error! No text of specified style in document.-1) is in $C(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$ if and only if it satisfies

	(Error! No
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n$	text of
$\sum_{n} \frac{1}{p} (p - \beta) a_n \leq p - \beta.$	specified style
n=p+1	in
	document12)

Lemma 2.3[7] A function f(z) of the form ((Error! No text of specified style in document.-1) is p-valent in \mathcal{U} if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+p) a_{n+p} \leq p$.

Theorem 2.4 If a, b > 0 and c > a + b + 1, then $h_p(a, b; c; z) = z^p F(a, b; c; z)$ to be in $\mathcal{US}_{p}(\beta)$ if

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{ab}{(p-\beta)(c-a-b-1)} \right\} \le 2.$$
(Error! No text of specified style in document.-13)

Proof. Since

$$h_p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}; \mathbf{c}; \mathbf{z}) = z^p F(a, b; c; \mathbf{z}) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-p}(b)_{n-p}}{(c)_{n-p}(1)_{n-p}} z^n,$$
(Error! No
text of
specified style
in

document.-14)

By the condition (2.1), we need only show that

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (n-\beta) \frac{(a)_{n-p}(b)_{n-p}}{(c)_{n-p}(1)_{n-p}} \le p-\beta.$$
(Error! No
text of
specified style
in
document.-15)

Now

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (n-\beta) \frac{(a)_{n-p}(b)_{n-p}}{(c)_{n-p}(1)_{n-p}} = \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (n-p) \frac{(a)_{n-p}(b)_{n-p}}{(c)_{n-p}(1)_{n-p}} + (p-\beta) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-p}(b)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-p}(1)_{n-1}} + (p-\beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n}.$$
(Error! No text of specified style in document.-16)

Noting that $(\theta)_n = \theta(\theta+1)_{n-1}$ and applying (1.7), (1.8) we may express (2.8) as

$$\frac{ab}{c} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_{n-1}(b+1)_{n-1}}{(c+1)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} + (p-\beta) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} - 1 \right)$$
$$= \frac{ab}{c} \frac{\Gamma(c+1)\Gamma(c-a-b-1)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} + (p-\beta) \left(\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} - 1 \right)$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \left\{ \frac{ab}{c-a-b-1} + (p-\beta) \right\} - (p-\beta).$$

By the condition (2.7) and above expression, we have:

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \left\{ \frac{ab}{c-a-b-1} + (p-\beta) \right\} - (p-\beta) \le p - \beta.$$
(Error! No text of specified style in document.-17)

Hence condition (2.5) holds.■

Remark 2.5 In Theorem 2.4, $\beta = 0$ and p = 1 gives the sufficient condition for zF(a, b; c; z) to be in the class $S_{\mathcal{P}}$ of uniformly starlike functions in \mathcal{U} .

In the next theorem, we find constraints on a, b and c that lead to necessary and sufficient conditions for $h_p(a, b; c; z)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{T}^*(p, \beta)$.

Theorem 2.6

(i) If a, b > -1 and c > 0 and ab < 0, then $h_p(a, b; c; z)$ to be in $\mathcal{T}^*(p, \beta) \left(\mathcal{T}^*_p(\beta) \right)$ if and only if

$$c \ge a+b+1-\frac{ab}{p-\beta}.$$

(ii) If a, b > 0 and c > a + b + 1, then $F_p(a, b; c; z) = z^p(2 - F(a, b; c; z))$ is in $\mathcal{T}^*(p, \beta) \left(\mathcal{T}^*_p(\beta)\right)$ if and only if

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{ab}{(p-\beta)(c-a-b-1)} \right\} \le 2.$$
(Error! No
text of
specified style
in
document.-18)

Proof. (i) Since

$$h_{p}(a,b;c;z) = z^{p} + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-p}(b)_{n-p}}{(c)_{n-p}(1)_{n-p}} z^{n} = z^{p} + \frac{ab}{c} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_{n-p-1}(b)}{(c+1)_{n-p-1}} \text{ (Error! No text of specified style in document.}$$

$$= z^{p} - \left|\frac{ab}{c}\right| \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_{n-p-1}(b+1)_{n-p-1}}{(c+1)_{n-p-1}(1)_{n-p}} z^{n},$$

$$(Error! No text of specified style in document.- 19)$$

According to the condition (2.3), we must show that

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (n-\beta) \frac{(a+1)_{n-p-1}(b+1)_{n-p-1}}{(c+1)_{n-p-1}(1)_{n-p}} \le \left|\frac{c}{ab}\right| p - \beta.$$
(Error! No text of specified style in document.-20)

Noting that $(\theta)_n = \theta(\theta+1)_{n-1}$ and then applying (Error! Reference source not found.) and (Error! Reference source not found.), we have

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (n-\beta) \frac{(a+1)_{n-p-1}(b+1)_{n-p-1}}{(c+1)_{n-p-1}(1)_{n-p}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1+p-\beta) \frac{(a+1)_n(b)}{(c+1)_n(1)_n(1)_n}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_{n+1}} + (p-\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_{n+1}} \qquad \text{(Error! Notext of specified style in document.-2}}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_n} + (p-\beta) \frac{c}{ab} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} \qquad 1)$$

Hence, (2.12) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\Gamma(c+1)\Gamma(c-a-b-1)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \left\{ 1 + (p-\beta)\frac{c-a-b-1}{ab} \right\} \le (p-\beta) \left(\left| \frac{c}{ab} \right| + \frac{c}{ab} \right) \frac{\text{specified}}{\text{style}} \text{ in document.-2}}{2}$$

The above expression is valid if and only if

$$1 + (p - \beta) \frac{c - a - b - 1}{\mathrm{ab}} \le 0,$$

which is equivalent to $c \ge a + b + 1 - \frac{ab}{p-\beta}$ and the proof is complete.

(ii) Since $F_p(a, b; c; z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-p}(b)_{n-p}}{(c)_{n-p}(1)_{n-p}} z^n$, the necessary of (2-13) for F_p to be in $\mathcal{T}^*(p,\beta)\left(\mathcal{T}^*_p(\beta)\right)$ follows from condition (2.4).

Theorem 2.7 If a, b > 0 and c > a + b + 2, then h_p (a, b; c; z) to be in $\mathcal{UCV}_p(\beta)$ if

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{2p+1-\beta}{p(p-\beta)}\right) \left(\frac{ab}{c-a-b-1}\right) + \frac{(a)_2(b)_2}{p(p-\beta)(c-a-b-2)_2} \right\} \leq \frac{(\text{Error! No text of specified style in document.-23}}{document.-23} \right)$$

Proof. In view of (ii) of lemma (2.1), we need only show that

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n(n-\beta) \frac{(a)_{n-p}(b)_{n-p}}{(c)_{n-p}(1)_{n-p}} \le p(p-\beta)$$
(Error! No
text of
specified style
in
document.-24)

Now

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n(n-\beta) \frac{(a)_{n-p}(b)_{n-p}}{(c)_{n-p}(1)_{n-p}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[(n+1+p)(n+1+p-\beta) \right] \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n}}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^2 \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} + (2p-\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} + p(p-\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n}} + (2p-\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n}} + p(p-\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n}} \frac{\text{style}}{1} \frac{\text{in}}{1} \frac{\text{document.}}{2}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n-1}} + (2p+1-\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n}} + p(p-\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} + p(p-\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} + p(p-\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} + p(p-\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} + p(p-\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}}} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}}} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}}} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}}} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n+1}} \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b$$

A study on certain inequalities for p-valent functions

$$=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_{n+2}(b)_{n+2}}{(c)_{n+2}(1)_{n}}+(2p+1-\beta)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_{n+1}(b)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}(1)_{n}}+p(p-\beta)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n}}{(c)_{n}(1)_{n}}$$

Using $(a)_{n+k} = (a)_n (a+k)_n$ we may write the above expression as

$$\frac{(a)_{2}(b)_{2}}{(c)_{2}} \frac{\Gamma(c+2)\Gamma(c-a-b-2)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} + (2p+1-\beta) \frac{ab}{c} \frac{\Gamma(c+1)\Gamma(c-a-b-1)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} + p(p-\beta) \left(\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} - 1\right).$$

The last expression is bounded above by $p(p-\beta)$ if and only if (2.15) holds.

Remark 2.8 In Theorem 2.7, $\beta = 0$ and p = 1 reduces to a necessary and sufficient condition for zF(a, b; c; z) to be in the class UCV of uniformly convex functions in U.

Theorem 2.9

- (i) If a, b > -1, ab < 0 and c > a + b + 2, then $h_p(a, b; c; z)$ is in $C(p, \beta)(C_p(\beta))$ if and only if
- $(a)_{2}(b)_{2}+(1+2 p-\beta)ab(c-a-b-2)+p(p-\beta)(c-a-b-2)_{2} \ge 0.$ (Error! No text of specified style in document.-26)
- (ii) If a, b > 0 and c > a + b + 2, then $F_p(a, b; c; z) = z^p(2 F(a, b; c; z))$ is in $C(p, \beta) (C_p(\beta))$ if and only if

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{2p+1-\beta}{p(p-\beta)}\right) \left(\frac{ab}{c-a-b-1}\right) + \frac{(a)_2(b)_2}{p(p-\beta)(c-a-b-2)_2} \right\} \leq \frac{(\text{Error! No text of specified style in document.} -27}{b}$$

Proof. (i) Since h_p (a, b; c; z) has the form (2.11), we see from (ii) of lemma 2.2 that our conclusion is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n (p-\beta) \frac{(a+1)_{n-p-1}(b+1)_{n-p-1}}{(c+1)_{n-p-1}(1)_{n-p}} \le \left|\frac{c}{ab}\right| p(p-\beta).$$
(Error! No text of specified style in document.-28)

Now

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n (p-\beta) \frac{(a+1)_{n-p-1}(b+1)_{n-p-1}}{(c+1)_{n-p-1}(1)_{n-p}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1+p)(n+1+p-\beta) \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_{n+1}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[(n+1)^2 + (2p-\beta)(n+1) + p(p-\beta) \right] \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_{n+1}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^2 \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_{n+1}} \\ &+ (2p-\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_{n+1}} + p(p-\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_{n+1}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_n} \\ &+ (2p-\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_n} + p(p-\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_{n+1}} \\ &= \frac{(a+1)(b+1)}{(c+1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+2)_n(b+2)_n}{(c+2)_n(1)_n} + (2p+1-\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_n(b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n(1)_n} \end{split}$$

$$= \frac{(a+1)(b+1)}{(c+1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+2)_n (b+2)_n}{(c+2)_n (1)_n} + (2p+1-\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_n (b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n (1)_n} + p(p-\beta) \frac{c}{ab} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(c+1)\Gamma(c-a-b-2)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \times \left((a+1)(b+1) + (2p+1-\beta)(c-a-b-2) + \frac{p(p-\beta)}{ab}(c-a-b-2)_2 \right) - \frac{p(p-\beta)c}{ab}.$$

This is less than or equal to $\left|\frac{c}{ab}\right| p(p-\beta)$ if and only if

$$(a+1)(b+1) + (2p+1-\beta)(c-a-b-2) + \frac{p(p-\beta)}{ab}(c-a-b-2)_2 \le 0,$$

Which is equivalent to (2.18).

(iii) From Theorem (2.7) it follows.■

3. AN INTEGRAL OPERATOR

In this section, we illustrate some results obtained by a particular integral operator $G_p(a, b; c; z)$ acting on F(a, b; c; z) as follows

$$G_p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}; \mathbf{c}; \mathbf{z}) = p \int_0^{\mathbf{z}} t^{p-1} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}; \mathbf{c}; \mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t}$$

$$= z^{p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p}{n+p}\right) \frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n}}{(c)_{n}(1)_{n}} z^{n+p}.$$

Theorem 3.1

- (i) If a, b > 0 and c > a + b, then $G_p(a, b; c; z)$ to be in $\mathcal{S}^*(p)$ if
- $\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-b)} \le 2.$ (Error! No text of specified style in document.-29)
 - (ii) If a, b > -1, ab < 0 and c > 0, then $G_p(a, b; c; z)$ is in $\mathcal{T}(p)$ or $\mathcal{A}(p)$ if and only if $c > max\{a, b\}$.

Proof. (i) Since

$$G(a,b;c;z) = z^{p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p}{n+p}\right) \frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n}}{(c)_{n}(1)_{n}} z^{n+p},$$

According to the Lemma (2.3), we must show that

(Error! No text of specified style in document.-30)

We see that

 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+p) \left(\frac{p}{n+p}\right) \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} \le p.$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+p) \left(\frac{p}{n+p}\right) \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} = p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} = p \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} - 1\right)$$
(Error! No text of specified style in document.-31)

The last expression is bounded above by p if and only if condition (3.1) holds. ■

(ii) Since

$$G(a,b;c;z) = z^{p} - \frac{|ab|}{c} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{p}{n} \frac{(a+1)_{n-p-1}(b+1)_{n-p-1}}{(c+1)_{n-p-1}(1)_{n-p}} z^{n},$$

According to the Lemma (3.2), we must show that

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_{n-p-1}(b+1)_{n-p-1}}{(c+1)_{n-p-1}(1)_{n-p}} \le \frac{c}{|ab|},$$

or, equivalently,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a+1)_n (b+1)_n}{(c+1)_n (1)_{n+1}} = \frac{c}{ab} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} \le \frac{c}{|ab|}$$

But this is equivalent to

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-b)} - 1 \ge -1,$$

which is true if and only if $c > max\{a, b\}$. This complets the proof of Theorem 3.1(ii).

Since
$$\frac{z}{p}G'_p = h_p, \frac{z}{p}G''_p = h'_p - \frac{1}{p}G'_p$$
, and so $1 + \frac{zG''_p}{G_p} = \frac{zh'_p}{h_p}$.
 $G_p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}; \mathbf{c}; \mathbf{z}) \in \mathcal{K}(p, \beta) \left(\mathcal{UCV}_p(\beta)\right) \Leftrightarrow \frac{z}{p}G'_p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}; \mathbf{c}; \mathbf{z}) = h_p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}; \mathbf{c}; \mathbf{z}) \in \mathcal{S}^*(p, \beta) \left(\mathcal{US}_p(\beta)\right)$.

Thus any p-valent starlike about h_p leads to a p-valent convex about G_p . Hence we obtain the following analogues to theorems (2.4) and (2.6).

Theorem 3.2

(i) If a, b > 0 and c > a + b + 1, then $G_p(a, b; c; z)$ to be in $\mathcal{UCV}_p(\beta)$ if

$$\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{ab}{(p-\beta)c-a-b-1} \right\} \le 2.$$

(ii) if a, b > -1, ab < 0 and c > a + b + 2, then $G_p(a, b; c; z)$ is in $C(p, \beta) (C_p(\beta))$ if and only if

$$c \ge a+b+1-\frac{ab}{p-\beta}.$$

REFERENCES

[1] Goodman, A.W. (1991), "On uniformly starlike functions, "J. Math. Anal. Appl., 155, 364–370.

[2] Al-kharsani H.A. and Al-Hajiry, S.S. (2006), "Subordination results for the family of uniformly convex p-valent functions," J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math., 7(1), Art. 20. [online: http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?

[3] Silverman, H. (1975), "Univalent functions with negative coefficients," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51, pp. 109-116.

[4] Owa, S. (1985), "On certain classes of p-valent functions with negative coefficients," Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon stevin, 59, pp. 385-402.

[5] Al-kharsani H.A. and Al-Hajiry, S.S. (2008), "a note on certain inequalities for p-valent functions," Journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathmatics, vol 9(2008), Issue 3, Article 90, 6pp.

[6] El-ashwah, R.M., Aouf, M.K. and Moustafa, A. O.(2010), "Starlike and convexity properties for p-valent hypergeometric functions," Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, Vol. LXXIX, 1, pp. 55-64.

[7] Chen M.-P., Multivalent functions with negative coe_cients in the unit disc, Tamkang J. Math. 17(3) (1986), 127{137.