

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Multiplication operators between mixed norm Lebesgue spaces

Héctor Camilo Chaparro

Universidad Militar Nueva Granada, Departamento de Matemáticas, Cajicá, Colombia

Abstract

The boundedness, compactness and closed range of the multiplication operator defined on mixed norm Lebesgue spaces are characterized in this paper.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 47B33, 47B38; Secondary 46E30

Keywords. compact operator, multiplication operator, mixed norm space

1. Introduction

Mixed norm spaces are spaces of multivariable functions in which the norm takes advantage of the product structure in the domain. They were first named and formally studied in [4], as a tool to study generalizations of Sobolev's theorem regarding the continuity of certain potencial operators and the Hausdorff-Young theorem. Spaces of this type arise naturally in harmonic and functional analysis. See [11, 14] for some history and related work.

The multiplication operator, defined roughly speaking as the pointwise multiplication by a real-valued measurable function, is a well-studied transformation. This operator received considerable attention over the past several decades. Multiplication operators generalize the notion of operator given by a diagonal matrix. More precisely, one of the results of operator theory is a spectral theorem, which states that every self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space is unitarily equivalent to a multiplication operator on an L_2 space (see e.g. [12]). There exist several papers devoted to the study of the multiplication operator, on L_p spaces [13, 18], on Lorentz spaces [2], on Orlicz-Lorentz spaces [6], on Weak L_p spaces [9], on Cesàro spaces [15], on variable L_p spaces [7], on Köthe sequence spaces [17], on Lorentz sequence spaces [8] and on bounded variation spaces [3, 10]. For some of the history of the multiplication operator and open problems, see [16]. It is natural to extend the study to mixed norm Lebesgue spaces.

In order to carry on this study, we introduce at the end of this section some previous definitions. In Section 2 we characterize the boundedness of the multiplication operator on mixed norm Lebesgue spaces. In Section 3, we give necessary and sufficient conditions to guarantee the closed range of the multiplication operator. Finally, in Section 4 we introduce a subspace of the mixed norm Lebesgue space and then we establish some results about the compactness of the multiplication operator.

 $Email \ address: \ hector.chaparro@unimilitar.edu.co$

Received: 16.01.2017; Accepted: 11.01.2018

Denote by $L_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the class of all measurable and almost everywhere finite functions f on \mathbb{R}^2 . Fix indices $p, q \in (0, \infty)$. A function $f \in L_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ belongs to the mixed norm Lebesgue space $L^q(u)[L^p(v)]$ if

$$\|f\|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]} = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x,y)|^{p} v(x) \, dx\right)^{q/p} u(y) \, dy\right]^{1/q} < \infty.$$

Where u and v are weight functions, i.e., u and v are non-negative locally integrable functions.

 $\|\cdot\|_{L^q(u)[L^p(v)]}$ is a norm only when $p \ge 1$ and $q \ge 1$, moreover $L^q(u)[L^p(v)]$ is a Banach space. For details, we refer the reader to [5].

We denote by $m_2(E)$ the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

If F(X) is a function space on a non-empty set X, and $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that $\varphi \cdot f \in F(X)$ whenever $f \in F(X)$, then the transformation $f \mapsto \varphi \cdot f$ is denoted by M_{φ} . In case F(X) is a topological space, M_{φ} is called the *multiplication operator induced* by φ .

2. Boundedness of the multiplication operator on $L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)]$

In the following theorem we characterize the boundedness of M_{φ} , defined on $L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)]$

Theorem 2.1. The operator $M_{\varphi}: L^q(u)[L^p(v)] \to L^q(u)[L^p(v)]$ given by

$$(M_{\varphi}f)(x,y) = M_{\varphi}(f(x,y)) = \varphi(x,y) \cdot f(x,y)$$

is bounded if and only if φ is essentially bounded. Moreover,

$$\|M_{\varphi}\| = \|\varphi\|_{\infty}.$$

Proof. We prove first the sufficiency. Let φ be an essentially bounded function. Since $|\varphi(x,y)| \leq \|\varphi\|_{\infty}$ a.e., we have

$$|\varphi(x,y)f(x,y)| \le \|\varphi\|_{\infty} |f(x,y)|$$
 a.e.

Raising to p, multiplying by v(x) and integrating, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x,y)f(x,y)|^p v(x) \, dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \left| f(x,y) \right| \right]^p v(x) \, dx.$$

Raising to q/p and multiplying by the weight u,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x,y)f(x,y)|^p v(x) \, dx\right)^{q/p} u(y) \le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \left|f(x,y)\right|\right]^p v(x) \, dx\right)^{q/p} u(y).$$

Finally, we integrate and raise to 1/q, to obtain

$$\begin{split} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x,y)f(x,y)|^{p} v(x) \, dx \right)^{q/p} u(y) \, dy \right]^{1/q} &\leq \\ \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[||\varphi||_{\infty} \left| f(x,y) \right| \right]^{p} v(x) \, dx \right)^{q/p} u(y) \, dy \right]^{1/q} . \end{split}$$

So, $||M_{\varphi}f||_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]} \le ||\varphi||_{\infty} ||f||_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]}$, i.e.

$$\|M_{\varphi}\| \le \|\varphi\|_{\infty} \,. \tag{2.1}$$

Then M_{φ} is bounded.

Conversely, suppose that M_{φ} is a bounded operator. Suppose also that φ is not essentially bounded. Then, the set $E_n = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\varphi(x, y)| > n\}$ has a positive measure. Therefore, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$|(\varphi \chi_{E_n})(x,y)| \ge n \chi_{E_n}(x,y).$$

Raising to p, multiplying by v and then integrating,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \left(\varphi \chi_{E_n} \right) (x, y) \right|^p v(x) \, dx \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[n \chi_{E_n}(x, y) \right]^p v(x) \, dx.$$

Raising to q/p and then multiplying by u,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \left(\varphi\chi_{E_n}\right)(x,y) \right|^p v(x) \, dx \right)^{q/p} u(y) \ge \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[n\chi_{E_n}(x,y) \right]^p v(x) \, dx \right)^{q/p} u(y).$$

Now, integrating and then raising to 1/q, we have

$$\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\varphi\chi_{E_n})(x,y)|^p v(x) \, dx\right)^{q/p} u(y) \, dy\right]^{1/q} \ge \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[n\chi_{E_n}(x,y)\right]^p v(x) \, dx\right)^{q/p} u(y) \, dy\right]^{1/q}.$$

Hence

$$\|M_{\varphi}\chi_{E_n}\|_{L^q(u)[L^p(v)]} \ge n \,\|\chi_{E_n}\|_{L^q(u)[L^p(v)]}$$

This contradicts the boundedness of M_{φ} . So φ must be essentially bounded.

In order to prove that the norm of M_{φ} is actually $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}$, for $\varepsilon > 0$,

let $E = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\varphi(x, y)| \ge \|\varphi\|_{\infty} - \varepsilon\}$. Note that $m_2(E) > 0$. Then

$$|\varphi(x,y)\chi_E(x,y)| \ge (\|\varphi\|_{\infty} - \varepsilon)\,\chi_E(x,y) \quad \forall \ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Following the same steps as above, one concludes that

$$\left\|M_{\varphi}\chi_{E}\right\|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]} \geq \left(\left\|\varphi\right\|_{\infty} - \varepsilon\right) \left\|\chi_{E}\right\|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]}$$

Hence

$$\|M_{\varphi}\| \ge \|\varphi\|_{\infty} - \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have

$$\|M_{\varphi}\| \ge \|\varphi\|_{\infty} \,. \tag{2.2}$$

From (2.1) and (2.2) we conclude that

$$\|M_{\varphi}\| = \|\varphi\|_{\infty}.$$

3. Closed range of the multiplication operator

Now, we study the closed range of the multiplication operator.

Although we will need M_{φ} to be an injective operator, this is not always the case. Take $\varphi(x,y) = \chi_{[0,1]\times[0,1]}(x,y)$ and $f(x,y) = \chi_{[2,3]\times[2,3]}(x,y)$. Then,

$$(M_{\varphi}f)(x,y) = \varphi(x,y) \cdot f(x,y) = \chi_{[0,1] \times [0,1]}(x,y) \cdot \chi_{[2,3] \times [2,3]}(x,y) = 0.$$

Hence, since ker $(M_{\varphi}) \neq \{0\}$, M_{φ} is not one to one.

In order to guarantee the injectivity of M_{φ} , we need to take into account the support of φ , which is defined as

$$\operatorname{supp} \varphi = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \varphi(x, y) \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Take $S = \operatorname{supp} \varphi$ and define the restricted space $L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)](S)$ as

$$L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)] (S) = \{ f\chi_{S} : f \in L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)] \}$$

The following result gives us a relation between the injectivity of M_{φ} and the restricted space $L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)](S)$.

Proposition 3.1. $M_{\varphi}: L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)](S) \to L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)](S)$ is an injective operator.

Proof. If $M_{\varphi}\tilde{f} = 0$ where $\tilde{f} = f\chi_S$, we have $\varphi(x, y)\tilde{f}(x, y) = 0$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, i.e. $\varphi(x, y)f(x, y)\chi_S(x, y) = 0$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and since $S = \operatorname{supp} \varphi$, then

$$\begin{split} \varphi(x,y)f(x,y) &= 0, \quad \forall \ (x,y) \in S \\ f(x,y) &= 0, \quad \forall \ (x,y) \in S \\ f(x,y)\chi_S(x,y) &= 0, \quad \forall \ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \end{split}$$

Then $\tilde{f}(x,y) = 0$. Hence ker $(M_{\varphi}) = \{0\}$ and then we have injectivity of M_{φ} on the set $L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)](S)$.

We recall the definition of a *bounded below operator*.

Definition 3.2. An operator $T: X \to Y$ between normed spaces is said to be bounded below if there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$||Tx|| \ge C ||x||$$

for each $x \in X$.

The following theorem (see e.g. [1]) allows us to obtain some results about the range of M_{φ} .

Theorem 3.3. Let T be a bounded linear operator, $T : X \to Y$, where X and Y are Banach spaces. Then T is bounded below if and only if T is one-to-one and has closed range.

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.3, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. The multiplication operator

$$M_{\varphi}: L^{q}(u) \left[L^{p}(v) \right](S) \to L^{q}(u) \left[L^{p}(v) \right](S)$$

is bounded below if and only if M_{φ} has closed range.

Now we show the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.5. The multiplication operator $M_{\varphi} : L^q(u) [L^p(v)] \to L^q(u) [L^p(v)]$ has closed range if and only if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|\varphi(x, y)| \ge \delta$ for m_2 -almost all $(x, y) \in$ supp φ .

Proof. We prove first the converse implication. Write $S = \operatorname{supp} \varphi$. Suppose that there exists $\delta > 0$ for which $|\varphi(x, y)| \ge \delta$ a.e. on $\operatorname{supp} \varphi$. Then

$$|\varphi(x,y)f(x,y)\chi_S(x,y)| \ge \delta |f(x,y)\chi_S(x,y)| \quad \text{a.e}$$

From this we have

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x,y)f(x,y)\chi_S(x,y)|^p v(x) \, dx\right)^{q/p} \ge \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\delta \left|f(x,y)\chi_S(x,y)\right|\right]^p v(x) \, dx\right)^{q/p}$$

And then

$$\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x,y)f(x,y)\chi_{S}(x,y)|^{p} v(x) dx\right)^{q/p} u(y) dy\right]^{1/q} \geq \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\delta \left|f(x,y)\chi_{S}(x,y)\right|\right]^{p} v(x) dx\right)^{q/p} u(y) dy\right]^{1/q}.$$

Hence

$$\|M_{\varphi}f\chi_{S}\|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]} \geq \delta \|f\chi_{S}\|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]}.$$

This means that M_{φ} is bounded below on $L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)](S)$. Following similar lines to [18, Lemma 2.2], one concludes that $M_{\varphi} : L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)] \to L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)]$ has closed range.

Now we prove the reverse implication. Suppose that M_{φ} has closed range on $L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)]$. Again, by [18, Lemma 2.2], there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\left\| M_{\varphi} \tilde{f} \right\|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]} \ge \varepsilon \left\| \tilde{f} \right\|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]}$$

$$(3.1)$$

for all $\tilde{f} \in L^q(u) [L^p(v)](S)$. Let $E = \{(x, y) \in S : |\varphi(x, y)| < \varepsilon/2\}$. If $m_2(E) > 0$, we can find a measurable set $F \subset E$ such that $0 < m_2(E) < m_2(F)$ and so $\chi_F \in L^q(u) [L^p(v)](S)$. Then we have

$$|\varphi(x,y)\chi_F(x,y)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} |\chi_F(x,y)|.$$

Following the same steps as above, one concludes that

$$\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x,y)\chi_F(x,y)|^p v(x) \, dx\right)^{q/p} u(y) \, dy\right]^{1/q} \leq \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2} |\chi_F(x,y)|\right]^p v(x) \, dx\right)^{q/p} u(y) \, dy\right]^{1/q}.$$

Thus,

$$\|M_{\varphi}\chi_F\|_{L^q(u)[L^p(v)]} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\chi_F\|_{L^q(u)[L^p(v)]}.$$
(3.2)

Inequalities (3.1) and (3.2) together lead to a contradiction. Therefore $m_2(E) = 0$. In other words, $|\varphi(x,y)| \ge \varepsilon/2$ for m_2 -almost all $(x,y) \in S$.

4. Compactness of the multiplication operator

Before we continue, we recall the definition of *invariant subspace*.

Definition 4.1. Let $T : X \to X$ be an operator. A subspace V of X is said to be invariant under T (or T-invariant) if $T(V) \subseteq V$.

The next lemma will be useful later.

Lemma 4.2. Let $T: X \to X$ be an operator. If T is compact and V is a closed T-invariant subspace of X, then $T|_V$ is compact.

A proof of the above lemma may be found in [6]. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we define

$$A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi) = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\varphi(x, y)| \ge \varepsilon \right\},\$$

and we also define

$$L^{q}(u) \left[L^{p}(v) \right] \left(A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi) \right) = \left\{ f \chi_{A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)} : f \in L^{q}(u) \left[L^{p}(v) \right] \right\}$$

Lemma 4.3. Let M_{φ} be a compact operator. Then $L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)] (A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi))$ is a closed invariant subspace of $L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)]$ under M_{φ} . Moreover,

$$M_{\varphi}|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)](A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi))}$$

is a compact operator.

Proof. Let $F, G \in L^q(u)[L^p(v)](A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi))$, then $F = f\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)}$ and $G = g\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)}$ with $f, g \in L^q(u)[L^p(v)]$. So,

$$\lambda F + \mu G = \lambda f \chi_{A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)} + \mu g \chi_{A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)}$$
$$= (\lambda f + \mu g) \chi_{A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)}.$$

Since $\lambda f + \mu g \in L^q(u)[L^p(v)]$, the above equation shows that

$$F + \mu G \in L^q(u) [L^p(v)] (A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)).$$

So this is a subspace of $L^q(u) [L^p(v)] (A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi))$.

H.C. Chaparro

Now, let $h \in M_{\varphi}(L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)](A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)))$. Then there exist F such that F belongs to $L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)](A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi))$ and $M_{\varphi}F = h$. Since $F = f\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)}$ for some $f \in L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]$, we have

$$h = M_{\varphi}F = \varphi F = \varphi \left(f\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)}\right) = (\varphi f)\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)}.$$

Since $\varphi f \in L^q(u)[L^p(v)]$, the above equation shows that $h \in L^q(u)[L^p(v)](A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi))$. This proves that $L^q(u) [L^p(v)] (A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi))$ is M_{φ} -invariant.

To prove the closedness of $L^q(u) [L^p(v)] (A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi))$, let $\{F_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)] (A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi))$ such that

$$F_k \to F$$
 in $L^q(u) [L^p(v)] (A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)).$

We need to show that $F \in L^q(u)[L^p(v)](A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi))$. In order to do this, we write

$$F = F\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)} + F\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}^{\complement}(\varphi)}.$$

It is enough to prove that $F\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}^{\complement}(\varphi)} = 0$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists n_0 such that $||F - F_{n_0}||_{L^q(u)[L^p(v)]} < \varepsilon$, but

$$\begin{split} \left\| F\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}^{\complement}(\varphi)} \right\|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]} &= \left\| \left(F - F_{n_{0}} + F_{n_{0}} \right)\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}^{\complement}(\varphi)} \right\|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(F - F_{n_{0}} \right)\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}^{\complement}(\varphi)} \right\|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]} + \left\| F_{n_{0}}\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}^{\complement}(\varphi)} \right\|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]} \\ &= \left\| \left(F - F_{n_{0}} \right)\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}^{\complement}(\varphi)} \right\|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]} + \left\| f\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)}\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}^{\complement}(\varphi)} \right\|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]} \\ &= \left\| \left(F - F_{n_{0}} \right)\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}^{\complement}(\varphi)} \right\|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]} < \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

Hence $\left\|F\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}^{\complement}(\varphi)}\right\|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]} < \varepsilon$. Since ε was arbitrary, we have

$$\left\|F\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}^{\complement}(\varphi)}\right\|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]}=0.$$

Therefore $F\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}^{\complement}(\varphi)} = 0$ and $F = F\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)} \in L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)] (A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi))$. Now, by using Lemma 4.2, we conclude that $M_{\varphi}|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)](A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi))}$ is a compact operator.

Finally, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. Let M_{φ} : $L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)] \to L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)]$ be a bounded linear operator. Then M_{φ} is compact if and only if $L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)] (A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi))$ is finite dimensional for each $\varepsilon > 0.$

Proof. Suppose that M_{φ} is a compact operator. Note that, for all $(x, y) \in A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)$,

$$|\varphi(x,y)| \ge \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$|\varphi(x,y)f(x,y)\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}}(x,y)| \ge \varepsilon |f(x,y)\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}}(x,y)| \quad \forall \ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

From this we have

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x,y)f(x,y)\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}}(x,y)|^{p} v(x) dx\right)^{q/p} \ge \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} [\varepsilon |f(x,y)\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}}(x,y)|]^{p} v(x) dx\right)^{q/p}$$

Consequently

$$\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x,y)f(x,y)\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}}(x,y)|^{p} v(x) dx \right)^{q/p} u(y) dy \right]^{1/q} \geq \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\varepsilon \left| f(x,y)\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}}(x,y) \right| \right]^{p} v(x) dx \right)^{q/p} u(y) dy \right]^{1/q}.$$

From the last inequality we infer that

$$\|M_{\varphi}f\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}}\|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]} \geq \varepsilon \|f\chi_{A_{\varepsilon}}\|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)]}.$$
(4.1)

Hence $M_{\varphi}|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)](A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi))}$ has closed range.

Now, if M_{φ} is a compact, then from Lemma 4.3, $L^{q}(u) [L^{p}(v)]$ is a closed invariant subspace of M_{φ} and by Lemma 4.2,

$$M_{\varphi}|_{L^{q}(u)[L^{p}(v)](A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi))}$$

is a compact operator. Also, $M_{\varphi} : L^q(u) [L^p(v)] (A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)) \to L^q(u) [L^p(v)] (A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi))$ is invertible (in fact, its inverse is $M_{\varphi}^{-1} = M_{\varphi^{-1}}$). Therefore, $L^q(u) [L^p(v)] (A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi))$ is finite dimensional for each $\varepsilon > 0$.

Conversely, suppose that $L^q(u) [L^p(v)] (A_{\varepsilon}(\varphi))$ is finite dimensional for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Particularly, $L^q(u) [L^p(v)] (A_{1/n}(\varphi))$ is finite dimensional for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each n, we define $\varphi_n : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ as follows

$$\varphi_n(x,y) = \begin{cases} \varphi(x,y), & \text{if } |\varphi(x,y)| \ge \frac{1}{n} \\ 0, & \text{if } |\varphi(x,y)| < \frac{1}{n} \end{cases}$$

Then we have $|\varphi_n(x,y) - \varphi(x,y)| \le 1/n$. Following the same steps as above, one concludes that

$$\|M_{\varphi_n}f - M_{\varphi}f\|_{L^q(u)[L^p(v)]} \le \frac{1}{n} \|f\|_{L^q(u)[L^p(v)]}$$

Then M_{φ_n} converges to M_{φ} uniformly.

Since each one of the spaces $L^q(u) [L^p(v)] (A_{1/n}(\varphi))$ is finite dimensional, we have that M_{φ_n} is a finite rank operator, which in turn implies that M_{φ_n} is compact. Finally, the uniform convergence implies the compactness of M_{φ} .

Remark 4.5. The results obtained in this paper can easily be extended to another types of mixed norm spaces. For example, the mixed norm Lorentz spaces $\Lambda^q(u) [\Lambda^p(v)]$, which are the set of all functions $f \in L_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda^{q}(u)[\Lambda^{p}(v)]} := \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \left[f^{*y}(\cdot, t) \right]^{p} v(t) \, dt \right)^{*x}(s) \right]^{q/p} u(s) \, ds \right)^{1/q}$$

is finite, where $0 < p, q < \infty, v, w$ are weights in \mathbb{R}_+ , and h^* denotes the usual decreasing rearrangement of h.

Acknowledgment. The author gratefully thanks to the referee for the valuable comments and recommendations. The author is also grateful for the financial aid of the Research Office-UMNG in the project IMP-CIAS-2651.

References

- [1] Y.A. Abramovich and C.D. Aliprantis, An invitation to operator theory, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 50, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [2] S.C. Arora, G. Datt, and S. Verma, *Multiplication operators on Lorentz spaces*, Indian J. Math. 48 (3), 317-329, 2006.
- [3] F.R. Astudillo-Villalba and J.C. Ramos-Fernández, Multiplication operators on the space of functions of bounded variation, Demonstr. Math. 50 (1), 105-115, 2017.
- [4] A. Benedek and R. Panzone, The spaces L^P, with mixed norm, Duke Math. J. 28, 301-324, 1961.
- [5] A.P. Blozinski, Multivariate rearrangements and Banach function spaces with mixed norms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 263 (1), 149-167, 1981.
- [6] R.E. Castillo, H.C. Chaparro, and J.C. Ramos Fernández, Orlicz-Lorentz spaces and their multiplication operators, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 44 (5), 991-1009, 2015.

- [7] R.E. Castillo, J.C. Ramos Fernández, and H. Rafeiro, Multiplication operators in variable Lebesgue spaces, Rev. Colombiana Mat. 49 (2), 293-305, 2015.
- [8] R.E. Castillo, J.C. Ramos Fernández and M. Salas-Brown, The essential norm of multiplication operators on Lorentz sequence spaces, Real Anal. Exchange 41 (1), 245-251, 2016.
- [9] R.E. Castillo, F.A. Vallejo Narváez and J.C. Ramos Fernández, Multiplication and composition operators on weak L_p spaces, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 38 (3), 927-973, 2015.
- [10] H.C. Chaparro, On Multipliers between Bounded Variation Spaces, Ann. Funct. Anal. 9 (3), 376-383, 2018.
- [11] W. Grey and G. Sinnamon, The inclusion problem for mixed norm spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 368 (12), 8715-8736, 2016.
- [12] P.R. Halmos, What does the spectral theorem say?, Amer. Math. Monthly 70, 241-247, 1963.
- [13] H. Hudzik, R. Kumar and R. Kumar, Matrix multiplication operators on Banach function spaces, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 116 (1), 71-81, 2006.
- [14] V. Kolyada and J. Soria, Mixed norms and iterated rearrangements, Z. Anal. Anwend. 35 (2), 119-138, 2016.
- [15] M. Mursaleen, A. Aghajani and K. Raj, Multiplication operators on Cesàro function spaces, Filomat 30 (5), 1175-1184, 2016.
- [16] J.C. Ramos-Fernández, Some properties of multiplication operators acting on Banach spaces of measurable functions, Bol. Mat. 23 (2), 221-237, 2016.
- [17] J.C. Ramos-Fernández and M. Salas-Brown, On multiplication operators acting on Köthe sequence spaces Afr. Mat. 28 (3-4), 661-667, 2017.
- [18] H. Takagi and K. Yokouchi, Multiplication and composition operators between two L^p-spaces, Function spaces (Edwardsville, IL, 1998), Contemp. Math. 232, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, 321-338.